Stability analysis of multiple solutions of three wave interaction with group velocity dispersion and wave number mismatch

Niladri Ghosh^a, Amiya Das^a, Debraj Nath^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani - 741235, India. ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Vivekananda College, Kolkata - 700063, India.

Abstract

This paper explores the analytical approach for obtaining the multiple solutions of three-wave interacting system in (1 + 1)dimensions. We present a novel approach by expressing the wave solutions in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions and delve into specific cases involving hyperbolic functions. Additionally, the paper focuses on analysing the linear stability of two kinds of solutions: (a) periodic and (b) one or two-hump bright solitons due to group velocity and group velocity dispersion. For linear stability, we solve the eigenvalue problem by Fourier collocation method, where Fourier coefficients are defined analytically and compared numerically. On the other hand, we check the linear stability by direct numerical simulations with \sim Pseudospectral method along special derivatives (t) and 4th order Runge-Kutta method in temporal direction (z). Then It is confirmed by Crank-Nicholson finite difference method. Furthermore, we introduce a special case known as constant

is confirmed by Crank-Nicholson finite difference method. Furthermore, we introduce a special case known as constant magnitude wave solution and examine its modulational instability in presence of group velocity dispersion. In addition, the magnitude wave solution and examine its modulational instability in presence of group velocity dispersion. In addition, the magnitude wave solution and examine its modulational instability in presence of group velocity dispersion. In addition, the magnitude wave solution and examine its modulational instability analysis, modulation instability, wave vector mismatch, group velocity dispersion and group velocity
Introduction
Three wave interaction (TWI) plays a crucial role in nonlinear physics and widely applicable across various disciplines. This theory processes the parametric amplification, where energy is transferred from an external excitation (referred to as the pump wave) to a pair of daughter waves known as the signal and idler waves. The temporal coordinate t can be treated as a spatial coordinate due to well-established space-time analogy in the governing three-wave interaction equations. In such bases, soliton solutions exhibit an intriguing characteristic known as beam trapping, which has significant attention in both theoretical and experimental research [11]. Typically, the soliton propagation effect of wave is well known in presence of cubic theoretical and experimental research [11]. Typically, the soliton propagation effect of wave is well known in presence of cubic $\overline{d}\chi^{(3)}$) nonlinearity. However, in previous literature, a range of phenomena utilizing quadratic $(\chi^{(2)})$ nonlinearity, which arises due to $\chi^{(3)}$ interaction, has been discussed in ref. [11]. The lowest order nonlinearity ($\chi^{(2)}$) has important role in physics and as well as in diverse fields like hydrodynamics [1], plasma physics [3], fluid dynamics [6], nonlinear acoustics [2], nonlinear optics [4] and matter waves [5]. It is now understood that nonlinear materials possessing second-order ($\chi^{(2)}$) nonlinearities are not limited to harmonic and parametric generation. It has applications in the theory of optical switching. Experimental work has been observed an finding a new form of soliton in $\chi^{(2)}$ media, demonstrating its utility in second-harmonic and sum-frequency generation [12, 13]. The analytical soliton solutions of TWI in absence of group velocity dispersion have been defined in [12] for second-harmonic pulse propagation. Theoretical exploration of solitons of TWI in a media with quadratic nonlinearity have been solved in [14, 15]. The analytical solutions are highly effective and valuable for investigating TWI

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: niladri.02mgf@gmail.com (Niladri Ghosh), amiya6200gmail.com (Amiya Das), debrajn@gmail.com (Debraj Nath)

processes and it is important for understanding the process of three wave soliton interaction [16]. The soliton of TWI cannot be demonstrated by simple two-pole three wave soliton solutions.

Resonant interactions are of great importance as they facilitate the transfer of power (energy) between a fundamental wave and its corresponding second harmonic, as well as among three plane electromagnetic waves that satisfy the energy relationship $\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ and momentum relationship for wave vectors such that $\mathbf{k_3} = \mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2} + \Delta \mathbf{k}$, where $|\Delta \mathbf{k}| \ll |\mathbf{k_3}|$ (referred to as wave vector mismatch) [17, 18]. The three-wave resonant interaction (TWRI) occurs when waves with different frequencies combine in a weakly nonlinear dispersive medium and satisfying the aforementioned relations [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. A particular type of nondegenerate TWRI is termed as type II second-harmonic generation (see ref [32]) in nonlinear optics. It is to be mentioned here that the degenerate case is studied frequently in the literature [33]. When two identical waves having fundamental frequency yield a second-harmonic wave, they are termed as type I second-harmonic generation [11, 14, 27, 34]. In absence of group velocity dispersion, the envelope of first order three wave resonant interaction has been investigated in the refs. [14, 15, 22, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40], whereas non-resonant interaction was considered in refs. [14, 15, 39] and non-resonant interaction was considered in refs. [13, 16, 41].

Three wave resonant and non-resonant interactions can be described by the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. It has been solved by the inverse scattering method [42], numerical method [43, 44], variation method [45] and direct ansatz method [41, 46, 47]. The stability analysis for the non-degenerate soliton solutions have been investigated in [32, 44]. In the year 2011, Conforti, Baronio and Degasperis [39] presented that dark-dark (DDD) solutions of TWI are always unstable and checked their stability by modulational instability gain. In this work, we consider a second order (1 + 1)-dimensional TWI with quadratic $\chi^{(2)}$ nonlinearities [27, 32, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49]. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation has self-consistent periodic solution and it is expressed by Jacobi elliptic functions such as cnoidal, snoidal and dnoidal [50, 51, 52, 53]. The multicomponent self-consistent periodic solution has been defined in nonlinear medium with quadratic nonlinearity which is used in nonlinear optics for parametric frequency conversion [54]. Stable and unstable modes of three wave resonant interaction in presence of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric multi-well Scarf-II potential have been shown in [55]. In this paper we have considered the three-wave interaction with group velocity dispersion and wave number mismatch $\Delta k \neq 0$. Different kind of solutions solutions of TWI have been found. The most important is sech() type solution solution and it was considered for ultrashort pulses [13] and optical switching [16], whereas $a + b \operatorname{sech}() \tanh() + c \operatorname{sech}^2()$ type solution was defined in [41]. The simplest $sech^2()$ type soliton interaction investigated for non-degenerate TWI in refs. [44, 47]. Similarly, the dark-dark-dark soliton interaction was defined in ref. [39]. The first order and second order three wave resonant and non-resonant interaction have constant magnitude solutions.

Our current investigation contributes to the following aspects: we have discovered modulated periodic amplitude solutions expressed in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions, specifically of the form $a + b cn^2(\alpha t, \nu)$ or $a + b sn(\alpha t, \nu) cn(\alpha t, \nu)$, where, aand b are real constants, and $0 < \nu \leq 1$ represents the elliptic parameter. In the limiting case, $\nu \to 1$ periodic solution approaches to the soliton solution. To achieve this, we conduct analytical and numerical techniques on solutions, considering both cases of $0 < \nu < 1$ and $\nu \to 1$. Our investigation establishes the specific necessary conditions for the existence of self-consistent periodic as well as soliton solutions. From these propose self-consistent periodic solutions one can generate soliton solutions and they were already considered in the literature [13, 39, 16, 41, 44, 47]. Next, we investigate the linear stability analysis of periodic and soliton solutions for certain parameter values. As numerical techniques, we use the finite differences, 4th-order Runge-Kutta [56], Crank-Nicholson, Pseudospectral and Fourier collocation methods [57]. Then we find the stable and unstable modes of periodic solution and one/two hump bright soliton solutions. Next, we investigate the effect of group velocity dispersion and wave number mismatch with respect to the stable and unstable modes. The scope of our investigation pertains to nonlinear optics, as quadratic nonlinear optical media provide unique opportunities for exploring new types of solutions and their stability.

Our paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we show the existence of periodic and soliton solutions of three wave interacting system. In Section 3, we analyse the linear stability of periodic, hyperbolic and constant wave solutions. In Section 4, we discuss stable and unstable modes of different solutions and compare numerical results corresponding to analytical forms in presence of group velocity dispersion and wave vector mismatch. Finally, in section 5 some concluding remarks are given.

2. Theoretical model and solutions

We start with the fundamental and second harmonic waves which propagates along z direction and confined in transverse directions [13, 16, 30, 32, 34, 39, 41] in the form

$$i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{v_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)F_1 - g_1\frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial t^2} + \sigma F_2^*F_3e^{i\Delta kz} = 0,$$

$$i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{v_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)F_2 - g_2\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial t^2} + \sigma\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}F_3F_1^*e^{i\Delta kz} = 0,$$

$$i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{v_3}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)F_3 - g_3\frac{\partial^2 F_3}{\partial t^2} + \sigma\frac{\omega_3}{\omega_1}F_1F_2e^{-i\Delta kz} = 0,$$

(1)

where, centre frequencies ω_j ; group velocities v_j ; second-order dispersion coefficients, i.e. group-velocity dispersion g_j ; wave numbers $k_j = \frac{n_j \omega_j}{c}$, c denotes the speed of light, n_j represents the refractive index, nonlinear coupling constants is $\sigma \approx \frac{2\pi\xi_{nl}\omega_1^2}{k_1c^2}$, ξ_{nl} represents the nonlinear dielectric susceptibility; and wave vector mismatch is $\Delta k = k_3 - k_1 - k_2$. The usual TWI equations are found to be completely integrable which occurs in Eq.(1) when g_1, g_2 and g_3 are taken zero. We assume the solution of Eq.(1) in the following form

$$F_j = A_j(t)e^{i(\theta_j(t) + \mu_j z)}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$
 (2)

where A_j 's are real periodic amplitude and μ_j 's are real propagation constants and θ_j are phase functions of t. We substitute Eq.(2) into Eq.(1) and equate the real and imaginary parts, then we obtain

$$g_{1}\frac{\partial^{2}A_{1}}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{A_{1}}{2g_{1}v_{1}^{2}} - g_{1}A_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2g_{1}v_{1}} + \frac{c_{1}}{A_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{c_{1}}{v_{1}g_{1}} - \sigma_{1}A_{3}A_{2} = -\mu_{1}A_{1},$$

$$g_{2}\frac{\partial^{2}A_{2}}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{A_{2}}{2g_{2}v_{2}^{2}} - g_{2}A_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2g_{2}v_{2}} + \frac{c_{2}}{A_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{c_{2}}{v_{2}g_{2}} - \sigma_{2}A_{3}A_{1} = -\mu_{2}A_{2},$$

