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#### Abstract

This study deals with certain harmonic zeta functions, one of them occurs in the study of the multiplication property of the harmonic Hurwitz zeta function. The values at the negative even integers are found and Laurent expansions at poles are described. Closed-form expressions are derived for the Stieltjes constants that occur in Laurent expansions in a neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{s}=\mathbf{1}$. Moreover, as a bonus, it is obtained that the values at the positive odd integers of three harmonic zeta functions can be expressed in closed-form evaluations in terms of zeta values and log-sine integrals.
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## 1 Introduction

The Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(s, a)$ defined by the series

$$
\zeta(s, a)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+a)^{s}}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s)>1
$$

$a \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$ has a meromorphic continuation to the complex $s$-plane with simple pole at $s=1$. It is well known that around this simple pole, $\zeta(s, a)$ has the following Laurent expansion:

$$
\zeta(s, a)=\frac{1}{s-1}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \frac{\gamma(m, a)}{m!}(s-1)^{m}
$$

where the coefficients $\gamma(m, a)$ are called generalized Stieltjes constants. In particular, for $a=1$, $\zeta(s, 1)=\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function and $\gamma(m, 1)=\gamma(m)$ are the Stieltjes constants (see for example $[14,32])$. The constant $\gamma(0)$ is the famous Euler-Mascheroni constant: $\gamma=\gamma(0)=$ $0.5772156649 \ldots$.. It is shown that these constants can be presented by the limit

$$
\gamma(m, a)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\log ^{m}(n+a)}{n+a}-\frac{\log ^{m+1}(N+a)}{m+1}\right)
$$

(see [7]). There is comprehensive literature on deriving series and integral representations, which usually allow a more accurate estimation, for the Stieltjes constants and their extensions (see for example $[1,7-9,15,16,19-23,27,29,41]$ ).

The harmonic zeta function, the Dirichlet series associated with the harmonic numbers $H_{n}=$ $1+1 / 2+\cdots+1 / n$, is defined by

$$
\zeta_{H}(s)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_{k}}{k^{s}}, \operatorname{Re}(s)>1
$$

and subject to many studies. Euler [25, pp. 217-264] has shown that special values of the harmonic zeta function have relations to those of the Riemann zeta values. Apostol and Vu [5], and Matsuoka [33] have shown that the function $\zeta_{H}$ can be holomorphically continued to the whole $s$-plane except for the poles at $s=1, s=0$ and $s=1-2 j, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Later, Boyadzhiev et al. [11] and Candelpergher and Coppo [18] deal with the Laurent expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{H}(s)=\frac{a_{-1}}{s-b}+a_{0}+O(s-b) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and give explicitly $a_{0}$ when $b=0$ and $b=1-2 j, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Besides, in [18] and [15], it has been recorded that the harmonic Stieltjes constants $\gamma_{H}(m)$ can be expressed as

$$
\gamma_{H}(m)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{H_{n} \ln ^{m} n}{n}-\frac{\ln ^{m+2} x}{m+2}-\gamma \frac{\ln ^{m+1} x}{m+1}\right)
$$

and $\gamma_{H}(0)=\left(\zeta(2)+\gamma^{2}\right) / 2$, where $\gamma_{H}(m)$ are defined by

$$
\zeta_{H}(s)=\frac{1}{(s-1)^{2}}+\frac{\gamma}{s-1}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \frac{\gamma_{H}(m)}{m!}(s-1)^{m}, 0<|s-1|<1
$$

It is worth mentioning that, in Alkan [2], certain real numbers and log-sine integrals have been shown as a combination of special values of the harmonic zeta function and the Riemann zeta function, which provided strong approximations for them. Recently, Alzer and Choi [3] have introduced four types of parametric Euler sums, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{z, s}^{++}(a, b)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{n}^{(z)}(a)}{(n+b)^{s}}, S_{z, s}^{+-}(a, b)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+1} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{n}^{(z)}(a)}{(n+b)^{s}}, \\
& S_{z, s}^{-+}(a, b)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{A}_{n}^{(z)}(a)}{(n+b)^{s}}, S_{z, s}^{--}(a, b)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+1} \frac{\mathcal{A}_{n}^{(z)}(a)}{(n+b)^{s}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{H}_{n}^{(z)}(a)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+a)^{z}} \text { and } \mathcal{A}_{n}^{(z)}(a)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k+a)^{z}},
$$

