BOURGAIN'S COUNTEREXAMPLE IN THE SEQUENTIAL CONVERGENCE PROBLEM FOR THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

CHU-HEE CHO AND DANIEL ECEIZABARRENA

ABSTRACT. We study the problem of pointwise convegence for the Schrödinger operator on \mathbb{R}^n along time sequences. We show that the sharp counterexample to the sequential Schrödinger maximal estimate given recently by Li, Wang and Yan based in the construction by Lucà and Rogers can also be achieved with the construction of Bourgain, and we extend it to the fractal setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Schrödinger operator

$$e^{it\Delta}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\xi) \, e^{2\pi i (x \cdot \xi + t|\xi|^2)} \, d\xi$$

gives the solution to the free Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{R}^n with initial datum f. In 1980, Carleson [4] asked for the smallest Sobolev regularity s such that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} e^{it\Delta} f = f \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad \text{for all } f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This problem is now solved; the critical Sobolev exponent is n/(2(n+1)) [4, 6, 3, 8, 10]. Interesting variations of this problem have been studied since then. In this note we focus on two of them: the fractal and the sequential problems.

In the fractal problem, the convergence property is strengthened to \mathcal{H}^{α} -a.e., where $\alpha \leq n$ is given and \mathcal{H}^{α} is the α -Hausdorff measure. That is, we look for

$$s_c(\alpha) = \inf\{s \ge 0 : \lim_{t \to 0} e^{it\Delta} f = f \quad \mathcal{H}^{\alpha} \text{- a.e., } \forall f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \}.$$

Žubrinić [17] and Barceló et al. [2] showed $s_c(\alpha) = (n - \alpha)/2$ when $0 < \alpha \le n/2$, but the problem is open when $n/2 < \alpha \le n$. The best results are the upper bound

$$s_c(\alpha) \le \frac{n}{2(n+1)} + \frac{n}{2(n+1)}(n-\alpha), \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{n+1}{2} \le \alpha \le n$$

by Du–Zhang [10], and a sawtooth-like lower bound¹ $s_{\text{E-PV}}$ by Eceizabarrena– Ponce-Vanegas [11, Theorem 1.1] that recently improved the previous best lower bound by Lucà–Rogers [15] and Lucà–Ponce-Vanegas [14]:

(1.1)
$$\frac{n}{2(n+1)} + \frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}(n-\alpha) \le s_{\text{E-PV}}(\alpha) \le s_c(\alpha)$$

Date: March 13, 2024.

¹We refer to [11, Theorem 1.1] for the explicit expression of this exponent.

In the sequential problem, given a sequence of times $t_k \to 0$ in a class T that measures its speed of convergence, we look for the smallest s such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} e^{it_k \Delta} f = f \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \text{for all } (t_k) \in T, \qquad \text{for all } f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In [7], Dimou and Seeger characterized this regularity for n = 1 in terms of Lorentz spaces $T = \ell^{r,\infty}$, which for $0 < r < \infty$ are defined by

$$(t_k) \in \ell^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{N}) \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^r \# \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |t_k| \ge \delta\} < \infty.$$

Denoting the corresponding critical exponent by

$$s_c(\ell^{r,\infty}) = \inf\{s \ge 0 : \lim_{k \to \infty} e^{it_k \Delta} f = f \quad \text{a.e., } \forall (t_k) \in \ell^{r,\infty}, \ \forall f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

we now know from [7, 5, 13] that

(1.2)
$$s_c(\ell^{r,\infty}) = \min\left(\frac{n}{2(n+1)}, \frac{rn}{r(n+1)+n}\right)$$

which shows a non-trivial change of behavior at r = n/(n+1).

In this paper we work with the combination of these two problems and the corresponding fractal sequential exponent (1.3)

$$s_c(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) = \inf\{s \ge 0 : \lim_{k \to \infty} e^{it_k \Delta} f = f \quad \mathcal{H}^{\alpha} \text{-a.e.}, \, \forall (t_k) \in \ell^{r,\infty}, \, \forall f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

By standard arguments, upper bounds for (1.3) follow from maximal estimates (1.4)

$$\int_{B(0,1)} \sup_{k} |e^{it_k \Delta} f(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \lesssim C_{\mu} ||f||_{H^s}^2, \quad \forall (t_k) \in \ell^{r,\infty}, \quad \forall f \in H^s, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha},$$

where \mathcal{M}_{α} is the set of α -dimensional measures, that is, non-negative Borel measures for which there is a constant C_{μ} such that

(1.5)
$$\mu(B(x,r)) \le C_{\mu}r^{\alpha}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad r > 0.$$

Let us denote the critical Sobolev exponent for the fractal sequential maximal estimate by

$$s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) = \inf\{s \ge 0 : (1.4) \text{ holds }\},\$$

and by $s_c^{\max}(\alpha)$ we denote the exponent for the non-sequential maximal estimate, where the supremum in (1.4) is taken over $t \in (0, 1)$ instead of over a sequence t_k .

In [5], Cho, Ko, Koh and Lee proved

$$(1.6) \ s_c^{\max}(\alpha) \le \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + s^* \quad \Longrightarrow \quad s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \le \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \min\left(s^*, \frac{2rs^*}{r+2s^*}\right).$$

With the upper bounds known for $s_c^{\max}(\alpha)$, one gets the following positive result:

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \ge 1$, $0 \le \alpha \le n$ and $0 < r < \infty$. Then,

• If $\alpha \leq n/2$, then

$$s_c^{max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) = \frac{n-\alpha}{2}.$$

• If $n/2 < \alpha \le (n+1)/2$, then

$$s_c^{max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \le \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \min\left(\frac{2\alpha-n}{4}, \frac{r(2\alpha-n)}{2r+2\alpha-n}\right).$$

BOURGAIN'S COUNTEREXAMPLE FOR THE SEQUENTIAL CONVERGENCE

3

• If $\alpha \geq (n+1)/2$, then

$$s_c^{max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \le \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \min\left(\frac{\alpha}{2(n+1)}, \frac{r\alpha}{r(n+1)+\alpha}\right)$$

We briefly explain in Section 2 how to prove this combining (1.6) with the results by Zubrinić [17], Barceló et al [2], and Du and Zhang [10]. Observe that when $\alpha \ge (n+1)/2$,

$$r < \frac{\alpha}{n+1} \implies s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \le \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r\alpha}{(n+1)r+\alpha},$$

improving the upper bound by Du-Zhang. However, there is no improvement if

$$r \geq \frac{\alpha}{n+1} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad s_c^{\max}(\alpha,\ell^{r,\infty}) \leq \frac{n}{2(n+1)}(n+1-\alpha).$$

When $n/2 \le \alpha \le (n+1)/2$, we improve the known n/4 when $r < (2\alpha - n)/2$.

Regarding lower bounds, when $\alpha = n$ we know the optimal (1.2). In this note we give the first lower bounds for $s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty})$ when $\alpha < n$.

In n = 1, the typical single wave-packet argument gives:

Theorem 1.2. Let n = 1 and $1/2 \le \alpha \le 1$. Then,

$$s_c^{max}(\alpha, \ell^{r, \infty}) \geq \frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \min\Big(\frac{2\alpha - 1}{4}, \frac{r(2\alpha - 1)}{2r + \alpha}\Big).$$

Remark 1.3. Together with Theorem 1.1, this theorem implies:

(1) If $1/2 \le \alpha \le 2r$, then $s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) = 1/4$. (2) If $2r \le \alpha \le r + 1/2$, then $\frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha - 1)}{2r + \alpha} \le s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \le \frac{1}{4}.$ (3) If $r + 1/2 \le \alpha \le 1$, then

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha - 1)}{2r + \alpha} \le s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r, \infty}) \le \frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha - 1)}{2r + 2\alpha - 1}.$$

In particular, the critical exponent is 1/4 if $r \ge 1/2$.



FIGURE 1. Upper (blue) and lower (red) bounds when n = 1.

