

Generalized paths and cycles in semicomplete multipartite digraphs

Jørgen Bang-Jensen* Yun Wang† Anders Yeo‡

March 13, 2024

Abstract

A digraph is **semicomplete** if it has no pair of non-adjacent vertices. It is **complete** if every pair of distinct vertices induce a 2-cycle. It is well-known and easy to show that even the following version of the directed travelling salesman problem is NP-complete: Given a strongly connected complete digraph $D = (V, A)$, a cost function $w : A \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and a natural number K ; decide whether D has a directed Hamiltonian cycle of cost at most K . We study the following variant of this problem for $\{0, 1\}$ -weighted semicomplete digraphs where the set of arcs which have cost 1 form a collection of vertex-disjoint complete digraphs. A digraph is **semicomplete multipartite** if it can be obtained from a semicomplete digraph D by choosing a collection of vertex-disjoint subsets X_1, \dots, X_c of $V(D)$ and then deleting all arcs both of whose end-vertices lie inside some X_i . Let D be a semicomplete digraph with a cost function w as above, where $w(a) = 1$ precisely when a is an arc inside one of the subsets X_1, \dots, X_c and let D^* be the corresponding semicomplete multipartite digraph that we obtain by deleting all arcs inside the X_i 's. Then every cycle C of D corresponds to a **generalized cycle** C^g of D^* which is either the cycle C itself if $w(C) = 0$ or a collection of two or more paths that we obtain by deleting all arcs of cost 1 on C . Similarly we can define a **generalized path** P^g in a semicomplete multipartite digraph. The purpose of this paper is to study structural and algorithmic properties of generalized paths and cycles in semicomplete multipartite digraphs. This allows us to identify classes of directed $\{0, 1\}$ -weighted TSP instances that can be solved in polynomial time as well as

*Dept. of Math. and Compt. Sci., University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark (email: jbj@imada.sdu.dk).

†School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China and Dept. of Math. and Compt. Sci., University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark (email: yunwang@imada.sdu.dk, wangyun_sdu@163.com).

‡Dept. of Math. and Compt. Sci., University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark and Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park, 2006 South Africa (email: yeo@imada.sdu.dk).

others for which we can get very close to the optimum in polynomial time. Along with these results we also show that two natural questions about properties of cycles meeting all partite sets in semicomplete multipartite digraphs are NP-complete.

Keywords: Semicomplete multipartite digraph; Generalized cycle; $\{0, 1\}$ -TSP problem; Hamiltonian cycles; NP-completeness

1 Introduction

A digraph $D = (V, A)$ is **semicomplete multipartite** if its vertex set has a partition $V = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_c$ so that A contains no arc with both ends in some V_i and A contains at least one arc between u, v for every choice of $i, j \in [c]$ where $i \neq j$ and $u \in V_i, v \in V_j$. The sets V_1, \dots, V_c are called the **partite sets** of D . A special well-studied subclass of semicomplete multipartite digraphs is the class of **extended semicomplete digraphs** which are those semicomplete multipartite digraphs $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ for which we have $V_i \Rightarrow V_j, V_j \Rightarrow V_i$ or $D[V_i \cup V_j]$ is a complete bipartite digraph for every choice of $i, j \in [c]$ such that $i \neq j$.

Let $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ be a semicomplete multipartite digraph. A **generalized cycle** of D is a collection of vertex-disjoint directed paths $P_{u_1, v_1}, P_{u_2, v_2}, \dots, P_{u_r, v_r}$ of D with the property that v_i and u_{i+1} belong to the same partite set of D for $i \in [r]$, where we take $u_{r+1} = u_1$. A **generalized path** is defined similarly except that now we do not require that v_r and u_1 belong to the same partite set of D (but they may do so, in which case they must be distinct). To be more precise we define the generalized path $P_{u_1, v_1}, P_{u_2, v_2}, \dots, P_{u_r, v_r}$ to start in u_1 and end in v_r and call it a generalized (u_1, v_r) -path. For simplicity we call a generalized cycle, respectively a generalized path a **G-cycle** respectively a **G-path**. The **length** of a G-cycle C^g or G-path P^g , denoted by $\ell(C^g)$ and $\ell(P^g)$, respectively, is the number of arcs of A belonging to the G-cycle or G-path. Note that a G-cycle or G-path may have length 0, in which case all its vertices belong to the same partite set of D . It follows from the definition of length that a G-cycle of length $n = |V(D)|$ is a Hamiltonian (real) cycle of D .

A G-path or G-cycle in a semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ is **good** if it contains at least one vertex from each partite set $V_i, i \in [c]$ and it is **spanning** if it contains all vertices of D . Note that when D is a semicomplete digraph, then the only G-cycles and G-paths D can have are directed cycles and paths in D . Hence, as already indicated in the abstract, (good) G-cycles and G-paths generalize (Hamiltonian) paths and cycles in semicomplete digraphs. Furthermore, by the remarks in the abstract, the problems of finding a Hamiltonian path or cycle of minimum cost in a semicomplete digraph D with a $\{0, 1\}$ -cost function c on the arcs so that the arcs of cost 1 form vertex-disjoint complete subdigraphs of D are equivalent to the following problems on G-paths and G-cycles, respectively.

Problem 1.1 *Given a semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V, A)$. Find a spanning G-path which uses the maximum number of arcs of A .*

Problem 1.2 *Given a semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V, A)$. Find a spanning G-cycle which uses the maximum number of arcs of A .*

Note that while the longest path problem in semicomplete multipartite digraphs has been completely solved [11, 13], the complexity of the longest cycle problem in semicomplete multipartite digraphs is still open.

The purpose of this paper is to study the two problems above as well as related questions regarding G-paths and G-cycles in semicomplete multipartite digraphs. Among other results we show that Problem 1.1 can be solved in polynomial time and obtain a number of results on Problem 1.2. We also indicate why Problem 1.2, which is still open for the full class of semicomplete multipartite digraphs, is much harder than Problem 1.1.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give necessary terminology, some basic lemmas on G-cycles and G-paths as well as a number of results on semicomplete (multipartite) digraphs that will be used in our proofs. In particular we show how to obtain a G-cycle factor with the maximum number of arcs in a semicomplete multipartite digraph in polynomial time. In Section 3 we prove some basic results about G-paths and G-cycles which illustrate the difference between these objects and 'real' paths and cycles. In Section 4 we use results on hamiltonian paths with prescribed end vertices in semicomplete digraphs to derive analogous results for G-paths in semicomplete multipartite digraphs. In Section 5 we solve Problem 1.1 and show that the characterization that we obtain is closely related to the characterization in [11, 13] for longest paths in semicomplete multipartite digraphs. In Section 6 we solve Problem 1.2 for extended semicomplete digraphs, a well studied subclass of semicomplete multipartite digraphs.

The key to obtaining the polynomial algorithm for the hamiltonian cycle problem for semicomplete multipartite digraphs in [4] was the study of so-called irreducible cycle factors in [18]. In Section 7 we study the analogous problem of finding an irreducible G-cycle factor containing the maximum number of arcs in semicomplete multipartite digraphs. Our proofs imply that there is a polynomial algorithm for converting any G-cycle factor F into an irreducible G-cycles factor F' which has at least as many arcs as F . If this G-cycle factor has only one G-cycle, then that G-cycle is a solution to Problem 1.2. In general an irreducible factor may have many G-cycles. In that case, similar to the results in [18], we obtain a lot of information about the structure of the semicomplete multipartite digraph induced by the vertex set of such an irreducible G-cycle factor. In Section 8 we prove a number of results concerning Problem 1.2 and illustrate by several examples why the problem is difficult. Recall again that also the longest cycle problem in semicomplete multipartite digraphs is open. In Section 9 we translate our results to results for $\{0, 1\}$ -weighted TSP instances on complete digraphs. Finally in Section 10

we show that two natural questions about cycles visiting all partite sets in semicomplete multipartite digraphs are NP-complete.

2 Terminology and Preliminaries

A digraph $D = (V, A)$ is **strong** if there exists a path from x to y in D for every ordered pair of distinct vertices x, y of D and D is **k -strong** if $|V| \geq k + 1$ and $D - X$ is strong for every subset $X \subset V$ of size at most $k - 1$. An (x, y) -**path** is a path starting in x and ending in y . If there is an arc xy in D , then we say that x **dominates** y and use $x \rightarrow y$ to denote it. A **k -path-cycle subdigraph** of a digraph D is a collection of k paths P_1, \dots, P_k and zero or more cycles C_1, \dots, C_r of D such that all of these paths and cycles are vertex-disjoint. A 0-path-cycle subdigraph is called a **cycle subdigraph** and if it covers all vertices of D , it is a **cycle factor** of D . Similarly we can define a **k -G-path-G-cycle subdigraph** and a **G-cycle factor** by just replacing path by G-path and cycle by G-cycle above. In particular, a **G-cycle factor** of a semicomplete multipartite digraph D is a vertex-disjoint collection of G-cycles C_1^g, \dots, C_k^g , $k \geq 1$ such that every vertex of D is contained in exactly one C_j^g , $j \in [k]$. Recall that we allow a G-cycle C^g to contain no arcs of D , in which case all vertices of C^g belong to the same partite set of D .

Let $L = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_l$, $l \geq 2$ be a (G-)path or a (G-)cycle. The successor of v_i on L , written as v_i^+ , is the vertex v_{i+1} . Similarly, one can denote the predecessor of v_i by v_i^- . We denote $L[v_i, v_j] = v_i v_{i+1} \cdots v_j$. For disjoint subsets X, Y of $V(D)$, a (G-)path P is an (X, Y) -(G-)path if it starts at a vertex x in X and ends at a vertex y in Y such that $V(P) \cap (X \cup Y) = \{x, y\}$.

The following result follows from the fact that, using e.g. minimum cost flows, we can find a cycle factor of minimum cost in any digraph with non-negative weights on the arcs: Given a semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V, A)$ whose partite sets of size at least 2 are V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k we let D^* be the arc-weighted semicomplete digraph that we obtain from D by adding all possible arcs between vertices in the same V_i , $i \in [k]$ and give these weight 1 and give weight 0 to all arcs in A . Now it is easy to see that every minimum cost cycle factor in D^* corresponds (by deleting all arcs inside V_i 's) to a G-cycle factor of D that covers the maximum number of arcs of D .

Lemma 2.1 *There is a polynomial algorithm for finding a G-cycle factor containing the maximum number of arcs in a semicomplete multipartite digraph.* \diamond

Let D be a digraph. For disjoint subsets X, Y of $V(D)$, we use the notation $X \rightarrow Y$ to denote that $x \rightarrow y$ for any pair of adjacent vertices $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. $X \Rightarrow Y$ means that $X \rightarrow Y$ and no arc from Y to X . For two vertex-disjoint subdigraphs D_1, D_2 of D , we will often use the shorthand notation $D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ and $D_1 \Rightarrow D_2$ instead of $V(D_1) \rightarrow V(D_2)$ and $V(D_1) \Rightarrow V(D_2)$.

We now recall some results that will be used or generalized in this paper.

Let P^g be an (x, y) -G-path in a semicomplete multipartite digraph D (possibly $x = y$ and P^g has order one) and let $Q^g = v_1 \cdots v_t$ be a G-path or a G-cycle in $D - V(P^g)$. Then we say that P^g has a **partner** on Q^g if there exist consecutive vertices v_i, v_{i+1} of Q^g such that v_i dominates x and y dominates v_{i+1} ($v_i v_{i+1}$ is called the partner of P^g). Observe that if P^g has a partner on Q^g , then the G-path P^g can be inserted into Q^g to give a new G-path (or G-cycle) $L^g = Q^g[v_1, v_i] P^g Q^g[v_{i+1}, v_t]$ such that $\ell(L^g) \geq \ell(Q^g) + 1$. More precisely we will have $\ell(L^g) = \ell(P^g) + \ell(Q^g[v_1, v_i]) + \ell(Q^g[v_{i+1}, v_t]) + 2$ and this is at least $\ell(P^g) + \ell(Q^g) + 1$ as we gain the arcs $v_i x$ and $y v_{i+1}$ and loose at most one arc, namely $v_i v_{i+1}$.

The following lemma generalizes Corollary 6.4.18 in [2] for semicomplete multipartite digraphs.

Lemma 2.2 *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph. Suppose that $P^g = u_1 \cdots u_r$ is a G-path and C^g is a G-cycle in $D - V(P^g)$. Suppose that for each $i \in [r - 1]$, either u_i or $u_i u_{i+1}$ has a partner on C^g , and that u_r has a partner on C^g , then D has a G-cycle with the vertex set $V(P^g) \cup V(C^g)$ and at least $\ell(P^g) + \ell(C^g) + 1$ arcs.*

Proof: We proceed by induction on r . If $r = 1$, then there is nothing to prove, hence assume that $r \geq 2$. Let xy be a partner of u_1 or $u_1 u_2$ on C^g . Choose i maximum such that $u_i \rightarrow y$. Clearly, $P^g[u_1, u_i]$ can be inserted in C^g to give a new G-cycle L^g . Moreover, $\ell(L^g) \geq \ell(P^g[u_1, u_i]) + \ell(C^g) + 1$. If $i = r$, then we are done. Otherwise, apply induction to the G-path $P^g[u_{i+1}, u_r]$ and the G-cycle L^g and note that we may loose an arc between u_i and u_{i+1} so we cannot guarantee more than one extra arc in total. \diamond

We now mention a number of useful known results.

