

TOPOLOGICAL QUANTUM MECHANICS ON ORBIFOLDS AND ORBIFOLD INDEX

SI LI, PENG YANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study topological quantum mechanical models on symplectic orbifolds. The correlation map gives an explicit orbifold version of quantum HKR map. The exact semi-classical approximation in this model leads to a geometric and quantum field theoretic interpretation of the orbifold algebraic index.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. A one-dimensional σ -model with orbifold target	2
1.2. The HKR map: classical v.s. quantum, manifolds v.s. orbifolds	3
1.3. Relation with orbifold algebraic index	5
2. Topological quantum mechanics on orbifolds	5
2.1. Twisted sectors	5
2.2. Topological quantum mechanics on orbifolds	6
2.3. Twisted propagator	7
2.4. Vacuum expectation	9
2.5. Correlation map	10
3. Orbifold algebraic index	15
3.1. Twisted universal index	15
3.2. Descent on orbifolds	19
3.3. The algebraic index theorem for orbifolds	22
Appendix A. A brief review of orbifolds	23
Appendix B. Feynman diagrams and proof of Theorem 3.9	24
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

In [25], it was shown that correlations in topological quantum mechanics on S^1 explains an explicit map from Hochschild chains of Weyl algebras to differential forms on the phase space

$$\langle - \rangle : C_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{W}_{2n}) \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet}$$

which intertwines the Hochschild differential b with the BV operator $\hbar\Delta$, and intertwines the Connes operator B with the de Rham differential d . Here the BV operator Δ is the Lie derivative with respect the standard Poisson bi-vector. In physics content, the correlation map $\langle - \rangle$ is “integrating out” massive modes to end up with an effective function on zero modes. This gives a quasi-isomorphism between the Hochschild chain complex $(C_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), b)$ and the BV complex $(\hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet}, \hbar\Delta)$, which can be viewed as a quantization of the classical HKR map. Composing with a Berezin integration, it gives the Feigin-Felder-Shoiket formula [6] for the Hochschild cocycle of Weyl algebra. A further investigation with the S^1 -action in this model leads to a semi-classical approach to the algebraic index theorem as formulated by Fedosov [2] and Nest-Tsygan [23].

In this paper, we generalize the above construction to orbifold phase space. We show that the analogous correlation map gives an explicit formula for an orbifold version of quantum HKR map. The method of exact semi-classical approximation in this model leads to a geometric and quantum field theoretic interpretation of the orbifold algebraic index theorem by Fedosov-Schulze-Tarkhanov [5] and Pflaum-Posthuma-Tang [18].

1.1. A one-dimensional σ -model with orbifold target. Let us explain how to formulate topological quantum mechanical model with target a linear symplectic orbifold.

Let G be a finite group which acts linearly on a $2n$ -dimensional vector space V , and ω be a symplectic pairing on V which is compatible with the G -action. $(V/G, \omega)$ is the local model of a symplectic orbifold.

Consider a one-dimensional σ -model

$$S^1 \rightarrow (V/G, \omega)$$

describing maps from a circle to a linear symplectic orbifold. We will treat V/G as a stack quotient. Then a map $f : S^1 \rightarrow V/G$ can be described as a G -equivariant map

$$P \rightarrow V$$

where P is some G -principal bundle on S^1 . Define the associated vector bundle $\mathcal{L} := P \times_G V$, then f can be furthermore identified with a section of \mathcal{L} .

G -principal bundles on S^1 are all flat, and classified by the conjugate class $\text{Conj}(G) := G/\text{ad}G$. For each $[g] \in \text{Conj}(G)$, with a representative g , there corresponds to a G -principal bundle P_g with monodromy g and its associated vector bundle $\mathcal{L}_g := P_g \times_G V$. Thus, the mapping space can be equivalently described by

$$\bigoplus_{[g] \in \text{Conj}(G)} \Gamma(S^1, \mathcal{L}_g).$$

Applying the AKSZ [16] construction, we arrive at the following space of fields

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{[g] \in \text{Conj}(G)} \Omega^\bullet(S^1, \mathcal{L}_g)$$


with the BRST differential $d = d_{dR}$ the de Rham differential and a symplectic pairing of degree -1

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) := \int_{S^1} \omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2), \quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{E}$$

We consider the free action given by

$$S[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi, d\varphi) = \int_{S^1} \omega(\varphi, d\varphi), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}.$$

These data describe a topological quantum mechanical system on S^1 .


 FIGURE 1. An observable \mathcal{O}_1 passing through the g -twist

Denote $\mathcal{E}_g = \Omega^\bullet(S^1, \mathcal{L}_g)$, which is called the g -twisted sector. We have

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{[g] \in \text{Conj}(G)} \mathcal{E}_g.$$

The (-1) -shifted symplectic pairing is nondegenerate on each \mathcal{E}_g . The theory naturally splits into g -twisted theories, each of which has field space \mathcal{E}_g , corresponding to maps of the circle to the fixed locus of g . Globally, this suggests us to consider the inertia orbifold of an orbifold.

The algebra of local observables is the Weyl algebra \mathcal{W}_{2n} with the Moyal-Weyl product. It carries a natural G -action. In the g -twisted theory, there would be a point defect describing the g -action when observables pass through it. See figure 1.

Then a slight modification of [25] produces a correlation map in the g -twisted theory (see Definition 2.6)

$$\langle - \rangle_{free}^g : C_{-\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)} \rightarrow (\hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet})^{C(g)}.$$

Here $C_{-\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)$ are the g -twisted Hochschild chains (see Definition 2.3). $C(g)$ is the centralizer of g . Again, $\langle - \rangle_{free}^g$ intertwines the g -twisted Hochschild differential b_g with the BV operator $\hbar\Delta_g$, and intertwines the g -twisted Connes operator B_g with the de Rham differential d (see Lemma 2.7). Thus $\langle - \rangle_{free}^g$ can be viewed as an explicit formula for the g -twisted version of quantum HKR map.

1.2. The HKR map: classical v.s. quantum, manifolds v.s. orbifolds. In this section, we review the correlation map in [25] and compare it with the orbifold case.

- (1) Consider formal power series and formal differential forms in n variables (we put \hbar coefficients for convenience)

$$A_{2n} = \mathbb{C}[[y^i]]((\hbar)), \quad \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet} = \mathbb{C}[[y^i, dy^i]]((\hbar))$$

which can be viewed as the algebra of formal functions and differential forms on the infinitesimal neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Here the 1-forms dy^i have cohomology degree -1 and anticommute with each other. The HKR theorem gives a quasi-isomorphism from the Hochschild chain complex of A_{2n} to $\hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet}$

$$C_{-\bullet}(A_{2n}) \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet}$$

which intertwines the Hochschild differential b with 0.

- (2) Let $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ be a symplectic vector space with linear coordinates y^i . The Weyl algebra

$$\mathcal{W}_{2n} := \mathbb{C}[[y^i]](\hbar)$$

can be seen as a deformation quantized algebra of A_{2n} , equipped with the Moyal-Weyl product

$$f \star g = m(e^{\hbar\Pi}(f \otimes g)), \quad \Pi = \omega^{-1}.$$

Here the Poisson bi-vector $\Pi = \sum_{i,j} \omega^{ij} \partial_i \wedge \partial_j$ acts on $f \otimes g$ as $\sum_{i,j} \omega^{ij} \partial_i f \otimes \partial_j g$, and

$$m : A_{2n} \otimes A_{2n} \rightarrow A_{2n}$$

is the multiplication map. Correlations in this model lead to a quasi-isomorphism [25]

$$\langle - \rangle_{free} : C_{-\bullet}(\mathcal{W}_{2n}) \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet}$$

which intertwines the Hochschild differential b with the BV operator $\hbar\Delta$, and intertwines the Connes operator B with the de Rham differential d .

- (3) We can couple the system with a rank r vector bundle. This means observables are matrix valued and we will take a trace at the end. We get a quasi-isomorphism [25]

$$\langle - \rangle_{free} : C_{-\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n})) \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet}.$$

- (4) In this paper we consider the orbifold case. The algebra of formal functions on the singular space \mathbb{R}^{2n}/G has a smooth resolution by the semi-product $A_{2n} \rtimes G$. The Hochschild chain complex of such a twisted group algebra naturally splits:

$$C_{-\bullet}(A \rtimes G) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{g \in G} C_{-\bullet}(A, g) \right)^G \simeq \bigoplus_{[g] \in \text{Conj}(G)} C_{-\bullet}(A, g)^{C(g)}.$$

Similar things hold for cyclic/periodic cyclic chains. Here a term $C_{-\bullet}(A, g)^{C(g)}$ describes observables on the fixed point set of g , and for different g 's representing a conjugate class $[g]$, there are natural isomorphisms linking these chains by conjugating. Let us choose linear coordinates y^i, z^j , such that y^i are coordinates on the g -fixed locus. So we can write

$$A_{2n} = \mathbb{C}[[y^i, z^j]](\hbar), \quad \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet} = \mathbb{C}[[y^i, z^j, dy^i, dz^j]](\hbar)$$

and we denote the formal de Rham algebra on g -fixed locus by

$$\hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-\bullet} := \mathbb{C}[[y^i, dy^i]](\hbar).$$

Then the HKR map gives a quasi-isomorphism

$$C_{-\bullet}(A_{2n}, g) \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-\bullet}.$$

The g -twisted correlation map gives a quasi-isomorphism (see Definition 2.6)

$$\langle - \rangle_{free}^g : C_{-\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)} \rightarrow (\hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-\bullet})^{C(g)}.$$

1.3. **Relation with orbifold algebraic index.** By incorporating $\langle - \rangle_{free}^g$ with the universal flat connection $\widehat{\Theta}$ in [25] and by composing with a Berezin integral, we obtain the universal trace map (see Definition 3.2)

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g := \int_{BV} \langle - \rangle_{int}^g \in C_{\text{Lie}}^\bullet \left(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}((\hbar))} \left(CC_{-\bullet}^{per}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}, \mathbb{K} \right) \right), \quad \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}((\hbar))[u, u^{-1}]$$

which lies in Lie algebra cochains. The universal index is

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g(1)$$

which can be computed semi-classically in analogy with the S^1 -equivariant method (see Proposition 3.9).

By the descend construction, this leads to the algebraic index theorem for orbifolds [5,18]

$$\text{Tr}(1) = \int_{\wedge X} e^{-\omega_\hbar/\hbar} \frac{\widehat{A}(\wedge X) \text{Ch}_g(E)}{m \det(1 - g_\perp^{-1} e^{-R^\perp})}.$$

This gives a quantum field theoretical interpretation of the orbifold algebraic index.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Xiang Tang and Tianqing Zhu for helpful communications. This work of S. L. is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (NO. 2020YFA0713000).

Conventions. Given two elements A, B in a graded algebra, the commutator $[A, B]$ always means a graded commutator (here $|\cdot|$ is the degree):

$$[A, B] = A \cdot B - (-1)^{|A||B|} B \cdot A.$$

2. TOPOLOGICAL QUANTUM MECHANICS ON ORBIFOLDS

2.1. **Twisted sectors.** We are interested in σ -model on orbifolds. In quantum field theory, a σ -model describes dynamics on the mapping space

$$\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow X$$

from a source geometry Σ to a target geometry X .