$$g_{3}\frac{\partial^{2}A_{3}}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{A_{3}}{2g_{3}v_{3}^{2}} - g_{3}A_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2g_{3}v_{3}} + \frac{c_{3}}{A_{3}^{2}}\right)^{2} + \frac{c_{3}}{v_{3}g_{3}} - \sigma_{3}A_{1}A_{2} = -\mu_{3}A_{3},$$
(3)

and

$$\theta_j(t) = \frac{t}{2g_j v_j} + c_j \int_0^t \frac{1}{A_j^2(t')} dt', \ \theta_3 = \theta_2 + \theta_1,$$
(4)

$$\mu_3 = \mu_2 + \mu_1 - \Delta k, \tag{5}$$

where c_j 's are constant of integration, and we have used the notations $\sigma_1 = \sigma$, $\sigma_2 = \sigma \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$, $\sigma_3 = \sigma \frac{\omega_3}{\omega_1}$, σ_j 's are real constants. For non-zero c_j (j = 1, 2, 3), the analytical solution of the Eq.(3) is complicated. So for the sake of simplicity here we have chosen $c_j = 0$, j = 1, 2, 3. In this case, Eq.(3), becomes

$$g_{1}\frac{\partial^{2}A_{1}}{\partial t^{2}} + (\mu_{1} + \frac{1}{4g_{1}v_{1}^{2}})A_{1} = \sigma_{1}A_{3}A_{2},$$

$$g_{2}\frac{\partial^{2}A_{2}}{\partial t^{2}} + (\mu_{2} + \frac{1}{4g_{2}v_{2}^{2}})A_{2} = \sigma_{2}A_{3}A_{1},$$

$$g_{3}\frac{\partial^{2}A_{3}}{\partial t^{2}} + (\mu_{3} + \frac{1}{4g_{3}v_{3}^{2}})A_{3} = \sigma_{3}A_{1}A_{2},$$
(6)

and

$$g_3 = \frac{1}{v_3 \left(\frac{1}{g_1 v_1} + \frac{1}{g_2 v_2}\right)}.$$
(7)

The solution of Eq.(6) has been solved numerically [43, 44] and approximately by means of variational method [45]. In the present context, we find the exact solutions of Eq.(6) in terms of periodic Jacobi elliptic functions and hyperbolic solutions

2.1. Ansatz I: Self consistent periodic solution

Let us take the ansatz

$$A_j^{(1)}(t) = a_j^{(1)} + b_j^{(1)} cn^2(\alpha^{(1)}t, \nu^{(1)}), \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$
(8)

where $cn(\alpha^{(1)}t,\nu^{(1)})$ is the Jacobi elliptic cnoidal function with period $\frac{4K(\nu^{(1)})}{\alpha^{(1)}}$, and $a_j^{(1)}, b_j^{(1)}$ are real constants yet to be determined with [58]

$$K(\nu^{(1)}) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \nu^{(1)} \sin^2 \theta}} \, d\theta,\tag{9}$$

real quarter-period $K(\nu^{(1)})$ of the Jacobi elliptic functions sn, cn and $0 < \nu^{(1)} < 1$. Therefore, the amplitudes $A_1^{(1)}, A_2^{(1)}, A_3^{(1)}$ are periodic functions of t with period $\frac{2K(\nu^{(1)})}{\alpha^{(1)}}$. Now $e^{i\theta_j(t)}$ is periodic function with period $4g_jv_j\pi$ for j = 1, 2, 3. Hence the solutions F_j 's given by Eq.(2) are periodic functions of t. Now, substituting Eq.(8) in Eq.(6), we obtain

$$\left[b_{1}^{(1)}\right]^{2} = \frac{36\left[\nu^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{4}g_{2}g_{3}}{\sigma_{2}\sigma_{3}}, \quad \left[b_{2}^{(1)}\right]^{2} = \frac{36\left[\nu^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{4}g_{1}g_{3}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{3}}, \quad \left[b_{3}^{(1)}\right]^{2} = \frac{36\left[\nu^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{4}g_{1}g_{2}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}.$$
(10)

It is to be noted that, one of the $b_1^{(1)}, b_2^{(1)}, b_3^{(1)}$'s is negative, together with either $g_1, g_2, g_3 > 0$ or $g_1, g_2, g_3 < 0$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can choose $b_1^{(1)} < 0$, then we obtain

$$b_1^{(1)} = -6\nu^{(1)} \left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^2 \sqrt{\frac{g_2 g_3}{\sigma_2 \sigma_3}}, \ b_2^{(1)} = 6\nu^{(1)} \left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^2 \sqrt{\frac{g_3 g_1}{\sigma_3 \sigma_1}}, \ b_3^{(1)} = 6\nu^{(1)} \left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^2 \sqrt{\frac{g_1 g_2}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}}, \tag{11}$$

and

$$a_{1}^{(1)} = \frac{18 \left[\nu^{(1)}\right]^{2} \left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{4} g_{1}g_{2}g_{3}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\sigma_{3}b_{2}^{(1)}b_{3}^{(1)}} \left(-\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)} + \xi_{1}}{g_{1}} + \frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)} + \xi_{2}}{g_{2}} + \frac{\mu_{3}^{(1)} + \xi_{3}}{g_{3}}\right),$$

$$a_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{18 \left[\nu^{(1)}\right]^{2} \left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{4} g_{1}g_{2}g_{3}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\sigma_{3}b_{3}^{(1)}b_{1}^{(1)}} \left(\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)} + \xi_{1}}{g_{1}} - \frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)} + \xi_{2}}{g_{2}} + \frac{\mu_{3}^{(1)} + \xi_{3}}{g_{3}}\right),$$

$$a_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{18 \left[\nu^{(1)}\right]^{2} \left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{4} g_{1}g_{2}g_{3}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\sigma_{3}b_{1}^{(1)}b_{2}^{(1)}} \left(\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)} + \xi_{1}}{g_{1}} + \frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)} + \xi_{2}}{g_{2}} - \frac{\mu_{3}^{(1)} + \xi_{3}}{g_{3}}\right),$$
(12)

where

$$\xi_j = \frac{1}{4g_j v_j^2} - 4g_j \left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^2 \left(1 - 2\nu^{(1)}\right).$$
(13)

In order to find the values of the remaining five parameters $\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \mu_3^{(1)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}$, we have three relations

$$\begin{split} & 2\left(\mu_{1}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{4g_{1}v_{1}^{2}}\right)\left\{-\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{1}}+\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{2}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{2}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\Delta k}{g_{3}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-\frac{1}{g_{1}^{2}v_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{2}^{2}v_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{3}^{2}v_{3}^{2}}\right)-4\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left(1-2\nu^{(1)}\right)\right\}\\ & =g_{1}\left\{\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{1}}+\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{2}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\Delta k}{g_{3}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{g_{1}^{2}v_{1}^{2}}-\frac{1}{g_{2}^{2}v_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{3}^{2}v_{3}^{2}}\right)-4\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left(1-2\nu^{(1)}\right)\right\}\\ & \times\left\{\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{1}}-\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{2}}+\frac{\mu_{3}^{(1)}}{g_{2}}-\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}+\frac{\lambda k}{g_{3}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{g_{1}^{2}v_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{2}^{2}v_{2}^{2}}-\frac{1}{g_{3}^{2}v_{3}^{2}}\right)-4\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left(1-2\nu^{(1)}\right)\right\}\\ & =\left(\mu_{1}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{4g_{2}v_{2}^{2}}\right)\left\{\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{1}}+\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{2}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}+\frac{\lambda k}{g_{3}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{g_{1}^{2}v_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{2}^{2}v_{2}^{2}}-\frac{1}{g_{3}^{2}v_{3}^{2}}\right)-4\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left(1-2\nu^{(1)}\right)\right\}\\ & =g_{2}\left\{\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{1}}-\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{2}}-\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}+\frac{\lambda k}{g_{3}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{g_{1}^{2}v_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{2}^{2}v_{2}^{2}}-\frac{1}{g_{3}^{2}v_{3}^{2}}\right)-4\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left(1-2\nu^{(1)}\right)\right\}\\ & \times\left\{-\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{1}}+\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\Delta k}{g_{3}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-\frac{1}{g_{1}^{2}v_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{2}^{2}v_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{3}^{2}v_{3}^{2}}\right)-4\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left(1-2\nu^{(1)}\right)\right\}\\ & \times\left\{-\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{1}}+\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\Delta k}{g_{3}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-\frac{1}{g_{1}^{2}v_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{2}^{2}v_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{3}^{2}v_{3}^{2}}\right)-4\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left(1-2\nu^{(1)}\right)\right\}\\ & =g_{3}\left\{-\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{1}}+\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\Delta k}{g_{3}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-\frac{1}{g_{1}^{2}v_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{2}^{2}v_{2}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{3}^{2}v_{3}^{2}}\right)-4\left[\alpha^{(1)}\right]^{2}\left(1-2\nu^{(1)}\right)\right\}\\ & \times\left\{\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{1}}+\frac{\mu_{1}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}+\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{g_{3}}-\frac{\Delta k}{g_{3}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(-\frac{1}{g_{1}^{2}v_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{g_{2$$

