

# ABSENCE OF GROUND STATES FOR ANIONS

YUKIMI GOTO<sup>†</sup>

ABSTRACT. We show that the  $N$ -electron Hamiltonian  $H(N, Z)$  with the total nuclear charge  $Z$  has no normalizable ground state if the ground state energy  $E(N, Z)$  satisfies  $E(N, Z) = E(N - 1, Z)$  for  $Z = N - 1$ . For anions  $\text{He}^-$ ,  $\text{Be}^-$ ,  $\text{N}^-$ ,  $\text{Ne}^-$ , etc., many numerical results give strong evidence of the condition  $E(N, Z) = E(N - 1, Z)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider Coulomb systems with  $N$ -electrons and  $K$  static nuclei with positive charge  $z_1, \dots, z_K > 0$  located at  $R_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Our notation for the total nuclear charge is  $Z := \sum_{j=1}^K z_j$ . The Hamiltonian of the system is given by

$$H(N, Z) := T_N + V_{N,Z},$$

where

$$T_N := - \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i, \quad V_{N,Z} := - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K z_j |x_i - R_j|^{-1} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} |x_i - x_j|^{-1}.$$

The terms  $-\Delta_i$  and  $|x_i - R_j|^{-1}$  describe the kinetic energy for the  $i$ -th electron and the electric potential produced by the  $j$ -th nucleus, respectively. Also, the potential  $|x_i - x_j|^{-1}$  stands for the electron-electron repulsive interaction between the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th electron. The operator  $H(N, Z)$  is self-adjoint on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  with domain  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ , and its quadratic form domain is  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ . Here  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  stands for the usual Sobolev spaces. According to the Pauli exclusion principle, wave functions for fermions must be anti-symmetric, i.e., for all  $i \neq j$

$$\psi(\dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots) = -\psi(\dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots).$$

Here we ignore the electron spins for simplicity, since they play no essential role in our discussion. The subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  consisting of all anti-symmetric functions is denoted by  $L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ . The ground state energy of the system is defined by

$$E(N, Z) := \inf \text{spec}(H(N, Z)) = \inf_{\substack{\psi \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \\ \psi \neq 0}} \frac{\langle \psi, H(N, Z)\psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle}.$$

Although the energy  $E(N, Z)$  is not necessarily an eigenvalue, if  $E(N, Z)$  has a minimizer  $\psi$ , then it is an eigenfunction of  $H(N, Z)$  obeying the Schrödinger equation

---

<sup>†</sup>Kyushu University, Faculty of Mathematics, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan, Email: yukimi@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp.

$H(N, Z)\psi = E(N, Z)\psi$ . Such an eigenstate corresponding to the eigenvalue  $E(N, Z)$  is called the ground state of  $H(N, Z)$ . The celebrated HVZ theorem, by the work of Hunziker, van Winter and Zhislin (see, e.g., [21, Theorem 11.2]), states that the essential spectrum of  $H(N, Z)$  is precisely  $\text{ess.spec}(H(N, Z)) = [E(N-1, Z), \infty)$ . In particular, the ground state energy satisfies  $E(N, Z) \leq E(N-1, Z)$  for all  $N$ , and, under the assumption  $E(N, Z) < E(N-1, Z)$  there exists a ground state of  $H(N, Z)$ . Moreover, Zhislin [19, 22] proved that if  $N < Z + 1$  then  $E(N, Z) < E(N-1, Z)$  holds true and hence  $E(N, Z)$  becomes an eigenvalue of  $H(N, Z)$ . On the other hand, in the opposite regime  $N \geq Z + 1$  (i.e., negatively charged ions), ground states may not exist. In fact, it is a theorem of Lieb [17, 19] that there is no ground of  $H(N, Z)$  for  $N \geq 2Z + K$ . This is the famous proof for absence of the dianion  $\text{H}^{2-}$  (the system for  $N = 3$ ,  $Z = 1$ , and  $K = 1$ ). It is conjectured that the maximum number of electrons,  $N_c$ , such that  $H(N, Z)$  has a ground state satisfies  $N_c \leq Z + K$ . In other words, any doubly charged ion  $\text{X}^{2-}$  cannot be bound. We refer [19] for further information.