Let us consider the case when X is an orbifold which is modeled by a global quotient

$$X = M/G.$$

Here M is a smooth manifold with an action by a finite group G . A map $\Sigma \rightarrow X$ to an orbifold is described by a principal G -bundle P on Σ together with a G -equivariant map $P \rightarrow X$


$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \\ \Sigma & & \end{array}$$

Then a σ -model $\Sigma \rightarrow X$ is equivalently a G -gauged σ -model with field space as maps $P \rightarrow X$.

Principal G -bundles on Σ are generally not trivial. Then the theory splits into σ -models with P in an isomorphism class of principal G -bundles on Σ and a possibly smaller gauge group $H \subset G$. These disconnected components are called twisted sectors.

Example 2.1. We will mainly consider the quantum mechanical case when Σ is one-dimensional.

- Let $\Sigma = I$ be an interval. A G -principal bundle on I is trivial. Thus, a path $I \rightarrow M/G$ in M/G is equivalently a path $I \rightarrow M$ in M , up to a choice of lift of base point. See figure 2.

FIGURE 2. A g -twisted sector

- Let $\Sigma = S^1$ be a circle. As we see in the introduction, the theory splits into $|\text{Conj}(G)|$ theories. Following [25], we will be also interested in the low-energy limit when the size of a circle in an orbifold $S^1 \rightarrow X$ tends to 0. When S^1 shrinks to a point, there only remains a point in $x \in M$ together with an automorphism g which fixes x , or in other words, a point x in the g -fixed locus M^g . Thus the mapping space becomes the inertia orbifold $\wedge X$ of X in the low-energy limit.

2.2. Topological quantum mechanics on orbifolds. Following our notations in the introduction, we will study the topological quantum mechanics on orbifolds via the AKSZ approach, which can be viewed as a σ -model describing maps

$$S_{dR}^1 \rightarrow V/G.$$

Here S_{dR}^1 is the locally-ringed space whose underlying topology is the circle S^1 , with the structure sheaf being the de Rham complex of forms on S^1 . The target is a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic vector space (V, ω) with an action of a finite group $G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ compatible with ω .

The space of fields in the g -twisted sector is

$$\mathcal{E}_g = \Omega^\bullet(S^1, \mathcal{L}_g).$$

The action functional in the g -twisted sector is the free one as described in the introduction

$$S[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi, d\varphi) = \int_{S^1} \omega(\varphi, d\varphi), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_g.$$

Before we move on to discuss the quantum theory, let us fix our notations that will be used throughout this paper. Denote the order of g by p . Let $2k$ be the dimension of the subspace of g -invariant elements in V . By choosing suitable Darboux coordinates, we can identify the triple (V, ω, g) with $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega, g)$, where

- $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^{2k} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}$, with coordinates y^1, \dots, y^{2k} on \mathbb{R}^{2k} and z^{2k+1}, \dots, z^{2n} on \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}
- $\omega = \omega|_{\mathbb{R}^{2k} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2k}} + \omega|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}}$
- $g|_{\mathbb{R}^{2k}} = 1, g(\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}$. Denote $g_\perp := g|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}}$.

In fact, we can split $(V, \omega, g) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (V_i, \omega_i, g_i)$ with $\dim V_i = 2$, by making a complex coordinate change.

The inverse of ω is a bivector

$$\Pi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i+k}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i+k}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2k+1}^{n+k} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j+n-k}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j+n-k}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right)$$

$$=: \Pi_1 + \Pi_2.$$

2.3. Twisted propagator. In this section we calculate the propagator in the g -twisted sector, which represents the integral kernel of “ d^{-1} ” on $\mathcal{E}_g = \Omega^\bullet(S^1, \mathcal{L}_g)$ as indicated from the free action. The topological quantum mechanical model has a local gauge symmetry

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + d\chi$$

and we need to impose gauge fixing condition in order to invert d appropriately. This can be done by using the standard flat metric on S^1 , and the gauge fixed propagator becomes the integral kernel of the operator

$$d^* \frac{1}{D}$$

where d^* is the adjoint of d and D is the Laplacian. This propagator will be used to construct the Feynman diagram integrals in the quantum theory.

Let x denote the linear coordinate on S^1 where $x \sim x + 1$ are identified. From the Laplacian $D = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, we can form the heat operator

$$e^{-tD} : \Omega^\bullet(S^1, \mathcal{L}_g) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(S^1, \mathcal{L}_g).$$

The heat kernel $\mathbb{K}_t \in \Omega^\bullet(S^1 \times S^1, \mathcal{L}_g \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_g)$ is defined by the integral relation

$$(e^{-tD}\alpha)(x) = \int_{y \in S^1} \langle \mathbb{K}_t(x, y), \alpha(y) \rangle_y.$$

Here $\langle -, - \rangle_y$ means we pair the second factor of \mathbb{K}_t with α . Explicitly, the heat kernel is given by

$$\mathbb{K}_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y+m)^2}{4t}\right) (dx \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes dy) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-m})\Pi.$$

Here Π is the inverse of ω , i.e., the Poisson bi-vector.

Let d^* be the adjoint of d . The propagator \mathbb{P}_0^∞ representing the integral kernel of $d^* \frac{1}{D}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_0^\infty(x, y) &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \int_\epsilon^L (d^* \otimes 1) \mathbb{K}_t(x, y) dt \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \int_\epsilon^L dt \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(-\frac{x-y+m}{2t}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y+m)^2}{4t}\right) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-m})\Pi \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \int_\epsilon^L dt t^{-3/2} \sum_{m \in p\mathbb{Z}+k} (x-y+m) \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y+m)^2}{4t}\right) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-k})\Pi \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \int_\epsilon^L dt t^{-3/2} \sum_{m \in p\mathbb{Z}+k} \\ &\quad \underbrace{\left((x-y+m) \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y+m)^2}{4t}\right) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{x-y+m-p/2}^{x-y+m+p/2} u e^{-u^2/4t} du \right)}_{\text{absolutely convergent}} \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-k})\Pi \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{m \in p\mathbb{Z}+k} \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ L \rightarrow \infty}} \int_\epsilon^L dt t^{-3/2} \\ &\quad \left((x-y+m) \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y+m)^2}{4t}\right) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{x-y+m-p/2}^{x-y+m+p/2} u e^{-u^2/4t} du \right) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-k})\Pi. \end{aligned}$$

When $0 < x - y < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 &:= \mathbb{P}_0^\infty(x, y) = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{m \in p\mathbb{Z}+k} \left((x-y+m) \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{|x-y+m|} - \frac{1}{p} \int_{x-y+m-p/2}^{x-y+m+p/2} u \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{|u|} du \right) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-k}) \Pi \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{m=k, k-p} \left((x-y+m) \frac{1}{|x-y+m|} - \frac{1}{p} \int_{x-y+m-p/2}^{x-y+m+p/2} u \frac{1}{|u|} du \right) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-k}) \Pi \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{x-y}{p} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{p} \right) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-k}) \Pi \\
&= \left(x-y - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(1 \otimes \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} g^{-k} \right) \Pi + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left(k - \frac{p-1}{2} \right) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-k}) \Pi \\
&= \left(x-y - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Pi_1 - \left(1 \otimes \frac{1}{1-g_\perp^{-1}} \right) \Pi_2 \\
&=: P_{11} + P_{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

The propagator P_1 represents a line that connects x to y in Feynman diagrams. When pulled back to configuration space $S^1[2]$, its non-constant part P_{11} becomes $\left(u - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Pi_1$, which is the propagator used in [6, 22, 25].

When $x = y$,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2 &:= \mathbb{P}_0^\infty(x, y)|_{x=y} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{m=k, k-p} \left(m \frac{1}{|m|} - \frac{1}{p} \int_{m-p/2}^{m+p/2} u \frac{1}{|u|} du \right) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-k}) \Pi \\
&= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(k - \frac{p}{2} \right) \cdot (1 \otimes g^{-k}) \Pi \\
&= -\left(1 \otimes \frac{g_\perp^{-1}}{1-g_\perp^{-1}} \right) \Pi_2 - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The propagator P_2 represents a loop from x to itself.

We also denote

$$P_3 = -\left(1 \otimes \frac{g_\perp^{-1}}{1-g_\perp^{-1}} \right) \Pi_2 = P_{12} + \Pi_2 = P_2 + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_2.$$

Remark 2.2. Choose a g -invariant complex structure on \mathbb{R}^{2n-2k} and identify it with \mathbb{C}^{n-k} . Then the matrix of g is unitary and the matrix of $\frac{1+g_\perp^{-1}}{1-g_\perp^{-1}}$ is anti-Hermitian. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \otimes \frac{1+g_\perp^{-1}}{1-g_\perp^{-1}} \right) \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{1+g_\perp^{-1}}{1-g_\perp^{-1}} \right)^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the one used in [3] to construct a trace.

2.3.1. Configuration spaces. The propagators are not smooth on $S^1 \times S^1$, but can be lifted smoothly to compactified configuration spaces of S^1 (as shown for Chern-Simons type theory in [10, 19, 24]). Correlation functions in our model will be expressed via integrals on these configurations spaces.

We first introduce the geometric notation, following the presentation in [25]. Let

$$\text{Conf}_{S^1}[m+1] = \{(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m) \in (S^1)^{m+1} \mid p_i \neq p_j\} \subset (S^1)^{m+1}$$

be the configuration space of $m + 1$ ordered points on the circle S^1 . Let

$$\text{Cyc}_{S^1}[m + 1] = \{(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m) \in \text{Conf}_{S^1}[m + 1] \mid p_0, \dots, p_m \text{ are anti-clockwise cyclic ordered}\}$$

be the connected component of $\text{Conf}_{S^1}[m + 1]$ where points have the prescribed cyclic order.

$\text{Cyc}_{S^1}[m + 1]$ has a natural compactification as follows. Let us identify $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ so that the total length of S^1 is 1. Given a cyclic ordered points (p_0, \dots, p_m) on S^1 , let $u_{i,i+1}$ denote the oriented distance by traveling from p_i to p_{i+1} anti-clockwise ($p_{m+1} \equiv p_0$). Let Δ_m denote the standard simplex

$$\Delta_m = \{(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \mid \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i = 1\}.$$

Let Δ_m^o be the interior of Δ_m . Then we have a natural identification

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cyc}_{S^1}[m + 1] &\cong S^1 \times \Delta_m^o \\ (p_0, \dots, p_m) &\mapsto \{p_0\} \times (u_{0,1}, u_{1,2}, \dots, u_{m,0}). \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to compactify $\text{Cyc}_{S^1}[m + 1]$ by $S^1 \times \Delta_m$, which will be denoted by $S^1_{\text{cyc}}[m + 1]$.