$a, b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{-1,-2,-3, \ldots\}$ and $s, z \in \mathbb{C}$ are adjusted so that the involved defining series converge. They have investigated analytic continuations via summation formulas and given shuffle relations. Very recently, in [29], we have studied the harmonic Hurwitz zeta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{H}(s, a):=S_{1, s}^{++}(a-1, a-1)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n}(a)}{(n+a)^{s}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1,-2,-3, \ldots\}, \operatorname{Re}(s)>1$ and $H_{n}(a)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} 1 /(k+a)$. Among others, they have investigated analytic continuation via contour integral and introduced a limit presentation for the
constants $\gamma_{H}(m, a)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{H}(s, a)=\frac{1}{(s-1)^{2}}+\frac{\gamma(0, a)}{s-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\gamma_{H}(n, a)}{n!}(s-1)^{n}, 0<|s-1|<1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and closed-form expressions for $\gamma_{H}(m, 1)$ and $\gamma_{H}(m, 1 / 2)$ in terms of certain constants and integrals.
We note that $H_{n}=H_{n-1}(1)=\mathcal{H}_{n}^{(1)}(0), \mathcal{H}_{n}^{(1)}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=2 O(n)=2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2 k-1}, \mathcal{A}_{n}^{(1)}(0)=H_{n}^{-}=$ $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}$, the skew harmonic numbers, and $H_{n-1}(a)=\mathcal{H}_{n}^{(1)}(a-1)$. It is obvious that $S_{1, s}^{++}(0,0)$ is the harmonic zeta function $\zeta_{H}(s)$, and refer to $[6,10,17,24,28,30,31,34,35,37,38,42]$ for studies on several types of Euler sums. In particular, analytic continuations of $S_{1, s}^{+-}(0,0)=\eta_{H}(s)$, $S_{1, s}^{--}(0,0)=\eta_{H^{-}}(s)$ and $S_{1, s}^{-+}(0,0)=\zeta_{H^{-}}(s)$ have been investigated by Boyadzhiev et al. in [12]. It is known that the values $\zeta_{H}(m)$ (cf. [25]), $\eta_{H}(2 m), \eta_{H^{-}}(2 m)$ (cf. [36] or [26, Theorem 7.1]), $S_{1, m}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(c f .\left[39\right.\right.$, Theorem 1]), $\zeta_{O}(2 m)$ and $\zeta_{H}(2 m, 1 / 2)$ (cf. [28, Eqs. (8a) and (9a)]) have closedform evaluation formulas in terms of zeta values. In contrast to that for integers $m \geq 1$, the values $\eta_{H}(2 m+1), \eta_{H^{-}}(2 m+1), \zeta_{O}(2 m+1)$ and $\zeta_{H}(2 m+1,1 / 2)$ do not directly admit any closed-form evaluations in terms of other well-known constants. With this one, Alkan [2] shows that $\zeta_{O}(m)$ can always be written as a combination of log-sine integrals over $[0,2 \pi]$ and $[0, \pi]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{O}(m)=\mathbb{Q} \pi^{m+1}+\sum_{v=1}^{(m-1) / 2} \mathbb{Q}(\pi) J_{2 v+1,2 \pi}+\sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{Q}(\pi) J_{v, \pi}, m \in 2 \mathbb{N}+1, \\
& \zeta_{O}(m)=\mathbb{Q} \pi^{m+1}+\sum_{v=1}^{(m-1) / 2} \mathbb{Q}(\pi) I_{2 v+1,2 \pi}+\sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{Q}(\pi) I_{v, \pi}, m \in 2 \mathbb{N}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ denotes the totally transcendental extension obtained by adjoining $\pi$ to $\mathbb{Q}$, and

$$
I_{m, x}=\int_{0}^{x} t^{m} \log \left(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) d t \text { and } J_{m, x}=\int_{0}^{x} t^{m} \log ^{2}\left(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) d t .
$$