When $n \ge 2$, to get (1.2) Li, Wang and Yan [13] adapted the ergodic counterexample of Lucà and Rogers [15]. We show here that the same result can also be obtained via Bourgain's argument in [3], and we generalize it to the fractal setting.

Theorem 1.4. Let $n \geq 2$.

(1) If $n-1 \le \alpha \le n$,

(1.7)
$$s_c^{max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \ge \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \min\left(\frac{2\alpha-n}{2(n+1)}, \frac{r(2\alpha-n)}{r(n+1)+2\alpha-n}\right).$$

(2) If
$$n/2 < \alpha \le n-1$$
,

(1.8)
$$s_c^{max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \ge \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \min\left(\frac{2\alpha-n}{2(n+1)}, \frac{r\alpha}{r(n+1)+n}\right).$$

Remark 1.5. (1) The exponent in (1.7) is larger than the one in (1.8) due to a better scaling argument in the range $n - 1 \le \alpha \le n$.

- (2) When $r \ge (2\alpha n)/(n + 1)$, in both cases the exponent is the same as the one in (1.1) by Lucà-Rogers and Lucà-Ponce-Vanegas. The exponents are new when $r < (2\alpha n)/(n + 1)$.
- (3) The exponent $s_{\text{E-PV}}$ in (1.1) was obtained in [11] by generalizing Bourgain's counterexample with an *intermediate space trick* from [9]. Combining our strategy in this note with this intermediate space trick should give a better lower bound, but it will require heavy computations. We prefer to keep this note short to highlight the ideas of our construction, so we will not pursue this further here.



FIGURE 2. Comparison of the two lower bounds in Theorem 1.4.

Notation. $A \leq B$ denotes $A \leq CB$ for a constant C > 0. We write $A \simeq B$ when $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$, we denote the infimum of C_{μ} in (1.5) by $\langle \mu \rangle_{\alpha}$.

2. Brief explanation of Theorem 1.1

Theorem 1.1 directly follows from the transference result (1.6) proved by Cho et al., which we reproduce here for convenience of the reader:

$$(2.1) \quad s_c^{\max}(\alpha) \le \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + s^* \quad \Longrightarrow \quad s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \le \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \min\left(s^*, \frac{2rs^*}{r+2s^*}\right).$$

If $\alpha \leq n/2$, by Barceló et al. [2] (2.1) holds with $s^* = 0$. Together with the result by Žubrinić [17], this directly implies that

$$s_c(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) = s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) = \frac{n-\alpha}{2}, \quad \forall r > 0.$$

When $n/2 \leq \alpha \leq (n+1)/2$, the bound by Barceló et al. [2] and the fact that $s_c^{\max}(\alpha)$ is decreasing imply that $s_c^{\max}(\alpha) \leq n/4$. Hence, (2.1) holds with $s^* = (2\alpha - n)/4$, so

$$s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \le \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \min\left(\frac{2\alpha-n}{4}, \frac{r(2\alpha-n)}{2r+2\alpha-n}\right)$$
$$= \min\left(\frac{n}{4}, \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha-n)}{2r+2\alpha-n}\right),$$

which gives an improvement if $r \leq (2\alpha - n)/2$. Finally, by Du-Zhang [10], when $\alpha \geq (n+1)/2$ we get (2.1) with $s^* = \alpha/(2(n+1))$, which implies

$$s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \le \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \min\left(\frac{\alpha}{2(n+1)}, \frac{r\alpha}{r(n+1)+\alpha}\right).$$

This improves the Du-Zhang upper bound if $r \leq \alpha/(n+1)$.

3. Proof of theorem 1.4 - Dimensions $n\geq 2$

Let $R \gg 1$ be the main parameter. We will construct a sequence of functions f_R and measures μ_R to disprove the maximal estimate (1.4). Let S, D be auxiliary parameters with $1 \leq S \leq R$ and $1 \leq D \leq R$, both of which are powers of R that we determine later.

Let ϕ be a smooth function² with supp $\hat{\phi} \subset B_1(0)$ and $\phi(0) = 1$. Let ψ be a positive smooth function with support in $1/2 \leq |x| \leq 1$. Define f_R by

$$\widehat{f}_R(\xi) = \widehat{g}_R(\xi_1) \,\widehat{h}_R(\xi'), \qquad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

where

(3.1)
$$\widehat{g}_R(\xi_1) = \frac{1}{S} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\xi_1 - R}{S}\right), \qquad \widehat{h}_R(\xi') = \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \psi\left(\frac{D}{R}m'\right) \widehat{\phi}(\xi' - Dm').$$

It follows that $||g_R||_2 \sim S^{-1/2}$ and $||h_R||_2 \sim (R/D)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, so

(3.2)
$$||f_R|| = ||g_R||_2 ||h_R||_2 \sim \frac{1}{S^{1/2}} \left(\frac{R}{D}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

To study the evolution of f_R , we do so for g_R and h_R separately. For $x_1 \in [0, 1]$,

$$e^{it\Delta}g_R(x_1) = \int \frac{1}{S} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\xi_1 - R}{S}\right) e^{2\pi i (x_1\xi_1 + t\xi_1^2)} d\xi_1$$

= $e^{2\pi i (x_1R + tR^2)} \int \hat{\phi}(\eta_1) e^{2\pi i (S\eta_1(x_1 + 2Rt) + tS^2\eta_1^2)} d\eta_1$

where we changed variables $\xi_1 = R + S\eta_1$. For c > 0 be small enough,

(3.3)
$$S|x_1 + 2Rt| \le c, \quad S^2t \le c \implies |e^{it\Delta}g_R(x_1)| \simeq 1.$$

²With a small abuse of notation, ϕ and ψ may represent functions both in \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

On the other hand, for $x' \in [0,1]^{n-1}$ we write

$$(3.4) e^{it\Delta}h_R(x') = \sum_{m'\in\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}}\psi\left(\frac{D}{R}m'\right)\int\widehat{\phi}(\xi'-Dm')\,e^{2\pi i(x'\cdot\xi'+t|\xi'|^2)}\,d\xi' = \sum_{m'\in\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}}\psi\left(\frac{D}{R}m'\right)e^{2\pi i(Dx'\cdot m'+tD^2|m'|^2)}\int\widehat{\phi}(\eta')e^{2\pi i(\eta'\cdot(x'+2tDm')+t|\eta'|^2)}\,d\eta'.$$

Since $|\eta'| \leq 1$ and $D|m'| \simeq R$, we ask

to make the phase inside the integral small. Simultaneously, we set

(3.6)
$$D^2 t = \frac{p_1}{q}, \quad Dx_i = \frac{p_i}{q} + \epsilon, \quad i = 2, \dots, n$$

with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $gcd(p_1, q) = 1$ and $|\epsilon| \leq cD/R$. Conditions (3.5) and (3.6) allow us to estimate the evolution (3.4) by a Gauss sum, that is,

(3.7)
$$|e^{it\Delta}h_R(x')| \simeq \Big| \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \psi\Big(\frac{D}{R}m'\Big) e^{2\pi i \frac{p' \cdot m' + p_1 |m'|^2}{q}} e^{2\pi i \epsilon \cdot m'}$$

(3.8)
$$\simeq \prod_{i=2}^{n} \left(\frac{R}{Dq}\right) \Big| \sum_{m_i=1}^{q} e^{2\pi i \frac{p_i m_i + p_1 m_i^2}{q}} \Big|$$

where $p' = (p_2, \dots, p_n)$ and, slightly abusing notation again, $\epsilon = (\epsilon, \dots, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. By properly choosing p and q (for example, choosing q odd or, alternatively, q even and $p_i \equiv q/2 \pmod{2}$), each Gauss sum has size \sqrt{q} , so

(3.9)
$$|e^{it\Delta}h_R(x')| \simeq \left(\frac{R}{Dq}\sqrt{q}\right)^{n-1} = \left(\frac{R}{D\sqrt{q}}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Altogether³, combining (3.2), (3.3) and (3.9) we get

$$\frac{|e^{it\Delta}f_R(x)|}{\|f_R\|_2} \simeq S^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{Dq}\right)^{(n-1)/2}$$

