

Towards a Dynamic Future with Adaptable Computing and Network Convergence (ACNC)

Masoud Shokrnezhad*, Hao Yu*, Tarik Taleb*, Richard Li†, Kyunghan Lee‡, Jaeseung Song§, and Cedric Westphal†

* *Oulu University, Oulu, Finland; {firstname.lastname}@oulu.fi*

† *Futurewei Technologies, USA; {firstname.lastname}@futurewei.com*

‡ *Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea; kyunghanlee@snu.ac.kr*

§ *Sejong University, Seoul, Korea; jssong@sejong.ac.kr*

Abstract—In the context of advancing 6G, a substantial paradigm shift is anticipated, highlighting comprehensive everything-to-everything interactions characterized by numerous connections and stringent adherence to Quality of Service/Experience (QoS/E) prerequisites. The imminent challenge stems from resource scarcity, prompting a deliberate transition to Computing-Network Convergence (CNC) as an auspicious approach for joint resource orchestration. While CNC-based mechanisms have garnered attention, their effectiveness in realizing future services, particularly in use cases like the Metaverse, may encounter limitations due to the continually changing nature of users, services, and resources. Hence, this paper presents the concept of Adaptable CNC (ACNC) as an autonomous Machine Learning (ML)-aided mechanism crafted for the joint orchestration of computing and network resources, catering to dynamic and voluminous user requests with stringent requirements. ACNC encompasses two primary functionalities: state recognition and context detection. Given the intricate nature of the user-service-computing-network space, the paper employs dimension reduction to generate live, holistic, abstract system states in a hierarchical structure. To address the challenges posed by dynamic changes, Continual Learning (CL) is employed, classifying the system state into contexts controlled by dedicated ML agents, enabling them to operate efficiently. These two functionalities are intricately linked within a closed loop overseen by the End-to-End (E2E) orchestrator to allocate resources. The paper introduces the components of ACNC, proposes a Metaverse scenario to exemplify ACNC’s role in resource provisioning with Segment Routing v6 (SRv6), outlines ACNC’s workflow, details a numerical analysis for efficiency assessment, and concludes with discussions on relevant challenges and potential avenues for future research.

Index Terms—6G, Deterministic Networking, Computing-Network Convergence (CNC), Joint Resource Allocation, the Metaverse, Dynamic, Autonomous Orchestration, Dimension Reduction, Context Detection, Continual Learning, Machine Learning, and Segment Routing v6 (SRv6).

I. INTRODUCTION

In the foreseeable future for the 6th generation of communication systems (6G), interrelations are anticipated to enlarge beyond purely human-to-human connections to include everything-to-everything interactions. The Metaverse, a virtual realm of boundless possibilities, is poised for an era of rapid and explosive expansion. In this digital universe, a diverse multitude of both physical and digital objects will incessantly

engage, traverse, and coexist within a seamlessly interconnected global network. This dynamic and immersive environment is expected to be further amplified by the persistent growth of connected objects, giving rise to a prolific exchange of data and generating substantial volumes of upstream traffic. In essence, it is expected that over 30 billion entities [1] with device density of $100/m^3$ [2] and 200 zettabytes of global data will be the norm of 6G [3]. This explosive surge in the demand should be acknowledged considering that up to 50% of this traffic will be required to be transmitted to computing nodes, processed, and returned to users [1].

An additional aspect of the swift progress of technology pertains to the wide spectrum of promising and innovative services expected to materialize in the near future. One notable example is the concept of *Metaverse as a Service (MaaS)*, empowering users to create, host, and manage personalized virtual environments across various domains like healthcare, finance, entertainment, and education. When combined with other capabilities, such as *Spatial Computing*, facilitating the convergence of physical and digital realms through *Virtual, Augmented, and Extended Realities (VR/AR/XR)* [4] to create a *Digital Twin of a Person (DTOP)*, these combined capabilities yield hybrid services replicating an individual’s distinctive attributes and enabling their coexistence in multiple locations, bridging both digital and physical domains simultaneously. It is crucial to emphasize that these services require strict adherence to Quality of Service/Experience (QoS/E) standards. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) integral to their realization include achieving an End-to-End (E2E) delay under 1 millisecond, a reliability rate surpassing 99.99999%, and a peak data rate of 1 Terabit per second (Tbps) [2].