2 THEORETICAL MODEL AND SOLUTIONS

and satisfying equation (5). Therefore, the parameters $\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \mu_3^{(1)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}$ and Δk are functionally connected. One can find a relation among the parameters. In this paper, we show the condition for existence of the solution of these parameters numerically for some particular set of values. We can solve the first and second equations of (14) for $\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}$ in terms of $\alpha^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, g_1, g_2$ for different values of $v_1, v_2, v_3, \sigma, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ and Δk . In particular, for $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1, \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 =$ $1, \sigma = 1$, we obtain

$$\mu_{1}^{(1)} = \frac{-16\sqrt{[\alpha^{(1)}]^{4}g_{1}^{8}g_{2}^{2}(g_{1}+g_{2})^{4}(1-\nu^{(1)}+[\nu^{(1)}]^{2})} + 4\Delta kg_{1}^{6}+g_{1}^{5}(12\Delta kg_{2}-1) + 4g_{2}g_{1}^{4}(3\Delta kg_{2}-1) + 2g_{2}^{2}g_{1}^{3}(2\Delta kg_{2}-3) - 4g_{2}^{3}g_{1}^{2}-g_{2}^{4}g_{1}}{4g_{1}^{2}(g_{1}+g_{2})^{4}}}, \\
\mu_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{-16g_{2}^{2}\sqrt{[\alpha^{(1)}]^{4}g_{1}^{8}g_{2}^{2}(g_{1}+g_{2})^{4}(1-\nu^{(1)}+[\nu^{(1)}]^{2})} - g_{1}^{7}+4g_{2}g_{1}^{6}(\Delta kg_{2}-1) + 6g_{2}^{2}g_{1}^{5}(2\Delta kg_{2}-1) + 4g_{2}^{3}g_{1}^{4}(3\Delta kg_{2}-1) + g_{2}^{4}g_{1}^{3}(4\Delta kg_{2}-1)}{4g_{1}^{3}g_{2}(g_{1}+g_{2})^{4}}},$$
(15)

and substitute these values of $\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}$ into the last equation of (14) and obtain a relation between $\alpha^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}$ as

$$\Delta k \sqrt{[\alpha^{(1)}]^4 g_1^8 g_2^2 (g_1 + g_2)^4 (1 - \nu^{(1)} + [\nu^{(1)}]^2) + 4[\alpha^{(1)}]^4 g_2 g_1^7 (1 - \nu^{(1)} + [\nu^{(1)}]^2) + 8[\alpha^{(1)}]^4 g_2^2 g_1^6 (1 - \nu^{(1)} + [\nu^{(1)}]^2)} + 8[\alpha^{(1)}]^4 g_2^3 g_1^5 (1 - \nu^{(1)} + [\nu^{(1)}]^2) + 4[\alpha^{(1)}]^4 g_2^4 g_1^4 (1 - \nu^{(1)} + [\nu^{(1)}]^2) = 0.}$$

$$(16)$$

Therefore, the first set of periodic solutions defined in (8) exists. In Fig. 1 (A), we have plotted the existence of solution (8) and the corresponding periodic solution is plotted in Fig. 1 (B).



Figure 1: (A) Plot of the relation between $\alpha^{(1)}$ and $\nu^{(1)}$ from Eq.(16) for the parameters $\Delta k = -0.04$, $g_1 = 1$, $g_2 = 1.1$. For $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 1$, $\sigma = 1$, $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1$ and the corresponding periodic modulated amplitudes are plotted in (B) of Eq.(8) for $\nu^{(1)} = 0.85$ and the soliton solution plot in (C) of Eq.(17).

2 THEORETICAL MODEL AND SOLUTIONS

2.2. One hump bright soliton solution

In the limiting case $\nu^{(1)} \to 1$, the periodic solutions (8) become hyperbolic and the corresponding second set of hyperbolic solutions exist and defined by

$$A_{1}^{(2)}(t) = a_{1}^{(2)} - 6 \left[\alpha^{(2)}\right]^{2} \sqrt{\frac{g_{2}g_{3}}{\sigma_{2}\sigma_{3}}} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\alpha^{(2)}t,$$

$$A_{2}^{(2)}(t) = a_{2}^{(2)} + 6 \left[\alpha^{(2)}\right]^{2} \sqrt{\frac{g_{3}g_{1}}{\sigma_{3}\sigma_{1}}} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\alpha^{(2)}t,$$

$$A_{3}^{(2)}(t) = a_{3}^{(2)} + 6 \left[\alpha^{(2)}\right]^{2} \sqrt{\frac{g_{1}g_{2}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\alpha^{(2)}t,$$
(17)

where $a_i^{(2)}, j = 1, 2, 3$ and other parameters are obtained from Eqs. (12)-(16) for $\nu^{(1)} = 1$.

The hyperbolic solutions of the second set are plotted in Fig. 1 (C). From Fig. 1 (C), one can observe that the components of the hyperbolic solutions are bright solitons.

2.3. Ansatz II: Self consistent periodic solution

Next we have considered the ansatz of the form

$$A_{1}^{(3)}(t) = a_{1}^{(3)} + b_{1}^{(3)} cn^{2}(\alpha^{(3)}t, \nu^{(3)}),$$

$$A_{j}^{(3)}(t) = a_{j}^{(3)} + b_{j}^{(3)} sn(\alpha^{(3)}t, \nu^{(3)}) cn(\alpha^{(3)}t, \nu^{(3)}), \quad j = 2, 3$$
(18)

where $sn(\alpha^{(3)}t,\nu^{(3)})$ is the Jacobi elliptic snoidal function, $0 < \nu^{(3)} < 1$ and $a_j^{(3)}, b_j^{(3)}$ are real constants left to be determined. Therefore $A_1^{(3)}$ is a periodic function in t with period $\frac{2K(\nu^{(3)})}{\alpha^{(3)}}$ and $A_2^{(3)}, A_3^{(3)}$ are periodic functions in t with the same period $\frac{4K(\nu^{(3)})}{\alpha^{(3)}}$. Hence the solutions F_1, F_2, F_3 to be periodic function in t. Substituting Eq.(18) into Eqs.(6) we obtain

$$b_{1}^{(3)} = -6\nu^{(3)} \left[\alpha^{(3)}\right]^{2} \sqrt{\frac{g_{2}g_{3}}{\sigma_{2}\sigma_{3}}}, \ b_{2}^{(3)} = 6\nu^{(3)} \left[\alpha^{(3)}\right]^{2} \sqrt{-\frac{g_{1}g_{3}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{3}}}, \ b_{3}^{(3)} = 6\nu^{(3)} \left[\alpha^{(3)}\right]^{2} \sqrt{-\frac{g_{1}g_{2}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}}, \\ g_{1} < 0, g_{2}, g_{3} > 0 \end{cases} \right\},$$

$$(19)$$

or

$$b_{1}^{(3)} = 6\nu^{(3)} \left[\alpha^{(3)}\right]^{2} \sqrt{\frac{g_{2}g_{3}}{\sigma_{2}\sigma_{3}}}, \ b_{2}^{(3)} = 6\nu^{(3)} \left[\alpha^{(3)}\right]^{2} \sqrt{-\frac{g_{1}g_{3}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{3}}}, \ b_{3}^{(3)} = 6\nu^{(3)} \left[\alpha^{(3)}\right]^{2} \sqrt{-\frac{g_{1}g_{2}}{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}}, \\ g_{1} > 0, g_{2}, g_{3} < 0 \end{cases} \right\},$$

$$(20)$$

and

$$a_1^{(3)} = -\frac{(1-\nu^{(3)})b_1^{(3)}}{2-\nu^{(3)}}, \ a_2^{(3)} = a_3^{(3)} = 0.$$
(21)

The propagation constants μ_j 's are defined by

$$\mu_{1}^{(3)} = g_{1} \left[\alpha^{(3)} \right]^{2} (4 - 2\nu^{(3)}) - \frac{1}{4g_{1}v_{1}^{2}},$$

$$\mu_{2}^{(3)} = g_{2} \left[\alpha^{(3)} \right]^{2} \frac{8 - 8\nu^{(3)} - \left[\nu^{(3)}\right]^{2}}{2 - \nu^{(3)}} - \frac{1}{4g_{2}v_{2}^{2}},$$

$$\mu_{3}^{(3)} = g_{3} \left[\alpha^{(3)} \right]^{2} \frac{8 - 8\nu^{(3)} - \left[\nu^{(3)}\right]^{2}}{2 - \nu^{(3)}} - \frac{1}{4g_{3}v_{3}^{2}},$$
(22)

and the relation between remaining two parameters $\alpha^{(3)}$, $\nu^{(3)}$ are obtained from Eq.(5) and it is defined by

$$\left[\alpha^{(3)}\right]^{2} \left\{ (g_{3} - g_{2}) \frac{8 - 8\nu^{(3)} - \left[\nu^{(3)}\right]^{2}}{2 - \nu^{(3)}} - g_{1} \left(4 - 2\nu^{(3)}\right) \right\} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{g_{3}v_{3}^{2}} - \frac{1}{g_{2}v_{2}^{2}} - \frac{1}{g_{1}v_{1}^{2}}\right) - \Delta k.$$

$$(23)$$

Therefore, the third set of periodic solutions of the form (18) exist, if the parameters satisfy relation (23). In particular, for $\Delta k = 0.008, v_1 = -2 \times 10^8, v_2 = 10^8, v_3 = 10^8, g_1 = -0.001, g_2 = 1.3$, the relation (23) is valid for $0 < \nu^{(3)} < 0.9$ and the corresponding valid region is shown in figure 2(A) and the amplitudes of $A_j^{(3)}(j = 1, 2, 3)$ for $\nu^{(3)} = 0.01$ are plotted in figure 2(B).

2 THEORETICAL MODEL AND SOLUTIONS

2.4. One and two hump bright soliton solution

In the limiting case, when $\nu^{(3)} \rightarrow 1$, periodic solutions given by Eq.(18) become

$$A_1^{(4)}(t) = b_1^{(4)} \operatorname{sech}^2 \alpha^{(4)} t,$$

$$A_j^{(4)}(t) = b_j^{(4)} \operatorname{sech} \alpha^{(4)} t \tanh \alpha^{(4)} t, \quad j = 2, 3,$$
(24)

where $b_j^{(4)}$, j = 1, 2, 3 and other parameters are obtained from Eqs. (20), (22) and (23) for $\nu^{(3)} = 1$.

In Fig. 2(C), we have plotted the hyperbolic solutions (24) of the fourth set, for $\Delta k = -0.00008, v_1 = -2 \times 10^8, v_2 = 10^8, v_3 = 10^8, g_1 = -0.001, g_2 = 1.3$. From Fig. 2(C), one can observe that the components of hyperbolic solution are one hump and two humps bright solitons.



Figure 2: (A) Plot of the relation between $\alpha^{(3)}$ and $\nu^{(3)}$ from Eq.(23) for the parameters $\Delta k = 0.008, g_1 = -0.001, g_2 = 1.3$. For $v_1 = -2 \times 10^8, v_2 = v_3 = 10^8, \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 1, \sigma = 1$ and the corresponding modulated periodic amplitudes are plotted in (B) of Eq.(18) for $\nu^{(3)} = 0.01, \Delta k = 0.008$ and the soliton solutions are plotted in (C) of Eq.(24) for $\Delta k = -0.00008$.