Theorem 2.3 [16] *Every semicomplete digraph has a Hamiltonian path.*

Theorem 2.4 [7] *Every strong semicomplete digraph has a Hamiltonian cycle.*

Theorem 2.5 [11, 13] *A semicomplete multipartite digraph D has a Hamiltonian path if and only if it contains a 1-path-cycle factor. One can verify whether D has a Hamiltonian path and find such a path in D (if one exists) in time $O(n^{2.5})$.*

Theorem 2.6 [13] *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph of order n .*

- (a) *Let \mathcal{F} be a 1-path-cycle subdigraph with maximum number of vertices in D . Then D contains a path P such that $V(P) = V(\mathcal{F})$.*
- (b) *A longest path in D can be constructed in time $O(n^3)$.*

Theorem 2.7 [14] *An extended semicomplete digraph is Hamiltonian if and only if it is strong and has a cycle factor.*

Theorem 2.8 [12] *Let D be a strong extended semicomplete digraph and let \mathcal{F} be a cycle subdigraph of D . Then D has a cycle C which contains all vertices of \mathcal{F} . The cycle C can be found in time $O(n^3)$. In particular, if \mathcal{F} is maximum, then $V(C) = V(\mathcal{F})$, i.e., C is a longest cycle of D .*

3 Basic observations about G-cycles and G-paths

Recall that the length $\ell(C^g)$ of a G-cycle C^g is the number of arcs on C^g and that a longest G-cycle is one with the maximum length. Consider the semicomplete multipartite digraph D with vertex set $V(D) = \{a, b, c, x, y\}$, arc set $A(D) = \{ab, bc, ac, xa, xc, bx, ay, cy, yb\}$ and partite sets $\{x, y\}$, $\{a\}$, $\{b\}$, $\{c\}$ (see Figure 1). D has a hamiltonian cycle $cybxac$ but it has no cycle of length 4 which visits each partite set (every cycle containing a and c must contain the arcs cy and xa as $d^+(c) = 1$ and $d^-(a) = 1$, implying that the cycle must contain both x and y). Thus a strong semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ may not contain a cycle of length c which visits each partite set. The following result shows that if we replace 'cycle' by 'G-cycle', then one can in fact always find a G-cycle of length equal to the number of partite sets and which visits each of these.




Figure 1: A strong semicomplete multipartite digraph of order 5 with no 4-cycle containing vertices from all partite sets $\{a\}$, $\{b\}$, $\{c\}$, $\{x, y\}$.

Proposition 3.1 *Every strong semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ contains a good G-cycle of length c*

Proof: Consider the digraph D^c that we obtain by contracting each V_i , $i \in [c]$ to one vertex and deleting parallel copies of arcs. Then D^c is a strong semicomplete digraph on c vertices and hence has a Hamiltonian cycle C by Theorem 2.4. Back in D the arcs of C form either a cycle of D or a collection of $r \geq 2$ vertex-disjoint paths $P_{(u_1, v_1)}, P_{(u_2, v_2)}, \dots, P_{(u_r, v_r)}$ which form a good G-cycle of D containing exactly c arcs of D . \diamond

Proposition 3.2 *Every semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ contains a good G-path of length $c - 1$*

Proof: Consider again the digraph D^c above. By Theorem 2.3 D^c has a Hamiltonian path P . Back in D the path P corresponds to a collection of one or more vertex-disjoint paths which form a good G-path containing exactly $c - 1$ arcs of D . \diamond

As we shall emphasize later, finding a longest G-cycle in a semicomplete multipartite digraph is not simple. In contrast to this we have the following observation, where a

G-cycle is **maximum** if it is a longest G-cycle and it contains the maximum number of vertices among all longest G-cycles of D .

Lemma 3.3 *Let D be a strong semicomplete multipartite digraph. Then every maximum G-cycle is a spanning G-cycle.*

Proof: Let C^g be a maximum G-cycle. Suppose C^g is not spanning and that V is a partite set such that the vertex $v \in V$ is not on C^g .

Assume that v has an in-neighbor and an out-neighbor on C^g . If C^g contains a vertex u such that $uv, vu \in A(D)$ and all vertices in $V(C^g) - \{u\}$ belong to V , then $C^g[u, u^-]vu$ is a G-cycle of length $\ell(C^g)$ with more vertices than C^g , a contradiction. So we may assume that there is a path x_1vx_2 in D with $x_1, x_2 \in V(C^g)$. Choose the path x_1vx_2 such that $C^g[x_1, x_2]$ has minimum order. If $|V(C^g[x_1, x_2])| = 2$ then $C^g[x_2, x_1]vx_2$ is a longer G-cycle, a contradiction again. So, $|V(C^g[x_1, x_2])| > 2$ and $x_2^- \in V$. Now $C^g[x_2, x_2^-]vx_2$ is a G-cycle of length at least $\ell(C^g)$ with more vertices than C^g , a contradiction.

Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume that v has no out-neighbor on C^g . Let P be a (v, C^g) -path in D , which exists as D is strong, and let u be the last vertex of P . No matter whether $u^- \in V$ or not we observe that $C^g[u, u^-]P$ is a longer G-cycle, a contradiction. So C^g must be spanning, which completes the proof. \diamond

4 Spanning G-paths with prescribed end-vertices

A digraph $D = (V, A)$ is **Hamiltonian-connected** if it contains a spanning (x, y) -path for all pairs of distinct vertices $x, y \in V$.

Theorem 4.1 [17] *Every 4-strong semicomplete digraph is Hamiltonian-connected.*

As every strongly connected Hamiltonian-connected digraph has a Hamiltonian cycle and there exists a k -strong semicomplete multipartite digraph with no Hamiltonian cycle for every $k \geq 1$, see [2, page 247], and Figure 6 it is easy to see that there can be no k such that every k -strong semicomplete multipartite digraph is Hamiltonian-connected. When we relax to G-paths we can obtain the following generalization of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.2 *Every 4-strong semicomplete multipartite digraph has a spanning (x, y) -G-path for every choice of distinct vertices x, y .*

Proof: Let $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ be 4-strong and let x, y be distinct vertices of D . Let D^* be obtained from D by adding all possible arcs inside each V_i , that is, $D^*[V_i]$ is a complete digraph for each $i \in [c]$. Then D^* is semicomplete and is 4-strong since already D is 4-strong. Hence it follows from Theorem 4.1 that D^* has an (x, y) -Hamiltonian path P . By deleting all arcs of P with both ends in the same partite sets we obtain an (x, y) -G-path covering all vertices of D . \diamond

Theorem 4.3 [5] *There exists a polynomial algorithm for deciding whether a given semi-complete digraph with distinct vertices x, y has a Hamiltonian (x, y) -path.*

The following corollary of Theorem 4.3 is proved in the same way as we proved Corollary 4.2.

Corollary 4.4 *There exists a polynomial algorithm for deciding whether a given semi-complete multipartite digraph with distinct vertices x, y has a spanning (x, y) -G-path.*

Note that the construction we used to prove Corollaries 4.2 and 4.4 does not always allow us to find a spanning (x, y) -G-path containing the maximum number of arcs. In fact, the complexity of finding a spanning (x, y) -G-path with the maximum number of arcs is open, already for semicomplete digraphs (where the path will be a real path).

Conjecture 4.5 [2, Conjecture 7.3.7] *There exists a polynomial algorithm for finding a longest (x, y) -path when the input is a semicomplete digraph D and x, y are distinct vertices of D .*

5 Longest G-paths

While the Hamiltonian cycle problem is polynomial for semicomplete multipartite digraphs [4] (see Theorem 8.1) there is no easy characterization of semicomplete multipartite digraphs with a Hamiltonian cycle. This is sharp contrast to the following beautiful result by Gutin.

Theorem 5.1 [11, 13] *The number of vertices of a longest path in a semicomplete multipartite digraph D equals the maximum number of vertices that can be covered by a 1-path-cycle subdigraph of D .*

In fact Gutin showed that the stronger statement that given any 1-path-cycle subdigraph P, C_1, \dots, C_k in a semicomplete multipartite digraph one can produce a Hamiltonian path of $D[V(P) \cup V(C_1) \cup \dots \cup V(C_k)]$ in polynomial time.

The following result generalizes Theorem 2.6 and implies that we can find a longest G-path in any semicomplete multipartite digraph in polynomial time.

Theorem 5.2 *Let $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ be a semicomplete multipartite digraph. The maximum number of arcs in a G-path of D is equal to the maximum number of arcs contained in a 1-G-path-G-cycle subdigraph. Furthermore, we can find a longest G-path in polynomial time.*

This follows from the following lemma by induction over the number of G-cycles in the 1-G-path-G-cycle subdigraph.

Lemma 5.3 *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph. Suppose that $P^g = x_1 \cdots x_s$ is a G-path and $C^g = y_1 \cdots y_t y_1$ is a G-cycle in $D - V(P^g)$. Then in polynomial time we can produce a G-path Q^g in D such that $V(Q^g) = V(P^g) \cup V(C^g)$ and $\ell(Q^g) \geq \ell(P^g) + \ell(C^g)$.*

Proof: Suppose to the contrary that there is no such G-path in D . First observe that there is no vertex $y_i \in V(C^g)$ which dominates x_1 , that is, $N^-(x_1) \cap V(C^g) = \emptyset$ otherwise, $C^g[y_{i+1}, y_i]P^g$ is the wanted G-path. Analogously, we have $N^+(x_s) \cap V(C^g) = \emptyset$.

If there are indices $a \in [2, s]$ and $b \in [t]$ such that $y_b \rightarrow x_a$ and $x_{a-1} \rightarrow y_{b+1}$, then $Q^g = P^g[x_1, x_{a-1}]C^g[y_{b+1}, y_b]P^g[x_a, x_s]$ is a G-path with $V(Q^g) = V(P^g) \cup V(C^g)$ and $\ell(Q^g) \geq \ell(P^g) + \ell(C^g)$. If instead we have $y_b \rightarrow x_a$ and x_{a-1}, y_{b+1} belong to the same partite set, then the G-path Q^g above still satisfies $\ell(Q^g) \geq \ell(P^g) + \ell(C^g)$ unless $x_{a-1}x_a, y_b y_{b+1} \in A(D)$. Hence we may assume that the following holds.

Claim 1 *Suppose that $y_b x_a \in A(D)$. Then $a > 1$ and either $y_{b+1} \rightarrow x_{a-1}$ or y_{b+1} and x_{a-1} belong to the same partite set and $x_{a-1}x_a, y_b y_{b+1} \in A(D)$.*

Suppose first that there are no indices i, j such that both $y_{j+1}x_i$ and $y_j x_{i+1}$ belong to $A(D)$. If there is no arc between C^g and x_s , then all vertices of C^g and x_s belong to the same partite set, in particular C^g has no arcs. Thus $P^g C^g[y_1, y_t]$ is the wanted G-path. As $N^+(x_s) \cap V(C^g) = \emptyset$, we may assume that y_r dominates x_s for some $y_r \in V(C^g)$. Now it follows from the assumption above and Claim 1 that x_{s-1} and y_{r+1} belong to the same partite set V and that both $x_{s-1}x_s$ and $y_r y_{r+1}$ belong to $A(D)$. As $N^+(x_s) \cap V(C^g) = \emptyset$ again, we have y_{r+1} dominates x_s . Thus, again the assumption above and Claim 1 implies that we also have $y_{r+2} \in V$. Now $Q^g = P^g[x_1, x_{s-1}]C^g[y_{r+2}, y_{r+1}]x_s$ is the wanted G-path.

It remains to consider the case that there exist i and j such that $\{y_{j+1}x_i, y_j x_{i+1}\} \subseteq A(D)$. Choose i as small as possible. Clearly, $i > 1$ as $N^-(x_1) \cap V(C^g) = \emptyset$. Then $y_{j+2}x_{i-1} \notin A(D)$ follows by the minimality of i and then Claim 1 implies that y_{j+2} and x_{i-1} belong to the same partite set V' and that $x_{i-1}x_i, y_{j+1}y_{j+2} \in A(D)$. Then using that y_{j+2} and x_{i-1} belong to the same partite set, we conclude that there is an arc between x_{i-1} and y_{j+1} , and an arc between x_i and y_{j+2} .