The primary challenge in implementing future services lies in addressing the substantial demands on computing and network resources, coupled with stringent QoS/E requirements, stemming from inherent resource scarcity. A promising strategy to effectively address this challenge involves shifting from the prevailing paradigm of “*user ↔ network ↔ computing*” to a more integrated approach denoted as Computing-Network Convergence (CNC), symbolized by “*user ↔ <network ⊕ computing>*”. This paradigm shift has garnered significant attention in recent years. Król *et al.* [6] explored this concept through Compute-First Networking (CFN),




Fig. 1. A lakeside holographic meeting room in the Metaverse enabled by a cloud-network integrated infrastructure powered by technologies including deterministic networking, time-sensitive networking, and intelligent medium access control, with users connected via 6G connections [5].

applying Information-Centric Networking (ICN) principles to automatically perform traffic routing on network devices based on data object names. Two complementary approaches, Compute-Aware Networking (CAN) [7] and Computing Power Networking (CPN) [3], empower network devices to include computing nodes' capabilities and load statuses in their decisions. Zhang *et al.* [8] proposed a comprehensive CNC architecture integrating resource allocation for both computing and network domains. Additionally, Albalawi *et al.* [9] investigated a concept similar to CNC, termed Integrated Network and Compute Allocation (INCA).

In the pursuit of realizing future services, particularly in use cases like the Metaverse, the effectiveness of joint-orchestration strategies may face limitations. An extra complication is the dynamic nature of user requirements, seamless transitions between services in virtual realms, and frequent traversal between virtual and physical environments. In such a dynamic ecosystem, the live and scalable distribution of complex system states to network devices, coupled with resource allocation decision-making, becomes crucial. Existing approaches are unsuitable for this task due to significant convergence times, potentially compromising stringent QoS/E requirements amidst numerous dynamic users and services [3], [10], [11]. This paper addresses a gap in the existing literature by proposing a Machine Learning (ML)-based solution for the joint orchestration problem, considering the dynamic nature of user behavior, service characteristics, and infrastructure resources. Our proposed solution, ACNC, seamlessly integrates ML-based state recognition and context detection across resources, as well as domain and E2E orchestrators. Utilizing hierarchical state recognition, ML-based reduction techniques efficiently handle the complexity of extracting system states from the vast user-service-computing-network space for resource allocation. Through context detection, dynamicity is handled by categorizing reduced yet ubiquitous system states

into predefined contexts, enabling resource allocation agents dedicated to each context to efficiently converge to optimal solutions over similar states while maintaining divergence from distinct states.

The subsequent sections are organized as follows: Section II delves into the problem at hand, elucidating the dynamic nature of future use cases and the key role of Continual Learning (CL) in the ACNC framework. Section III provides a comprehensive description of ACNC, including its architecture, components, workflow, and a scenario within the Metaverse. Performance assessment is covered in Section IV, followed by a discussion on potential challenges and future research directions in Section V. The paper concludes with final remarks in Section VI.

II. FUNDAMENTALS

A. The Interwoven Cloud-Network Harmony

In the landscape of future 6G systems, a vision emerges of integrated, decentralized computing resources spanning in-network, edge, regional, and central nodes, interconnected by robust networking technologies. The primary challenge for facilitating extensive-scale services with copious data throughput, ultra-broadband connectivity, exceptional reliability, and minimal latency lies in the precise orchestration of these resources. Illustrated in Fig 1 is a Metaverse scenario where users engage in a holographic presence service, participating in a conference room virtually located on a live lake shore. To realize this experience, a sequence of steps is undertaken. Initially, necessary service instances, including rendering, motion tracking, stereoscopic 3D display, and audio spatialization, are instantiated on available computing nodes. Subsequently, a comprehensive dataset, encompassing video, audio, and motion data, is meticulously captured from each user and injected into the service instances following a predefined order

specified in the service’s function chaining map. Finally, the resulting rendered content is transmitted to all users for display on their respective devices, such as headsets.