2.5. Constant magnitude wave solution

In a special case, the constant magnitude i.e., fifth set of plane wave solutions

$$F_j^{(5)} = a_j^{(5)} e^{i(\theta_j(t) + \mu_j^{(5)}z)}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$
(25)

exist and the values of $a_j^{(5)}$'s are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1^{(5)} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma_2 \sigma_3} \left(\mu_2^{(5)} + \frac{1}{4g_2 v_2^2} \right) \left(\mu_3^{(5)} + \frac{1}{4g_3 v_3^2} \right), \begin{bmatrix} a_2^{(5)} \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma_3 \sigma_1} \left(\mu_3^{(5)} + \frac{1}{4g_3 v_3^2} \right) \left(\mu_1^{(5)} + \frac{1}{4g_1 v_1^2} \right), \begin{bmatrix} a_3^{(5)} \end{bmatrix}^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left(\mu_1^{(5)} + \frac{1}{4g_1 v_1^2} \right) \left(\mu_2^{(5)} + \frac{1}{4g_2 v_2^2} \right),$$

$$(26)$$

3 LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS OF MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS

where $\mu_3^{(5)} = \mu_2^{(5)} + \mu_1^{(5)} - \Delta k$ and each term of the right hand side of Eq.(26) are positive.

In the limiting case, $\nu^{(1)} \to 0$, the trigonometric solutions of ansatz I in the form $A_j^{(6)}(t) = a_j^{(6)} + b_j^{(6)} \cos^2 \alpha t$, j = 1, 2, 3 do not exist for non-zero g_j 's and b_j 's. Similarly, in the limiting case, $\nu^{(3)} \to 0$, the trigonometric solutions of ansatz II in the form $A_1^{(7)}(t, \alpha, 0) = a_1^{(7)} + b_1^{(7)} \cos^2 \alpha t$, $A_j^{(7)}(t) = a_j^{(7)} + b_j^{(7)} \sin \alpha t \cos \alpha t$, j = 2, 3 do not exist.

3. Linear stability analysis of multiple solutions

The stability properties of first four sets of solutions are tested in direct numerical simulations as well as within the framework of linear stability analysis. For the linear stability analysis we have considered small perturbation to the stationary solution of the form

$$F_j^{(i)}(t,z) = \left(A_j^{(i)}(t) + \epsilon U_j(t,z) + O(\epsilon^2)\right) e^{i(\theta_j(t) + \mu_j^{(i)}z)}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
(27)

where ϵ , $|U_1|$, $|U_2|$, $|U_3| \ll 1$ are infinitesimal complex perturbations. We substitute the perturbed solution defined in (27) into Eq.(1) and yield the following equations

$$i\frac{\partial U_1}{\partial z} = L_1^{(i)}U_1 + iS_1^{(i)}U_1 - \sigma_1 \left(A_3^{(i)}U_2^* + A_2^{(i)}U_3 \right),$$

$$i\frac{\partial U_2}{\partial z} = L_2^{(i)}U_2 + iS_2^{(i)}U_2 - \sigma_2 \left(A_3^{(i)}U_1^* + A_1^{(i)}U_3 \right),$$

$$i\frac{\partial U_3}{\partial z} = L_3^{(i)}U_3 + iS_3^{(i)}U_3 - \sigma_3 \left(A_1^{(i)}U_2 + A_2^{(i)}U_1 \right),$$

(28)

where * represents the complex conjugate and the linear operators $L_{i}^{(i)}$ and $S_{i}^{(i)}$ are defined by

$$L_{j}^{(i)} = g_{j} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} + \frac{c_{j}}{v_{j} \left[A_{j}^{(i)}\right]^{2}} - g_{j} \left(\frac{1}{2g_{j}v_{j}} + \frac{c_{j}}{\left[A_{j}^{(i)}\right]^{2}}\right)^{2} + \mu_{j}^{(i)} + \frac{1}{2g_{j}v_{j}^{2}}, \\ S_{j}^{(i)} = \frac{2c_{j}g_{j}}{A_{j}^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \left(\frac{1}{A_{j}^{(i)}}\right)$$

$$(29)$$

To solve Eq.(28), we introduce a transformation on $U_j(t, z)$ which is normal mode of small perturbed eigen functions $u_j(t)$ and $v_j(t)$

$$U_j(t,z) = (u_j(t) + i v_j(t)) e^{\lambda z}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$
(30)

The eigen function may increase with the perturbation growth rate λ during propagation. Now we substitute the above transformation into Eq.(28) and equate the real and imaginary parts and obtain a linear eigenvalue problem

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S^{(i)} & [L^{(i)}]^+ \\ [L^{(i)}]^- & S^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix},$$
(31)

where $u = (u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3)^T$, $v = (v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3)^T$, T denotes the transpose and the operators $[L^{(i)}]^+$, $[L^{(i)}]^-$, $S^{(i)}$ are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} L^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{+} = L^{(i)} + M_{1}^{(i)}, \ \begin{bmatrix} L^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{-} = -L^{(i)} + M_{2}^{(i)},$$

$$S^{(i)} = (s_{jk}^{(i)})_{3\times 3}, \ L^{(i)} = (l_{jk}^{(i)})_{3\times 3},$$

$$(32)$$

where

$$M_{1}^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{1}A_{3}^{(i)} & -\sigma_{1}A_{2}^{(i)} \\ \sigma_{2}A_{3}^{(i)} & 0 & -\sigma_{2}A_{1}^{(i)} \\ -\sigma_{3}A_{2}^{(i)} & -\sigma_{3}A_{1}^{(i)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ M_{2}^{(i)} = M_{1}^{(i)} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sigma_{1}A_{2}^{(i)} \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{2}A_{1}^{(i)} \\ \sigma_{3}A_{2}^{(i)} & \sigma_{3}A_{1}^{(i)} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(33)

and

$$l_{jk}^{(i)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} L_j^{(i)}, & j = k \\ 0, & j \neq k \end{array} \right\}, \ s_{jk}^{(i)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} S_j^{(i)}, & j = k \\ 0, & j \neq k \end{array} \right\}.$$
(34)

3 LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS OF MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS

The eigenvalue problem (31) opens a crucial path to analyses linear stability for both periodic and hyperbolic solutions. If the eigenvalue λ has a positive real part, then perturbed solution mode is completely unstable as the solution will grow exponentially with z. On the other hand, the solution mode becomes completely stable only when real parts of λ are zero or negative. It is to be mentioned that, the study of linear stability for non-trivial phase solution ($c_j \neq 0, j = 1, 2, 3$) is an open problem, even in solutions of Eq.(3) [52, 53, 50, 51]. So, we restrict ourselves $c_j = 0$, for j = 1, 2, 3. However, all waves of TWI system are described by $c_j = 0$ [32, 34]. For $c_j = 0$, we obtain $S_j^{(i)} = 0$ and the corresponding spectral problem Eq.(31) reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & L_{1}^{(i)} & \sigma_{1}A_{3}^{(i)} & -\sigma_{1}A_{2}^{(i)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{2}A_{3}^{(i)} & L_{2}^{(i)} & -\sigma_{2}A_{1}^{(i)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma_{3}A_{2}^{(i)} & -\sigma_{3}A_{1}^{(i)} & L_{3}^{(i)} \\ -L_{1}^{(i)} & \sigma_{1}A_{3}^{(i)} & \sigma_{1}A_{2}^{(i)}u_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{2}A_{3}^{(i)} & -L_{2}^{(i)} & \sigma_{2}A_{1}^{(i)}u_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{3}A_{2}^{(i)} & \sigma_{3}A_{1}^{(i)} & -L_{3}^{(i)}u_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3} \\ v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3} \\ v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(35)

and the linear operators $L_i^{(i)}$'s are defined by

$$L_j^{(i)} = g_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \mu_j^{(i)} + \frac{1}{4g_j v_j^2}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$
(36)

Therefore, if the spectral problem Eq.(35) has any positive real part of the eigenvalues, then the solution is linearly unstable and the corresponding wave amplitude change its shape as z increases. In case if all eigenvalues of Eq.(35) are imaginary or zero, then the solution is linearly stable.

To pursue eigenvalues of Eq. (35) we have utilized Fourier collocation method (FCM). Three waves defined in Eqs. (8) and (18) are periodic functions in t with period $T_j^{(1)} = \frac{2K(\nu^{(1)})}{\alpha^{(1)}}(j=1,2,3)$ and $T_1^{(3)} = \frac{2K(\nu^{(3)})}{\alpha^{(3)}}, T_j^{(3)} = \frac{4K(\nu^{(3)})}{\alpha^{(3)}}(j=2,3)$. Therefore, we express the perturbation vectors $u_j(t)$ and $v_j(t)$ in the spatial domain $[0, T^{(i)}]$ in the form

$$u_j = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_{j,n} e^{ink_0^{(i)}t}, \ v_j = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} v_{j,n} e^{ink_0^{(i)}t}, \ j = 1, 2, 3, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
(37)

where $k_0^{(i)} = \frac{2\pi}{T^{(i)}}$, and $u_{j,n}$, $v_{j,n}$ are the *n*th Fourier coefficient of u_j and v_j respectively. Let the Fourier series of the solutions $A_i^{(i)}$, s are

$$A_{j}^{(i)} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{j,m}^{(i)} e^{imk_{0}^{(i)}t}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
(38)

where $a_{j,m}^{(i)}$ is the *m*th Fourier coefficient of $A_j^{(i)}$. The analytical form of the Fourier series of $A_j^{(i)}$ (i = 1, 3; j = 1, 2, 3) corresponding to the solutions given by Eq.(8) and Eq.(18) can be obtained from the relation [59]

$$sn^{2}(x,\nu^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{\nu^{(i)}} - \frac{E(\nu^{(i)})}{\nu^{(i)}K(\nu^{(i)})} - \frac{2\pi^{2}}{\nu^{(i)}K^{2}(\nu^{(i)})} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{mq^{m}}{1-q^{2m}} \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{K(\nu^{(i)})}\right), \ i = 1,3,$$
(39)

where

$$q = e^{-\frac{\pi K(1-\nu^{(i)})}{K(\nu^{(i)})}}, \ E(\nu^{(i)}) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{1-\nu^{(i)}\sin^2\theta} \, d\theta,$$
(40)

and the corresponding analytical form of the Fourier coefficients are written as

$$a_{j,0}^{(i)} = a_{j}^{(i)} + b_{j}^{(i)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu^{(i)}} + \frac{E(\nu^{(i)})}{\nu^{(i)}K^{2}(\nu^{(i)})} \right),
 a_{j,m}^{(i)} = \frac{\pi^{2}b_{j}^{(i)}}{\nu^{(i)}K^{2}(\nu^{(i)})} \frac{mq^{m}}{1 - q^{2m}}, \ m = 1, 2, 3, \dots,
 a_{j,-m}^{(i)} = a_{j,m}^{(i)}, \ m = 1, 2, 3,
 \end{cases}
 \left\{ (j,i) = (1,1), (2,1), (3,1), (1,3), (41) \right) \right\}$$

3 LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS OF MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j,0}^{(i)} &= 0 \\ a_{j,m}^{(i)} &= \frac{-i\pi^2 b_j^{(i)}}{\nu^{(i)} K^2(\nu^{(i)})} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q^{2l+1}}{(1-q^{2l+2m+1})(1+q^{2l+1})} - \frac{q^{2l+1}}{(1-q^{2l+1})(1+q^{2l+2m+1})} \right) \\ &+ \frac{-i\pi^2 b_j^{(i)}}{\nu^{(i)} K^2(\nu^{(i)})} \sum_{n_1,n_2=0,n_1+n_2+1=m}^{\infty} \frac{q^m}{(1-q^{2n_1+1})(1+q^{2n_2+1})}, \ m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \right\} (j,i) = (2,3), (3,3).$$
(42)
$$a_{j,-m}^{(i)} &= -a_{j,m}^{(i)}, \ m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, we will apply the numerical methods for finding the Fourier coefficients for these two periodic solutions as well as for hyperbolic solutions. In result discussion section 4, we will compare analytical and numerical methods. There are few number of papers investigated the linear stability of the solutions of TWI [32, 44, 55] with quadratic nonlinearity. It is noted that we cannot utilize the above-mentioned linear stability for constant wave (CW) solutions. So, in this special case, we will employ the plane wave expansion method for studying linear stability analysis [28, 39, 48].

