GUIDELINES FOR THE CREATION OF ANALYSIS READY DATA

Harriette Phillips, Aiden Price, Owen Forbes, Claire Boulange, Kerrie Mengersen, Marketa

Reeves, Rebecca Glauert

Globally, there is an increased need for guidelines to produce high-quality data outputs for analysis. There is no framework currently exists providing guidelines for a comprehensive approach in producing analysis ready data (ARD). Through critically reviewing and summarising current literature, this paper proposes such guidelines for the creation of ARD. The guidelines proposed in this paper inform ten steps in the generation of ARD: ethics, project documentation, data governance, data management, data storage, data discovery and collection, data cleaning, quality assurance, metadata, and data dictionary. These steps are illustrated through a substantive case study which aimed to create ARD for a digital spatial platform: the Australian Child and Youth Wellbeing Atlas (ACYWA).

KEYWORDS: Analysis Ready Data, Digital Atlas, Ethics, Data Governance, Project Documentation, Data Management, Data Storage, Data Discovery and Collection, Data Cleaning, Quality Assurance, Metadata, Data Dictionary

1. INTRODUCTION

In almost every field of research there is an increased need to process quality-assured data for analysis [1], [2]. The production of analysis ready data (ARD) should adhere to any lawful and ethical requirement, and be both interoperable with other datasets and throughout time [1], [3], [4], [5], [6]. ARD should also be created through minimal effort and allow for immediate analysis [1]. In response to the need for ARD, various criteria have emerged over the past five years [7], [8], [9]. These works typically focus on a specific subset of components of ARD development, without consideration of a holistic set of process guidelines, causing issues in

consistency and comparability [9], [10]. In this section, key articles in the literature are critically reviewed and summarised.

An article published in 2017 produced guidelines for three ARD steps: data discovery, data collection, and data cleaning [9]. These steps enable data to be collected and compiled into a standardised format before its final release [9]. A later paper, published in 2018, largely focused on data discovery, data collection, and data storage addresses the ingestion and management of large volumes of data for social media analytics [11]. Ethics was also identified as an important issue in the production of ARD but was determined to be out of scope [11]. In the following year, a book on these guidelines was published for managing and sharing data [8]. This comprehensive resource includes extensive information on data collection, data cleaning, data governance, data management, data storage, ethics, and metadata. It was also outlined that ethics and legal requirements are dependent on the region in which the research is being conducted [8]. Building on this work, a 2021 contribution focuses on the topics of metadata and data dictionary with the aim of creating sharable and transparent data that users can interpret easily and preferably be both human-readable and machine-readable [12]. This work can be further extrapolated through the principles that are aimed at making data findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable [13]. An article published in the same year focused on building ARD through creating accessible data through open data products [2]. A recent article further extended the range of steps in the production of ARD, namely on the topics of general data collection and quality assurance [10]. They aimed to improve data quality by discussing quality assurance, data collection and ethics [10]. The Committee on Earth Observation Satellites has also developed a framework for the development of ARD specifically for the use of satellite data [14]. This framework mainly focuses on allowing for immediate analysis of ARD through a minimum set of specifications whilst following the core requirements for metadata [14]

In summary, the literature to date suggests ten steps that should be considered in the creation of ARD: ethics, data governance, project documentation, data management, data storage, data discovery and collection, data cleaning, quality assurance, metadata, and data dictionary. Current literature does not consider these steps holistically to provide a comprehensive approach in producing ARD. This paper aims to develop ten key steps, summarised from current literature, which can then be used to create high quality ARD outputs. The proposed guidelines will be investigated in Section 2 and contextualised, through a digital atlas, in Section 3.

Digital atlases are typically online, interactive tools that facilitate the display, inspection, and comparison of geospatial and temporal data [15]. The specific exemplar, used as a case study to develop the proposed guidelines, was the Australian Child and Youth Wellbeing Atlas (ACYWA) [16]. This digital atlas aims to improve the health and wellbeing metrics for Australian children and young people [16]. Consequently, the production of high-quality ARD was essential for the production and interpretation of this digital atlas.

2. GUIDELINES FOR THE CREATION OF ANALYSIS READY DATA

The proposed guidelines have been compiled into a comprehensive approach for processing, organising, developing, and producing ARD. Each principle is discussed in detail in the subsequent subsections: 2.1 Ethics, 2.2 Project Documentation, 2.3 Data Governance, 2.4 Data Management, 2.5 Data Storage, 2.6 Data Discovery and Collection, 2.7 Data Cleaning, 2.8 Quality Assurance, 2.9 Metadata, and 2.10 Data Dictionary.

The ten principles that form the proposed guidelines for producing ARD are summarised in Figure 1. The process typically starts with an ethics application and establishing project documentation and leads into the other generation steps to create three outputs: metadata, data dictionary, and analysis ready data. Generation steps often occur concurrently with one another (e.g., data cleaning and quality assurance). These steps can also be repeated and updated as required as represented by the dotted line shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1.

Visualisation of the Ten Guidelines for the Generation of Analysis Ready Data



2.1 Ethics

Ethics should be at the forefront of project development. Projects are obligated to conduct work in alignment with regional specific legal and ethical requirements [1]. This is showcased through gaining ethical approval or clearance through an Ethics committee. Ethics often governs the entire process of project development (e.g., methodologies, data, participants, etc) where the approved ethics application typically outlines how the research should and will be conducted. The legal and ethical requirements should be researched before the commencement of a research project and abided by throughout the entire research process [8], [17]. Typically, ethics applications require review from a committee and adhere to an extensive list of criteria [18]. This is to ensure that the scope is achievable, often striving for improvements in a given field, whilst safeguarding participants [18]. Examples of region-specific criteria for the creation of an ethics application include The Belmont Report on the Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research (Belmont Report) and The National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research (National Statement).

The American standard, The Belmont Report, focuses on three main topics for conducting ethical research involving human participants: respect for persons, beneficence, and justice. Respect for persons details that participants are able to make informed decisions about their involvement in the research process and their own best interests [19]. This is understood through two ethical convictions. The first, that participants are autonomous and are entitled to be protected. Second, any participants with diminished autonomy are also entitled to be protected. Beneficence, is aimed at minimising any harm and risks whilst maximising the benefits for participants who participated in the research [19]. This is aimed at securing the well-being of participants through acts of kindness and charity that go beyond strict obligation [19]. The last topic, justice, is that participants should be respected and treated equally.

Similarly, an Australian standard, the National Statement, can be used to develop, design, review, and conduct ethical human research. The guidelines in this approach require a review of values, judgement, principles, and context, depending on the level of risk to participants [20]. There are six topics in the national statement: merit and integrity in research, justice, beneficence, respect, risks and benefits, and general requirements for consent which are documented in Appendix 1. These topics provide a flexible framework in the design and review of ethical research [20].

2.2 Project Documentation

Projects need explanatory or contextual information surrounding data, procedures, decisions, and decision making processes to effectively conduct research [8]. The information surrounding data and processes is often referred to as project documentation [8], [21]. Project documentation is most easily maintained throughout the entirety of the research project when established at the outset of a project [8]. Furthermore, the inclusion of comprehensive documentation on how and why data were collected is critical for the short, medium, and long term longevity of projects [8]. Project documentation needs to be sufficient enough to ensure that data and project related materials are understandable, usable, and accessible to all users. This documentation should also support effective strategies for maintaining the security of project information [21]. Effective security measures should include implementing version control when deleting and modifying records [21]. These strategies should also destruction methods after the project has been completed [21].