Let us now discuss the conditions we imposed in (3.3), (3.5), (3.6). First, rewrite the second condition in (3.6) and $\epsilon \leq cD/R$ as

$$x' \in B_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{D}\frac{p'}{q}, \frac{c}{R}\right).$$

On the other hand, from the first conditions in (3.3) and (3.6) we get

$$x_1 \in B_1\left(\frac{R}{D^2}\frac{p_1}{q}, \frac{c}{S}\right)$$

Hence, for a new parameter Q (also a power of R to be determined later), let

(3.10)
$$X_R = \bigcup_{q \simeq Q} \bigcup_p B_1\left(\frac{R}{D^2}\frac{p_1}{q}, \frac{c}{S}\right) \times B_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{D}\frac{p'}{q}, \frac{c}{R}\right) \subset [0, 1]^n,$$

³For a detailed proof of estimates (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we refer to [12, Section 3.1] or [16].

where $q \simeq Q$ means $Q/2 \leq q \leq Q$, possibly with additional restrictions that do not alter substantially the number of q considered⁴. Regarding t, (3.3) and (3.5) imply

$$t \le c \, \min\left(\frac{1}{S^2}, \frac{1}{R}\right).$$

This condition determines two regimes, depending on $S \leq R^{1/2}$ or not. In view of the condition $S|x+2Rt| \leq c$ in (3.3), it affects the set X_R :

- (i) When S ≤ R^{1/2}, then t ≤ 1/R so all |x₁| ≤ 1 are allowed. This is the situation of the counterexample in Bourgain's article [3].
 (ii) When R^{1/2} ≪ S ≤ R, then t ≤ 1/S² ≪ 1/R, so

$$(3.11) \quad t \lesssim \frac{1}{S^2} \ll \frac{1}{R} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |x_1| \le \frac{n}{S^2} \ll 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad X_R \subset [0, R/S^2] \times [0, 1]^{n-1}.$$

This situation is the analogue to the one in Li–Wang–Yan [13] to give the optimal counterexample for the sequential convergence.

From now on, we focus on case (ii) above and assume that $R^{1/2} \leq S \leq R$. In particular, from $D^2 t = p_1/q \lesssim D^2/S^2$ and $|x'| \leq c$ we get the restrictions

$$p_1 \lesssim \frac{qD^2}{S^2}, \qquad p' \lesssim Dq.$$

Gathering it all, we have shown that (3.12)

$$x \in X_R \implies \exists t(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{D^2Q}, \frac{1}{S^2}\right] : \frac{|e^{it(x)\Delta}f_R(x)|}{\|f_R\|_2} \simeq S^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{DQ}\right)^{(n-1)/2}$$

3.1. Lebesgue measure of X_R . Let us choose the parameters S, D, Q in such a way that X_R has the largest possible measure. In view of (3.11), we aim for $|X_R| \simeq R/S^2$. We first argue heuristically:

Heuristic argument 1. It is a consequence of Minkowski's theorem that if $a_1, \ldots, a_n \geq 1$ are such that $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = n + 1$, then any $x \in [0, 1]^n$ can be approximated as

$$\left|x_i - \frac{p_i}{q}\right| \le \frac{1}{q^{a_i}}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If we rescale X_R in (3.10) to balls centered at rationals and write the radii as q^{-a_i} , we get

$$\frac{D^2}{RS} \simeq \frac{1}{Q^{a_1}}, \qquad \frac{D}{R} \simeq \frac{1}{Q^{a_i}}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, asking the Minkowski condition $n+1 = a_1 + \ldots + a_n = a_1 + (n-1)a_2$,

$$\frac{1}{Q^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{Q^{a_1} Q^{(n-1)a_2}} = \frac{D^2}{RS} \left(\frac{D}{R}\right)^{n-1} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad (DQ)^{n+1} \simeq S R^n.$$

Heuristic argument 2. A simpler (but more heuristic) argument is to assume that the union (3.10) of X_R is disjoint, so that

$$|X_R| \simeq Q \cdot \frac{D^2 Q}{S^2} \cdot (DQ)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{S} \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \sim \frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{S^3 R^{n-1}}.$$

The condition that we impose is then

(3.13)
$$\frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{S^3 R^{n-1}} \simeq \frac{R}{S^2} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad (DQ)^{n+1} \simeq SR^n.$$

⁴For example, parity conditions, or imposing q to be prime.

These heuristics can be made rigorous using Lemma A.1 in Appendix A. Define the dilation $T(x_1, x') = ((D^2/R)x_1, Dx')$, so that $|T(X_R)| = (D^2/R)D^{n-1}|X_R|$. The set $T(X_R)$ has a 1-periodic structure and it is made of $(D^2/S^2)D^{n-1}$ disjoint translations of the set

$$\widetilde{X}_R = \bigcup_{q \sim Q} \bigcup_{p=1}^q B_1\left(\frac{p_1}{q}, c\frac{D^2}{RS}\right) \times B_{n-1}\left(\frac{p'}{q}, c\frac{D}{R}\right).$$

This means that $(D^2/S^2)D^{n-1}|\widetilde{X}_R| = |T(X_R)| = (D^2/R)D^{n-1}|X_R|$, so

$$(3.14) |X_R| = |\widetilde{X}_R| \frac{R}{S^2}$$

Now, calling $h_1(Q) = D^2/(RS)$ and $h_2(Q) = D/R$, Lemma A.1 implies

$$(QD)^{n+1} \simeq SR^n \implies Q^{n+1}h_1(Q)h_2(Q)^{n-1} \simeq 1 \implies |\widetilde{X}_R| \simeq 1,$$

and therefore

$$(3.15) |X_R| \simeq R/S^2.$$

Remark 3.1. In Bourgain's counterexample, in which $S \leq R^{1/2}$, one gets $|X_R| \simeq 1$ for all R. From it, one can explicitly construct a counterexample to the convergence problem by working with the set of dicergence $X = \limsup_R X_R$ with positive measure $|X| \simeq 1$. In this case, however, from (3.11) it is clear that $X = \limsup_R X_R \subset \{0\} \times [0,1]^{n-1}$, so in particular it has measure |X| = 0. Even worse, $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \leq n-1$. So even if this disproves the maximal estimate, it is more challenging to explicitly construct a counterexample to the convergence problem, at least when $n-1 < \alpha \leq n$; we will not pursue this issue in this note.

3.2. Sequence of times in $\ell^{r,\infty}$. The times t we selected in (3.6) belong in

$$T = \bigcup_{R} T_{R}, \quad \text{where} \quad T_{R} = \left\{ \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{p_{1}}{q} : q \simeq Q, \ 1 \le p_{1} \le \frac{D^{2}q}{S^{2}} \right\}.$$

Observe first that $T_R \subset [1/(D^2Q), 1/S^2]$. To make all these intervals disjoint, we select a sequence of dyadic R_n such that $1/S_{n+1}^2 \ll 1/(D_n^2Q_n)$. This way, we can treat the set of times T as a sequence that tends to zero. By choosing S, D, Q properly, we will make it belong in $\ell^{r,\infty}$. For that, we ask

(3.16)
$$\delta^r \# \{ t : \delta < t < 2\delta \} \lesssim 1, \quad \text{for every } \delta > 0.$$

Suppose $1/S_{n+1}^2 \leq \delta \leq 1/S_n^2$. Only the case $1/(2D_n^2Q_n) \leq \delta \leq 1/S_n^2$ is relevant, since the set in (3.16) is empty otherwise. Then,

$$\delta^r \#\{n : \delta < t_n < 2\delta\} \le \delta^r \cdot \delta D^2 Q \cdot Q \le \frac{(DQ)^2}{S^{2(1+r)}}.$$

Hence,

$$(3.17) DQ \lesssim S^{1+r} \implies (t_n) \in \ell^{r,\infty}.$$

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4 when $\alpha = n$. For the sequence $(t_n) \in \ell^{r,\infty}$ that we built, by (3.12) and (3.15) we get

