

Progressive and Rushed Dyck Paths

Axel Bacher

LIPN, Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, France

bacher@lipn.fr

We call *progressive paths* and *rushed paths* two families of Dyck paths studied by Asinowski and Jelínek, which have the same enumerating sequence (OEIS entry A287709). We present a bijection proving this fact. Rushed paths turn out to be in bijection with *one-sided trees*, introduced by Durhuus and Ûnel, which have an asymptotic enumeration involving a stretched exponential. We conclude by presenting several other classes of related lattice paths and directed animals that may have similar asymptotic properties.

1 Introduction

Dyck paths—sequences of up and down steps starting and ending at 0 and staying at nonnegative height—are probably the most famous family of lattice paths, one of the many combinatorial classes enumerated by the Catalan numbers (OEIS entry A000108). The aim of this article is to study two families of Dyck paths defined in the OEIS entry A287709 and studied by Asinowski in relation to certain rectangulation models [1]. We paraphrase their definitions below and illustrate them in Figure 1. Throughout the paper, we call *height* of the path P and denote by $h(P)$ the maximum height visited by P .

Definition 1. Let P be a Dyck path of height h . We say that P is *progressive* if, for $i = 2, \dots, h$, it visits the height $i - 1$ at least twice before the first visit at height i . We say that P is *rushed* if it starts with h up steps and then never again visits the height h .



Figure 1: Left: a progressive path of height 4 with the first and second visits at each height marked with a red and blue dot, respectively. Right: a rushed path of height 4. Note that progressive paths are allowed to visit their maximal height multiple times, while rushed paths are not.

A rushed path can be described as a run of up steps followed by a right-to-left *culminating path*, as defined by Bousquet-Mélou and Ponty [4] (a culminating path is a path that visits only nonnegative heights and visits its final height only once). This means that the enumeration of rushed paths of a given height is easily derived from that of culminating paths. The addition of a run of up steps can seem like a trivial difference; however, when considering paths irrespective of height, it makes a significant difference in the asymptotic enumeration.

A remarkable fact, due to Asinowski and Jelínek and stated in the OEIS entry mentioned above, is that progressive and rushed paths, when counted according to just length, have the same enumerating sequence (this is not true when taking height into account). This calls for a bijection, but such a bijection

has apparently remained elusive. We present such a bijection and explain how it behaves with respect to the height statistic.

Rushed paths, as it turns out, have already been studied by Durhuus and Ünel in the guise of *one-sided trees*, defined as trees with a height equal to the length of their leftmost branch [9]; such trees map to rushed paths via standard bijections (Figure 2). Their enumerating sequence exhibit a remarkable asymptotic estimate of the form $4^n e^{-\nu n^{1/3}} n^{-5/6}$. This sort of *stretched exponential* has attracted recent attention in many combinatorial contexts [11, 6, 10, 8], but it highly unusual for lattice paths, which usually have a form $\mu^n n^\gamma$, with γ normally 0, $-1/2$ or $-3/2$ in one dimension [2]. Culminating paths, for their part, asymptotically number $2^n/(4n)$ [4, Proposition 4.1]. Pushed Dyck paths also have the stretched exponential, but involve weights on paths rather than being a proper subset (see [11], or [8] for their tree avatars—remarkably, the asymptotic form is the same as rushed paths when the parameter is $1/2$).



Figure 2: Left: a rushed path of height 4 and semilength 11. Right: the corresponding one-sided tree of height 3 with 10 edges (the leftmost edge generated by the classical bijection is omitted).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe our bijection between rushed and progressive paths. In Sections 3 and 4, we give exact and asymptotic enumeration results, respectively. Finally, in Section 5, we give some perspectives, including classes of directed animals linked to progressive paths.

2 Bijections

In this section, we present our bijection from rushed to progressive paths. First, we need another bijection, which goes from Dyck paths to *progressive culminating paths* (culminating paths satisfying the same constraint as progressive paths). Let P be a Dyck path of height h . Decompose it as:

$$P = A_0 u \cdots A_{h-1} u \cdot A_h \cdot dB_{h-1} \cdots dB_0 \quad (1)$$

where the A_i 's and B_i 's are downward Dyck paths of height at most i , possibly empty (the factors A_0 and B_0 are always empty in the Dyck setting, but including them makes it easier to describe the bijections without special cases). Let $F(P)$ be the path:

$$F(P) = A_0 u \cdot dB_0 u A_1 u \cdots dB_{h-1} u A_h u. \quad (2)$$

The decompositions of P and $F(P)$ are illustrated in Figure 3.

Theorem 2. *The mapping F is a bijection between Dyck paths of length $2n$ and height h and progressive culminating paths of length $2n + h + 1$ and height $h + 1$.*

Proof. First, we check that $F(P)$ is a culminating progressive path. By construction, we see that the factor $dB_{i-2} u A_{i-1} u$ goes from the first visit at height $i - 1$ to the first visit at height i ; it never visits negative height and visits the height $i - 1$ at least twice, so $F(P)$ is culminating and progressive.