2.3 Data Governance

Data governance is achieved through the authoritative control and compliance with privacy, security, quality and ongoing data management in accordance with region-specific regulations [22], [23], [24]. These regulations aim to minimise risk and cost involved in data use whilst maximising the value of the data; and how it is used, shared, and collected [22]. Data governance is adopted to safeguard information minimising privacy concerns by preventing data from being misused or mishandled [23], [25]. Data governance is important for safeguarding both personal and non-personal information [23]. Regulations differ depending on the type of data and the region in which the research is being conducted in [8], [23].

The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is European standard for regulating data governance strategies [26]. The GDPR was introduced to minimise the risk and cost involved in collection, use, and sharing of personal data [26]. This regulation provided a set of principles

for data compliance and data protection, including data processing, lawfulness, consent rights, responsibilities, and documentation of activities [22], [24], [26]. The introduction of these stricter guidelines resulted in revisions by European researchers to how data is currently collected, shared, and used [23], [25], [26]. However, whilst the GDPR does provide great benefits and protections for personal data, the cost for compliance cannot be met at times due to insufficient resources [27], [28]. The GDPR is also a region-specific regulation, where there is the possibility of contextualising this approach to various regions, but compliance would not be required.

An alternative approach for managing the risk and cost relevant to data use is the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research (the Code). In contrast to the GDPR this framework provides principles for the responsible conduct of research but does not incorporate laws, regulations, or guidelines [29]. The Code is reliant on the integrity of researchers and the expectation that principles and responsibilities for conducting honest and ethical research will be followed [29]. However, failure to comply with the principles outlined in the Code can result in a breach or research misconduct [29]. There are eight key principles outlined in the Code: honesty, rigour, transparency, fairness, respect, recognition, accountability, and promotion that are summarised in Appendix 2. There is limited current literature into the use of the Code, and it does not provide a comprehensive guidelines for all aspects of data use, but it does use supporting documentation to provide researchers with expectations for their research [29], [30]. A recent study did find that the principles contained within the Code were strongly endorsed [30].

2.4 Data Management

Effective management of large volumes of data is critical for individuals and organisations [31]. Data management plans (DMP) are an effective way to summarise the key processes in the production of data [31], [32]. DMPs are often a research output requirement and having a

well-managed and effective DMP can have a long-term term impact on the sustainability of a project [31], [32]. A detailed DMP enables strategic implementation of good practices for generating data [8]. Furthermore, developing a DMP can generate avenues for discussing issues, milestones and progress [8]. This will save time in the projection of ARD by reducing errors; duplication of efforts; assisting in the production of sharable data; improving data protection, security, quality; and promoting compliance with legal and ethical standards [31], [32]. A DMP generally involves key processes for ARD generation that should be documented and considered before the commencement of research [31], [32]. Appendix 3 summarises some considerations for the creation of effective a DMP based on current literature [31], [32]. This includes considerations for data discovery, data description, data collection, data organisation, and data preservation procedures, data storage, data volume, data loss procedures, data privacy and confidentiality, data ownership, quality assurance, documentation, dissemination, and metadata. It is also recommended that once a DMP has been established it should be updated at least annually and at the commencement of research. The DMP outputs should be easily shareable with other researchers [33].

2.5 Data Storage

Implementation of effective storage plans and backup strategies can prevent the need to replicate research, minimises reputational risk, and maintains the privacy of research subjects [8]. Supporting documentation for The Code (see Section 2.3) outlines key storage, retention, and disposal strategies and should occur regardless of whether the project is occurring internally or externally. These policies include that projects should comply with current licensing and copyright requirements, be conducted in accordance with research specific practices and standards [34]. The research should also be conducted in compliance with any ethical; privacy; and publication requirements, as well as compliance with laws; guidelines; and regulations [34].

Effective data storage, including avoiding data loss and maintaining data retention, are necessary beyond the immediate scope of a project [8]. This is crucial for a project's long-term success [8]. Cloud-based storage is most commonly used with advanced technology and large amounts of data ingest. As such, it has recently gained popularity for use by both researchers and end users where data, documentation and information related to the research can be accessed from any device in a reliable manner, and large-scale data ingest is supported [35]. Cloud-based storage also provides a flexible solution for cross-institutional access, is cost effective, and provides larger storage capacities than other alternatives [35].

2.6 Data Discovery and Collection

Discovering and sourcing appropriate data should be achieved in alignment with project methodologies and aims. This step should provide adequate justification for the inclusion of given data before it is collected [11]. For example, this justification can include alignment of data with methodologies and aims by identifying appropriate sources with a standardised set of data characteristics (e.g., when and where the data were collected, spatial and temporal granularity, characteristics of participants). This discovery process is key in any given research to describe, measure or reflect the condition of interest through the use of data [36]. Data can then be collected through the appropriate sources with reference to the pre-determined set of data characteristics. This process should be well documented and considered in the initial phases of creating, organising, and managing data that is continued through the entire research process [8]. Data collection are also typically guided by a clear DMP [36]. This ensures that all parts of the data discovery and cleaning process have been considered, which can include items such as the expected volume of data, expected data characteristics, start data of collection, and end data of collection [33].

Data are produced in different formats of varying quality [2], [37]. These data can be produced in several formats such as numerical, text, or multimedia [2]. The varying degree of quality can

be a result of noise, inconsistencies, and incompleteness in the data which can limit its use [2], [38]. This can also be referred to as uncertainty, where the absence of lack or absence of information, created by noise, inconsistencies and incompleteness, in data creates uncertainty during interpretation (see Section 2.8) [38], [39]. Subsequently, this varying degree of quality and formatting as well as the presence of uncertainty means data is often not readily usable it in its original form [2]. Processes are required after collection occurs to ensure that data is in a format which is fit-for-purpose [2]. This occurs during a phases in the generation of ARD called data cleaning (see Section 2.7) and quality assurance (see Section 2.8).

2.7 Data Cleaning

The generation of consistent data sets and using a standardised format is a requirement for the generation of ARD. During the process of data cleaning, inconsistencies, and errors are removed [40]. These include missing values, typographical errors, mixed formats, and replicated entries [40]. The removal of these errors increases the overall quality of the data [40]. Through this cleaning process, these inconsistencies and errors can be inspected, removed, or replaced in a consistent, replicable, and justifiable manner for each unique data set. [31], [32]. Data cleaning can be conducted by using open source software that is widely available and distributed [41]. This provides a fast, reliable, robust, and adaptable way to prepare outputs in a standardised format [42]. Examples of open-source programming languages with libraries widely used for data cleaning include: R, Python, and Structured Query Language [43].

2.8 Quality Assurance

Quality assurance is the process of detecting and resolving anomalies in data. This process should be considered when creating DMP and conducted concurrently with data cleaning processes (see Figure 1) [10], [44]. Quality assurance is a crucial step to improving and guaranteeing the overall quality of the data [10]. A quality assurance process can ensure that ARD are accurate, complete, consistent, relevant and maintain their longevity [10]. Quality assurance is used to identify any missed or unidentified errors in the data cleaning process before outputs are finalised. After the ARD cleaning has been initially complied into a common format, several supplementary quality assurance processes are useful for ARD to be prepared for deployment: data alignment, uncertainty, and privacy preservation.

Data alignment occurs so that the ARD is converted to align across different characteristics so that datasets can be compared with one another. This process typically occurs after the data is cleaned and compiled into a standardised format. In the case of spatial data, data alignment might entail the conversion of geographic or time series data to a standardised areal or temporal scale to facilitate visualisation and comparison. For example, the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) release regional boundaries that describe population density and communities known as the Australian Statistical Geographical Standard (ASGS) [45]. These regional boundaries are updated every five years, and are represented in a nested hierarchical structure of regions [45]. The ASGS is comprised of several different geographical regions which are summarised in Appendix 4. As these boundaries are updated semi-frequently, data needs to be compiled into a standardised format across a standardised areal and temporal scale. This is achieved through correspondence. ABS releases machine readable correspondence files for the mathematical conversion between most geographical regions [46].