(3.18)
$$\frac{\|\sup_{n} |e^{it_{n}\Delta}f_{R}|\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}}{\|f_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim S^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{DQ}\right)^{(n-1)/2} |X_{R}|^{1/2} \simeq \left(\frac{R^{n}}{S(DQ)^{n-1}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Maximizing this quantity with the restrictions (3.13) and (3.17), we get

$$S = R^{\frac{n}{r(n+1)+n}}, \quad DQ = S^{1+r} \implies \frac{\|\sup_{R} |e^{it_{R}\Delta}f_{R}|\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}}{\|f_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim R^{\frac{rn}{r(n+1)+n}}.$$

which disproves the maximal estimate when $s = rn/(r(n+1)+n) - \epsilon$ for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$. Observe that the choice $S \ge R^{1/2}$ makes this argument be valid only for

$$R^{\frac{n}{r(n+1)+n}} \ge R^{1/2} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad r \le \frac{n}{n+1}.$$

3.4. Heuristics for the fractal case $\alpha < n$. Let μ be an α -dimensional measure. To disprove the fractal maximal estimate, we bound like in (3.18), except now

(3.19)
$$\frac{\|\sup_{n} |e^{it_{n}\Delta}f_{R}|\|_{L^{2}(d\mu)}}{\|f_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim S^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{DQ}\right)^{(n-1)/2} \mu(X_{R})^{1/2}.$$

To make $\mu(X_R)$ the largest possible, a heuristic argument is to think of μ as the Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^{α} . Then, recalling $X_R \subset [0, R/S^2] \times [0, 1]^{n-1}$, we make X_R scale like $[0, R/S^2] \times [0, 1]^{n-1}$ when measured with \mathcal{H}^{α} . First, mimicking **Heuristic Argument 2** in Section 3.1, assume that the balls in X_R are disjoint so that

$$\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(X_R) \simeq Q \cdot \frac{D^2 Q}{S^2} \cdot (DQ)^{n-1} \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\alpha} \Big(B_1 \Big(\frac{1}{S} \Big) \times B_{n-1} \Big(\frac{1}{R} \Big) \Big)$$

$$(3.20) \qquad \simeq \frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{S^2} \frac{R}{S} \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\alpha} \Big(B_n \Big(\frac{1}{R} \Big) \Big)$$

$$\simeq \frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{S^2} \frac{R}{S} \cdot \frac{1}{R^{\alpha}} \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(B_n(1)),$$

where we split the rectangle $B_1(1/S) \times B_{n-1}(1/R)$ into R/S copies of $B_n(1/R)$. For the rectangle $[0, R/S^2] \times [0, 1]^{n-1}$ we argue in two ways:

Scaling 1 As in (3.20), split the rectangle in small cubes of size R/S^2 :

(3.21)
$$\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0, R/S^2] \times [0, 1]^{n-1}) \simeq \left(\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}\left(B_n(R/S^2)\right) \\\simeq \left(\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{n-\alpha-1} \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(B_n(1)).$$

Scaling 2 Complete the rectangle to $[0, 1]^n$ to get the same scaling as for the Lebesgue measure:

(3.22)
$$\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0, R/S^2] \times [0, 1]^{n-1}) \simeq \frac{R}{S^2} \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0, 1]^n).$$

Scaling 1 will give a better result, but it will only work when $\alpha \ge n-1$. When $n/2 \le \alpha \le n-1$ we will use Scaling 2.

Remark 3.2. That **Scaling 1** works only for $\alpha \ge n-1$ is related to the fact that $(S^2/R)^{n-\alpha-1} \to \infty$ when $\alpha < n-1$. Ultimately, this is a consequence of

$$X_R \subset [0, R/S^2] \times [0, 1]^{n-1} \to \{0\} \times [0, 1]^{n-1}$$
 as $R \to \infty$,

as mentioned in Remark 3.1.

We compute both cases by equaling (3.20) to either (3.21) or (3.22).

3.4.1. Computations for Scaling 1. We equate (3.20) and (3.21) to get

$$(3.23) \qquad \frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{SR^{\alpha}} \frac{R}{S^2} = \left(\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{n-\alpha-1} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{SR^n} = \left(\frac{S}{R}\right)^{2(n-\alpha)}$$

This restriction with the unchanged $\ell^{r,\infty}$ condition in (3.17) determines

(3.24)
$$S = R^{\frac{2\alpha - n}{r(n+1) + 2\alpha - n}}, \quad DQ = S^{1+r}$$

Hence, plugging the heuristic (3.20) into (3.19) and applying (3.24), we get

(3.25)
$$\frac{\|\sup_{n} |e^{it_{n}\Delta}f_{R}|\|_{L^{2}(d\mu)}}{\|f_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim R^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}}S^{r} \simeq R^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha-n)}{r(n+1)+2\alpha-n}},$$

which is the exponent in Theorem 1.4 (1). Moreover, $S \ge R^{1/2}$ and (3.24) require

$$\frac{2\alpha - n}{r(n+1) + 2\alpha - n} \ge \frac{1}{2} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad r \le \frac{2\alpha - n}{n+1}.$$

3.4.2. Computations for Scaling 2. In this case we equate (3.20) and (3.22) to get

(3.26)
$$\frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{SR^{\alpha}}\frac{R}{S^2} = \frac{R}{S^2} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad (DQ)^{n+1} = SR^{\alpha}$$

Again, the unchanged $\ell^{r,\infty}$ condition in (3.17) with (3.26) determines

(3.27)
$$S = R^{\frac{\alpha}{r(n+1)+n}}, \quad DQ = S^{1+r}$$

Plugging the heuristic (3.20) into (3.19), and applying (3.26), we get

$$\frac{\|\sup_n |e^{it_n\Delta} f_R|\|_{L^2(d\mu)}}{\|f_R\|_2} \gtrsim R^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} S^r \simeq R^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r\alpha}{r(n+1)+n}},$$

which is the exponent in Theorem 1.4 (2). The condition $S \ge R^{1/2}$ with (3.27) requires the same condition

$$\frac{\alpha}{r(n+1)+n} \ge \frac{1}{2} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad r \le \frac{2\alpha - n}{n+1}$$

3.5. Preparing the rigorous proof of Theorem 1.4. To make the heuristics above rigorous, we will define a measure μ_R supported on X_R . Following the ideas in [12], we first redefine the parameters S, D, Q by

(3.28)
$$R^A = DQ, \qquad R^B = \frac{D^2 Q}{R}, \qquad R^\sigma = S,$$

which is unmade by

$$D = R^{1+B-A}, \qquad Q = R^{2A-B-1}.$$

The condition $S \ge R^{1/2}$, the elementary $Q \ge 1$, and forcing the rectangles in each $q \simeq Q$ level of X_R to be disjoint, impose the basic restrictions

$$\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \le 1, \qquad 0 \le A \le 1, \qquad 0 \le B \le \sigma, \qquad 2A \ge B+1.$$



FIGURE 3. Region for the parameters A, B defined in (3.28) for a fixed $\sigma \in [1/2, 1]$.