Figure 3: Left: a Dyck path of height 3 decomposed as in (1). Right: its image by F , a progressive culminating path of height 4 decomposed as in (2).

Second, the decomposition (2) can be recovered from $F(P)$ by cutting at the first and second visits at height i , for $i = 1, \dots, h - 1$, which allows us to rebuild the path P according to (1). This shows that F is a bijection. \square

We are now ready to define our main bijection. Let P be a rushed path of height h . Decompose it as:

$$P = u^h \cdot dA_0 \cdots dA_{h-1} \tag{3}$$

where the A_i 's are Dyck paths of height at most i . Let m be the maximum height of A_i for $i = 0, \dots, h - 1$ and let j be the smallest index with $h(A_j) = m$ (necessarily, we have $j \geq m$). Let $G(P)$ be the path:

$$G(P) = F(A_j) \cdot A_0 d \cdots A_{m-1} d \cdot uA_m d \cdots uA_{j-1} d \cdot d \cdot uA_{j+1} d \cdots uA_{h-1} d. \tag{4}$$

The decompositions (3) and (4) are illustrated in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Above: a rushed Dyck path of height 10 decomposed as in (3), where $m = 4$ and $j = 6$ (so A_6 has height exactly 4, while A_7, A_8 and A_9 have height at most 4). Below: its image by G , a progressive Dyck path of height 5 decomposed as in (4).

Theorem 3. *The mapping G is a bijection from rushed paths of length $2n$ to progressive paths of length $2n$.*

Proof. First, we check that $G(P)$ is a progressive path of height $m + 1$ and length $2n$. The factor $F(A_k)$ is a progressive culminating path of height $m + 1$ by Theorem 2; since the factors A_i have height at most i

for $i = 0, \dots, m-1$, at most $m-1$ for $i = m, \dots, k-1$ and at most m for $i = k, \dots, h-1$, the rest of the path never visits heights above $m+1$. Finally, the length of $G(P)$ is $|P| + |F(A_j)| - |A_j| - m - 1$, which is $2n$ by Theorem 2.

Second, the decomposition (4) and thus the path P can be recovered from the path $G(P)$ by cutting at the first visit at height $m+1$, at the first visit at height i thereafter for $i = m, \dots, 2$, at every visit at height 1 thereafter before the first visit at height 0 and at every visit at height 0 thereafter. This shows that G is a bijection. \square

3 Enumeration and generating functions

Here we state exact enumeration results for our paths, based on classical results on Dyck paths of bounded height [7] and culminating paths [4]. In the following, $F_h(z)$ are the Fibonacci polynomials defined by $F_0(z) = F_1(z) = 1$ and $F_h(z) = F_{h-1}(z) - zF_{h-2}(z)$ for $h \geq 2$, while $q := q(z)$ is the series of Catalan numbers satisfying $q = z(1+q)^2$. The proof will appear in a longer version.

Theorem 4. *The generating functions of rushed and progressive paths with height h are:*

$$R_h(z) = \frac{z^h}{F_h(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad P_h(z) = \frac{z^{2h-1}}{F_{h-1}(z)F_h(z)F_{h+1}(z)}. \quad (5)$$

The generating function of rushed (or progressive) paths is:

$$R(z) = P(z) = \sum_{h \geq 0} \left(\frac{q}{1+q} \right)^h \frac{1-q}{1-q^{h+1}}. \quad (6)$$

Finally, the number of rushed paths of semilength n and height $h-1$ is given by:

$$r_{n,h-1} = \frac{4^{n+1}}{2^h h} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{h-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{j+1} \sin^2 \frac{j\pi}{h} \cos^{2n-h} \frac{j\pi}{h}. \quad (7)$$

4 Asymptotics

Below is our result for asymptotic enumeration, stated without proof in this extended abstract.

Theorem 5. *The number of rushed (or progressive) Dyck paths of semilength n satisfies, as n tends to infinity:*

$$r_n = p_n = \lambda 4^n e^{-\nu n^{1/3}} n^{-5/6} [1 + O(n^{-1/3})] \quad (8)$$

where $\lambda = (4\pi)^{5/6} (\log 2)^{1/3} / \sqrt{3}$ and $\nu = 3(\pi \log 2 / 2)^{2/3}$.

Moreover, let \mathfrak{R}_n be a uniformly distributed rushed path of semilength n . The height of \mathfrak{R}_n , properly rescaled, tends to a normal law:

$$\frac{h(\mathfrak{R}_n) - \mu n^{1/3}}{\sigma n^{1/6}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad (9)$$

where $\mu = (2\pi^2 / \log 2)^{1/3}$ and $\sigma = (2\pi^2)^{1/6} / ((\log 2)^{2/3} \sqrt{3})$. We also have:

$$h(\mathfrak{R}_n) - h(G(\mathfrak{R}_n)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{D} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{E}[u^{\mathcal{D}}] = \frac{1}{u} \left(1 + \frac{u-1}{2-u} 2^{\frac{u}{2-u} + 1} \right). \quad (10)$$

In particular, the height of a random progressive path of length $2n$ has the same Gaussian limit law as $h(\mathfrak{R}_n)$.