Uncertainty is often attributed to collection processes (e.g., variance in sampling techniques), variance (e.g., conceptual difference across projects) or multimodality (e.g., data being noisy, inconsistent or incomplete) (see Section 2.6) [2], [38], [39]. This can negatively impact on the effectiveness and accuracy of results leading to negative effects on the overall analytics and decisions making processes [37], [38]. If uncertainty is present in data it can also limit its use [2], [38]. Controlling for uncertainty within the quality assurance process will improve the effectiveness and accuracy of results [37], [38]. Uncertainty should be managed and removed,

where possible, during the data cleaning and quality assurance process. If uncertainty cannot be removed it should be acknowledged and documented.

Conducting research using sensitive or identifying information, particularly involving human participants, ARD processes should ensure that adequate steps are taken to provide privacy and protection during the research process. Privacy preservation is the process of removing identifying attributes that are associated with participants [47]. These attributes can include the degree to which an individual is directly identified, typically through an individual's characteristics demonstrated in the data [47]. Risk of re-identification can be minimised through three commonly used techniques: suppression, pseudonymisation, and randomisation [47]. The aim of using these techniques is to achieve an acceptably low risk of re-identification before the data are released to users [48]. The first approach, suppression, removes or suppresses values at a standardised threshold across all records [47], [48], [49]. For example, suppressing all cells with a count less than five is a common threshold for suppression [48], [49]. Pseudonymisation, is the implementation of techniques to replace unique identifiers with pseudonyms. These pseudonyms cannot be associated with the data without adequate information (e.g., encryption key) regarding the encryption methods [50]. These can be randomly generated and are used to replace identifiers including name, government issues identifiers or addresses [47]. The final technique, randomisation, adds random values that are not predictable to an existing dataset [47].

2.9 Metadata

An umbrella term for the information used to describe, manage, and related to the collection of data is referred to as metadata. This information can be generated through automatic or manual processes where a machine-readable output is preferred [51]. Metadata is collected to increase the visibility and reusability of the ARD collected. Metadata principles have been devised to guide the promotion and distribution of data on a broader scale [8], [51]. For example, the

Australian Data Research Commons (ARDC) has devised principles for creating standardised metadata. These principles are made up of four components: findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable (FAIR) data principles [51]. These principles created by the Australian Data Research Commons (ARDC) can be used to maximise data sharing capabilities as well as data use and reuse [8], [51]. ARD can be made findable by providing local and international users with a unique or persistent identifier for each dataset [52]. This allows data to be findable by both humans and computers [51]. ARD can be made accessible through the use of a standardised communication protocol even if the data is not open or available for public use [52]. This allows for there to be clarity and transparency around the access, reuse, and authentication processes required for access to ARD [51], [52]. Interoperable ARD is achieved by providing outputs in a standardised approach [52]. This includes the format, and vocabulary in the ARD and metadata so there can be comparison between other data and metadata [51], [52]. ARD is established as reusable by providing accurate information to users about licencing agreements and detailed provenance information [52].

2.10 Data Dictionary

Individual data variables are distinct structures and in order to understand their meaning additional information is required [53]. A data dictionary is a central repository of information about variables present in a dataset and their meaning. This information includes the name, origin of the data, data format, usage, cleaning processes, quality assurance processes, and data management tasks [12], [53]. Information present in data dictionaries should be developed and presented in a standardised format. Data dictionaries should also developed in conjunction with metadata, to ensure the interoperability and reusability of ARD [52], [53]. In comparison to metadata (see Section 2.9), data dictionaries provide information on the individual level of data indicators, providing users with supplementary information about the data processes, data sources, and necessary contextual information about the data and supports clarity for further

research or analytics using a given collection of ARD. Data dictionaries are also useful for the input of new data or updating existing data sets [53]. Additionally, this allows for findings to be conveyed a wider audience by increasing interpretability and reducing misperceptions [12], [53].

3. GUIDELINES IN THE CONTEXT OF A DIGITAL ATLAS

In this section, the proposed guidelines are considered in the context of ARD for a digital atlas. These guidelines were implemented for the Australian Child and Youth Wellbeing Atlas (ACYWA) to map data for children and young people's health and wellbeing across Australia [16]. Data relating to children and young people aged 0-24 years were collated for ACYWA. These data were collected from 2006 to 2022 and were aggregated by gender, age, and geographical region for reasons of privacy. Decisions based on the implementation of the ARD guidelines have been noted in the subsequent sections.

3.1 Ethics

In alignment with the proposed guidelines in this paper, ethics approval was gained for ACYWA. This supports the research project creation and design as it abided with the legal and ethical requirements for conducting research in Australia [8], [17]. Furthermore, ACYWA was upheld to high-quality criteria that maintained the security of personal information of participants for the duration of the research process [18]. Appendix 1 outlines key parts of Australia's National Statement, including aspects of the National Statement related to maintaining the security of children and young people. Ethical approval was achieved by the ACYWA development team submitting a Human Research Ethics Application (HREA) through the Human Research Ethics Committee at the Queensland University of Technology. Subsequently, ethics approval was gained for the use of aggregate de-identified data for the purposes of mapping ARD at different geographical levels across Australia using a spatial platform (digital atlas). The HREA submitted for the current project was created and approved

in accordance with the National Statement. This specific criteria was chosen as the research involved Australian Universities using data for human participants (Australian children and young people aged 0-24 years old). Consent was not sought for the participants in this project since all data were publicly available or permissioned, de-identified and aggregated at small area level. These processes were approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the Queensland University of Technology.

3.2 Project Documentation

A free cross-institutional cloud-based storage system is currently being used for ACYWA data. Project documentation has ensured that there has been effective version control and modification of records for the duration of the research project [21]. Project documentation was established from the onset of research so that scope, research team, risk, communications, liabilities, processes, decisions, data, and other relevant materials for the project were meticulously recorded. This documentation was recorded and shared with and by the core ACYWA development team. These documents are crucial for rare scenarios where handovers of responsibilities may not be feasible, so that researchers will have step-by-step guides from previous researchers through the processes of the past, ongoing, and upcoming work. Project documentation also provided supplementary information during the handover process. This ensures that researchers, current, returning, and future, have sufficient information to maintain this national data asset efficiently and successfully [8], [21]. At current, ACYWA has been designed as an enduring data asset with no foreseeable end date, where updates to security, ethics and data protection methods will be made as required. As the project is currently ongoing, destruction methods have not been implemented. Considerations need to be made by future researchers on ACYWA about the destruction and deletion of project files once the project has been completed. This approach has been approved in the Human Research Ethics Application.

3.3 Data Governance

Data governance was employed for ACYWA to safeguard the personal information of participants used in this research. In alignment with the proposed guidelines, Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research was used to create strategies to protect data from misuse and mishandling as well as adopt safe strategies for collecting, sharing and use of the data [23], [25]. The eight principles honesty, rigour, transparency, fairness, respect, recognition, accountability, and promotion were considered and followed for this research [29]. The approach developed by the ACYWA team was approved in the ethics process.

3.4 Data Management

In alignment with the guidelines, a Data Management Plan (DMP) was devised for ACYWA. The DMP was developed and produced according to institutional protocols. This DMP underwent an approval process through ethics and was subsequently approved [31], [32]. A summary is available in Appendix 3. As ACYWA is currently ongoing, the DMP is scheduled to be revisited annually [33].

3.5 Data Storage

As previously stated, ACYWA utilises a free cloud based storage system that allows crossinstitutional access [35]. The cloud based storage adopted was OneDrive as it allowed for secure access, editing, and organisation of project documentation and data across multiple institutions [54]. OneDrive was flexible, adjusted to advances in technology, and supported large amounts of data ingestion [54]. This storage system has been reliably accessed by researchers on the project across institutions for data access, upload and project documentation [35], [54]. This has ensure reliable access to shared resources, and minimised the risk of loss of data, ensuring safety of data and research information, and ensuring participants' information is secure [8].

