
THE FUTURE OF DOCUMENT INDEXING: GPT AND DONUT REVOLUTIONIZE TABLE OF CONTENT PROCESSING

Degaga Wolde Feyisa

Dreeven Technologies Inc, St-Lambert, QC, Canada
degagawolde@gmail.com

Haylemicheal Berihun

Dreeven Technologies Inc, St-Lambert, QC, Canada
haylemicheal.mekonnen@gmail.com

Amanuel Zewdu

Dreeven Technologies inc.
amanuelzewdu21@gmail.com

Mahsa Najimoghadam

Dreeven Technologies Inc, St-Lambert, QC, Canada
naji.mahsa@gmail.com

Marzieh Zare

Dreeven Technologies Inc, St-Lambert, QC, Canada
marzieh.zare@Dreeven.com

March 13, 2024

ABSTRACT

Industrial projects rely heavily on lengthy, complex specification documents, making tedious manual extraction of structured information a major bottleneck. This paper introduces an innovative approach to automate this process, leveraging the capabilities of two cutting-edge AI models: Donut, a model that extracts information directly from scanned documents without OCR, and OpenAI GPT-3.5 Turbo, a robust large language model. The proposed methodology is initiated by acquiring the table of contents (ToCs) from construction specification documents and subsequently structuring the ToCs text into JSON data. Remarkable accuracy is achieved, with Donut reaching 85% and GPT-3.5 Turbo reaching 89% in effectively organizing the ToCs. This landmark achievement represents a significant leap forward in document indexing, demonstrating the immense potential of AI to automate information extraction tasks across diverse document types, boosting efficiency and liberating critical resources in various industries.

Keywords Document AI · Document Classification · Information extraction · Large Language Models · OCR Models · Visual Document Understanding

1 Introduction

Traversing extensive and intricate documents and locating essential information buried within numerous pages demands considerable time and effort. This inefficiency not only impedes understanding but also results in significant costs associated with manual data entry and extraction. To address this challenge, researchers have delved into the expanding realm of AI, with a particular emphasis on automating the extraction of information from large documents. Documents, whether in traditional written or electronic form, are essential for conveying information in various domains such as technology and business. Electronic documents, including PDF files, Microsoft Word documents, spreadsheets, emails, invoices, and presentations, play diverse roles like record-keeping, communication, collaboration, and supporting legal and

financial transactions. The PDF format, introduced by Adobe Inc. in the 1990s, is particularly noteworthy for its widespread use in online document distribution, preserving original layout and formatting across devices and software. PDF documents, generated from applications like Microsoft Word and Adobe Acrobat, can encompass text, images, tables, graphs, hyperlinks, annotations, and forms, making them integral to modern document sharing and viewing practices. Additionally, a Table of Contents (ToC) acts as a guide in documents, facilitating quick navigation to specific sections of interest without the need to scan the entire text. Typically positioned after cover pages, the ToC includes main headings, subheadings, or subsections, enhancing document accessibility for readers.

The benefits of automating information extraction are multifold. First, it provides a concise overview of the document’s structure and essential content, acting as a roadmap for efficient navigation. This is particularly critical in technical documents like construction specifications, where specific sections hold information on distinct components like electrical work or fire protection systems [1]. Second, it significantly reduces the time and costs associated with manual data extraction. Imagine the human resource burden of manually extracting key information from a multi-page contract compared to an AI system performing the task swiftly and accurately. These advantages have spurred considerable research and development in the field, with numerous companies offering visual document understanding tools (e.g., DocuVision, Adobe Experience Manager) [2, 3, 4, 5], and academic papers exploring various AI-based information extraction approaches [1, 6, 7, 8].

Our work falls within this domain, focusing on parsing large PDF documents and structuring their content for effortless navigation. Specifically, we target the extraction of heading numbers, titles, and their subheadings, aiming to build comprehensive ToCs. This structured information, often stored in formats like JSON files or SQL databases, serves as a vital key to indexing the document easily. By providing users access to this structured data through a user-friendly dashboard, we aim to empower them to visually comprehend the document’s content and navigate its depths with unprecedented ease.

Navigating complex, multi-page documents can be a difficult task. Often, these documents are divided into distinct sections, each focused on specific topics or types of information. For instance, a construction specification document may contain sections dedicated to plumbing systems, floor openings, or concrete specifications. Efficiently traversing these sections relies heavily on structured information, such as well-organized ToCs. This structured information serves as a roadmap, guiding readers to relevant passages and facilitating comprehension.

