

An upper bound for the second moment of the length of the period of the continued fraction expansion for \sqrt{d}

M.A. Korolev¹⁾

§1. Introduction.

Suppose that $d \geq 1$ is an integer. If d is not a perfect square, we define $T(d)$ as the length of the minimal period of the simple continued fraction expansion for \sqrt{d} . Otherwise, we put $T(d) = 0$. It is known (see [1]) that $T(d) \leq g(d)$, where $g(d)$ denotes the number of pairs of integers $m, q \geq 1$ such that

$$m < \sqrt{d}, \quad |q - \sqrt{d}| < m, \quad q \mid (d - m^2).$$

In the recent paper [2], F. Battistoni, L. Grenié and G. Molteni established the following relations for the first and second moments of the function $g(d)$:

$$\sum_{d \leq x} g(d) = \frac{4}{3}(\ln 2)x^{3/2} - 2x - 2\sqrt{x} + \theta(x + 4\sqrt{x}), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{d \leq x} g^2(d) \leq 11.9x^2 + 5x^{3/2} \ln^2(4e^4x). \quad (2)$$

These formulas hold true for any $x > 1$. The bound (2) together with (1) and Cauchy inequality imply the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x} T^2(d) &\leq 11.9x^2 + 5x^{3/2} \ln^2(4e^4x), \\ \sum_{x < d \leq 2x} T^2(d) &\leq 47x^2 + O(x^{3/2} \ln^4 x). \end{aligned}$$

The last one was used in [2] for the proof of the inequality

$$\#D(x; \alpha) \leq \frac{47 + o(1)}{\alpha^2} x \quad (3)$$

for the cardinality of the set $D(x; \alpha)$ of d , $x < d \leq 2x$ satisfying the condition $T(d) > \alpha\sqrt{x}$. The inequality (3) improves the bound

$$\#D(x; \alpha) \leq \frac{c + o(1)}{\ln^2 \alpha} x, \quad c > 0,$$

which belongs to A.M. Rockett and P. Szűsz [3].

¹⁾Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences. E-mail: korolevma@mi-ras.ru, hardy_ramanujan@mail.ru

The authors of [2] express the second moment of $g(d)$ in the form

$$W = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_i < \sqrt{x} \\ i=1,2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_i < \sqrt{x} + m_i \\ i=1,2}} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2: |k_i - q_i| < 2m_i, i=1,2 \\ m_1^2 + k_1 q_1 = m_2^2 + k_2 q_2 \leq x}} 1.$$

Then, omitting some restrictions on variables k_i , they arrived to the estimate (2). In the end of [2], the authors show briefly how to replace (2) by more precise bound with $8x^2 + O(x^{3/2} \ln^4 x)$ in the right-hand side.

The purpose of the present paper is to find an asymptotic formula for the sum W . Our main assertion is the following

THEOREM. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{108}$ be any fixed constant. Then, for $x \rightarrow +\infty$, the following asymptotic holds:*

$$W = c_0 x^2 + O(x^{2-1/108+\varepsilon}), \quad c_0 = \frac{13 \zeta(2)}{14 \zeta(3)} \mathfrak{S},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S} &= \int_0^1 du_1 \int_0^1 du_2 \int_0^{1+u_1} dv_1 \int_0^{1+u_2} \varphi(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) dv_2, \quad \varphi(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{v_1 v_2} \max(0, \min(1, (u_1 + v_1)^2, (u_2 + v_2)^2) - \max(u_1^2, u_2^2, (u_1 - v_1)^2, (u_2 - v_2)^2)) \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. The approximate calculations show that $\mathfrak{S} = 0.959 \dots$, $c_0 = 1.218 \dots$

COROLLARY. *Under the assumptions of Theorem, the following inequality holds for any $\alpha > 0$:*

$$\#D(x; \alpha) \leq \frac{c_1 x}{\alpha^2} (1 + O(x^{-1/108+\varepsilon})), \quad c_1 = 3c_0 = 3.654 \dots,$$

§2. Auxiliary assertions.

In this section, we give some auxiliary lemmas which are necessary for the following.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that the function f belongs to the class $C^1[a, b]$ and the number of the segments of its monotonicity does not exceed k ($k \geq 1$). Then*

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} f(n) = \int_a^b f(x) dx + \theta(k+1) \max_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)|, \quad |\theta| \leq 1.$$

This assertion easily follows from Euler summation formula.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\varrho(u) = 1/2 - \{u\}$. Then for any $H > 1$ one has $\varrho(u) = \varrho_H(u) + r_H(u)$, where*

$$\varrho_H(u) = \sum_{0 < |h| \leq H} \frac{e(hu)}{2\pi i h}, \quad |r_H(u)| \ll \vartheta_H(u) = \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H\|u\|}\right),$$

$$\|u\| = \min(\{u\}, 1 - \{u\}), \quad e(v) = e^{2\pi i v}.$$

Moreover, Fourier-series expansion of $\vartheta_H(u)$ has the form

$$\vartheta_H(u) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} C(h)e(hu), \quad |C(h)| \ll \begin{cases} H^{-1} \ln H & \text{for any } h, \\ Hh^{-2} & \text{for } h \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

For the proof, see [4, §5].

LEMMA 3. Denote by $\rho(\Delta)$ the number of solutions of the congruence

$$x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{\Delta}, \quad 1 \leq x, y \leq \Delta.$$

Then $\rho(\Delta)$ is a multiplicative function, and the following relations hold:

$$(a) \quad \rho(\Delta) = \Delta \sum_{\delta|\Delta} \frac{\varepsilon(\delta)}{\delta} \varphi(\delta), \quad \varepsilon(\delta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \delta \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2, & \text{if } \delta \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \delta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \end{cases}$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_{\Delta=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\Delta)}{\Delta^3} = \frac{13}{14} \frac{\zeta^2(2)}{\zeta(3)}.$$

Moreover, the following inequality holds: $\rho(\Delta) \leq 2\Delta\tau(\Delta)$, where $\tau(\Delta)$ is the divisor function.

PROOF. In fact, this is Lemma 2 from [2]. Here we give an alternative proof. Obviously,

$$\rho(\Delta) = \sum_{x,y=1}^{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{c=1}^{\Delta} e\left(\frac{c}{\Delta}(x^2 - y^2)\right) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{c=1}^{\Delta} |S(\Delta, c)|^2, \quad S(q, a) = \sum_{x=1}^q e\left(\frac{cx^2}{q}\right).$$

Let $(c, \Delta) = \omega$ and $\Delta/\omega = \delta, c/\omega = e$. Then

$$S(\Delta, c) = \sum_{x=1}^{\Delta} e\left(\frac{ex^2}{\delta}\right) = \frac{\Delta}{\delta} \sum_{x=1}^{\delta} e\left(\frac{ex^2}{\delta}\right) = \frac{\Delta}{\delta} S(\delta, e).$$

Therefore,

$$\rho(\Delta) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{\omega|\Delta} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq c \leq \Delta \\ (c, \Delta) = \omega}} \frac{\Delta^2}{\delta^2} |S(\delta, e)|^2 = \Delta \sum_{\delta|\Delta} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq e \leq \delta \\ (e, \delta) = 1}} |S(\delta, e)|^2.$$

Since $(e, \delta) = 1$ then $|S(\delta, e)|^2 = \varepsilon(\delta)\delta$ (see, for example, [5, Ch. I, §3, Theorem 3]), we obtain the formula (a) and the inequality $\rho(\Delta) \leq 2\Delta\tau(\Delta)$. Next, for prime $p \geq 3$ this formula yields

$$\frac{\rho(p^\alpha)}{p^{3\alpha}} = \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{\alpha}{p^{2\alpha}},$$

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(p^\alpha)}{p^{3\alpha}} = 1 + \frac{1}{p^2 - 1} + \frac{p(p-1)}{(p^2 - 1)^2} = \frac{p(p^3 - 1)}{(p^2 - 1)^2} = \frac{1 - 1/p^3}{(1 - 1/p^2)^2}.$$

Finally,

$$\rho(2^\alpha) = \alpha 2^\alpha, \quad \frac{\rho(2^\alpha)}{2^{3\alpha}} = \frac{13}{9} = \frac{13}{14} \cdot \frac{1 - 1/2^3}{(1 - 1/2^2)^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\Delta=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\Delta)}{\Delta^3} = \prod_p \sum_{\alpha=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(p^\alpha)}{p^{3\alpha}} = \frac{13}{14} \prod_p \frac{1 - 1/p^3}{(1 - 1/p^2)^2} = \frac{13}{14} \frac{\zeta^2(2)}{\zeta(3)}.$$