### 1.1 Outline of the study

In this study, we first consider the harmonic-type zeta function

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n}(k)}{n^{s}}
$$

where the numbers $A_{n}(k)$ are defined by the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\ln (1-x)}{1-x^{k}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}(k) x^{n} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\zeta_{A(k)}$ occurs in the study of the multiplication property of the harmonic Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta_{H}(s, a)$ :
Proposition 1.1. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{k} \zeta_{H}\left(s, \frac{a}{k}\right)=k^{s+1} \zeta_{A(k)}(s) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using contour integral we prove the following theorem, which shows that $\zeta_{A(k)}(s)$ has an analytic continuation to the whole complex $s$-plane except for the poles $s=1, s=0$ and $s=1-2 j, j \in \mathbb{N}$.
Theorem 1.2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=\Gamma(1-s) I(s, k)+\zeta(s+1)-\frac{1}{k^{s}}\left(\psi(s) \zeta(s)+\zeta^{\prime}(s)-\zeta(s) \log k\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I(s, k)$ is defined by (13).
The proofs of Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are given in Section 2. With the help of (7), in Section 3, we determine the values $\zeta_{A(k)}(-2 n)$ and describe the Laurent expansion of $\zeta_{A(k)}(s)$ at the poles $s=1, s=0$ and $s=1-2 j, j \in \mathbb{N}$. In addition, for $\gamma_{A(k)}(m)$ (the Laurent coefficients of $\zeta_{A(k)}(s)$ in a neighborhood of $s=1$ ) we give the closed-form expression (19) and the limit representation (23), by modifying the method of Briggs and Buschman [13].

Secondly, in Section 4, we offer two closed-form evaluation formulas for $\gamma_{H}(m, 1 / 2)$, the first one depends on (19) and the second one depends on the relationship between $\zeta_{H}(s, 1 / 2), \zeta_{H}(s)$ and $\eta_{H^{-}}(s)$. These two formulas provide a contribution to the evaluation of $\gamma_{H^{-}}(m)$, the Laurent coefficient of $\zeta_{\mathrm{H}^{-}}(s)$ in a neighborhood of $s=1$.

Thirdly, we express $\zeta_{O}(s)$ and $S_{1, s}^{++}(0,1 / 2)$ in terms of $\zeta_{H}(s), \eta_{H}(s)$ and $\zeta_{H}(s, 1 / 2)$. More precisely, in Section 5, we prove the following.
Theorem 1.3. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1, s}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)=2^{s}\left(\eta_{H}(s)+\zeta_{H}(s)\right)-\zeta_{H}\left(s, \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{O}(s)=\frac{1}{2}\left(2^{s}-1\right) \zeta_{H}(s)-2^{s-1} \eta_{H}(s) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (8) and (9) lead closed-form expressions for $d_{m}$ and $\gamma_{O}(m)$, the Laurent coefficients of $S_{1, s}^{++}(0,1 / 2)$ and $\zeta_{O}(s)$ in a neighborhood of $s=1$, respectively. Besides, we reach at the values $S_{1,-2 m}^{++}(0,1 / 2)$ and $\zeta_{O}(-2 m)$, where $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. As a bonus, the equations (8) and (9) with (34) allow us to write the values $\eta_{H}(m), \eta_{H^{-}}(m)$ and $\zeta_{H}(m, 1 / 2)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
2^{m-1} \eta_{H}(m) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(2^{m}-1\right) \zeta_{H}(m)-\zeta_{O}(m)  \tag{10}\\
\zeta_{H}\left(m, \frac{1}{2}\right) & =\left(2^{m+1}-1\right) \zeta_{H}(m)-2 \zeta_{O}(m)-S_{1, m}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right),  \tag{11}\\
2^{m} \eta_{H^{-}}(m) & =2^{m} \zeta_{H}(m)-2 \zeta_{O}(m)-S_{1, m}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

