

Lessons from a Pioneering Software Engineering Environment: Design Principles of Software through Pictures

Anthony I. (Tony) Wasserman
 tonyw@acm.org
 Software Methods and Tools
 San Francisco, CA, USA

ABSTRACT

This paper describes the historical background that led to the development of the innovative Software through Pictures multi-user development environment, and the principles for its integration with other software products to create a software engineering environment covering multiple tasks in the software development lifecycle.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Software and its engineering** → **Software development techniques; Integrated and visual development environments.**

KEYWORDS

Software engineering environments, software tools, software design, software architecture

ACM Reference Format:

Anthony I. (Tony) Wasserman. 2024. Lessons from a Pioneering Software Engineering Environment: Design Principles of Software through Pictures. In *2024 First IDE Workshop (IDE '24)*, April 20, 2024, Lisbon, Portugal. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3643796.3648445>

1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The first programming environments, supporting development and execution of a program written in a high-level language, were built in the late 1960s and early 1970s. These included Dartmouth BASIC [7], WATFOR and WATFIV [3], INTERLISP [14], with Smalltalk-80 following in 1980 [6]. All of them allowed programmers, mostly students in the first two cases, to use only the programming environment for editing, coding, and execution of their programs. Such tools came to be known as Integrated Development Environments (IDEs). Interest in and use of programming environments grew rapidly following the release of the inexpensive Turbo Pascal in 1983 for DOS, originally developed by Anders Hejlsberg at Borland [15]. Figure 1 shows a Turbo Pascal screen from Release 7.1 (1992).

The field of software engineering emerged at the end of the 1960s, bringing attention not only to the programming task, but also to the broader topic of software development processes and tools covering aspects other than coding. When the US Department of Defense




Figure 1: Turbo Pascal 7.1 screenshot

sponsored the design of the Ada programming language, they also sponsored creation of the “Stoneman” document, which specified requirements for Ada Programming Support Environments (APSE) [10]. Stoneman described the APSE as a coordinated and complete set of tools which is applicable at all stages of the system life cycle, and that communicate mainly via the database, which stores all relevant information concerning a project throughout its life cycle. This notion of a shared repository made it possible to think about both individual and multi-user APSE’s.

2 USER SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

At the time, the author was working on the User Software Engineering (USE) project [16] [17] [20]. Much of the early work on software engineering focused on programming methodology, emphasizing programming style, modular development, and top-down design. By contrast, the USE approach introduced external design, i.e., user interface design, into its methodology. The USE approach represented interactive information systems with a hierarchy of state transition diagrams, where nodes represented system output to the user, with transitions (arcs) based on user inputs and possibly triggering actions. The USE approach was accompanied by a tool suite, including a rapid prototyping tool (RAPID/USE) [21], a relational DBMS (Troll/USE) [8], and PLAIN [22], a Pascal-like programming language with relations as a data type. This combination, known as the Unified Support Environment, was later joined by a graphical transition diagram editor to replace the original text-based language for describing transition diagrams. Following the lead of

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

IDE '24, April 20, 2024, Lisbon, Portugal

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0580-9/24/04

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3643796.3648445>

BSD Unix [9], these tools were separately distributed through the University of California with a BSD license beginning in 1980.

3 USER SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

In response to user requests for technical support of these tools, the author founded Interactive Development Environments, Inc. (IDE) in 1983. At the time, there were a growing number of graphically-based software design methods, including Structured Design [13], Entity-Relationship Diagrams [2], HIPO, and many others. With many of the foundations already in place with the Transition Diagram Editor running on Sun workstations, IDE grew by supporting several of these other notations. The tools weren't just for drawing, but also captured the semantics of the various notations. As a result, it was possible to check the consistency of models created with the editors, and to generate artifacts such as database schemas, data dictionaries, and code skeletons from the models. That led to the name Software through Pictures (StP) [19]. Figure 2 shows a Structure Chart with a popup menu for further refinement.




Figure 2: A Structure Chart diagram in StP

3.1 Innovative Aspects

The design of Software through Pictures was strongly influenced by the design principles of Unix. StP was not a monolithic system, but rather a collection of modules (more than 50) that communicated with one another through a shared relational DBMS and procedure calls. All of the interfaces and file formats were documented and made available to users, including the schema of the database, making StP as open as possible, and available to others for tool integration. The use of a relational DBMS, following the APSE concept, made StP into a multiuser environment. StP took advantage of the Network File System (new at the time) [11] and the X Windows System [12]. The result was that StP worked in a heterogeneous network environment with different brands of workstations.

3.2 Adoption and Enhancement

StP was commercially launched in November, 1984, and was regularly updated for more than 15 years, supporting new design notations and new platforms, including a Windows version for UML [1]. That product eventually became OpenAmeos in the early 2000s, with source code available. Another important direction was the ability of StP to work with other software products. The first such product, available in 1992, was the C Development Environment, which connected StP with the Sabre-C (later renamed Centerline) IDE and the FrameMaker publishing system, allowing StP users to export their design artifacts for documentation and coding. (Unfortunately, there was no support for round-trip engineering.) Later versions supported both C++ and Ada with different IDEs.

4 TOOL INTEGRATION PRINCIPLES

This work led to development of a framework for describing tool integration. [18] Figure 3 shows four dimensions of tool integration in a software engineering environment with multiple tools presentation, platform, data, and control. There is a fifth dimension




Figure 3: Dimensions of Tool Integration

– process integration – that can describe the development process underlying the use of such tools. Ideally, tool integration occurs along multiple dimensions to create a well-integrated SEE.