Suppose that y_{j+2} dominates x_i . Then it follows from the minimality of i and Claim 1 that x_{i-1} and y_{j+3} belong to the same partite set and that $y_{j+2}y_{j+3}$ belong to $A(D)$, which is impossible as $x_{i-1}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+3}$ all belong to the same partite set. Hence we have $x_i \rightarrow y_{j+2}$. We claim that we also have $x_{i-1} \rightarrow y_{j+1}$. This is clear if $i = 2$ as $N^-(x_1) \cap V(C^g) = \emptyset$ so suppose that $i > 2$ and $y_{j+1}x_{i-1} \in A(D)$. Then the minimality of i and Claim 1 implies that x_{i-2} and y_{j+2} belong to the same partite set and $x_{i-2}x_{i-1} \in A(D)$, which is impossible as $x_{i-1}, x_{i-2}, y_{j+2}$ all belong to the same partite set. Thus we must have $x_{i-1} \rightarrow y_{j+1}$ and now $Q^g = P^g[x_1, x_{i-1}]y_{j+1}x_i C^g[y_{j+2}, y_j]P^g[x_{i+1}, x_s]$ is the wanted G-path (we gain 4 arcs between P^g and C^g and loose at most 4 arcs). \diamond




Figure 2: An illustration of the final G-cycle in Lemma 5.3, where the darker vertices belong to the same partite set, and the thin arcs are not included in the G-cycle.

6 Good G-cycles in extended semicomplete digraphs

In this section $D = S[I_{n_1}, \dots, I_{n_s}]$ denotes an extended semicomplete digraph. This means that the i th vertex of a semicomplete digraph S was replaced by an independent set I_{n_i} of $n_i \geq 1$ vertices. We call a pair of distinct vertices from the same partite set of D **similar** (they have exactly the same in- and out-neighbours as D is extended semicomplete).

The following result generalizes Theorem 2.7 and together with Theorem 6.4 it implies that the longest G-cycle problem can be solved in polynomial time when the input is an extended semicomplete digraph.

Theorem 6.1 *An extended semicomplete digraph D has a spanning G-cycle if and only if it is strong and has a G-cycle factor. Such a cycle can be produced on polynomial time from a given G-cycle factor. Furthermore, the maximum number of arcs in spanning G-cycle is equal to the maximum number of arcs contained in a G-cycle factor of D .*

To prove this result we first establish two lemmas both of which generalize results of [14] for extended semicomplete digraphs. The proofs of these lemmas are similar to the original proofs, but we need to handle the cases when there are consecutive vertices from the same partite set in one or more of the cycles.

Lemma 6.2 *If C_1^g and C_2^g are G-cycles which both contain a vertex from the same partite set V of an extended semicomplete digraph D , then D has a G-cycle C^g with $V(C^g) = V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ and $\ell(C^g) = \ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$.*

Proof: Let $C_1^g = u_1 \cdots u_p u_1$ and let $C_2^g = v_1 \cdots v_q v_1$. If $\ell(C_i^g) = 0$ (it has no arcs), then all vertices of C_i^g belong to V and the claim is trivial as we can replace any vertex $v \in V$ on C_{3-i}^g by the vertices $V(C_i^g) \cup \{v\}$. So we can assume that $\ell(C_i^g) \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $r \in [p]$ and $s \in [q]$ be chosen such that u_r and v_s belong to the same partite set V and $u_{r-1} \rightarrow u_r$, $v_{s-1} \rightarrow v_s$ both hold (this is possible as not all vertices of C_i^g belongs to V). Then $v_{s-1} \rightarrow u_r$ and $u_{r-1} \rightarrow v_s$ as u_r, v_s are similar and D is extended semicomplete.

Now it follows that $C_1^g[u_r, u_{r-1}]C_2^g[v_s, v_{s-1}]u_r$ is G-cycle C^g with $V(C^g) = V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ and $\ell(C^g) = \ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$. \diamond

Lemma 6.3 *If C_1^g and C_2^g are G-cycles such that the extended semicomplete digraph D contains some arc from C_i^g to C_{3-i}^g for $i = 1, 2$, then D has a G-cycle C^g with $V(C^g) = V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ and such that $\ell(C^g) \geq \ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$.*

Proof: Let $C_1^g = u_1 \cdots u_p u_1$ and let $C_2^g = v_1 \cdots v_q v_1$. By Lemma 6.2 we may assume that there is no pair of vertices u_i, v_j which are similar. This implies that every vertex on C_1^g is adjacent to every vertex of C_2^g . Suppose first that there is an $i \in [2]$ such that C_i^g has a vertex which has an arc to every vertex of C_{3-i}^g . Without loss of generality $i = 1$ and let u_a be such a vertex. By the assumption there is also a vertex of C_2^g which sends an arc to $V(C_1^g)$. Hence we may assume that u_a is chosen such that there is an arc $v_b u_{a+1}$ in D . As $u_a v_{b+1}$ is an arc (by the choice of u_a) we get the G-cycle $C^g = u_a C_2^g[v_{b+1}, v_b] C_1^g[u_{a+1}, u_a]$. It is easy to check that $\ell(C^g) \geq \ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$.

Thus we may assume that every vertex of C_i^g has arcs in both directions to $V(C_{3-i}^g)$ for all $i \in [2]$. Now we claim that for every vertex v_r of C_2^g there exist consecutive vertices u_s, u_{s+1} such that $u_s v_r$ and $v_r u_{s+1}$ are both arcs of $A(D)$. Suppose not and let u_i, u_{i+d} be chosen such that $u_i v_r$ and $v_r u_{i+d}$ are both arcs of $A(D)$ and $d \geq 1$ is as small as possible. By the assumption, $d > 1$, but then u_{i+1} and v_r must be non-adjacent and hence similar, contradicting the assumption above. Thus we have shown that every vertex of C_2^g has a partner on C_1^g and now it follows by Lemma 2.2 that D has a G-cycle C^g with $V(C^g) = V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ and such that $\ell(C^g) \geq \ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$. \diamond

Proof of Theorem 6.1: Let us first show that if an extended semicomplete digraph D with more than one partite set has a spanning G-cycle, then it must be strong. Let C^g be a spanning G-cycle of D and let u and v be arbitrary vertices in D . If $C^g[u, v]$ only contain vertices from one partite set in D , then swap u and v on C^g (which is possible as u and v are similar). Now let $P = C^g[u, v]$, and note that P contains vertices from at least two partite sets. Everytime there are two consecutive vertices z and z^+ on P from the same partite set we consider the path $P[u, z]P[z^+, v]$ (if $z^+ \neq v$) or $P[u, z^-]P[z^+, v]$ (if $z \neq u$) instead of P . Continuing this process until there are no more consecutive vertices on P from the same partite set, gives us a (u, v) -path in D . As u and v were arbitrary, D is therefore strong.

Let $F = C_1^g \cup \cdots \cup C_p^g$, $p \geq 1$ be a G-cycle factor of D . By Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 we can assume that every two vertices on distinct G-cycles C_i^g, C_j^g of F are adjacent and either $C_i^g \Rightarrow C_j^g$ or $C_j^g \Rightarrow C_i^g$. This means that if we contract each G-cycle C_i^g to a vertex x_i for $i \in [p]$ and delete loops and parallel arcs resulting from this operation we are left with a tournament T on p vertices. Further, as D is strong so is T . By Theorem 2.4, T has a Hamiltonian cycle and by changing the labels of the G-cycles in F we can assume that $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_p x_1$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of T . This means that back in D we have $C_1^g \Rightarrow C_2^g \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow C_p^g \Rightarrow C_1^g$. Let $C_i^g = v_{i,1} v_{i,2} \cdots v_{i,q_i} v_{i,1}$ for all $i \in [p]$.

Then $C^g = C_1^g[v_{1,1}, v_{1,q_1}]C_2^g[v_{2,1}, v_{2,q_2}] \cdots C_p^g[v_{p,1}, v_{p,q_p}]v_{1,1}$ is a spanning G-cycle of D and $\ell(C^g) \geq \ell(C_1^g) + \cdots + \ell(C_p^g)$ as we add p new arcs and loose at most one arc per cycle. \diamond

Theorem 6.4 *There exists a polynomial algorithm for checking whether a given strongly connected extended semicomplete digraph has a spanning G-cycle and if so, then find such a cycle containing the maximum number of arcs.*

Proof: Since the proof above provides an algorithm for producing a spanning cycle with at least r arcs from a given G-cycle factor with r arcs, it suffices to recall that by Lemma 2.1 we can find a G-cycle factor containing the maximum number of arcs of D . \diamond

7 G-cycle factors with minimum number of G-cycles

In this section we study the structure of G-cycle factors in general semicomplete multipartite digraphs and obtain several results which generalize previous results from [3, 18] on cycle factors in semicomplete multipartite digraphs. We point out here that all proofs in this section are constructive and can be converted to polynomial algorithms for obtaining the desired objects.

Recall that a **G-cycle factor** of a semicomplete multipartite digraph D is a collection of vertex-disjoint G-cycles covering all vertices of D . A G-cycle factor $F = C_1^g \cup \cdots \cup C_t^g$ of a semicomplete multipartite digraph D is **irreducible** if D has no G-cycle factor $F' = \tilde{C}_1^g \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{C}_r^g$ such that $|A(F')| \geq |A(F)|$ and $r < t$ and for every $j \in [t]$ we have $V(C_j^g) \subseteq V(\tilde{C}_i^g)$ for some $i \in [r]$. For a G-cycle C^g and a vertex $v \notin V(C^g)$, we say that v is **out-singular** (resp., **in-singular**) with respect to C^g if $v \Rightarrow C^g$ (resp., $C^g \Rightarrow v$), v is **singular** with respect to C^g if it is either out-singular or in-singular with respect to C^g .

Let D be a digraph with two vertex-disjoint G-cycles C_1^g and C_2^g . We use the notation $C_1^g \simeq > C_2^g$ to denote that C_1^g contains singular vertices with respect to C_2^g and they all are out-singular, and C_2^g contains singular vertices with respect to C_1^g and they all are in-singular.

A G-cycle factor $F = C_1^g \cup \cdots \cup C_t^g$ is called **feasible** if it has no pair of G-cycles $C_i^g, C_j^g (i \neq j)$ such that $C_i^g \simeq > C_j^g$ or $C_j^g \simeq > C_i^g$.

Lemma 7.1 *Let $C^g \cup Q^g$ be a G-cycle factor in a semicomplete multipartite digraph D . Let $C^g = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_p u_1, p \geq 2$ and suppose that D has no spanning G-cycle with at least $\ell(Q^g) + \ell(C^g)$ arcs. Then for every pair v, v^+ of consecutive vertices on Q^g , if v is not singular with respect to C^g , then either v has a partner on C^g or, vv^+ is an arc and it has a partner on C^g .*

Proof: Suppose that v has no partner on C^g . Since v is non-singular, there exist $u_s, u_t \in V(C^g)$ such that $u_s \rightarrow v \rightarrow u_t$. Choose a pair of vertices u_i, u_{i+d} with $u_i \rightarrow v \rightarrow u_{i+d}$ such that $d \geq 1$ is minimum among all such pairs. Note that $2 \leq d \leq p$ as v has no

partner on C^g and if $d = p$ then $u_i = u_{i+d}$. Moreover, by the minimality of d , all vertices in $C^g[u_{i+1}, u_{i+d-1}]$ and v belong to the same partite set V .

If v^+ also belongs to V , then $Q^g[v^+, v]C^g[u_{i+d}, u_{i+d-1}]v^+$ is a spanning G-cycle of D with $\ell(Q^g) + \ell(C^g)$ arcs, contradicting the assumption. So $v^+ \notin V$ and then vv^+ is an arc of Q^g . Moreover, there is an arc between u_{i+1} and v^+ as $u_{i+1} \in V$. If $u_{i+1} \rightarrow v^+$, then $Q^g[v^+, v]C^g[u_{i+2}, u_{i+1}]v^+$ is a spanning G-cycle containing at least $\ell(Q^g) + \ell(C^g)$ arcs, a contradiction. Hence we must have $v^+ \rightarrow u_{i+1}$, showing that $u_i u_{i+1}$ is a partner of the arc vv^+ . \diamond

Lemma 7.2 [3] *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph containing a (real) cycle factor $C_1 \cup C_2$ such that C_i has no singular vertices with respect to C_{3-i} for each $i \in [2]$, then D is Hamiltonian.*

Lemma 7.3 *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph containing a G-cycle factor $C_1^g \cup C_2^g$ such that C_i^g has no singular vertices with respect to C_{3-i}^g for each $i \in [2]$, then D has a spanning G-cycle with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs.*

Proof: Suppose to the contrary that D has no such a cycle. By Lemma 7.1, for each $i \in [2]$ and for each pair of consecutive vertices w, w^+ of C_i^g , either w has a partner on C_{3-i}^g or ww^+ is an arc.

If there exists a vertex w on C_j^g with some $j \in [2]$ such that w has a partner on C_{3-j}^g , then by Lemma 2.2 we can merge $C_j^g[w^+, w]$ and C_{3-j}^g into a spanning G-cycle with length at least $\ell(C_j^g[w^+, w]) + \ell(C_{3-j}^g) + 1 \geq \ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$, which contradicts our assumption.