Traditional resource orchestration methodologies are insufficient for organizing such integrated infrastructures to execute such complex scenarios, as they typically operate within individual domains, neglecting interdependencies among diverse domains and resources. In contrast, CNC-based approaches adopt a holistic perspective, considering the web of interactions for optimal E2E orchestration and efficient resource utilization. In the holographic conference scenario, CNC-based orchestration can integrate network link status, strategically placing service instances on computing nodes to leverage shared links and minimize network utilization for high-bandwidth requests. Additionally, CNC-based methodologies can mitigate ripple effects from failures or limited functionality within one domain on others. For instance, in the event of congestion in allocated network links, CNC-based approaches can reposition service instances to open new, efficient networking opportunities, maintaining strict QoS/E requirements. This adaptive approach contrasts with conventional methods that attempt to navigate congested links and seek alternative but merely feasible paths to the same instance locations.

B. The Horizon of Ever-Changing Services

Despite the commendable performance of joint-orchestration strategies, they prove inadequate in use cases like the Metaverse, where the system experiences constant and multidimensional fluctuations. In the virtual conference scenario, users may physically navigate, adjusting lighting or modifying the scene on their virtual 3D monitors, requiring rapid adaptations in data collection and QoS/E requirements. In another example, introducing users with diverse language preferences may necessitate distinct service instances for audio sampling, translation, and reconstruction. Moreover, attendees may transition between virtual settings, such as altering the visual platform from the lake shore to the assembly line of their factory so that they may conduct an in-depth analysis of processes, requiring changes in loaded virtual environments. Dynamism is also introduced by the underlying infrastructure network devices and computing nodes, dispersed and operating under distinct administrations with fluid characteristics. To effectively manage these ever-changing situations, CNC-based approaches must swiftly reorganize changes, often within microseconds, ensuring seamless system operation.

C. The Wisdom of Continuous Context-Awareness

The sole viable approach to contend with resource allocation in dynamic, complex systems necessitates proactive preparation for potential changes and prompt, contextually appropriate responses. The most promising solution involves applying ML techniques, empowering intelligent agents to continually acquire, adapt, and make real-time, state-driven decisions. However, in scenarios of exceptionally high dynamicity, the process of learning new states may impede or overwrite

previously acquired knowledge, resulting in *catastrophic forgetting*. This phenomenon involves the loss of the ability to make accurate decisions about previous states, hindering performance when dealing with multiple states learned sequentially. CL aims to mitigate this issue by introducing the concept of *context* [12], where system states are constructed and categorized into finite classes, or contexts, each with its distinct training process. Agents of different contexts are then isolated and trained in parallel, facilitating optimal resource allocation when encountering their respective states without being perturbed by the others. For illustrative purposes, the existing service instances on computing nodes can be used to define the current context. Introducing new instances or migrating/removing existing ones facilitates the loading of a new context. For example, $\mathcal{C}_1 : \{\mathcal{N}_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{N}_2 \leftarrow \mathcal{I}_2\}$, and $\mathcal{C}_2 : \{\mathcal{N}_1 \leftarrow \{\mathcal{I}_3, \mathcal{I}_4\}, \mathcal{N}_2 \leftarrow \mathcal{I}_1\}$, where \mathcal{C}_i is context i , \mathcal{N}_j is computing node j , and \mathcal{I}_k is service instance k .

III. ADAPTABLE COMPUTING-NETWORK CONVERGENCE

A. The Parties Involved

This paper revolves around the establishment of services involving three key parties. The first party, termed *the infrastructure*, encompasses the integrated computing and network resources of future 6G systems, along with their orchestration components. The second party is *the service provider*, responsible for registering services within the system. These services, illustrated by scenarios like the holographic meeting, may involve single or multiple instances that communicate according to a predefined sequence and data format outlined in the service’s function chaining map specified during registration. The ultimate participant in the system is *the user*, responsible for submitting requests to access registered services. Each request requires an E2E connection, establishing a link between the user and the relevant service instance(s). This connection manages the transmission of data from diverse sources (e.g., microphones, cameras, positioning sensors) to the instances and delivers processed data, such as the live-rendered holographic meeting scene, back to the user.