3.1. Modulation instability analysis

Modulation instability is essential for exploring and elucidating the emergence and development of large-amplitude periodic wave trains. Typically, this phenomenon is investigated when it arises as a consequence of the interaction between nonlinear and dispersive effects at an interface. The mechanism underlying modulation instability (MI) is responsible for generating solitons when nonlinear and dispersion coefficients engage in a confrontation, accompanied by the introduction of slight perturbations in a continuous wave (CW). The introduction of these minor perturbations results in the establishment of a linear expression, from which the dispersion relation of MI is derived. Numerous nonlinear systems exhibit instability, disrupting the steady-state of the system. Now for the constant wave solutions (25) we have applied plane wave expansion method to study the modulation instability (MI) phenomenon. In this case we have considered the small perturbations to the solutions of the form

$$F_j^{(5)}(t,z) = a_j^{(5)} \left(1 + \delta P_j(t,z)\right) e^{i(\theta_j(t) + \mu_j z)}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$
(43)

where $\delta \ll 1$ is infinitesimal amplitude of the perturbations and $P_j(t, z)(j = 1, 2, 3)$ are all complex functions. We substitute Eq.(43) in Eq.(1) to linearize in $P_j(t, z)(j = 1, 2, 3)$ and then we obtain the following equations

$$i\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial z} - g_1\frac{\partial^2 P_1}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\sigma_1 a_2 a_3}{a_1} \left(-P_1 + P_2^* + P_3\right) = 0,$$

$$i\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial z} - g_2\frac{\partial^2 P_2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\sigma_2 a_1 a_3}{a_2} \left(-P_2 + P_1^* + P_3\right) = 0,$$

$$i\frac{\partial P_3}{\partial z} - g_3\frac{\partial^2 P_3}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\sigma_3 a_1 a_2}{a_3} \left(-P_3 + P_1 + P_2\right) = 0.$$
(44)

Now, we look at periodic perturbation of $P_j^*(t, z)(j = 1, 2, 3)$ which diminish the differential equation Eq.(44) to a set of algebraic equations. So, we should adopt solution of Eq.(44) in the form

$$P_{1}(t,z) = f_{1}e^{i(\kappa t - \Omega z)} + f_{2}^{*}e^{-i(\kappa t - \Omega^{*}z)},$$

$$P_{2}(t,z) = f_{3}e^{i(\kappa t - \Omega z)} + f_{4}^{*}e^{-i(\kappa t - \Omega^{*}z)},$$

$$P_{3}(t,z) = f_{5}e^{i(\kappa t - \Omega z)} + f_{6}^{*}e^{-i(\kappa t - \Omega^{*}z)},$$
(45)

where the real parameter κ denotes wave number (spatial frequency) and the frequency Ω determines instability which is a complex number and f_k (k = 1, 2, 3, 4) are complex constants.

We substitute Eq.(45) into Eq.(44) and obtain the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_{1}a_{2}a_{3}}{a_{1}} - g_{1}\kappa^{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sigma_{1}a_{2}a_{3}}{a_{1}} & -\frac{\sigma_{1}a_{2}a_{3}}{a_{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{1}\kappa^{2} - \frac{\sigma_{1}a_{2}a_{3}}{a_{1}} & \frac{\sigma_{1}a_{2}a_{3}}{a_{1}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma_{1}a_{2}a_{3}}{a_{1}} \\ 0 & -\frac{\sigma_{2}a_{1}a_{3}}{a_{2}} & \frac{\sigma_{2}a_{1}a_{3}}{a_{2}} - g_{2}\kappa^{2} & 0 & -\frac{\sigma_{2}a_{1}a_{3}}{a_{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{\sigma_{2}a_{1}a_{3}}{a_{2}} & 0 & 0 & g_{2}\kappa^{2} - \frac{\sigma_{2}a_{1}a_{3}}{a_{2}} & 0 & \frac{\sigma_{2}a_{1}a_{3}}{a_{2}} \\ -\frac{\sigma_{3}a_{1}a_{2}}{a_{3}} & 0 & -\frac{\sigma_{3}a_{1}a_{2}}{a_{3}} & 0 & \frac{\sigma_{3}a_{1}a_{2}}{a_{3}} - g_{3}\kappa^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma_{3}a_{1}a_{2}}{a_{3}} & 0 & \frac{\sigma_{3}a_{1}a_{2}}{a_{3}} & 0 & g_{3}\kappa^{2} - \frac{\sigma_{3}a_{1}a_{2}}{a_{3}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{1} \\ f_{2} \\ f_{3} \\ f_{4} \\ f_{5} \\ f_{6} \end{pmatrix} = \Omega \begin{pmatrix} f_{1} \\ f_{2} \\ f_{3} \\ f_{4} \\ f_{5} \\ f_{6} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\tag{46}$$

Since the matrix elements of the eigenvalue problem (46) are real, therefore, it has six eigenvalues (real or complex) for each κ , say $\Omega_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, 6)$. Therefore, the constant wave solution is unstable that is MI occurs if the system (46) has a zero positive imaginary frequency (Ω act as the action of exponentially growth perturbation) for a fixed frequency κ . But the CW solution is always stable against the small perturbation growth if the system (46) has only real frequencies. Thus, imaginary frequency is only necessary condition for existence of MI. To study the MI for CW solution we have defined the instability gain $G(\kappa)$ in the form [39, 60]

$$G(\kappa) = \max_{i=1,2,\cdots,6} Im(\Omega_i(\kappa)).$$
(47)

The characteristic polynomial of the eigenvalue problem (46) is defined by

$$\Omega^6 + a_4 \Omega^4 + a_2 \Omega^2 + a_0 = 0, \tag{48}$$

where

$$a_{0} = 4abc^{2}xy - c^{2}x^{2}y^{2} + 4ab^{2}cxz + 4a^{2}bcyz - 4abcxyz - 2bcx^{2}yz - 2acxy^{2}z + 2cx^{2}y^{2}z - b^{2}x^{2}z^{2} - 2abxyz^{2} + 2bx^{2}yz^{2} - a^{2}y^{2}z^{2} + 2axy^{2}z^{2} - x^{2}y^{2}z^{2}$$

$$a_{2} = -4abcx + b^{2}x^{2} + 2bcx^{2} + c^{2}x^{2} - 4abcy + 2abxy - 2bx^{2}y + a^{2}y^{2} + 2acy^{2} + c^{2}y^{2} - 2axy^{2} + x^{2}y^{2} + 4abcz + 2acxz - 2cx^{2}z + 2bcyz - 2cy^{2}z + a^{2}z^{2} - 2abz^{2} + b^{2}z^{2} - 2axz^{2} + x^{2}z^{2} - 2byz^{2} + y^{2}z^{2}$$

$$a_{4} = -a^{2} + 2ab - b^{2} - 2ac - 2bc - c^{2} + 2ax - x^{2} + 2by - y^{2} + 2cz - z^{2}$$

$$(49)$$

and

$$a = \frac{\sigma_1 a_2 a_3}{a_1}, x = g_1 \kappa^2,$$

$$b = \frac{\sigma_2 a_1 a_3}{a_2}, y = g_2 \kappa^2,$$

$$c = \frac{\sigma_3 a_1 a_2}{a_3}, z = g_3 \kappa^2.$$

(50)

Therefore, the characteristic roots of the polynomial (48) are obtained from the cubic equation

$$q^3 + 3b_1q + b_0 = 0, (51)$$

where $q = \Omega^2 + \frac{a_4}{3}$, $b_1 = \frac{a_2}{3} - \frac{a_4^2}{9}$, $b_0 = a_0 - \frac{a_2a_4}{3}$. Then we can find the roots q_1, q_2, q_3 of the cubic equation (51) by Cardan's method (for details see the Appendix). Finally, we obtain the required six eigenvalues by solving three simple quadratic equations

$$\Omega^2 = q_i - \frac{a_4}{3}, \ i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{52}$$

For each κ , the maximum absolute value of imaginary parts among six characteristic roots are defined by the instability gain $G(\kappa)$. The MI of CW solutions to the second-harmonic-generation in a multidimensional dispersive medium investigated analytically in absence of second order dispersion [60].