for $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, (10)-(12) and (4) show that the values $\eta_{H}(m), \eta_{H^{-}}(m)$ and $\zeta_{H}(m, 1 / 2)$ can also be expressed in closed-form evaluations in terms of zeta values and log-sine integrals over $[0,2 \pi]$ and $[0, \pi]$.

As a demonstration of the formulas (19), (29) or (30), (36), (39) and (40) we present the first few values of the corresponding Stieltjes constants:

| $\gamma_{A(k)}(n)$ | $k=2$ | $k=3$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $n=0$ | $1.02587476785559324 \ldots$ | $1.06996099339579407 \ldots$ |
| $n=1$ | $0.35594914036775009 \ldots$ | $0.33314014622807110 \ldots$ |
| $n=2$ | $0.93150345624786643 \ldots$ | $0.88997380163684287 \ldots$ |
| $n=3$ | $2.93741964148769000 \ldots$ | $2.88842478274497700 \ldots$ |
| $n=4$ | $11.8842517416686066 \ldots$ | $11.8175214586523864 \ldots$ |
| $n=5$ | $59.6981596440678285 \ldots$ | $59.5558093930507495 \ldots$ |
|  | $k=4$ | $k=5$ |
| $n=0$ | $1.10841178277676204 \ldots$ | $1.14109065573389757 \ldots$ |
| $n=1$ | $0.32209830233514756 \ldots$ | $0.31774187945874161 \ldots$ |
| $n=2$ | $0.85300634735541063 \ldots$ | $0.82164783744427303 \ldots$ |
| $n=3$ | $2.83187968374836900 \ldots$ | $2.77288405256252639 \ldots$ |
| $n=4$ | $11.7351946009035882 \ldots$ | $11.6393361732958488 \ldots$ |
| $n=5$ | $59.4016636386555705 \ldots$ | $59.2271261821701563 \ldots$ |


|  | $\gamma_{H}(n, 1 / 2)$ | $\gamma_{H^{-}}(n)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n=0$ | $+4.395086911415665 \ldots$ | $+0.42762775101889 \ldots$ |
| $n=1$ | $-2.209626703485579 \ldots$ | $-0.16151097065250 \ldots$ |
| $n=2$ | $+2.919070396332389 \ldots$ | $-0.05863891452811 \ldots$ |
| $n=3$ | $+1.632080580126146 \ldots$ | $-0.02184763116814 \ldots$ |
| $n=4$ | $+12.85545704209538 \ldots$ | $-0.00679716118626 \ldots$ |
| $n=5$ | $+59.20224186218603 \ldots$ | $-0.00072943328309 \ldots$ |
| $n=6$ | $+359.9761038476681 \ldots$ | $+0.00089596703007 \ldots$ |
| $n=7$ | $+2518.053983773233 \ldots$ | $+0.00006691948659 \ldots$ |
| $n=8$ | $+20153.65275669922 \ldots$ | $-0.00201181883640 \ldots$ |
| $n=9$ | $+181410.3238030236 \ldots$ | $-0.00437336373504 \ldots$ |
|  | $\gamma_{O}(n)$ | $d_{n}$ |
| $n=0$ | $+0.55260938885958 \ldots$ | $+0.02814996749151 \ldots$ |
| $n=1$ | $-0.47923813171300 \ldots$ | $+0.85147471204914 \ldots$ |
| $n=2$ | $+0.56056563855360 \ldots$ | $-1.11455232720134 \ldots$ |
| $n=3$ | $-0.56582227536904 \ldots$ | $+1.16603391454342 \ldots$ |
| $n=4$ | $+0.53307981193217 \ldots$ | $-1.00064761848714 \ldots$ |
| $n=5$ | $-0.31150731317173 \ldots$ | $+0.77991738610518 \ldots$ |
| $n=6$ | $+0.14509282444820 \ldots$ | $+0.17758339430635 \ldots$ |
| $n=7$ | $+0.86693551972323 \ldots$ | $-0.09508032673120 \ldots$ |
| $n=8$ | $+0.61277165952460 \ldots$ | $+5.33425919693367 \ldots$ |
| $n=9$ | $+8.81674734666844 \ldots$ | $+11.8927079050673 \ldots$ |