B. The Architecture of Adaptable Converged Orchestration

With the consideration of the involved parties, the core challenge involves the placement of registered service instances onto computing nodes while simultaneously establishing E2E paths that facilitate request connectivity while traversing the required service instances, adhering to stringent QoS/E criteria. The overarching objective is to address this challenge in order to accommodate the future’s dynamic and large-scale demands effectively. To meet this objective, we introduce a framework named ACNC, representing the integration of CL principles with the CNC concept, with two primary objectives: 1) optimizing infrastructure capacity utilization through joint orchestration to maximize the number of supported users, and 2) simplifying the complexity by categorizing it into discrete contexts, thereby enabling proactive readiness to tackle context-specific fluctuations. The proposed framework consists of various layers and components is depicted in Fig. 2 and defined in what follows.




Fig. 2. The Architecture of Adaptable Computing-Network Convergence (ACNC).

1) Resources:

In the ACNC framework, each network device's primary function is the creation of short-term states. This involves meticulous processing of traffic across all ports, where metrics like traffic characteristics, request age of information, and QoS/E requirements are measured and collected for all requests. The short-term resource state at time slot t is denoted as φ_R^t , with a spatial dimensionality represented as $|\varphi_R^t| : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{M}_R^1$. This state is then stored in the device's memory bank. To comprehend causal relationships between resource allocation decisions and state transitions, short-term resource states for time slots $[t - \mathcal{T}_R, t]$ are aggregated to form a long-term resource state at time slot t ($\tilde{\varphi}_R^t$). Given the complexity and multidimensionality of this state ($|\tilde{\varphi}_R^t| : |\varphi_R^t| \times \mathcal{T}_R$), we employ ML techniques (such as Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP), which is known for its ability to handle large datasets) to reduce its dimensions. The resulting reduced resource state is denoted as $\tilde{\varphi}_R^t$, with size $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{P} \times \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ represents the size of the set representing each service over each port that can accommodate from one indicator to all \mathcal{M}_R metrics. A smaller set of indicators yields more concise reduced states, simplifying state exchanges but diminishing precision in state representations. After reduction, the device stores the reduced resource state in the memory bank and then forwards it to the network orchestrator. Given the reduced resource state size, the

¹Let \mathcal{U} denotes the number of users, \mathcal{S} signifies the number of active services, \mathcal{R} represents the quantity of requests per user and service, \mathcal{P} indicates the number of ports, and \mathcal{M}_R stands for the quantity of metrics measured per request.

required time and resources for this exchange are predictable. The same operations are replicated on computing nodes, but they construct states for each service instance implemented on their infrastructure.

For each edge network device or Point of Arrival (PoA), there is an additional task - the selection of service instances for incoming requests and determining their respective network paths. This task is executed in a context-aware manner, where each PoA is equipped with an agent dedicated to a unique context. These contexts are structured to enable a singular agent to proficiently oversee the dynamics of the system states associated with that context, leading to a notable reduction in overall complexity to a more manageable scale. The agent for each context maintains two action sets: 1) the available service instances within that context, and 2) the available network paths from the PoA to these service instances. When the context changes or a significant alteration occurs in the system state, the PoA receives relevant information about the active context and current system state in graph form (as detailed in Section III-B3) from the network orchestrator. Subsequently, the PoA activates the agent associated with the active context, assigning service instances and network paths to requests according to their chaining map within the specified time slot. Operating within a Reinforcement Learning (RL) framework for continuous reward-based training [13], this agent is reinforced by Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) utilizing a node-link representation to gain a deeper understanding of the system's intricacies.

2) Domain Orchestrators:




Fig. 3. The process of state construction and reduction within the ACNC framework.

The orchestration layer consists of two key components: the network and computing orchestrators. Both orchestrators aggregate reduced resource states from members in their respective domains, establishing a comprehensive short-term domain state for each time slot (φ_D^t). The network orchestrator constructs a graphical representation for each service, reflecting the physical network's port-level structure. Simultaneously, the computing orchestrator formulates an overlay map for each service based on its service instance chain. Moreover, the orchestrators monitor resource availability by collecting metrics such as operational uptime, failure events, resource utilization rates, power supply statuses, and environmental conditions, adding them to φ_D^t , with the number of metrics denoted by \mathcal{M}_D . φ_D^t is then stored in the memory bank. Now, aggregating short-term domain states for $[t - \mathcal{T}_D, t]$ time slots, both orchestrators employ dimension reduction ML models to create reduced domain states ($\tilde{\varphi}_D^t$) and share them with the E2E orchestrator. During this reduction, the domain state may be downsized from $\mathcal{S} \times (\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{P})^2 \times \mathcal{M}_D \times \mathcal{T}_D$ to $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{L} \times \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_D^2$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_D$ represents the size of the