Recognizing the importance of structure in document navigation, our work focuses on automatically extracting heading numbers, titles, and their corresponding subheadings from lengthy PDF documents. This extracted information forms the foundation of a comprehensive ToC, effectively transforming the document into a structured data format. We store this structured data in readily accessible formats like JSON files or SQL databases, enabling further analysis and visualization. We developed a user-friendly dashboard to empower users with effortless exploration of this extracted information. Through this interface, users can readily visualize the document’s content, delve deeper into specific sections, and gain a holistic understanding of the document’s structure and main themes.

The remaining sections of the paper are organized as follows. In Section 2, we explore related works, discussing their contributions and shortcomings. The methodology employed in this study is outlined in Section 3. Our findings and the discussion of results are presented in Section 3.4. The paper concludes with Section 4, providing a conclusion, and Section 5, which outlines potential avenues for future work.

2 Related Work

Understanding document images is a critical task but presents significant challenges due to the need for complex functions such as text reading and overall document comprehension. Current methods for Visual Document Understanding (VDU) rely on off-the-shelf Optical Character Recognition (OCR) engines [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] for text extraction and focus on understanding tasks using OCR outputs. However, OCR-based approaches have several drawbacks, including computational costs, inflexibility in handling different languages or document types, and error propagation issues. To address these challenges, a few OCR-free VDU models have been proposed [15, 16]. In contrast to OCR-based models, OCR-free VDU models are designed to understand the visual content of documents without relying on traditional OCR techniques. These models use deep learning architectures, such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and transformers, to extract features from the visual content of documents. Large language models like GPT

[17] can extract structured information from raw text. In the following sections, let's explore in-depth the literature on OCR-based and OCR-free models proposed by various authors for document understanding.

2.1 OCR-Based VDU

Li, et al. [12] proposed an upgraded version of a document analysis system called PP-StructureV2. This new version contains two subsystems: Layout Information Extraction and Key Information Extraction (KIE). The focus of KIE is to extract specific information that users are interested in, and it includes subtasks such as Semantic Entity Recognition (SER) and Relation Extraction (RE). The paper also introduces several models that integrate text and layout information to improve the KIE process, including LayoutLM, LayoutLMv2, LayoutXLM, and XY-LayoutLM. Overall, the contribution of this paper is an improved document analysis system with enhanced functionality for extracting key information from unstructured documents.

PP-StructureV2 is one of the core components of PaddleOCR. Specifically, PP-StructureV2 provides layout analysis and key information extraction capabilities to PaddleOCR. The PaddleOCR team has developed an ultra-lightweight OCR system called PP-OCR, which prioritizes accuracy and speed for OCR industry applications [18]. PP-OCRv3 [19] is an upgraded version of PP-OCRv2, which incorporates nine optimization strategies for text detection and recognition models. In comparison to PP-OCRv2, PP-OCRv3 demonstrates an 11% improvement in English model precision, a 5% improvement in Chinese model precision, and an average recognition accuracy improvement of over 5% for 80 multilingual models, while maintaining a similar speed.

In paper[13, 14], the authors proposed the use of LayoutLM [20], a language model pre-trained on business documents, along with two new pre-training tasks and a post-processing algorithm to improve information extraction performance on expense receipts, invoices, and purchase orders. The proposed method significantly improves extraction performance on both public and private datasets. The authors used OCR (Optical Character Recognition) to extract textual and positional information from the business documents in the Business Documents Collection. This extracted information was then used as input for their pre-training language models on business documents. The accuracy of OCR is important because it affects the quality of data that goes into training these models, which can ultimately impact their performance in extracting relevant information from similar types of documents

2.2 OCR-Free VDU

Current document understanding models, such as LayoutLM, will often require OCR processing to extract the text from documents before they can be processed. While OCR can be an effective way to extract text from documents, it is not without its challenges. OCR accuracy can be impacted by factors such as the quality of the original document, the font used, and the clarity of the text. Furthermore, OCR is slow and computationally intensive which adds another layer of complexity. To overcome these challenges, new approaches are needed that can accurately interpret documents without the need for OCR.

One popular approach to OCR-free VDU is to use end-to-end models that incorporate large language models, such as BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) [15], GPT(Generative Pre-trained Transformer), and their variants [21]. These language models are pre-trained on large amounts of text data, enabling them to learn representations that capture the semantic relationships between words and phrases. By incorporating these language models into OCR-free VDU models, they can better understand the context and meaning of the visual content of documents, such as invoices, receipts, and forms. This approach has shown promising results in tasks such as information extraction, document classification, and question answering.