Similarly, we can compactify the whole space $\text{Conf}_{S^1}[m]$, denoted by $S^1[m]$. $S^1[m]$ is a manifold with corners. Alternately, it could be constructed via successive real-oriented blow ups of diagonals in $(S^1)^m$. In particular, it carries a natural blow-down map

$$\pi : S^1[m] \rightarrow (S^1)^m.$$

For example, $S^1[2]$ is parametrized as a cylinder

$$S^1[2] = \{(e^{2\pi i\theta}, u) \mid 0 \leq \theta < 1, 0 \leq u \leq 1\}.$$

With this parametrization, the blow down map is

$$\pi : S^1[2] \rightarrow (S^1)^2, \quad (e^{2\pi i\theta}, u) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i\theta}, e^{2\pi i\theta+u}).$$

If we denote an element of $(S^1)^2$ by two ordered points (p_0, p_1) , then u is the oriented distance by traveling from p_0 to p_1 anti-clockwise.

2.4. Vacuum expectation. In this section, we calculate the vacuum expectation which encodes the partition function of free quantum mechanics on an orbifold. We give a heuristic explanation of the factor $\det(1 - g_{\perp}^{-1})^{-1}$ that will appear in the trace map and orbifold index later. This factor is precisely the vacuum expectation.

In the following discussions, we will identify

$$\Omega^{\bullet}(S^1, \mathcal{L}_g) \simeq \{\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes V \mid \omega(x+1) = g \cdot \omega(x)\}$$

by pulling back \mathcal{L}_g along the universal cover $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$.

We decompose the triple (V, ω, g) into direct sum of triples (V_i, ω_i, g_i) with $\dim V_i = 2$. The vacuum expectation will be the product of the vacuum expectation associated to each subspace of this decomposition. Let us assume $W = V_i$ for some i and compute the vacuum expectation for W .

Firstly, consider the case $g \neq 1$. Choose a basis $\{u, v\}$ of W such that $\omega(\partial_u, \partial_v) = 1$. Then the matrix of g on W is of the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l}{p}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{2\pi l}{p}\right) \\ -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi l}{p}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l}{p}\right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can write the g -action as $g(u + iv) = e^{\frac{2\pi il}{p}}(u + iv)$ by using a complex coordinate.

Only 0-forms contribute to the action. Such forms have an orthonormal basis

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi il}{p}t} e^{2\pi imt} (u + iv) \right), \quad \operatorname{Im} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi il}{p}t} e^{2\pi imt} (u + iv) \right), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The vacuum expectation is computed via the standard Gaussian integral and is naively given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(d)}} = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| 2\pi \left(m + \frac{l}{p} \right) \right|^{-1} = \left[\prod_{m \neq 0} |2\pi m| \cdot \prod_{m \neq 0} \left(1 + \frac{l}{mp} \right) \cdot \frac{2\pi l}{p} \right]^{-1} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (2\pi m)^{-2} \cdot \left[2 \sin \left(\frac{\pi l}{p} \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

The factor $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (2\pi m)^{-2}$ is divergent and needs regularization. We use the standard zeta function regularization. Consider the function

$$\zeta_1(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2\pi m)^{-2s}$$

which converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ and can be analytically continued to a neighborhood of 0. Taking derivative at 0, we get the formal expression $\zeta_1'(0) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log((2\pi m)^{-2})$. So we can use analytic continuation to define the above divergent product by

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (2\pi m)^{-2} := \exp(\zeta_1'(0)).$$

The function $\zeta_1(s)$ is related to the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s}$$

by $\zeta_1(s) = (2\pi)^{-2s} \zeta(2s)$. So

$$\zeta_1'(0) = -2 \log(2\pi) \zeta(0) + 2\zeta'(0) = -2 \log(2\pi) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) + 2 \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi)\right) = 0.$$

Thus the factor $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (2\pi m)^{-2}$ is regularized by $\exp(\zeta_1'(0)) = 1$.

The regularized vacuum expectation is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(d)}} = \left[2 \sin \left(\frac{\pi l}{p} \right) \right]^{-1} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \cos \left(-\frac{2\pi l}{p} \right) & -\sin \left(-\frac{2\pi l}{p} \right) \\ \sin \left(-\frac{2\pi l}{p} \right) & 1 - \cos \left(-\frac{2\pi l}{p} \right) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \det(1 - g^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Secondly, if $g = 1$, the vacuum expectation is similarly regularized

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(d)}} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (2\pi m)^{-2} := 1.$$

We have calculated the vacuum expectation for a special 2-dimensional subspace $W \subset V$. We see the vacuum expectation associated to V is

$$\det(1 - g_{\perp}^{-1})^{-1}.$$

2.5. Correlation map.

2.5.1. *Twisted chains.* Let A be a \mathbf{C} -algebra with unit, and G be a finite group acting on A . For $g \in G$ and $a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \in A^{\otimes m+1}$, define the g -twisted Hochschild differential

$$b_g(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) = (-1)^m a_m a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m-1} + a_0 g(a_1) \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m$$

and the g -twisted Connes operator

$$B_g(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) = 1 \otimes a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \\ + \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{mi} 1 \otimes g(a_{m-i+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes g(a_m) \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m-i}.$$

Let

$$C_{-m}(A) := A \otimes (A/\mathbf{C}1)^{\otimes m}$$

be the space of cyclic m -chains. b_g descends to a map $b_g : C_{-m}(A) \rightarrow C_{-m+1}(A)$ which satisfies $b_g^2 = 0$.

Definition 2.3. The g -twisted Hochschild complex is

$$C_{-\bullet}(A, g) = (C_{-\bullet}(A), b_g).$$

An element $h \in G$ acts on $C_{-m}(A)$ diagonally by

$$h(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) = h(a_0) \otimes h(a_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes h(a_m).$$

Denote $C_{-m}(A)^g$ to be the g -invariant subspace of $C_{-m}(A)$. B_g descends to a map $B_g : C_{-m}(A)^g \rightarrow C_{-m-1}(A)^g$ which satisfies $B_g^2 = 0$ and $B_g b_g + b_g B_g = 0$.

Definition 2.4. The g -twisted periodic cyclic complex is

$$CC_{-\bullet}^{per}(A, g) = (C_{-\bullet}(A)^g[u, u^{-1}], b_g + u B_g).$$

Let us consider matrix-valued observables, where the matrix coefficients come from a vector bundle E coupled to the system. In the plain case [25], taking trace provides a natural quasi-isomorphism $C_{-\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(A)) \rightarrow C_{-\bullet}(A)$. In the orbifold case, we need a g -twisted trace for its matrix coefficients. Let $M_0, \dots, M_m \in \mathfrak{gl}_r$ be matrices corresponding to $m+1$ ordered point on a circle with a g -twist between M_0 and M_1 (See picture 3). Then we define the g -twisted trace

$$\mathrm{tr}_g(M_0 \otimes M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_m) := \mathrm{tr}(M_0 g M_1 \cdots M_m).$$

Here g is the cyclic structure of E represented by a matrix, so we can insert g and take trace. tr_g satisfies

$$(\mathrm{tr}_g) \quad \mathrm{tr}_g(M_0 \otimes M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_m) = \mathrm{tr}(g M_1 g^{-1} \otimes M_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_m \otimes M_0).$$


2.5.2. *Some operations on chains.* Define three operators (Einstein summation convention will be always assumed)

- the de Rham differential at tangent direction of \mathbb{R}^{2k}

$$d_{2k} : \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet-1}, \quad d_{2k} = dy^i \partial_{y^i}$$

- contraction with the bivector Π_1 (see the notation in Section 2.2)

$$\iota_{\Pi_1} : \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet+2}, \quad \iota_{\Pi_1} = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij} \iota_{\partial_{y^i}} \iota_{\partial_{y^j}}$$

FIGURE 3. A matrix M_1 passing through the g -twist

- the BV operator

$$\Delta := \mathcal{L}_{\Pi_1} = [d_{2k}, \iota_{\Pi_1}] : \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet+1}, \quad \Delta = \omega^{ij} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{y_i}} \iota_{\partial_{y_j}}$$

These operators naturally extend to the m -th tensor product of $\hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet}$

$$(\hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet})^{\otimes m} := \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}(\hbar)} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{C}(\hbar)} \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2k}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) &:= \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m} \pm a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes d_{2k} a_\alpha \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \\ \iota_{\Pi_1}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m} \pm \omega^{ij} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \iota_{\partial_{y_i}} a_\alpha \otimes \cdots \otimes \iota_{\partial_{y_j}} a_\beta \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \\ \Delta(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) &:= \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m} \pm \omega^{ij} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{y_i}} a_\alpha \otimes \cdots \otimes \iota_{\partial_{y_j}} a_\beta \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m. \end{aligned}$$

Here \pm are Koszul signs by passing odd operators through the graded objects.

We then define contraction with propagators. This will be used to describe Feynman rules.

Definition 2.5. Let $\Omega_{S^1[m]}^\bullet$ be smooth differential forms on $S^1[m]$. Let

$$P = a^{ij} f \otimes \partial_{y_i} \otimes \partial_{y_j} \in C^\infty(S^1[2]) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad P' = b^{ij} \partial_{y_i} \otimes \partial_{y_j} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

be propagators representing a line and a self loop respectively. Define $\Omega_{S^1[m]}^\bullet$ -linear operators

$$\partial_P, \partial_{P'} : \Omega_{S^1[m]}^\bullet \otimes (\hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet})^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \Omega_{S^1[m]}^\bullet \otimes (\hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet})^{\otimes m}$$

by

$$\partial_P(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq m} \pi_{\alpha\beta}^*(f) \otimes \left(a^{ij} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{y_i}} a_\alpha \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{y_j}} a_\beta \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \right).$$

$$\partial_{P'}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m} \left(b^{ij} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{y_i}} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{y_j}} a_\alpha \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \right).$$

Here $a_i \in \hat{\Omega}_{2n}^{-\bullet}$ and $\pi_{\alpha\beta} : S^1[m] \rightarrow S^1[2]$ is the forgetful map to the two points indexed by α, β .

We also denote

$$\int_{S^1[m]} : \Omega_{S^1[m]}^\bullet \otimes (\hat{\Omega}_{2n}^\bullet)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow (\hat{\Omega}_{2n}^\bullet)^{\otimes m}$$

by integrating out differential forms on $S^1[m]$.

2.5.3. *Free correlation map.* We generalize the construction of correlation maps in [25] to the orbifold case.

Definition 2.6. Define the g -twisted free correlation map

$$\langle - \rangle_{free}^g : C_{-\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)} \rightarrow (\hat{\Omega}_{2k}^\bullet)^{C(g)}$$

by

$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_m \rangle_{free}^g = \det(1 - g_\perp^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \sigma_z \left(\text{tr}_g \int_{S_{cyc}^1[m+1]} d\theta_0 \cdots d\theta_m e^{\hbar \partial_{P_1}} e^{\hbar \partial_{P_2}} (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \otimes d_{2k} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes d_{2k} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_m) \right).$$

Here $\partial_{P_1}, \partial_{P_2}$ are applied to all $m+1$ factors, and σ_z is the symbol map which sets z^j, dz^j to 0.