The estimate (8) and the limit law (9) are directly in [9]. The limit law (10) is also derived using a local limit law found in the same reference.

5 Perspectives

Many interesting problems remain on this topic. First, classes of Dyck paths similar to progressive paths may exhibit similar asymptotical behavior: OEIS entry A287776 describes paths one could name *doubly progressive*—both left-to-right and right-to-left progressive. The definition of progressive paths also naturally extends to unconstrained paths (not necessarily staying positive or ending at 0): an unconstrained path is progressive if, before its first visit at every height $i \notin \{0, 1\}$, it visits twice either $i - 1$ or $i + 1$. There are many possible variations and it would be interesting to see which can be enumerated and if results like (8) and (9) hold.

Dyck paths are also linked to directed animals on the triangular lattice, via bijections with heaps of dimers [12, 5]. Adopting the convention that animals grow towards the right side, we say that a directed animal is *acute* if, for every site at ordinate $y \neq 0$, there are at least two sites to the left with ordinate $y - 1$ or $y + 1$. Progressive paths are in bijection with acute half-animals, or acute animals with all sites above the x -axis (in this case, for every site at ordinate $y > 0$, there are two sites to the left at ordinate $y - 1$; see Figure 5). Full acute animals seem harder to enumerate.




Figure 5: Left: a progressive path. Right: the corresponding acute half-animal: for every site not on the bottom row, there are at least two sites to the left in the row just below.

One may want to go beyond Dyck paths, to Motzkin paths, Schröder paths, m -Dyck paths, or more general models [2, 3], as well as directed animals on different lattices. It is not clear, however, which of the many possibilities is the “right” extension of the definition of progressive paths. The adaptation of the asymptotic results may also prove challenging.

A final problem is the efficient random generation of our paths. Bousquet-Mélou and Ponty gave several beautiful algorithms for the generation of uniform culminating paths [4], but they are difficult to adapt to our case, because the distribution of the height is different. Using the recursive method [13] and several laziness ideas to reduce computation, it is possible to achieve a subquadratic algorithm. Details will appear in a longer version.

References

- [1] Andrei Asinowski (2024): Private communication.
- [2] Cyril Banderier & Philippe Flajolet (2002): *Basic analytic combinatorics of directed lattice paths*. *Theoretical Computer Science* 281(1-2), pp. 37–80, doi:10.1016/S0304-3975(02)00007-5. Selected papers in honour of Maurice Nivat.
- [3] Mireille Bousquet-Mélou (2006/08): *Discrete excursions*. *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire* 57, pp. Art. B57d, 23.

- [4] Mireille Bousquet-Mélou & Yann Ponty (2008): *Culminating paths*. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science* 10(2), pp. 125–152, doi:10.46298/dmtcs.438.
- [5] Mireille Bousquet-Mélou & Andrew Rechnitzer (2002): *Lattice animals and heaps of dimers*. *Discrete Mathematics* 258, pp. 235–274, doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(02)00352-7.
- [6] Yu-Sheng Chang, Michael Fuchs, Hexuan Liu, Michael Wallner & Guan-Ru Yu (2022): *Enumeration of d -Combining Tree-Child Networks*. In: *33rd International Conference on Probabilistic, Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods for the Analysis of Algorithms (AofA 2022)*, *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)* 225, pp. 5:1–5:13, doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.AofA.2022.5. Available at <https://drops.dagstuhl.de/entities/document/10.4230/LIPIcs.AofA.2022.5>.
- [7] N.G. de Bruijn, D.E. Knuth & S.O. Rice (1972): *The Average Height of Planted Plane Trees*. In: *Graph Theory and Computing*, Academic Press, pp. 15–22, doi:10.1016/B978-1-4832-3187-7.50007-6.
- [8] Bergfinnur Durhuus & Meltem Unel (2023): *Trees with exponential height dependent weight*. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 186, pp. 1–45, doi:10.1007/s00440-023-01188-7.
- [9] Bergfinnur Durhuus & Meltem Unel (2024): *Local Limits of One-Sided Trees*. *La Matematica* 3, pp. 131–165, doi:10.1007/s44007-023-00080-z.
- [10] Andrew Elvey Price, Wenjie Fang & Michael Wallner (2021): *Compacted binary trees admit a stretched exponential*. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 177, p. 105306, doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2020.105306.
- [11] Anthony J. Guttmann (2015): *Analysis of series expansions for non-algebraic singularities*. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 48(4), p. 045209, doi:10.1088/1751-8113/48/4/045209. Available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/48/4/045209>.
- [12] Gérard Xavier Viennot (1986): *Heaps of pieces. I. Basic definitions and combinatorial lemmas*. In: *Combinatoire énumérative (Montreal, Que., 1985/Quebec, Que., 1985)*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1234, Springer, Berlin, pp. 321–350, doi:10.1007/BFb0072524.
- [13] Herbert Wilf (1977): *A unified setting for sequencing, ranking, and selection algorithms for combinatorial objects*. *Advances in Mathematics* 24, pp. 281–291, doi:10.1016/0001-8708(77)90059-7.