

FREELY 2-PERIODIC KNOTS HAVE TWO CANONICAL COMPONENTS

KEEGAN BOYLE AND NICHOLAS ROUSE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ character variety of a hyperbolic, freely 2-periodic knot has two canonical components. We also prove that the hyperbolic torsion polynomial of such a knot satisfies a factorization condition which seems to be particularly effective at identifying freely 2-periodic knots.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important invariant of a hyperbolic knot K is the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ character variety $X(K)$, introduced in [CS83]. The character variety has been used to detect the existence of essential surfaces [CS83] and in the proof of the cyclic surgery theorem [CGLS87] among many other applications such as [CCGLS94; Dum99]. A knot with a complete hyperbolic metric on the complement naturally comes with a discrete faithful representation to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbf{H}^3)$, which has exactly two lifts to $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ (see e.g., [DFJ12, §4.1]). Any component of $X(K)$ that contains one of these two discrete faithful representations is called a *canonical component* (or sometimes “excellent component” or “Dehn surgery component”), and is of particular interest in the study of $X(K)$; see for example [KT16; Chu17; Rou21; CRS22].

Certain classes of knots, such as hyperbolic $(-2, 3, n)$ -pretzel knots [Mat02] and 2-bridge knots (see [ORS08] and [Por17, Remark 3.4]) are known to have a single canonical component, but it seems to be unknown whether a hyperbolic knot can have more than one canonical component [Por17, Remark 3.3]. We show that there are infinitely many knots with two canonical components by studying knots with a particular type of symmetry. Specifically, we consider knots that are invariant under the free order-2 symmetry on S^3 , called *freely 2-periodic* knots (see e.g., [BRW23, §1.1]). For an example see Figure 1. Character varieties of symmetric knots have been studied in [PP18] and [HLM00] though neither paper considers the case of free 2-periods.

Given a freely 2-periodic knot, there is a corresponding quotient knot \bar{K} in \mathbf{RP}^3 . The character variety is functorial, and so there is a map of character varieties $X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow X(K)$. By studying this map, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If $K \subseteq S^3$ is a hyperbolic, freely 2-periodic knot, then the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ character variety of $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ has two canonical components.*

As a corollary this gives an obstruction to free 2-periodicity. For example we obtain a new proof that 2-bridge knots and $(-2, 3, n)$ -pretzel knots are not freely 2-periodic.

In general canonical components of character varieties are difficult to compute. Despite some effort, we were unable to compute the irreducible components of any freely 2-periodic knot explicitly.

Remark 1.2. In our definition of a canonical component, we insist that the discrete faithful representations respect the orientation on the knot exterior. However, the complex conjugate of a discrete faithful representation of the knot group is also discrete and faithful, corresponding to the manifold with reversed orientation. See [CLT17, §4] for an example of a 1-cusped hyperbolic manifold for which the character of a discrete faithful representation and its complex conjugate lie on different components of the character variety. In contrast, Theorem 1.1 guarantees two distinct




FIGURE 1. The freely 2-periodic knot 10_{157} . The symmetry is the composition of π rotations around two disjoint circles, indicated by the two arrows. By Theorem 1.1 $X(10_{157})$ has two canonical components.

components containing characters of discrete faithful representations corresponding to the same orientation.

Remark 1.3. While the two canonical components are abstractly isomorphic (see for example, [Por17, Remark 3.3]), our proof furnishes a geometric distinction. In particular, let X_+ and X_- be the components containing characters of discrete faithful representations that send a meridian to $+2$ and -2 respectively. Then X_- is in the image of the map on character varieties induced by the 2-fold cover $\hat{p}: X(\bar{K}) \rightarrow X(K)$ while X_+ is not. For any hyperbolic knot with two canonical components, there is also a distinction coming from the characters of Dehn surgeries. Let $S_{p/q}^3(K)$ be p/q Dehn surgery on K . If $|H_1(S_{p/q}^3(K); \mathbf{Z})|$ is odd, then up to complex conjugation there is a unique character corresponding to a discrete faithful representation $\pi_1(S_{p/q}^3(K)) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$, which can lie on at most one canonical component of $X(K)$. That is, the canonical components are distinguished by which Dehn surgery characters lie on each component.

While proving Theorem 1.1, we see that exactly one of the two discrete faithful $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ representations for a freely 2-periodic knot is the restriction of a discrete faithful representation for the quotient knot. This implies, via [HLN06, Theorem 5], a particular factorization condition on the hyperbolic torsion polynomial, answering Question 3 in §1.17 of [DFJ12].