Lemma 2.7. *The following properties hold*

(1) *The g -twisted free correlation map intertwines the Hochschild boundary operator b_g with the operator $\hbar \Delta$*

$$\hbar \Delta \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle_{free}^g = \langle b_g(\hat{\mathcal{O}}) \rangle_{free}^g.$$

(2) *The g -twisted free correlation map intertwines the Connes operator B_g with the de Rham differential d_{2k}*

$$d_{2k} \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle_{free}^g = \langle B_g(\hat{\mathcal{O}}) \rangle_{free}^g.$$

Here $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_m$.

Proof. Split $\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n})$ into the tensor product $\mathcal{W}_{2k} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{2n-2k} \otimes \mathfrak{gl}_r$, and let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_i = a_i \otimes b_i \otimes M_i$ with respect to the decomposition. The correlation map is built of three parts

(1) $\tau_0 : (\mathcal{W}_{2k})^{\otimes m+1} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-m}$,

$$\tau_0(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) = \text{mult} \left(\int_{S_{cyc}^1[m+1]} d\theta_0 \cdots d\theta_m e^{\hbar \partial_{P_{11}}} (a_0 \otimes d_{2k} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes d_{2k} a_m) \right).$$

Here mult is the map $a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \mapsto a_0 \cdots a_m$.

(2) $\tau_1 : (\mathcal{W}_{2n-2k})^{\otimes m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\hbar)$,

$$\tau_1(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_m) = \det(1 - g_\perp^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \sigma_z(\text{mult}(e^{\hbar \partial_{P_{12}}} e^{\hbar \partial_{P_2}} (b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_m))).$$

Here $\partial_{P_{12}}$ represents a line and ∂_{P_2} represents a self loop. Recall $P_3 = P_{12} + \Pi_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_m) &= \det(1 - g_\perp^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \sigma_z(e^{\hbar \partial_{P_{12}}} \text{mult}(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_m)) \\ &= \det(1 - g_\perp^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \sigma_z(e^{\hbar \partial_{P_3}} \text{mult}(e^{-\hbar \partial_{\Pi_2}} (b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_m))) \\ &= \det(1 - g_\perp^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \sigma_z(e^{\hbar \partial_{P_3}} (b_0 \hat{\star} \cdots \hat{\star} b_m)). \end{aligned}$$

Here the associative product $\hat{\star}$ is given by

$$b_1 \hat{\star} b_2 = \text{mult}(e^{-\hbar \cdot \partial_{\Pi_2}} (b_1 \otimes b_2)).$$

We also denote

$$\tau'_1(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_m) = \det(1 - g_\perp^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \sigma_z(e^{\hbar \partial_{P_3}} (b_0 \star \cdots \star b_m))$$

by using the star product

$$b_1 \star b_2 = \text{mult}(e^{\hbar \cdot \partial_{\Pi_2}} (b_1 \otimes b_2)).$$

$$(3) \operatorname{tr}_g : (\mathfrak{gl}_r)^{\otimes m+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

$$\operatorname{tr}_g(M_0 \otimes M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_m) = \operatorname{tr}(M_0 g M_1 \cdots M_m).$$

Then

$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_m \rangle_{free}^g = \tau_0(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m) \tau_1(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_m) \operatorname{tr}_g(M_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_m).$$

τ_0 is the free expectation map in [25]. The proof follows from Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6 in [25], Equation (1.9) in [3] and (tr_g) . \square

2.5.4. *Interactive correlation map.* We now extend the trace to certain Lie algebra cochains following [25].

Recall the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{W}_{2n} = \mathbf{C}[[y^i, z^j]](\hbar)$. Denote $\mathcal{W}_{2n}^+ = \mathbf{C}[[y^i, z^j]][[\hbar]]$, and its g -invariant part by $(\mathcal{W}_{2n}^+)^g$. The Moyal-Weyl product \star induces a Lie algebra structure with Lie bracket defined by

$$[f, g] := [f, g]_\star := \frac{1}{\hbar}(f \star g - g \star f).$$

Let Sp_{2n}^g be the group of symplectic linear transformations on \mathbb{R}^{2n} that commute with g . It acts on Weyl algebras naturally by inner automorphisms. Its Lie algebra \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}^g can be identified with g -invariant quadratic polynomials in $\mathbf{C}[y^i, z^j]^g = \mathbf{C}[y^i] \oplus \mathbf{C}[z^j]^g$, which defines a natural embedding

$$\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}^g \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{W}_{2n}^+)^g.$$

Define a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$$\mathfrak{g} := (\mathcal{W}_{2n}^+)^g \cdot \operatorname{Id} + \hbar \mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n}^+)^g)$$

and a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2k} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^g \oplus \hbar \mathfrak{gl}_r \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \hbar^i \mathbf{C}.$$

Let us recall the shuffle product on tensors, which we denote by \times_{sh} . The shuffle product of a p -tensor with a q -tensor is a $(p+q)$ -tensor defined by

$$(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_p) \times_{sh} (v_{p+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{p+q}) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(p,q)} v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(p+q)}.$$

Here $Sh(p, q)$ is the subset of the permutation group S_{p+q} given by (p, q) -shuffles

$$Sh(p, q) = \{\sigma \in S_{p+q} \mid \sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(p) \text{ and } \sigma(p+1) < \cdots < \sigma(p+q)\}.$$

In [25], the interaction term of topological quantum mechanics is encoded in the universal flat connection $\hat{\Theta}$ at Lie algebra level. Let $\operatorname{Id} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the identity map, which is viewed as a 1-chain in $C_{\operatorname{Lie}}^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ denoted by $\hat{\Theta}$. It solves the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\partial \hat{\Theta} + \frac{1}{2} [\hat{\Theta}, \hat{\Theta}] = 0$$

in the dg Lie algebra $(C_{\operatorname{Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \partial, [-, -])$, where ∂ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on the first factor and the Lie bracket is taken in the second factor. When we identify

$$C_{\operatorname{Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \cong C_{\operatorname{Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

as a vector space, the usual Chevalley-Eilenberg differential $\partial_{\operatorname{Lie}}$ on $C_{\operatorname{Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ becomes

$$\partial_{\operatorname{Lie}} = \partial + [\hat{\Theta}, -].$$

Definition 2.8. Define the g -twisted interactive correlation map

$$\langle - \rangle_{int}^g \in C_{\text{Lie}}^\bullet \left(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}((\hbar))} \left(CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}, (\hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-\bullet})^{C(g)} \right) \right)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_m \rangle_{int}^g &:= \left\langle \sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \otimes \left((\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_m) \times_{sh} (\hat{\Theta}/\hbar)^{\otimes j} \right) \right\rangle_{free}^g \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{i_0, \dots, i_m \geq 0 \\ i_0 + \dots + i_m = j}} \left\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \otimes (\hat{\Theta}/\hbar)^{\otimes i_0} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \otimes (\hat{\Theta}/\hbar)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_m \otimes (\hat{\Theta}/\hbar)^{\otimes i_m} \right\rangle_{free}^g. \end{aligned}$$

In the above expression, we view $\hat{\Theta}$ as a Lie algebra 1-cochain valued in $\mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n})^g)$, i.e., an element in $C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n})^g))$, using the natural embedding $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n})^g)$. Therefore the following component of $\langle - \rangle_{int}^g$

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_m \mapsto \left\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_0 \otimes \left((\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_m) \times_{sh} (\hat{\Theta}/\hbar)^{\otimes j} \right) \right\rangle_{free}^g$$

provides an element in

$$\langle - \rangle_{int}^g \in C_{\text{Lie}}^j \left(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}((\hbar))} \left(CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}, (\hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-\bullet})^{C(g)} \right) \right).$$

Koszul sign convention is always assumed to organize such a map into a Lie algebra cochain.

Theorem 2.9. $\langle - \rangle_{int}^g$ is closed under the differential $\partial_{\text{Lie}} + b_g - \hbar\Delta$ and $B_g - d_{2k}$.

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 3.8 in [25]. □

We $\mathbb{C}[u, u^{-1}]$ -linearly extend $\langle - \rangle_{int}^g$ to an element

$$\langle - \rangle_{int}^g \in C_{\text{Lie}}^\bullet \left(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}((\hbar))} \left(CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}, (\hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-\bullet})^{C(g)} [u, u^{-1}] \right) \right).$$

It follows that

Theorem 2.10. $\langle - \rangle_{int}^g$ is closed under the differential $(\partial_{\text{Lie}} + b_g - \hbar\Delta) + u(B_g - d_{2k})$.

3. ORBIFOLD ALGEBRAIC INDEX

As an application, we show how to establish the orbifold algebraic index theorem [5, 18] in terms of the topological quantum mechanical model. This generalizes the work [25] to the orbifold case. We follow the strategy and presentation in [25], and illustrate the extensions to orbifolds.

3.1. Twisted universal index.

3.1.1. *Twisted universal trace.* Denote $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{C}((\hbar))[u, u^{-1}]$.

Definition 3.1. We define the following Berezin integration map by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{BV} : \hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-\bullet} [u, u^{-1}] &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ a &\mapsto u^k \sigma_y \left(e^{\hbar \Pi_1 / u} a \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here σ_y is the symbol map which sets y^i, dy^i 's to zero.

\int_{BV} gives a map of chain complexes

$$\int_{BV} : \left((\hat{\Omega}_{2k}^-)^{C(g)} [u, u^{-1}], \hbar\Delta + ud_{2k} \right) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}, 0)$$

with degree $2k$.

Definition 3.2. We define the g -twisted universal trace map to be the element

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g := \int_{BV} \langle - \rangle_{int}^g \in C_{\text{Lie}}^\bullet \left(\mathfrak{g}; \text{Hom}_{C((\hbar))} \left(CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}, \mathbb{K} \right) \right).$$

Given $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathfrak{g}$, we will write

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g[a_1, \dots, a_k](-) \in \text{Hom}_{C((\hbar))} \left(CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}, \mathbb{K} \right)$$

for the corresponding evaluation map on cyclic tensors.

Theorem 3.3. *The g -twisted universal trace lies in the $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ -Lie algebra cochain complex*

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g \in C_{\text{Lie}}^\bullet \left(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{C((\hbar))} \left(CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}, \mathbb{K} \right) \right)$$

and is closed under the differential

$$(\partial_{\text{Lie}} + b_g + uB_g)\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g = 0.$$

Proof. The equation $(\partial_{\text{Lie}} + b_g + uB_g)\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g = 0$ follows from Theorem 2.10 and the fact that \int_{BV} is a cochain map. We only need to show that $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g$ is \mathfrak{h} -invariant and vanishes when there is some argument taking value in \mathfrak{h} .