The critical case  $N = Z + 1$  is much more complicated. In fact, there are two possibilities, either  $E(N, Z) < E(N-1, Z)$  and  $E(N, Z) = E(N-1, Z)$  for  $N = Z + 1$ . It is well-known that, in the atomic case,  $E(2, 1) < E(1, 1)$  holds true by the Hylleraas type calculation [20], and thus the hydrogen anion  $\text{H}^-$  has a ground state [4, 9]. On the other hand, for the system with  $N = 3, 5, 8, 11, \dots$ , corresponding to the anions  $\text{He}^-, \text{Be}^-, \text{N}^-, \text{Ne}^-, \dots$ , numerical calculations [5, 12] strongly suggest  $E(N, N-1) = E(N-1, N-1)$ . Typically, the equality  $E(N, N-1) = E(N-1, N-1)$  is interpreted as indicating instability of the anions. However, mathematically, this condition only states that  $E(N, N-1)$  is in the essential spectrum. Indeed, it was shown that there is a ground state of  $H(N, Z_c)$  with a critical charge  $Z_c$  obeying  $Z_c < N-1$ ,  $E(N, Z_c) = E(N-1, Z_c)$  and  $E(N, Z) < E(N-1, Z)$  for  $Z > Z_c$  [3, 8, 10]. Although the cases  $N = Z + 1$  and  $E(N, Z) = E(N-1, Z)$  are treated in [7, 11], the subspace of wave functions is restricted. In [11], M. and T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof showed that in the triplet S-sector,  $H(2, 1)$  atom has no ground state, where the admissible functions in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^6)$  are restricted to depend only on  $|x_1|, |x_2|$  and  $x_1 \cdot x_2$ . The author of the present paper [7] has shown the absence of a ground state of  $H(N, N-1)$  when  $E(N, N-1) = E(N-1, N-1)$ , but without the anti-symmetry assumption. Furthermore, the case  $E(N, N-1) = E(N-1, N-1)$  for bosonic systems is unknown, e.g., Høegreave [13] proved that the bosonic helium anion  $\text{He}^-$  exists, namely binding  $E_{\text{boson}}(3, 2) < E_{\text{boson}}(2, 2)$  occurs.

Our main goal in this paper is to show that

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $E(N, N-1) = E(N-1, N-1)$ . Then  $H(N, N-1)$  has no normalizable ground state in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ .*

Here, the normalizable ground state means that  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  does not vanish on a set of positive measure:  $|\{X_N \in \mathbb{R}^{3N} : \psi(X_N) \neq 0\}| > 0$ , i.e., we may assume that  $H(N, Z)\psi = E(N, Z)\psi$  and  $\langle \psi, \psi \rangle = 1$ . Hence, when  $E(N, N-1) = E(N-1, N-1)$ , if we can take a  $\psi \neq 0$  such that  $H(N, N-1)\psi = E(N, N-1)\psi$ , then  $\psi$  cannot be

localized, that is,  $\psi \notin L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ . Physically, this corresponds to the non-existence of stable (long-lived) anions.

Our theorem generalizes the result of [7] to fermions. The proofs of [7, 11] are very similar and rely on the positivity of ground states, and thus do not work directly for fermion states  $\psi \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ . Nevertheless, the proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the same elementary ideas as [7, 11], inspired by Lieb's comparison argument [16, Lemma 7.18]. In contrast to [7, 11, 16], instead of the positivity of ground states, we will rely on the unique continuation principle for Coulomb systems, recently proved by Garrigue [6]. The unique continuation principle means that if a solution  $\psi$  vanishes on a set of positive measure, then it is identically zero. In other words, every normalizable ground state  $\psi$  of  $H(N, Z)$  does not vanish almost everywhere:  $|\{X_N \in \mathbb{R}^{3N} : \psi(X_N) = 0\}| = 0$ . Garrigue's unique continuation theorem [6] plays a crucial role in our proof. In fact, it allows us to multiply the Schrödinger equation by  $\psi^{-1}$  as in [7, 11, 16] (see equations (2.5) and (2.6) below).

In the proof, we will not use any properties coming from the subspace  $L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ . Thus, our proof also works for the systems considered in [7, 11]. Moreover, including spin variables does not affect the present argument because the unique continuation principle is still valid for  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}; \mathbb{C}^{q^N})$  [6].