(see [29, p. 3 and p. 15] for the numerical values of $\gamma_{H}(n)$ and $\eta_{H}^{(v)}(1)=\gamma_{\widetilde{H}}(n)$ used in calculations.)
Throughout this study, a sum that is empty is regarded as zero, and thus $H_{0}=0$.

## 2 Proofs of Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

### 2.1 Proof of Proposition 1.1

We make use of

$$
\zeta_{H}(s, a)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{1-e^{-x}} e^{-x a} \Phi\left(e^{-x}, 1 ; a\right) d x
$$

[29, Eq. (14)] to deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a=1}^{k} \zeta_{H}\left(s, \frac{a}{k}\right) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{a=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{1-e^{-x}} e^{-x \frac{a}{k}} \Phi\left(e^{-x}, 1 ; \frac{a}{k}\right) d x \\
& =\frac{k}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{1-e^{-x}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{k} \frac{e^{-(k n+a) x / k}}{k n+a} d x \\
& \stackrel{a+n k=j}{=} \frac{k}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{1-e^{-x}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-j(x / k)}}{j} d x \\
& =-\frac{k^{s+1}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \frac{\ln \left(1-e^{-t}\right)}{1-e^{-k t}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

We now exploit (5) and see that

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{k} \zeta_{H}\left(s, \frac{a}{k}\right)=\frac{k^{s+1}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}(k) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-n t} d t
$$

$$
=k^{s+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n}(k)}{n^{s}}
$$

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(s, k)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{z^{s-1} e^{k z}}{e^{k z}-1} \log \left(\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right) d z \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $C$ denotes the Hankel contour which starts from $-\infty$ along the lower side of the negative real axis, encircles the origin once in the positive (counter-clockwise) direction and then returns to $-\infty$ along the upper side of the negative real axis. The loop $C$ consists of the parts $C=C_{-} \cup C_{+} \cup C_{\varepsilon}$, where $C_{\varepsilon}$ is a positively-oriented circle of radius $\varepsilon$ about the origin, and $C_{-}$and $C_{+}$are the lower and upper edges of a cut in the complex $z$-plane along the negative real axis.

We focus on the integral $I(s, k)$. Since $z=x e^{-\pi i}$ on $C_{-}, z=x e^{\pi i}$ on $C_{+}$and $z=\varepsilon e^{i \theta}$ on $C_{\varepsilon}$ we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(s, k) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{C_{-}}+\int_{C_{+}}+\int_{C_{\varepsilon}}\right) \frac{z^{s-1} e^{k z}}{e^{k z}-1} \log \left(\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right) d z \\
& =\frac{\sin (s \pi)}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} e^{-k x} \log \left(\frac{1-e^{-x}}{x}\right)}{e^{-k x}-1} d x+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{\varepsilon}} \frac{z^{s-1} e^{k z} \log \left(\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right)}{e^{k z}-1} d z .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$ the function $\frac{z^{s-1} e^{k z}}{e^{k z}-1} \log \left(\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $z=0$. So the integral over $C_{\varepsilon}$ vanishes. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we reach at

$$
\begin{align*}
I(s, k) & =\frac{\sin (s \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} e^{-k x}}{e^{-k x}-1} \log \left(\frac{1-e^{-x}}{x}\right) d x  \tag{14}\\
& =\frac{\sin (s \pi)}{\pi}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} e^{-k x}}{e^{-k x}-1} \log \left(1-e^{-x}\right) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} e^{-k x}}{1-e^{-k x}} \log x d x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