Note that for $f \in \mathfrak{h}$, $d_{2k}f$ is linear in y^i so ∂_{P_1} can be only applied once. It will contribute to the expectation value map $\langle \dots, f, \dots \rangle_{free}^g$ a factor of

$$\int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{1}{2} \right) du = 0.$$

Thus

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g[\dots, f, \dots](-) = \int_{BV} \langle \dots, f, \dots \rangle_{free}^g = 0.$$

Finally, $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g$ is \mathfrak{h} -invariant since the operations $\text{Tr}_g, \iota_{\Pi_1}, \partial_{P_1}, \partial_{P_2}, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$ are all \mathfrak{h} -invariant. \square

3.1.2. Lie algebraic characteristic classes. We first review the Chern-Weil construction of characteristic classes in Lie algebra cohomology. They will descent to the usual characteristic forms via the Gelfand-Fuks map.

Let us first recall the construction of curvature forms in Lie algebra cohomology. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and \mathfrak{h} be a Lie subalgebra. The curvature form $R \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is defined by

$$R(\alpha, \beta) := [\text{pr}(\alpha), \text{pr}(\beta)]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \text{pr}[\alpha, \beta]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}$$

with a choice of a \mathfrak{h} -equivariant splitting $\text{pr} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ of the inclusion $\mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ as a vector space. R defines a cohomology class $[R]$ in $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ which does not depend on the choice of pr . Moreover, given an invariant polynomial $P \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathfrak{h}^\vee)_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on \mathfrak{h} of degree m , the cochain

$$P(R) \in C^{2m}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathbb{C}), \quad P(R) : \wedge^{2m} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\wedge^m R} \text{Sym}^m(\mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{C}$$

defines a cohomology class $[P(R)]$ in $H^{2m}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathbb{C})$ which again does not depend on the choice of pr . Therefore we have the analogue of Chern-Weil characteristic map

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^\vee)_{\mathfrak{h}} &\rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathbb{C}) \\ P &\mapsto \chi(P) := [P(R)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply the above construction to our situation where

$$\mathfrak{g} := (\mathcal{W}_{2n}^+)^{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot \text{Id} + \hbar \mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n}^+)^{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2k} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \hbar \mathfrak{gl}_r \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \hbar^i \mathbb{C},$$

Any element in \mathfrak{g} can be uniquely written as

$$f \cdot \text{Id} + \hbar A, \quad f \in \mathbb{C}[[y^1, \dots, y^{2k}, z^{2k+1}, \dots, z^{2n}]]^{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad A \in \mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n}^+)^{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

Define a \hbar -equivariant projection $\text{pr} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ as follows

$$\text{pr}(f \cdot \text{Id} + \hbar A) := \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{y_i} \partial_{y_j} f(0) y^i y^j, \frac{1}{2} \partial_{z^k} \partial_{z^l} f(0) z^k z^l, \hbar A_1(0), f(0), \bigoplus_{i>1} \frac{1}{r} \text{tr}(\hbar^i A_i(0)) \right).$$

Here we write $\hbar A = \hbar A_1 + \hbar^2 A_2 + \dots$. We can write $\text{pr} = \text{pr}_1 + \text{pr}_2 + \text{pr}_3 + \text{pr}_4$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_1(f \cdot \text{Id} + \hbar A) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_{y_i} \partial_{y_j} f(0) y^i y^j && \in \mathfrak{sp}_{2k} \\ \text{pr}_2(f \cdot \text{Id} + \hbar A) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_{z^k} \partial_{z^l} f(0) z^k z^l && \in \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^{\mathfrak{g}} \\ \text{pr}_3(f \cdot \text{Id} + \hbar A) &= \hbar A_1(0) && \in \hbar \mathfrak{gl}_r \\ \text{pr}_4(f \cdot \text{Id} + \hbar A) &= f(0) + \sum_{i>1} \frac{1}{r} \text{tr}(\hbar^i A_i(0)) && \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \hbar^i \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding curvature is given by

$$R := [\text{pr}(-), \text{pr}(-)] - \text{pr}([\text{pr}(-), \text{pr}(-)]) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}).$$

It can be decomposed into three terms $R = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4$, where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &:= [\text{pr}_1(-), \text{pr}_1(-)] - \text{pr}_1[-, -] && \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2k}) \\ R_2 &:= [\text{pr}_2(-), \text{pr}_2(-)] - \text{pr}_2[-, -] && \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ R_3 &:= -\text{pr}_3[-, -] && \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{gl}_r) \\ R_4 &:= -\text{pr}_4[-, -] && \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \hbar^i \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} R_1((f + \hbar A), (g + \hbar B)) &= -\frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij} (\partial_{y_i} f(0) \partial_{y_j} \partial_{y^p} \partial_{y^q} g(0) + \partial_{y_i} g(0) \partial_{y_j} \partial_{y^p} \partial_{y^q} f(0)) y^p y^q \\ R_2((f + \hbar A), (g + \hbar B)) &= -\frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij} (\partial_{y_i} f(0) \partial_{y_j} \partial_{z^p} \partial_{z^q} g(0) + \partial_{y_i} g(0) \partial_{y_j} \partial_{z^p} \partial_{z^q} f(0)) z^p z^q \\ R_3((f + \hbar A), (g + \hbar B)) &= -\hbar \omega^{ij} (\partial_{y_i} f(0) \partial_{y_j} B_1(0) - \partial_{y_i} A_1(0) \partial_{y_j} g(0)) \\ R_4((f + \hbar A), (g + \hbar B)) &= -\omega^{ij} \partial_{y_i} f(0) \partial_{y_j} g(0) + O(\hbar^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define three characteristic classes in the Lie algebra cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathbb{C})$:

- the \hat{A} genus

$$\hat{A}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k}) := \left[\det \left(\frac{R_1/2}{\sinh(R_1/2)} \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

- the equivariant Chern Character

$$\text{Ch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \tau_1 \left(\underbrace{-\frac{R_2}{\hbar}, \dots, -\frac{R_2}{\hbar}}_{m \text{ times}} \right) \right] = \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \tau_1 \left(\underbrace{\frac{R_2}{\hbar}, \dots, \frac{R_2}{\hbar}}_{m \text{ times}} \right) \right]$$

(See proof of Lemma 2.7 for the definition of τ_1, τ'_1 .)

- the equivariant Chern Character

$$\text{Ch}_g(\mathfrak{gl}_r) := [\text{Tr}(g \cdot e^{R_3})]$$

3.1.3. *Gauss-Manin connection and index.* In this section, we calculate the \hbar -variation of periodic cyclic homologies in terms of Getzler's Gauss-Manin connection [8] as in [25]. The Gauss-Manin connection is shown in [25] to have the form

$$\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}^{GM} = \hbar\partial_{\hbar} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{E}} + (b_g + uB_g)\text{-homotopy,}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{E}}$ is the Lie derivative with the vector field $\mathbb{E} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_i y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + \sum_j z^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right)$. So we define

Definition 3.4. Define the \hbar -connection ∇ on the $\mathbb{C}((\hbar))$ -module \mathcal{W}_{2n} and $\hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-\bullet}$ by

$$\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}} = \hbar\partial_{\hbar} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{E}}.$$

$\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$ is invariant under g and induces \hbar -connections on tensors of $\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), C_{-\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)$, etc. All of them will still be denoted by $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$.

Definition 3.5. Let V be a $\mathbb{C}((\hbar))$ -module where the connection $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$ is defined. Assume V carries a structure of a \mathfrak{g} -module. We define the linear map

$$\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}} : C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; V) \rightarrow C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; V)$$

by extending that on V . Similarly we define $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$ on $C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; V)$.

Remark 3.6. We emphasize the following point as emphasized in [25]. Although \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, we do not allow $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$ to act on the \mathfrak{g} -factor. The reason is that cochains in $C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; V)$ is only \mathbb{C} -linear, but not $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -linear. For examples, the projections and curvatures defined in Section 3.1.2 are only \mathbb{C} -linear maps.

In particular, we have now a well-defined operator

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}} : C_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}((\hbar))} \left(CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}[u, u^{-1}], \mathbb{K} \right)) &\rightarrow \\ C_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}((\hbar))} \left(CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}[u, u^{-1}], \mathbb{K} \right)) &. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.7. *The free correlation map*

$$\langle - \rangle_{\text{free}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}((\hbar))} \left(C_{-\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}, (\hat{\Omega}_{2k}^{-\bullet})^{C(g)} \right)$$

is flat with respect to $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$. In other words, for any $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \in C_{-\bullet}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{C(g)}$,

$$\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}} \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle_{\text{free}}^{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}) \rangle_{\text{free}}^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Similarly, \int_{BV} is flat with respect to $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$.

This lemma follows from a direct check. This also implies that $\langle - \rangle_{\text{free}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is homotopic flat with respect to Getzler's Gauss-Manin connection.

Note that $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$ does not commute with ∂_{Lie} . In fact, using $\partial_{\text{Lie}} = \partial + [\hat{\mathcal{O}}, -]$, we find

$$[\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}, \partial_{\text{Lie}}] = [\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}, \partial + \frac{1}{\hbar}[\hat{\mathcal{O}}, -]_{\star}] = [\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}/\hbar), -]_{\star}.$$

Here $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is viewed as an element in $C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n})^{\mathfrak{g}}))$ so $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$ can be applied. To derive the last equality, we have used the fact that the Moyal commutator $[-, -]_{\star}$ is compatible with $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$.

Proposition 3.8. *In the cochain complex $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathbb{K})$,*

$$\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}(e^{R_4/u\hbar}\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(1)) = \partial_{\text{Lie}}\text{-exact term.}$$

Proof. See the proof of Proposition 3.18 in [25]. □

Given an even cochain $A = \sum_{p \text{ even}} A_p, A_p \in C_{\text{Lie}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathbb{K})$, we denote $A_u := \sum_p u^{-p/2} A_p$.

Proposition 3.9. *In the cochain complex $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathbb{K})$,*

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(1) = u^k e^{-R_4/u\hbar} (\hat{A}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})_u \cdot Ch_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k})_u \cdot Ch_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)_u + O(\hbar)).$$

See appendix B for a proof.

Proposition 3.10. *As a cohomology class in $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathbb{K})$,*

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(1) = u^k e^{-R_4/u\hbar} \cdot \hat{A}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})_u \cdot Ch_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^{\mathfrak{g}})_u \cdot Ch_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)_u.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, we can expand

$$e^{R_4/u\hbar}\widehat{\text{Tr}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(1) = u^k (\hat{A}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})_u \cdot Ch_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^{\mathfrak{g}})_u \cdot Ch(\mathfrak{gl}_r)_u + O(\hbar)).$$

By Proposition 3.8, terms in $O(\hbar)$ have nontrivial weights in $\nabla_{\hbar\partial_{\hbar}}$ and hence are ∂_{Lie} -exact. The theorem follows by passing to cohomology. □

Remark 3.11. The Lie algebra cohomology class is computed in [18] using large N method.

3.2. Descent on orbifolds. In this section, we explain how to descend Lie algebraic constructions to smooth constructions on orbifolds by using a flat structure, following the presentation in [25].