3.6. Proof using Scaling 1. From (3.23) and (3.24) we set

(3.29)
$$\frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{SR^n} = \left(\frac{S}{R}\right)^{2(n-\alpha)}, \qquad DQ = S^{1+r}.$$

This is rewritten in terms of A and σ as

(3.30)
$$A(n+1) = 2\alpha - n + 2\sigma(n - \alpha + 1/2), \qquad A = \sigma(1+r),$$

which are independent⁵ of B. The first condition in (3.30) can be rewritten as

(3.31)
$$A(n+1) = \alpha + \sigma + (2\sigma - 1)(n - \alpha)$$

$$(3.32) \qquad \qquad = n + \sigma - 2(1 - \sigma)(n - \alpha).$$

Based on (3.21), define the measure

(3.33)
$$\mu_R(A) = \frac{|A \cap X_R|}{|X_R|} \left(\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{n-1-\alpha}$$

so that $\mu(X_R) = (S^2/R)^{n-1-\alpha}$. Since the computations in Section 3.4.1 give the desired Sobolev exponents, it suffices to prove that μ_R is an α dimensional measure. We first simplify the expression for μ_R . From (3.14), $|X_R| = |\tilde{X}_R|R/S^2$. Now,

(3.34)
$$Q^{n+1}h_1(Q)h_2(Q)^{n-1} = \frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{SR^n} = \left(\frac{S}{R}\right)^{2(n-\alpha)} \ll 1$$

because of (3.29), so Lemma A.1 implies (3.35)

$$|\widetilde{X}_R| \simeq Q^{n+1} h_1(Q) h_2(Q)^{n-1} \simeq \left(\frac{S}{R}\right)^{2(n-\alpha)} \implies |X_R| \simeq \frac{R}{S^2} \left(\frac{S}{R}\right)^{2(n-\alpha)}$$

Therefore, we rewrite the measure μ_R as

(3.36)
$$\frac{1}{|X_R|} \left(\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{n-1-\alpha} \simeq R^{n-\alpha} \implies \mu_R(A) \simeq |A \cap X_R| R^{n-\alpha}.$$

⁵Moreover, the heuristic Sobolev exponent in (3.25) depends on σ (hence on A), but not on B.

We now prove that μ_R is α -dimensional. Let r > 0 and B_r any ball of radius r. We want to prove that $\mu_R(B_r) \leq r^{\alpha}$ with a constant independent of R. We will exploit the freedom for B by choosing it as large as possible. Direct computation from (3.32) shows that there are two different ranges, which we treat separately:

- (1) $A \leq (1+\sigma)/2 \iff \alpha \leq (3n+1)/4$. In this case, we choose B = 2A 1, which corresponds to Q = 1.
- (2) $A \ge (1+\sigma)/2 \iff \alpha \ge (3n+1)/4$. In this case, we choose $B = \sigma$.

3.6.1. When B = 2A - 1 and Q = 1. In this case, the set X_R becomes particularly easy because there is no union in $q \simeq Q = 1$, so

(3.37)
$$X_R = \bigcup_p B_1\left(\frac{R}{D^2}p_1, \frac{c}{S}\right) \times B_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{D}p', \frac{c}{R}\right) \subset [0, R/S^2] \times [0, 1]^{n-1}.$$

In particular, all rectangles are disjoint. We measure B_r depending on r:

• $r \leq 1/R$. Bound $|B_r \cap X_R| \leq |B_r| = r^n$ so

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le r^n R^{n-\alpha} = r^\alpha (rR)^{n-\alpha} \le r^\alpha.$$

• $1/R < r \le 1/D$. The ball B_r intersects at most one rectangle, so

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le \frac{r}{R^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{rR}\right)^{\alpha-1} \le r^{\alpha}, \quad \text{if } \alpha \ge 1.$$

• $1/D < r \le 1/S$. (Observe that $S \le D = DQ = S^{1+r}$). Now B_r intersects at most $(rD)^{n-1}$ rectangles in direction x' and one in direction x_1 , each of which can contribute at most with a measure r/R^{n-1} , so

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le (rD)^{n-1} \frac{r}{R^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^n \frac{D^{n+1}}{R^\alpha} \frac{R}{D^2} = r^n R^{A(n+1)-\alpha-B}$$

By bounding $r \leq R^{-\sigma}$ and using the condition (3.31), we get

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le r^{\alpha} R^{\sigma - B - (1 - \sigma)(n - \alpha)} \le r^{\alpha},$$

because it follows from (3.32), 2A = B + 1 and $B \le \sigma \le 1$ that

$$\sigma - B - (1 - \sigma)(n - \alpha) = \sigma - B + \frac{B + 1}{2} \frac{n + 1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$$
$$= \frac{\sigma}{2} + B \frac{n - 3}{4} - \frac{n - 1}{4}$$
$$\leq (\sigma - 1) \frac{n - 1}{4} \leq 0.$$

• $1/S < r \le R/D^2 = 1/R^B$. The ball intersects at most $(rD)^{n-1}$ rectangles in direction x' and one in direction x_1 , each contributing with $1/(SR^{n-1})$. Then, by (3.29),

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le \frac{(rD)^{n-1}}{SR^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^{n-1} \frac{D^{n+1}}{SR^{\alpha}} \frac{R}{D^2} = r^{n-1} \left(\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{n-\alpha} \frac{1}{R^B}.$$

If $\alpha \ge n-1$, we bound $r^{n-1} = r^{\alpha} r^{n-1-\alpha} \le r^{\alpha} S^{1-(n-\alpha)}$, so

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le r^{\alpha} \frac{S}{R^B} \left(\frac{S}{R}\right)^{n-\alpha} = r^{\alpha} R^{\sigma-B-(1-\sigma)(n-\alpha)} \le r^{\alpha},$$

where the last inequality follows from (3.38).

(3.38)

• $1/R^B < r \leq R/S^2$. The ball intersects at most $(rD)^{n-1}$ rectangles in direction x' and $R^B r$ in direction x_1 , each with a contribution of $1/(SR^{n-1})$. Then, by (3.29),

$$\mu_{R}(B_{r}) \leq r^{n} \frac{D^{n-1}R^{B}}{SR^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^{n} \frac{D^{n+1}}{SR^{n}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^{\alpha} \left(r \frac{S^{2}}{R} \right)^{n-\alpha} \leq r^{\alpha}.$$

• $R/S^2 < r \le 1$. The ball intersects at most $(rD)^{n-1}$ rectangles in direction x' and D^2/S^2 in direction x_1 . So if $\alpha \ge n-1$, from (3.29) we get

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le r^{n-1} \frac{D^{n+1}}{S^2} \frac{1}{SR^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^{n-1} \frac{R}{S^2} \left(\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{n-\alpha} = r^{\alpha} \left(r\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{n-1-\alpha} \le r^{\alpha}.$$

Hence μ_R is α -dimensional, with underlying constant $\langle \mu_R \rangle_{\alpha} \simeq 1$.

3.6.2. When $B = \sigma$ and $Q \gg 1$. Now X_R is a superposition of grids of rectangles, one for each $q \simeq Q$. However, by the choice of $B = \sigma$, for each fixed x' the rectangles form a single tube of length R/S^2 in direction x_1 . To control intersections in direction x', we slightly adapt a lemma proved by Lucà and Ponce-Vanegas in [14].

Lemma 3.3 (Lemma 13 in [14]). Let $X_R = \bigcup_q \bigcup_p B_1 \times B_{n-1} \subset [0, R/S^2] \times [0, 1]^{n-1}$ as defined in (3.10), which is a union of $(DQ)^{n+1}/S^2$ rectangles. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then, for $R \gg_{\epsilon} 1$, at least $(DQ)^{n+1}/(S^2Q^{\epsilon})$ such rectangles have the following property: if $x = (x_1, x')$ and $y = (y_1, y')$ are centers of two such rectangles,

$$|x' - y'| > \frac{1}{DQ^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}.$$

Call $Y_R = \bigcup_q \bigcup_p B_1 \times B_{n-1} \subset X_R$ the union restricted to the subcollection of rectangles given by Lemma 3.3. Instead of working with μ_R as in (3.33), we define

(3.39)
$$\nu_R(A) = \frac{|A \cap Y_R|}{|Y_R|} R^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{n-1-\alpha}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Observe that the rectangles in Y_R are pairwise disjoint because

(3.40)
$$\frac{1}{R} \le \frac{1}{DQ^{n/(n-1)}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (n+1)A \le n+B,$$

which holds in view of $B = \sigma$ and (3.32). Hence, comparing with $|X_R|$ in (3.35),

(3.41)
$$|Y_R| \simeq \frac{1}{R^{\epsilon}} \frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{S^2} \frac{1}{SR^{n-1}} \simeq \frac{1}{R^{\epsilon}} |X_R|.$$

Together with (3.36), we get $\nu_R(A) \simeq |A \cap Y_R| R^{n-\alpha}$. We now prove that ν_R is an α -dimensional measure. Let B_r be a ball of radius r. Again, recall that $B = \sigma$ implies that for each fixed x', the rectangles form a single tube in direction x_1 .

