

Broadcasting Quantum Information using Finite Resources

Tiago Debarba,^{1,2,*} Marcus Huber,^{2,3,†} and Nicolai Friis^{2,3,‡}

¹*Departamento Acadêmico de Ciências da Natureza, Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná (UTFPR), Campus Cornélio Procópio, Avenida Alberto Carazzai 1640, Cornélio Procópio, Paraná 86300-000, Brazil*

²*Atominstytut, Technische Universität Wien, Stadionallee 2, 1020 Vienna, Austria*

³*Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information - IQOQI Vienna, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Boltzmannngasse 3, 1090 Vienna, Austria*

Measurements can be viewed as interactions between systems and specifically prepared pointers. Ideally, these interactions create accurate copies of the information corresponding to the diagonal of the system's density operator with respect to the measurement basis. However, establishing measurement outcomes as objective facts requires redundancy. We therefore consider the problem of unitarily distributing this information to several quantum memories. We show that the accuracy of this *broadcasting* process is limited by thermodynamic restrictions on preparing the memories in pure states: ideal broadcasting is impossible using finite resources. For finite-temperature memories we put forward a lower bound on the entropy production of the broadcasting process. This *Holevo-Landauer* bound demonstrates that the mixedness of the initial memory limits the ability to accurately broadcast information to more than one memory component, thus fundamentally restricting the creation of redundancies while maintaining the integrity of the original information. Finally, we show how the full information can be recovered in the classical limit – via coarse-graining or asymptotically as the number of subsystems of each memory component increases – thus elucidating how objective properties can emerge despite inherent imperfections.

What does it take for information about a system to be established as an objective fact? In common quantum-mechanics terminology the superficial answer is that a measurement has to be performed. Despite varying views of what exactly constitutes a measurement, a minimal requirement is an interaction of the measured system with a measurement apparatus (or “pointer”), resulting in a correlated joint state. Here we take the point of view that the emergence of objective measurement outcomes requires two additional ingredients [1]: first, the *redundant* and *robust* [2] encoding of the correlations in the degrees of freedom of the pointer, and second, the interaction of the apparatus with an external environment, which leads to equilibration of the final pointer state: For a measurement outcome to be established as an objective fact it has to be broadcasted to a sufficiently large fraction of a macroscopic apparatus. In turn, the latter equilibrates with its environment to yield an effectively irreversible transition. This ensures that the measurement outcome can be independently probed, and thus agreed upon by different observers without disturbing the result.

Here we focus on this last aspect of the measurement process. We phrase the problem of redundant encoding as one of “broadcasting” quantum information [4], i.e., the attempt to copy information represented by (part of) the measured system's initial state to a *memory* (the measurement apparatus) via quantum-mechanical interaction. As illustrated in Fig. 1, this broadcasting process is limited by the fact that we (fortunately and inescapably) live in a universe that is not at zero temperature and locally most macroscopic objects achieve (temporary) equilibrium best described by thermal states. But establishing correlations from thermodynamic equi-




FIG. 1. Sonja (\mathcal{S} , see [3]) wants to store a quantity of information X (e.g., the word “Caipirinha”), in a memory (\mathcal{M}) composed of N subsystems, such that multiple observers can access this information independently. However, if the memory has not been prepared in an ideal (pure) state, here meaning it is prepared in a thermal state, the ability to broadcast information is limited. Different memory subsystems thus contain imperfect information (e.g., the word “Capivara”).

librium has associated resource costs [5–8], and perfect correlations are only achievable from zero temperature, which in turn requires diverging resources [9]. Already the first step of any measurement procedure is thus plagued by inevitable imperfections [10] that can only be mitigated by the suitable (costly [11]) preparation of measurement devices.

As a first main result, we give a precise definition of *ideal broadcasting* and show that this task cannot be accomplished with finite resources. This insight forces us to consider *non-ideal broadcasting* procedures. To this end, we study different types of correlating interactions between the system and a thermal memory to examine

thermodynamic effects on the ability to copy and share information: *Unbiased* interactions transfer the information correctly to a single chosen (component of the) memory but disturb the original system, while *non-invasive* interactions leave the original information intact but result in imperfect copies. For thermal (generally, non-pure) memories, no interaction can be simultaneously unbiased and non-invasive [10].