3.2.1. The idea of descent. Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and $P \rightarrow X$ be a G -principal bundle on X with a G -invariant flat connection $\gamma \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$. γ can be seen as a map from $\mathfrak{g}^* = C_{\text{Lie}}^1(\mathfrak{g})$ to G -invariant 1-forms on $\Omega^1(P)$, and choosing components along X , we get a map $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Omega^1(X)$. This map extends naturally to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{desc} : (C_{\text{Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}), \partial_{\text{Lie}}) &\rightarrow (\Omega^\bullet(X), d) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha(\gamma, \dots, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

The Maurer-Cartan equation

$$(*) \quad d\gamma + \frac{1}{2}[\gamma, \gamma] = 0$$

says desc is a chain map, so descends to cohomologies.

Let V be a vector space with a G -action $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } V$. Then the associated bundle $V_P := P \times_G V$ is a vector bundle on X with a flat connection, denoted by ∇^γ . On the other hand, V carries a \mathfrak{g} -action $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End } V$, by differentiating the G -action. Then there is a chain map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{desc} : (C_{\text{Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; V), \partial_{\text{Lie}}) &\rightarrow (\Omega^\bullet(X; V_P), \nabla^\gamma) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha(\gamma, \dots, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

In section 3.2.2, we will see formal geometry of a symplectic orbifold produces an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , which is not the Lie algebra of any Lie group G . On the other hand, the geometry of X is encoded in a K -principal bundle, where K can be seen as a subgroup of the non-existent group G . Such a pair (\mathfrak{g}, K) is called a Harish-Chandra pair and can be used to descent. See [25] for precise definitions.

Let (\mathfrak{g}, K) be a Harish-Chandra pair. Given a flat (\mathfrak{g}, K) -bundle $P \rightarrow X$ and a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module V , we have similarly a chain map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{desc} : (C_{\text{Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K; V), \partial_{\text{Lie}}) &\rightarrow (\Omega^\bullet(X; V_P), \nabla^\gamma) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha(\gamma, \dots, \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

and by passing to cohomology, we obtain a descent map (again denoted by desc)

$$\text{desc} : H_{\text{Lie}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X; V_P).$$

In section 3.2.2, the Maurer-Cartan equation (*) holds only up to a $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -valued 2-form on X . We say γ is projective flat. Here $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is the center of \mathfrak{g} . Let H be a subgroup of the center of K such that $\text{Lie}(H) = Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \text{Lie}(K)$, then γ induces a flat $(\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g}), K/H)$ -principal bundle structure on P/H .

3.2.2. Fedosov's connection on symplectic orbifolds. Let X be a symplectic orbifold with a symplectic connection ∇ , and E be a rank r orbifold vector bundle on X with a connection ∇^E . The Weyl bundle

$$\mathcal{W}_X^+ := F_{\text{Sp}}(X) \times_{\text{Sp}_{2n}} \mathcal{W}_{2n}^+.$$

is a bundle of algebras with a natural connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{W}}$.

Given a symplectic connection ∇ and any sequences $\{\omega_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of closed 2-forms on X , Fedosov showed [5, 20] the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^E$ on $\mathcal{W}_X^+ \otimes \text{End}(E)$ can be modified by an element

$$\gamma \in \Omega_X^1(\mathcal{W}_X^+ \otimes \text{End}(E))$$

to be a flat connection D , which is called Fedosov's flat connection

$$D := \nabla^{\mathcal{W}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^E + \frac{1}{\hbar}[\gamma, -]_\star, \quad D^2 = \frac{1}{\hbar}[\omega_\hbar, -]_\star = 0.$$

Here $\omega_\hbar = -\omega + \sum_{k \geq 1} \hbar^k \omega_k$ is the characteristic class of the deformation quantization, which is an central element in $\Omega_X^2(\mathcal{W}_X^+ \otimes \text{End}(E))$.

The symbol map [1] gives a natural isomorphism of the space of flat sections of $\mathcal{W}_X^+ \otimes \text{End}(E)$ with $\Gamma(X, \text{End}(E))[[\hbar]]$, leading to a deformation quantization of the Poisson algebra $\Gamma(X, \text{End}(E))$.

Next, we explain Fedosov's flat connection on orbifolds as a projective flat structure.

Let $i : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow X$ be a g -sector in $\wedge X$. The tangent bundle T_X of X pulls back to an orbifold vector bundle i^*T_X on \mathcal{O} , whose fiber at a point $x \in \mathcal{O}$ is described by the triple $(T_x X, \omega_x, g)$. At any point $x \in \mathcal{O}$, the set of g -invariant symplectic linear isomorphisms $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega, g) \simeq (T_x X, \omega_x, g)$ is a Sp_{2n}^g -torsor. These torsors glue to a principal Sp_{2n}^g -bundle $F_{\text{Sp}_{2n}^g}(\mathcal{O})$ on \mathcal{O} , which is called the g -invariant symplectic frame bundle of i^*T_X .

The pull-back connection $i^*\nabla + i^*\nabla^E$ on $i^*T_X \times_{\mathcal{O}} i^*E$ can be equivalently described by a g -invariant connection 1-form

$$A \in \Omega^1(F_{\text{Sp}_{2n}^g}(\mathcal{O}) \times_{\mathcal{O}} i^*Fr(E), \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}^g \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_r)$$

on the principal $\text{Sp}_{2n}^g \times \text{GL}_r$ -bundle $F_{\text{Sp}_{2n}^g}(\mathcal{O}) \times_{\mathcal{O}} i^*Fr(E)$. $A + i^*\gamma$ defines a projective flat $(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Sp}_{2n}^g \times \text{GL}_r)$ -bundle structure on $F_{\text{Sp}_{2n}^g}(\mathcal{O}) \times_{\mathcal{O}} i^*Fr(E)$

$$(F) \quad d(A + i^*\gamma) + \frac{1}{2}[A + i^*\gamma, A + i^*\gamma] = i^*\omega_\hbar.$$

We will slightly abuse the notation to use ω_\hbar to denote its pull-back $i^*\omega_\hbar$ to \mathcal{O} .

We can apply the descent construction to the Harish-Chandra pair $(\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g}), \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}^{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathrm{PGL}_r)$. Here $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}^{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$. There is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbf{C}_{Lie}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2k} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \hbar \mathfrak{gl}_r + Z(\mathfrak{g}); \mathbb{C}((\hbar))) \cong \mathbf{C}_{Lie}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g}), \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}^{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathrm{PGL}_r; \mathbb{C}((\hbar))).$$

As a corollary, we obtain the Gelfand-Fuks map of cochain complexes by descent

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{desc} : \left(\mathbf{C}_{Lie}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2k} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \hbar \mathfrak{gl}_r + Z(\mathfrak{g}); \mathbb{C}((\hbar))), \partial_{Lie} \right) &\rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O})((\hbar)), d) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha(i^* \gamma, \dots, i^* \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Here we do not insert A since it lies in $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathfrak{gl}_r$.

3.2.3. Descent of Lie algebraic characteristic classes.

Proposition 3.12. *Under the descent map $\mathrm{desc} : H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \hbar; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O})((\hbar))$ via the Fedosov connection,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{desc}(\hat{A}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2k})) &= \hat{A}(\mathcal{O}) \in H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) \\ \mathrm{desc}(\mathrm{Ch}_g^*(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^{\mathfrak{g}})) &= (\det(1 - g_{\perp}^{-1} e^{-R^{\perp}}))^{-1} \in H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) \\ \mathrm{desc}(\mathrm{Ch}_g(\mathfrak{gl}_r)) &= e^{\omega_1} \mathrm{Ch}_g(E) \in H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) \\ \mathrm{desc}(R_4) &= \omega_{\hbar} - \hbar \omega_1 \in H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})[[\hbar]]. \end{aligned}$$

Here ω_1 is the \hbar^1 term of ω_{\hbar} , $\hat{A}(\mathcal{O})$ is the \hat{A} -genus of \mathcal{O} , R^{\perp} is the curvature of the normal bundle of the embedding $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow X$, and $\mathrm{Ch}(E)$ is the Chern character of the bundle E .

Proof. We write down the first few terms of the Fedosov connection γ [4]:

$$i^* \gamma = \omega_{ij} y^i dx^j + \frac{1}{8} (R_{ijkl} y^i y^j y^k + R_{pqkl} z^p z^q y^k) dx^l + \hbar ((R_E)_{ij} y^i dx^j + (\omega_1)_{ij} y^i dx^j) + \dots$$

Here we omit the terms with weight bigger than 3. Those term will not appear in our computation of curvature, the Lie bracket of higher weight term will be projected out by pr .

The descent of R_1 is given by

$$R_1(i^* \gamma, i^* \gamma) = \frac{1}{4} R_{ijkl} y^i y^j dx^k \wedge dx^l.$$

This is the curvature 2-form of \mathcal{O} . Applying the invariant \hat{A} polynomial, we get $\hat{A}(\mathcal{O})$.

The second equation is proved in [3].

The descent of R_3 is

$$R_2(\gamma, \gamma) = \hbar (R_{kl}^E dx^k \wedge dx^l + \omega_1).$$

Applying the invariant polynomial $\mathrm{Tr}(g \cdot e^{R_3})$, we get $e^{\omega_1} \mathrm{Ch}_g(E)$.

For the last identity we use (F) and apply pr_4 to both side

$$\mathrm{pr}_4(d(A + i^* \gamma) + \frac{1}{2}[A + i^* \gamma, A + i^* \gamma]) = \mathrm{pr}_4(\omega_{\hbar}),$$

we get $\mathrm{desc}(R_4) = -\hbar \omega_1 + \omega_{\hbar}$. □

3.3. The algebraic index theorem for orbifolds. Let W_D be the algebra of quantum observables on X (D is the Fedosov connection)

$$W_D := \{s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{W}_X^+ \otimes \text{End}(E)) \mid Ds = 0\}.$$

Restricted to a sector $i : \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow X$, we get quantum observables on \mathcal{O}

$$i^*W_D := \{s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}, i^*\mathcal{W}_X^+ \otimes i^*\text{End}(E)) \mid Ds = 0\}.$$

We apply the Gelfand-Fuks descent to the universal trace map:

$$\text{desc}(\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g(-)) \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{O}, E^{\text{per}}).$$

Here

$$E^{\text{per}} := ((F_{\text{Sp}_{2n}^g}(\mathcal{O}) \times_{\mathcal{O}} i^*Fr(E)) \times_{(\text{Sp}_{2n}^g \times \text{GL}_r)} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n}), g)^{\mathbb{C}(g)}, \mathbb{K})).$$

$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g$ is $D + b_g + uB_g$ -closed by construction. Restrict to flat sections of D , we get a cochain map

$$\text{desc}(\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g) : (CC_{-\bullet}^{\text{per}}(i^*W_D), b_g + uB_g) \rightarrow (\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{O})((\hbar))[u, u^{-1}], d_{dR})$$

with degree $\dim \mathcal{O}$. For a quantum observable $f \in W_D$, we can restrict it to \mathcal{O} , apply $\text{desc}(\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g)$ to it and then integrate over \mathcal{O} to get a g -twisted trace

$$\text{Tr}_g(f) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \text{desc}(\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g(f|_{\mathcal{O}})) \in \mathbb{C}((\hbar)).$$

The value does not depend on u , by the degree reason.