The Donut model [16] is an end-to-end VDU solution that uses an encoder-decoder transformers model architecture, proposed by researchers from Naver CLOVA and recently made available to use with the HuggingFace transformers library. It encodes an image (split into patches using a Swim Transformer) into token vectors it can then decode, or translate, into an output sequence in the form of a data structure (which can then be further parsed into JSON) using the BART decoder model, publicly pre-trained on multilingual datasets.

2.3 Large Language Models

Large language models (LLMs), including transformer-based models like BERT [22] or Megatron [23], represent a subset of AI specifically designed for extracting crucial information from documents. Trained

on extensive datasets encompassing both text and code, these models excel in identifying and extracting various entities from text, such as names, addresses, dates, and numbers. This adaptability positions them as valuable tools for extracting key information from diverse documents, such as invoices, contracts, and medical records [24].

Leveraging their capacity to grasp contextual intricacies within text, Language Models (LLMs) demonstrate superior accuracy compared to conventional methods. The proficiency of LLMs in comprehending context enhances the precision of entity identification. Furthermore, these models can be efficiently scaled to handle substantial volumes of documents, rendering them highly suitable for various applications. Despite their advantages, it is crucial to acknowledge the potential challenges associated with using LLMs for information extraction. Biases, factual errors, and limitations in understanding complex information can pose significant hurdles [25, 26]. Acknowledging and addressing these challenges is essential for ensuring the responsible and accurate deployment of LLMs in information extraction tasks. Recent advancements in LLM-based information extraction further enhance their utility. Techniques like multi-task learning and integration with additional resources, such as knowledge graphs, contribute to improved performance and expanded capabilities [27, 28, 29, 30]. These developments exemplify the dynamic nature of LLM research and its continuous evolution.

For further insights into challenges and methodologies related to LLM-based document information extraction, the work of Yousefi Maragheh et al., [31] can provide valuable perspectives. Additionally, [32] provides a comprehensive survey of LLM applications in information extraction.

3 Methodology

3.1 Data Preparation

Any machine learning project requires a huge amount of data. Fortunately, the model used for this project, the Donut model, is pre-trained with a big dataset for both the image classification and information extraction tasks. So we fine-tuned it with our annotated image datasets to make it suitable for the two tasks.

The dataset used to fine-tune the image classifier model was composed of two classes of images taken from the specification document. The two classes are labeled as "ToC", and "other". To train the second model, which extracts heading and subheading information from the table of content pages identified by the first model, we used annotated "ToC" images extracted from varieties of specification documents. The data needed to fine-tune the Donut model should include the document's image and a JSON file containing the key information from the document. Figure 1 and 2 show how the data is prepared and annotation is generated for each ToC.

0.00	PRÉAMBULE	8
0.01	INTERPRÉTATION	8
0.01.01	Terminologie	8
0.01.02	Accord Intergouvernemental	9
0.01.03	Addenda	9
0.01.04	Appel d'Offres	9
0.01.05	Attestation relative à la Probité du Soumissionnaire	9
0.01.06	Avis d'Adjudication	9
0.01.07	Avis d'Appel d'Offres	9
0.01.08	Bordereau de Prix	9
0.01.09	Consortium Non Juridiquement Organisé	9
0.01.10	Contrat	9
0.01.11	Devis	10
0.01.12	Documents d'Appel d'Offres	10
0.01.13	ENTREPRENEUR	10
0.01.14	Formulaire de Soumission	10
0.01.15	Institution Financière	10
0.01.16	ORGANISME PUBLIC	10
0.01.17	PARTIE	10
0.01.18	Personne	10
0.01.19	Procédure de Gestion des Plaintes	11
0.01.20	Renseignement Confidentiel	11
0.01.21	Renseignement Personnel	11
0.01.22	Représentants Légaux	11
0.01.23	Soumission	11
0.01.24	SOUSSIONNAIRE	11
0.01.25	Travaux	11
0.02	Primaute	11
0.03	Droit applicable	12
0.04	Généralités	12
0.04.01	Dates et délais	12
0.04.02	a) De rigueur	12
0.04.03	b) Calcul	12
0.04.04	Références financières	12
0.04.05	Consentement	12
1.00	OBJET ET RÉGIE DE L'APPEL D'OFFRES	13
1.01	Appel d'Offres	13
1.02	Visite des lieux	13
1.02.01	Date et lieu de rassemblement	13
1.02.02	Participation	13
1.02.03	Défilé	13
1.03	Examens des lieux	13

```

1 {
2   "qt_parc": {
3     "aspect": [
4       {
5         "hn": "",
6         "ht": "PRÉAMBULE",
7         "sh": ""
8       },
9     ],
10    {
11      "shn": "0.00",
12      "sh": "INTERPRÉTATION",
13      "sht": [
14        {
15          "shn": "0.01",
16          "sh": "Terminologie"
17        },
18        {
19          "shn": "0.02",
20          "sh": "Primaute"
21        },
22        {
23          "shn": "0.03",
24          "sh": "Droit applicable"
25        },
26        {
27          "shn": "0.04",
28          "sh": "Généralités"
29        }
30      ],
31    },
32    {
33      "hn": "1.00",
34      "ht": "OBJET ET RÉGIE DE L'APPEL D'OFFRES",
35      "sh": [
36        {
37          "shn": "1.01",
38          "sh": "Appel d'offres"
39        },
40        {
41          "shn": "1.02",
42          "sh": "Visite des lieux"
43        },
44        {
45          "shn": "1.03",
46          "sh": "Examen des lieux"
47        }
48      ],
49    }
50  ]
51 }