As a second main result, we demonstrate that the mixedness of the initial memory places bounds on the ability to accurately broadcast information to more than one memory component. This *Holevo-Landauer* bound fundamentally limits the creation of redundancies while maintaining the integrity of the original information. However, we also identify two scenarios for mitigating finite-temperature effects in the classical limit: First, we show that post-processing several memory components allows one to fully recover the information given sufficient knowledge of the initial memory and control over its interaction with the system. Second, ideal broadcasting becomes possible asymptotically as the number of subsystems of each memory component diverges. Finally, we discuss implications for the emergence of objectivity.

Non-ideal measurements. A system \mathcal{S} contains information about a measurable quantity with possible values x in the sense that outcomes of hypothetical ideal measurements on \mathcal{S} can be described by a random variable X with probability distribution $\{p_x\}_x$. An actual measurement of the quantity can then be seen as an attempt to copy this information, the values p_x , to a memory \mathcal{M} with suitable dimension [12, 13]. However, this process of copying information is generally flawed: The information stored in the memory is represented by a different random variable Y with probability distribution $\{q_y\}_y$.

For classical systems, measurements can be thought of as not disturbing the system such that information can be freely copied by repeatedly interacting with the system. The situation is different for quantum systems: Some measurements can reliably transfer the information represented by $\{p_x\}_x$ to the memory, so that $\{q_y\}_y = \{p_x\}_x$, but may disturb the original system, leaving it to now contain information $\{\tilde{p}_x\}_x \neq \{p_x\}_x$. Following [10], these measurements are called *unbiased*, while *non-invasive* measurements do not disturb but may not correctly transfer the original information, $\{\tilde{p}_x\}_x = \{p_x\}_x \neq \{q_y\}_y$. Whether a measurement falls into one of these categories depends not merely on the specific interaction but also on the initial state of the measurement apparatus (here, \mathcal{M}). In particular, *ideal measurements*, defined as being both unbiased and non-invasive, can only be realized by unitary interactions if the initial state of \mathcal{M} is pure. Yet, the third law of thermodynamics prevents the exact preparation of pure states with finite resources (time, energy, and complexity of the involved apparatus and of the control over it, see [9]) implying that all practical measurements are non-ideal, and can thus be *unbiased* or

non-invasive, but not both simultaneously.

Non-Ideal broadcasting. Before we proceed with the analysis of non-ideal (either unbiased or non-invasive) broadcasting, a remark on the latter term is in order. The term broadcasting has previously been used in the context of quantum information to signify the extension of the no-cloning theorem [12, 13] to mixed states [4], sometimes phrased in terms of optimal cloning machines [14, 15], for a review see [16]. The central result of this programme is that quantum channels that can duplicate unknown (pure or mixed) states exactly do not exist. In particular, there is no unitary U such that $U(\rho \otimes \sigma)U^\dagger = \rho \otimes \rho$ for fixed state σ independently of the input state ρ . Here, however, we are interested only in creating copies of the information represented by the diagonal of the state with respect to a fixed measurement basis. We thus investigate a restricted broadcasting task that could in principle be performed if the memory could be prepared in a pure state. Yet, in the presence of inevitably imperfect memories, also this restricted task cannot be achieved exactly, as we will show. Keeping this difference in mind, we will (continue to) refer to the scenario here simply as “broadcasting”, and make this more precise with the following definition.

Definition 1 (Ideal broadcasting). *Let \mathcal{S} be a quantum system and \mathcal{M} be a quantum memory with N components \mathcal{M}_i such that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^N \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}_i}$, with initial states $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}$, respectively. A procedure that maps $\rho_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}}$ to $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}}$ is said to realize **ideal broadcasting** from \mathcal{S} to the components \mathcal{M}_i with respect to the quantity $\hat{X} = \sum_x x |x\rangle\langle x|$ if there exist sets of orthogonal projectors $\Pi_x^{(i)}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}_i}$ with $\Pi_x^{(i)} \Pi_{x'}^{(j)} = \delta_{xx'} \delta_{ij} \Pi_x^{(i)}$ such that*

$$p_x = \langle x | \rho_{\mathcal{S}} | x \rangle = \text{Tr}(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}} \Pi_x^{(i)}) = q_x^{(i)}, \quad (1)$$

for all x and i , and for all $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}$.