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be a freely 2-periodic hyperbolic knot with quotient knot \bar{K} . Let $\mathcal{T}_K, \mathcal{T}_{\bar{K}}$ be the hyperbolic torsion polynomials for K and \bar{K} respectively. Then*

$$\mathcal{T}_K(-t^2) = \mathcal{T}_{\bar{K}}(t)\mathcal{T}_{\bar{K}}(-t),$$

where this factorization occurs over the trace field of $S^3 \setminus K$.

In Example 3.2 we use Theorem 1.4 to show that the knots $12n553$ and $12n556$ are not freely 2-periodic, despite passing all obstructions to free periodicity that we could find in the literature.

Remark 1.5. In Theorem 1.4, $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ is the twisted Alexander polynomial corresponding to the discrete faithful representation $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ in which the trace of a meridian is 2. In all of our computations, the twisted Alexander polynomial corresponding to the other discrete faithful representation does not factor as in Theorem 1.4.

1.1. **Questions.** Based on some computational work, we find it natural to ask the following.

- (1) Is there a hyperbolic knot in S^3 that is not freely 2-periodic but does have 2 canonical components in its $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ character variety?

- (2) Is there a hyperbolic knot in S^3 that is not freely 2-periodic whose hyperbolic torsion polynomial admits a factorization of the form in Theorem 1.4?

1.2. Acknowledgments. We thank Ben Williams for helpful conversations. We thank Liam Watson and Ben Williams for their feedback on a draft of the paper.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Notation 2.1. In this section, K refers to hyperbolic, freely 2-periodic knot in S^3 , and \overline{K} refers to its quotient knot in \mathbf{RP}^3 . We slightly abuse the notation $S^3 \setminus K$ to refer to the complement of a tubular neighborhood of K , so that $\partial(S^3 \setminus K)$ is a torus. We use $\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}$ similarly.

Lemma 2.2. *Let K be a freely 2-periodic knot in S^3 and $\overline{K} \subseteq \mathbf{RP}^3$ its quotient knot. Then \overline{K} represents the nontrivial homology class of \mathbf{RP}^3 , $H_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}; \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}$, and the map $H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}); \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}; \mathbf{Z})$ is surjective.*

Proof. Note that the quotient knot \overline{K} represents the nontrivial homology class because knots representing the trivial homology class in \mathbf{RP}^3 lift to 2-component links in S^3 . It follows that the homology of the complement of the quotient knot is \mathbf{Z} . See, e.g., [CMM13, Corollary 5]. The proof of the same corollary in fact shows that the homology of $\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}$ is generated by a boundary element. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let K be a freely 2-periodic knot in S^3 and $\overline{K} \subseteq \mathbf{RP}^3$ its quotient knot. Let $\epsilon: \pi_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ be the non-trivial map. Then $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) = \ker \epsilon$.*

Proof. Note that $H_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}; \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}$ by Lemma 2.2. Then there is a unique nontrivial map $\epsilon: \pi_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. Therefore $S^3 \setminus K$ is the unique 2-fold connected cover, and $\ker \epsilon = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *There are bases $\{m, \ell\}$ and $\{\alpha, \bar{\ell}\}$ for $H_1(\partial(S^3 \setminus K); \mathbf{Z})$ and $H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}); \mathbf{Z})$, respectively such that*

- (1) m and ℓ are represented by a meridian and longitude respectively for K ,
- (2) α generates $H_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}; \mathbf{Z})$,
- (3) $\bar{\ell}$ is represented by the boundary of an embedded, oriented surface in $\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}$,
- (4) the map $f: H_1(\partial(S^3 \setminus K); \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}); \mathbf{Z})$ induced by the 2-fold cover is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the ordered bases $\{m, \ell\}$ and $\{\alpha, \bar{\ell}\}$.