```

Figure 1: For this type of document, the first heading (h) is referred to as the heading, while the second heading (sh) is referred to as the subheading. The heading number (hn) comes before the heading title (ht). The same is true for the subheading number (shn) and title (sht).

EXCAVATION, REMBLAIS ET TRAVAUX DE GÉNIE CIVIL

JUIN 2021
 RÉFÉRENCE EMS : S19-203

# SECTION	DESCRIPTION
01 33 00	Document et échantillons à soumettre
01 35 43	Protection de l'environnement
01 41 00	Mandat de l'ingénieur durant la construction
01 45 00	Contrôle de la qualité
31 23 10	Excavation, creusage de tranchées et remblayage
32 11 00	Fondation granulaire et structure de chaussée
32 12 00	Enrobés bitumineux
32 13 15	Trottoirs et bordures de rue
33 11 00	Conduites d'eau
33 31 00	Conduites d'égout sanitaire et pluvial

ANNEXE 1 Étude géotechnique
 ANNEXE 2 Étude environnementale de site (phase 1)

```

1 {
2   "qt_parc": {
3     "aspect": [
4       {
5         "hn": "",
6         "ht": "EXCAVATION, BACKFILL AND CIVIL WORKS",
7         "sh": [
8           {
9             "shn": "01 33 00",
10            "sh": "Document et échantillons à soumettre"
11          },
12          {
13            "shn": "01 35 43",
14            "sh": "Protection de l'environnement"
15          },
16          {
17            "shn": "01 41 00",
18            "sh": "Mandat de l'ingénieur durant la construction"
19          },
20          {
21            "shn": "01 45 00",
22            "sh": "Contrôle de la qualité"
23          },
24          {
25            "shn": "31 23 10",
26            "sh": "Excavation, creusage de tranchées et remblayage"
27          },
28          {
29            "shn": "32 11 00",
30            "sh": "Fondation granulaire et structure de chaussée"
31          },
32          {
33            "shn": "32 12 00",
34            "sh": "Enrobés bitumineux"
35          },
36          {
37            "shn": "32 13 15",
38            "sh": "Trottoirs et bordures de rue"
39          },
40          {
41            "shn": "33 11 00",
42            "sh": "Conduites d'eau"
43          },
44          {
45            "shn": "33 31 00",
46            "sh": "Conduites d'égout sanitaire et pluvial"
47          }
48        ],
49      }
50    ]
51 }

```

Figure 2: For this type of document, the division is referred to as the heading(h), while the sections are referred to as the subheading (sh). The heading number (hn) comes before the division title (ht). The same is true for the section number (shn) and title (sht)

Our dataset consisted of 200 annotated data samples utilized for both training and testing. We allocated 90% of the dataset for training purposes and the remaining 10% for testing. The images in the dataset were standardized to a height of 1260 and a width of 960 pixels. This ensured uniform dimensions for each image before being processed by the models in our study.

The dataset for the classification model is a page of the specification document with their respective class(table of contents, and other pages). In general, there are two classes: Table of Contents – a table of contents for the document, mostly found in the first few pages, and other Pages – pages that are not the table of contents. It can include white pages, cover pages, and other pages of the document that are not the main table of contents of the document.