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4. Results and discussions

In this section, we investigate the influence of group velocity dispersion and wave vector mismatch on stability of two sets of self-consistent periodic solutions expressed by Jacobi elliptic functions; two sets of one hump, two hump bright soliton solutions and a set of constant magnitude wave solution. Also, we explore the linear stability analysis by the nature of λ and propagation dynamics for diverse parameters. To find the values of λ of the eigenvalue equation (31) for a given solution $F_i^{(i)}(j=1,2,3)$, we have applied the Fourier collocation method (FCM) [61] and Finite difference method (FDM) [62]. In FCM, the Fourier coefficients are defined analytically as well as numerically for self-consistent periodic solutions and numerically for soliton solutions. The solution $F_j^{(i)}(j = 1, 2, 3)$ is unstable if perturbed eigen function increases with perturbation growth rate $\lambda_R > 0$ during propagation, where $\lambda = \lambda_R + i\lambda_I$. In this case, perturbation is the eigenvector of (31) corresponds to the eigenvalue with largest positive real part λ_R . For a given solution $F_j^{(i)}(j=1,2,3)$, if $\lambda_R \leq 0$ then we have added 5% random noise to the initial solution as a perturbation. On the other hand, the intensity profiles of solutions are verified via propagation dynamics. Now for propagation dynamics, we have added the above mentioned perturbations to the initial solution and then apply (i) Pseudospectral method for second-order spatial derivatives in the direction of t and 4th order Runge-Kutta (RK4) method for the temporal derivative [61] in the direction of z and (ii) second-order centraldifference formula for second-order spatial derivatives in the direction of t and Crank-Nicholson finite difference method for the temporal derivative [62, 63, 64] in the direction of z with the step lengths dt = 0.01 and dz = 0.0005. In order to obtain the intensity profile of TWI whose amplitudes are defined in Eqs. (8), (17), (18) and (24), we have made all the special grid points as $t_j = -L + \delta t_j$, j = 1, 2, 3, ..., N + 1 (L being the half-width), where $\delta t = 2L/N$ is taken to be the grid dividing (resolution) and both of the boundary points are denoted by j = 1 (left) and j = N + 1 (right).

4.1. Stability of periodic solutions (8)

To validate, the linear stability of Jacobi elliptic solutions (8) for ansatz I, we have applied numerical technique as well as analytical Fourier coefficients. In case of analytical method, we have considered the Fourier coefficients from (41) to find the maximum value of real parts of λ . In Fig. 3 (A), (B) we have plotted the eigenvalues of for the solutions (8) analytically and numerically respectively, for a particular set of parameters $\Delta k = -0.04$, $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 1$, $\sigma = 1$, $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1$ with the group velocity dispersion $g_1 = 1$, $g_2 = 1.1$ and $\nu^{(1)} = 0.85$ which satisfy Eq. (14). As we have mentioned earlier that the given solution is unstable if the eigen-frequencies λ have a positive real part. Now, for ansatz I, we have checked that the eigen frequencies have positive real parts (λ_R) for any values of parameters. Therefore, the solution (8) is always unstable for $0 < \nu^{(1)} < 1$. For a careful study of numerical simulation of TWI, we have considered the following boundary conditions

$$F_{j}^{(1)}(0,z) = \left(a_{j}^{(1)} + b_{j}^{(1)}\right)e^{i\mu_{j}z},$$

$$F_{j}^{(1)}(\frac{2K(\nu^{(1)})}{\alpha^{(1)}},z) = \left(a_{j}^{(1)} + b_{j}^{(1)}\right)e^{i\left(\frac{2K(\nu^{(1)})t}{2g_{j}v_{j}\alpha^{(1)}} + \mu_{j}z\right)},$$

$$F_{j}^{(1)}(t,0) = A_{j}^{(1)}(t)e^{\frac{it}{2g_{j}v_{j}}},$$

$$(53)$$

To visualize dynamics of the periodic solution we have calculated the numerical values of TWI in the domain $t \in [-L, L], z \in [0, 100]$. The initial solutions of each components develop five humps in $t \in [-L, L], L = 150$. The propagation dynamics are shown in Fig. 3 (C)-(E). From this figure one can observe that the first two components are almost similar. Each intensity profile dramatically changes the magnitude and shape with as z increases. Therefore, the self-periodic solution of the first set is unstable.



Figure 3: Plot of the unstable mode of Eqs.(2) corresponding to (8). The parameters are $\nu^{(1)} = 0.85$, $\Delta k = -0.04$, $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 1$, $\sigma = 1$, $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1$, $g_1 = 1$, $g_2 = 1.1$. In (A) eigenvalues with analytical Fourier coefficients and in (B) eigenvalues with numerical Fourier coefficients are plotted and the corresponding propagation dynamics of $F_j^{(1)}$ for j = 1, 2, 3 are compared in (C) -(E) respectively.

4.2. Stability of one hump bright soliton solutions (17)

For the one hump bright soliton, the amplitude of TWI in solution (17) is never zero but rapidly goes to a finite number at infinity, that is

$$\left. \left. \begin{array}{l} |F_{j}^{(2)}(t,z)| \to |a_{j}^{(2)}|, |t| \to \infty, \\ F_{j}^{(2)}(t,0) = A_{j}^{(2)}(t)e^{\frac{it}{2g_{j}v_{j}}} \end{array} \right\}, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

$$(54)$$

In this case we have considered the following boundary conditions

$$F_{j}^{(2)}(-L,z) = a_{j}^{(2)}e^{i\left(\frac{-L}{2g_{j}v_{j}}+\mu_{j}z\right)},$$

$$F_{j}^{(2)}(L,z) = a_{j}^{(2)}e^{i\left(\frac{L}{2g_{j}v_{j}}+\mu_{j}z\right)},$$

$$F_{j}^{(2)}(t,0) = A_{j}^{(2)}(t)e^{\frac{it}{2g_{j}v_{j}}}$$

$$\left.\right\}, j = 1, 2, 3.$$
(55)

The eigenvalue equation (35) is solved numerically by FCM in presence of $F_j^{(2)}$, j = 1, 2, 3. In particular, Fig. 4 (A) shows the numerical eigenvalues of an unstable mode for the parameters $\Delta k = -0.04$, $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 1$, $\sigma = 1$, $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1$ with the group velocity dispersion $g_1 = 1$, $g_2 = 1.1$. Under the same parameters, propagation dynamics of three component bright solitons are shown in Fig. 4 (B)-(D). The intensity profile of each component is changing the shape drastically as z changes. From Fig. 4, one can observe that, three waves represent unstable one hump bright soliton solutions. An important result we noted that one hump bright soliton solution (17) always unstable.

4.3. Stability of periodic solutions (18)

Similarly, for ansatz II, in case of analytical method, we have considered the Fourier coefficients from (41) and (42) to find the largest real part of λ . The eigenvalues are shown in Fig. 5(A), (B) using analytical and numerical Fourier coefficients



Figure 4: Plot of unstable mode of Eqs.(2) corresponding to (17). The parameters are $\nu^{(2)} = 1, \Delta k = -0.04, \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 1, \sigma = 1, v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1, g_1 = 1, g_2 = 1.1$. Fig. (A) shows the eigenvalues and Figs. (B)-(D) show comparison of propagation dynamics of $F_j^{(2)}$ for j = 1, 2, 3.

respectively for a particular values of parameters $\Delta k = 0.008$, $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 1$, $\sigma = 1$, $v_1 = -2 \times 10^8$, $v_2 = v_3 = 10^8$, $g_1 = -0.001$, $g_2 = 1.3$ and $\nu^{(3)} = 0.01$. We have found that real parts of λ s' are too small and they lie between -8×10^{-10} to 10^{-9} . Therefore, we can say that the solution (18) is stable. Similarly, for propagation dynamics of TWI we have considered the following boundary conditions

$$F_{1}^{(3)}(0,z) = \left(a_{1}^{(3)} + b_{1}^{(3)}\right)e^{i\mu_{1}z},$$

$$F_{1}^{(3)}(\frac{4K(\nu^{(3)})}{\alpha^{(3)}}, z) = \left(a_{1}^{(3)} + b_{1}^{(3)}\right)e^{i\left(\frac{4K(\nu^{(3)})t}{2g_{1}v_{1}\alpha^{(3)}} + \mu_{1}z\right)},$$

$$F_{j}^{(3)}(0,z) = a_{j}^{(3)}e^{i\mu_{j}z},$$

$$F_{j}^{(3)}(\frac{4K(\nu^{(3)})}{\alpha^{(3)}}, z) = a_{j}^{(3)}e^{i\left(\frac{4K(\nu^{(3)})t}{2g_{j}v_{j}\alpha^{(3)}} + \mu_{j}z\right)},$$

$$F_{j}^{(3)}(t,0) = A_{j}^{(3)}(t)e^{\frac{it}{2g_{j}v_{j}}}, j = 1, 2, 3,$$
(56)

and the corresponding stable propagation dynamics are shown in Fig. 5(C) -(E). Due to the boundary conditions, propagation dynamics of the second and third components are same in shape but their magnitudes are different. One can observe that for large values of group velocities the intensity profiles are very low and from Fig. 5, it is clear that $|F_1^{(3)}(t,z)| \le 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$, $|F_2^{(3)}(t,z)| \le 8.0 \times 10^{-8}$ and $|F_3^{(3)}(t,z)| \le 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$.

4.4. Stability of one, two hump bright soliton solutions (24)

Due to the presence of $\operatorname{sech}\alpha^{(4)}t \tanh \alpha^{(4)}t$ term in the amplitude of $F_j^{(4)}$, j = 2, 3, we obtain two hump bright solitons. Again the amplitude of TWI in solution (24) is never zero but rapidly goes to zero at infinity, that is

$$\left| F_{j}^{(4)}(t,z) \right| \to 0, |t| \to \infty, F_{j}^{(4)}(t,0) = A_{j}^{(4)}(t)e^{\frac{it}{2g_{j}v_{j}}} \right\}, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(57)$$



Figure 5: Plot of the stable mode of Eq.(2) corresponding to (18). The parameters are $\nu^{(3)} = 0.01, \Delta k = 0.008, \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 1, \sigma = 1, v_1 = -2 \times 10^8, v_2 = v_3 = 10^8, g_1 = -0.001, g_2 = 1.3$. Figs. (A) and (B) show the eigenvalues corresponding to numerical and analytical Fourier coefficients respectively. The propagation dynamics of $F_j^{(3)}$ for j = 1, 2, 3 are compared in Fig. (C)-(E) with 5% random noise.

which is different from one hump bright soliton (17). In this case we have considered the following boundary conditions

$$F_{j}^{(4)}(-L,z) = F_{j}^{(4)}(L,z) = 0,, F_{j}^{(4)}(t,0) = A_{j}^{(4)}(t)e^{\frac{it}{2g_{j}v_{j}}}$$
, $j = 1, 2, 3.$ (58)

To visualize, the linear stability, eigenvalues are plotted in Fig. 6 (A) for soliton solutions with wave vector mismatch $\Delta k = -0.00008, \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 1, \sigma = 1$, group velocity $v_1 = -2 \times 10^8, v_2 = v_3 = 10^8$, group velocity dispersion $g_1 = -0.001, g_2 = 1.3, \nu^{(4)} = 1$. From this figure, one can observe that the absolute values of real parts of λ s' are less than 8×10^{-10} . For the same values of the parameters, propagation of components of TWI are plotted in Fig. 6(B)-(D). It is clear from Fig. 6 (B) that first component of (24) is a stable one hump bright soliton and from Fig. 6 (C), (D) one can say that the second, third components are stable two hump bright solitons. Again one can observe that intensity profiles of stable propagation are low such that $|F_1^{(4)}(t,z)| \leq 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$, $|F_2^{(4)}(t,z)| \leq 3.0 \times 10^{-7}$ and $|F_3^{(4)}(t,z)| \leq 8.0 \times 10^{-6}$.