• If $r \leq 1/R$, then $|Y_R \cap B_r| \leq |B_r| = r^n$, so

$$\nu_R(B_r) \le r^n R^{n-\alpha} = r^\alpha (rR)^{n-\alpha} \le r^\alpha.$$

• $1/R < r \le 1/(DQ^{n/(n-1)})$. Then B_r intersects at most one tube, for which

$$\nu_R(B_r) \le \frac{r}{R^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{rR}\right)^{\alpha-1} \le r^{\alpha}, \quad \text{if } \alpha \ge 1.$$

- If $1/(DQ^{n/(n-1)}) < r \le R/S^2$, then B_r intersects at most $(rDQ^{n/(n-1)})^{n-1}$ tubes, so
 - $\nu_R(B_r) \le r^{n-1} D^{n-1} Q^n \, \frac{r}{R^{n-1}} \, R^{n-\alpha} = r^n \, \frac{D^{n-1} Q^n}{R^{\alpha-1}} = r^n \, \frac{R^{A(n+1)}}{R^{\alpha} \, R^B}.$

Since $B = \sigma$, by (3.31) we get $A(n+1) - \alpha - B = (2\sigma - 1)(n - \alpha)$. Together with $r \leq R/S^2 = R^{1-2\sigma}$, this implies

$$\nu_R(B_r) \le r^n R^{(2\sigma-1)(n-\alpha)} = r^\alpha (rR^{2\sigma-1})^{n-\alpha} \le r^\alpha.$$

• If $R/S^2 < r \le 1$, then B_r intersects again at most $(rDQ^{n/(n-1)})^{n-1}$ tubes, but the measure of each tube is now $(R/S^2)(1/R^{n-1})$, so

$$\nu_R(B_r) \le r^{n-1} D^{n-1} Q^n \, \frac{R}{S^2} \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \, R^{n-\alpha} = r^{n-1} \frac{R}{S^2} \frac{R^{A(n+1)}}{R^{\alpha} R^B}$$
Again, by $B = \sigma$ and (3.31) we get $A(n+1) - \alpha - B = (2\sigma - 1)(n-\alpha)$, so

$$\nu_R(B_r) \le r^{n-1} R^{1-2\sigma} R^{(2\sigma-1)(n-\alpha)} = r^{\alpha} (rR^{2\sigma-1})^{n-\alpha-1} \le r^{\alpha},$$

$$\text{if } \alpha \ge n-1$$

Hence, ν_R is α -dimensional, with constant $\langle \nu_R \rangle_{\alpha} \simeq 1$.

3.6.3. Concluding the proof. Let $n - 1 \leq \alpha < n$. Let $R \gg 1$. Define the measure $\lambda_R = \mu_R$ if $\alpha \leq (3n+1)/4$, and $\lambda_R = \nu_R$ if $\alpha \geq (3n+1)/4$. In both cases, λ_R is an α -dimensional measure and $\langle \lambda_R \rangle_{\alpha} \simeq 1$. By (3.12), (3.33) and (3.39),

$$\frac{\|\sup_{n} |e^{it_{n}\Delta}f_{R}|\|_{L^{2}(d\lambda_{R})}}{\|f_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim S^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{R}{DQ}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda_{R}(X_{R})^{\frac{1}{2}} \simeq S^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{R}{DQ}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{S^{2}}{R}\right)^{\frac{n-1-\alpha}{2}}$$

Solving for DQ and S from (3.29), we get $S = R^{\frac{2\alpha-n}{r(n+1)+2\alpha-n}}$. Since $S \ge R^{1/2}$, this forces $r \le (2\alpha - n)/(n+1)$. Hence

$$\frac{\|\sup_{n} |e^{it_{n}\Delta}f_{R}|\|_{L^{2}(d\lambda_{R})}}{\|f_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim R^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha-n)}{r(n+1)+2\alpha-n} - \epsilon}.$$

If we assume the fractal maximal estimate for α -dimensional measures in H^s , then

$$R^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha-n)}{r(n+1)+2\alpha-n} - \epsilon} \lesssim \langle \lambda_R \rangle_{\alpha} R^s \lesssim R^s \qquad \forall R \gg_{\epsilon} 1,$$

which gives a contradiction for $s < \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha-n)}{r(n+1)+2\alpha-n}$. Finally, when $r = \frac{2\alpha-n}{n+1}$, the exponent is $\frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{2\alpha-n}{2(n+1)}$, which immediately implies $s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \ge \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{2\alpha-n}{2(n+1)}$ for $r \ge \frac{2\alpha-n}{n+1}$. Combining these two gives the statement in Theorem 1.4.(1). \Box

3.7. Proof using Scaling 2. From (3.26) and (3.27), now set

(3.42)
$$(DQ)^{n+1} = SR^{\alpha}, \qquad DQ = S^{1+r},$$

which is rewritten in terms of A and σ as

(3.43) $A(n+1) = \sigma + \alpha, \qquad A = \sigma(1+r).$

Define the measure

$$\mu_R(A) = \frac{|A \cap X_R|}{|X_R|} \frac{R}{S^2}.$$

Proceeding like in (3.34) and (3.35), we get

$$(3.44) \qquad |X_R| \simeq \frac{(DQ)^{n+1}}{SR^n} \frac{R}{S^2} \simeq \frac{1}{R^{n-\alpha}} \frac{R}{S^2} \implies \mu_R(A) \simeq |A \cap X_R| R^{n-\alpha}.$$

14

We prove now that μ_R is an α -dimensional measure. We separate two cases again:

3.7.1. When B = 2A - 1 and Q = 1. We repeat the procedure in Section 3.6.1 by working with the simpler, disjoint structure of X_R in (3.37). Let r > 0.

• If $r \leq 1/R$, bound $|B_r \cap X_R| \leq |B_r| = r^n$ so

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le r^n R^{n-\alpha} = r^\alpha (rR)^{n-\alpha} \le r^\alpha.$$

• If $1/R < r \le 1/D$, then B_r intersects at most one rectangle, so

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le \frac{r}{R^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{rR}\right)^{\alpha-1} \le r^{\alpha}, \quad \text{if } \alpha - 1 \ge 0.$$

• If $1/D < r \le 1/S$, B_r intersects at most $(rD)^{n-1}$ rectangles in direction x' and one in direction x_1 , each contributing at most with r/R^{n-1} . By (3.42),

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le (rD)^{n-1} \frac{r}{R^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^n \frac{D^{n+1}}{R^{\alpha}} \frac{R}{D^2} = r^n \frac{S}{R^B}.$$

Then, since $r^{n-\alpha} \leq 1/S^{n-\alpha}$,

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le \frac{r^{\alpha}}{R^B S^{n-\alpha-1}} \le r^{\alpha}, \qquad \text{if } \alpha \le n-1.$$

• If $1/S < r \le 1/R^B$, B_r intersects at most $(rD)^{n-1}$ rectangles in direction x' and one in direction x_1 , each contribution being $1/(SR^{n-1})$. By (3.42),

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le \frac{(rD)^{n-1}}{SR^{n-1}}R^{n-\alpha} = r^{n-1}\frac{D^{n+1}}{SR^{\alpha}}\frac{R}{D^2} = r^{\alpha}\frac{r^{n-1-\alpha}}{R^B}$$

Since $r \leq 1/R^B$, we get

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le \frac{r^{\alpha}}{R^B R^{B(n-\alpha-1)}} = \frac{r^{\alpha}}{R^{B(n-\alpha)}} \le r^{\alpha}, \qquad \text{if } \alpha \le n-1.$$

• $1/R^B < r \le 1$. The ball intersects at most $(rD)^{n-1}$ rectangles in direction x' and rR^B in direction x_1 , each contribution being $1/(SR^{n-1})$. By (3.42),

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le \frac{(rD)^{n-1} rR^B}{SR^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^n \frac{D^{n+1}}{SR^{\alpha}} \frac{R}{D^2} R^B = r^n \le r^{\alpha}.$$

Hence, μ_R is an α -dimensional measure with constant $\langle \mu_R \rangle \simeq 1$.