Hence we may assume that C_1^g and C_2^g are real cycles by Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 shows that D is Hamiltonian, which contradicts our assumption again and this completes the proof. \diamond

Lemma 7.4 *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph containing a G-cycle factor $C_1^g \cup C_2^g$ such that only C_1^g has singular vertices with respect to C_2^g , then D has a spanning G-cycle with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs.*

Proof: By reversing all arcs if necessary, we may assume that C_1^g has an out-singular vertex x and C_2^g has no singular vertices. Since C_2^g has no singular vertices, C_1^g has a vertex which is not out-singular. Choose x such that x is out-singular but its successor x^+ is not an out-singular vertex and assume that $y \in C_2^g$ dominates x^+ .

As C_2^g has no singular vertices, by Lemma 7.1, for any two consecutive vertices u_i, u_{i+1} on C_2^g , either u_i or $u_i u_{i+1}$ has a partner on C_1^g . If y has a partner on C_1^g , then the lemma follows by applying Lemma 2.2 with $C_2^g[y^+, y]$ and C_1^g . So we may assume that x does not dominate y , which implies that x and y belong to the same partite set. Therefore, $x C_2^g[y^+, y] C_1^g[x^+, x]$ is a spanning G-cycle with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs. Note that this holds whether or not x and y^+ belong to the same partite set or x dominates y^+ . \diamond

Lemma 7.5 *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph containing a G -cycle factor $C_1^g \cup C_2^g$. Suppose that both C_1^g and C_2^g have singular vertices, but (C_1^g, C_2^g) is feasible, then D has a spanning G -cycle with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs.*

Proof: Assume w.l.o.g that C_1^g has an out-singular vertex u with respect to C_2^g . If C_2^g also has an out-singular vertex v with respect to C_1^g , then u and v are non-adjacent, that is, they belong to the same partite set V . Furthermore, we have $u \rightarrow v^+$ or $v^+ \in V$ and, $v \rightarrow u^+$ or $u^+ \in V$. Now it follows that the spanning G -cycle $C_1^g[u^+, u]C_2^g[v^+, v]u^+$ has at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs.

Thus we may assume that C_2^g has no out-singular vertex and then it has an in-singular vertex v . Since $C_1^g \cup C_2^g$ is a feasible G -cycle-factor, C_1^g has both in- and out-singular vertices. Let w be an in-singular vertex on C_1^g . By the same argument as above, we have that w and v belong to the same partite set, moreover, either $w^- \rightarrow v$ or w^- and v belong to the same partite set and either $v^- \rightarrow w$ or v^- and w belong to the same partite set and then $C_1^g[w, w^-]C_2^g[v, v^-]w$ is the wanted spanning G -cycle of D . \diamond

Corollary 7.6 *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph and let $F = C_1^g \cup \dots \cup C_k^g$ be an irreducible G -cycle factor. Then for any two distinct G -cycles C_i^g, C_j^g , we have either $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g$ or $C_j^g \simeq C_i^g$ (but not both).*

Lemma 7.7 *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph and let C_1^g and C_2^g be a pair of vertex-disjoint G -cycles in D such that $C_1^g \simeq C_2^g$ and $C_1^g \not\cong C_2^g$. Assume that there is no G -cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ and at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs. Then there exists a unique partite set V of D such that for every $(V(C_2^g), V(C_1^g))$ - G -path P^g starting at a vertex u and terminating at vertex v , either $\{u_{C_2^g}^+, v_{C_1^g}^-\} \subseteq V$ or there exists a G -cycle \tilde{C}^g in D , with $V(\tilde{C}^g) = V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g) \cup V(P^g)$ and $\ell(\tilde{C}^g) \geq \ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g) + \ell(P^g) - 1$.*

Proof: Since $C_1^g \simeq C_2^g$ and $C_1^g \not\cong C_2^g$, there is a vertex $x \in V(C_1^g)$ with $x \Rightarrow C_2^g$ and $x^+ \not\Rightarrow C_2^g$. Let V be the partite set containing the vertex x and let y be a vertex such that $y^- \rightarrow x^+$. Then we must have $y \in V$ and $xx^+, y^-y \in A(D)$ as otherwise, $C_2^g[y, y^-]C_1^g[x^+, x]y$ is a G -cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, which contradicts our assumption. Now we conclude that $y^- \notin V$ and $x \rightarrow y^-$ and then xx^+ is a partner of y^- .

Case 1. $C_1^g \Rightarrow y$.

Recall that the G -path P^g starts in $u \in V(C_2^g)$ and ends in $v \in V(C_1^g)$. For simplicity, we write u^+ and v^- rather than $u_{C_2^g}^+$ and $v_{C_1^g}^-$. If $\{u^+, v^-\} \cap V = \emptyset$, then $C_1^g[x^+, v^-]C_2^g[y, u]P^gC_1^g[v, x]C_2^g[u^+, y^-]x^+$ is the wanted G -cycle (we loose at most 4 arcs of the cycles and gain 3 arcs between the cycles plus all arcs of P^g). So at least one of u^+, v^- must belong to V . If both belong to V , we are done, so we may assume that exactly one of u^+ and v^- belongs to V and then we can assume that $u^+ \rightarrow v^-$ as otherwise we can take \tilde{C}^g to be the G -cycle $C_2^g[u^+, u]P^gC_1^g[v, v^-]u^+$.

Suppose that v^{--} and u^{++} belong to the same partite set V' . If $V' = V$, then the G-cycle $v^{--}C_2^g[u^{++}, u^+]C_1^g[v^-, v^{--}]$ has $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, contradicting the assumption of the lemma (here we used that either $u^+ \in V$ or $v^- \in V$ so we loose only one arc of the cycles and gain the arc u^+v^- .) So we can assume that $V' \neq V$ and then $C_1^g[x^+, v^{--}]C_2^g[y, u^+]C_1^g[v^-, x]C_2^g[u^{++}, y^-]x^+$ is a G-cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, we may assume that v^{--} and u^{++} are adjacent and then the assumption of the lemma implies that we must have $u^{++} \rightarrow v^{--}$ and one of u^{++} and v^{--} does not belong to V . Continuing this process we obtain that $u^+ \rightarrow v^-$, $u^{++} \rightarrow v^{--}$, \dots , which contradicts the fact that C_1^g has the vertex x which dominates C_2^g .

Case 2. $C_1^g \not\neq y$.

Label the vertices in C_2^g such that $C_2^g = y_1y_2 \cdots y_my_1$, where $y_1 = y$. Recall that x and y both belong to the partite set V . Now define the statements α_K and β_K as follows:

α_K : The vertex $y_k \in V$ and $C_1^g \not\neq y_k$ for every $k = \{1, 3, 5, \dots, K\}$.

β_K : y_ky_{k+1} has a partner on $C_1^g[x^+, x]$ for every $k = \{1, 3, 5, \dots, K\}$.

Note that it suffices to show that α_K and β_K are true for every odd K with $1 \leq K < m$. In fact, if this statement holds, by the fact that xx^+ is a partner of $y_m = y^-$ (as we established in the beginning of the proof) and by applying Lemma 2.2 to the G-path $C_2^g[y_1, y_m]$ and G-cycle C_1^g , there is a G-cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, which contradicts the assumption in the lemma.

Clearly α_1 holds as we are in Case 2 and we saw above that $y \in V$. Let us first show that α_1 implies β_1 . Applying Lemma 7.1 with $v = y_1$, either y_1y_2 has a partner on C_1^g , that is, β_1 holds, or y_1 has a partner ww^+ on C_1^g . In the later case the G-cycle $C_1^g[w^+, x]C_2^g[y_2, y_m]C_1^g[x^+, w]y_1w^+$ has at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, contradicting the assumption of the lemma (if $y_2 \in V$, then we gain 3 arcs and loose 3). Thus β_1 holds.

First observe that when β_{K-2} holds, then $y_K \in V$ must also hold as otherwise $x \rightarrow y_K$ and then $C_1^g[x^+, x]C_2^g[y_K, y_m]x^+$ is a G-cycle and by β_{K-2} and Lemma 2.2 we can insert the subpath $C_2^g[y_1, y_{K-1}]$ into this G-cycle and obtain a G-cycle with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, which contradicts our assumption. Hence β_{K-2} implies that $y_K \in V$ holds.

Next we show that α_K and β_{K-2} imply β_K . Suppose first that y_K has no partner. Then by α_K , $C_1^g \not\neq y_K$ and the fact that $C_1^g \simeq C_2^g$, the vertex y_K is not singular with respect to C_1^g . Now Lemma 7.1 implies that y_Ky_{K+1} has a partner, showing that β_K holds.

So y_K has a partner on C_1^g . Now by applying Lemma 2.2 to the G-path $C_2^g[y_1, y_K]$ and the G-cycle $C_1^g[x^+, x]C_2^g[y_{K+1}, y_m]x^+$, there is a G-cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, which contradicts our assumption. Note that if $y_{K+1} \in V$, then because we also have $y_K \in V$, we do not loose an arc between y_K and y_{K+1} when we make the new G-cycle above.

The final step is to show that α_{K-2} and β_{K-2} imply α_K . We have already seen that $y_K \in V$ must hold by β_{K-2} . It follows from α_{K-2} and $C_1^g \simeq C_2^g$ that the vertex y_{K-2}

is not singular. As β_{K-2} holds, there exist u_i and u_{i+1} on $C_1^g[x^+, x]$ such that $u_i \rightarrow y_{K-2}$ and $y_{K-1} \rightarrow u_{i+1}$. In particular $u_i \notin V$ as $y_{K-2} \in V$. If $C_1^g \Rightarrow y_K$, then u_i dominates y_K and now $C_1^g[u_{i+1}, u_i]C_2^g[y_K, y_{K-1}]u_{i+1}$ is a G-cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, which contradicts our assumption. Thus α_K holds and this completes the proof. \diamond

In the next three results D is a semicomplete multipartite digraph with a pair of vertex-disjoint G-cycles C_1^g, C_2^g such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^g \simeq C_2^g \text{ and there is no G-cycle in } D \text{ with vertex set} \\ V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g) \text{ and at least } \ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g) \text{ arcs.} \end{aligned} \quad (C^*)$$

Lemma 7.8 *Suppose that (C^*) holds. For every arc uv from C_2^g to C_1^g , the following statements hold.*

- (i) u^+, v^- all belong to some partite set V .
- (ii) $v^-v, uu^+ \in A(D)$, which implies that $u, v \notin V$.
- (iii) $v^- \rightarrow u$ and $v \rightarrow u^+$.

Proof: (i) This follows from Lemma 7.7 by taking the G-path P^g to be the arc uv .

(ii) If one of v^-v and uu^+ does not belong to $A(D)$, then $v^-C_2^g[u^+, u]C_1^g[v, v^-]$ is a G-cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ with at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, which contradicts our assumption.

(iii) As $u \notin V$ and $v^- \in V$, there is an arc between u and v^- . Suppose that u dominates v^- , then by (i) we have, $v^{--}, u^+, v^- \in V$, contradicting that by (ii) we must have $v^{--}v^- \in A(D)$. In the same way we conclude from (i) and (ii) that $v \rightarrow u^+$. \diamond

Lemma 7.9 *Suppose that (C^*) holds. If $C_i^g, i \in [2]$ has a pair of consecutive vertices u, u^+ which belong to the same partite set V , then C_{3-i}^g contains no vertex from V .*

Proof: Suppose the lemma is false and let w be a vertex of C_{3-i}^g which belongs to the partite set V . Consider first the case when all vertices of C_{3-i}^g belong to V . Then all vertices of C_{3-i}^g can be inserted between u and u^+ , which contradicts the assumption (C^*) . Hence we may assume that $\ell(C_{3-i}^g) > 0$.

Assume that $i = 1$. As $\ell(C_2^g) > 0$ we can assume that w is chosen so that $w \in V$ and $w^+ \notin V$. In particular ww^+ is an arc of D and u and w^+ are adjacent. If $u \rightarrow w^+$, then the G-cycle $C_1^g[u^+, u]C_2^g[w^+, w]u^+$ has vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ and $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, contradicting the assumption. So we must have $w^+ \rightarrow u$. By Lemma 7.8, there is a partite set $V' \neq V$ so that $u^-, w^{++} \in V'$ and D contains the arcs u^-w^+, w^+u, uw^{++} and now $C_1^g[u^+, u^-]w^+uC_2^g[w^{++}, w]u^+$ is a G-cycle with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ and at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs (see Figure 3), contradicting the assumption. This completes the case when $i = 1$.




Figure 3: The G-cycles created in Lemma 7.9. The dark vertices lie in the partite set V and the rectangular vertices lie in the partite set V' . The dotted arcs are not in the created G-cycle.