3.2 The Proposed AI-Based Document Indexing Technique

In this section, we will discuss the methodology and process used for structuring a ToCs in a large PDF document. Figure 3 illustrates the proposed pipeline, which we will describe in detail. To begin with, the PDF document is uploaded, and each page is converted into an image. Subsequently, a classifier model is employed to categorize the images into one of two groups: tables of contents, or other pages. The pages classified as tables of contents are then fed into another model, which extracts information in ToCs such as heading numbers, heading titles, subheading numbers, and subheading titles from each page. The extracted information is then structured and saved in a JSON file, which is parsed and displayed in the frontend application for the user to view. Additionally, the JSON file is stored in a database for future reference.




Figure 3: The proposed approach for retrieving and structuring table of contents of a PDF document.

3.2.1 Fetching the Table of Contents

Document classification is a process of assigning categories or classes to documents to make them easier to manage, search, filter, or analyze. A document in this case is an item of information that has content related to some specific category. In a document classification application, an incoming stream or a set of documents is compared to a predefined set of rules. When a document matches one or more rules, the application performs some action. In this work classify a page of specification documents into a table of contents and other pages. Since we extract section titles and numbers from the table of contents of the documents, this is a very vital step toward the end objective.

In the case of the Donut model, the process involves converting the pages into images, which are then input into the model to identify the ToC. Donut base model pre-trained on RVL-CDIP [33, 34] is pulled from hugging face and fine-tuned with classification data prepared as described in Section 3.1. On the other hand, when working with the OpenAI GPT-3.5 Turbo, a different approach is taken. Instead of converting the pages into images, a prompt provided in Figure 4 is utilized to retrieve the text of the ToC after obtaining raw text data from the PDF. This method underscores the versatility of the GPT-3.5 Turbo, showcasing its capability to handle textual information directly without the need for image conversion.

"Retrieve the table of contents from the **text** given below. Avoid adding extra explanations or including text not present in the content. Ensure that the provided table of contents adheres to a standard layout by removing any headers and footers"

Figure 4: A prompt to extract ToC text from the raw text of a PDF file.

3.2.2 Structuring the Table of Contents in JSON format

Extracting data from unstructured documents is always a challenge. Previously we used to have rule-based approaches to tackle such problems. However, due to the nature of the rule-based mechanism, external knowledge sources, and manpower are required. To solve such issues, NLP is always a go-to solution for everyone. Deep learning has revolutionized the NLP field and to add to its hugging face has always delivered

state-of-the-art solutions for multiple problems in NLP. We’re going to discuss one of the state-of-the-art OCR free visual document understanding called Donut and the OpenAI GPT-3.5 Turbo.

In this work, a Donut model pre-trained on the ICDAR-SROIE [35] dataset is used. The model is first pulled from the hugging face repository and fine-tuned with our dataset. We used 90% for the training set and 10% for the testing set. Two distinct types of specification documents exist in this context. The corresponding images were then annotated based on the desired JSON outputs. Following the data preparation outlined in 3.1, the data is supplied to the Donut model for the fine-tuning process.

For the OpenAI GPT-3.5 Turbo, we need to enhance the prompt design to leverage the capabilities of few-shot learning. This tex-to-text generation model takes a list of messages as input and generates a model-generated message as output. Few-shot learning involves providing the model with a small number of examples to enable it to understand and generalize from them. In our approach, we have incorporated a few-shot learning scenario by using a specific example in Figure 5. This example serves as a guiding instance for the model to learn the desired behavior. By presenting the model with an illustrative example, we enable it to grasp the context and structure required for generating the desired output. This promotes a more efficient and effective learning process, allowing the model to adapt and generalize from the provided example to similar instances in the future.

The prompt is designed to instruct the model to extract JSON data based on the provided example and adhere to the given schema. This way, the few-shot learning paradigm enhances the model’s ability to understand and respond to diverse prompts by learning from explicit examples, ultimately improving its performance in generating accurate and contextually relevant outputs.

3.2.3 API integration

After training our models and adding post-processing scripts, we exposed the pipeline using Flask API. These endpoints will be integrated with the front-end dashboard and yield the list of sections and divisions in a provided specification document.

3.2.4 Presentation – Dashboard

We developed a dashboard to showcase our work using Next.js, a React framework that provides additional features such as server-side rendering and the generation of static websites. React, a JavaScript library traditionally employed for building web applications rendered in the client’s browser with JavaScript, is at the core of Next.js. Despite its popularity, this approach poses several challenges. These include issues with users who lack access to JavaScript or have disabled it, potential security concerns, significantly prolonged page loading times, and adverse effects on the site’s overall search engine optimization. Developers have recognized these problems and seek solutions for a more inclusive and efficient web experience.