LEMMA 4. *Let $L, M, N > 1$ and $L < L_1 \leq 2L$, $M < M_1 \leq 2M$, $N < N_1 \leq 2N$ and let $\mathbf{a} = \{a_m\}$, $\mathbf{b} = \{b_n\}$, $\mathbf{c} = \{c_\ell\}$ be any sequences defined for $M < m \leq M_1$, $N < n \leq N_1$ and $L < \ell \leq L_1$. Further, let $\vartheta \neq 0$ is a fixed real number. Finally, suppose that the function $f_{\ell, \vartheta}(x, y)$ belongs to the class $C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ for any ℓ and satisfies to the conditions*

$$\frac{\partial_{\ell, \vartheta} f}{\partial x}(x, y) \ll \frac{X}{x^2 y}, \quad \frac{\partial_{\ell, \vartheta} f}{\partial y}(x, y) \ll \frac{X}{x y^2} \quad (4)$$

for $M \leq x \leq M_1$, $N \leq y \leq N_1$. Then, for any fixed ε , the sum

$$S = \sum_{L < \ell \leq L_1} \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \sum_{\substack{N < n \leq N_1 \\ (m, n) = 1}} a_m b_n c_\ell e\left(\vartheta \frac{\ell \bar{m}}{n} + f_{\ell, \vartheta}(m, n)\right)$$

satisfies the estimate

$$S \ll_\varepsilon (LMN)^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{b}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{c}\| \cdot T \left((LMN)^{7/20} (M + N)^{1/4} + (LMN)^{3/8} (M + N)^{1/8} L^{1/8} \right),$$

$$T = \left(1 + \frac{|\vartheta|L + X}{MN} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \|\mathbf{a}\| = \left(\sum_{M < m \leq M_1} |a_m|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (\text{similarly for } \|\mathbf{b}\|, \|\mathbf{c}\|).$$

For the proof, see [6, Theorem 1 and Remark 1].

§3. Initial transformations of the sum W .

First we estimate the contribution coming to W from the terms with $q_1 = q_2$. If $q_1 = q_2 = q$ then the relation $m_1^2 + k_1 q = m_2^2 + k_2 q$ implies the congruence $m_1^2 \equiv m_2^2 \pmod{q}$. Given such q, m_1, m_2 , the value of k_2 is determined uniquely by k_1 : $k_2 = k_1 + (m_1^2 - m_2^2)/q$. Hence, if we omit the condition $|k_2 - q| < 2m_2$ then we bound the contribution under considering by the sum

$$R = \sum_{q \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \sum_{\substack{m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, i=1,2 \\ m_1^2 \equiv m_2^2 \pmod{q}}} \sum_{q-2m_1 < k_1 < q+2m_1} 1 \leq 4\sqrt{x} \sum_{q \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \sum_{\substack{m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, i=1,2 \\ m_1^2 \equiv m_2^2 \pmod{q}}} 1.$$

Splitting the sums over m_1, m_2 into arithmetic progressions modulo q and using Lemma

3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R &\leq 4\sqrt{x} \sum_{q \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1, \xi_2 = 0 \\ \xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{q}}}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{m_i \leq \sqrt{x} \\ m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{q} \\ i=1,2}} 1 \leq 4\sqrt{x} \sum_{q \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \sum_{\substack{\xi_1, \xi_2 = 0 \\ \xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{q}}}^{q-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{q} + 1\right)^2 \ll \\
&\ll x^{3/2} \sum_{q \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{\rho(q)}{q^2} \ll x^{3/2} \sum_{q \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{\tau(q)}{q} \ll x^{3/2} (\ln x)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, since the sum W is symmetrical with respect to q_1, q_2 , we find that

$$W = 2W_1 + O(x^{3/2}(\ln x)^2), \quad W_1 = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_i < \sqrt{x} \\ i=1,2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_i < \sqrt{x} + m_i \\ i=1,2 \\ q_1 \leq q_2}} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2: |k_i - q_i| < 2m_i, i=1,2 \\ m_1^2 + k_1 q_1 = m_2^2 + k_2 q_2 \leq x}} 1.$$

Now we transform the sum W_1 . The relation $m_1^2 + k_1 q_1 = m_2^2 + k_2 q_2$ implies the congruence

$$m_1^2 + k_1 q_1 \equiv m_2^2 \pmod{q_2}. \quad (5)$$

If the quadruple m_1, m_2, q_1, k_1 satisfies (5) then the number $k_2 = (k_1 q_1 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)/q_2$ is integer. In this case, the condition $|k_2 - q_2| < 2m_2$ (or, that is the same, $q_2 - 2m_2 < k_2 < q_2 + 2m_2$) takes the form

$$q_2 - 2m_2 < \frac{k_1 q_1 + m_1^2 - m_2^2}{q_2} < q_2 + 2m_2$$

or, equivalently, the form

$$\frac{(q_2 - m_2)^2 - m_1^2}{q_1} < k_1 < \frac{(q_2 + m_2)^2 - m_1^2}{q_1}. \quad (6)$$

Further, the inequalities $m_1^2 + k_1 q_1 \leq x$, $k_1 > 0$ imply

$$0 < k_1 \leq \frac{x - m_1^2}{q_1}. \quad (7)$$

Next, the condition $k_2 > 0$ takes the form

$$k_1 > \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{q_1}. \quad (8)$$

Finally, we write the inequality $|k_1 - q_1| < 2m_1$ in the form

$$q_1 - 2m_1 < k_1 < q_1 + 2m_1. \quad (9)$$

Now we replace the upper bounds for k_1 in (6) and (9) by weak inequalities and estimate the contribution coming to W_1 of such replacement.

Let $k_1 = q_1 + 2m_1$. In view of (5), we have

$$(q_1 + m_1)^2 - m_2^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q_2} \quad \text{and therefore} \quad q_2 \mid (q_1 + m_1)^2 - m_2^2. \quad (10)$$

The contribution coming from $q_2 + m_1 \neq m_2$ is less than

$$\sum_{m_1, m_2 \leq \sqrt{x}} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq 2\sqrt{x} \\ q_2 \neq m_2 - m_1}} \tau(|(q_1 + m_1)^2 - m_2^2|) \ll x^{3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

The terms with $q_1 = m_2 - m_1 > 0$ yield

$$\ll \sum_{m_1, m_2 \leq \sqrt{x}} \sum_{q_2 \leq 2\sqrt{x}} 1 \ll x^{3/2}.$$

Similarly, suppose that $k_1 = ((q_2 + m_2)^2 - m_1^2)/q_1$ is integer. Then (5) holds automatically. We may also suppose that $q_2 \neq m_1 - m_2$: otherwise, we get $k_1 = 0$. Then q_2 divides $(q_2 + m_2)^2 - m_1^2 \neq 0$, and the corresponding contribution to W_1 is less than

$$\sum_{m_1, m_2 \leq \sqrt{x}} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \leq 2\sqrt{x} \\ q_2 \neq m_1 - m_2}} \tau(|(q_2 + m_2)^2 - m_1^2|) \ll x^{3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Thus we get $W_1 = W_2 + O(x^{3/2+\varepsilon})$, where

$$W_2 = \sum'_{\substack{m_i \leq \sqrt{x} \\ i=1,2}} \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq q_i \leq \sqrt{x+m_i}, \\ q_1 \leq q_2}} \sum_{\substack{f < k \leq g \\ kq_1 \equiv m_2^2 - m_1^2 \pmod{q_2}}} 1,$$

$$f = f(x; m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) = \max\left(0, \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{q_1}, q_1 - 2m_1, \frac{(q_2 - m_2)^2 - m_1^2}{q_1}\right),$$

$$g = g(x; m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) = \min\left(\frac{x - m_1^2}{q_1}, q_1 + 2m_1, \frac{(q_2 + m_2)^2 - m_1^2}{q_1}\right)$$

and the prime sign means the summation over the set $\mathcal{A}(x)$ of quadruples (m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) of positive integers that satisfy the restrictions $1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x}$, $1 \leq q_i \leq \sqrt{x+m_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, $q_1 \leq q_2$, and, moreover, obey the inequality

$$f(x; m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) < g(x; m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2). \quad (11)$$

Obviously, the functions f and g can be written in the form

$$f = \frac{1}{q_1} (\max \{m_1^2, m_2^2, (q_1 - m_1)^2, (q_2 - m_2)^2\} - m_1^2),$$

$$g = \frac{1}{q_1} (\min \{x, (q_1 + m_1)^2, (q_2 + m_2)^2\} - m_1^2).$$

This means that the condition (11) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\max(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) < \min(g_1, g_2, g_3),$$

where

$$f_1 = m_1^2, \quad f_2 = m_2^2, \quad f_3 = (q_1 - m_1)^2, \quad f_4 = (q_2 - m_2)^2, \\ g_1 = x, \quad g_2 = (q_1 + m_1)^2, \quad g_3 = (q_2 + m_2)^2.$$