ECMA adopted a similar model, shown in Figure 4, developed at HP [4], and known as the “toaster” model.

5 CONCLUSION

The work described here, which goes back more than 50 years, has served as an important foundation for the creation of today’s sophisticated IDEs, which are now being further enhanced by the advent of artificial intelligence tools such as CoPilot [5]. Today, software developers use many different tools and libraries to build their applications, making the need for powerful integration mechanisms more critical than ever before. The foundational approaches of Unix and Software through Pictures remain valuable for this work.




Figure 4: The ECMA Reference Model for SEEs

REFERENCES

- [1] Grady Booch, James Rumbaugh, and Ivar Jacobson. 2005. *The Unified Modeling Language User Guide*. (2 ed.). Addison-Wesley Professional, Reading, MA.
- [2] Peter P.S. Chen. 1976. The Entity-Relationship Model – Toward a Unified View of Data. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems* 1, 1 (1976), 9–36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/320434.320440>
- [3] Paul Cress, Paul Dirksen, and James Wesley Graham. 1968. *FORTRAN IV with WATFOR*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- [4] Anthony Earl. 1990. Principles of a Reference Model for Computer-Aided Software Engineering Environments. In: *Software Engineering Environments*. In *Software Engineering Environments (LNCS 467)*, Fred Long (Ed.). Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 115–129.
- [5] GitHub. 2024. *CoPilot*. Retrieved January 16, 2024 from <https://github.com/features/copilot>.
- [6] Adele Goldberg and David Robson. 1983. *SMALLTALK-80: The Language and its Implementation*. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA.
- [7] John Kemeny and Thomas Kurtz. 1985. *Back to BASIC: The History, Corruption, and Future of the Language*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.
- [8] Martin L. Kersten and Anthony Wasserman. 1981. The Architecture of the PLAIN Data Base Handler. *Software: Practice and Experience* 11, 2 (Feb. 1981). <https://doi.org/10.1145/1006258.1006289>
- [9] Samuel J. Leffler, Marshall Kirk McKusick, and et al. 1981. *The Design and Implementation of the 4.3 BSD Unix Operating System*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.
- [10] US Department of Defense. 1980. Requirements for Ada Programming Support Environments – Stoneman. Retrieved January 16, 2024 from <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/tr/pdf/ADA100404.pdf>
- [11] Russell Sandberg, David Goldberg, Steve Kleiman, and et al. 1985. Design and implementation of the Sun network filesystem.. In *Proceedings of the summer 1985 USENIX conference*, USENIX Association, Berkeley, CA, 119–130.
- [12] Robert W. Scheifler and Jim Gettys. 1986. The X Window System. *ACM Transactions on Graphics* 5, 2 (1986), 79–109. <https://doi.org/10.1145/22949.24053>
- [13] Wayne Stevens, Glenford Myers, and Larry Constantine. 1974. Structured Design. *IBM Systems Journal* 13, 2 (1974), 115–139. <https://doi.org/10.1147/sj.132.0115>
- [14] Warren Teitelman and Larry Masinter. 1981. The INTERLISP Programming Environment. *IEEE Computer* 14, 4 (April 1981), 25–33. <https://doi.org/10.1109/C-M.1981.220410>
- [15] TurboPascal. 2024. Retrieved January 16, 2024 from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turbo_Pascal
- [16] Anthony Wasserman. 1979. USE: a Methodology for the Design and Development of Interactive Information Systems. In *Formal Models and Practical Tools for Information Systems Design*, Hans-Jochen Schneider (Ed.). North-Holland, Amsterdam, 31–50.
- [17] Anthony Wasserman. 1982. The User Software Engineering Methodology: an Overview.. In *Information Systems Design Methodologies: a Comparative Review*, T. William Olle, Henk G. Sol, and Alexander Verrijn-Stuart (Eds.). North-Holland, Amsterdam, 591–628.
- [18] Anthony Wasserman. 1990. Tool Integration in Software Engineering Environments. In *Software Engineering Environments (LNCS 467)*, Fred Long (Ed.). Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 137–149. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-53452-0_38
- [19] Anthony Wasserman and Peter Pircher. 1987. A Graphical, Extensible Integrated Environment for Software Development. *ACM SIGPLAN Notices* 22, 1 (Jan. 1987), 131–142. <https://doi.org/10.1145/390012.24224> Proc. ACM SIGSOFT/SIGPLAN Symposium on Practical Software Development Environments.
- [20] Anthony Wasserman, Peter Pircher, David Shewmake, and Martin L. Kersten. 1986. Developing Interactive Information Systems with the User Software Engineering Methodology. *IEEE Trans. Software Engineering* SE-12, 2 (Feb. 1986), 326–345. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSE.1986.6312947>
- [21] Anthony Wasserman and David Shewmake. 1982. Rapid Prototyping of Interactive Information Systems. *ACM Software Engineering Notes* 7, 5 (Dec. 1982), 171–180. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1006258.1006289> Proceedings of ACM SIGSOFT Workshop on Rapid Prototyping.
- [22] Anthony Wasserman, Reind P. van de Riet, and Martin L. Kersten. 1981. PLAIN: an algorithmic language for interactive information systems. In *Algorithmic Languages*, J.W. de Bakker and J.C. van Vliet (Eds.). North-Holland, Amsterdam, 29–47.

Received 1 December 2023; accepted 11 January 2024