Now assume that $i = 2$. As $\ell(C_1^g) > 0$ we can assume that w is chosen so that $w \in V$ and $w^- \notin V$. In particular w^-w is an arc of D and u^+ and w^- are adjacent. If $w^- \rightarrow u^+$, then the G-cycle $C_1^g[w, w^-]C_2^g[u^+, u]w$ has vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ and $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs, contradicting the assumption. So we must have $u^+ \rightarrow w^-$. By Lemma 7.8, there is a partite set $V' \neq V$ so that $w^{--}, u^{++} \in V'$ and D contains the arcs $w^{--}u^+, u^+w^-, w^-u^{++}$ and now $C_1^g[w, w^{--}]u^+w^-C_2^g[u^{++}, u]w$ is a G-cycle with vertex set $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ and at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g)$ arcs (see Figure 3), contradicting the assumption. \diamond

The following corollary can be easily obtained by Lemma 7.8 (iii).

Corollary 7.10 *Suppose that (C^*) holds. Let $u \in C_2^g$, $v \in C_1^g$ be two vertices in different partite sets, then either $C_1^g[v^+, v]C_2^g[u, u^-]$ or $C_1^g[v^+, v^-]u^+C_2^g[u^+, u^-]$ is a spanning (v^+, u^-) -G-path in $D[V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)]$. Furthermore, the relevant path has at least $\ell(C_1^g) + \ell(C_2^g) - 1$ arcs.*

The following very important observation on irreducible cycle factors of semicomplete multipartite digraphs was shown in [18].

Theorem 7.11 [18] *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph and let F be an irreducible cycle factor. Then we can label the cycles in F by C_1, \dots, C_k such that $C_i \simeq C_j$ for each $i < j$.*

We now prove that this result extends to irreducible G-cycle factors.

Lemma 7.12 *Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph and let F be an irreducible G-cycle factor. Then we can label the cycles in F by C_1^g, \dots, C_k^g such that $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g$ for each $i < j$.*

Proof: By Corollary 7.6 we have $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g$ or $C_j^g \simeq C_i^g$ for every pair of distinct G-cycles. Suppose there is no ordering of the cycles as claimed. Let T be the tournament on k vertices v_1, \dots, v_k and with an arc from v_i to v_j if $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g$. Now T has a cycle and therefore also a 3-cycle $v_i v_j v_k v_i$ (take a shortest cycle). Back in D this means that $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g$, $C_j^g \simeq C_k^g$ and $C_k^g \simeq C_i^g$. If all three G-cycles are real cycles (contain no pair of consecutive vertices from the same partite set), then it follows from Theorem 7.11, that F does not have the minimum number of cycles. Hence one of the cycles, say, C_j^g contains a pair of consecutive vertices z, z^+ from the same partite set V . By Lemma 7.9, neither C_i^g nor C_k^g contains a vertex from V and hence z, z^+ are adjacent to every vertex in $V(C_i^g) \cup V(C_k^g)$. By the assumption that $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g \simeq C_k^g$, we conclude that z^+ has an in-neighbour u on C_i^g and z has an out-neighbour w on C_k^g . If w^- and u^+ belong to the same partite set or $w^- \rightarrow u^+$, then $C_i^g[u^+, u]C_j^g[z^+, z]C_k^g[w, w^-]u^+$ is a G-cycle on $V(C_i^g) \cup V(C_j^g) \cup V(C_k^g)$ of length at least $\ell(C_i^g) + \ell(C_j^g) + \ell(C_k^g)$ (here we use that there is no arc between z and z^+ so we loose at most two arcs and gain two or three arcs). Hence we can assume that $u^+ \rightarrow w^-$. By Lemma 7.8 and the fact that $C_k^g \simeq C_i^g$, this implies that $w^- \rightarrow u^{++}$ and $w^{--} \rightarrow u^+$. Now $C_i^g[u^{++}, u]C_j^g[z^+, z]C_k^g[w, w^{--}]u^+w^-u^{++}$ is a G-cycle on $V(C_i^g) \cup V(C_j^g) \cup V(C_k^g)$ of length at least $\ell(C_i^g) + \ell(C_j^g) + \ell(C_k^g) + 1$, contradiction. Hence we have shown that there can be no cycle in T , meaning that T is the transitive tournament on k vertices whose vertices can be (re)labelled v_1, \dots, v_k such that $v_i \rightarrow v_j$ precisely when $i < j$. Translating this back to D we obtain the desired ordering of the G-cycles. \diamond

Lemma 7.13 *Let $F = \cup_{i \in [k]} C_i^g$ be an irreducible G-cycle factor and let (C_1^g, \dots, C_k^g) be an ordering of its G-cycles such that $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g$ for each $i < j$. If C_r^g has a pair of consecutive vertices from the same partite set, then there is no partite set V such that both $\cup_{j=1}^{r-1} V(C_j^g)$ and $\cup_{j=r+1}^k V(C_j^g)$ contain vertices of V .*

Proof: Suppose w.l.o.g that C_r^g has a pair of consecutive vertices u, u^+ from the same partite set and that $w \in C_p^g, z \in C_q^g$ with $p < r < q$ are two vertices belonging to the same partite set V . By Lemma 7.9, all vertices in the partite set containing u, u^+ are on the cycle C_r^g . Hence w^- and u^+ (resp., u and z^+) belong to different partite sets. Now there are 4 cases according to the direction of the arcs between w^- and u^+ , respectively between u and z^+ .

- If both w^-u^+ and uz^+ are arcs, then $C_p^g[w, w^-]C_r^g[u^+, u]C_q^g[z^+, z]w$ is a G-cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_p^g) \cup V(C_r^g) \cup V(C_q^g)$ and precisely $\ell(C_p^g) + \ell(C_r^g) + \ell(C_q^g)$ arcs as we loose the two arcs w^-w, zz^+ and gain the two arcs w^-u^+, uz^+ . Note that it follows from Lemma 7.9 that w^-w, zz^+ are in fact arcs of D .

It should be noted that in the following three cases we have $|V(C_r^g)| \geq 3$ since otherwise u and w^{--} are in the same partite set or u^+ and z^{++} are in the same partite set by Lemma 7.8 (i), which contradicts the fact that all vertices in the partite set containing u, u^+ are on the cycle C_r^g .

- If u^+w^- and uz^+ are arcs, then by Lemma 7.8, $w^{--}u^+$ and w^-u^{++} are both arcs and hence $C_p^g[w, w^{--}]u^+w^-u^{++}C_r^g[u^{++}, u]C_q^g[z^+, z]w$ is a G-cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_p^g) \cup V(C_r^g) \cup V(C_q^g)$ and precisely $\ell(C_p^g) + \ell(C_q^g) + \ell(C_r^g)$ arcs as we loose 4 arcs and gain 4 new ones.
- If w^-u^+ and z^+u are arcs, then by Lemma 7.8, uz^{++}, u^-z^+ are both arcs and hence $C_p^g[w, w^-]C_r^g[u^+, u^-]z^+uz^{++}C_q^g[z^{++}, z]w$ is a G-cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_p^g) \cup V(C_r^g) \cup V(C_q^g)$ and precisely $\ell(C_p^g) + \ell(C_q^g) + \ell(C_r^g)$ arcs as we loose 4 arcs and gain 4 new ones.
- If u^+w^- and z^+u are arcs, then using Lemma 7.8 twice we see that $w^{--}u^+, w^-u^{++}, uz^{++}, u^-z^+$ are all arcs and then $C_p^g[w, w^{--}]u^+w^-C_r^g[u^{++}, u^-]z^+uz^{++}C_q^g[z^{++}, z]w$ is a G-cycle in D with vertex set $V(C_p^g) \cup V(C_r^g) \cup V(C_q^g)$ and precisely $\ell(C_p^g) + \ell(C_q^g) + \ell(C_r^g)$ arcs as we loose 6 arcs and gain 6 new ones.

In all four cases we obtain a new G-cycle factor with fewer cycles, contradicting the assumption. \diamond

Lemma 7.14 *Let $F = \cup_{i \in [k]} C_i^g$ be an irreducible G-cycle factor and let (C_1^g, \dots, C_k^g) be an ordering of the G-cycles of F such that $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g$ for each $i < j$. Suppose that C_p^g and C_q^g with $p < q$ contain vertices of the same partite set, then one of the following statements holds.*

- (i) *There is a spanning G-cycle in $D[V(\cup_{i=p}^q C_i^g)]$ with at least $\sum_{i=p}^q \ell(C_i^g) - 1$ arcs.*
- (ii) *Each cycle C_i^g with $p \leq i \leq q$ is a real cycle. Further, there are two partite sets of D which cover all vertices of such cycles.*

Proof: Suppose that $v_p \in C_p^g$ and $v_q \in C_q^g$ are two vertices in the same partite set V_1 . By Lemma 7.9, there is no pair of vertices from V_1 which are consecutive on one of the cycles of F . In particular, $v_p^-, v_q^+ \notin V_1$. By Lemma 7.9 again, for each $i \in [q - p - 1]$, there is a vertex v_{p+i} on C_{p+i}^g such that v_{p+i} and v_{p+i-1}^- belong to different partite sets.

If v_{q-1}^- and v_q^+ belong to different partite sets, then one can obtain a (v_p, v_q) -G-path satisfying (i) by applying Corollary 7.10 to the $q - p$ pairs of consecutive cycles. It should be noted that for the case that $|V(C_r^g)| = 2$ with $p < r < q$ and $v_r v_{r-1}^-, v_{r+1} v_r^- \in A(D)$, then $C_{r-1}^g[v_{r-1}, v_{r-1}^-]v_r^- v_r C_{r+1}^g[v_{r+1}, v_{r+1}^-]$ is a spanning (v_{r-1}, v_{r+1}^-) -G-path in $D[V(C_{r-1}^g \cup C_r^g \cup C_{r+1}^g)]$. Recall that $v_q^+ \notin V_1$. So it suffices to consider the case that v_{q-1}^-, v_q^+ belong to the same partite set which is distinct from V_1 , say w.l.o.g. this is the partite set V_2 .




Figure 4: The situation when v_p and v_q belong to the same partite set V_1 and v_{q-1}^- and v_q^+ belong to the same partite set $V_2 \neq V_1$.

Next we show that for each $i \in [q - p - 1]$, if $v_{q-i}^- \in V_2$, then $v_{q-i-1}^- \in V_2$ and C_{q-i}^g is a (real) cycle whose vertices alternate between belonging to V_2 and not belonging to V_2 .

Recall that v_{q-1} is an arbitrary vertex of C_{q-1}^g which does not belong to the same partite set as v_{q-2}^- . For each such vertex we saw that we can assume that v_{q-1}^- is in the same partite set as v_q^+ . This and Lemma 7.9 implies that every second vertex on C_{q-1}^g belongs to V_2 . If $v_{q-2}^- \notin V_2$ then (as we could have chosen the arcs between v_{q-2}^- and v_{q-1}^- instead) we get by the argument above that $v_{q-1}^- \in V_2$, contradicting Lemma 7.9, so we have $v_{q-2}^- \in V_2$. Arguing similarly for the G-cycles $C_{q-2}^g, C_{q-3}^g, \dots, C_p^g$ in that order, we conclude that all of the G-cycles C_p^g, \dots, C_{q-1}^g are cycles of D whose vertices alternate between belonging to V_2 and not belonging to V_2 and that the vertices $v_p^-, v_{p+1}^-, \dots, v_{q-1}^-, v_q^+$ all belong to V_2 .

Now repeating the derivation above by replacing (v_p, v_q) with (v_p^-, v_q^+) we conclude that all vertices not in V_2 on $C_{p+1}^g, \dots, C_{q-1}^g$ belong to the same partite set V_j with $j \neq 2$ and v_p^{--}, v_q^{++} also belong to V_j . That is, the vertices on those cycles alternate between belonging to V_j and belonging to V_2 . Again, repeating the progress with (v_p^{--}, v_q^{++}) , (v_p^{--}, v_q^{++}) , $\dots, (v_p^+, v_q^-)$ in turn, we have that C_p^g, C_q^g are two cycles with vertices belonging to V_2 and belonging to V_j , alternatively. Note that $j = 1$ as v_p, v_q are in V_1 , which shows (ii) holds. \diamond

Corollary 7.15 *If Lemma 7.14 (ii) holds, then $C_i^g \Rightarrow C_j^g$ for each $p \leq i < j \leq q$. Moreover, we can obtain a spanning G-cycle C^g in $D[V(\cup_{i=p}^q C_i^g)]$ with $\sum_{i=p}^q \ell(C_i^g) - 2$ arcs.*

Proof: Suppose to the contrary that $C_i^g \not\cong C_j^g$ for some i, j with $p \leq i < j \leq q$. Then there is an arc uv from C_j^g to C_i^g . Clearly, u and v belong to different partite sets. W.l.o.g say $u \in V_1$ and $v \in V_2$. Then $u^+ \in V_2$ and $v^- \in V_1$, which contradicts Lemma 7.8 (i). So the first statement holds and thus $D[V(\cup_{i=p}^q C_i^g)]$ is non-strong. Further, it is easy to check that $D[V(\cup_{i=p}^q C_i^g)]$ has a spanning G-cycle with $\sum_{i=p}^q \ell(C_i^g) - 2$ arcs. \diamond

8 Longest G-cycles

In this section we consider Problem 1.2, that is, the problem of finding a G-cycle which covers the maximum number of arcs in a semicomplete multipartite digraph. The following result shows that we can check in polynomial time whether the given semicomplete multipartite digraph has a spanning G-cycle which is also a ‘cycle’ in D . This provides the answer to problem 1.2 when D is a Hamiltonian semicomplete multipartite digraph.