3.6 Data Discovery and Collection

ACYWA's raw data was collected by first discovering available data resources that aligned with project participant's characteristics. These characteristics include Australian children and young people aged 0-24 years old. These data were collected from 2006 to 2022 (children and young people born in Australia between 1982-2022) across the available spatial and temporal aggregations. This data was also aggregated by age and sex when available. De-identified data was collected for this project where further de-identification techniques were applied to keep the participants confidentiality (see section 2.8 and 3.8). This was achieved by devising a set of potential resources that aligned with the evidenced based framed developed by the Australian Research Alliance for Children and Youth's (ARACY) The Nest. This framework aims to inform health and wellbeing metrics in order for children and young people thrive and reach their full potential [55], [56]. The data that was identified as aligning with the desired participant characteristics was then assigned one of sex key domains with The Nest: healthy; material basics; valued, loved, and safe; learning; participating; and positive sense of identity and culture [56]. This provided a validated approach in the creating, organising, and managing from the onset of the project [8].

These data were then collected from Australian data custodians, and were obtained through custom requests, public access, and customised data downloads (e.g., TableBuilder [57]). The custom requests through data custodians were often subject to significant wait times (maximum wait for this project was roughly twelve months) from submission of request to data receival. Data formatting, requirements, and costs were also discussed at length and these decisions were documented throughout the ACYWA research process. The public data was accessed through resources made available online and the ACYWA development team recorded the stages of the collection process to avoid double handling (e.g., downloading data more than once). This process was followed for all customised data sets to create uniform data sets using the same

specifications. These data were downloaded from resources such as TableBuilder, and other government and non-government websites (e.g., Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Australian Bureau of Statistics, and Australian Government) and were downloaded using a standardised set of specifications.

Documentation surrounding the ARD were collected for the duration of the project. This was beneficial as there were multiple development team members working on ACYWA and this allowed them to have sufficient information to conduct research efficiently and successfully [8], [21]. The resulting documentation was compiled into standardised formats and was released alongside the data. This supports the guidelines proposed in this paper, in both the initial phases of research and throughout the duration of the entire research project [8].

3.7 Data Cleaning

Standardised cleaning processes were used to compile the data for ACYWA into a standardised format. Data was cleaned using open source software R and RStudio as it provided specialised packages for data cleaning, visualisation, and data analysis [41]. This programming language was chosen as it most closely aligned with researcher's skillset and the ACYWA atlas aims and methodologies. The data was compiled into a standardised form for the ingest into a custom-built platform. This required adherence to strict regulation of data standard to properly ingest data into the custom-built atlas. Examples of packages used for data cleaning and management include, dplyr, readxlsx, and tidyr [58], [59], [60]. The files for cleaning processes were stored on a cloud-based repository for version control of code and error detection. The resulting data was high quality ARD that were as free as possible from missing values, typographical errors or replicated entries. Data for ACYWA was compiled in a standardised format that also supported ingest into a custom-built spatial platform. This process improved the quality of the data removed and identified inconsistences and errors [40]. To date, it is approximated that the current version of ACYWA contains an estimated 430 individual data

items which were collected from over 30 different data sources [16]. This data was collected using the ASGS at Statistical Area Two, Statistical Area Three, Statistical Area Four, State and Territory, Australia (National), and Local Government Area (see Appendix 4).

3.8 Quality Assurance

Quality assurance processes were used to check ARD quality and data cleaning processes concurrently for ACYWA. If any inconsistencies were found, the cleaning process was revised, rerun and then the quality assurance was reapplied. This process was continued until the researchers were certain that the data was of high quality, accurate, complete, consistent, and relevant for the long term ingest into the digital atlas [10]. This process was implemented for all data sets in ACYWA. This process was recorded through reproducible documents for reporting and documenting the quality assurance in Rmarkdown [61]. The supplementary quality assurance steps (data alignment, uncertainty, and privacy preservation) were also carried out by the ACYWA development team. Data custodians were also given the opportunity to review the data after ARD processes were applied. Custodian approval was sought on the final ARD output, and any necessary changes were made as per the request of data custodians.

Data for ACYWA was received at several different iterations of the Australian Statistical Geographical Standard (ASGS) boundaries (ASGS2006, ASGS2011, ASGS2016, and ASGS2021) due the constraints of data availability [62]. As such, correspondence methods, the standardisation of areal and temporal scales for data comparison, were needed to compile the datasets in a standardised format before the final release onto the digital atlas. ASGS2016 was chosen as the standardised regional boundary as it was the most reliably available at the time of collection. All other regional boundaries (ASGS2006, ASGS2011, and ASGS2021) were converted to ASGS2016 for the standardised comparison of data [1], [3], [4], [5], [6]. Correspondence was carried out through two different techniques: forward correspondence and

backwards correspondence. Forwards correspondence and backwards correspondence are explained in more detail in Appendix 5. Additionally, during these correspondence processes, uncertainty was created. This uncertainty is discussed below.

Uncertainty was present at two phases of the data creation process: uncertainty that was already present at time of collection and uncertainty that was created during ACYWA's alignment processes. Uncertainty present at the time of collection is often due to noisy, inconsistent, or incomplete data (see Section 2.8) [38]. Certain aspects of ACYWA's cleaning and quality assurance processes (e.g., data alignment and correspondence) resulted in uncertainty, as a lead of effect of the original noisiness, inconsistencies, and incompleteness in the original data. As such, some of the ARD could not be interpreted with absolute certainty in the results and were subsequently removed. This was achieved by first identifying all types of uncertainty and assigning a standardised numerical value depending how it would impact the accuracy and effectiveness of results [38], [39]. These numerical values were as follows, zero indicated low uncertainty, one indicated medium uncertainty, and two indicated high uncertainty. High levels of uncertainty where then removed in all datasets. Low and medium uncertainty were deemed not to impact the results enough to be removed. If any uncertainty was present in the data, the level was recorded in the data dictionary for ACYWA on an individual data indicator level.

Data for ACYWA was safeguarded through de-identification and suppression techniques. First, the data was provided and downloaded as aggregate summarises (counts, percentages, rates, etc) for each specified geospatial area. This meant individual information was held by the data custodians and that ACYWA development team did not have access to participants' personal information at any stage during the research process. Second, suppression techniques were applied by both the data custodians and the ACYWA development team. Suppression was applied across all data sets wherever cell counts of less than five where present [48], [49]. These approaches were documented, included in the DMP, and submitted to ethics for

subsequent approval. These techniques ensured that the data risk of misuse and mishandling of ACYWA data was minimised through the protection of participants and their personal information [23], [25], [48], [49].

3.9 Metadata

Data used in ACYWA has been made accessible with increased visibility and reusability by implementing the FAIR principles created by ARDC [8], [51]. The principles adopted were devised to create metadata specifically for geographical and spatial data that aided in the data sharing capabilities for the use in a digital atlas [8], [51]. ACYWA metadata was created using both manual and automatic processes [51]. An automatic process through Posit's Rmarkdown was used to produce a summary table with the necessary information to be included in the metadata. This information was then manually transferred to a standardised metadata document, alongside other information that was manually inputted that could not be sourced from Rmarkdown. A standardised output was then produced for individual datasets [51]. ACYWA's metadata aligned with the four key aspects of ARDC's FAIR principles. This was achieved by utilising a customised version of UWA-PURE that includes the core mandatory elements of additionally the metadata standard ISO19115 [51]. For each data set a metadata document was created and, as required, was sent for approval and feedback to the appropriate data custodians. These documents were then compiled into a single document which is freely available online and accessible via the ACYWA website. The key aspects of the ACYWA's metadata that aligned with the principles have been outlined in Table 1.