3.7.2. When $B = \sigma$ and $Q \gg 1$. As in Section 3.6.2, using Lemma 3.3 we extract from X_R a subcollection Y_R of $(DQ)^{n+1}/(S^2Q^{\epsilon})$ rectangles. The condition for disjointness in the x' direction in (3.40) is also satisfied because of (3.42). As before, since $B = \sigma$, for each fixed x' the rectangles form a single tube of length R/S^2 in direction x_1 . Define

$$\nu_R(A) = \frac{|A \cap Y_R|}{|Y_R|} R^{-\epsilon} \frac{R}{S^2}.$$

By disjointness, proceeding like in (3.41), we get $|Y_R| \simeq |X_R|/R^{\epsilon}$, so from (3.44) we get $\nu_R(A) \simeq |A \cap Y_R|R^{n-\alpha}$. Let now r > 0.

• If $r \leq 1/R$, we bound $|Y_R \cap B_r| \leq |B_r| = r^n$, so

$$\nu_R(B_r) \le r^n R^{n-\alpha} = r^\alpha (rR)^{n-\alpha} \le r^\alpha$$

• If $1/R < r \le 1/(DQ^{n/(n-1)})$, B_r intersects at most one tube, for which

$$\nu_R(B_r) \le \frac{r}{R^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{rR}\right)^{\alpha-1} \le r^{\alpha}, \quad \text{if } \alpha \ge 1.$$

• If $1/(DQ^{n/(n-1)}) < r \le 1$, B_r intersects at most $(rDQ^{n/(n-1)})^{n-1}$ tubes:

$$\nu_R(B_r) \le r^{n-1} D^{n-1} Q^n \frac{r}{R^{n-1}} R^{n-\alpha} = r^n \frac{R^{A(n+1)}}{R^{\alpha} R^B}.$$

Since $B = \sigma$, from (3.43) we get $A(n+1) = \alpha + B$, so
 $\nu_R(B_r) \le r^n \le r^{\alpha}.$

Hence, ν_R is an α -dimensional measure with constant $\langle \nu_R \rangle \simeq 1$.

3.7.3. Concluding the proof. Let $n/2 \leq \alpha < n$. Let $R \gg 1$. Define the measure $\lambda_R = \mu_R$ or $\lambda_R = \nu_R$, depending on the value of α . In both cases, λ_R is an α -dimensional measure and $\langle \lambda_R \rangle_{\alpha} \simeq 1$. By (3.12),

$$\frac{\|\sup_{n} |e^{it_{n}\Delta}f_{R}|\|_{L^{2}(d\lambda_{R})}}{\|f_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim S^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{R}{DQ}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lambda_{R}(X_{R})^{\frac{1}{2}} \simeq S^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{R}{DQ}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{R}{S^{2}}\right)^{1/2}$$

Solving for DQ and S from (3.42) we get $S = R^{\frac{\alpha}{r(n+1)+n}}$ and $DQ = S^{1+r}$, which forces $r \leq (2\alpha - n)/(n+1)$. Hence,

$$\frac{\|\sup_n |e^{it_n\Delta}f_R|\|_{L^2(d\lambda_R)}}{\|f_R\|_2} \gtrsim R^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r\alpha}{r(n+1)+n} - \epsilon}.$$

If we assume the fractal maximal estimate for α -dimensional measures in H^s , then

 $R^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}+\frac{r\alpha}{r(n+1)+n}-\epsilon} \lesssim \langle \lambda_R \rangle_{\alpha} R^s \lesssim R^s \qquad \forall R \gg_{\epsilon} 1,$

which gives a contradiction for $s < \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r\alpha}{r(n+1)+n}$. Evaluating this exponent at $r = \frac{2\alpha-n}{n+1}$, we immediately get $s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \ge \frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{2\alpha-n}{2(n+1)}$ for $r \ge \frac{2\alpha-n}{n+1}$. Combining these two gives the statement in Theorem 1.4.(2). \Box

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 - Dimension n = 1

4.1. When $\alpha = 1$. We reprove the result by Dimou and Seeger [7]. We work with the function g_R defined in (3.1). In (3.3) we got

$$S|x_1 + 2Rt| \le c$$
, $S^2t \le c \implies |e^{it\Delta}g_R(x_1)| \simeq 1$.

As we did for $n \ge 2$ in Section 3, we work with $S \ge R^{1/2}$. Define

(4.1)
$$T_R = \left\{ \frac{p}{Q} : 1 \le p \le \frac{Q}{S^2} \right\}, \text{ and } X_R = \bigcup_{p=1}^{Q/S^2} B\left(\frac{R}{Q}p, \frac{1}{S}\right).$$

We impose the restrictions $S^2 \leq Q$ and $Q \leq RS$, the first one for the sets in (4.1) not to be empty, and the second for the intervals in X_R to be disjoint. Actually, since $X_R \subset [0, R/S^2]$, we get the maximum measure when

(4.2)
$$Q = RS \implies |X_R| \simeq \frac{Q}{S^2} \frac{1}{S} = \frac{R}{S^2}$$

On the other hand, observe that $T_R \subset [1/Q, 1/S^2]$. Asking R_n to be a dyadic sequence that grows fast enough, all intervals $[1/Q_n, 1/S_n^2]$ are disjoint. Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$, place it in $1/S_{n+1}^2 \leq \delta \leq 1/S_n^2$ so that

$$\delta^r \# \{ t \in T_{R_n} : \delta < t < 2\delta \} \le \delta^{1+r} Q_n \le \frac{Q_n}{S_n^{2(1+r)}}$$

16

Hence, defining our sequence of times as $\{t_n\} = \bigcup_n T_{R_n}$,

(4.3)
$$Q \lesssim S^{2(1+r)} \implies \{t_n\} \in \ell^{r,\infty}.$$

Gathering all, we get

$$\frac{\|\sup_{n} |e^{it_{n}\Delta}g_{R}|\|_{L^{2}}}{\|g_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim S^{1/2} |X_{R}|^{1/2} = \left(\frac{R}{S}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Combining (4.2) and taking the smallest S in (4.3), we get $S = R^{1/(1+2r)}$, hence

(4.4)
$$\frac{\|\sup_{n} |e^{it_{n}\Delta}g_{R}|\|_{L^{2}(X)}}{\|g_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim R^{\frac{r}{2r+1}}.$$

Hence the maximal estimate cannot work in H^s for s < r/(2r+1). Observe also that the choice $S \ge R^{1/2}$ restricts $r \le 1/2$.

Since when r = 1/2 the exponent in (4.4) is 1/4, this immediately implies that $s_c^{\max}(\ell^{r,\infty}) \ge 1/4$ for all $r \ge 1/2$. \Box

4.2. When $\alpha < 1$. With the same function g_R , the constructions (4.1) and the condition (4.3) intact, we alter condition (4.2). Heuristically, we want X_R and $[0, R/S^2]$ to have the same \mathcal{H}^{α} -scaling. Since

$$\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(X_R) \simeq \frac{Q}{S^2} \frac{1}{S^{\alpha}} \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0,1]), \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}\left(\left[0,\frac{R}{S^2}\right]\right) \simeq \left(\frac{R}{S^2}\right)^{\alpha} \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}([0,1]),$$

we choose

•

(4.5)
$$\frac{Q}{S^2} \frac{1}{S^{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{R}{S^2}\right)^{\alpha} \iff Q = R^{\alpha} S^{2-\alpha}.$$

In particular, $Q = RS(S/R)^{1-\alpha} \ll RS$. With that, heuristically we expect to get

$$\frac{\|\sup_n |e^{it_n \Delta} g_R| \|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}^\alpha)}}{\|g_R\|_2} \gtrsim S^{1/2} \,\mathcal{H}^\alpha(X_R)^{1/2} \simeq S^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{S^2}\right)^{\alpha/2} = \left(\frac{R^\alpha}{S^{2\alpha-1}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Taking the smallest S in (4.3), from (4.5) we get $S = R^{\alpha/(2r+\alpha)}$, hence

$$\frac{\|\sup_n |e^{it_n\Delta}g_R|\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}^\alpha)}}{\|g_R\|_2} \gtrsim R^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha-1)}{2r+\alpha}},$$

which due to $S \ge R^{1/2}$ will be subject to the restriction $r \le \alpha/2$.