²Let \mathcal{N} denotes the number of network devices in the network domain or the number of service instances in the computing domain, \mathcal{L} signifies the number of network links, and \mathcal{M}_D stands for the quantity of metrics measured per resource.

domain-level service indicators set. 1 to $\mathcal{M}_D + \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ indicators may be included in this set, affecting the size and precision of reduced states. The final task involves conveying instructions from the E2E orchestrator to underlying resources, adhering to specific standards and data models, facilitated through the configuration functionality.

3) The E2E Orchestrator:

The primary responsibility of the E2E orchestrator is to aggregate reduced states from both domains on a per-service basis, form a comprehensive system state denoted as φ_E^t , as depicted in Fig. 3, and store it in the memory bank. Upon securely storing this state in the memory bank, the orchestrator promptly communicates any significant discrepancies in the system state to PoAs via the network orchestrator, ensuring their active involvement in decision-making. Graph Edit Distance (GED) is utilized for measuring the minimum cost of transforming φ_E^t into φ_E^{t-1} through a sequence of edit operations. If the distance exceeds \mathcal{D} , triggering a change is considered. Simultaneously, φ_E^t is labeled with a context within a set of contexts of size \mathcal{C} . If the identified context is not active, PoAs are instructed to perform a context switch. ML-based techniques, such as Graph Convolutional Networks




Fig. 4. A) A typical Metaverse use case incorporating an ACNC-enabled holographic meeting, and B) the demonstration of deterministic service provisioning enabled by SRv6 in ACNC.

(GCNs), can be utilized for context detection. The context set can be predefined using historical data or expert knowledge and adjusted dynamically during system runtime. Further tasks involve optimizing rewards for PoAs to align with system-level objectives. Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) can be employed to simultaneously train a generator and a discriminator, deriving rewards to attain optimal system states based on the target objectives. For example, it may involve reducing rewards for utilizing spare network links to conserve energy. Through hierarchical dimension reductions, context-based resource allocation, and differential state updating, minor oscillations (devoid of discernible impact) are disregarded, and adjustments in resource allocation are exclusively triggered for substantial variations with targeted consequences, enabling efficient control within the dynamically fluctuating environment of ACNC.

The E2E orchestrator's final task involves reorganizing implemented instances and their respective E2E network paths from PoAs. ML-based techniques, such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) and Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) models, analyze historical/temporal data from the last T_E system states to predict system feasibility in upcoming time slots. Upon detecting infeasibility, the E2E orchestrator employs a GNN-based RL agent to efficiently select computing nodes for migration or generation of instances and determine suitable E2E paths. Subsequently, the E2E orchestrator collaborates with the network orchestrator to inform PoAs of context changes and action sets, and with the computing orchestrator to facilitate new instance deployments. The CNC concept optimizes resource utilization across domains by considering

the state of both domains, thereby assisting the orchestrator in this manner.

4) The Service Orchestrator:

This layer assumes responsibility for overseeing the service lifecycle within the ACNC framework. Its initial function entails the reception and processing of requests originating from service providers, aiming to incorporate new services. These requests should include crucial service parameters, such as essential service instances, instance chaining maps, data models, and QoS/E requirements. Comprehensive records of these details are archived within the memory bank. The process of deploying new service instances across the infrastructure is orchestrated by the resource arrangement component of the E2E orchestrator, which bases its decisions on the anticipated demand reported by the service provider. The service orchestrator's additional responsibility is to systematically monitor all implemented services in an E2E fashion, maintaining a real-time, abstracted representation of their quality for subsequent reporting to the respective service provider. Beyond the specifics of registered services, the memory bank houses a repository of pre-trained agents, which play a vital role in efficient decision-making, especially during the system's initial phases when there is no live data available for agent training. Furthermore, the memory bank includes simulation tools and digital twins to assess the system's efficiency and determine potential adjustments. It also comprises training libraries like Torch or Tensor to support agent execution across various layers, and expert knowledge to fine-tune trained agents for optimized performance.




Fig. 5. The flowchart of E2E orchestration within the ACNC framework.