If the tuple (m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) belongs to $\mathcal{A}(x)$ then m_1, m_2 satisfy the condition $m_1^2 \equiv m_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}$, where $\Delta = (q_1, q_2)$. Setting $q_i = \Delta\kappa_i$, we get $1 \leq \kappa_i \leq (\sqrt{x} + m_i)/\Delta$, $i = 1, 2$, and $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1$. Therefore, the congruence (5) takes the form $k\Delta\kappa_1 \equiv \Delta\nu \pmod{\Delta\kappa_2}$, and has solutions

$$k \equiv \nu\bar{\kappa}_1 \pmod{\kappa_2}, \quad \text{where } \nu = \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{\Delta}, \quad \kappa_1\bar{\kappa}_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\kappa_2}.$$

Choosing \varkappa from the relations $\varkappa \equiv \nu\bar{\kappa}_1 \pmod{\kappa_2}$, $0 \leq \varkappa < \kappa_2$ and setting $k = \tau\kappa_2 + \varkappa$, we get $(f - \varkappa)/\kappa_2 < \tau \leq (g - \varkappa)/\kappa_2$. Thus the inner sum in W_2 becomes

$$\left[\frac{g - \varkappa}{\kappa_2} \right] - \left[\frac{f - \varkappa}{\kappa_2} \right].$$

Hence,

$$W_2 = \sum_{\Delta \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, i=1,2 \\ m_1^2 \equiv m_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}}} \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq \kappa_i \leq (\sqrt{x} + m_i)/\Delta, i=1,2 \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1, \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2}} \left(\left[\frac{g - \varkappa}{\kappa_2} \right] - \left[\frac{f - \varkappa}{\kappa_2} \right] \right).$$

Further, we split the domains of m_i , $i = 1, 2$, to arithmetic progressions modulo Δ as follows:

$$W_2 = \sum_{\Delta \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \xi_1, \xi_2 < \Delta \\ \xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}}} \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, \\ m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{\Delta}, i=1,2}} \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq \kappa_i \leq (\sqrt{x} + m_i)/\Delta, i=1,2 \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1, \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2}} \left(\left[\frac{g - \varkappa}{\kappa_2} \right] - \left[\frac{f - \varkappa}{\kappa_2} \right] \right).$$

Suppose now that $(\ln x)^4 \ll D < \sqrt[4]{x}$ (the precise value for D will be chosen later) and estimate the contribution coming to W_2 from the terms with $D < \Delta \leq 2\sqrt{x}$. In the case $g > f$ we obviously have

$$\frac{g - f}{\kappa_2} \leq \frac{g}{\kappa_2} \leq \frac{x - m_1^2}{q_1\kappa_2} < \frac{x}{\Delta\kappa_1\kappa_2}.$$

By Lemma 3, such a contribution does not exceed

$$x \sum_{D < \Delta \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \xi_1, \xi_2 < \Delta \\ \xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}}} \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, \\ m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{\Delta}, i=1,2}} \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq \kappa_i \leq 2\sqrt{x}/\Delta \\ i=1,2}} \frac{1}{\kappa_1\kappa_2} \ll x(\ln x)^2 \sum_{D < \Delta \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{\rho(\Delta)}{\Delta} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\Delta} \right)^2 \\ \ll x^2(\ln x)^2 \sum_{\Delta > D} \frac{\rho(\Delta)}{\Delta^3} \ll x^2(\ln x)^2 \sum_{\Delta > D} \frac{\tau(\Delta)}{\Delta^2} \ll \frac{x^2(\ln x)^3}{D}.$$

Next, suppose that $\ln x \ll C \leq \sqrt{x}/(2D)$ (the value of C will also be chosen later) and estimate the contribution coming to W_2 from the terms with $\kappa_1 \leq \sqrt{x}/(\Delta C)$.

In the case $g > f$ we have

$$\frac{g-f}{\kappa_2} \leq \frac{g}{\kappa_2} \leq \frac{q_1 + 2m_1}{\kappa_2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{x} + 3m_1}{\kappa_2} \leq \frac{4\sqrt{x}}{\kappa_2}.$$

Therefore, such contribution does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \xi_1, \xi_2 < \Delta \\ \xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, \\ m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{\Delta}, i=1,2}} \sum_{1 \leq \kappa_1 \leq 2\sqrt{x}/(C\Delta)} \sum_{1 \leq \kappa_2 \leq 2\sqrt{x}/\Delta} \frac{4\sqrt{x}}{\kappa_2} \ll \\ & \ll \frac{x \ln x}{C} \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{\substack{0 < \xi_1, \xi_2 \leq \Delta \\ \xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, \\ m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{\Delta}, i=1,2}} 1 \ll \frac{x \ln x}{C} \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \frac{\rho(\Delta)}{\Delta} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\Delta} \right)^2 \ll \frac{x^2 \ln x}{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $[w] - [v] = w - v + \varrho(w) - \varrho(v)$, we write W_2 as follows:

$$W_0 + V(g) - V(f) + O\left(\frac{x^2 \ln x}{C}\right) + O\left(\frac{x^2 (\ln x)^4}{D}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 &= \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \xi_1, \xi_2 < \Delta \\ \xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}}} \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, \\ m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{\Delta}, \\ i=1,2}} \sum'_{\substack{\frac{\sqrt{x}}{C\Delta} < \kappa_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{x} + m_i}{\Delta}, \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1, \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2}} \frac{g-f}{\kappa_2}, \\ V(\varphi) &= \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \xi_1, \xi_2 < \Delta \\ \xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}}} \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, \\ m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{\Delta}, \\ i=1,2}} \sum'_{\substack{\frac{\sqrt{x}}{C\Delta} < \kappa_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{x} + m_i}{\Delta}, \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1, \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2}} \varrho\left(\frac{\varphi - \nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(here $\varphi = f, g$). The sum W_0 contributes to the main term of W and the sums $V(f), V(g)$ give the remainder term in the asymptotic formula for W .

§4. Asymptotic formula for W_0 .

First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 &= \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \sum_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \sum'_{m_1, m_2} \sum'_{\substack{\frac{\sqrt{x}}{C\Delta} < \kappa_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{x} + m_i}{\Delta}, \\ i=1,2 \\ \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2}} \left(\sum_{\delta | (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)} \mu(\delta) \right) \frac{g-f}{\kappa_2} = \\ &= \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \sum_{1 \leq \delta \leq \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{\Delta}} \mu(\delta) \sum_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \sum'_{m_1, m_2} \sum'_{\substack{\frac{\sqrt{x}}{C\Delta} < \kappa_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{x} + m_i}{\Delta}, \\ \kappa_i \equiv 0 \pmod{\delta}, i=1,2 \\ \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2}} \frac{g-f}{\kappa_2}. \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, here and below we write ξ_1, ξ_2 instead of $0 \leq \xi_1, \xi_2 < \Delta$, $\xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}$, and write m_1, m_2 instead of $1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x}$, $m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{\Delta}$, $i = 1, 2$. Next, we estimate the contribution coming to W_0 from $\delta > D$. Putting $\kappa_i = \delta n_i$ and using the inequalities

$$\frac{g-f}{\kappa_2} \leq \frac{g}{\kappa_2} < \frac{x}{q_1 \kappa_2} = \frac{x}{\Delta \delta^2 n_1 n_2}, \quad (12)$$

we find that such contribution does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} x \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{D < \delta \leq \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{\Delta}} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \sum_{1 \leq n_i \leq \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{\Delta \delta}} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} &\ll \\ &\ll x (\ln x)^2 \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{D < \delta \leq \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{\Delta}} \frac{\rho(\Delta)}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\Delta} \right)^2 \ll x^2 (\ln x)^2 \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \frac{\tau(\Delta)}{\Delta^2} \sum_{\delta > D} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \ll \frac{x^2 (\ln x)^2}{D}. \end{aligned}$$

If the tuple $(m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) = (m_1, m_2, \Delta \delta n_1, \Delta \delta n_2)$ is not in $\mathcal{A}(x)$ then at least one of the conditions $g > f$, $f > 0$ fails. Hence, for such tuple we have $\max(0, g-f) = 0$. Otherwise, we obviously have $g-f = \max(0, g-f)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 &= \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \sum_{\delta \leq D} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta} \sum_{\xi_1, \xi_2} W_0(\Delta, \delta; \xi_1, \xi_2) + O\left(\frac{x^2 (\ln x)^2}{D}\right), \\ W_0(\Delta, \delta; \xi_1, \xi_2) &= \sum'_{m_1, m_2} \sum'_{\substack{\frac{\sqrt{x}}{C\Delta\delta} < n_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{x}+m_i}{\Delta\delta}, \\ n_1 \leq n_2, i=1,2}} \frac{\max(0, g-f)}{n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we turn back to the representation of f and g in the form $f = \max(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)$, $g = \max(g_1, g_2, g_3)$, and define $\mathcal{A}_{rs}(x)$ ($1 \leq r \leq 4$, $1 \leq s \leq 3$) as the subset of all quadruples $(m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) = (m_1, m_2, \Delta \delta n_1, \Delta \delta n_2)$ from $\mathcal{A}(x)$ such that $f = f_r$, $g = g_s$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{rs}(x; \Delta, \delta; \xi_1, \xi_2) = \mathcal{B}_{rs}$ be the set of all tuples from $\mathcal{A}_{rs}(x)$ that corresponds to given values Δ, δ, ξ_1 and ξ_2 . Consequently, the sum $W_0(\Delta, \delta; \xi_1, \xi_2)$ splits into the sums $W_{rs} = W_{rs}(\Delta, \delta; \xi_1, \xi_2)$ corresponding to the tuples from the set \mathcal{B}_{rs} . Finally, we put $m_i = \Delta \ell_i + \xi_i$.