8.1 G-cycles with at least $|V| - k$ arcs for fixed k

Theorem 8.1 [4] *The Hamiltonian cycle problem is solvable in polynomial time for semicomplete multipartite digraphs.*

For general (non-Hamiltonian) semicomplete multipartite digraphs Problem 1.2 seems challenging as indicated by the results below. Even checking whether there is a G-cycle with $|V(D)| - 1$ arcs in a non-Hamiltonian semicomplete multipartite digraph is already non-trivial, even though we can do as we shall now demonstrate. A G-cycle with $|V(D)| - 1$ arcs is equivalent to a Hamiltonian path in D with both end-vertices in the same partite set. Now for every vertex $x \in D$ create the semicomplete multipartite digraph D_x by adding arcs from x to all other vertices in the same partite set as x . Now D_x has a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if there is a Hamiltonian path in D ending in x and starting in a vertex from the same partite set as x (as D itself was non-Hamiltonian). So there is a G-cycle with $|V(D)| - 1$ arcs in D if and only if D_x has a Hamiltonian cycle for some $x \in V(D)$.

Generalizing this idea above we can prove the following.

Theorem 8.2 *For every fixed k there exists a polynomial algorithm for checking whether a given semicomplete multipartite digraph D on n vertices has a spanning G-cycle of length at least $n - k$.*

Proof: Let $D = (V, A)$ be an semicomplete multipartite digraph. Note that, by definition of a G-cycle, a spanning G-cycle C^g of length $n - k$ consists of exactly k vertex-disjoint paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k and the terminal vertex of P_i belongs to the same partite set as the initial vertex of P_{i+1} . Hence if we add all arcs from each of the terminal vertices of P_1, \dots, P_k to all other vertices in their partite sets, we obtain a semicomplete multipartite digraph with a Hamiltonian cycle containing P_1, \dots, P_k as subpaths. For a given subset

$X \subset V$ we denote by D_X the semicomplete multipartite digraph that we obtain from D by adding all arcs from each vertex $x \in X$ to all other vertices in the same partite set as x . By the remark above, it is not difficult to see that D_X has a Hamiltonian cycle for some choice of X with $|X| = k$ if and only if D has a spanning G-cycle of length at least $n - k$, where $n = |V|$. There are less than n^k different subsets X to try and together with Theorem 8.1 this implies that we can check for a spanning G-cycle with at least $n - k$ arcs in polynomial time. \diamond

Problem 8.3 *What is the complexity of checking for given input k and a semicomplete multipartite digraph D whether D has a spanning G-cycle of length at least $n - k$, where $n = |V(D)|$?*

Problem 8.4 *Is there an algorithm whose running time is $O(f(k)n^c)$ for some constant c and computable function f (that is, an FPT algorithm) for checking the existence of a G-cycle of length at least $n - k$ in a given semicomplete multipartite digraph?*

8.2 Bounds of the length of a longest G-cycle (factor)

Recall that in a strong semicomplete multipartite digraph, each maximum G-cycle is spanning (Lemma 3.3). The following lemma extends Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 8.5 *Let D be a strong semicomplete multipartite digraph and let F be any G-cycle subdigraph. Then D has a G-cycle factor with at least $|A(F)|$ arcs.*

Proof: Insert as many vertices into the cycles of F as possible such that the resulting G-cycle subdigraph still has at least $|A(F)|$ arcs. For convenience, we still call the resulting G-cycle subdigraph F . If F is spanning, then there is nothing to prove. Let v be a vertex not covered by F . If there is a G-cycle $C^g \in F$ and a vertex $u \in C^g$ such that u and v belong to the same partite set or v has both an in-neighbour and an out-neighbour on C^g , then it follows just as in the proof of Lemma 3.3 that we can insert v in C^g as still have at least the same number of arcs in the new cycle. So we can assume there is no such vertex v in $V(D) - V(F)$.

So for every vertex v in $V(D) - V(F)$, v is adjacent to every vertex in F and moreover for each G-cycle $C^g \in F$, either $v \Rightarrow C^g$ or $C^g \Rightarrow v$. We may further assume that no two vertices in $V(D) - V(F)$ belong to the same partite set as the vertices from the same partite set form a trivial G-cycle which we could add to F . This implies that $D - V(F)$ is a transitive tournament. Let v be the vertex in $V(D) - V(F)$ which has in-degree zero in $D - V(F)$.

Since D is strong, there is an arc from F to v . Assume w.l.o.g. that the arc is from $C_1^g \in F$, then $C_1^g \Rightarrow v$ and hence we must have $C_1^g \Rightarrow D - F$ as no vertex in $V(D - F)$ can be inserted into F . Using the fact that D is strong again, C_1^g has an in-arc, say w.l.o.g. it is from C_2^g . Then we must have $C_2^g \Rightarrow v$, implying that $C_2^g \Rightarrow D - F$. In the same way, $V(C_1^g) \cup V(C_2^g)$ has an in-arc, say w.l.o.g. from C_3^g . Again we conclude that

$C_3^g \Rightarrow D - F$. Repeating this process, we obtain that $F \Rightarrow D - F$, contradicting the fact that D is strong. \diamond

Let c_f be the maximum number of arcs in a G-cycle factor of D . This number and a corresponding G-cycle factor can be found in polynomial time by Lemma 2.1. For any two vertices $x, y \in V(D)$, let $N(x, y)$ be the minimum number of pairs of consecutive non-adjacent vertices (vertices from the same partite set) in an (x, y) -G-path among all possible (x, y) -paths and let $N = \max\{N(x, y) : x, y \in V(D)\}$.

Note that $N = 0$ if D is strong.

Observation 1: The maximum number of arcs in a spanning G-cycle of D is at most $\min\{n - N, c_f\}$, where $n = |V(D)|$.

The following examples show that we may not always be able to achieve equality.

- Consider a cycle factor with just two cycles in a non-strong semicomplete bipartite digraph. Then $c_f = n, N = 1$ so $\min\{n - N, c_f\} = n - 1$. However, in a bipartite digraph with equally many vertices in each partite set any spanning G-cycle with consecutive vertices from one partite set must also have consecutive vertices from the other partite set. So there cannot be a spanning G-cycle with at least $n - 1$ arcs.
- Consider the strongly connected semicomplete multipartite digraph D in Figure 5, $N = 0, c_f = n$, but every spanning G-cycle contains at least three pairs of consecutive vertices which are non-adjacent.




Figure 5: A strong semicomplete multipartite digraph with a cycle factor consisting of $\frac{n}{2}$ 2-cycles (similar looking vertices belong to the same partite set). All arcs not shown are from left to right. Here $N = 0$ and $c_f = n = |V(D)|$ but every spanning G-cycle has at most $n - 3$ arcs.

Consider the next two results on cycle factors

Theorem 8.6 [1, Theorem 7.9.1] *If D is a k -strong digraph and $X \subseteq V(D)$ has independence number $\alpha(D[X]) \leq k$, then there is a cycle subdigraph in D that covers X .*

Theorem 8.7 [1, Theorem 7.9.2] *Let D be a $(\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -strong semicomplete multipartite digraph and let X be an arbitrary set of vertices in D such that X includes at most k vertices from any partite set of D . If there exists a cycle subdigraph in D which covers X , then there is a cycle C in D such that $X \subseteq V(C)$.*

A corollary of these results is that every k -strong semicomplete multipartite digraph D with no partite set larger than k has a Hamiltonian cycle [18]. It is natural to ask whether we can lower the connectivity slightly if we just want D to contain a spanning G-cycle with close to n arcs, say $n - f(k)$ arcs for some computable function f . This turns out to be wrong. It is easy to check that when $k \geq 1$, every G-cycle factor in the k -strong semicomplete multipartite digraph D' in Figure 6 has at most $n - c + 1$ arcs where c is the number of partite sets of D' .




Figure 6: A k -strong semicomplete multipartite digraph D' with partite sets V_1, \dots, V_c such that $|V_i| = k + 1$ for $i \in [c - 1]$ and $|V_c| = k$. All arcs between different V_i s which are not shown are from left to right.

Recall from Section 5 that we can find a longest G-path in polynomial time and as the length of a longest G-path is at least the length of a longest G-cycle minus one, we can determine an upper bound on the length of a longest G-cycle in polynomial time by finding a longest G-path. This bound may be far from sharp as indicated by the example in Figure 5 as every semicomplete multipartite digraph with a cycle factor has a Hamiltonian path by Theorem 5.1 but we can continue the pattern in the figure to make the maximum number of arcs in a G-cycle arbitrarily far from n .

8.3 Results in semicomplete bipartite digraphs

Theorem 8.8 [9, 15] *A semicomplete bipartite digraph has a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if it has a (real) cycle factor and it is strong.*

Theorem 8.9 [10] *Let D be a strong semicomplete bipartite digraph. The length of a longest cycle in D is equal to the maximum order of a cycle subdigraph in D .*

Lemma 8.10 *Let D be a semicomplete bipartite digraph with partite sets V_1 and V_2 . Suppose that F is an irreducible G-cycle factor in D . Let (C_1^g, \dots, C_k^g) with $k \geq 2$ be*

an ordering of vertex-disjoint G -cycles in F such that $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g$ for each $i < j$. Then $C_i^g \Rightarrow C_j^g$ for each $i < j$. Moreover, either $F = C_1^g \cup C_2^g$ with $V(C_1^g) = V_t, V(C_2^g) = V_{3-t}$ for some $t \in [2]$ or, each C_i^g is a real cycle and $D[V(F)]$ has a spanning G -cycle with $|A(F)| - 2$ arcs.

Proof: If all cycles in F are real cycles, then the vertices of each cycle alternate between belonging to V_1 and belonging to V_2 . In particular, C_1^g and C_k^g contain vertices of the same partite set. It follows by Lemma 7.14 and Corollary 7.15 that the claim holds.

So we may assume that there exists a G -cycle C_r^g in F containing two consecutive vertices w, w^+ which belong to the same partite set. W.l.o.g assume that w, w^+ belong to V_1 . We may further assume that F contains no trivial G -cycle all of whose vertices belong to V_1 since otherwise the vertices of such a trivial G -cycle can be inserted between w and w^+ , which contradicts the assumption that F is irreducible.

By Lemma 7.13, we may assume that $\cup_{i \in [r-1]} V(C_i^g) \subseteq V_1$ and $\cup_{j \geq r+1} V(C_j^g) \subseteq V_2$. Since F contains no trivial G -cycle all of whose vertices belong to V_1 and F is irreducible, we have that $r = 1$, $F = C_1^g \cup C_2^g$ and $V(C_2^g) \subseteq V_2$. Moreover, we have $C_1^g \Rightarrow C_2^g$. If not, assume that uv is an arc from C_2^g to C_1^g , then we obtain a contradiction with Lemma 7.8 (ii) as both u and u^+ belong to V_2 . Next we show that $V(C_1^g) \subseteq V_1$. If not, choose a vertex $z \in V_2$ on C_1^g with $z^- \in V_1$. Then all vertices of C_2^g can be inserted between z^- and z , which contradicts the assumption that F is irreducible. As F is spanning we conclude that $V(C_1^g) = V_1$ and $V(C_2^g) = V_2$. \diamond

8.4 Consequences for complete split digraphs

A **split graph** is a graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into two sets such that one induces a clique and the other an independent set. Between these two sets there can be arbitrary connections. A **split digraph** is a digraph $D = (V_1, V_2, A)$ where $V(D)$ has a partition V_1, V_2 such that the subdigraph induced by V_1 has no arcs and the subdigraph induced by V_2 is semicomplete, so it is any digraph whose underlying graph is a split graph. A **semicomplete split digraph** is a split digraph in which there is at least one arc between every of V_1 and every vertex of V_2 .

Note that a semicomplete split digraph is a semicomplete multipartite digraph for which at most one partite set has size more than one. If there is such a set, then without loss of generality this is the set V_1 . The following lemma follows from Lemma 8.12 with $c' = 1$.

Lemma 8.11 *Let D be a strong semicomplete split digraph with a G -cycle factor F . Then D has a spanning G -cycle with at least $|A(F)| - 1$ arcs.*

Lemma 8.12 *Let D be a strong semicomplete multipartite digraph with a G -cycle factor F . Then D has a spanning G -cycle with at least $|A(F)| - 2c'$ arcs, where c' is the number of non-trivial partite sets of D . When $c' = 1$ D has a spanning G -cycle with at least*

$|A(F)| - 1$ arcs. Furthermore, we can construct such a cycle in polynomial time from a given G-cycle factor F .