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

The proof proceeds by reductio ad absurdum. Namely, we assume that, for  $N = Z + 1$  and  $E(N, Z) = E(N - 1, Z)$ , there is a ground state  $\psi \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  such that  $\langle \psi, \psi \rangle = 1$ . The ground state  $\psi$  belongs to  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  due to the Schrödinger equation  $H(N, Z)\psi = E(N, Z)\psi$ , and by the unique continuation principle [6], it does not vanish almost everywhere. Moreover, it was shown by Kato [14] that the solution  $\psi$  is locally Lipschitz:  $\psi \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  and  $\nabla\psi \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ . Besides, we may assume that  $\psi$  is a real-valued function. Collectively, the set  $\mathcal{N} := \{X_N \in \mathbb{R}^{3N} : \psi(X_N) = 0\}$  has measure zero, and  $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^{3N} \setminus \mathcal{N}$  is open.

Following [7, 11, 16], we introduce the spherical average with respect to the variable  $x_N = (r_N, \omega_N)$  of a function  $u : \mathbb{R}^{3N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$[u]_{x_N}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, r_N) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u(x_1, \dots, x_N) \frac{d\omega_N}{4\pi},$$

where  $d\omega_N$  is the spherical measure on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . Also, we define a continuous function  $f$  as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \begin{cases} \exp([\ln |\psi|]_{x_N}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, r_N)) & \text{on } \Omega \\ 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{N}. \end{cases}$$

In our proof, we need the following crucial lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , it holds that  $\nabla_i f \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  and  $\Delta_i f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  as the weak derivative, and*

$$\left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi}{\psi} \right]_{x_N} f \geq \Delta_i f, \quad (2.1)$$

*in the sense of distributions.*

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as [7, Lemma 1] and [16, Lemma 7.17]. First, we note  $f \leq [|\psi|]_{x_N} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  by Jensen's inequality. From now on,  $(\rho_n)_n$  denotes a sequence of mollifiers in the sense  $\rho_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ ,  $\rho_n \geq 0$ , and  $\int \rho_n = 1$ . Let  $\psi_n := \rho_n \star \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ , where  $\star$  stands for the convolution. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  and  $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$  uniformly on any compact set (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 2.29]). We also introduce  $f_n := \exp([\ln |\psi_n|]_{x_N})$  on  $\Omega$ . Then, by the mean value theorem for calculus, we see  $|f_n - f| \leq C[|\psi_n - \psi|]_{x_N}$  and thus  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on any compact set. Using  $\Delta_N [u]_{x_N} = [\Delta_N u]_{x_N}$  for real-valued  $u \in C^2$ , a direct computation shows that for  $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i f_n &= f_n \left( \Delta_i [\ln |\psi_n|]_{x_N} + |\nabla_i [\ln |\psi_n|]_{x_N}|^2 \right) \\ &= f_n \left( \left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi_n}{\psi_n} \right]_{x_N} - \left[ \left| \frac{\nabla_i \psi_n}{\psi_n} \right|^2 \right]_{x_N} + |\nabla_i [\ln |\psi_n|]_{x_N}|^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where we have also used the formula  $\nabla \ln |u| = \nabla u / u$  for real-valued  $u$ .

Next, we claim that for any compact  $K \subset \Omega$  and for any  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\Delta_i f_n$  converges to

$$F_i := f \left( \left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi}{\psi} \right]_{x_N} - \left[ \left| \frac{\nabla_i \psi}{\psi} \right|^2 \right]_{x_N} + |\nabla_i [\ln |\psi|]_{x_N}|^2 \right), \quad (2.3)$$

in  $L^1(K)$ . Since  $\psi$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^{3N}$  and  $\psi \neq 0$  on  $\Omega$ , for any compact  $K \subset \Omega$ , there are  $C_i > 0$  such that  $C_1 \geq |\psi| \geq C_2$  on  $K$ . Also,  $|\psi_n|$  is strictly positive and bounded on  $K$ . Using  $|a_n b_n - ab| = |(a_n - a)b_n + (b_n - b)|$ , on  $K$ , we have for  $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi_n}{\psi_n} \right]_{x_N} f_n - \left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi}{\psi} \right]_{x_N} f \right| &\leq \left| \left[ \frac{\psi \Delta_i (\psi_n - \psi) + (\psi - \psi_n) \Delta_i \psi}{\psi_n \psi} \right]_{x_N} f_n \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi}{\psi} \right]_{x_N} (f_n - f) \right| \\ &\leq C [|\Delta_i (\psi_n - \psi)| + |\psi_n - \psi| |\Delta_i \psi| + |f_n - f| |\Delta_i \psi|]_{x_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  and  $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$  uniformly on  $K$  and  $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ , the right-hand side of (2.4) goes to zero in  $L^1(K)$ . Similarly, we deduce from  $|a_n^2 - a^2| \leq$