To calculate the first integral on the RHS we use (5):

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1} \frac{e^{-k x} \log \left(1-e^{-x}\right)}{e^{-x k}-1} d x=\Gamma(s) \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n-k}(k)}{n^{s}}
$$

It can be seen from (5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}(k) x^{n} & =-\frac{\ln (1-x)}{1-x^{k}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{j \geq 0, n \geq 1 \\
n+k j=m}}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m}}{m-k j}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{m-1}{k}\right\rfloor} \frac{1}{m-k j} x^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
A_{n}(k)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / k\rfloor} \frac{1}{n-k j}=\sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \equiv n(\bmod k)}}^{n} \frac{1}{v}
$$

where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ stands for the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover the numbers $A_{n}(k)$ may be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(k)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{f_{n}(j)}{j} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f_{n}(j)= \begin{cases}1, & j \equiv n(\bmod k) \\ 0, & j \not \equiv n(\bmod k)\end{cases}
$$

We then find that

$$
A_{n-k}(k)=A_{n}(k)-\frac{1}{n}
$$

and

$$
A_{n}(k)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{f_{n}(j)}{j}=\frac{f_{n}(n)}{n}=\frac{1}{n}, 1 \leq n \leq k .
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} e^{-k x}}{e^{-x k}-1} \log \left(1-e^{-x}\right) d x=\Gamma(s) \zeta_{A(k)}(s)-\Gamma(s) \zeta(s+1)
$$

For the second integral we take advantage of

$$
\Gamma(s) \zeta(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1} e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} d t
$$

and find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} e^{-k x}}{1-e^{-k x}} \log x d x & =\frac{1}{k^{s}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1} e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} \log t d t-\frac{\log k}{k^{s}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1} e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{k^{s}} \Gamma^{\prime}(s) \zeta(s)+\frac{1}{k^{s}} \Gamma(s) \zeta^{\prime}(s)-\frac{\log k}{k^{s}} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the results gives (7).
Since $I(n, k)=0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the singularities of $\zeta_{A(k)}(s)$ arise from the poles of $\zeta(s+1), \psi(s) \zeta(s)$, $\zeta^{\prime}(s)$ and $\zeta(s)$. There is a second-order pole at $s=1$ arising from the term $\zeta^{\prime}(s) / k^{s}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}\left(\zeta_{A(k)}, s=1\right)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{d s}\left\{(s-1)^{2} \zeta_{A(k)}(s)\right\}=\frac{\gamma}{k} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Res}(f(s), s=a)$ stands for the residue of $f(s)$ at $s=a$.
Moreover, there are simple poles at $s=0$ and $s=1-2 j, j \in \mathbb{N}$, arising from $\zeta(s+1)$ and/or $\psi(s) \zeta(s)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}\left(\zeta_{A(k)}, s=0\right) & =1+\zeta(0) \\
\operatorname{Res}\left(\zeta_{A(k)}, s=1-2 j\right) & =k^{2 j-1} \zeta(1-2 j)=-k^{2 j-1} \frac{B_{2 j}}{2 j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Laurent coefficients and the values $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{A(k)}(-2 n)$

In this section we study the special values $\zeta_{A(k)}(-m)(k, m \in \mathbb{N})$, and Laurent series expansions at its poles via integral representation stated in Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2 and (16) make it obvious that the Laurent series of the function $\zeta_{A(k)}(s)$ in a neighborhood of $s=1$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=\frac{1}{(s-1)^{2}} \frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{s-1} \frac{\gamma}{k}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \frac{\gamma_{A(k)}(m)}{m!}(s-1)^{m} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding both sides of (6) in a Laurent series at $s=1$ gives