The trace map has the following normalization property

Proposition 3.13.

$$\text{Tr}_g(f) = \frac{(-1)^k}{\hbar^k} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \text{tr}(f|_{\mathcal{O}}) \frac{\omega^k}{k!} + O(\hbar) \right), \quad \forall f \in \Gamma(X, \text{End}(E)).$$

Here $k = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{O}$.

Proof. It follows from Feynman diagram computations in appendix B. The \hbar -leading term of $\text{Tr}_g(f)$ comes from the tree diagrams which only involves the vertex $d_{2k}(\omega_{ij}y^i dx^j)$, and this gives

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_{BV} \text{tr}(f|_{\mathcal{O}}) e^{d_{2k}(\omega_{ij}y^i dx^j)/\hbar} = \frac{(-1)^k}{\hbar^k} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \text{tr}(f|_{\mathcal{O}}) \frac{\omega^k}{k!}.$$

□

The index for the quantum algebra is given by

$$\text{Tr}(1) := \int_{\wedge X} \text{desc}(\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g(1)).$$

Then Theorem 3.10 and Proposition 3.12 imply the following algebraic index theorem (see [5, 18])

Theorem 3.14. *Let X be a compact smooth symplectic orbifold of dimension $2n$, and E be a complex orbifold vector bundle over X . Let W_D be the quantum algebra associated to the deformation quantization class ω_{\hbar} . Then the algebraic index is*

$$\text{Tr}(1) = \int_{\wedge X} e^{-\omega_{\hbar}/\hbar} \frac{\hat{A}(\wedge X) \text{Ch}_g(E)}{m \det(1 - g_{\perp}^{-1} e^{-R^{\perp}})}.$$

Here m is the locally constant function on $\wedge X$ which coincides for every sector \mathcal{O} with the multiplicity of \mathcal{O} .

APPENDIX A. A BRIEF REVIEW OF ORBIFOLDS

In this section we introduce some notions of orbifolds and refer to [12] for more details.

Definition A.1. Let X be a topological space. An orbifold chart on X is a 4-tuple (\tilde{U}, G, U, π) , where

- U is an open subset of X ,
- \tilde{U} is a contractible open subset of \mathbb{R}^n ,
- G is a finite group of diffeomorphisms of \tilde{U} ,
- $\pi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is a map which can be factored as $\pi = \bar{\pi} \circ p$, where $p : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{U}/G$ is the quotient map, and $\bar{\pi} : \tilde{U}/G \rightarrow U$ is a homeomorphism.

Two orbifold charts $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i, U_i, \pi_i), (\tilde{U}_j, G_j, U_j, \pi_j)$ are called compatible, if for any points $\tilde{x}_i \in \tilde{U}_i, \tilde{x}_j \in \tilde{U}_j$ with $\pi_i(\tilde{x}_i) = \pi_j(\tilde{x}_j)$, there is a chart $(\tilde{U}_k, G_k, U_k, \pi_k)$ with $U_k \subset U_i \cap U_j$, open embeddings $\tilde{U}_k \hookrightarrow \tilde{U}_i, \tilde{U}_k \hookrightarrow \tilde{U}_j$, and group embeddings $G_k \hookrightarrow G_i, G_k \hookrightarrow G_j$, such that the embeddings of \tilde{U}_k into \tilde{U}_i, \tilde{U}_j are equivariant with the group embeddings and compatible with the embeddings U_k into U_i, U_j .

Definition A.2. An orbifold is a paracompact Hausdorff space X with an equivalence class of orbifold atlases. Here an orbifold atlas $\{(\tilde{U}_i, G_i, U_i, \pi_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of compatible orbifold charts, such that $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a cover of X .

Definition A.3. An orbifold vector (principal) bundle F on X is an object which assigns to an orbifold chart $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i, U_i, \pi_i)$ a G -equivariant vector (principal) bundle \tilde{F}_i on \tilde{U}_i . These local equivariant bundles should be compatible with respect to change of orbifold charts.

Note that an orbifold bundle is not a fiber bundle on the base space. On an orbifold bundle, we have the notion of sections and connections. Smooth functions, vector fields and differential forms are defined as sections of corresponding orbifold vector bundles.

Definition A.4. A symplectic orbifold is an orbifold X with a non-degenerate closed 2-form.

The Linearization Theorem says that locally we can find Darboux coordinates such that the orbifold group G acts linearly. In other words, a symplectic orbifold is locally modeled by $(V/G, \omega)$, where (V, ω) is a symplectic vector space, and G is a finite group of symplectic linear transformations.

Definition A.5. A *symplectic connection* on an orbifold X is a torsion free connection ∇ on the tangent bundle T_X that is compatible with the symplectic form: $\nabla\omega = 0$.

Symplectic connections exist on any symplectic orbifold and are not unique.

Singularities of an orbifold have the form of fixed points of finite group actions. The inertia orbifold $\wedge X$ of an orbifold X collects all kinds of fixed point subsets. Let us explain this in an orbifold chart (\tilde{U}, G, U, π) . We can assume G acts on \tilde{U} linearly by the linearization theorem. For a conjugate class $[g] \in \text{Conj}(G)$, the fixed point set of g in \tilde{U} is $\tilde{U}^g := \{x \in \tilde{U} \mid gx = x\}$. When projected to U , it becomes $\pi(\tilde{U}^g) = \tilde{U}^g // C(g)$, where $C(g) = \{h \in G \mid gh = hg\}$. $\tilde{U}^g // C(g)$ is an orbifold with a chart $(\tilde{U}^g, C(g), \tilde{U}^g // C(g), \pi|_{\tilde{U}^g})$. Thus, the disjoint union of all kinds of singularities is an orbifold

$$\wedge U = \coprod_{[g] \in \text{Conj}(G)} \tilde{U}^g // C(g).$$

Definition A.6. The inertia orbifold $\wedge X$ is the orbifold with underlying set $\{(x, [g]) \mid x \in X, [g] \in \text{Conj}(G_x)\}$ and orbifold charts $(\tilde{U}^g, C(g), \tilde{U}^g // C(g), \pi|_{\tilde{U}^g})$ described above.

The orbifold structure of $\wedge X$ does not rely on the choice of orbifold charts of X .

Let \mathcal{O} be a sector of $\wedge X$, i.e., a minimal connected component of $\wedge X$. By construction, there is a natural inclusion $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow X$. For any bundle E on \mathcal{O} , pointwise conjugate classes $[g]$ glue to a smooth section $g \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}, \text{Aut}(E))$, which is called a cyclic structure in [17].

Integration on orbifolds are defined by using a partition of unity and integration in local charts. For an orbifold chart (\tilde{U}, G, U, π) , let m denote the order of the subgroup of G of elements which acts trivially on \tilde{U} , which is called the multiplicity of the chart. An orbifold chart of $\wedge X$ may have a multiplicity $m > 1$, since the action of $C(g)$ may not be effective. We require that compatible charts have the same multiplicity. Then on an orbifold X the multiplicity m is a locally constant function $m : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Definition A.7. Let α be a compactly supported differential form on an orbifold X . Take a partition of unity $\{\rho_i(x)\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\text{supp}(\rho_i) \subset U_i$. Lift $\rho_i(x)$ and α to the chart $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i, U_i, \pi_i)$, we get a G_i -invariant function $\rho_i(\tilde{x})$ and a top form $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ on \tilde{U}_i . Denote the multiplicity of the chart by m_i . Define

$$\int_X \alpha := \sum_{i \in I} \frac{m_i}{|G_i|} \int_{\tilde{U}_i} \rho_i(\tilde{x}) \tilde{\alpha}_i.$$

APPENDIX B. FEYNMAN DIAGRAMS AND PROOF OF THEOREM 3.9

By the standard technique of Feynman diagrams (see e.g. [7]), $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g(1)$ can be expressed as

$$\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g(1) = u^k \left(\sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\hbar^{l(\Gamma) - k(\Gamma)} W_{\Gamma}}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \right).$$

Here a diagram Γ has loop number $l(\Gamma)$ and $k(\Gamma)$ connected components. The sum of Γ is over all diagrams without external edges, possibly disconnected or with self-loops.

Recall the \hbar -equivariant projection pr in Section 3.1.2. Let

$$\hat{\gamma} := \hat{\Theta} - \text{pr} \in C_{\text{Lie}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, \hbar; \mathfrak{g}).$$

By Theorem 3.3, we can replace $\hat{\Theta}$ by $\hat{\gamma}$ in the expression of $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g$ since pr lies in \mathfrak{h} . As in Definition 2.8, when we insert $\hat{\gamma}$ to define $\widehat{\text{Tr}}_g$, it is viewed as a Lie algebra 1-cochain valued in $\mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n})^{\otimes s})$, i.e. an element of $C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \hbar; \mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n})^{\otimes s}))$, using the natural embedding $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n})^{\otimes s})$.

Thus, vertices of a diagram are given by $\hat{\gamma} \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \hbar; \mathfrak{gl}_r((\mathcal{W}_{2n})^{\otimes s}))$ which we decompose as

$$\hat{\gamma} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \hat{\gamma}_i \hbar^i, \quad \hat{\gamma}_i = \sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{\gamma}_i^{(m)}.$$

$\hat{\gamma}_i^{(m)}$ collects those terms in $\hat{\gamma}$ whose value in $\mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathcal{W}_{2n})$ is homogeneous of degree m in y 's and degree i in \hbar . Then in the above Feynman diagram expansion, $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_i^{(m)})$ contributes a m -valency vertex of loop number i with coefficient valued in $C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \hbar; \mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathbb{C}))$.

There are three types of edges

- A red edge connects a dy_i with a dy_j
- A blue edge connects a y_i with a y_j
- A green edge connects a z_i with a z_j . It may appear as a loop.

We associate propagators

$$t_{\Pi_1/u}, \quad \partial_{\Pi_1}, \quad \partial_{P_3}$$

with them, see figure 4. Any vertex $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_i^{(m)})$ connects with exactly 1 red edge.




FIGURE 4. Three types of edges.

Note that our vertices are matrix valued, therefore it is important to keep their orders. This is a subtle difference with the usual Feynman diagram technique. For each diagram Γ , let $V(\Gamma)$ be the set of ordered vertices of Γ . We use a bijection

$$\chi : \{1, \dots, |V(\Gamma)|\} \rightarrow V(\Gamma),$$

to label the order. The Feynman integral $W_\Gamma \in C^{|V(\Gamma)|}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathbb{C})$ is defined as follows. Given $\xi_i \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$,

$$W_\Gamma(\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_m) := \sum_{\varepsilon \in S_m \text{ and } \chi} \text{sign}(\varepsilon) W_{\Gamma^\chi}(\xi_{\varepsilon(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{\varepsilon(m)}), \quad m = |V(\Gamma)|.$$

Here Γ^χ means the graph Γ equipped with an ordering map χ , and

$$W_{\Gamma^\chi}(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_m) := \sigma \left(\text{tr} \int_{S_{\text{cyc}}^{[m+1]}} d\theta_0 \dots d\theta_m \left(\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} P_e \right) (1 \otimes d_{2k} \widehat{\gamma}_{v(\chi(1))}(\xi_1) \otimes \dots \otimes d_{2k} \widehat{\gamma}_{v(\chi(m))}(\xi_m)) \right).$$

Here P_e means applying the propagator of the edge e to the two vertices indexed by two ends of e .