Proof. Let $\bar{\ell}$ be the class of the homological longitude of \overline{K} so that (3) is automatic. Indeed, using the identification $H^1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}; \mathbf{Z}) \cong [\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}, S^1]$ we can see that $\bar{\ell}$ is the boundary of an oriented surface $\overline{\Sigma}$ in \mathbf{RP}^3 which is the preimage of a regular point of a map $\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K} \rightarrow S^1$ generating $H^1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}; \mathbf{Z})$. We can then produce a pair of Seifert surfaces for K by lifting $\overline{\Sigma}$ from \mathbf{RP}^3 to S^3 . The boundary of one of these surfaces is a homological longitude ℓ in $H_1(\partial(S^3 \setminus K); \mathbf{Z})$ whose image $f(\ell)$ in $H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}); \mathbf{Z})$ is the homological longitude $\bar{\ell}$. We next need a second generator α for $H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}); \mathbf{Z})$, and to verify items (2) and (4). Since the map $H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}); \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}; \mathbf{Z})$ induced by inclusion is surjective by Lemma 2.2, any choice of second generator for $H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}); \mathbf{Z})$ must generate $H_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}; \mathbf{Z})$, satisfying (2). Additionally, the determinant of the map $f: H_1(\partial(S^3 \setminus K); \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}); \mathbf{Z})$ is ± 2 by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, noting that abelianization is right-exact. We may arrange the determinant to be $+2$ by a judicious choice of

orientation on a meridian m of K . Hence for any choice of α , the map $f: \mathbf{Z}\langle m, \ell \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}\langle \alpha, \bar{\ell} \rangle$ is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where x depends on the choice of α .

Next, observe that $f(m)$ is represented by a simple closed curve in $\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \bar{K})$. Indeed, m is represented by a simple closed curve (which we also call m) in $\partial(S^3 \setminus K)$, and the non-trivial deck transformation τ is the restriction of the freely 2-periodic symmetry on S^3 which acts freely on K so that $\tau(m)$ is disjoint from m . Hence $f(m)$ is also a simple closed curve. Furthermore, a simple closed curve represents either a primitive or trivial class in the homology of $S^1 \times S^1 \cong \partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \bar{K})$ (see e.g., [Mey76] or [Sch76]). We conclude that x must be odd, or $f(m)$ would represent an even (and hence non-primitive) class in $H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \bar{K}); \mathbf{Z})$. Now for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ we can change basis by replacing α with $n\bar{\ell} + \alpha$, which represents the same homology class in $\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \bar{K}$. This change of basis changes x by $2n$ so that for an appropriate choice of n we have $x = 1$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\rho_{\bar{K}}: \pi_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ be a discrete faithful representation, $\rho_K = \rho_{\bar{K}}|_{\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)}$, and $m \in \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ be a meridian. Then ρ_K is discrete and faithful, and $\mathrm{tr} \rho_K(m) = -2$.*

Proof. Throughout we freely identify elements of $\pi_1(\partial(S^3 \setminus K))$ and $\pi_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \bar{K}))$ with $H_1(\partial(S^3 \setminus K); \mathbf{Z})$ and $H_1(\partial(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \bar{K}); \mathbf{Z})$ respectively. The restriction of a discrete, faithful representation is discrete and faithful. Meridians of knots in S^3 map to parabolic elements (see e.g., [Pur20, Exercise 5.43]) under a discrete faithful representation, so $\mathrm{tr} \rho_K(m) = \pm 2$. Suppose that $\mathrm{tr} \rho_K(m)$ is $+2$. By Lemma 2.4, the meridian m maps to $\alpha^2 \bar{\ell}$ in the notation of that lemma, which we implicitly consider as elements of $\pi_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \bar{K})$. Further, [Cal06, Corollary 2.4] implies that $\mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\bar{\ell}) = -2$. Then using $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ trace relations (see e.g., [MR03, §3.4]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 = \mathrm{tr} \rho_K(m) &= \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha^2 \bar{\ell}) = \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha) \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha \bar{\ell}) - \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha \bar{\ell}^{-1} \alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha) \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha \bar{\ell}) - \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\bar{\ell}) \\ &= \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha) \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha \bar{\ell}) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha) \mathrm{tr} \rho_{\bar{K}}(\alpha \bar{\ell}) = 0.$$

However, traceless matrices in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ are torsion, and $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus \bar{K})$ is torsion-free. This is a contradiction. \square

The following lemma seems to be well-known in the literature, but we were unable to find a specific reference, so we include a proof.