For single-component memories, this Definition 1 coincides with that of an unbiased interaction [10]. That is, for $N = 1$ ideal broadcasting is possible as long as the dimension of the memory is at least as large as that of the system, i.e., if $d_{\mathcal{M}} := \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}) \geq \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}) =: d_{\mathcal{S}}$. In general, it is clear that ideal broadcasting requires the latter condition to hold separately for each component, $d_{\mathcal{M}_i} \geq d_{\mathcal{S}}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. However, it also becomes evident that this is only a necessary and not a sufficient condition, which we will phrase in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (No ideal broadcasting). *Ideal broadcasting to multi-component memories ($N \geq 2$) is impossible with finite resources: Specifically, no procedure represented by a joint unitary on the system \mathcal{S} and any finite-dimensional ($d_{\mathcal{M}} < \infty$) memory \mathcal{M} that is initially described by a density operator $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}$ with full-rank (in particular, a thermal state of a Hamiltonian with finite energy gaps) can realize ideal broadcasting.*

Proof. For the proof, we assume that ideal broadcasting is possible for a two-component memory, $N = 2$, and show that this leads to a contradiction. The two memory components can have different Hilbert spaces and different initial states $\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{M}_2}$, but both have full rank, and their von Neumann entropies are hence some non-zero constants, without loss of generality we assume $S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_2}) \geq S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1}) > 0$. Ideal broadcasting then transforms the joint initial state $\rho_{S\mathcal{M}} = \rho_S \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}_1} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}_2}$ of system and memory to $\tilde{\rho}_{S\mathcal{M}} = U \rho_S \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}_1} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}_2} U^\dagger$. The entropy of the initial state is

$$S(\rho_{S\mathcal{M}}) = S(\rho_S) + \sum_i S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_i}) \geq S(\rho_S) + 2S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1}). \quad (2)$$

For the entropy of the final state, strong subadditivity [17] and subadditivity imply

$$S(\tilde{\rho}_{S\mathcal{M}}) \leq \sum_i S(\tilde{\rho}_{S\mathcal{M}_i}) - S(\tilde{\rho}_S) \leq \sum_i S(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}_i}) + S(\tilde{\rho}_S). \quad (3)$$

For ideal broadcasting, the final-state diagonals of the memories encode the same information as the diagonal of the original system state, and the entropy of the final memory states is upper-bounded by the Shannon entropy of X , $S(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}_i}) \leq H(\{p_x\}) = H(X)$. The final system entropy is bounded by $S(\tilde{\rho}_S) \leq \log(d_S)$, while unitarity implies $S(\rho_{S\mathcal{M}}) = S(\tilde{\rho}_{S\mathcal{M}})$. Combining this with the previous inequalities we have

$$S(\rho_S) + 2S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1}) \leq 2H(X) + \log(d_S), \quad (4)$$

which must hold also when $H(X) = S(\rho_S) = 0$, which implies $2S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1}) \leq \log(d_S)$. Since $S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1})$ is a non-zero but, in principle, arbitrarily small constant, this inequality limits the choice of the initial memory state but does not in itself yield a contradiction.

However, since the ideal broadcasting has now resulted in two final states $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}_i}$ with the same diagonal as the initial system state ρ_S , we can repeat the ideal broadcasting k times, transferring the information from two to 2^k memories. Applying the same arguments as before, the analogue expression to inequality (4) becomes

$$S(\rho_S) + 2^k S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1}) \leq 2^k H(X) + \log(d_S). \quad (5)$$

The broadcasting procedure must hold for all ρ_S , including those states for which $H(X) < S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1})$. But for any initial full-rank memory state with fixed $S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1})$ there exists a finite $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^k [S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1}) - H(X)] > \log(d_S) - S(\rho_S) \leq \log(d_S)$, violating the inequality, thus showing that ideal broadcasting is impossible. \square

Let us make two remarks: First, the contradiction already arises for $S(\rho_S) = H(X) = 0$. Indeed, for pure states an alternative proof is as follows: If $\text{rank}(\rho_S) = 1$ and the two initial memories have

$\text{rank}(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_1}) = r_1 \geq d_S$ and $\text{rank}(\rho_{\mathcal{M}_2}) = r_2 \geq d_S$, respectively, then $\text{rank}(\rho_{S\mathcal{M}_1\mathcal{M}_2}) = r_1 r_2 \geq d_S^2$, and unitarity means $\text{rank}(\rho_{S\mathcal{M}_1\mathcal{M}_2}) = \tilde{\rho}_{S\mathcal{M}_1\mathcal{M}_2}$. Meanwhile, the ideal-broadcasting condition (Definition 1) implies that the final states of both memories are also pure, $\text{rank}(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}_i}) = 1$, and hence $\text{rank}(\tilde{\rho}_{S\mathcal{M}_1\mathcal{M}_2}) = \text{rank}(\tilde{\rho}_S) \leq d_S$. Second, the proof goes through in an analogous fashion when assuming that the initial ideal broadcasting is performed with any N , leading to a factor of N^k in the final formulas. For $N = 1$, an unbiased measurement realizes ideal broadcasting. Nevertheless, thermodynamic resources must be invested even in this ideal case, and to study the limitations on non-ideal broadcasting procedures in the following, it is crucial to characterize these resources.