Note that any set \mathcal{B}_{rs} is described by the system of inequalities which are linear with respect to variables m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2 (or ℓ_1, ℓ_2, n_1, n_2). Let us replace each sum W_{rs} by the multiple integral. For brevity, we denote the variables of integration by the same letters: ℓ_1, ℓ_2, n_1 and n_2 .

Suppose that W_{rs} has the form

$$\begin{aligned} W_{rs} &= \sum_{(\ell_1)} \sum_{(\ell_2)} \sum_{(n_1)} \sum_{(n_2)} \psi_1(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2), \quad \text{where} \\ \psi_1(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2) &= \frac{1}{n_2} (g_s(\Delta \ell_1 + \xi_1, \Delta \ell_2 + \xi_2, \Delta \delta n_1, \Delta \delta n_2) - \\ &\quad - f_r(\Delta \ell_1 + \xi_1, \Delta \ell_2 + \xi_2, \Delta \delta n_1, \Delta \delta n_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and $(\ell_1), (\ell_2), (n_1), (n_2)$ are the systems of linear inequalities that define the domains of corresponding variables. Explicit formulas for $f_r, g_s, 1 \leq r \leq 4, 1 \leq s \leq 3$, imply that ψ_1 has the form

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2)}{n_1 n_2}, \quad (13)$$

where \mathcal{P} is a polynomial of degree at most two. Changing the order of summation, one may write W_{rs} as follows:

$$W_{rs} = \sum_{(n_2)^*} \sum_{(n_1)^*} \sum_{(\ell_2)^*} \sum_{(\ell_1)^*} \psi_1(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2).$$

Here $(n_i)^*, (\ell_i)^*$ are linear systems of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_i < \ell_i \leq \mathcal{L}'_i, \quad \mathcal{N}_i < n_i \leq \mathcal{N}'_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}'_1$ are linear forms in variables ℓ_2, n_1, n_2 ; $\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}'_2$ are linear forms in variables n_1, n_2 ; $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}'_1$ are linear forms in variable n_2 , and $\mathcal{N}_2, \mathcal{N}'_2$ depends only on x . At the same time, for all values of variables under considering we have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{L}_i < \mathcal{L}'_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\Delta}, \quad \frac{\sqrt{x}}{C\Delta\delta} \leq \mathcal{N}_i < \mathcal{N}'_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\Delta\delta}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In view of (13), for any fixed triple n_2, n_1, ℓ_2 the function ψ_1 (as a function of ℓ_1) is a linear combination of piecewisely monotonic functions defined on $[\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}'_1]$. Obviously, both the number of terms in such linear combination and the number of segments of monotonicity of each term are bounded above by some absolute constant. The application of Lemma 1 together with (12) yields:

$$\sum_{(\ell_1)^*} \psi_1(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2) = \psi_2(\ell_2, n_1, n_2) + O\left(\frac{x}{\Delta\delta^2 n_1 n_2}\right),$$

$$\psi_2(\ell_2, n_1, n_2) = \int_{(\ell_1)^*} \psi_1(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2) d\ell_1. \quad (14)$$

The contribution coming to W_{rs} from the remainder term of (14) does not exceed

$$\frac{x}{\Delta\delta^2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n_i \leq \sqrt{x}/(\Delta\delta) \\ i=1,2}} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sum_{0 \leq \ell_2 \leq \sqrt{x}/\Delta} 1 \ll \frac{x^{3/2}(\ln x)^2}{\Delta^2 \delta^2}.$$

Thus we have

$$W_{rs} = \sum_{(n_2)^*} \sum_{(n_1)^*} \sum_{(\ell_2)^*} \psi_2(\ell_2, n_1, n_2) + O\left(\frac{x^{3/2}(\ln x)^2}{\Delta^2 \delta^2}\right).$$

Further, it follows from (13) that $\psi_2(\ell_2, n_1, n_2)$ has the form

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}(\ell_2, n_1, n_2)}{n_1 n_2}, \quad (15)$$

where \mathcal{Q} is a polynomial of degree at most three. Moreover, the inequality (12) implies that

$$\psi_2(\ell_2, n_1, n_2) \ll \int_{(\ell_1)^*} \frac{x d\ell_1}{\Delta \delta^2 n_1 n_2} \ll \frac{x^{3/2}}{\Delta^2 \delta^2 n_1 n_2}. \quad (16)$$

In view of (15), for any n_1, n_2 , the function ψ_2 (as a function of ℓ_2) is a linear combination of piecewisely monotonic functions defined on $[\mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}'_2]$. Both the number of terms in such linear combination and the number of segments of monotonicity of each term are bounded above by some absolute constant. Using Lemma 1 and (16) we get

$$\sum_{(\ell_2)^*} \psi_2(\ell_2, n_1, n_2) = \psi_3(n_1, n_2) + O\left(\frac{x^{3/2}}{\Delta^2 \delta^2 n_1 n_2}\right),$$

$$\psi_3(n_1, n_2) = \int_{(\ell_2)^*} \psi_2(\ell_2, n_1, n_2) d\ell_2 = \int_{(\ell_2)^*} \int_{(\ell_1)^*} \psi_1(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2) d\ell_1 d\ell_2. \quad (17)$$

The contribution coming to W_{rs} from O -term of (17) is less than

$$\frac{x^{3/2}}{\Delta^2 \delta^2} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, n_2 \leq \sqrt{x}/(\Delta \delta)} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \ll \frac{x^{3/2} (\ln x)^2}{\Delta^2 \delta^2}.$$

Hence,

$$W_{rs} = \sum_{(n_2)^*} \sum_{(n_1)^*} \psi_3(n_1, n_2) + O\left(\frac{x^{3/2} (\ln x)^2}{\Delta^2 \delta^2}\right).$$

From (15), it follows that $\psi_3(n_1, n_2)$ has the form

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}(n_1, n_2)}{n_1 n_2}, \quad (18)$$

where \mathcal{R} is a polynomial of degree at most four. The inequality (16) implies that

$$\psi_3(n_1, n_2) \ll \int_{(\ell_2)^*} \psi_2(\ell_2, n_1, n_2) d\ell_2 \ll \frac{x^2}{\Delta^3 \delta^2 n_1 n_2}. \quad (19)$$

Since $\sqrt{x}/(C\Delta\delta) \leq n_1 \leq \sqrt{x}/(\Delta\delta)$ then

$$\psi_3(n_1, n_2) \ll \frac{Cx^{3/2}}{\Delta^2 \delta n_2} \quad (20)$$

on the segment $[\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}'_1]$. Applying Lemma 1 to the sum over n_1 we find that

$$\sum_{(n_1)^*} \psi_3(n_1, n_2) = \psi_4(n_2) + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2}}{\Delta^2 \delta n_2}\right), \quad \text{where}$$

$$\psi_4(n_2) = \int_{(n_1)^*} \psi_3(n_1, n_2) dn_1 = \int_{(n_1)^*} \int_{(\ell_2)^*} \int_{(\ell_1)^*} \psi_1(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2) d\ell_1 d\ell_2 dn_1. \quad (21)$$

The contribution coming to W_{rs} from O -term of (21) does not exceed in order

$$\frac{Cx^{3/2}}{\Delta^2\delta} \sum_{1 \leq n_2 \leq \sqrt{x}/(\Delta\delta)} \frac{1}{n_2} \ll \frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2\delta}.$$

Therefore,

$$W_{rs} = \sum_{(n_2)^*} \psi_4(n_2) + O\left(\frac{x^{3/2}(\ln x)^2}{\Delta^2\delta^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2\delta}\right) = \sum_{(n_2)^*} \psi_4(n_2) + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2\delta}\right).$$

Moreover, the estimate (20) implies that

$$\psi_4(n_2) \ll \int_{(n_1)^*} \frac{x^2}{\Delta^3\delta^2} \frac{dn_1}{n_1 n_2} \ll \frac{x^2 \ln x}{\Delta^3\delta^2 n_2} \ll \frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2\delta}.$$