Table 1.

Summary of the Key Metadata Elements

ARDC FAIR Principles	Examples of ACYWA elements that align
Findable	• Title (e.g., name of original source)

	Digital Object Identifier
	Metadata reference
Accessible	• Legal and ethical requirements for data use
	• Access rights and visibility for data sharing
Interoperable	• Metadata has been compiled in a standardised approach
	using broadly applicable language and information
Reusable	• Licence
	Geographical coverage
	Temporal coverage
	• Fields of research
	Socio-economic objectives

3.10 Data Dictionary

The data dictionary for ACYWA captures the necessary information that provides insight to user's into the creation, usage, and format of the data [12]. The data dictionary for each variable was creating manually by the researchers on ACYWA and has undergone a quality assurance process. These items will be provided to two different end uses: users of the data and members of the ACYWA development team. These items have been summarised in Table 2, where the data dictionary items for users is planned for future release. The information provided to researchers is stored securely, to maintain the integrity of the project, minimise reputational risk and does not risk the identities of the participants in the project [8]. A similar process was followed in the creation of metadata, where Posit's Rmarkdown created a summary output with the key information and ACYWA development team manually fill in the entries in the data dictionary. The entries not covered by the Rmarkdown output are then entered manually be the researchers. These entries then undergo a quality assurance process.

have maximised visibility, reach a wider audience and avoid any misperceptions when the data is being interpreted [12], [53].

The key items that have been included in the data dictionary for ACYWA have been summarised in Table 2.

Table 2.

Key elements in the ACYWA data Dictionary for users and researchers

Data Dictionary Users	Examples of Data Dictionary Elements in
	ACYWA
Users of Data (published)	Data variable name
	• Data variable Definition
	• Variable Type (count percentage,
	etc)
	Data Source
	Application of temporal
	correspondence
	• Presence of uncertainty
Researcher-Only (not published)	Links for
	 Cleaning Code
	 Data files
	• Project documentation

4. CONCLUSION

The current paper aimed to contextualise current approaches into a new set of guidelines that can be used to generate ARD. A total of ten steps were developed that can be used to produce ARD: Ethics, Project Documentation, Data Governance, Data Management, Data Storage, Data Discovery and Collection, Data Cleaning, Quality Assurance, Metadata and Data Dictionary. These guidelines have effectively combined previous literature to provide a comprehensive approach to ARD creation and have been summarised in Table 3 [8], [9], [10], [11], [12]. Together, these principles form the first focused framework in producing ARD. Th guidelines were also successfully contextualised to produce ARD for the digital platform ACYWA.

Table 3.

Summary of the principles for the guidelines in the production of high-quality ARD

Principle	Consideration
Ethics	Ethics is typically established at the onset of a project where
	approval is sought for how methodologies will be carried out. This
	also governs other aspects of the project including, risks,
	participants, and research aims and outcomes, etc. These legal and
	ethical requirements are region and context specific.
Project	Project documentation includes the research aims, decisions, and
Documentation	outputs for a given project which should be established at the onset
	of a project and maintained throughout the entirety of a project's
	progress.
Data Governance	Data governance focuses on the protection of information
	surrounding data use through compliance with strategies to

	safeguard both person and non-personal information from misuse
	and mishandling. This includes compliance with the safe use of
	data sharing and collecting.
Data Management	The management of data and all steps related to the creation of
	ARD (e.g., data storage, data collection, data cleaning, quality
	assurance, metadata, and data dictionary).
Data Storage	Safe storage of materials mainly related to the data, where backup,
	retention, and deletion strategies should be implemented.
Data Discovery and	Data discovery is the initial phase of data in alignment with
Collection	project's methodologies and aims. Data is then collected through
	appropriate sources. These processes are well documented and
	should be guided by a data management plan.
Data Cleaning	Data cleaning occurs to compile data into a standardised format that
	does not contain identifiable information and is free of errors,
	inconsistencies, uncertainty, noise, etc.
Quality Assurance	Quality assurance is a process that occurs concurrently with other
	data processing steps to detect and resolve anomalies in data. This
	process is used to confirm that all processes have been consistently
	applied during the data processing steps.
Metadata	Metadata refers to the creation of supplementary information about
	dataset characteristics, preferably in human and machine-readable
	format. This information is collated using both automatic and
	manual processes. This information is used to complement the data
	to increase its visibility and reusability.

Data Dictionary	Data dictionaries are created to also provide supplementary
	information, ease of understanding and interpretation according to
	commonly used terms or data vocabulary within a given field. As
	opposed to metadata, this information is often supplied on an
	individual data indicator level to provide context about data
	processes, data sources, and any other necessary contextual
	information required for any further data analytics.

ARD processes are crucial for facilitating the comparison, inspection, and display of different geospatial and temporal data [15]. The paper showcased an example of effective implementation of these guideline in the context of a digital atlas (ACYWA). Thus, achieving the aim of compiling current literature in a comprehensive guide for the creation of ARD. The resulting output has created a data asset that is free and publicly available to a range of users, stakeholders and for general public use which can be used to inform children and young people's health and wellbeing metrics in Australia [16]. This digital atlas provides users with high-quality ARD on multiple different spatial and temporal levels across Australia that was not previously available [16]. The guidelines also provided the development team with meaningful insights into the overall creation of the project. This includes the generation of supplementary materials such as project documentation, a data management plan, metadata, and a data dictionary. Overall, the culmination of the output of high-quality ARD and associated documentation by adhering to stricter generation processes promotes more trust in the data and the resulting product (i.e. a digital atlas).

The final product for the ACYWA is online, free, and publicly available for users, but establishment, collection, cleaning had some associated costs. This should be factored into future projects where data sources will need to be chosen subject to on the availability of funding. For example, should data be collected from only freely and public resources only or is there availability for paid resources as well. Data custodians for ACYWA also had the final decision in the inclusion or exclusion of data in the digital platform. Data could meet all ARD requirements, but might not meet a data custodian's individual, internal approval processes. As such, a few custodians did not allow the ARD to be shared further than the ACYWA development team and could not be shared publicly. This decision could only be made after all ARD processed had been applied and the final product could be reviewed by data custodians. Albeit, this was a rare occurrence, where an estimated 430 individual data items were included in ACYWA. Nonetheless, exclusion of ARD outputs due to custodian review processes should still be considered as a possibility for future research.

Strategies could be used to evaluate the compliance of researchers when implementing these guidelines in future studies. This research could also involve exploring the efficacy of the different steps and whether all steps are needed to produce the same high quality ARD output. For example, if either a data management plan or quality assurance are excluded will the same output be produced and is the output of high quality. Future work could also be undertaken to modify the ARD generation steps to focus on different core principles depending on the field the research is being conducted. For example, satellite data will require different generation steps than data using human participants. More specifically, satellite data may not require ethics, but would likely place a larger focus on data cleaning, quality assurance, and metadata processes where data needs to be aligned temporally, and be made findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable [1], [3], [14]. The current guidelines provide a framework and a general guide needed for the generation of ARD.