Holevo-Landauer bound. To quantify the amount of information copied to the memory we use the Holevo information χ . For an ensemble $\xi_{\mathcal{M}} = \{p_x, \rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}\}$ of states $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}$ occurring with probabilities p_x , it is given by [18]

$$\chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}}) - \sum_x p_x S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}), \quad (6)$$

and quantifies the maximal amount of information that can be encoded in the state $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_x p_x \rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}$. A quantity that expresses the thermodynamic cost of a physical process is the entropy production $\langle \Sigma \rangle = \beta \Delta Q + \Delta S$, where $\Delta S = S(\tilde{\rho}_S) - S(\rho_S)$ is the entropy change of the system, and $\Delta Q = \text{Tr}(H(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}} - \rho_{\mathcal{M}}))$ can be interpreted as the heat dissipated by the memory. For instance, Landauer's bound [19] for bit erasure states that $\langle \Sigma \rangle \geq 0$, and the entropy production vanishes only for processes using infinite resources [9]. For general thermodynamic processes, $\langle \Sigma \rangle$ depends on the correlations created between \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{M} [20], which can be related to the information encoded in the memory, quantified by $\chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}})$.

Theorem 2 (Holevo-Landauer bound). *For a broadcasting process $\rho_S \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}} \mapsto \tilde{\rho}_{S\mathcal{M}} = U \rho_S \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}} U^\dagger$ represented by a joint unitary on the system \mathcal{S} with initial state ρ_S and a memory \mathcal{M} initially in a thermal state $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} = \tau_{\mathcal{M}} = e^{-\beta H_{\mathcal{M}}} / \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H_{\mathcal{M}}})$ at inverse temperature β , the entropy production $\langle \Sigma \rangle$ is bounded from below by*

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle \geq \chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) + \beta \Delta F_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}})$ is the Holevo quantity with respect to $\xi_{\mathcal{M}} = \{p_x, \rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}\}$, bounding the accessible information (about the diagonal of ρ_S with respect to the chosen basis) in the memory, and $\Delta F_{\mathcal{M}} = \Delta E_{\mathcal{M}} + \beta^{-1} \Delta S_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the free-energy variation of the memory, with $\Delta E_{\mathcal{M}} = \text{Tr}(H_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}} - \rho_{\mathcal{M}}))$ and $\Delta S_{\mathcal{M}} = S(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}}) - S(\rho_{\mathcal{M}})$ for $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}} = \text{Tr}_S(\tilde{\rho}_{S\mathcal{M}})$.

Proof. For the situation we consider here, the equality form of the Landauer bound by Reeb and Wolf applies (Theorem 3 in [20]),

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle = I(\tilde{\rho}_{S\mathcal{M}}) + D(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}} \| \rho_{\mathcal{M}}), \quad (8)$$




FIG. 2. **Different forms of broadcasting to a multi-component memory.** Information can be broadcast to the memory **a)** globally, via an interaction Hamiltonian that acts non-trivially on the system and on all memory components, or **b)** locally, via consecutive pair-wise coupling of the system to the individual memory components. In the latter case, one of the memory components can contain the full system information or the information can be stored imperfectly in several components individually. However, coarse-graining over different components **c)** can lead to recover some if not all of the information.

where the relative-entropy term $D(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{M}}) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}}[\log(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}}) - \log(\rho_{\mathcal{M}})])$ can be written as $D(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}}\|\rho_{\mathcal{M}}) = \beta\Delta F_{\mathcal{M}}$ for initially thermal states $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}$, and $I(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{SM}}) = S(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}}) + S(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}}) - S(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{SM}})$ is the mutual information. The latter is non-increasing under local CPTP maps, which can be seen by noting that the mutual information is the relative entropy to a product of the reduced states, $I(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{SM}}) = D(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{SM}}\|\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{M}})$, see, e.g., [21, p. 668], and the relative entropy is non-increasing under CPTP maps Λ , $D(\rho\|\sigma) \geq D(\Lambda[\rho]\|\Lambda[\sigma])$, see, e.g., [21, p. 673], such that $I(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{SM}}) \geq I(\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}[\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{SM}}])$. Applying a local dephasing map with respect to the basis $\{|x\rangle\}_x$ on \mathcal{S} one obtains a state of the form $\sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}$, for which the mutual information is exactly the Holevo information $\chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}})$. \square