3.3 Evaluation Metrics

Assessing the effectiveness of a machine learning model requires the application of suitable evaluation metrics. In our scenario, we employ two distinct models: one designed for classification and another for organizing data within specified ToCs. The classification model’s performance is gauged using accuracy. Meanwhile, for the second model, tasked with extracting structured data, we assess accuracy by comparing predicted key information with the corresponding ground truth JSON data. Exact matches are considered correct, while discrepancies are deemed missed key information. This approach allows us to compute the accuracy of structured data generation.

3.4 Result and Discussion

In this section, we discuss the results obtained after fine-tuning the model as described in the experimental setup. We evaluated the model using the above metrics and achieved an overall accuracy of 82.2% by running it on 20 test documents and the results are shown in Figure 4. The accuracy of detecting heading numbers and titles is 90% and 81%, respectively. The accuracy for detecting subheading numbers and titles is 88% and 79%, respectively.

Your goal is to extract structured information from the user's input that matches the form described below. When extracting information please make sure it matches the type of information exactly. Do not add any attributes that do not appear in the schema shown below.

```TypeScript

```
spec: Array<{ // key information from construction specification TOC
 hn: string // first heading number
 ht: string // first heading title
 sh: Array<{> // list of second subheading title and number
 shn: string // sub-heading number
 sht: string // sub-heading title
 }>
 ...
}
```

Please output the extracted information in JSON format. Do not output anything except for the extracted information. Do not add any clarifying information. Do not add any fields that are not in the schema. If the text contains attributes that do not appear in the schema, please ignore them. All output must be in JSON format and follow the schema specified above. Wrap the JSON in `<json>` tags.

**Input:**

DIVISION 00 - CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS  
Section 00 24 13 Scope of Bids

DIVISION 01 - GENERAL REQUIREMENTS  
Section 01 11 00 Description of the Work  
Section 01 11 16 Work by Owner  
Section 01 31 00 Project Management and Administration  
Section 01 31 13 Project Coordination  
Section 01 32 16 Construction Progress Schedule  
Section 01 33 00 Submittal Procedures

**Output:**