Overall, these guidelines can be used to not only improve the overall quality of ARD through the stages such as discovery and collection, cleaning, as well as quality assurance; but by ensuring that the overall project is conducted at a high standard by considering steps such as ethic, project documentation, and data storage. This ensures that the data that was initially limited in its use is made accessible to its desired users [2]. As a result, this allows for the expansion of use through the trust in high-quality ARD outputs by users (e.g., general public, stakeholders, users, researchers, etc) [16]. These uses can include new policy decisions, analytics, or general research [2], [16]. This also promotes further discussion in the scientific community and broader fields of research for the development of ARD through comprehensive guidelines that were not previously available.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Harriette Phillips (harriette.phillips@hdr.qut.edu.au), Owen Forbes, Aiden Price, Claire Boulange, and Marketa Reeves were involved in the data collection processes for the Australian Child and Youth Wellbeing Atlas, which led to the development of this paper. Harriette Phillips wrote the manuscript. Kerrie Mengersen and Aiden Price provided supervision of the original draft, revision and proofreading of the resulting manuscript. Owen Forbes, Claire Boulange, Marketa Reeves, Rebecca Glauert provided revisions and proofreading to the resulting manuscript. All authors listed have provided meaningful and valuable contributions to the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Queensland University of Technology's Centre for Data Science and Research Training Program Stipend Scholarship. The work was also supported by the Australian Child and Youth and Wellbeing Atlas.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The ARD that has been produced is free and publicly available through the ACYWA platform. The digital platform can be found here: <u>https://australianchildatlas.com/</u>.

REFERENCES

[1] Potapov, P., et al.: Landsat analysis ready data for global land cover and land cover change mapping. Remote Sensing 23(4), 497-514 (2021)

[2] D. Arribas-Bel, M., et al.: Open data products – a framework for creating valuable analysis ready data. Journal of Geographical Systems 23(4), (2021) doi: 10.1007/s10109-021-00363-5

[3] Baraldi, A., et al. Innovative analysis ready data (ARD) product and process requirements, software system design, algorithms and implementation at the midstream as *necessary-but-not-sufficient* precondition of the downstream in a new notion of space economy 4.0 - part 1: problem background in artificial general intelligence (AGI). Big Earth Data 7(3) (2023) doi: 10.1080/20964471.2021.2017549

[4] Baraldi, A., et al.: Innovative analysis ready data (ARD) product and process requirements, software system design, algorithms and implementation at the midstream as *necessary-but-not-sufficient* precondition of the downstream in a new notion of Space Economy 4.0 - Part 2: Software developments. Big Earth Data 7(3) (2023) doi: <u>10.1080/20964471.2021.2017582</u>
[5] Huth, D., A pattern catalog for GDPR compliant data protection. Available at https://ceurws.org/Vol-2027/paper4.pdf (2017). Accessed 23 July 2023.

[6] Baumann, P., et al.: Datacubes: towards space/time analysis-ready data. Service-Oriented Mapping. Lecture Notes in Geoinformation and Cartography, 269-299 (2019)

[7] Banks, G. C., et al.: A review of best practice recommendations for text analysis in R (and a user-friendly app). Journal of Business and Psychology. 33(4), 445-459 (2018)

[8] Corti, L., et al.: Managing and sharing research data: a guide to good practice. SAGE (2019)
[9] Giuliani, G., et al.: Observations data cube: lessons learned from Swiss data cube (SDC) on generating analysis ready data (ARD). Big Earth Data. 1(1-2), 100-117 (2017)

[10] Derakhshan, P., et al.: The impact of data quality assurance and control solutions on the completeness, accuracy, and consistency of data in a national spinal cord injury registry of Iran (NSCIR-IR). Spinal Cord Series and Cases. 7(1) (2021)

[11] Stieglitz, S., et al.: Social media analytics – challenges in topic discovery, data collection, and data preparation. International Journal of Information Management. 39, 156-168 (2018)

[12] Buchanan, E. M., et al.: Getting started creating data dictionaries: how to create a shareable data set. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science. 4(1) (2021)

[13] Jacobsen, A., et al.: FAIR principles: interpretations and implementation considerations.Data Intelligence. 2(1-2), 10-29 (2020)

[14] Harrison, P and Thankappan, M.: CEOS-ARD product self-assessment user guide.
Available at <u>https://ceos.org/ard/files/User%20Guide/CEOS_ARD%20User%20Guide%20v</u>
<u>1_3 Final_06152023.pdf</u>. Accessed 26 February 2024

[15] Ormeling, F and Elzakker, C.: Digital atlas user requirements and use scenarios. Available
 at https://icaci.org/files/documents/ICC_proceedings/ICC2009/html/nonref/14_7.pdf.

Accessed 23 November 2023

[16] Glauert, R., et al.: Australian child and youth wellbeing atlas. Available at <u>https://australianchildatlas.com/.</u> Accessed 25 Oct 2023

[17] Lõuk, K.: Relevance and challenges of ethics committees. Ethics and Responsible Research and Innovation in Practice. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 125-136 (2023)

[18] Scherzinger, G and Bobbert, M.: Evaluation of research ethics committees: criteria for the ethical quality of review process. Accountability in Research. 24(3), 152-176 (2017)

[19] United States Department of Health and Human Services. The Belmont report: read the Belmont report. Available at <u>https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/belmont-report/read-the-belmont-report/index.html.</u> Accessed 14 December 2023

[20] Australian Government National Health and Medical Research Council. National statement on ethical conduct in human research. Available at <u>https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/about-us/publications/national-statement-ethical-conduct-human-research-2023#mm-0</u>. Accessed 23 October 2023

[21] Das, M.: A blockchain-based integrated document management framework for construction applications. Automation in Construction. 133 (2022)

[22] Abraham, R.: Data governance: A conceptual framework structured review, and research agenda. International Journal of Information Management. 49, 424-438 (2019)

[23] Paparova, D., et al.: Data governance spaces: the case of a national digital service for personal health data. Information and Organisation. 33(1) (2023)

[24] Meier, S., et al.: A process model for systematically setting up the data basis for data-drive projects in manufacturing. Journal of Manufacturing System. 71, 1-19 (2023)

[25] Godinho, M and Adjerid, I: Consumer consent and firm targeting after GDPR: the case of the large telecom provider. Management Science. 68(5), 3330-3378 (2022)

[26] European Union. General data protection regulation (GDPR). Available at https://gdprinfo.eu/. Accessed 28 July 2023

[27] Sirir, S., Nurse, J. R. C., and Webb, H.: Are we there yet? understanding the challenges face in complying with the general data protection regulation (GDPR). 88-95 (2018)

[28] Almeida, T. G., Mira, da S. M. and Pereira.: The critical success factors of GDPR implementation: a systematic literature review. Digital Policy Regulation, and Governance. 21(4), 402-418 (2019)

[29] Australian Research Council. Australian code for the responsible conduct of research 2018. Available at <u>https://www.arc.gov.au/about-arc/program-policies/research-</u> <u>integrity/australian-code-responsible-conduct-research-2018</u>. Accessed 4 August 2023 [30] Ong et al.: Responsible research practices could be more strongly endorsed by Australian university codes of research codes. Research Integrity and Peer Review. 8(5) (2023)

[31] Bera, S. et al.: Designing attribute-based verifiable data storage and retrievable scheme in cloud computing environment. Journal of Information Security and Application. 75, 103482.(2023)

[32] Michener, W. K.: Ten simple rules for creating a good data management plan. PLoS Computational Biology. 11(10), e1004525 (2015)

[33] Queensland University of Technology. QUT – Data management plan. Available at https://dmp.qut.edu.au/faq. Accessed 5 September 2023

[34] Australian Research Council. Management of data and information in research: a guide supporting the Australian code for the responsible conduct in research. Available at https://www.nhmrc.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/attachments/Management-of-Data-and-Information-in-Research.pdf. Accessed 24 February 2023

[35] Cavanillas, J. M., Curry, E., Wahlster, W. (Eds): New horizons for a data-driven economy:a roadmap for usage and exploitation of big data in Europe. Springer International Publishing(2016)

[36] Sylvia, L. M. and Terhaar, M. F. (Eds): Clinical analytics and data management for the DNP. Springer Publishing (2023)