C. Integrating ACNC with SRv6

To facilitate the transmission of packets for each request session, ensuring they follow their selected network path to reach the selected service instances, the involvement of network devices along the path is essential. One viable solution for conveying this information is the utilization of the Segment Routing over IPv6 (SRv6) protocol. SRv6 operates on the principles of source routing, where the routing path is encoded within the packet header as a sequenced set of segments or path hops. Each network device along the list of segments, upon packet reception, removes its associated label from the list and directs the packet toward the network device indicated by the next label. This transmission occurs directly in cases where the list is defined in a hop-by-hop manner or via the shortest path if the path is divided into segments, with one network device designated per segment. SRv6 offers the advantage of precise traffic control without the necessity for supplementary protocols or path signaling, which results in a highly scalable solution.

Consider the holographic meeting scenario. As depicted in Fig. 3-A, the raw data captured from each object i undergoes a decomposition process into primary and auxiliary requests, specifically encompassing the transmission of positional information (F_i^m) and skin textures/coloring (F_i^s). Depending on the intended functionality, the primary requests are directed towards high-capacity computing nodes, responsible for generating a realistic view structure. Subsequently, the outcomes are forwarded to a low-capacity node, where they undergo final refinement, utilizing the traffic from auxiliary requests to reconstruct the complete scene. The final result is then delivered to the respective users. Fig. 3-B represents the SRv6-based routing between users U1 and U2 concerning request

F_1^m . In accordance with the viable path options outlined in the accessible paths table, assuming that the corresponding service instances hosted on the core datacenter and NE4 offer optimal selections, three feasible paths emerge. Considering the system state, the routing agent designates P2 for F_1^m , allowing it to converge with the traffic from other primary requests at the core datacenter, where it proceeds to the final polishing stage on NE4. This selected path is then embedded in the request packets in the form of a segmented stack. Ultimately, the resulting output is conveyed to user U2.

D. The Workflow

The service provisioning process in ACNC, as illustrated in Fig. 5, commences with the service provider registering a service and its specific characteristics within the service orchestration layer. Subsequently, the efficient computing nodes for implementing the instances of this service, along with potential E2E network paths connecting PoAs to these computing nodes, are determined by the E2E orchestrator. Instructions are then dispatched to the computing orchestrator for the implementation of these instances on the designated computing nodes, and the network orchestrator receives notifications regarding any new context or system state, if applicable. Now, predefined agents are loaded in the resource layer to manage the service requests. By conveying traffic from PoAs to computing nodes, a closed-loop process ensues, where resources, domain-level orchestrators, and the E2E orchestrator collaborate to construct the system state, leading to potential adjustments in implemented instances, network paths, and rewards assigned to PoA agents as necessary. Periodic or per-request reports are also dispatched to the service provider, presenting the QoS/E-related statistics of the implemented service.

TABLE I
SIMULATION PARAMETERS.

Parameter	Value
number of services	3
number of requests per time slot	300
number of links	$\sim \mathcal{U}\{3\mathcal{V}, 5\mathcal{V}\}$
resource capacity bounds (network devices and links, and computing nodes)	$\sim \mathcal{U}\{250, 300\}$ mbps
service instance capacity bound	20 mbps
energy consumptions per capacity unit	$\sim \mathcal{U}\{10, 20\}$
energy consumptions per context change	$\sim \mathcal{U}\{100, 200\}$
capacity requirement per request	$\sim \mathcal{U}\{4, 8\}$ mbps
bandwidth requirement per request	$\sim \mathcal{U}\{2, 10\}$ mbps
latency requirement per request	$\sim \mathcal{U}\{1, 3\}$ ms
packet size per request	1
profit per request	$\mathcal{U}\{5, 15\}$