Not all of the information represented by $\chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}})$ can necessarily be extracted through a given measurement due to the potential indistinguishability of the states $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}$. The accessible information I_{acc} is the maximal information that can be obtained from the ensemble, i.e.,

$$I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = \max_{\mathcal{P} \in \text{POVM}} \left(S\left(\sum_x p_x \rho^{\mathcal{P}_x}\right) - \sum_x p_x S(\rho^{\mathcal{P}_x}) \right), \quad (9)$$

where $\rho^{\mathcal{P}_x} = M_x \rho M_x^\dagger / \text{Tr}(\mathcal{P}_x \rho)$ is the conditional state upon obtaining outcome x for a given POVM with elements $\mathcal{P}_x = M_x^\dagger M_x$ and measurement operators M_x . The Holevo quantity matches the accessible information (thus saturating the Holevo bound) if the states $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}$ are pairwise distinguishable, $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)} \rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(y)} = \delta_{x,y} (\rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)})^2$, there exists a POVM with elements $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_x$ such that $\rho^{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_x} = \rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}$. Similarly, the Holevo-Landauer bound above is tight if the final joint state has *spectrum broadcast structure* (SBS), $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{SM}} = \sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}$ with orthogonal $\rho_{\mathcal{M}}^{(x)}$ [22, 23]. Then the information is fully copied to the memory, $I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = \chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = H(X)$, which implies $\langle \Sigma \rangle \geq H(X)$.

Global and local interactions. The ideal-broadcasting scenario from Definition 1 implies the chain of equalities

$$I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}_i}) = \chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}_i}) = H(X) = S(\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{diag}}) \quad (10)$$

for all i , where $\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{diag}}$ is the initial state of \mathcal{S} subject to complete dephasing. For a single memory ($N = 1$), a global, unbiased interaction satisfies these conditions. However, for full-rank initial states of the memory, unbiased measurements are invasive; the system is disturbed and will generally not yield the same information when subjected to another unbiased measurement, in particular, the diagonal of the final system state will contain less information than that of the initial state, $S(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{diag}}) \geq S(\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{diag}})$. Globally unbiased interactions (see Fig. 2) with a multi-component memory will also not result in unbiased information in the reduced states of the individual components, as demonstrated by Theorem 1. For local, non-invasive interactions, the system can be repeatedly probed without disturbance, $S(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{diag}}) = S(\rho_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{diag}}) = H(X)$, but the information stored in the memory components—the probabilities $q_x^{(i)}$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (1)—do not match the original information $\{p_x\}_x$. Consequently, the operators $\rho_{\mathcal{M}_i}^{(x)}$ in the decomposition $\rho_{\mathcal{M}_i} = \sum_x p_x \rho_{\mathcal{M}_i}^{(x)}$ are not mutually orthogonal and the Holevo bound [18] is not tight, $I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}_i}) \leq \chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}_i}) \leq H(X)$. Broadcasting to more than one memory component thus is *non-ideal*, as summarized in Table I.

Coarse graining. Despite the non-ideality of the broadcasting procedure, full information recovery is possible via coarse-graining. Specifically, we propose a protocol using local, non-invasive interactions with $d_{\mathcal{S}} - 1$ memory components to fully recover the information $\{p_x\}_x$, given knowledge of the initial temperature and Hamiltonian of the memory. For non-invasive interactions, we have $q_y^{(i)} = \sum_x p_x a_{x,y}^{(i)}$, where $A^{(i)} = (a_{x,y}^{(i)})$ is doubly stochastic, $\sum_x a_{x,y}^{(i)} = \sum_y a_{x,y}^{(i)} = 1$. In analogy to the unbiased case [10, 25], one may choose the non-invasive interaction to maximize the diagonal of $A^{(i)}$, such that the values $a_{x,x}^{(i)}$ all have the same value $C_{\text{max}}^{(i)}$, given by

$$C_{\text{max}}^{(i)} = \max_{U \text{ non-inv.}} \sum_x \text{Tr}(|x\rangle\langle x| \otimes \Pi_x^{(i)} U \rho_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{M}} U^\dagger), \quad (11)$$

where $C_{\text{max}}^{(i)} \equiv C_{\text{max}} \forall i$ if all memory components are initially the same, and C_{max} depends only on the initial memory temperature and Hamiltonian [10]. This