[37] Hand, J. D.: Statistical challenges of administrative and transaction data. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A: Statistics in Society. 181(3), 555-605 (2018)

[38] Rowe, D. W.: Understanding uncertainty. Risk Analysis. 14(5), 743-750 (1994)

[39] Hariri, H. R., Fredericks, E.M. and Bowers, K. M.: Uncertainty in big data analytics: survey, opportunities, and challenges. Journal of Big Data. 6(1), 44 (2019)

[40] Chu, X. et al.: Data cleaning: Overview and emerging challenges. Proceedings of the 2016International Conference on Management of Data. 2201-2206 (2016)

[41] Kronthaler, F. and Zöllner, S.: R and RStudio. In: Data Analysis with R Studio, pp. 1-12.Springer Spektrum, Berlin, Heidelberg (2021)

[42] Kaya, E. et al.: Spatial data analysis with R programming for environment. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal. 25(6), 1521-1530 (2019)

[43] Badia, A.: SQL for data science: data cleaning, wrangling and analytics with relational databases. Springer Nature (2020)

[44] Gassman, J. J.: Data quality assurance, monitoring, and reporting. Controlled Clinical Trials. 16(2), 104-136 (1995)

[45] Australian Bureau of Statistics. Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS). Available at <u>https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/statistical-geography/australian-statistical-geography-standard-asgs</u>. Accessed 23 August 2023

[46] Australian Bureau of Statistics. Correspondences. Available at <u>https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/standards/australian-statistical-geography-standard-asgs-</u> edition-3/jul2021-jun2026/access-and-downloads/correspondences. Accessed 15 November 2023

[47] Heung, Y. Y.: An overview of de-identification techniques and their standardization directions. Available at <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342606728_An_Overv</u> <u>iew_of_De-Identification_Techniques_and_Their_Standardization_Directions</u>. Accessed 15 December 2023 [48] Wilkinson, K., et al.: Less than five is less than ideal: Replacing the "less than 5 cell size" rule with a risk-based data disclosure protocol in a public health setting. Canadian Journal of Public Health. 111, 761-765 (2020)

[49] Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario.: De-identification guidelines for structured data. Available at <u>https://www.ipc.on.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/Deide</u> <u>ntification-Guidelines-for-Structured-Data.pdf</u>. Accessed 15 December 2023

[50] Neubauer, T. and Heurix, J.: A methodology for the pseudonymization of medication data. International Journal of Medical Informatics 80(3), 190-204 (2011)

[51] Australian Data Research Commons. Metadata. Available at https://ardc.edu.au/ resource/metadata/. Accessed 14 December 2023

[52] Australian Data Research Commons. FAIR data. Available at <u>https://ardc.edu.au/</u> resource/fair-data/. Accessed 13 September 2023

[53] Rashid, M. S., et al.: The semantic data dictionary – an approach for describing and annotating data. Data Intelligence. 2(4), 443-486 (2020)

[54] Microsoft. Personal Cloud Storage – Microsoft OneDrive. Available at <u>https://www.microsoft.com/en-au/microsoft-365/onedrive/online-cloud-storage</u>. Accessed 27 February 2023

[55] Australian Research Alliance for Children and Youth. In ARACY – Australian research alliance for children and youth. Available at <u>https://www.aracy.org.au/the-nest-in-action/in-aracy</u>. Accessed 12 July 2023

[56] Australian Research Alliance for Children and Youth. The Nest in action - Australian research alliance for children and youth (ARACY). Available at <u>https://www.aracy.org.au/the-</u>nest-in-action. Accessed 6 December 2023

[57] Australian Bureau of Statistics. TableBuilder. Available at <u>https://www.abs.gov.au/</u> websitedbs/censushome.nsf/home/tablebuilder. Accessed 04 Oct 2023

[58] Wickham, H. et al: dplyr: a grammar of data manipulation. Available at <u>https://cran.r-</u>project.org/web/packages/dplyr/index.html. Accessed 17 November 2023

[59] Wickham, H et al: readxl: read excel files. Available at <u>https://cran.r-project.org/web/</u> packages/readxl/index.html. Accessed 14 February 2024

[60] Wickham, H et al: tidyr: tidy messy data. Available at <u>https://cran.r-project</u> .org/web/packages/tidyr/index.html. Accessed 15 November 2023

[61] Rmarkdown. Rmarkdown from RStudio. Available at <u>https://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/</u>.Accessed 7 December 2023

[62] Australian Bureau of Statistics. Australian statistical geography standard (ASGS) edition
3. Available at <u>https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/standards/australian-statistical-geography-</u>standard-asgs-edition-3/latest-release. Accessed 15 November 2023

APPENDIX

Appendix 1

Overview of the General Consideration and Considerations for ACYWA for the National Statement of Ethical Conduct of Human Research [20].

Aspect of Natio	onal Statement Overview
Merit and	• Research outputs can be justified by research benefits.
Integrity in	• Research conducted, developed, or designed using appropriate
Research	methodology which align with the aims of the given research
	proposal.
	• Research is based on a thorough study of current literature and
	previous studies. This includes research in quick response to
	unforeseen situations and possible novel research.
	• Research aims of the research, how it is carried out, and the results
	should ensure that conducted in such a manner that respects the
	participants of the research.
	• Facilities where the research is conducted is appropriate for the given
	topic.
	• Qualification or competence of researchers are sufficient to
	supervise or conduct research.
	• When conducting research, the researchers should be researching for
	knowledge and understand of the topic, follow the principles of
	research conduct, disseminate results whether favourable or
	unfavourable.

	• Once a peer review of the research has been completed, research
	merit is no longer dictated by those completing the ethical review.
Justice	• The results of the research have been accurately and fairly described.
	• The scope, research objectives, and inclusion and exclusion criteria
	have been considered.
	• Participants are recruited fairly, are not burdened, or exploited in the
	research process.
	• Research outputs are disseminated in a timely and clear manner, and
	any benefits are made available to participants
Beneficence	• Any risk of harm or discomfort to participants must be outweighed
	by the benefits of the research. The research must be likely to show
	benefit to either the participants, their community, or both.
	• Researchers should design research to minimise risk and discomfort,
	as well as making any potential risks or benefits known to
	participants, whilst keeping the welfare of participants in mind.
Respect	• The confidentiality, cultural sensitivities, and privacy of participants and
	their communities should be respected by researchers and institutions
	when conducting research. If necessary, any specific agreements should
	between participants or communities should be fulfilled during research.
	• All research should take into consideration the autonomy of humans, and
	their ability to make decisions on their own. If participants have a
	diminished capacity for decisions making, researchers should empower
	participants where possible to make decisions and provide them with the
	necessary protections.

Risk and	• Any risks, harm, discomfort, or inconvenience (risk) should be
Benefits	identified, assessed for likeliness to occur, identified who the risk is
	likely to affect, how risk will be minimised, potential benefits, and who
	the benefits are likely to affect.
	• The risks and severity of risk should be reviewed based on available
	evidence.
	• Research can only be deemed "low risk" if the risk identified in the
	research is "discomfort". Research is identified as "negligible risk" if the
	risk of harm or discomfort is not foreseeable.
General	• Research participants should participate in research voluntarily.
Requirements	Participants should also be provided with sufficient information
for Consent	about the research project (e.g., methods, risks, outputs, demands, or
	potential benefits) and the implications of the research to make an
	informed decision about their involvement.
	• Participants must be provided with information that is presented in a
	manner that is suitable for all.
	• Consent needs to be gained for the inclusion in research projects
	where participants gain an understanding of their involvement in the
	research process. Consent can be gained in the following ways:
	• Verbally
	0 Written
	• By other means (e.g., Survey)
ACYWA Spec	ific Aspect of the National Statement Overview

Children and	• Consent should be gauged for the inclusion of children and young
Young	people participation in research.
People	• Researchers should describe how children and young people will be
	described the research and how it will be relayed to them at a level
	they can comprehend.
	• If consent cannot be obtained, inclusion in the research must be
	justified by advancement in knowledge or children and young
	people's involvement are indispensable to the research. Research
	should be conducted in the best interested of children and young
	people.
	• Research should be conducted in manner that provides an emotional
	and psychological security, safety, and wellbeing for children and
	young people.