Lemma 2.6. *Let Γ be a torsion-free group with a representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$. Let Γ' be a finite index subgroup of Γ such that $\rho|_{\Gamma'}$ is discrete and faithful. Then ρ is discrete and faithful.*

Proof. For discreteness, consider a Cauchy sequence γ_i of elements of $\rho(\Gamma)$. Since Γ' is of finite index in Γ , there is a coset C of Γ' such that there exists a subsequence (γ_{i_j}) of (γ_i) contained in $\rho(C)$. Choose an element $c \in C$. Then $(\gamma_{i_j} c^{-1})$ is a sequence of elements of $\rho(\Gamma')$. This sequence is Cauchy since multiplication by c^{-1} is continuous, so the sequence is eventually constant because $\rho(\Gamma')$ is discrete. Hence (γ_{i_j}) is eventually constant. This argument applies to each coset that has infinitely many elements of (γ_i) . As there are finitely many cosets, (γ_i) is eventually constant.

For faithfulness, let n be the index of Γ' in Γ . Take a nontrivial element $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We have $\gamma^n \in \Gamma'$, and $\gamma^n \neq \mathrm{Id}$ since Γ is torsion-free. Since $\rho|_{\Gamma'}$ is faithful, we have $\rho(\gamma^n) \neq \mathrm{Id}$, and hence $\rho(\gamma) \neq \mathrm{Id}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $p: S^3 \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}$ be the 2-fold cover. Let $X(K)$ and $X(\overline{K})$ be respectively the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ character varieties of $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ and $\pi_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K})$. Let $\widehat{p}: X(\overline{K}) \rightarrow X(K)$ be the map induced by p (see e.g. [BLZ02, §3] for details of this map). This map takes a character of a representation $\pi_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ to the character of the representation $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ obtained by restriction. Let $X(\overline{K})_0$ be a canonical component of $X(\overline{K})$. By [BLZ02, Proposition 3.1], $\widehat{p}(X(\overline{K})_0)$ is a closed, irreducible algebraic curve. Moreover, the character of a representation on $X(\overline{K})_0$ maps to the character of the restriction of a representation to the index 2 subgroup. In particular, the character of a discrete, faithful representation maps to the character of a discrete, faithful representation, and so $\widehat{p}(X(\overline{K})_0)$ is a canonical component, which we henceforth denote by $X(K)_0$.

Since $\widehat{p}|_{X(\overline{K})_0}$ surjects onto its image $X(K)_0$ which is a closed, irreducible curve, any point of $X(K)$ not in this image lies on an irreducible component distinct from $X(K)_0$. There are two lifts ρ_+ and ρ_- of the discrete faithful representation $\pi(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ to $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$. If m is a meridian of K , then $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_+(m)) = 2$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_-(m)) = -2$. See, for example, [DFJ12, §4.1]. By Proposition 2.5, the character of a discrete faithful representation in $X(\overline{K})$ maps to the character of a representation ρ with $\mathrm{tr} \rho(m) = -2$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6, only characters of discrete faithful representations can map to characters of discrete faithful representations, so we conclude that the character of ρ_+ is not in the image of $\widehat{p}|_{X(\overline{K})_0}$. \square

Remark 2.7. Theorem 1.1 can also be proved along the lines of [BLZ02, Proposition 7.1], although the method we use in this paper is more explicit.

3. HYPERBOLIC TORSION FOR FREELY 2-PERIODIC KNOTS

The hyperbolic torsion polynomial $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ is the twisted Alexander polynomial associated to the discrete faithful representation of $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ that takes a meridian to a matrix with trace $+2$. The twisted Alexander polynomial associated to the other discrete faithful representation is $\mathcal{T}_K(-t)$ ([DFJ12, Remark 4.4]).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\rho_-: \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ be the discrete faithful representation that takes a meridian to a matrix with trace -2 so that $\mathcal{T}_K(-t)$ is the associated twisted Alexander polynomial. By [HLN06, Theorem 5], it suffices to check that the discrete faithful representation ρ_- is a restriction of a discrete, faithful representation of $\pi_1(\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K})$. This is the content of Proposition 2.5.

It remains to show that $\mathcal{T}_K(-t^2)$ factors over the trace field of $S^3 \setminus K$. As a consequence of [MR03, Theorem 4.2.1] and Lemma 2.2, the invariant trace field of $\mathbf{RP}^3 \setminus \overline{K}$ is equal to its trace field. This field coincides with the (invariant) trace field of $S^3 \setminus K$ because the invariant trace field is a commensurability invariant ([MR03, Theorem 3.3.4]). It follows from [DFJ12, Theorem 1.1(b)] that the coefficients of $\mathcal{T}_K(t)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\overline{K}}(t)$ are in this common field. We conclude that the factorization appearing in [HLN06, Theorem 5] occurs over the trace field of $S^3 \setminus K$. \square

We conclude with a pair of examples.