Broadcasting	$N \in \mathbb{N}$	Information Relations
Ideal	$N \geq 1$	$I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = \chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = H(X) \leq S(\tilde{\rho}_S^{\text{diag}})$
Unbiased	$N = 1$	$I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{unb}}) = \chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{unb}}) = H(X) \leq S(\tilde{\rho}_S^{\text{diag}})$
Non-Invasive	$N \geq 1$	$I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{nin}}) \leq \chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{nin}}) \leq H(X) = S(\tilde{\rho}_S^{\text{diag}})$
Objectivity	$N \geq 1$	$I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = \chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = H(X) = S(\tilde{\rho}_S^{\text{diag}})$
SBS	$N \geq 1$	$I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = \chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}) = H(X) = S(\rho_S)$

TABLE I. Comparison of information relations. The table shows the relation between the accessible information $I_{\text{acc}}(\xi_{\mathcal{M}})$ and Holevo information $\chi(\xi_{\mathcal{M}})$ of the memory, the Shannon entropy $H(X)$ of the information stored in the memory, and the von Neumann entropy of the final system state $\tilde{\rho}_S$ or its completely dephased version $\tilde{\rho}_S^{\text{diag}} = \sum_x \langle x | \tilde{\rho}_S | x \rangle |x\rangle\langle x|$, for ideal broadcasting (Definition 1), and non-ideal but either unbiased or non-invasive broadcasting. Moreover, to satisfy the objectivity criterium from [24], the condition $\rho_S = \tilde{\rho}_S$ is required in addition, while spectrum broadcast structure (SBS) [22] implies $\rho_S^{\text{diag}} = \tilde{\rho}_S^{\text{diag}}$.

leaves some freedom for choosing the values $a_{x,y}^{(i)}$. In particular, for fixed index $x \neq y$, the $a_{x,y}^{(i)}$ can be the different cyclic permutations of the index y , such that $\sum_{i=1}^{d_S-1} a_{x,y}^{(i)} = \sum_{y \neq x} a_{x,y}^{(i)} = 1 - C_{\text{max}}$, while $\sum_{x \neq y} p_x = 1 - p_y$. Averaging over the probabilities of the $d_S - 1$ different memories then gives

$$q_y^{\text{av}} = \frac{1}{d_S-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d_S-1} q_y^{(i)} = p_y C_{\text{max}} + \frac{1-C_{\text{max}}}{d_S-1} (1 - p_y). \quad (12)$$

If the memory Hamiltonian and temperature are known, it is thus possible to obtain C_{max} , allowing us to fully characterize p_y by post-processing the information copied to $d_S - 1$ memory components.

Classical limit. A different kind of information recovery can be obtained when the Hilbert-space dimension of each memory component diverges, for instance, when each component consists of infinitely many identical systems at the same fixed temperature. In this limit, $C_{\text{max}} \rightarrow 1$ in Eq. (11), implying that interactions can become unbiased and non-invasive despite the full rank of the initial memory [10], thus allowing successive exact copying of the information without disturbing it—ideal broadcasting.

Emergence of objectivity. Ideal broadcasting implies information encoding with sufficient redundancy: Observables on different memory components can access the information independently. Different observers arrive at the same conclusion, allowing for the emergence of objectivity [22]. Yet, objectivity criteria as those in [22, 24] represent stricter constraints than ideal broadcasting as the latter permits disturbance of the original system state (see Table I). Realistic non-ideal measurements performed with finite resources hence do not match these objectivity criteria either, even if, as we have shown, coarse-graining and certain large-memory limits allow satisfying

them in principle. On the one hand, this ties in with similar findings regarding the interplay of thermal and objectivity in other work [27]. On the other, it may be worth considering the merit of a non-ideal notion of objectivity, where agreement among observers regarding a measurement outcome may outweigh concerns for the outcome statistics relative to the state of the measured system.