Finally, in view of (18), $\psi_4(n_2)$ has the form

$$\frac{1}{n_2} (\mathcal{S}_1(n_1) + \mathcal{S}_2(n_2) \ln \mathcal{N}), \quad (22)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_j(n_2)$ are polynomials of degree at most three and \mathcal{N} is one of the forms $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_1'$. Thus, ψ_4 is a linear combination of of piecewisely monotonic functions defined on $[\mathcal{N}_2, \mathcal{N}_2']$. Both the number of terms in such linear combination and the number of segments of monotonicity of each term are bounded above by some absolute constant. By Lemma 1 and (22), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(n_2)^*} \psi_4(n_2) &= \int_{(n_2)^*} \psi_4(n_2) dn_2 + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2\delta}\right), \\ W_{rs} &= \int_{(n_2)^*} \psi_4(n_2) dn_2 + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2\delta}\right) = \\ &= \int_{(n_2)^*} \int_{(n_1)^*} \int_{(\ell_2)^*} \int_{(\ell_1)^*} \psi_1(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2) d\ell_1 d\ell_2 dn_1 dn_2 + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2\delta}\right) = \\ &= \int_{(\ell_1)} \int_{(\ell_2)} \int_{(n_1)} \int_{(n_2)} \psi_1(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2) d\ell_1 d\ell_2 dn_1 dn_2 + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2\delta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is expressed as follows:

$$\int_{(\ell_1)} \int_{(\ell_2)} \int_{(n_1)} \int_{(n_2)} \chi_{rs} \frac{g_s - f_r}{n_2} dn_2 dn_1 d\ell_2 d\ell_1 = \iiint\limits_{\Omega} \frac{\chi_{rs}}{n_2} \max(0, g - f) dn_2 dn_1 d\ell_2 d\ell_1,$$

where $\chi_{rs} = \chi_{rs}(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2)$ is the characteristic function of the set of points $(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4$ satisfying the conditions $f = f_r, g = g_s, f_r < g_s$, and $\Omega = \Omega(\Delta, \delta; \xi_1, \xi_2)$ denotes the set of points $(\ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4$ obeying the following set of conditions:

$$\begin{cases} 0 < m_i \leq \sqrt{x}, & m_i = \Delta\ell_i + \xi_i, & i = 1, 2, \\ \frac{\sqrt{x}}{C\Delta\delta} < n_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{x} + m_i}{\Delta\delta}, & i = 1, 2, \\ n_1 \leq n_2. \end{cases}$$

The summation over all pairs r, s yields:

$$W_0(\Delta, \delta; \xi_1, \xi_2) = I_0 + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2 \delta}\right), \quad I_0 = \iiint_{\Omega} \frac{\max(0, g - f)}{n_2} dn_2 dn_1 d\ell_2 d\ell_1.$$

The change of variables $m_i = \Delta \ell_i + \xi_i, q_i = \Delta \delta n_i$ reduces this integral to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Delta \delta}{\Delta^4 \delta^2} \int_0^{\sqrt{x}} dm_1 \int_0^{\sqrt{x}} dm_2 \iint_{\substack{\sqrt{x}/C < q_i \leq \sqrt{x} + m_i, i=1,2 \\ q_1 \leq q_2}} \psi(m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) dq_1 dq_2, \\ & \psi(m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) = \\ & = \frac{1}{q_1 q_2} \max\left(0, \min\{x, (q_1 + m_1)^2, (q_2 + m_2)^2\} - \max\{m_1^2, m_2^2, (q_1 - m_1)^2, (q_2 - m_2)^2\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $m_i = u_i \sqrt{x}, q_i = v_i \sqrt{x}, i = 1, 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \frac{x^2}{2\Delta^3 \delta} \mathfrak{S}\left(\frac{1}{C}\right), \quad \mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon) = 2 \int_0^1 du_1 \int_0^1 du_2 \iint_{\substack{\varepsilon \leq v_i \leq 1+u_i, i=1,2 \\ v_1 \leq v_2}} \varphi(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) dv_1 dv_2 = \\ &= \int_0^1 du_1 \int_0^1 du_2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1+u_1} dv_1 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1+u_2} \varphi(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) dv_2, \\ & \varphi(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{v_1 v_2} \max\left\{0, \min\left(1, (u_1 + v_1)^2, (u_2 + v_2)^2\right) - \max\left(u_1^2, u_2^2, (u_1 - v_1)^2, (u_2 - v_2)^2\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can check (see §6) that

$$\mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon) = \mathfrak{S}(0) + O\left(\varepsilon \ln^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Setting $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}(0)$, we find

$$I_0 = \frac{x^2}{\Delta^3 \delta} \left(\mathfrak{S} + O\left(\frac{(\ln C)^2}{C}\right) \right), \quad W_0(\Delta, \delta; \xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{x^2 \mathfrak{S}}{\Delta^3 \delta} + O\left(\frac{x^2 (\ln x)^2}{C \Delta^3 \delta}\right) + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2 \delta}\right).$$

The summation over ξ_1, ξ_2, δ and Δ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 &= 2 \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \sum_{\delta \leq D} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta} \rho(\Delta) \left\{ \frac{x^2 \mathfrak{S}}{2\Delta^3 \delta} + O\left(\frac{x^2 (\ln x)^2}{C \Delta^3 \delta}\right) + O\left(\frac{Cx^{3/2} \ln x}{\Delta^2 \delta}\right) \right\} + O\left(\frac{x^2 (\ln x)^2}{D}\right) = \\ &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(\sum_{\Delta=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\Delta)}{\Delta^3} \right) \mathfrak{S} x^2 + O\left(\frac{x^2 (\ln x)^2}{D}\right) + O\left(\frac{x^2 (\ln x)^2}{C}\right) + O(Cx^{3/2} (\ln x)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $C = D = \sqrt[4]{x}/2$ and using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$W_0 = c_0 x^2 + O(x^{7/4} (\ln x)^2), \quad c_0 = \frac{13}{14} \frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(3)} \mathfrak{S}.$$

§5. Estimates of the sums $V(f), V(g)$.

Obviously, the contribution coming to $V(\varphi)$ from the pairs $m_1 = m_2$ is less than

$$\sum_{1 \leq \Delta \leq D} \sum_{\xi_1=1}^{\Delta} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_1 \leq \sqrt{x} \\ m_1 \equiv \xi_1 \pmod{\Delta}}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\Delta} \right)^2 \ll \sum_{1 \leq \Delta \leq D} \Delta \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\Delta} \right)^3 \ll x^{3/2}.$$

So, in what follows, we assume that $m_1 \neq m_2$.

Thus we write $V(\varphi)$ in the form

$$\sum_{1 \leq \Delta \leq D} \sum_{\substack{0 < \xi_1, \xi_2 \leq \Delta \\ \xi_1^2 \equiv \xi_2^2 \pmod{\Delta}}} V(\varphi, \Delta; \xi_1, \xi_2) + O(x^{3/2}),$$

where

$$V(\varphi, \Delta; \xi_1, \xi_2) = \sum'_{\substack{1 \leq m_i \leq \sqrt{x} \\ m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{\Delta} \\ i=1,2}} V(\varphi, \Delta; \xi_1, \xi_2; m_1, m_2),$$

$$V(\varphi, \Delta; \xi_1, \xi_2; m_1, m_2) = \sum'_{\substack{\frac{\sqrt{x}}{C\Delta} < \kappa_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\Delta}, i=1,2 \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)=1, \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2}} \varrho \left(\frac{\varphi - \nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right).$$

Suppose now that $H > 1$ is integer whose precise value will be chosen later, and denote by $U^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)}$ the contributions coming to the last sum from the terms ϱ_H and r_H of Lemma 2. Arguing as above, we split $U^{(j)}$ to the sums $U_{rs}^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2$, where the indexes r, s mean that the corresponding tuples $(m_1, m_2, q_1, q_2) = (m_1, m_2, \Delta\kappa_1, \Delta\kappa_2)$ belong to the set $\mathcal{A}_{rs}(x)$. Then, for fixed r, s we write

$$U_{rs}^{(1)} = \sum_{(\kappa_1)} \sum_{(\kappa_2)} \varrho_H \left(\frac{\varphi - \nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right),$$

where the symbols (κ_1) and (κ_2) mean the domains of the type $\sigma_1 < \kappa_1 \leq \tau_1$, $\sigma_2 < \kappa_2 \leq \tau_2$, $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1$ and

$\sigma_1 = \sigma_1(x; m_1, m_2)$, $\tau_1 = \tau_1(x; m_1, m_2)$, $\sigma_2 = \sigma_2(x; m_1, m_2, \kappa_1)$, $\tau_2 = \tau_2(x; m_1, m_2, \kappa_1)$ are linear functions of m_1, m_2, κ_1 . The restrictions imposed on κ_i imply the inequalities $E < \kappa_i \leq K$, where

$$E = \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2C\Delta} \right\rfloor, \quad K = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\Delta} \right\rfloor + 3.$$