Example 3.1. We consider the freely 2-periodic knot $K = 10_{157}$ of the SnapPy census shown in Figure 1. The trace field of K is $\mathbf{Q}(z)$ where z satisfies $z^3 + z - 1$; the discriminant of this number field is -31 . The hyperbolic torsion of K , computed with [Rou22], an extension of [SnapPy] written by the second author, is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_K(t) = & t^{10} + (-2z^2 - 3z - 7)t^9 + (12z + 29)t^8 + (5z^2 - 4z - 72)t^7 + (z^2 - 11z + 115)t^6 \\ & + (-4z^2 + 16z - 128)t^5 + (z^2 - 11z + 115)t^4 + (5z^2 - 4z - 72)t^3 + (12z + 29)t^2 \\ & + (-2z^2 - 3z - 7)t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This polynomial is irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}(z)$ as is $\mathcal{T}_K(t^2)$. However $\mathcal{T}_K(-t^2) = f(t)f(-t)$, where

$$f(t) = t^{10} + (-z^2 - z + 1)t^9 + 5t^8 + (-3z + 2)t^7 + (z^2 - 2z + 7)t^6 - 4zt^5 \\ + (z^2 - 2z + 7)t^4 + (-3z + 2)t^3 + 5t^2 + (-z^2 - z + 1)t + 1.$$

That is, to apply [HLN06, Theorem 5] to the hyperbolic torsion polynomial, one must take the *opposite* sign convention for the trace of a meridian of the one taken in [DFJ12].

Example 3.2. Consider the knot $K = 12n553$. A computation with SnapPy [SnapPy] shows that K has an order-2 symmetry that preserves the orientation on S^3 and an orientation on K . Classical techniques such as the Alexander polynomial factorization conditions of Hartley [Har81] and Murasugi [Mur71] cannot determine whether this symmetry is 2-periodic or freely 2-periodic. However, Theorem 1.4 does. Indeed, the trace field of K is $\mathbf{Q}(z)$, where z satisfies $z^8 + z^6 - 3z^5 + 3z^4 + 5z^2 - z + 2$, and

$$\mathcal{T}_K(t) = \left(\frac{5}{4}z^7 - \frac{9}{4}z^6 + \frac{7}{2}z^5 - \frac{9}{4}z^4 + 7z^3 - 9z^2 + \frac{9}{4}z + \frac{23}{2}\right)t^6 \\ + (-8z^7 + 20z^6 - 32z^5 + 16z^4 - 52z^3 + 84z^2 - 20z - 88)t^5 \\ + \left(\frac{37}{2}z^7 - \frac{123}{2}z^6 + 100z^5 - \frac{75}{2}z^4 + 140z^3 - 271z^2 + \frac{123}{2}z + 259\right)t^4 \\ + (-24z^7 + 88z^6 - 144z^5 + 48z^4 - 192z^3 + 392z^2 - 88z - 368)t^3 \\ + \left(\frac{37}{2}z^7 - \frac{123}{2}z^6 + 100z^5 - \frac{75}{2}z^4 + 140z^3 - 271z^2 + \frac{123}{2}z + 259\right)t^2 \\ + (-8z^7 + 20z^6 - 32z^5 + 16z^4 - 52z^3 + 84z^2 - 20z - 88)t \\ + \frac{5}{4}z^7 - \frac{9}{4}z^6 + \frac{7}{2}z^5 - \frac{9}{4}z^4 + 7z^3 - 9z^2 + \frac{9}{4}z + \frac{23}{2}.$$

Note that the coefficients of this polynomial are algebraic integers. We then check that $\mathcal{T}_K(-t^2)$ is irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}(z)$ using [Sage] so that K cannot be freely 2-periodic. The knot 12n556 has the same hyperbolic torsion, so that the same analysis applies.

Remark 3.3. For knots that are freely p -periodic for p odd, both discrete faithful representations are in the image of discrete faithful representations under \widehat{p} as one can find with a similar calculation to that in Lemma 2.5. In short, one only has to pay attention to lifting issues when considering knots with even order free periods. These, however, are usually the most difficult case.