```
<json>{"spec": [{"hn": "DIVISION 00","ht": "CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS","sh": [
{"shn": "00 24 13","sht": "Scope of Bids"}]}, {"hn": "DIVISION 01","ht": "GENERAL REQUIREMENTS",
"sh": [{"shn": "01 11 00","sht": "Description of the Work"},
{"shn": "01 31 00", "sht": "Project Management and Administration"}, {"shn": "01 11 16", "sht": "Work by Owner"},
{"shn": "01 31 13", "sht": "Project Coordination"}, {"shn": "01 32 16", "sht": "Construction Progress Schedule"},
{"shn": "01 33 00", "sht": "Submittal Procedures"}]}]}</json>
```

**Input:**

DIVISION 00 – EXIGENCES RELATIVES AUX APPROVISIONNEMENTS ET AU CONTRAT  
00 01 07 Page des sceaux et des signatures  
00 01 13 Instructions aux soumissionnaires  
00 08 00 Conditions supplémentaires

DIVISION 01 – EXIGENCES GÉNÉRALES  
01 11 00 Sommaire des travaux  
01 25 00 Garanties  
01 33 00 Documents et échantillons à soumettre  
01 33 0 1 Fiche d'identification

**Output:**

Figure 5: A prompt to extract key information based on a given example by formatting the output according to the provided schema.






Figure 6: Performance of Donut in detecting Heading number(HN), heading title(HT), subheading number(SHN), and subheading title(SHT).




Figure 7: Performance of OpenAI GPT-3.5 Turbo in detecting Heading number(HN), heading title(HT), subheading number(SHN), and subheading title(SHT).

## 4 Conclusion

Failure to accurately structure information from large documents could create massive change orders, cost overruns, and schedule delays, which can negatively impact your bottom line. Large language models and computer vision are great tools to autonomously rearrange and categorize big documents like construction specification documents which may include various information about different technical sectors like architectural and mechanical work. By using an OCR-free document AI tool and OpenAI GPT-3.5 Turbo, we achieved an end-to-end tray that serves the list of sections and divisions in any specification document in a JSON.

## 5 Future Work

To further improve the accuracy of the document extraction model, several future tasks can be pursued. One such task is to collect more comprehensive data from a wider range of sources. This data could be used to refine the model's understanding of patterns. Another potential future task is to explore the use of more advanced machine learning algorithms, such as deep learning or reinforcement learning, to enhance the model's predictive capabilities. These algorithms could enable the model to identify more complex patterns and relationships in the data and to make more accurate predictions based on these insights. Finally, ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the model's performance will be critical to ensuring its continued accuracy and relevance over time. This could involve regular updates to the model's training data and ongoing testing and validation to identify any potential weaknesses or areas for improvement. By pursuing these future tasks, the ML model can continue to evolve and improve its predictions.

## References

- [1] Teakgyu Hong, Donghyun Kim, Mingi Ji, Wonseok Hwang, Daehyun Nam, and Sungrae Park. Bros: A pre-trained language model focusing on text and layout for better key information extraction from documents. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, pages 10767–10775, 2022.
- [2] Emad Elwany, Allison Hegel, Marina Shah, Brendan Roof, Genevieve Peaslee, and Quentin Rivet. Deeperdive: The unreasonable effectiveness of weak supervision in document understanding a case study in collaboration with uipath inc. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.08000*, 2022.
- [3] Google. Document ai documentation, 2023. Last accessed 2 May 2023.
- [4] hyperscience. Document ai documentation, 2023. Last accessed 2 May 2023.
- [5] uipath. Document ai documentation, 2023. Last accessed 2 May 2023.
- [6] Filip Graliński, Tomasz Stanisławek, Anna Wróblewska, Dawid Lipiński, Agnieszka Kaliska, Paulina Rosalska, Bartosz Topolski, and Przemysław Biecek. Kleister: A novel task for information extraction involving long documents with complex layout. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.02356*, 2020.
- [7] Tomasz Stanisławek, Filip Graliński, Anna Wróblewska, Dawid Lipiński, Agnieszka Kaliska, Paulina Rosalska, Bartosz Topolski, and Przemysław Biecek. Kleister: key information extraction datasets involving long documents with complex layouts. In *Document Analysis and Recognition–ICDAR 2021: 16th International Conference, Lausanne, Switzerland, September 5–10, 2021, Proceedings, Part I*, pages 564–579. Springer, 2021.
- [8] Weihong Lin, Qifang Gao, Lei Sun, Zhuoyao Zhong, Kai Hu, Qin Ren, and Qiang Huo. Vibertgrid: a jointly trained multi-modal 2d document representation for key information extraction from documents. In *Document Analysis and Recognition–ICDAR 2021: 16th International Conference, Lausanne, Switzerland, September 5–10, 2021, Proceedings, Part I* 16, pages 548–563. Springer, 2021.
- [9] Cagri Sayallar, Ahmet Sayar, and Nurcan Babalik. An ocr engine for printed receipt images using deep learning techniques. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 14(2), 2023.
- [10] Jørgen Burchardt. Are searches in ocr-generated archives trustworthy? an analysis of digital newspaper archives. *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftsgeschichte/Economic History Yearbook*, 64(1):31–54, 2023.
- [11] Aparna Manjunath Akanksh, Manjunath Sudhakar Nayak, Santhanam Nishith, Satish Nitin Pandit, Shreyas Sunkad, Pratiba Deenadhayalan, and Shobha Gangadhara. Automated invoice data extraction using image processing. *IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, 12(2):514, 2023.