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

A. Settings

In this section, we conduct an evaluation of the proposed ACNC framework, assessing its efficacy in addressing the joint challenge of service instance placement and assignment, along with path selection in accordance with the communication pattern delineated in Section III-B. The primary constraints involve the capacity and bandwidth prerequisites of requests, coupled with their admissible E2E latency threshold. The objective function is to maximize the cumulative profit, formulated as an ascending function of the number of fulfilled requests, while concurrently minimizing total energy consumption. This energy metric is construed as an ascending function of the network traffic and computing tasks managed by network devices and computing nodes, respectively. To tackle this optimization problem, we employ a Double Deep Q-Learning (DDQL) agent deployed on edge network devices, leveraging linear Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) [14]. Furthermore, we extend this approach using Graph Neural Networks (GNNs), denoted as DDQL-GNN, to explore the impact of incorporating graph-shaped system states (generated by the E2E orchestrator) in the decision-making process. Our evaluation encompasses a comparative analysis with random allocation (RND) and optimum allocation (OPT) for a comprehensive assessment of their respective efficiencies. RND entails the random placement and assignment of instances and traffic routing, while OPT represents results obtained by solving the mixed-integer linear programming formulation of the problem using CPLEX 12.10.

B. Results Analysis

The simulation results are illustrated in Fig. 6 for varying system sizes, denoted by \mathcal{V} . Each \mathcal{V} represents the number of network devices, each connecting a computing node to the infrastructure. The presented results for each \mathcal{V} and technique represent the mean performance over 50 time slots, where each incorporates randomly generated requests and infrastructures, adhering to uniform parameters detailed in Table I. This approach introduces dynamism into the simulations. In the figure, it's apparent that OPT serves as an upper performance bound, while RND serves as the lower bound. Notably, when




Fig. 6. The mean energy consumption of supported requests (A) and the total profit (B) vs. the system size. Note that the increase in system size involves the generation of a new system graph. Specifically, resources with high energy consumption are integrated into the graph from 10 to 13, those with moderate energy consumption are introduced from 14 to 17, and the remaining resources from 18 to 21 exhibit low energy consumption.

all resources have high energy consumption rates or are fully occupied (with $\mathcal{N} \leq 13$), RND demonstrates a similar energy consumption pattern to DDQL-based techniques. However, RND's support rate is limited due to its lack of intelligence and feasibility checks. In contrast, DDQL-GNN excels in both scenarios, achieving near-optimal results by prioritizing high-capacity resources with minimal energy consumption, especially when multiple choices are available for each request ($\mathcal{N} \geq 13$). However, DDQL exhibits less efficiency and stability compared to DDQL-GNN, primarily due to its inferior state decoding capability.

V. CHALLENGES & FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

A. Model Pre-Training: Digital Twin Integration

A promising avenue for future research in the ACNC framework involves exploring advanced methodologies for pre-training models and agents. Building models from scratch for each context, given the frequent and dynamic changes in the data plane and the diversity of involved technologies, may lead to suboptimal decision-making. The integration of digital twins, virtual counterparts mirroring the system and its behaviors, emerges as a promising strategy. By incorporating digital twins into the pre-training process and leveraging historical data for each service and context, models can effectively perform in real-world contexts from the initial time slot. Key steps include identifying system components, modeling their behavior, collecting relevant data, constructing request and traffic trends, and replicating the system's behavior when all

elements are integrated. A thorough investigation into these steps over the system components is essential to generating efficient pre-trained models for the ACNC framework.

B. Complexities in E2E ACNC Evaluation

In contrast to conventional communication systems, where E2E performance metrics are primarily influenced by predictable networking devices and protocols, evaluating system-wide performance in the ACNC framework poses a complex challenge. The dynamic allocation of numerous network devices and computing nodes within ACNC, coupled with the unpredictable nature of ML-based decision-making, complicates pinpointing precise sources of performance degradation. Therefore, diverse services or users may perceive performance variations differently. This underscores the need for an innovative approach to performance evaluation. Pioneering research initiatives aiming to establish a theoretical approach for measuring E2E performance in scenarios with multiple ML agents introduced to the data path will be crucial for the comprehensive utilization of ACNC.