Outlines of the key eight principles in the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research

Principle	Overview
Honesty	• Truthful and accurate information presented when preposing, conducting, and reporting research
Rigour	• Robust methodology used, attention to detail to avoid biases which are otherwise acknowledged
Transparency	• Research methodology, data, and findings are communicated and shared openly

	• Research is communicated responsibly and accurately
	• Conflicts of interests are managed or disclosed
Fairness	• Researchers and others involved in the research should be treated
	with respect and fairly
	• Works of others should be cited and referenced
	• Appropriate credit should be given to contributors to the research
Respect	• Conducting research for minority and vulnerable people should be
	given appropriate considerations, ensuring that participants and
	communities affects by research are care for and respected
Recognition	• Conducting research with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander
	Peoples should be engaged in the research which affects or is
	significance to them
	• Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples connection to
	land, diversity, heritage, knowledge, and cultural property is
	respected, valued, and recognised
	\circ Prior to undertaking research, Aboriginal and Torres Strait
	Islander people are engaged with so that they may freely make
	decisions about their involvement
	• The outcomes of the research is reported to the Aboriginal and Torres
	Strait Islander people who engaged with the research
Accountability	• Guidelines, policies, and legislations should be abided by
	• Good stewardship used when conducting research with public
	resources
	• Consequences and outcomes considered prior to communication

Promotion	• Responsible conduct of research is fostered and promoted

Data Management Plan topics for consideration

Key processes	Overview
Data Discovery	• What is the expected type of data that is going to be collected for the project?
Data Description	• Provide a description of the data being collected for the data (i.e., what are the specifications for the data, who are the participants, etc).
Data Collection	 Who will be in charge of collected the data? Where will the data collection occur? Are there any equipment requirements for the collection of the data? What are the expected characteristics of the data? What is the start date of the data collection? What is the end date of the data collection? What is the expected volume of data?
Data Organisation	 How will the data be stored once it is received? How will this be catalogued and documented? Are the quality control measure in place?
Data Preservation Procedures	• Are the data preservation procedures beyond the scope of the project?

Data Storage	• Where will the data be stored?
	• Does this storage account for data loss (see data loss procedures)?
Data Volume	• What is the expected volume of data for the research project?
	• Can the chosen data storage handle the expected volume of data?
Data Loss	• Are there multiple storage options to account and prevent data
Procedures	loss?
	• If data loss occurs, are there appropriate measure in place to negate
	the loss of data?
Data Privacy and	• Participants privacy and confidentiality been considered?
Confidentiality	• Is there no/ low risk to participants?
	• How have risks been minimised?
Data Ownership	• Which organisation or individual has ownership of the data
	collected?
Quality Assurance	• What quality procedures are in place?
Documentation	• Where are decisions made regarding the data collection processes
	stored?
	• Who is documenting decisions?
	• Are decisions about the data being documented?
	• What decisions should be documented?
Dissemination	• Is the data being disseminated?
	• If so, how is it being disseminated?
	• Have legal, licencing, and ethical requirements been considered?
Metadata	• Has metadata principles been completed to make the data more
	accessible?

An overview of the structure of regions in the Australian Statistical Geographical Standard (ASGS) which was created by the Australian Bureau of Statistics [62].

Australian Statistical Geographical Standard			
Mesh blocks	• Mesh Blocks are the smallest defined geographical area		
	and are used as define the larger regions in the ASGS		
	• Mesh blocks typically contain 30-60 dwellings		
Statistical Area Level 1 (SA1)	• Used for the description of census data		
	• SA1 typically contains 200-800 people		
Statistical Area Level 2 (SA2)	• SA2s are used to represent communities, and how they		
	interact with one another socially and economically		
	• SA2s typically contain 3, 000 to 25, 000 people		
Statistical Area Level 3 (SA3)	• SA3s are designed for the outputs of regional data		
	• SA3s typically contain 30, 000 to 130, 000 people		
Statistical Area Level 4 (SA4)	• SA4s function as defining key area, such as capital		
	cities, representing regional data and the labour markets		
	• They typically contain over 100, 000 people		
State and Territory (STE)	• The legally defined, cartographic representation of the		
	state and territory boundaries		
Australia	• A representation of the entirety of Australia		
Indigenous Structure	• Used for the analysis and publication of statistics for the		
	Aboriginal and Torres strait Islander population in		
	Australia		

Remoteness Structure	• Five classes of remoteness (major cities, inner regions,
	outer regional, remote, and very remote) based on
	relative access to services
Non-ABS Structures	• Structures that are not defined by the ABS
	 Local Government Areas (LGA)
	 Destination Zones
	 Australian Drainage Regions
	 Tourism Regions
	 Postal Areas
	 State Electoral Divisions
	• Suburbs and Localities (formerly State Suburbs)

Backwards correspondence is the process of estimating count data for ASGS2016 regions using count data from ASGS2021 regions. Forwards correspondence is the process of estimating count data for ASGS2016 using count data from ASGS2006 and ASGS2011 regions. That is, this approach achieves standardisation of the data backwards through the temporal scales across the same areal levels. Consider a region, A, which has been split into two regions, B and C, through known ratios, a and b, respectively (see Figure 2a). Then forwards correspondence is calculated as follows for regions B and C.

```
B = a \times AC = b \times A
```

with a + b = 1 such that region A is distributed entirely to ASGS2021 regions B and C. To derive ASGS2016 region counts from ASGS2021 regions, i.e., perform backwards

correspondence, consider the original distribution of counts in reverse. In Figure 2b, for example,

$$A = a \times B + b \times C,$$

where all of regions *B* and *C* combine to form region *A*. i.e., a = b = 1. Consider now the more complex example in Figure 3a, where two ASGS2016 regions, *A* and *B*, are distributed to three ASGS2021 regions, *C*, *D* and *E*. Region distributions between ASGS years, or forward correspondence, is given by

$$C = a \times A,$$
$$D = b \times A + c \times B,$$
$$E = d \times B.$$

Backwards correspondence for this scenario is visualised in Figure 3b, with counts for regions *A* and *B* calculated as follows.

$$A = a \times C + b \times D,$$
$$B = c \times D + d \times E.$$

In this process, regions *C* and *E* distribute uniquely to regions *A* and *B*, respectively, and so a = d = 1. However, region *D* in ASGS2021 was formed by two ASGS2016 regions, *A* and *B*, and so must redistribute its count data to both regions, with b + c = 1. In this case, however, we do not know either *b* or *c*. That is count estimates distributions are not known throughout region *D* for the purpose of redistribution to regions *A* and *B*. To provide correspondence measures when this occurs, the above calculations are considered using the forward correspondence ratios in Figure 3a as follows.

$$A = \begin{cases} C, & b < 0.1\\ Suppressed, & b > 0.1 \end{cases}$$

$$B = \begin{cases} E, & c < 0.1\\ Suppressed, & c > 0.1 \end{cases}$$

In this way, insignificant contributions are discarded (less than 10%) from any backwards correspondence calculation. As a result, the backwards correspondence process described above can occasionally introduce uncertainty into data assets. This uncertainty is discussed in sections 2.8 Quality Assurance and 3 Guidelines in the Context of a Digital Atlas.

Figure 3.

Visualisation of the Forward Correspondence Process



Figure 4.

Visualisation of the Backwards Correspondence Process