Proof: We may w.l.o.g assume that F is irreducible and let (C_1^g, \dots, C_k^g) be an ordering of vertex-disjoint G-cycles in F such that $C_i^g \simeq C_j^g$ for each $i < j$. Since D is strong, there is a path from C_k^g to C_1^g . For each backward arc uv , say it is from C_j^g to C_i^g with $i < j$, Lemma 7.7 shows that $u_{C_j^g}^+$ and $v_{C_i^g}^-$ belong to the same partite set.

Choose C_j^g with maximum index such that C_1^g and C_j^g have vertices in the same partite set. It follows by Lemma 7.14 that we can merge C_1^g, \dots, C_j^g to one G-cycle \tilde{C}_1^g such that we loose at most two arcs, that is, $\ell(\tilde{C}_1^g) \geq \sum_{i \in [j]} \ell(C_i^g) - 2$. Furthermore, Lemma 7.14 shows that if there is only one non-trivial partite set, i.e., $c' = 1$, then $\ell(\tilde{C}_1^g) \geq \sum_{i \in [j]} \ell(C_i^g) - 1$. It should be noted that C_j^g exists as there is a backward arc entering C_1^g . Let $(\tilde{C}_1^g, C_{j+1}^g, \dots, C_k^g)$ be the new irreducible ordering of vertex-disjoint G-cycles. Choose C_q^g with maximum index $q \geq j + 1$ such that \tilde{C}_1^g and C_q^g have vertices in the same partite set and repeat the above process, we can obtain the wanted spanning G-cycle. It is worth noting that the merging can be done in at most c' steps as there are only c' non-trivial partite sets. \diamond

Corollary 8.13 *If a strong semicomplete split digraph D on n vertices has a cycle factor, then D has a cycle of length at least $n - 1$ and we can find a longest cycle in polynomial time.*

Proof: Since we can convert any cycle factor to an irreducible cycle factor in polynomial time [18] we can find a cycle of length at least $n - 1$ by the algorithm in Lemma 8.12. Using Theorem 8.1 we can check in polynomial time whether D also has a Hamiltonian cycle. \diamond

For general non-semicomplete split digraphs the complexity of the Hamiltonian cycle problem is open even for split digraphs $D = (V_1, V_2, A)$ where $|V_1| = 2$. If $|V_1| = 1$, the Hamiltonian cycle problem is equivalent to deciding the existence of a Hamiltonian path with prescribed starting and ending vertices and hence polynomial (but highly non-trivial) [5].

9 Back to $\{0, 1\}$ -TSP

The results on G-cycles in semicomplete multipartite digraphs in this paper imply the following for the $\{0, 1\}$ -weighted version of directed TSP: Let $D = (V, A)$ be a semicomplete digraph with $\{0, 1\}$ -weights on the arcs so that the arcs of weight 1 induce vertex-disjoint complete subdigraphs D_1, \dots, D_s of D . Let D_0 be the semicomplete multipartite digraph that we obtain from D by deleting all arcs of weight 1 from D .

- We can find a minimum cost Hamiltonian path of D in polynomial time. (Theorem 5.2)

- If D_0 is an extended semicomplete digraph, then we can solve the TSP problem on D in polynomial time. (Theorem 6.1)
- For every fixed k there is a polynomial algorithm for checking whether a $\{0, 1\}$ -arc-weighted semicomplete digraph D where the arcs of cost 1 induce complete digraphs has a TSP tour of weight at most k . (Theorem 8.2)

10 Two NP-complete problems on cycles in semicomplete multipartite digraphs

The purpose of this section is to prove two complexity results concerning cycles meeting all partite sets in semicomplete multipartite graphs. First let us recall that every strong semicomplete multipartite digraph has a cycle which visits each partite set at least once. This follows from Theorem 8.5.6 in [2]. It is also easy to describe a polynomial algorithm for finding such a cycle. By Theorem 8.1 we can also decide in polynomial time whether D has a Hamiltonian cycle.

The next two results show that, unless $P=NP$, the following two natural properties of cycles in semicomplete multipartite digraphs cannot be checked in polynomial time.

Theorem 10.1 *The following problem is NP-complete: Given a semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ decide whether D contains a cycle C such that $1 \leq |V(C) \cap V_i| < |V_i|$ for every $i \in [c]$.*

Proof: We will reduce from 3-SAT, which is known to be NP-hard. That is, we ask for a truth assignment so that each clause contains at least one true literal. The following reduction from 3-SAT to a special path problem has been used in many papers (see e.g. [6]): Let $W[u, v, p, q]$ be the digraph (the variable gadget) with vertices $\{u, v, y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_q, z_{q+1}\}$ and the arcs of the two (u, v) -paths $uy_0y_1 \dots y_pv$ and $uz_1z_2 \dots z_{q+1}v$.

Let \mathcal{F} be an instance of 3-SAT with variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and clauses C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m . For each variable x_i the ordering of the clauses C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m induces an ordering of the occurrences of the literal x_i and the literal \bar{x}_i in these. With each variable x_i we associate a copy of $W[u_i, v_i, p_i, q_i]$ where the literal x_i occurs p_i times and the literal \bar{x}_i occurs q_i times in the clauses of \mathcal{F} . Let this copy be denoted by W_i and let the vertices in $W_i - \{u_i, v_i\}$ all get a superscript of i . For each $j \in [p_i]$ the vertex y_j^i will correspond to the j 'th occurrence of literal x_i and for each $j \in [q_i]$ the vertex z_j^i will correspond to the j 'th occurrence of literal \bar{x}_i . Let $V_i^* = \{y_0^i, z_{q_i+1}^i\}$ for all $i \in [n]$. The vertices in V_i^* do not correspond to any literals. Identify end-vertices of the above mentioned digraphs by setting $v_i = u_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Let the resulting digraph be denoted by D_1 .

For each $j \in [m]$ we let V_j denote the set of those 3 vertices of D_1 which corresponds to the literals of C_j (see Figure 7). It has been shown several times (see e.g. [6]) that

D_1 has an (u_1, v_n) -path which contains at least one vertex from each V_j , $j \in [m]$ if and only if \mathcal{F} is satisfiable (one can analogously show a similar result for a (u_1, v_n) -path that avoids at least one vertex from each V_j). Using a similar approach we can in fact show the following.




Figure 7: An illustration of D_1 for the instance $\mathcal{F} = (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3)$. The white circles correspond to clause-1, the white squares to clause-2 and the white hexagons to clause-3.

Claim A: D_1 has a (u_1, v_n) -path which contains exactly one vertex from each V_i^* for all $i \in [n]$ and at most two vertices from each V_j for all $j \in [m]$ if and only if \mathcal{F} is satisfiable.

Proof of Claim A: First assume that \mathcal{F} is satisfiable. For every $i \in [n]$ let Q_i be the path $u_i y_0^i, y_1^i, \dots, y_{p_i}^i v_i$ if variable x_i is *false* and let Q_i be the path $u_i z_1^i, z_2^i, \dots, z_{q_i+1}^i v_i$ otherwise. The path $Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_n$ is now the desired (u_1, v_1) -path.

Conversely, assume that D_1 has a (u_1, v_n) -path, P , which contains exactly one vertex from each V_i^* for all $i \in [n]$ and at most two vertices from each V_j for all $j \in [m]$. For every $i \in [n]$, if $u_i y_0^i \in A(P)$ then we let x_i be *false* and if $u_i z_1^i \in A(P)$ then we let x_i be *true*. As P contains at most two vertices from each V_j ($j \in [m]$) we note that each clause has a true literal (corresponding to the vertex not on the path P). This completes the proof of Claim A. \diamond

We now extend D_1 to a semicomplete multipartite digraph as follows. Let $V^{start} = \{s_1, s_2\}$ and let $V^{end} = \{t_1, t_2\}$ and add $V^{start} \cup V^{end} \cup \{u^*\}$ to D_1 and add all arcs from $V^{start} \cup \{u^*\}$ to u_1 and all arcs from v_n to V^{end} . Then add all arcs from V^{end} to $V^{start} \cup \{u^*\}$. Let $V^u = \{u^*, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, v_n\}$. The partite sets in our final digraph, D , will be the following.

$$V^{start}, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m, V_1^*, V_2^*, \dots, V_n^*, V^u, V^{end}$$

We now add all arcs from W_k to W_j , between vertices of different partite sets, for all $1 \leq j < k \leq n$. We then add all arcs from V^{end} to W_i and from W_i to $V^{start} \cup \{u^*\}$, for all $i \in [n]$. Then, for all $i \in [n]$ we add all arcs from $\{y_0^i, y_1^i, \dots, y_{p_i}^i, v_i\}$ to $\{u_i, z_1^i, z_2^i, \dots, z_{q_i+1}^i\}$ between vertices of different partite sets. Finally for both the paths $u_i y_0^i y_1^i \dots y_{p_i}^i v_i$ and $u_i z_1^i z_2^i \dots z_{q_i+1}^i v_i$ we add all backward arcs between non-adjacent vertices. Call the resulting digraph D . It is easy to check that D is a semicomplete multipartite digraph.

We will show that D has a cycle such that every partite set contains at least one vertex on the cycle and at least one vertex not on the cycle if and only if \mathcal{F} is satisfiable.

First assume that \mathcal{F} is satisfiable. Let P be the (u_1, v_n) -path in D_1 that exists due to Claim A. Note that P contains every vertex in V^u except u^* and that the path $P_1 = s_1 P t_1$ does not contain all vertices from any partite set in D . We will now extend P_1 to a cycle, C , such that C contains at least one, but not all, vertices from every partite set. Note that P_1 contains vertices from every partite set except possibly some partite sets in $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\}$. Let Q denote a set containing exactly one vertex from each partite sets in $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\}$ which contain no vertex from P_1 . Note that $D[Q]$ is a tournament (all vertices belong to different partite sets) and therefore contains a Hamiltonian path P_2 . Now $P_1 P_2 s_1$ is the desired cycle in D .

Conversely assume that there exists a cycle, C , in D , such that that every partite set contains at least one vertex on the cycle and at least one vertex not on the cycle. We may without loss of generality assume that $s_1 \in V(C)$ (and $s_2 \notin V(C)$). The only out-neighbour of s_1 is u_1 so $s_1 u_1 \in A(C)$ and $u_1 \in V(C)$. This also implies that $u^* \notin V(C)$ as the only outneighbour of u^* is u_1 (and $s_1 u_1 \in A(C)$). We will now show that for every gadget, W_i , either $u_i y_0^i, y_1^i, \dots, y_{p_i}^i v_i$ or $u_i z_1^i, z_2^i, \dots, z_{q_i+1}^i v_i$ is a subpath of C . We first consider the case when $i = 1$.

If $u_1 z_1^1 \in A(C)$ then the only arc out of z_1^1 , which does not go to a vertex in $\{s_1, s_2, u^*, u_1\}$, is to $z_1^1 z_2^1$. Analogously, the only arc out of z_2^1 , which does not go to a vertex in $\{s_1, s_2, u^*, u_1, z_1^1\}$, is to $z_2^1 z_3^1$. Again, the only arc out of z_3^1 , which does not go to a vertex in $\{s_1, s_2, u^*, u_1, z_1^1, z_2^1\}$, is to $z_3^1 z_4^1$. Continuing this process we get the path $u_1 z_1^1, z_2^1, \dots, z_{q_1+1}^1 v_1$.

Now assume that $u_1 y_0^1 \in A(C)$. For the sake of contradiction assume that $u_1 y_0^1, y_1^1, \dots, y_{p_1}^1 v_1$ is not a subpath of C and let k be the largest integer such that $u_1 y_0^1, y_1^1, \dots, y_k^1$ is a subpath of C . This implies that the out-neighbour of y_k^1 on C must belong to $\{z_1^1, z_2^1, \dots, z_{q_1+1}^1\}$, say z_l^1 . However, now it is not difficult to see that the path $z_l^1, z_{l+1}^1, \dots, z_{q_1+1}^1 v_1$ must belong to C (as if we use a backward arc on the path $u_i z_1^i, z_2^i, \dots, z_{q_i+1}^i v_i$, then we cannot get the desired cycle C). However this contradict the fact that C only contains one of the vertices in $V_1^* = \{y_0^1, z_{q_1+1}^1\}$. This contradiction implies that $u_1 y_0^1, y_1^1, \dots, y_{p_1}^1 v_1$ is a subpath of C as desired.

For $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ (in that order) we can analogously show that the above property holds. We just have to note that if we use an arc from some W_i to some W_j with $j < i$ then C cannot contain a vertex from V^{end} (as u_i can only appear on the cycle at most once). So we have now shown that for every gadget, W_i , either $u_i y_0^i, y_1^i, \dots, y_{p_i}^i v_i$ or $u_i z_1^i, z_2^i, \dots, z_{q_i+1}^i v_i$ is a subpath of C . This implies that we have a (u_1, v_n) -path in D_1 which contains exactly one vertex from each V_i^* for all $i \in [n]$ and at at most two vertices from each V_j for all $j \in [m]$. Therefore, by Claim A, \mathcal{F} is satisfiable. \diamond

By Proposition 3.1, every strong semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$

has a G-cycle of length exactly c visiting each V_i exactly once and the proof implies that such a cycle can be constructed in polynomial time. The next result implies that when we replace 'G-cycle' by 'cycle' we obtain an NP-complete problem.