The proposition follows by computing the tree diagrams ($g = 0$) and 1-loop diagrams ($g = 1$).




We first collect some details on the vertices and the curvatures. Recall the curvature

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &:= [\text{pr}_1(-), \text{pr}_1(-)] - \text{pr}_1[-, -] && \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2k}) \\ R_2 &:= [\text{pr}_2(-), \text{pr}_2(-)] - \text{pr}_2[-, -] && \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^g) \\ R_3 &:= -\text{pr}_3[-, -] && \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{gl}_r) \\ R_4 &:= -\text{pr}_4[-, -] && \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C} \oplus \oplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{h}^i \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1((f + \hbar A), (g + \hbar B)) &= -\frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij} (\partial_{y^i} f(0) \partial_{y^j} \partial_{y^p} \partial_{y^q} g(0) + \partial_{y^i} g(0) \partial_{y^j} \partial_{y^p} \partial_{y^q} f(0)) y^p y^q \\ R_2((f + \hbar A), (g + \hbar B)) &= -\frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij} (\partial_{y^i} f(0) \partial_{y^j} \partial_{z^p} \partial_{z^q} g(0) + \partial_{y^i} g(0) \partial_{y^j} \partial_{z^p} \partial_{z^q} f(0)) z^p z^q \\ R_3((f + \hbar A), (g + \hbar B)) &= -\hbar \omega^{ij} (\partial_{y^i} f(0) \partial_{y^j} B_1(0) - \partial_{y^i} A_1(0) \partial_{y^j} g(0)) \\ R_4((f + \hbar A), (g + \hbar B)) &= -\omega^{ij} \partial_{y^i} f(0) \partial_{y^j} g(0) + O(\hbar^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let us also write down a few leading terms in the expansion $d_{2k} \widehat{\gamma} = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_{2k} \widehat{\gamma}_i$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2k} \widehat{\gamma}_0((f + \hbar A)) &= \partial_{y^i} f(0) dy^i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{y^i} \partial_{y^p} \partial_{y^q} f(0) y^p y^q dy^i + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{y^i} \partial_{z^p} \partial_{z^q} f(0) z^p z^q dy^i + \text{higher terms} \\ d_{2k} \widehat{\gamma}_1((f + \hbar A)) &= \hbar \partial_{y^i} A_1(0) dy^i + \hbar O(y dy) \\ d_{2k} \widehat{\gamma}_2((f + \hbar A)) &= \hbar^2 d_{2k} A_2 \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 5. The contribution of Γ_1 is $\hat{\omega}_0/u$.FIGURE 6. The diagram Γ_2 gives R_3/u .FIGURE 7. The contribution of \mathfrak{G}_2 is $\text{tr}(g \cdot e^{R_3/u})$.

...

$$d_{2k}\hat{\gamma}_l(f + \hbar A) = \hbar^l d_{2k}A_l, \quad l > 0.$$

- Let Γ_1 be the graph with two vertices labeled by $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_0^{(1)})$ connected by a red propagator. It is the only connected tree without external edges. This term contributes $\hat{\omega}_0/u$. Here $\hat{\omega}_0 \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \hbar; \mathbb{C})$ is the 2-cocycle

$$\hat{\omega}_0((f + \hbar A), (g + \hbar B)) = \omega^{ij} \partial_{y^i} f(0) \partial_{y^j} g(0).$$

In other words, $\hat{\omega}_0 = -R_4|_{\hbar=0}$. See figure 5.

- Let Γ_2 be the graph with two vertices labeled by $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_0^{(1)})$ and $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_1^{(1)})$, connected by a red propagator. This diagram gives R_3/u . See figure 6.

Let \mathfrak{G}_2 be the set of graphs such that each element is the disjoint of some Γ_2 's. The contribution of \mathfrak{G}_2 is $\text{tr}(g \cdot e^{R_3/u})$. See figure 7.

- Let \mathfrak{G}_3 be the set of graphs with k vertices of valency 3 that are connected by blue propagators to form a wheel, and they are further connected by red propagators to k vertices of valency 1. The 3-valency vertex on the wheel is represented by $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_0^{(3)})$ and the 1-valency vertex is represented by $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_0^{(1)})$.

The sum of these connected diagrams contributes

$$= \sum_{k \geq 0} C(k) \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\epsilon} \text{sign}(\epsilon) F_k(R_1(\xi_{\epsilon(1)}, \xi_{\epsilon(2)}), \dots, R_1(\xi_{\epsilon(2k-1)}, \xi_{\epsilon(2k)}))$$




FIGURE 8. The contribution of \mathfrak{G}_3 is $\log \hat{A}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})_u$.

where $F_k = k! \text{ch}_k = \text{tr}(X^k) \in (\text{Sym}^k \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}^\vee)^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}}$, and the prime means sum is over $\epsilon \in S_{2k}$ such that $\epsilon(2i-1) < \epsilon(2i)$. The coefficient $C(k)$ of this factor can be compute by integrals over $S^1[k]$

$$C(k) = \frac{u^{-k}}{k} \int_{S^1[k]} \pi_{12}^*(P^{S^1}) \pi_{23}^*(P^{S^1}) \cdots \pi_{k1}^*(P^{S^1}) d\theta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\theta^k$$

When k is odd it is 0 and when k is even it is $\frac{u^{-k}}{k} \cdot \frac{2\zeta(k)}{(2\pi i)^k}$. Here $\zeta(k)$ is Riemann's zeta function. The total contribution from \mathfrak{G}_3 is

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{u^{-2k}}{2k} \frac{2\zeta(2k)}{(2\pi i)^{2k}} (2k)! \text{ch}_{2k} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2(2k-1)! \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi i)^{2k}} u^{-2k} \text{ch}_{2k}.$$

This is precisely $\log \hat{A}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})_u$ [9]. See figure 8.

- Let \mathfrak{G}_4 be the set of graphs with k vertices of valency 3 that are connected by green propagators to form a wheel, and they are further connected by red propagators to k vertices of valency 1. The 3-valency vertex on the wheel is represented by $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_0^{(3)})$ and the 1-valency vertex is represented by $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_0^{(1)})$.

Let Γ_4 be the graph with a vertex of valency 3 labeled by $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_0^{(3)})$, and a vertex of valency 1 labeled by $d_{2k}(\hat{\gamma}_0^{(1)})$. The two vertexes are connected by a red propagator, and there is a green propagator connecting the 3-valent vertex with itself.

The contribution of \mathfrak{G}_4 and Γ_4 is $\log(\text{Ch}_8^*(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^g))$. See figure 9.

- Let \mathfrak{G}_5 be the set of wheels with both blue and green edges. Since

$$\int_{S^1} \left(u - \frac{1}{2} \right) du = 0,$$

the contribution of graphs in \mathfrak{G}_5 vanishes. See figure 10.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Fedosov. A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization. *J. Differential Geom.*, 40(2):213–238, 1994.
- [2] B. Fedosov. *Deformation quantization and index theory*, volume 9. Akademie Verlag Berlin, 1996.
- [3] B. Fedosov. *On G-trace and G-index in deformation quantization*, Conference Moshé Flato 1999 (Dijon), Lett. Math. Phys. **52**, no. 1, 29–49 (2002).
- [4] B. Fedosov. The Atiyah-Bott-Patodi method in deformation quantization. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 209(3):691–728, 2000.




FIGURE 9. The contribution of \mathfrak{G}_4 and Γ_4 is $\log(\text{Ch}_g^*(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n-2k}^g))$.




FIGURE 10. The contribution of \mathfrak{G}_5 is 0.

- [5] B. Fedosov, B.W. Schulze, N. Tarkhanov: *On index theorem for symplectic orbifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54**, no. 5, 1601–1639 (2004).
- [6] B. Feigin, G. Felder, and B. Shoikhet, *Hochschild cohomology of the Weyl algebra and traces in deformation quantization*, Duke Mathematical Journal **127** (2005).
- [7] D. Bessis, C. Itzykson, and J.B. Zuber. Quantum field theory techniques in graphical enumeration. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 1(2):109–157, 1980.
- [8] E. Getzler. Cartan homotopy formulas and the Gauss-Manin connection in cyclic homology. In *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, volume 7, pages 65–78, 1993.
- [9] F. Hirzebruch, T. Berger, and R. Jung. *Manifolds and Modular Forms*. 1994.
- [10] E. Getzler, J.D.S. Jones. *Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces*. arXiv preprint hep-th/9403055 (1994).
- [11] J. Brodzki, S. Dave, and V. Nistor, *The periodic cyclic homology of crossed products of finite type algebras* (2016). arXiv:1509.03662 [math.KT].
- [12] J. J. Duistermaat, *The Heat Kernel Lefschetz Fixed Point Formula for the Spin-c Dirac Operator*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 1996.
- [13] K. Costello. Renormalization and Effective Field Theory. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Mar 2011.
- [14] K. Costello and O. Gwilliam. *Factorization algebras in quantum field theory. Vol. 1,2*, volume 31 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [15] L. Alvarez-Gaumé. Supersymmetry and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 90(2):161–173, 1983.
- [16] M. Alexandrov, A. Schwarz, O. Zaboronsky, and M. Kontsevich, *The geometry of the master equation and topological quantum field theory*, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **12** (1997), no. 7, 1405–1429.
- [17] M. Crainic, *Cyclic cohomology of étale groupoids: the general case*, *K-theory* **17**, 319–362. (1999).
- [18] M.J.Pflaum, H.B.Posthuma, and X.Tang. An algebraic index theorem for orbifolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, 210(1):83–121, 2007.

- [19] M. Kontsevich. *Feynman diagrams and low-dimensional topology*. First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), 1994, pp. 97–121
- [20] M. Pflaum, *On the deformation quantization of symplectic orbispaces*, *Differential Geometry and its Applications* **19** (2003), no. 3, 343–368.
- [21] N. Neumaier, M. Pflaum, H. Posthuma and X. Tang: *Homology of of formal deformations of proper étale Lie groupoids*, [arXiv:math.KT/0412462](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0412462), to appear in *Journal für die Reine u. Angewandte Mathematik*
- [22] R. E. Grady, Q. Li, and S. Li. Batalin–Vilkovisky quantization and the algebraic index. *Advances in Mathematics*, 317:575–639, 2017.
- [23] R. Nest and B. Tsygan. Algebraic index theorem. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 172(2):223–262, 1995.
- [24] S. Axelrod, I. M. Singer. *Chern-Simons perturbation theory. II*. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 39.1 (1994): 173–213.
- [25] Z. Gui, S. Li, and K. Xu, *Geometry of Localized Effective Theories, Exact Semi-classical Approximation and the Algebraic Index*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **382** (2021), 441–483.