$(|a_n| + |a|)|a_n - a|$  and  $\nabla_i \psi \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  that

$$\left| \left[ \frac{\nabla_i \psi_n}{\psi_n} \right]_{x_N} f_n - \left[ \frac{\nabla_i \psi}{\psi} \right]_{x_N} f \right| \leq C(|f_n - f| + |\psi_n - \psi| + |\nabla_i \psi_n - \nabla_i \psi|) \rightarrow 0$$

in  $L^1(K)$ . The similar calculation shows that the third term in (2.2) goes to the counter part of (2.3) in  $L^1(K)$ . Combining these results implies the desired convergence  $\Delta_i f_n \rightarrow F_i$  in  $L^1(K)$ . This also shows  $F_i = \Delta_i f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  as the weak derivative. The proof of  $\nabla_i f \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  is easily obtained from  $\nabla \psi \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ .

Finally, we prove the inequality (2.1). By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|\nabla_i [\ln |\psi_n|]_{x_N}|^2 \leq \left[ \left| \frac{\nabla_i \psi_n}{\psi_n} \right|^2 \right]_{x_N}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Then (2.2) leads to

$$\Delta_i f_n \leq \left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi_n}{\psi_n} \right]_{x_N} f_n, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Hence for any non-negative  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \phi \left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi}{\psi} \right]_{x_N} f &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \phi \left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi_n}{\psi_n} \right]_{x_N} f_n \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \phi \Delta_i f_n \\ &= \int_\Omega \phi \Delta_i f, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the conclusion.  $\square$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.1. From the Schrödinger equation for  $\psi$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[ \frac{(H(N, Z) - E(N, Z)) \psi}{\psi} \right]_{x_N} f \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( - \left[ \frac{\Delta_i \psi}{\psi} \right]_{x_N} - \sum_{j=1}^K z_j |x_i - R_j|^{-1} \right) f + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N-1} |x_i - x_j|^{-1} f \\ &\quad - \left[ \frac{\Delta_N \psi}{\psi} \right]_{x_N} f - \sum_{j=1}^K z_j [|x_N - R_j|^{-1}]_{x_N} f + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} [|x_i - x_N|^{-1}]_{x_N} f \\ &\quad - E(N-1, Z) f, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where we have used the assumption  $E(N, Z) = E(N-1, Z)$ . By Newton's theorem (see, e.g., [19, Theorem 5.2]), we have for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$[|y - x_N|^{-1}]_{x_N} = \min(|y|^{-1}, |x_N|^{-1}).$$

Then, for  $|x_N| > R := \max_{1 \leq j \leq K} |R_j|$ , we obtain from  $Z = N - 1$  that

$$-\sum_{j=1}^K z_j [|x_N - R_j|^{-1}]_{x_N} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} [|x_i - x_j|^{-1}]_{x_N} \leq 0.$$

Now Lemma 2.1 yields the distributional inequality

$$0 \leq H(N - 1, Z)f - E(N - 1, Z)f - \Delta_N f \quad (2.6)$$

on  $|x_N| > R$ . From Zhislin's theorem [22], by  $Z = N - 1$ , we can take a normalized ground state  $\varphi \in L_a^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$  of  $H(N - 1, Z)$  such that  $H(N - 1, Z)\varphi = E(N - 1, Z)\varphi$ ,  $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle = 1$ , and  $\varphi \neq 0$  a.e.  $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$  by the unique continuation principle [6]. Taking any non-negative  $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\text{supp}(h) \subset \{x : |x| > R\}$ , we define

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})h(x_N).$$

Since  $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ , the function  $g$  is also in the Sobolev space  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ . Next, we want to use an integration by parts, so that we need to approximate  $|g|$  by non-negative functions in  $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ . According to density, there exists a sequence  $g_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $g_\varepsilon \rightarrow |g|$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then, it is well-known [2, Theorem 2.29] that  $\rho_n \star g_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Therefore, we have for  $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$\langle \rho_n \star g_\varepsilon, \Delta_i f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} = \langle \Delta_i (\rho_n \star g_\varepsilon), f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} = \langle \Delta_i \rho_n \star g_\varepsilon, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})}.$$

We note that, by Young's inequality,

$$\|\Delta_i \rho_n \star (g_\varepsilon - |g|)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} \leq \|\Delta_i \rho_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} \|g_\varepsilon - |g|\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{N} = \{\psi = 0\}$  has measure zero,  $g_\varepsilon \rightarrow |g|$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  implies that the right-hand side goes to zero. Then, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we see

$$\langle \rho_n \star g_\varepsilon, \Delta_i f \rangle \rightarrow \langle \Delta_i \rho_n \star |g|, f \rangle = \langle \Delta_i (\rho_n \star |g|), f \rangle.$$

Therefore, multiplying (2.6) by  $\rho_n \star g_\varepsilon$  and partially integrating yield

$$0 \leq \langle (H(N - 1, Z) - E(N - 1, Z) - \Delta_N) (\rho_n \star |g|), f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})}. \quad (2.7)$$

To the next step, we rely on the following Kato's inequality.