To make this rigorous, as in previous sections, define the measure

$$\mu_R(A) = \frac{|A \cap X_R|}{|X_R|} \left(\frac{R}{S^2}\right)^{\alpha}.$$

It suffices to prove that it is α -dimensional. First observe that $Q \ll RS$ implies that the balls in X_R are disjoint, so $|X_R| = (Q/S^2)/S = R^{\alpha}/S^{1+\alpha}$, and hence

$$\mu_R(A) = |A \cap X_R| \, S^{1-\alpha}$$

Now, for any ball B_r of radius r > 0,

• If $r \leq 1/S$, then $|B_r \cap X_R| \leq |B_r| = r$, so $\mu_R(B_r) \leq rS^{1-\alpha} = r^{\alpha} (rS)^{1-\alpha} \leq r^{\alpha}.$

• If
$$1/S \leq r \leq R/Q$$
, then $|B_r \cap X_R| \leq 1/S$, so
$$\mu_R(B_r) \leq \frac{1}{S}S^{1-\alpha} = \frac{1}{S^{\alpha}} \leq r^{\alpha}.$$

• If $R/Q \leq r \leq R/S^2$, then B_r intersects at most rQ/R intervals of X_R , so

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le r\frac{Q}{R} \frac{1}{S} S^{1-\alpha} = r \left(\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{1-\alpha} = r^\alpha \left(r\frac{S^2}{R}\right)^{1-\alpha} \le r^\alpha.$$

• If $R/S^2 \leq r \leq 1$, then B_r may intersect all X_R , so

$$\mu_R(B_r) \le \frac{Q}{S^2} \frac{1}{S} S^{1-\alpha} = \left(\frac{R}{S^2}\right)^{\alpha} \le r^{\alpha}.$$

Hence, μ_R is α -dimensional with constant $\langle \mu_R \rangle \simeq 1$, so

$$\frac{\|\sup_{n} |e^{it_{n}\Delta}g_{R}|\|_{L^{2}(d\mu_{R})}}{\|g_{R}\|_{2}} \gtrsim S^{1/2} \,\mu(X_{R})^{1/2} = S^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{S^{2}}\right)^{\alpha/2} = R^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha-1)}{2r+\alpha}},$$

where $S = R^{\alpha/(2r+\alpha)}$ from (4.3) and (4.5). Hence, when $r \leq \alpha/2$, the α -dimensional fractal maximal estimate cannot hold in H^s for $s < \frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \frac{r(2\alpha-1)}{2r+\alpha}$. Finally, when $r = \alpha/2$ the exponent is 1/4, so $s_c^{\max}(\alpha, \ell^{r,\infty}) \geq 1/4$ for all $r \geq \alpha/2$. \Box

Appendix A. A Lemma to compute the measure of X_R

The following lemma is an adaptation of Proposition 4.2 in [1] by An, Chu and Pierce. We reproduce it in a slightly more general form than in [11, Lemma 3.4.], and we refer to [11, Lemma 3.4.] and [12, Proposition 5.2.] for a detailed proof.

Lemma A.1. Let $Q \gg 1$. Define

$$X_{Q} = \bigcup_{\substack{q \simeq Q \\ q \text{ odd } g \text{ odd } (p_{1}, p') \in [0, q)^{n} \\ \gcd(p_{1}, q) = 1}} B_{1}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{q}, h_{1}(Q)\right) \times B_{n-1}\left(\frac{p'}{q}, h_{2}(Q)\right)$$

such that $h_1(Q) \simeq 1/Q^{\alpha}$ and $h_2(Q) \simeq 1/Q^{\beta}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \ge 1$. Then,

$$|X_Q| \simeq \frac{Q^{n+1}h_1(Q)h_2(Q)^{n-1}}{1+Q^{n+1}h_1(Q)h_2(Q)^{n-1}}.$$

Acknowledgements

C.-H. Cho is supported by NRF-RS202300239774 and NRF-2022R1A4A1018904. D. Eceizabarrena is funded in part by the Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant on Wave Turbulence (Nahmod's award ID 651469) and by the American Mathematical Society and the Simons Foundation under an AMS-Simons Travel Grant for the period 2022-2024.

References

- AN, C., CHU, R., PIERCE, L. B. Counterexamples for high-degree generalizations of the Schrodinger maximal operator, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2023), no. 10, 8371–8418.
- [2] BARCELÓ, J. A., BENNETT, J., CARBERY, A., ROGERS, K. M. On the dimension of divergence sets of dispersive equations, Math. Ann. 349 (2011), no. 3, 599-622.
- [3] BOURGAIN, J. A note on the Schrödinger maximal function, J. Anal. Math. 130 (2016), 393–396.
- [4] CARLESON, L. Some analytic problems related to statistical mechanics. In: Euclidean Harmonic Analysis (Proc. Sem., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md, 1979), 5-45. Lecture Notes in Math., 779, Springer, Berlin (1980).
- [5] CHO, C.-H., KO, H., KOH, Y., LEE, S. Pointwise convergence of sequential Schrödinger means, J. Inequal. Appl.(2023), Paper No. 54, 14pp.

19

- [6] DAHLBERG, B. E. J., KENIG, C. E. A note on the almost everywhere behavior of solutions to the Schrödinger equation. In: Harmonic Analysis (Minneapolis, Minn, 1981), 205-209. Lecture Notes in Math. 908 Springer-Verlag (1982).
- [7] DIMOU, E., SEEGER, A. On pointwise convergence of Schrödinger means, Mathematika 66 (2020), no. 2, 356–372.
- [8] DU, X., GUTH, L., LI, X. A sharp maximal Schrödinger estimate in ℝ², Ann. of Math. (2) 186 (2017), no. 2, 607–640.
- [9] DU, X., KIM, J., WANG, H., ZHANG, R. Lower bounds for estimates of the Schrödinger maximal function, Math. Res. Lett., 27 (2020), 687-692.
- [10] DU, X., ZHANG, R. Sharp L² estimate of Schrödinger maximal function in higher dimensions, Ann. of Math. (2) 189 (2019), no. 3, 837–861.
- [11] ECEIZABARRENA, D., PONCE-VANEGAS, F. Counterexamples for the fractal Schrödinger convergence problem with an intermediate space trick, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 21 (2022), no.11, 3777–3812.
- [12] ECEIZABARRENA, D., PONCE-VANEGAS, F. Pointwise convergence over fractals for dispersive equations with homogeneous symbol, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 515 (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 126385, 57 pp.
- [13] LI, W., WANG, H., YAN, D. Sharp convergence for sequences of Schrödinger means and related generalizations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, Published online 2023. doi:10.1017/prm.2023.102
- [14] LUCÁ, R., PONCE-VANEGAS, F. Convergence over fractals for the Schrödinger equation, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 71 (2022), no. 6, 2283–2307.
- [15] LUCÁ, R., ROGERS, K. Average decay for the Fourier transform of measures with applications, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 21 (2019), no. 2, 465–506.
- [16] PIERCE, L. B. On Bourgain's counterexample for the Schrödinger maximal function, Q. J. Math.71(2020), no.4, 1309–1344.
- [17] ŽUBRINIĆ, D. Singular sets of Sobolev functions, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 334 (2002), no. 7, 539–544.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RIM, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: akilus@snu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS AMHERST, USA.

 $Email \ address: \ \texttt{deceizabarreQumass.edu}$