Further, using Lemma 2 we have

$$U_{rs}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{0 < |h| \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{(\kappa_1)} \sum_{(\kappa_2)} e \left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum'_{L \leq H/2} \frac{U_{rs}^{(1)}(L)}{L},$$

$$U_{rs}^{(1)}(L) = \sum_{L < |h| \leq 2L} \gamma(h) \sum_{(\kappa_1)} \sum_{(\kappa_2)} e \left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right), \quad \gamma(h) = \frac{L}{h}.$$

Next, we transform the domain (κ_2) to make the bounds for κ_2 independent of κ_1 . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\kappa_2)} e\left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu\bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2}\right) &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma_2 < \kappa_2 \leq \tau_2 \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)=1}} e\left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu\bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{E < \kappa_2 \leq K \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)=1}} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{|a| < K/2} \sum_{\sigma_2 < \lambda \leq \tau_2} e\left(\frac{a}{K}(\kappa_2 - \lambda)\right) e\left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu\bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{|a| < K/2} \frac{1}{|a| + 1} \sum_{\substack{E < \kappa_2 \leq K \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)=1}} \alpha(\kappa_2)\beta(\kappa_1) e\left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu\bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha(\kappa_2) = e\left(\frac{a\kappa_2}{K}\right), \quad \beta(\kappa_1) = \beta_a(\kappa_1) = \frac{|a| + 1}{K} \sum_{\sigma_2 < \lambda \leq \tau_2} e\left(-\frac{a\lambda}{K}\right).$$

It is easy to check that $|\beta_a(\kappa_1)| \leq 1$ for any a . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{rs}^{(1)}(L) &= \sum_{|a| < K/2} \frac{U_{rs}^{(1)}(L; a)}{|a| + 1}, \quad \text{where} \\ U_{rs}^{(1)}(L; a) &= \sum_{L < |h| \leq 2L} \gamma(h) \sum_{(\kappa_1)} \beta(\kappa_1) \sum_{\substack{E < \kappa_2 \leq K \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)=1}} \alpha(\kappa_2) e\left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu\bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{L < |h| \leq 2L} \sum_{\sigma_1 < \kappa_1 \leq \tau_1} \sum_{\substack{E < \kappa_2 \leq K \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)=1}} \alpha(\kappa_2)\beta(\kappa_1)\gamma(h) e\left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu\bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we split the domains of κ_1 and κ_2 into the segments of the type $M < \kappa_1 \leq M_1$, $N < \kappa_2 \leq N_1$, where $M_1 = 2M$ for all cases except at most one, where $M_1 < 2M$ (similarly for N, N_1).

Limiting ourselves by the case of positive h and taking the conjugation we lead to the sums

$$U(L, M, N) = \sum_{L < h \leq 2L} \sum_{M < \kappa_1 \leq M_1} \sum_{\substack{N < \kappa_2 \leq N_1 \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)=1}} \alpha(\kappa_2)\beta(\kappa_1)\gamma(h) e\left(\frac{\nu h \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} + \mathcal{F}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)\right),$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = -h\varphi/\kappa_2$. Now we have to estimate the derivatives $\partial\mathcal{F}/\partial\kappa_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus, in the case

$$\varphi = f_3 = \frac{(q_2 - m_2)^2 - m_1^2}{q_1} = \frac{(\Delta\kappa_2 - m_2)^2 - m_1^2}{\Delta\kappa_1}$$

we find

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{h(m_1^2 - (\Delta\kappa_2 - m_2)^2)}{\Delta\kappa_1\kappa_2}, \quad \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}}{\partial\kappa_1} = \frac{h((\Delta\kappa_2 - m_2)^2 - m_1^2)}{\Delta\kappa_1^2\kappa_2}, \quad \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}}{\partial\kappa_2} = \frac{h(m_2^2 - m_1^2 - (\Delta\kappa_2)^2)}{\Delta\kappa_1\kappa_2^2}$$

and therefore

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \kappa_1} \right| \leq \frac{h(\sqrt{x})^2}{\Delta \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2} \leq \frac{2xL}{\Delta \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2}, \quad \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \kappa_2} \right| \leq \frac{h((\sqrt{x})^2 + (2\sqrt{x})^2)}{\Delta \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2} \leq \frac{10xL}{\Delta \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2}.$$

Thus, the inequalities (4) hold with $X \ll xL/\Delta$. The same estimates are valid in all other cases. Setting $\vartheta = \nu = (m_2^2 - m_1^2)/\Delta$ in Lemma 4, we conclude that

$$\frac{|\vartheta|L + X}{MN} \ll \frac{xL}{\Delta MN}, \quad T = \left(1 + \frac{|\vartheta|L + X}{MN}\right)^{1/2} \ll 1 + \sqrt{\frac{xL}{\Delta MN}}.$$

Next, setting $a_m \mapsto \alpha(\kappa_1)$, $b_n \mapsto \beta(\kappa_2)$, $c_\ell \mapsto \gamma(h)$, we get $\|\mathbf{a}\| \ll \sqrt{M}$, $\|\mathbf{b}\| \ll \sqrt{N}$, $\|\mathbf{c}\| \ll \sqrt{L}$. Hence, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} U(L, M, N) &\ll (LMN)^{\varepsilon/4} (LMN)^{1/2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{xL}{\Delta MN}}\right) \times \\ &\quad \times \{(LMN)^{7/20} (M+N)^{1/4} + (LMN)^{3/8} (M+N)^{1/8} L^{1/8}\} \ll \\ &\ll x^{3\varepsilon/4} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{xL}{\Delta MN}}\right) \{(LMN)^{17/20} (M+N)^{1/4} + (LMN)^{7/8} (M+N)^{1/8} L^{1/8}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we set $M = K \cdot 2^{-\alpha}$, $N = K \cdot 2^{-\beta}$, where $1 \leq 2^\alpha, 2^\beta \ll C$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{xL}{\Delta MN} &\ll \frac{xL}{\Delta} \cdot \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta}}{K^2} \ll \frac{xL}{\Delta} \cdot \frac{\Delta^2}{x} 2^{\alpha+\beta} \ll \Delta L \cdot 2^{\alpha+\beta}, \\ 1 + \sqrt{\frac{xL}{\Delta MN}} &\ll \sqrt{\Delta L} \cdot 2^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}, \quad LMN \ll \frac{xL}{\Delta^2} \cdot 2^{-(\alpha+\beta)}, \\ (LMN)^{17/20} (M+N)^{1/4} &\ll \left(\frac{x}{\Delta^2}\right)^{39/40} L^{17/20} (2^{-11\alpha/10-17\beta/20} + 2^{-17\alpha/20-11\beta/10}), \\ (LMN)^{7/8} (M+N)^{1/8} L^{1/8} &\ll \left(\frac{x}{\Delta^2}\right)^{15/16} L (2^{-\alpha-7\beta/8} + 2^{-7\alpha/8-\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} U(L, M, N) &\ll x^{3\varepsilon/4} \sqrt{\Delta L} \left\{ \left(\frac{x}{\Delta^2}\right)^{39/40} L^{17/20} (2^{-3\alpha/5-7\beta/20} + 2^{-7\alpha/20-3\beta/5}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{x}{\Delta^2}\right)^{15/16} L (2^{-\alpha/2-3\beta/8} + 2^{-3\alpha/8-\beta/2}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The summation over all α, β yields:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{rs}^{(1)}(L, a) &\ll x^{3\varepsilon/4} \left\{ \frac{x^{39/40}}{\Delta^{29/20}} L^{27/20} + \frac{x^{15/16}}{\Delta^{11/8}} L^{3/2} \right\}, \\ U_{rs}^{(1)}(L) &\ll x^{4\varepsilon/5} \left\{ \frac{x^{39/40}}{\Delta^{29/20}} L^{27/20} + \frac{x^{15/16}}{\Delta^{11/8}} L^{3/2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, taking $L = H \cdot 2^{-\gamma}$ we obtain

$$U_{rs}^{(1)} \ll \sum_{\gamma} \frac{|U_{rs}^{(1)}(L)|}{L} \ll x^{5\epsilon/6} \left\{ \frac{x^{39/40}}{\Delta^{29/20}} H^{7/20} + \frac{x^{15/16}}{\Delta^{11/8}} H^{1/2} \right\}.$$