$$
\frac{k}{(s-1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{a=1}^{k} \gamma\left(0, \frac{a}{k}\right)+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{(s-1)^{n}}{n!} \sum_{a=1}^{k} \gamma_{H}\left(n, \frac{a}{k}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{k}{(s-1)^{2}}+k \frac{\ln k+\gamma}{s-1}+k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\ln ^{n+2} k}{(n+2)!}+\gamma \frac{\ln ^{n+1} k}{(n+1)!}\right)(s-1)^{n} \\
& +k^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}(-1)^{j} \gamma_{A(k)}(j) \ln ^{n-j}(k) \frac{(s-1)^{n}}{n!}
\end{aligned}
$$

upon the use of (3) and (17). This yields the following multiplication property for $\gamma_{H}(n, b)$ :
Corollary 3.1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{a=1}^{k} \gamma_{H}\left(n, \frac{a}{k}\right) & =(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{\ln k}{n+2}+\gamma\right) \frac{k \ln ^{n+1} k}{n+1} \\
& +k^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}(-1)^{n-j} \gamma_{A(k)}(j) \ln ^{n-j}(k) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The next proposition presents an evaluation formula for the constants $\gamma_{A(k)}(m)$.
Proposition 3.1. For $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{A(k)}(m) & =(-1)^{m} \zeta^{(m)}(2)-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v} \frac{\psi^{(v+1)}(1)}{v+1}(-1)^{v} \log ^{m-v} k \\
& +(-1)^{m} \sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v} g_{m-v} i_{v, k}+\left(\psi(1)-\frac{m+1}{m+2} \log k\right) \frac{\log ^{m+1} k}{k(m+1)} \\
& +\frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v}(\gamma(v) \log k+\gamma(v+1)) \log ^{m-v} k \\
& -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}(-1)^{j}\left(\log ^{m-j} k\right) \sum_{v=0}^{j}\binom{j}{v}(-1)^{v} \psi^{(j-v)}(1) \gamma(v), \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m}=\left.\frac{d^{m}}{d s^{m}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\right|_{s=1} \text { and } i_{m, k}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-k x}}{e^{-k x}-1} \log \left(\frac{1-e^{-x}}{x}\right) \log ^{m} x d x \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We focus on the RHS of (7). To expand $\Gamma(1-s) I(s, k)$ into a series we use (14) and write $\Gamma(1-s) I(s, k)$ as

$$
\Gamma(1-s) I(s, k)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} e^{-k x}}{e^{-k x}-1} \log \left(\frac{1-e^{-x}}{x}\right) d x
$$

Hence we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(1-s) I(s, k) & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_{m}}{m!}(s-1)^{m} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{i_{m, k}}{m!}(s-1)^{m} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v} g_{m-v} i_{v, k} \frac{(s-1)^{m}}{m!}
\end{aligned}
$$

since the integral on the RHS and the function $1 / \Gamma(s)$ are analytic at $s=1$. Notwithstanding, we employ the known Laurent expansions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(s) \zeta(s)= & \frac{\psi(1)}{s-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi^{(n+1)}(1)}{n+1} \frac{(s-1)^{n}}{n!} \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^{n-k} \gamma(n-k) \psi^{(k)}(1) \frac{(s-1)^{n}}{n!}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\zeta(s)=\frac{1}{s-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \gamma(n)}{n!}(s-1)^{n}
$$