C. ML Efficiency: Balancing Precision and Cost

In the process of constructing system states and in all optimization tasks of the E2E orchestrator, adjustable parameters play a crucial role in balancing precision and cost. Increasing the number of short-term state features (\mathcal{M}_R and \mathcal{M}_D), the number of reduced state indicators ($\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_R$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_D$), the time window of long-term states (\mathcal{T}_R and \mathcal{T}_D), the number of possible contexts (C), and the depth of the system state trajectory for feasibility check (\mathcal{T}_E), or decreasing the threshold of system state difference (D), yields more detailed state data. While this enhances the precision of ML agents' decisions, it necessitates increased storage for memory banks, higher network bandwidth and longer time for data exchange, and additional computing capacity and time for training and decision-making. Adjusting these parameters is a prospective research avenue to maximize precision in resource allocation while minimizing network and computing costs. Dynamically navigating the trade-offs between precision and cost in ML-based decision-making represents a pivotal research initiative with profound implications for future applications.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper introduced the ACNC framework, comprising service, E2E, domain, and resource building blocks. The service orchestrator registers and monitors services for ACNC, while the E2E Orchestrator, the core of ACNC, provides functionalities such as E2E system state construction, context detection, reward optimization, and resource management. This orchestrator is linked to resources through domain orchestrators (network and computing), which construct short-term, long-term, and reduced domain states using received state information and configure their resources. An analysis of a Metaverse scenario demonstrated how ACNC, assisted by SRv6-enabled infrastructure, can be utilized. The workflow within ACNC was outlined, and simulations showed its superior performance compared to optimal and random strategies.

The paper concluded by discussing challenges and future research directions related to digital twin integration, E2E performance evaluation, and the balance between precision and cost.

REFERENCES

- [1] "Cisco Annual Internet Report - Cisco Annual Internet Report (2018–2023) White Paper." [Online]. Available: <https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/executive-perspectives/annual-internet-report/white-paper-c11-741490.html>
- [2] M. Latva-aho, "Key Drivers and Research Challenges for 6G Ubiquitous Wireless Intelligence," 2019, publisher: Oulu : University of Oulu,.
- [3] X. Tang, C. Cao *et al.*, "Computing Power Network: The Architecture of Convergence of Computing and Networking towards 6G Requirement," *China Communications*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 175–185, Feb. 2021, conference Name: China Communications.
- [4] H. Yu, M. Shokrnezhad, T. Taleb *et al.*, "Toward 6G-Based Metaverse: Supporting Highly-Dynamic Deterministic Multi-User Extended Reality Services," *IEEE Network*, no. 4, pp. 30–38, 2023.
- [5] H. Mazandarani, M. Shokrnezhad, and T. Taleb, "Self-Sustaining Multiple Access with Symmetry-aware Continual Deep Reinforcement Learning for Dynamic Metaverse Applications," in *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing (TMC)*. IEEE, 2023, (Under Submission).
- [6] M. Król, S. Mastorakis *et al.*, "Compute First Networking: Distributed Computing meets ICN," in *Proceedings of the 6th ACM Conference on Information-Centric Networking*, ser. ICN '19. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Sep. 2019, pp. 67–77. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3357150.3357395>
- [7] X. Han, Y. Zhao *et al.*, "Utility-Optimized Resource Allocation in Computing-Aware Networks," in *2021 13th International Conference on Communication Software and Networks (ICCSN)*, Jun. 2021, pp. 199–205, ISSN: 2472-8489.
- [8] S. Zhang, Y. Liu *et al.*, "Research on Architecture and Application of Computing Network Convergence Service Orchestration," in *2022 5th International Conference on Hot Information-Centric Networking (HotICN)*, Nov. 2022, pp. 61–66, ISSN: 2831-4395.
- [9] A. A. Albalawi, A. Chakraborti *et al.*, "Inca: An Architecture for In-Network Computing," in *Proceedings of the 1st ACM CoNEXT Workshop on Emerging in-Network Computing Paradigms*, 2019, pp. 56–62.
- [10] Y. Sun, B. Lei *et al.*, "Computing Power Network: A Survey," Nov. 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2210.06080>
- [11] L. Song, X. Hu *et al.*, "Networking Systems of AI: On the Convergence of Computing and Communications," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 9, no. 20, pp. 20352–20381, 2022.
- [12] K. Khetarpal, M. Riemer *et al.*, "Towards Continual Reinforcement Learning: A Review and Perspectives," *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, vol. 75, pp. 1401–1476, Dec. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.jair.org/index.php/jair/article/view/13673>
- [13] C. J. C. H. Watkins and P. Dayan, "Q-learning," *Machine Learning*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 279–292, May 1992.
- [14] M. Shokrnezhad, T. Taleb, and P. Dazzi, "Double Deep Q-Learning-based Path Selection and Service Placement for Latency-Sensitive Beyond 5G Applications," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, pp. 1–14, 2023.