4.5. Stability of constant magnitude wave solution (25)

In this section, we have applied the standard numerical strategies to calculate the instability gain $G(\kappa)$ for each κ . To understand MI of CW solution, we have plotted the instability gains versus the spatial frequency κ in Fig. 7 for two sets of parameters with a fixed wave vector mismatch $\Delta k = -0.04$ in presence of group velocity dispersion. To draw the MI gain curves G_1 , G_2 we have taken values of $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \sigma, v_1, v_2, v_3 g_1, g_2$ from Figs. 4, 6 respectively and $\mu_1^{(5)} = -1$, $\mu_2^{(5)} = -1$. From Fig. 7, it is observed that each MI gain curve has a maximum value and the CW solution is unstable. The varying instability gains for different set of parametric values ensures the instability of CW solutions. In ref. [39], MI has been



Figure 6: Plot of the stable mode of Eqs.(2) corresponding to (24). The parameters are $\nu^{(4)} = 1$, $\Delta k = -0.00008$ and other parameters are taken from Fig. 5. In (A) eigenvalues of unstable mode of one and two hump bright solitons are plotted and their dynamics of $F_j^{(4)}$ for j = 1, 2, 3 are shown in Fig. (B)-(D) with 5% random noise.

compared with and without a group velocity dispersion. One can compare these results with the ref. [39]. The MI was demonstrated experimentally in a ref. [17] and also it has been studied in plasma, fluid dynamics and optics.

We observe that if $b_0^2 + 4b_1^3 = 0$, then the most unstable eigenmode occurs. The most unstable eigenmode is defined numerically as $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6) = (0.5026 + 0.0000i, -0.0061 + 0.5025i, -0.4967 - 0.0180i, -0.0120 - 0.4968i, 0.0168 - 0.0127i, -0.0129 + 0.0167i)$ to the corresponding maximum $Im(\Omega) = 0.7605$ with spatial frequency $\kappa = 0.861836$ for $\Delta k = -0.04, v_1 = 1, v_2 = 1, v_3 = 1, g_1 = 1, g_2 = 1.1, \mu_1 = -1, \mu_2 = -1, \omega_1 = 1, \omega_2 = 1, \omega_3 = 1, \sigma = 1$. The nonlinear propagation dynamics of spatial profiles $F_j^{(5)}$, j = 1, 2, 3 which are defined in Eq.(43) are presented in Fig. 8 (A)-(C) in presence of most unstable periodic plane wave perturbations where maximum $Im(\Omega) = 0.7605$ at $\kappa = 0.861836$ and $\delta = 0.01$. Form Fig. 8, one can say that intensity profile of each component of TWI increases exponentially along the propagation direction z.

As a result, we can say that the solutions in first (8) and second (17) sets are always unstable for any parameters but the solutions in third (18) and fourth (24) sets have stable modes for some particular values of parameters. Also, we conclude that CW solution (25) is always unstable.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the existence of periodic and non-periodic solutions of three wave interacting system with group velocity dispersion and wave number mismatch in a quadratic nonlinear medium. We have obtained five sets of solutions for the first time to our knowledge. Among these sets (a) two sets contain periodic waves; (b) two sets have soliton waves and (c) one set of constant wave solutions. Functional relations among the parameters have been shown for various solutions. Then investigate the linear stability analysis of five sets of solutions. For periodic solutions, Fourier coefficients



Figure 7: Instability gain for $\Delta k = -0.04$. To draw the curves G_1 , G_2 we have considered the values of $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \sigma, v_1, v_2, v_3, g_1, g_2$ from Fig. 4, 6 respectively and $\mu_1^{(5)} = -1 = \mu_2^{(5)}$.



Figure 8: Plot of dynamic evolution of intensities for $\Delta k = -0.04$, $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1$, $g_1 = g_2 = 1.1$, $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = -1$, $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = \sigma = 1$. The perturbation parameters are max $Im(\Omega) = 0.7605$ for $\kappa = 0.861836$ and $\delta = 0.01$.

have been defined numerically as well as analytically for investigating the linear stability analysis. We have noticed that solutions of the first and second sets are always unstable for any kind of wave frequencies, group velocities, group-velocity dispersion, nonlinear coupling constant and wave vector mismatch. It is to be noted that solutions of third and fourth sets are stable for small values of wave vector mismatch (Δk). For large values of group velocities intensity profile of each component of TWI is too low. Moreover, we have employed the MI to analyse the linear stability of constant wave solutions. The instability gain spectrum and its variation of CW solutions have been reported for three sets of parameters. Our model can be applied for the nonlinear optical lattices with $\chi^{(2)}$ -type non-linearity. Moreover, this proposed mathematical idea is a new approach in wave interacting system and can be applied to theoretical examples of other nonlinear dynamics and would also be used in experimental study which may open new research possibility in the field of nonlinear dynamics.

Acknowledgment

DN dedicates this article to the loving of his kind hearted teacher, late Prof. Arun Kumar Chatterjee, Bose Institute. He gratefully acknowledges financial support from TARE, DST-SERB, New Delhi (sanction order: TAR/2021/000142). AD gratefully acknowledges the financial support under FIST, DST (letter number: SR/FST/MS-I/2019/42) to the Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani. AD was also supported by DST-SERB (file number: EEQ/2022/000719). NG acknowledges financial support from SVMCM, Govt. of West Bengal.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests.

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception, design and drafting of the original manuscript. They reviewed, edited and approved the final manuscript.

Appendix: Cardan's method

Let q = u + v, then we obtain

$$q^3 - 3uvq - (u^3 + v^3) = 0. (59)$$

Now, comparing this equation with the cubic equation (51) and we obtain

$$uv = -b_1, u^3 + v^3 = -b_0,$$

$$u^3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-b_0 + \sqrt{b_0^2 + 4b_1^3} \right),$$

$$v^3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-b_0 - \sqrt{b_0^2 + 4b_1^3} \right).$$
(60)

Case 1. $b_0^2 + 4b_1^3 = \chi^2 > 0$

In this case u^3 , v^3 are real numbers. Let *s* denotes any root of $\frac{1}{2^{1/3}} (-b_0 + \chi)^{1/3}$, then the values of *u* are *s*, $\frac{(1+i\sqrt{3})}{2}s$, $\frac{(1-i\sqrt{3})}{2}s$, and the values of *v* are defined by $-\frac{b_1}{s}$, $-\frac{(1-i\sqrt{3})}{2}\frac{b_1}{s}$, $-\frac{(1+i\sqrt{3})}{2}\frac{b_1}{s}$. Then the values of *q* are defined by

$$q_{1} = s - \frac{b_{1}}{s},$$

$$q_{2} = \frac{(1 - i\sqrt{3})s}{2} - \frac{(1 + i\sqrt{3})b_{1}}{2s},$$

$$q_{3} = \frac{(1 + i\sqrt{3})s}{2} - \frac{(1 - i\sqrt{3})b_{1}}{2s}.$$
(61)

Without loss of generality we can assume $s = \frac{sign(\chi - b_0)}{2^{1/3}} |\chi - b_0|^{1/3}$, where sign(x) is the signum function gives the sign of x and it is defined by sign(x) = 1, if x > 0 and -1 if x < 0.

Case 2. $b_0^2 + 4b_1^3 = -\chi^2 < 0$

In this case

$$u^{3} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-b_{0} + i\chi \right), v^{3} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-b_{0} - i\chi \right)$$
(62)

are complex numbers. Then the values of u are defined by

$$u = \sqrt{-b_1} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{3}\right) + i\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{3}\right) \right], \ \sqrt{-b_1} \left[\cos\left(\frac{2\pi + \theta}{3}\right) + i\sin\left(\frac{2\pi + \theta}{3}\right) \right], \ \sqrt{-b_1} \left[\cos\left(\frac{4\pi + \theta}{3}\right) + i\sin\left(\frac{4\pi + \theta}{3}\right) \right],$$
(63)

and the corresponding v values are defined by

$$v = \sqrt{-b_1} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{3}\right) - i\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{3}\right) \right], \ \sqrt{-b_1} \left[\cos\left(\frac{2\pi + \theta}{3}\right) - i\sin\left(\frac{2\pi + \theta}{3}\right) \right], \ \sqrt{-b_1} \left[\cos\left(\frac{4\pi + \theta}{3}\right) - i\sin\left(\frac{4\pi + \theta}{3}\right) \right],$$
(64)

REFERENCES

where $-\pi < \theta = \tan^{-1} \left(-\frac{\chi}{b_0} \right) \le \pi$. Finally, the values of q are defined by

$$q_1 = 2\sqrt{-b_1} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{3}\right),$$

$$q_2 = 2\sqrt{-b_1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi+\theta}{3}\right),$$

$$q_3 = 2\sqrt{-b_1} \cos\left(\frac{4\pi+\theta}{3}\right).$$
(65)

Case 3. $b_0^2 + 4b_1^3 = 0$

In this case

$$u^3 = v^3 = -\frac{b_0}{2}.$$
 (66)

are real and the values of u and v are defined by

$$u = r, \frac{(1+i\sqrt{3})}{2}r, \frac{(1-i\sqrt{3})}{2}r, v = -\frac{b_1}{r}, \frac{(1-i\sqrt{3})}{2}\frac{b_1}{r}, \frac{(1+i\sqrt{3})}{2}\frac{b_1}{r}.$$
(67)

where $r = sig(-b_0) \left| -\frac{b_0}{2} \right|^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Therefore, the values of q are defined by

$$q_{1} = r - \frac{b_{1}}{r},$$

$$q_{2} = \frac{(1 - i\sqrt{3})r}{2} - \frac{(1 + i\sqrt{3})b_{1}}{2r},$$

$$q_{3} = \frac{(1 + i\sqrt{3})r}{2} - \frac{(1 - i\sqrt{3})b_{1}}{2r}.$$
(68)