Finally, summing over all pairs r, s we find that

$$U^{(1)} \ll x^{5\epsilon/6} \left\{ \frac{x^{39/40}}{\Delta^{29/20}} H^{7/20} + \frac{x^{15/16}}{\Delta^{11/8}} H^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Now we estimate the sum $U^{(2)}$. By Lemma 2, for any r, s and for some absolute constant $c > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{rs}^{(2)}| &= \left| \sum_{(\kappa_1)} \sum_{(\kappa_2)} r_H \left(\frac{\varphi - \nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right) \right| \leq c \sum_{(\kappa_1)} \sum_{(\kappa_2)} \vartheta_H \left(\frac{\varphi - \nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right) \leq \\ &\leq c \sum_{\substack{E < \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \leq K \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1}} \vartheta_H \left(\frac{\varphi - \nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right) = c \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} C(h) \sum_{\substack{E < \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \leq K \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1}} e \left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $P > 2H$ be an integer. The the terms with $|h| > P$ yields trivially

$$c \sum_{|h| > P} |C(h)| K^2 \ll K^2 \sum_{h > P} \frac{H}{h^2} \ll \frac{HK^2}{P} \ll \frac{xH}{\Delta^2 P}.$$

Further, the term with $h = 0$ contributes at most

$$c|C(0)|K^2 \ll \frac{K^2 \ln H}{H} \ll \frac{x \ln x}{\Delta^2 H}.$$

Now it remains to consider the contribution coming from $0 < |h| \leq P$. To do this, we split the segment $1 \leq h \leq P$ into the segments of the type $L < h \leq L_1$, $L_1 \leq 2L$. Thus we find:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{rs}(L) &= \sum_{L < h \leq L_1} C(h) \sum_{\substack{E < \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \leq K \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1}} e \left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{\ln H}{H} \sum_{L < h \leq L_1} \sum_{\substack{E < \kappa_1 \leq K \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1}} \sum_{E < \kappa_2 \leq K} \gamma(h) e \left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu \bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(h) = HC(h)/\ln H \ll 1$ and $\|\gamma\| \ll \sqrt{L}$. The estimation of the last sum follows the same arguments as above. Thus we obtain

$$U_{rs}(L) \ll \frac{\ln H}{H} \cdot x^{4\epsilon/5} \left\{ \frac{x^{39/40}}{\Delta^{29/20}} L^{27/20} + \frac{x^{15/16}}{\Delta^{11/8}} L^{3/2} \right\}.$$

Taking $L = P \cdot 2^{-\alpha}$ and summing over α we find:

$$\sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq P} C(h) \sum_{\substack{E < \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \leq K \\ (\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = 1}} e\left(\frac{h\varphi - h\nu\bar{\kappa}_1}{\kappa_2}\right) \ll \frac{x^\varepsilon}{H} \left\{ \frac{x^{39/40}}{\Delta^{29/20}} P^{27/20} + \frac{x^{15/16}}{\Delta^{11/8}} P^{3/2} \right\}.$$

Hence,

$$U_{rs}^{(2)} \ll x^\varepsilon \left\{ \frac{x^{39/40}}{\Delta^{29/20}} \cdot \frac{P^{27/20}}{H} + \frac{x^{15/16}}{\Delta^{11/8}} \cdot \frac{P^{3/2}}{H} + \frac{xH}{\Delta^2 P} + \frac{x}{\Delta^2 H} \right\}.$$

Summing this inequality over all pairs r, s and using (23), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\varphi; \Delta, \xi_1, \xi_2; m_1, m_2) &= \\ &= U^{(1)} + U^{(2)} \ll x^\varepsilon \left\{ \frac{x^{39/40}}{\Delta^{29/20}} \left(H^{7/20} + \frac{P^{27/20}}{H} \right) + \frac{x^{15/16}}{\Delta^{11/8}} \left(H^{1/2} + \frac{P^{3/2}}{H} \right) + \frac{xH}{\Delta^2 P} + \frac{x}{\Delta^2 H} \right\} \ll \\ &\ll x^\varepsilon \left\{ \frac{x^{39/40}}{\Delta^{29/20}} \cdot \frac{P^{27/20}}{H} + \frac{x^{15/16}}{\Delta^{11/8}} \cdot \frac{P^{3/2}}{H} + \frac{xH}{\Delta^2 P} + \frac{x}{\Delta^2 H} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The summation over $m_i \equiv \xi_i \pmod{\Delta}$, $i = 1, 2$ will multiple the above bound to the factor $(\sqrt{x}/\Delta)^2 = x/\Delta^2$:

$$V(\varphi; \Delta, \xi_1, \xi_2) \ll x^{2+\varepsilon} \left\{ \frac{x^{-1/40}}{\Delta^{69/20}} \cdot \frac{P^{27/20}}{H} + \frac{x^{-1/16}}{\Delta^{27/8}} \cdot \frac{P^{3/2}}{H} + \frac{H}{\Delta^4 P} + \frac{1}{\Delta^4 H} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} V(\varphi) &\ll x^{2+\varepsilon} \sum_{\Delta \leq D} \rho(\Delta) \left\{ \frac{x^{-1/40}}{\Delta^{69/20}} \cdot \frac{P^{27/20}}{H} + \frac{x^{-1/16}}{\Delta^{27/8}} \cdot \frac{P^{3/2}}{H} + \frac{H}{\Delta^4 P} + \frac{1}{\Delta^4 H} \right\} \ll \\ &\ll x^{2+\varepsilon} \left\{ \frac{x^{-1/40} P^{27/20}}{H} + \frac{x^{-1/16} P^{3/2}}{H} + \frac{H}{P} + \frac{1}{H} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $H = [x^{1/108}]$, $P = H^2$ we finally get

$$V(\varphi) \ll x^{2+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{H} + Hx^{-1/54} \right) \ll x^{2-1/108+\varepsilon}.$$

Theorem is proved.

§6. Multiple integral $\mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon)$.

In this section, we consider the integral $\mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon)$ defined in §4, that is,

$$\mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon) = \int_0^1 du_1 \int_0^1 du_2 \int_\varepsilon^{1+u_1} dv_1 \int_\varepsilon^{1+u_2} \varphi(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) dv_2,$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) &= \frac{\max(0, g - f)}{v_1 v_2}, \quad g = \min(g_1, g_2, g_3), \quad f = \max(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4), \\ f_1 &= u_1^2, \quad f_2 = u_2^2, \quad f_3 = (u_1 - v_1)^2, \quad f_4 = (u_2 - v_2)^2, \\ g_1 &= 1, \quad g_2 = (u_1 + v_1)^2, \quad g_3 = (u_2 + v_2)^2\end{aligned}$$

(in §3, we have already used the notations f_r, g_s in other sense, but this will not lead us to misunderstandings). Denote by ω the set of points (u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) satisfying the conditions $0 \leq u_i \leq 1$, $0 < v_i \leq 1 + u_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Let us split ω to the subsets $\omega_{r,s}$ defined by the conditions $f = f_r$, $g = g_s$, $f_r < g_s$. Finally, denote by $\omega_{r,s}(\varepsilon)$ the set of points of $\omega_{r,s}$ with additional conditions $v_i > \varepsilon$, $i = 1, 2$.

Consequently, for any $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ we get

$$\mathfrak{S}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{r,s} \int_{\omega_{r,s}(\varepsilon)} \varphi(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2 = \sum_{r,s} \mathfrak{S}_{r,s}(\varepsilon).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\mathfrak{S}_{r,s}(\varepsilon) = \mathfrak{S}_{r,s}(0) + O\left(\varepsilon \ln^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad (24)$$

for any pair r, s . We limit ourselves by the case $r = s = 2$ since the arguments in all other cases follow the same lines.

One can check that $\omega_{2,2}$ is defined by the following set of inequalities:

$$0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2 \leq 1, \quad u_2 - u_1 \leq v_1 \leq \min(1 - u_1, u_2 + u_1), \quad v_1 - (u_2 - u_1) \leq v_2 \leq 2u_2$$

and, hence, $\omega_{2,2}$ splits into three subdomains ϖ_1, ϖ_2 and ϖ_3 defined by the systems

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ u_2 - u_1 \leq v_1 \leq u_2 + u_1, \\ v_1 - (u_2 - u_1) \leq v_2 \leq 2u_2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} < u_2 \leq 1, \\ 0 \leq u_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_2), \\ u_2 - u_1 \leq v_1 \leq u_2 + u_1, \\ v_1 - (u_2 - u_1) \leq v_2 \leq 2u_2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} < u_2 \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 - u_2) < u_1 \leq u_2, \\ u_2 - u_1 \leq v_1 \leq 1 - u_1, \\ v_1 - (u_2 - u_1) \leq v_2 \leq 2u_2. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\varpi_j(\varepsilon)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) the set of points of ϖ_j with additional condition $v_i > \varepsilon$ and denote by $J_1(\varepsilon)$ the integral