**Lemma 2.2** (Kato [15]). *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. For any function  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(A)$  with  $\Delta u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(A)$ , it holds that*

$$\Delta|u| \geq \text{Re}(\text{sgn} \bar{u} \cdot \Delta u)$$

in the sense of distribution, where

$$\text{sgn} \bar{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{u(x)}}{|u(x)|}, & u(x) \neq 0 \\ 0, & u(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We now further take a sequence  $f_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Applying Kato's inequality to the function  $g \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ , we obtain that for the kinetic energy operator  $T_N = -\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_N(\rho_n \star |g|), f_\varepsilon \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \rho_n(y) |g(x-y)| dy \right) T_N f_\varepsilon(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |g(x-y)| T_N f_\varepsilon(x) dx \right) \rho_n(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \operatorname{Re} [\operatorname{sgn} \bar{g}(x-y) \cdot T_N g(x-y)] f_\varepsilon(x) dx \right) \rho_n(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} (\rho_n \star \operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{sgn} \bar{g} \cdot T_N g))(x) f_\varepsilon(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now recall the fact that for any  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ , it follows that  $u \star \rho_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, by  $g \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ , and  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_N(\rho_n \star |g|), f \rangle &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle T_N(\rho_n \star |g|), f_\varepsilon \rangle \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} (\rho_n \star \operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{sgn} \bar{g} \cdot T_N g))(x) f(x) dx \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{sgn} \bar{g} \cdot T_N g)(x) f(x) dx, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

From  $h \geq 0$  and the Schrödinger equation  $H(N-1, Z)\varphi = E(N-1, Z)\varphi$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{sgn} \bar{g} \cdot T_N g) &= \operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{sgn} \bar{\varphi} \cdot T_{N-1} \varphi) h(x_N) - |\varphi| \Delta_N h(x_N) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{sgn} \bar{\varphi} \cdot (-V_{N-1, Z} \varphi + E(N-1, Z)\varphi)) h(x_N) - |\varphi| \Delta_N h(x_N) \\ &= -V_{N-1, Z} |g| + E(N-1, Z) |g| - \Delta_N |g|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Combining (2.7) with (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle \operatorname{Re} (\operatorname{sgn} \bar{g} \cdot T_N g) + V_{N-1, Z} |g| - E(N-1, Z) |g|, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} \\ &= \langle -\Delta_N |g|, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} \\ &= \langle -\Delta h, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

for any non-negative  $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subset \{|x| > R\}$ , where we have defined

$$v(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} |\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})| f(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x) dx_1 \cdots dx_{N-1}.$$

This means that  $v$  is superharmonic on  $|x| > R$  in the sense of distribution. Since  $E(N-1, N-1)$  is in the discrete spectrum of  $H(N-1, N-1)$ , the eigenfunction  $\varphi$  decays exponentially [1]: there exist constants  $\delta > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that  $|\varphi(X_{N-1})| \leq C e^{-\delta |X_{N-1}|}$  for any  $X_{N-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$ . This implies that  $v$  is continuous by the dominated convergence theorem. Using  $v > 0$  almost everywhere and the mean value inequality for superharmonic functions [18, Theorem 9.3], we observe that  $v > 0$  on the set of

$|x| > R + 1$ , and therefore there is a constant  $C_R > 0$  such that  $v(x) \geq C_R|x|^{-1}$  for any  $x$  with  $|x| = R + 1$ .