Discussion. We have analysed the problem of broadcasting classical information encoded in the diagonal of a quantum state to several memory components. After putting forward a definition for ideal broadcasting, we have shown that this is impossible with finite resources when the initial state of the memory is thermal, or more generally, of full rank. We then turned to the quantification of the thermodynamic resources for non-ideal broadcasting by introducing the Holevo-Landauer bound, relating the entropy production during the broadcasting procedure to the (Holevo) information of the memory. Finally, we have provided a view on the possibilities for non-ideal broadcasting using local and global interactions that are either unbiased or non-invasive, and the recovery of the full information via coarse-graining or in the classical limit of infinitely large memory components.

The results we have presented contribute an important reference point to the discussion of the emergence of objectivity in quantum measurements (see, e.g., [22, 24]) especially with a view towards models of dynamical equilibration and the *measurement equilibration hypothesis* [1, 26].

Our work further sheds light on quantifying memory capacity as a thermodynamic resource, connecting to recent results [28], which demonstrate that the asymptotic thermodynamic capacity of the memory (as captured by the channel capacity of the thermal operation that records the information in the memory) is equal to the free energy of the memory.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Pharnam Bakhshinejad, Felix C. Binder, Mile Gu, Maximilian P. E. Lock, Fernando de Melo, Emanuel Schwarzahans, and Jake Xuereb for fruitful discussions, and we thank Yog-Sothoth for insights into space, time, and gates. T.D. acknowledges support from ÖAW-JESH-Programme and the Brazilian agencies CNPq (Grant No. 441774/2023-7 and 200013/2024-6) and INCT-IQ through the project (465469/2014-0). N.F. acknowledges support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the project P 31339-N27 and through the project P 36478-N funded by the European Union - NextGenerationEU, as well as from the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research via the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG) through the flagship project HPQC (FO999897481) funded by the European Union - NextGenerationEU. M.H acknowledges funding from the FQXi Grant Number: FQXi-IAF19-07 from the Foundational Questions Institute Fund, a donor advised fund

of Silicon Valley Community Foundation, and from the European Research Council (Consolidator grant 'Cocquest' 101043705). This publication was made possible through the support of Grant 62423 ("Emergence of Objective Reality: From Qubit to Oscilloscope") and Grant 62179 ("Bridging physical theories: how large-scale laws emerge from the perspective of algorithmic information theory and quantum many-body physics") from the John Templeton Foundation. The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the John Templeton Foundation. No Capybaras were harmed as a result of this research project.