References

- [1] A. D. D. Craik, Wave interactions and Fluid Flows (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985).
- [2] M. F. Hamilton, D. T. Blackstock, Nonlinear Acoustics (Academic, New York, 1998, Chap. 8, p. 14).
- [3] V. N. Tsytovich, Nonlinear Effects in Plasma (Plenum, New York, 1970).
- [4] Y. S. Kivshar, G. P. Agrawal, Optical Solitons: From Fibers to Photonic Crystals (Academic, New York, 2003).
- [5] C. J. Pethick, H. Smith, Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001).
- [6] F. Baronio, M. Conforti, A. Degasperis, S. Lombardo, Rogue Waves Emerging from the Resonant Interaction of Three Waves, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 114101.
- [7] P. Fischer, D. S. Wiersma, R. Righini, B. Champagne, A. D. Buckingham, *Three-Wave Mixing in Chiral Liquids*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 4253.
- [8] G. A. Melkov, A. A. Serga, V. S. Tiberkevich, A. N. Oliynyk, A. N. Slavin, Wave Front Reversal of a Dipolar Spin Wave Pulse in a Nonstationary Three-Wave Parametric Interaction, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 3438.
- [9] V. M. Agranovich, O. A. Dubovsky, A. M. Kamchatnov, Fermi Resonance Interface Modes: Propagation along the Interfaces, J. Phys. Chem. 98 (1994) 13607.
- [10] L. Deng, E. W. Hagley, J. Wen, M. Trippenbach, Y. Band, P. S. Julienne, J. E. Simsarian, K. Helmerson, S. L. Rolston,
 W. D. Phillips, *Four-wave mixing with matter waves*, Nature(London) **398** (1999) 218.
- [11] L. Torner, C. R. Menyuk, W. E. Torruellas, G. I. Stegeman, Two-dimensional solitons with second-order nonlinearities, Opt. Lett. 20 (1995) 13.
- [12] E. Ibragimov, A. Struthers, Second-harmonic pulse compression in the soliton regime, Opt. Lett. 21 (1996) 1582.

- [13] E. Ibragimov, A. Struthers, Three-wave soliton interaction of ultrashort pulses in quadratic media, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 14 (1997) 1472.
- [14] V. E. Zakharov, S. V. Manakov, The theory of resonance interaction of wave packets in nonlinear media, Sov. Phys. JETP 42 (1976) 842.
- [15] D. J. Kaup, The three-wave interaction: a nondispersive phenomenon, Stud. Appl. Math. 55 (1976) 9.
- [16] E. Ibragimov, All-optical switching using three-wave-interaction solitons, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 15 (1998) 97.
- [17] W. E. Torruellas, Z. Wang, D. J. Hagan, E. W. VanStryland, G. I. Stegeman, L. Torner, C. R. Menyuk, Observation of Two-Dimensional Spatial Solitary Waves in a Quadratic Medium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 5036.
- [18] R. Z. Sagdeev, D. A. Usikov, G. M. Zaslavsky, Nonlinear Physics (Harwood, New York, 1988).
- [19] P. A. Robinson, P. M. Drysdale, Phase Transition between Coherent and Incoherent Three-Wave Interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 2698.
- [20] A. M. Martins, J. T. Mendonça, Projection-operator method for the nonlinear three-wave interaction, Phys. Rev. A 31 (1985) 3898.
- [21] A. M. Martins, J. T. Mendonça, The nonlinear three-wave interaction with a finite spectral width, Phys. Fluids 31 (1988) 3286.
- [22] D. J. Kaup, A. Reiman, A. Bers, Space-time evolution of nonlinear three-wave interactions. I. Interaction in a homogeneous medium, Rev. Mod. Phys. 51 (1979) 275.
- [23] A. D. D. Craik, M. Nagata, I.M. Moroz, Second-harmonic resonance in non-conservative systems, Wave Motion 15 (1992) 173.
- [24] S. Trillo, Bright and dark simultons in second-harmonic generation, Opt. Lett. 21 (1996) 1111.
- [25] C. Montes, A. Mikhailov, A. Picozzi, F. Ginovart, Dissipative three-wave structures in stimulated backscattering. I. A subluminous solitary attractor, Phys. Rev. E 55 (1997) 1086.
- [26] C. Montes, A. Picozzi, D. Bahloul, Dissipative three-wave structures in stimulated backscattering. II. Superluminous and subluminous solitons, Phys. Rev. E 55 (1997) 1092.
- [27] D. E. Pelinovsky, A. V. Buryak, Y. S. Kivshar, Instability of Solitons Governed by Quadratic Nonlinearities, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 591.
- [28] R. A. Fuerst, D. M. Baboiu, B. Lawrence, W. E. Torruellas, G. I. Stegeman, S. Trillo, S. Wabnitz, Spatial Modulational Instability and Multisolitonlike Generation in a Quadratically Nonlinear Optical Medium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 2756.
- [29] L. Torner, D. Mazilu, D. Mihalache, Walking Solitons in Quadratic Nonlinear Media, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 2455.
- [30] A. Picozzi, P. Aschieri, Influence of dispersion on the resonant interaction between three incoherent waves, Phys. Rev. E 72 (2005) 046606.
- [31] 1. A. Pezzi, T. Comito, M.D. Bustamante, M. Onorato, Three- and four-wave resonances in the nonlinear quadratic Kelvin lattice, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 127 (2023) 107548.

- [32] A. V. Buryak, Y. S. Kivshar, S. Trillo, Stability of Three-Wave Parametric Solitons in Diffractive Quadratic Media, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 5210.
- [33] A. Picozzi, C. Montes and M. Haelterman, Coherence properties of periodic three-wave interaction driven from an incoherent pump, Phys. Rev. E 66 (2002) 056605.
- [34] A. V. Buryak, Y. S. Kivshar, Multistability of Three-Wave Parametric Self-Trapping, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 3286.
- [35] L. Gil, A. Petrossian, S. Residori, Three-wave interaction in dissipative systems: a new way towards secondary instabilities, Physica D 166 (2002) 1.
- [36] F. Calogero, A. Degasperis, Novel solution of the system describing the resonant interaction of three waves, Physica D 200 (2005) 242.
- [37] M. Conforti, F. Baronio, A. Degasperis, S. Wabnitz, Inelastic scattering and interactions of three-wave parametric solitons, Phys. Rev. E 74 (2006) 065602(R).
- [38] A. Degasperis, M. Conforti, F. Baronio, S. Wabnitz, Stable Control of Pulse Speed in Parametric Three-Wave Solitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 093901.
- [39] M. Conforti, F. Baronio, A. Degasperis, Modulational instability of dark solitons in three wave resonant interaction, Physica D 240 (2011) 1362.
- [40] A. Degasperis, M. Conforti, F. Baronio, S. Wabnitz, S. Lombardo, The Three-Wave Resonant Interaction Equations: Spectral and Numerical Methods, Lett. Math. Phys. 96 (2011) 367.
- [41] G. Huang, Exact solitary wave solutions of three-wave interaction equations with dispersion, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 (2000) 8477.
- [42] M.J. Ablowitz, H. Segur, Solitons and the inverse Scattering transformation, (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1981).
- [43] H. T. Tran, Self-induced phase-matching and three-wave bright spatial solitons in quadratic media, Opt. Commun. 118 (1995) 581.
- [44] K. Xie, A. D. Boardman, Y. D. Jiang, M. Xie, Y. T. Ye, H. J. Yang, H. M. Jiang, X. C. Yu, J. Xiao, J. Li, Stability of non-degenerate parametric soliton in quadratic media, Opt. Commun. 259 (2006) 286.
- [45] U. Peschel, C. Etrich, F. Lederer, B.A. Malomed, Vectorial solitary waves in optical media with a quadratic nonlinearity, Phys. Rev. E 55 (1997) 7704.
- [46] C. R. Menyuk, R. Schiek, L. Torner, Solitary waves due to $\chi^{(2)}$: $\chi^{(2)}$ cascading, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 11 (1994) 2434.
- [47] B.A. Malomed, D. Anderson, M. Lisak, Three-wave interaction solitions in a dispersive medium with quadratic nonlinearity, Opt. Commun. 126 (1996) 251.
- [48] D.V. Skryabin, W.J. Firth, Modulational Instability of Solitary Waves in Nondegenerate Three-Wave Mixing: The Role of Phase Symmetries, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 3379.
- [49] A. Kaplan, B.A. Malomed, Solitons in a three-wave system with intrinsic linear mixing and walkoff, Opt. Commun. 211 (2002) 323.
- [50] C. Bronski, L.D. Carr, B. Deconinck, J.N. Kutz, Bose-Einstein condensates in standing waves: The Cubic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with a Periodic Potential, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1402.

- [51] E. Kengne, R. Vaillancourt, B.A. Malomed, Bose Einstein condensates in optical lattices: the cubic quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a periodic potential, J. Phys. B, At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 41 (2008) 205202.
- [52] D. Nath, B. Roy, R. Roychoudhury, Periodic waves and their stability in competing cubic-quintic nonlinearity, Opt. Communications 393 (2017) 224.
- [53] D. Nath, N. Saha, B. Roy, Stability of (1 + 1)-dimensional coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation with elliptic potentials, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 133 (2018) 504.
- [54] S. A. Akhmanov, R. V. Khkhlov, Problems in nonlinear optics, (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1972)
- [55] Y. Shen, Z. Wen, Z. Yan and C. Hang Effect of *PT*-symmetry on nonlinear waves for three-wave interaction models in the quadratic nonlinear media, Chaos 28 (2018) 043104.
- [56] W. Han, K. E. Atkinson, Theoretical Numerical Analysis: A Functional Analysis Framework (Springer, 2009).
- [57] J. Yang, Nonlinear Waves in Integrable and Nonintegrable Systems, (SIAM, 2010).
- [58] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical functions (National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1964).
- [59] P.F. Byrd, M.D. Friedman, Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Scientist (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971).
- [60] Z.H. Muslimani, B.A. Malomed, Modulational instability in bulk dispersive quadratically nonlinear media, Physica D 123 (1998) 235.
- [61] A. Das, N. Ghosh, D. Nath, Stable modes of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with super-Gaussian and parabolic potential Phys. Lett. A 384 (2020) 126681.
- [62] N. Ghosh, A. Das, D. Nath, Stability analysis of multiple solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation with PT-symmetric potential, Nonlinear Dyn. 111 (2023) 1589.
- [63] W. Bao, Q. Tang, Z. Xu, Numerical methods and comparison for computing dark and bright solitons in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Comput. Phys. 235 (2013) 423.
- [64] D. Nath, Y. Gao, R.B. Mareeswaran, T. Kanna, B. Roy, Bright-dark and dark-dark solitons in coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation with PT-symmetric potentials, Chaos 27 (2017) 123102.