REFERENCES

- [BLZ02] S. Boyer, E. Luft, and X. Zhang. “On the algebraic components of the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ character varieties of knot exteriors”. *Topology* 41.4 (2002), pp. 667–694.
- [BRW23] K. Boyle, N. Rouse, and B. Williams. “A classification of symmetries of knots” (2023). arXiv: [2306.04812](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.04812) [math.GT].
- [Cal06] D. Calegari. “Real places and torus bundles”. *Geom. Dedicata* 118 (2006), pp. 209–227.
- [CCGLS94] D. Cooper, M. Culler, H. Gillet, D. D. Long, and P. B. Shalen. “Plane curves associated to character varieties of 3-manifolds”. *Invent. Math.* 118.1 (1994), pp. 47–84.
- [CGLS87] M. Culler, C. M. Gordon, J. Luecke, and P. B. Shalen. “Dehn surgery on knots”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 125.2 (1987), pp. 237–300.
- [Chu17] M. Chu. “Detecting essential surfaces as intersections in the character variety”. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 17.5 (2017), pp. 2893–2914.

- [CLT17] A. Casella, F. Luo, and S. Tillmann. “Pseudo-developing maps for ideal triangulations II: Positively oriented ideal triangulations of cone-manifolds”. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 145.8 (2017), pp. 3543–3560.
- [CMM13] A. Cattabriga, E. Manfredi, and M. Mulazzani. “On knots and links in lens spaces”. *Topology Appl.* 160.2 (2013), pp. 430–442.
- [CRS22] T. Chinburg, A. W. Reid, and M. Stover. “Azumaya algebras and canonical components”. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 7 (2022), pp. 4969–5036.
- [CS83] M. Culler and P. B. Shalen. “Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 117.1 (1983), pp. 109–146.
- [DFJ12] N. M. Dunfield, S. Friedl, and N. Jackson. “Twisted Alexander polynomials of hyperbolic knots”. *Exp. Math.* 21.4 (2012), pp. 329–352.
- [Dun99] N. M. Dunfield. “Cyclic surgery, degrees of maps of character curves, and volume rigidity for hyperbolic manifolds”. *Invent. Math.* 136.3 (1999), pp. 623–657.
- [Har81] R. Hartley. “Knots with free period”. *Canadian J. Math.* 33.1 (1981), pp. 91–102.
- [HLM00] H. M. Hilden, M. T. Lozano, and J. M. Montesinos-Amilibia. “On the character variety of periodic knots and links”. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 129.3 (2000), pp. 477–490.
- [HLN06] J. A. Hillman, C. Livingston, and S. Naik. “Twisted Alexander polynomials of periodic knots”. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 6 (2006), pp. 145–169.
- [KT16] B. Klaff and S. Tillmann. “A birationality result for character varieties”. *Math. Res. Lett.* 23.4 (2016), pp. 1099–1110.
- [Mat02] T. W. Mattman. “The Culler-Shalen seminorms of the $(-2, 3, n)$ pretzel knot”. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* 11.8 (2002), pp. 1251–1289.
- [Mey76] M. D. Meyerson. “Representing homology classes of closed orientable surfaces”. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 61.1 (1976), 181–182 (1977).
- [MR03] C. Maclachlan and A. W. Reid. *The arithmetic of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*. Vol. 219. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [Mur71] K. Murasugi. “On periodic knots”. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 46 (1971), pp. 162–174.
- [ORS08] T. Ohtsuki, R. Riley, and M. Sakuma. “Epimorphisms between 2-bridge link groups”. *The Zieschang Gedenkschrift*. Vol. 14. Geom. Topol. Monogr. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2008, pp. 417–450.
- [Por17] J. Porti. “Character varieties and knot symmetries”. *Winter Braids Lect. Notes* 4 (2017), Exp. No. 2, 21.
- [PP18] L. Paoluzzi and J. Porti. “Invariant character varieties of hyperbolic knots with symmetries”. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 165.2 (2018), pp. 193–208.
- [Pur20] J. S. Purcell. *Hyperbolic knot theory*. Vol. 209. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2020.
- [Rou21] N. Rouse. “Arithmetic of the canonical component of the knot 7_4 ”. *New York J. Math.* 27 (2021), pp. 1494–1523.
- [Rou22] N. Rouse. *SnapPyNT*. <https://github.com/nicholasrouse/snappy-nt>. 2022.
- [Sage] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.5)*. <https://www.sagemath.org>. 2024.
- [Sch76] J. A. Schafer. “Representing homology classes on surfaces”. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 19.3 (1976), pp. 373–374.
- [SnapPy] M. Culler, N. M. Dunfield, M. Goerner, and J. R. Weeks. *SnapPy, a computer program for studying the geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*. Available at <http://snappy.computop.org> (14/02/2024).