Now we define the harmonic function  $u(x) := C_R|x|^{-1}$ . Then we find that on  $|x| > R + 1$

$$-\Delta(u - v) \leq 0.$$

Since  $v$  and  $u$  are continuous,  $u - v$  has its maximum on  $\{x: |x| = R + 1\} \cup \{+\infty\}$  from the strong maximum principle (see, e.g., [18, Theorem 9.4]). We deduce from the positivity of  $v$  that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} (u(x) - v(x)) \leq 0.$$

Combining this with  $u \leq v$  on  $|x| = R + 1$ , we have  $u \leq v$  in  $|x| \geq R + 1$ . Then it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(x)^2 dx \geq C_R^2 \int_{|x| > R+1} |x|^{-2} dx = +\infty.$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and  $f \leq [|\psi|]_{x_N}$  from Jensen’s inequality, we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} +\infty &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(x)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) f(x_1, \dots, x_N) dx_1 \cdots dx_{N-1} \right)^2 dx_N \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} f(x_1, \dots, x_N)^2 dx_1 \cdots dx_N \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} [|\psi|]_{x_N}(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, r_N)^2 dx_1 \cdots dx_N \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N)|^2 dx_1 \cdots dx_N, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $\varphi$  is normalized  $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})} = 1$ . This contradicts  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ , and thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The author thanks Itaru Sasaki for helpful discussions. She also thanks Shu Nakamura and Kazuhiro Kurata for valuable comments on improving the presentation. Partial financial support from JSPS Kakenhi Grant Number 23K12989 is gratefully acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, *Lectures on exponential decay of solutions of second order elliptic equations. Bounds on eigenfunctions of  $N$ -body Schrodinger operators*, Mathematical Notes, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982
- [2] A. Adams and J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, Second Edition, Academic Press, 2003.
- [3] J. Bellazzini, R. L. Frank, E. H. Lieb, and R. Seiringer, *Existence of ground states for negative ions at the binding threshold*, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **26** 1350021 (2014).
- [4] H. A. Bethe, *Berechnung der Elektronenaffinität des Wasserstoffs*, *Z. Physik* **57** 815–821 (1929).



- [5] D. M. Feldmann and F. W. King, *Upper bound to the critical binding nuclear charge for a three-electron atomic system*, *J. Phys. B* **41** 025002 (2008).
- [6] L. Garrigue, *Unique Continuation for Many-Body Schrödinger Operators and the Hohenberg–Kohn Theorem*, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **21** 27 (2018).
- [7] Y. Goto, *Absence of a Ground State for Bosonic Coulomb Systems with Critical Charge*, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **81**, 177–184 (2018).
- [8] D. K. Gridnev, *Bound states at threshold resulting from Coulomb repulsion*, *J. Math. Phys.* **53** 102108 (2012).
- [9] R. N. Hill, *Proof that the  $H^-$  Ion Has Only One Bound State*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **38**, 643–646, (1977).
- [10] M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof and B. Simon, *A multiparticle Coulomb system with bound state at threshold*, *J. Phys. A* **16** 1125–1131 (1983).
- [11] M. Hoffman-Ostenhoff and T. Hoffman-Ostenhoff, *Absence of an  $L^2$ -eigenfunction at the bottom of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian of the hydrogen negative ion in the triplet S-sector*, *J. Phys. A* **17** 3321–3325 (1984).
- [12] H. Hogreve, *On the maximal electronic charge bound by atomic nuclei*, *J. Phys. B* **31** L439–446 (1998).
- [13] H. Hogreve, *A Remark on the Ground State Energy of Bosonic Atoms*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **144** 904–908 (2011).
- [14] T. Kato, *On the Eigenfunctions of Many-Particle Systems in Quantum Mechanics*, *Comm. Pure Appl Math.* **10**, 151–177 (1957).
- [15] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*. *Israel J. Math.* **13**, 135–148 (1972).
- [16] E. H. Lieb, *Thomas–Fermi and related theories of atoms and molecules*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53** 603–641 (1981).
- [17] E. H. Lieb, *Bound on the maximum negative ionization of atoms and molecules*, *Phys. Rev. A* **29** 3018–3028 (1984).
- [18] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, Second Edition, American Mathematical Society, Providence 2001.
- [19] E. H. Lieb and R. Seiringer, *The stability of matter in quantum mechanics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2010.
- [20] F. H. Stillinger and D. K. Stillinger *Nonlinear variational study of perturbation theory for atoms and ions*, *Phys. Rev. A* **10** 1109–1121 (1974).
- [21] G. Teschl, *Mathematical methods in quantum mechanics, with applications to Schrödinger operators*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 99, Providence, RI, Amer. Math. Soc., 2009.
- [22] Z. M. Zhislin, *Discussion of the spectrum of Schrödinger operator for systems of many particles*, *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs.* **9** 81–128 (1960).