- [12] Chenxia Li, Ruoyu Guo, Jun Zhou, Mengtao An, Yuning Du, Lingfeng Zhu, Yi Liu, Xiaoguang Hu, and Dianhai Yu. Pp-structurev2: A stronger document analysis system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.05391*, 2022.
- [13] Thibault Douzon, Stefan Duffner, Christophe Garcia, and Jérémy Espinas. Improving information extraction on business documents with specific pre-training tasks. In *Document Analysis Systems: 15th IAPR International Workshop, DAS 2022, La Rochelle, France, May 22–25, 2022, Proceedings*, pages 111–125. Springer, 2022.
- [14] Felix Krieger, Paul Drews, and Burkhardt Funk. Automated invoice processing: Machine learning-based information extraction for long tail suppliers. *Available at SSRN 4386107*.
- [15] Mohamed Dhoub, Ghassen Bettaieb, and Aymen Shabou. Docparser: End-to-end ocr-free information extraction from visually rich documents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12484*, 2023.
- [16] Geewook Kim, Teakgyu Hong, Moonbin Yim, JeongYeon Nam, Jinyoung Park, Jinyeong Yim, Wonseok Hwang, Sangdoo Yun, Dongyoon Han, and Seunghyun Park. Ocr-free document understanding transformer. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXVIII*, pages 498–517. Springer, 2022.
- [17] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [18] Yuning Du, Chenxia Li, Ruoyu Guo, Xiaoting Yin, Weiwei Liu, Jun Zhou, Yifan Bai, Zilin Yu, Yehua Yang, Qingqing Dang, et al. Pp-ocr: A practical ultra lightweight ocr system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.09941*, 2020.
- [19] Chenxia Li, Weiwei Liu, Ruoyu Guo, Xiaoting Yin, Kaitao Jiang, Yongkun Du, Yuning Du, Lingfeng Zhu, Baohua Lai, Xiaoguang Hu, et al. Pp-ocrv3: More attempts for the improvement of ultra lightweight ocr system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.03001*, 2022.
- [20] Yiheng Xu, Minghao Li, Lei Cui, Shaohan Huang, Furu Wei, and Ming Zhou. Layoutlm: Pre-training of text and layout for document image understanding. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 1192–1200, 2020.
- [21] Adnan Ul-Hasan, Syed Saqib Bukhari, Faisal Shafait, and Thomas M Breuel. Ocr-free table of contents detection in urdu books. In *2012 10th IAPR International Workshop on Document Analysis Systems*, pages 404–408. IEEE, 2012.
- [22] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
- [23] Mohammad Shoeybi, Mostofa Patwary, Raul Puri, Patrick LeGresley, Jared Casper, and Bryan Catanzaro. Megatron-lm: Training multi-billion parameter language models using model parallelism. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08053*, 2019.
- [24] Shreekanth Mandvikar. Augmenting intelligent document processing (idp) workflows with contemporary large language models (llms). *International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology*, 71(10):80–91, 2023.
- [25] Lei Huang, Weijiang Yu, Weitao Ma, Weihong Zhong, Zhangyin Feng, Haotian Wang, Qianglong Chen, Weihua Peng, Xiaocheng Feng, Bing Qin, et al. A survey on hallucination in large language models: Principles, taxonomy, challenges, and open questions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.05232*, 2023.
- [26] Muhammad Usman Hadi, Rizwan Qureshi, Abbas Shah, Muhammad Irfan, Anas Zafar, Muhammad Bilal Shaikh, Naveed Akhtar, Jia Wu, Seyedali Mirjalili, et al. A survey on large language models: Applications, challenges, limitations, and practical usage. *Authorea Preprints*, 2023.
- [27] Akari Asai, Zeqiu Wu, Yizhong Wang, Avirup Sil, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Self-rag: Learning to retrieve, generate, and critique through self-reflection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.11511*, 2023.
- [28] Linyao Yang, Hongyang Chen, Zhao Li, Xiao Ding, and Xindong Wu. Chatgpt is not enough: Enhancing large language models with knowledge graphs for fact-aware language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11489*, 2023.
- [29] Cheonsu Jeong. A study on the implementation of generative ai services using an enterprise data-based llm application architecture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.01105*, 2023.
- [30] CS Krishna. Prompt generate train (pgt): A framework for few-shot domain adaptation, alignment, and uncertainty calibration of a retriever augmented generation (rag) model for domain specific open book question-answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.05915*, 2023.

- [31] Reza Yousefi Maragheh, Chenhao Fang, Charan Chand Irugu, Parth Parikh, Jason Cho, Jianpeng Xu, Saranyan Sukumar, Malay Patel, Evren Korpeoglu, Sushant Kumar, et al. Llm-take: Theme-aware keyword extraction using large language models. pages 4318–4324, 2023.
- [32] Derong Xu, Wei Chen, Wenjun Peng, Chao Zhang, Tong Xu, Xiangyu Zhao, Xian Wu, Yefeng Zheng, and Enhong Chen. Large language models for generative information extraction: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.17617*, 2023.
- [33] Adam W Harley, Alex Ufkes, and Konstantinos G Derpanis. Evaluation of deep convolutional nets for document image classification and retrieval. In *2015 13th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR)*, pages 991–995. IEEE, 2015.
- [34] Stefan Larson, Gordon Lim, and Kevin Leach. On evaluation of document classifiers using rvl-cdip. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 2657–2670, 2023.
- [35] Zheng Huang, Kai Chen, Jianhua He, Xiang Bai, Dimosthenis Karatzas, Shijian Lu, and CV Jawahar. Icdar2019 competition on scanned receipt ocr and information extraction. In *2019 International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR)*, pages 1516–1520. IEEE, 2019.