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\varpi_1(\varepsilon)} \varphi du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2 &= \\ &= \int_0^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2} du_1 \int_{\max(u_2 - u_1, \varepsilon)}^{u_2 + u_1} dv_1 \int_{\max(v_1 - (u_2 - u_1), \varepsilon)}^{2u_2} \varphi du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2, \\ \varphi &= \varphi(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) = \frac{g_2 - f_2}{v_1 v_2} = \frac{(u_1 + v_1)^2 - u_2^2}{v_1 v_2}. \quad (25)\end{aligned}$$

From (25), it follows that $2u_2 \geq \varepsilon$ for any point of $\varpi_1(\varepsilon)$. The contribution $j_1(\varepsilon)$ coming to $J_1(\varepsilon)$ from the subdomain with $\varepsilon/2 \leq u_2 \leq 2\varepsilon$, is less than

$$\int_{\varepsilon/2}^{2\varepsilon} du_2 \int_0^{2\varepsilon} du_1 \int_{\varepsilon}^{4\varepsilon} \frac{dv_1}{v_1} \int_{\varepsilon}^{4\varepsilon} \frac{(2\varepsilon + 4\varepsilon)^2}{v_2} dv_2 \ll \varepsilon^4.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\varepsilon) &= \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2} du_1 \int_{\max(u_2-u_1, \varepsilon)}^{u_2+u_1} dv_1 \int_{\max(v_1-(u_2-u_1), \varepsilon)}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 + O(\varepsilon^4) = \\ &= \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2-\varepsilon} du_1 \int_{u_2-u_1}^{u_2+u_1} dv_1 \int_{\max(v_1-(u_2-u_1), \varepsilon)}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 + \\ &+ \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_{u_2-\varepsilon}^{u_2} du_1 \int_{\varepsilon}^{u_2+u_1} dv_1 \int_{\max(v_1-(u_2-u_1), \varepsilon)}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 + O(\varepsilon^4) = \\ &= J_2(\varepsilon) + J_3(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^4). \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$0 \leq J_3(\varepsilon) \leq \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_{u_2-\varepsilon}^{u_2} du_1 \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{dv_1}{v_1} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{dv_2}{v_2} \ll \varepsilon \ln^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

Next, splitting the integral $J_2(\varepsilon)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(\varepsilon) &= \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2-\varepsilon} du_1 \int_{u_2-u_1+\varepsilon}^{u_2+u_1} dv_1 \int_{v_1-(u_2-u_1)}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 + \\ &+ \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2-\varepsilon} du_1 \int_{u_2-u_1}^{u_2-u_1+\varepsilon} dv_1 \int_{\varepsilon}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 = J_4(\varepsilon) + J_5(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq J_5(\varepsilon) &\leq \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2-\varepsilon} du_1 \int_{u_2-u_1}^{u_2-u_1+\varepsilon} \frac{dv_1}{v_1} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{dv_2}{v_2} = \\ &= \left(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2-\varepsilon} \ln \left(\frac{u_2 - u_1 + \varepsilon}{u_2 - u_1} \right) du_1 = \left(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{u_2} \ln \left(\frac{w + \varepsilon}{w} \right) dw \leq \\ &\leq \left(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{u_2} \frac{\varepsilon dw}{w} \leq \varepsilon \left(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \ll \varepsilon \left(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we replace the lower bound $u_2 - u_1 + \varepsilon$ for v_1 in $J_4(\varepsilon)$ to $u_2 - u_1$. Thus we find

$$\begin{aligned} J_4(\varepsilon) &= \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2-\varepsilon} du_1 \int_{u_2-u_1}^{u_2+u_1} dv_1 \int_{v_1-(u_2-u_1)}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 - \\ &- \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2-\varepsilon} du_1 \int_{u_2-u_1}^{u_2-u_1+\varepsilon} dv_1 \int_{v_1-(u_2-u_1)}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 = J_6(\varepsilon) - J_7(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $w = u_2 - u_1$, $v = v_1 - (u_2 - u_1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq J_7(\varepsilon) &\leq \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{u_2} dw \int_w^{w+\varepsilon} \frac{dv_1}{v_1} \int_{v_1-w}^{2/3} \frac{dv_2}{v_2} \leq \\
&\leq \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1/3} dw \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{dv}{v+w} \int_v^{2/3+w} \frac{dv_2}{v_2} \leq \\
&\leq \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1/3} \frac{dw}{w} \int_0^{\varepsilon} dv \int_v^1 \frac{dv_2}{v_2} \leq \int_0^1 du_2 \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{dw}{w} \int_0^{\varepsilon} \left(\ln \frac{1}{v} \right) dv \ll \varepsilon \left(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we replace the upper bound $u_2 - \varepsilon$ for u_1 in $J_6(\varepsilon)$ to u_2 . The error does not exceed

$$J_8(\varepsilon) = \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_{u_2-\varepsilon}^{u_2} du_1 \int_{u_2-u_1}^{u_2+u_1} dv_1 \int_{v_1-(u_2-u_1)}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2.$$

Setting $w = u_2 - u_1$, $v = v_1 - (u_2 - u_1)$ we find that

$$J_8(\varepsilon) = \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{\varepsilon} dw \int_w^{2u_2-w} dv_1 \int_{v_1-w}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 = \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{\varepsilon} dw \int_0^{2(u_2-w)} dv \int_v^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2.$$

At the same time,

$$\varphi = \frac{(u_1 + v_1)^2 - u_2^2}{v_1 v_2} = \frac{(u_1 + v_1 - u_2)(u_1 + v_1 + u_2)}{v_1 v_2} = \frac{v(v + 2u_2)}{v_2(v + w)} \leq \frac{4u_2 v}{v_2(v + w)} \leq \frac{4u_2}{v_2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_8(\varepsilon) &\leq 4 \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} u_2 du_2 \int_0^{\varepsilon} dw \int_0^{2u_2} dv \int_v^{2u_2} \frac{dv_2}{v_2} \leq 4\varepsilon \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} u_2 du_2 \int_0^{2u_2} \ln \frac{2u_2}{v} dv \leq \\
&\leq 8\varepsilon \int_0^{1/3} u_2^2 du_2 \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\ln t}{t^2} dt \ll \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $J_6(\varepsilon) = J_9(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon)$, where

$$J_9(\varepsilon) = \int_{2\varepsilon}^{1/3} du_2 \int_0^{u_2} du_1 \int_{u_2-u_1}^{u_2+u_1} dv_1 \int_{v_1-(u_2-u_1)}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2.$$

Finally, the error coming to $J_9(\varepsilon)$ from the replacement the lower bound ε for u_2 by zero is estimated as follows ($w = u_2 - u_1$, $v = v_1 - (u_2 - u_1)$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{2\varepsilon} du_2 \int_0^{u_2} du_1 \int_{u_2-u_1}^{u_2+u_1} dv_1 \int_{v_1-(u_2-u_1)}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 = \\
&= \int_0^{2\varepsilon} du_2 \int_0^{u_2} dw \int_w^{2u_2-w} dv_1 \int_{v_1-w}^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 = \int_0^{2\varepsilon} du_2 \int_0^{u_2} dw \int_0^{2(u_2-w)} dv \int_v^{2u_2} \varphi dv_2 \leq \\
&\leq \int_0^{2\varepsilon} du_2 \int_0^{u_2} dw \int_0^{2u_2} dv \int_v^{2u_2} \frac{4u_2}{v_2} dv_2 \leq 4 \int_0^{2\varepsilon} u_2 du_2 \int_0^{u_2} dw \int_0^{2u_2} \ln \frac{2u_2}{v} dv \leq \\
&\leq 8 \int_0^{2\varepsilon} u_2^2 du_2 \int_0^{u_2} dw \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\ln t}{t^2} dt \ll \varepsilon^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we find that

$$J_1(\varepsilon) = J_1(0) + O\left(\varepsilon \ln^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

The integrals over the domains $\varpi_2(\varepsilon), \varpi_3(\varepsilon)$ are considered along the same lines. Hence, the relation (24) is checked for $r = s = 2$. All other pairs r, s are handle similarly.

References

- [1] D.R. Hickerson, Length of period simple continued fraction expansion of \sqrt{d} , *Pacific J. Math.*, **46** (1973), № 2, 429–432.
- [2] F. Battistoni, L. Grenié, G. Molteni, The first and second moment for the length of the period of the continued fraction expansion for \sqrt{d} , [arXiv: 2401.13118v1 \[math.NT\]](#) (23.01.2024).
- [3] A.M. Rockett, P. Szűsz, On the lengths of the periods of the continued fractions of square-roots of integers, *Forum Math.*, **2** (1990), 119–123.
- [4] C. Hooley, On the number of divisors of quadratic polynomials, *Acta Math.*, **110** (1963), 97–114.
- [5] N.M. Korobov, *Trigonometric sums and their applications*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [6] S. Bettin, V. Chandee, Trilinear forms with Kloosterman fractions, *Advances in Math.*, **328** (2018), 1234–1262; see also: [arXiv:1502.00769v1 \[math.NT\]](#) (03.02.2015).