-
- [1] Emanuel Schwarzhans, Felix C. Binder, Marcus Huber, and Maximilian P. E. Lock, *Quantum measurements and equilibration: the emergence of objective reality via entropy maximisation*, [arXiv:2302.11253](#) (2023).
- [2] Note the slight variation with respect to the recent use of the terms redundancy and consensus in Dario A. Chisholm, Luca Innocenti and G. Massimo Palma, *The meaning of redundancy and consensus in quantum objectivity*, *Quantum* **7**, 1074 (2023), [arXiv:2211.09150](#).
- [3] Named in honour of Brazilian physicist Sonja Ashauer, who was the first Brazilian woman to earn a doctorate in physics, see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sonja_Ashauer.
- [4] Howard Barnum, Carlton M. Caves, Christopher A. Fuchs, Richard Jozsa, and Benjamin Schumacher, *Non-commuting Mixed States Cannot Be Broadcast*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 2818 (1996), [arXiv:quant-ph/9511010](#).
- [5] Marcus Huber, Martí Perarnau-Llobet, Karen V. Hovhannisyan, Paul Skrzypczyk, Claude Klöckl, Nicolas Brunner, and Antonio Acín, *Thermodynamic cost of creating correlations*, *New J. Phys.* **17**, 065008 (2015), [arXiv:1404.2169](#).
- [6] David E. Bruschi, Martí Perarnau-Llobet, Nicolai Friis, Karen V. Hovhannisyan, and Marcus Huber, *The thermodynamics of creating correlations: Limitations and optimal protocols*, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 032118 (2015), [arXiv:1409.4647](#).
- [7] Giuseppe Vitagliano, Claude Klöckl, Marcus Huber, and Nicolai Friis, *Trade-off between work and correlations in quantum thermodynamics*, in *Thermodynamics in the Quantum Regime*, edited by Felix Binder, Luis A. Correa, Christian Gogolin, Janet Anders, and Gerardo Adesso (Springer, 2019) Chap. 30, pp. 731–750, [arXiv:1803.06884](#).
- [8] Faraj Bakhshinezhad, Fabien Clivaz, Giuseppe Vitagliano, Paul Erker, Ali T. Rezakhani, Marcus Huber, and Nicolai Friis, *Thermodynamically optimal creation of correlations*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52**, 465303 (2019), [arXiv:1904.07942](#).
- [9] Philip Taranto, Faraj Bakhshinezhad, Andreas Bluhm, Ralph Silva, Nicolai Friis, Maximilian P. E. Lock, Giuseppe Vitagliano, Felix C. Binder, Tiago Debarba, Emanuel Schwarzhans, Fabien Clivaz, and Marcus Huber, *Landauer Versus Nernst: What is the True Cost of Cooling a Quantum System?* *PRX Quantum* **4**, 010332 (2023), [arXiv:2106.05151](#).
- [10] Yelena Guryanova, Nicolai Friis, and Marcus Huber, *Ideal projective measurements have infinite resource costs*, *Quantum* **4**, 222 (2020), [arXiv:1805.11899](#).
- [11] Philip Taranto, Patryk Lipka-Bartosik, Nayeli A. Rodríguez-Briones, Martí Perarnau-Llobet, Nicolai Friis, Marcus Huber, and Pharnam Bakhshinezhad, *Cooling Quantum Systems with Finite Resources: Optimal and Attainable Protocols*, (in preparation, 2024).
- [12] Dennis Dieks, *Communication by EPR devices*, *Phys. Lett. A* **92**, 271 (1982).
- [13] William K. Wootters and Wojciech Hubert Zurek, *A single quantum cannot be cloned*, *Nature* **299**, 802 (1982).
- [14] Nicolas Gisin and Serge Massar, *Optimal Quantum Cloning Machines*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2153 (1997), [arXiv:quant-ph/9705046](#).
- [15] Reinhard F. Werner, *Optimal cloning of pure states*, *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 1827 (1998), [arXiv:quant-ph/9804001](#).
- [16] Valerio Scarani, Sofyan Iblisdir, Nicolas Gisin, and Antonio Acín, *Quantum cloning*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77**, 1225 (2005), [arXiv:quant-ph/0511088](#).
- [17] Elliott H. Lieb and Mary Beth Ruskai, *Proof of the strong subadditivity of quantum-mechanical entropy*, *J. Math. Phys.* **14**, 1938–1941 (1973).
- [18] Alexander S. Holevo, *Bounds for the quantity of information transmitted by a quantum communication channel*, *Problems Inform. Transmission* **9**, 177 (1973), url: <http://mi.mathnet.ru/eng/ppi903>.
- [19] Rolf Landauer, *Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process*, *IBM J. Res. Dev.* **5**, 183 (1961).
- [20] David Reeb and Michael M. Wolf, *An improved Landauer Principle with finite-size corrections*, *New J. Phys.* **16**, 103011 (2014), [arXiv:1306.4352](#).
- [21] Reinhold A. Bertlmann and Nicolai Friis, *Modern Quantum Theory – From Quantum Mechanics to Entanglement and Quantum Information* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, U.K., 2023).
- [22] Ryszard Horodecki, J. K. Korbicz, and Paweł Horodecki, *Quantum origins of objectivity*, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 032122 (2015), [arXiv:1312.6588](#).
- [23] Thao P. Le and Alexandra Olaya-Castro, *Strong Quantum Darwinism and Strong Independence are Equivalent to Spectrum Broadcast Structure*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 010403 (2019), [arXiv:1803.08936](#).
- [24] Harold Ollivier, David Poulin, and Wojciech H. Zurek, *Objective properties from subjective quantum states: Environment as a witness*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 220401 (2004).
- [25] Tiago Debarba, Gonzalo Manzano, Yelena Guryanova, Marcus Huber, and Nicolai Friis, *Work estimation and work fluctuations in the presence of non-ideal measurements*, *New J. Phys.* **21**, 113002 (2019), [arXiv:1902.08568](#).
- [26] Sophie Engineer, Tom Rivlin, Sabine Wollmann, Mehul Malik, and Maximilian P. E. Lock, *Equilibration of objective observables in a dynamical model of quantum measurements*, (in preparation, 2024).
- [27] Thao P. Le, Andreas Winter, and Gerardo Adesso, *Thermality versus objectivity: Can they peacefully coexist?* *Entropy* **23**, 1506 (2021), [arXiv:2109.13265](#).
- [28] Varun Narasimhachar, Jayne Thompson, Jiajun Ma, Gilad Gour, and Mile Gu, *Quantifying Memory Capacity as a Quantum Thermodynamic Resource*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 060601 (2019), [arXiv:1806.00025](#).