Theorem 10.2 *The following problem is NP-complete: Given a semicomplete multipartite digraph $D = (V_1, \dots, V_c, A)$ decide whether D contains a cycle C such that $|V(C) \cap V_i| = 1$ for every $i \in [c]$.*

Proof: As in the proof of Theorem 10.1 we will reduce from 3-SAT, which is known to be NP-hard. We initially follow the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 10.1. To make reading easier we repeat the first part of that proof. Let $W[u, v, p, q]$ be the digraph (the variable gadget) with vertices $\{u, v, y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_q, z_{q+1}\}$ and the arcs of the two (u, v) -paths $uy_0y_1 \dots y_pv$ and $uz_1z_2 \dots z_{q+1}v$.

Let \mathcal{F} be an instance of 3-SAT with variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and clauses C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m . For each variable x_i the ordering of the clauses C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m induces an ordering of the occurrences of the literal x_i and the literal \bar{x}_i in these. With each variable x_i we associate a copy of $W[u_i, v_i, p_i, q_i]$ where the literal x_i occurs p_i times and the literal \bar{x}_i occurs q_i times in the clauses of \mathcal{F} . Let this copy be denoted by W_i and let the vertices in $W_i - \{u_i, v_i\}$ all get a superscript of i . For each $j \in [p_i]$ the vertex y_j^i will correspond to the j 'th occurrence of literal x_i and for each $j \in [q_i]$ the vertex z_j^i will correspond to the j 'th occurrence of literal \bar{x}_i . Let $V_i^* = \{y_0^i, z_{q_i+1}^i\}$ for all $i \in [n]$. The vertices in V_i^* do not correspond to any literals. Identify end-vertices of the above mentioned digraphs by setting $v_i = u_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Let the resulting digraph be denoted by D_1 .

For each $j \in [m]$ we let V_j denote the set of those 3 vertices of D_1 which corresponds to the literals of C_j (see Figure 7). The proof of the following claim is identical to that of Claim A in Theorem 10.1 and is therefore omitted.

Claim A: D_1 has a (u_1, v_n) -path which contains exactly one vertex from each V_i^* for all $i \in [n]$ and at most two vertices from each V_j for all $j \in [m]$ if and only if \mathcal{F} is satisfiable.

We first extend D_1 to a new digraph, D_2 , as follows (this part is different to the proof of Theorem 10.1). For all $j \in [m]$ let V_j' be a set of 3 vertices and let Q_1^j, Q_2^j and Q_3^j partition $V_j \cup V_j'$ such that each of the three sets contain one vertex from V_j and one vertex from V_j' (for example if $V_j = \{a, b, c\}$ and $V_j' = \{d, e, f\}$ then we can let $Q_1^j = \{a, d\}$, $Q_2^j = \{b, e\}$ and $Q_3^j = \{c, f\}$). Let D_2 be the digraph obtained from D_1 by first adding all arcs from v_n to V_1' and adding all arcs from V_i' to V_{i+1}' for all $i \in [m - 1]$. We then add a vertex x and all arcs V_m' to x and the arc xu_1 . Finally we add a 3-cycle on the vertices in V_j' for all $j \in [m]$. See Figure 8 for an example of D_2 . Let $U_i = \{u_i\}$ for all $i \in [n]$ and let $U_{n+1} = \{v_n\}$ and let $X = \{x\}$. The following claim now holds.




Figure 8: An illustration of D_2 for the instance $\mathcal{F} = (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3)$. The white circles correspond to clause-1, the white squares to clause-2 and the white hexagons to clause-3. Furthermore, $V_1^* = \{y_0^1, z_2^1\}$, $V_2^* = \{y_0^2, z_3^2\}$, $V_3^* = \{y_0^3, z_2^3\}$ and $Q_1^1 = \{y_1^1, q_1^1\}$, $Q_2^1 = \{z_1^2, q_2^1\}$, $Q_3^1 = \{y_1^3, q_3^1\}$, $Q_1^2 = \{z_1^1, q_1^2\}$, $Q_2^2 = \{y_1^2, q_2^2\}$, $Q_3^2 = \{z_1^3, q_3^2\}$, $Q_1^3 = \{y_1^2, q_1^3\}$, $Q_2^3 = \{z_2^2, q_2^3\}$ and $Q_3^3 = \{y_2^3, q_3^3\}$.

Claim B: D_2 contains a cycle, C , such that the following holds if and only if \mathcal{F} is satisfiable.

- $|U_i \cap V(C)| = 1$ for all $i \in [n + 1]$.
- $|V_i^* \cap V(C)| = 1$ for all $i \in [n]$.
- $|Q_i^j \cap V(C)| = 1$ for all $i \in [3]$ and $j \in [m]$.
- $|X \cap V(C)| = 1$.

Proof of Claim B: First assume that \mathcal{F} is satisfiable. By Claim A, D_1 has a (u_1, v_n) -path, P_1 , which contains exactly one vertex from each V_i^* for all $i \in [n]$ and at most two vertices from each V_j for all $j \in [m]$. Let $R \subseteq V_1' \cup V_2' \cup \dots \cup V_m' \cup \{x\}$ be defined such that $|(R \cup V(P_1)) \cap Q_i^j| = 1$ for all $i \in [3]$ and $j \in [m]$ and $x \in R$. Note that there is a Hamilton path, P_2 , in $D_2[R]$ starting in a vertex in V_1' and ending in x . Adding the arc xu_1 to the path P_1P_2 now gives us the desired cycle.

Conversely, assume that D_2 has a cycle C satisfying the conditions of Claim B. Note that $\{u_1, v_n, x\} \subseteq V(C)$ (as $|U_1 \cap V(C)| = 1$, $|U_{n+1} \cap V(C)| = 1$ and $|X \cap V(C)| = 1$). Furthermore the path $C[v_n, x]$ must contain at least one vertex from each V_j' , where $j \in [m]$, which implies that the path $C[u_1, v_n]$ does not contain all vertices in V_j for any $j \in [m]$. By Claim A (and the path $C[u_1, v_n]$) we note that \mathcal{F} is satisfiable, which completes the proof of Claim B. \diamond

We now extend D_2 to a semicomplete multipartite digraph, D_3 , as follows. The partite sets of D_3 will be the following.

- U_i for all $i \in [n + 1]$.

- V_i^* for all $i \in [n]$.
- Q_i^j for all $i \in [3]$ and $j \in [m]$.
- X .

Note that the above sets partition $V(D_2)$ ($= V(D_3)$). Firstly, for all $i \in [n]$ we add all arcs from $\{y_0^i, y_1^i, \dots, y_{p_i}^i, v_i\}$ to $\{u_i, z_1^i, z_2^i, \dots, z_{q_i+1}^i\}$ between vertices of different partite sets. Then, for both of the paths $u_i y_0^i y_1^i \dots y_{p_i}^i v_i$ and $u_i z_1^i z_2^i \dots z_{q_i+1}^i v_i$ we add all backward arcs. We then consider the order $(W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots, W_n, V'_1, V'_2, V'_3, \dots, V'_m, \{x\})$ and add all arcs from sets later in the order to sets earlier in the order, between vertices not in the same partite set. That is, if R and T are two sets in the order and R appears before T then we add all arcs from T to R , between vertices not in the same partite set. This completes the construction of D_3 .

We will show that D_3 has a cycle such that every partite set contains exactly one vertex on the cycle if and only if \mathcal{F} is satisfiable.

First assume that \mathcal{F} is satisfiable. Let C be the cycle in D_2 that exists due to Claim B. This cycle is the desired cycle in D_3 , so we are done in this case.

Conversely assume that there exists a cycle, C , in D_3 , such that that every partite set contains exactly one vertex on the cycle. As in the proof of Theorem 10.1 we will show that for every gadget, W_i , either $u_i y_0^i, y_1^i, \dots, y_{p_i}^i v_i$ or $u_i z_1^i, z_2^i, \dots, z_{q_i+1}^i v_i$ is a subpath of C . We first consider the case when $i = 1$.

If $u_1 z_1^1 \in A(C)$ then the only arc out of z_1^1 , which does not go to a vertex already visited is $z_1^1 z_2^1$. Analogously, the only arc out of z_2^1 , which does not go to a vertex already visited is $z_2^1 z_3^1$. Continuing this process we get the path $u_1 z_1^1, z_2^1, \dots, z_{q_1+1}^1 v_1$.

Now assume that $u_1 y_0^1 \in A(C)$. For the sake of contradiction assume that $u_1 y_0^1, y_1^1, \dots, y_{p_1}^1 v_1$ is not a subpath of C and let k be the largest integer such that $u_1 y_0^1, y_1^1, \dots, y_k^1$ is a subpath of C . This implies that the out-neighbour of y_k^1 on C must belong to $\{z_1^1, z_2^1, \dots, z_{q_1+1}^1\}$, say it is z_l^1 . However, now it is not difficult to see that the path $z_l^1, z_{l+1}^1, \dots, z_{q_1+1}^1 v_1$ must belong to C (as if we use a backward arc on the path $u_1 z_1^1, z_2^1, \dots, z_{q_1+1}^1 v_1$, then we cannot get the desired cycle C). However this contradicts the fact that C only contains one of the vertices in $V_1^* = \{y_0^1, z_{q_1+1}^1\}$. This contradiction implies that $u_1 y_0^1, y_1^1, \dots, y_{p_1}^1 v_1$ is a subpath of C as desired.

For $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ (in that order) we can analogously show that the above property holds. We just have to note that if we use an arc from some W_i to some W_j with $j < i$ then C cannot contain the vertex v_n . So we have now shown that for every gadget, W_i , either $u_i y_0^i, y_1^i, \dots, y_{p_i}^i v_i$ or $u_i z_1^i, z_2^i, \dots, z_{q_i+1}^i v_i$ is a subpath of C .

The above paths give us the path $C[u_1, v_n]$, which we note is a path in D_1 . The path $C[v_n, u_1]$ must include the vertex x and therefore it must include at least one vertex from each set V'_j for $j \in [m]$. This implies that the path $C[u_1, v_n]$ cannot include all vertices from any set V_j , $j \in [m]$. By Claim A we note that \mathcal{F} is satisfiable, which completes the proof. \diamond

Conflict of interest statement:

There are no sources of conflict of interest regarding this paper.

Data availability statement:

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgments:

Financial support from the Independent Research Fund Denmark under grant DFF-7014-00037B is gratefully acknowledged. The second author was supported by China Scholarship Council (CSC) No. 202106220108.

References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin. *Classes of Directed Graphs*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, London, 2018.
- [2] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin. *Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications*. Springer-Verlag London, 2nd edition, 2009.
- [3] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin and J. Huang. A sufficient condition for a semicomplete multipartite digraph to be Hamiltonian. *Discrete Math.*, 161(1-3): 1–12, 1996.
- [4] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin and A. Yeo. A polynomial algorithm for the Hamiltonian cycle problem in semicomplete multipartite digraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 29:111–132, 1998.
- [5] J. Bang-Jensen, Y. Manoussakis and C. Thomassen. A polynomial algorithm for Hamiltonian-connectedness in semicomplete digraphs. *J. Algor.*, 13(1):114–127, 1992.
- [6] J. Bang-Jensen and A. Yeo. Arc-disjoint spanning sub(di)graphs in digraphs. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 438:48–54, 2012.
- [7] P. Camion. Chemins et circuits hamiltoniens des graphes complets. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 249:2151–2152, 1959.
- [8] M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson. *Computers and intractability*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1979.
- [9] G. Gutin. Criterion for complete bipartite digraphs to be Hamiltonian. *Vestsī Akad. Navuk BSSR Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Navuk*, 1:109–110, 1984.
- [10] G. Gutin. Finding the largest contour in a complete bipartite digraph. *Kibernetika*, 2:117–118, 1987.
- [11] G. Gutin. Characterization of complete n -partite digraphs that have a Hamiltonian path. *Kibernetika (Kiev)*, 1:107–108,136, 1988.

- [12] G. Gutin. *Cycles and paths in directed graphs*. PhD thesis, School of Mathematics, Tel Aviv University, 1993.
- [13] G. Gutin. Finding a longest path in a complete multipartite digraph. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 6:270–273, 1993.
- [14] G. Gutin. Characterizations of vertex pancyclic and pancyclic ordinary complete multipartite digraphs. *Discrete Math.*, 141(1-3):153–162, 1995.
- [15] R. Häggkvist and Y. Manoussakis. Cycles and paths in bipartite tournaments with spanning configurations. *Combinatorica*, 9(1):33–38, 1989.
- [16] L. Rédei. Ein kombinatorischer Satz. *Acta Litt. Szeged*, 7:39–43, 1934.
- [17] C. Thomassen. Hamiltonian-connected tournaments. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 28(2):142–163, 1980.
- [18] A. Yeo. One-diregular subgraphs in semicomplete multipartite digraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 24(2):175–185, 1997.