Therefore, we find from (7) and (17) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} \gamma_{A(k)}(m)}{m!}(s-1)^{m} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v} g_{m-v} i_{v, k}+\zeta^{(m)}(2)\right) \frac{(s-1)^{m}}{m!} \\
& +\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m}\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v} \gamma(v) \log ^{m-v+1} k-\frac{\log k-\psi(1)}{k} \frac{\log ^{m+1} k}{m+1}\right) \frac{(s-1)^{m}}{m!} \\
& -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v}(-1)^{m-v} \frac{\psi^{(v+1)}(1)\left(\log ^{m-v} k\right)}{v+1} \frac{(s-1)^{m}}{m!} \\
& +\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{k}\left(\frac{\log ^{m+2} k}{(m+2)(m+1)}+\sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v} \gamma(v+1) \log ^{m-v} k\right) \frac{(s-1)^{m}}{m!} \\
& -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}(-1)^{m-j}\left(\log ^{m-j} k\right) \sum_{v=0}^{j}\binom{j}{v}(-1)^{v} \psi^{(j-v)}(1) \gamma(v) \frac{(s-1)^{m}}{m!}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields the desired result.
In particular, for $k=1$, (19) coincides with the evaluation formula of $\gamma_{H}(m)$ recorded in [29]:
Corollary 3.2. ([29, Proposition 13]) For $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{H}(m) & =(-1)^{m} \zeta^{(m)}(2)+\gamma(m+1) \frac{(-1)^{m} \psi^{(m+1)}(1)}{m+1} \\
& +(-1)^{m} \sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v}\left(g_{m-v} i_{v, 1}-(-1)^{m-v} \psi^{(m-v)}(1) \gamma(v)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{m}$ and $i_{m, 1}$ are given by (20).
While considering Theorem 1.2 for non-positive integer values of $s$, we need the coefficients $D_{n, k}$ defined by the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z e^{k z}}{e^{k z}-1} \log \left(\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n, k} z^{n} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $k=1$ is nothing but Eq. (6) of [11]. Since

$$
\log \left(\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n}(1)}{n!n} z^{n}
$$

(cf. [11]) where $B_{n}(x)$ are the Bernoulli polynomials defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z e^{x z}}{e^{z}-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n}(x)}{n!} z^{n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{n, k}$ can be written as

$$
D_{n, k}=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{n}{j} \frac{k^{n-1-j}}{j} B_{n-j} B_{j}
$$

where $B_{j}=B_{j}(0)$ are the Bernoulli numbers.

Corollary 3.3. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(-2 m)=\left(\frac{k^{2 m-1}}{2}-\frac{1}{4 m}\right) B_{2 m}
$$

Proof. Theorem 1.2 yields to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{A(k)}(-2 m) & =\Gamma(2 m+1) I(-2 m, k)+\zeta(1-2 m) \\
& -k^{2 m}\left(\lim _{s \rightarrow-2 m} \psi(s) \zeta(s)+\zeta^{\prime}(-2 m)-\zeta(-2 m) \log k\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The values $I(-2 m, k)$ can be evaluated by using the residue theorem with (21) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(-2 m, k) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{\epsilon}} \frac{z e^{k z}}{e^{k z}-1} \log \left(\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right) z^{-2 m-1} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& =D_{2 m+1, k} \\
& =-\frac{B_{2 m} B_{1}}{(2 m)!}\left(k^{2 m-1}+\frac{1}{2 m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used that $B_{2 j+1}=0$ for $j \geq 1$. Utilizing the facts

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow-2 m} \frac{\zeta(s)}{s+2 m}=\zeta^{\prime}(-2 m) \text { and } \lim _{s \rightarrow-2 m}(s+2 m) \psi(s)=-1
$$

gives

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow-2 m} \psi(s) \zeta(s)=-\zeta^{\prime}(-2 m)
$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(-2 m)=B_{2 m}\left(\frac{k^{2 m-1}}{2}-\frac{1}{4 m}\right),
$$

upon the use of $\zeta(-2 m)=0, m \in \mathbb{N}$.
We now consider the cases $s=-m$ when $m=0$ and $m=2 j-1, j \in \mathbb{N}$, in Theorem 1.2 to obtain Laurent series expansions of $\zeta_{A(k)}(s)$ in the form

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=\frac{a_{-1}}{s+m}+a_{0}+O(s+m)
$$

Corollary 3.4. In a neighborhood of zero we have

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=\frac{1}{2 s}+\gamma_{A(k), 0}(0)+O(s),
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{A(k), 0}(0)=\frac{1}{2 k}+\frac{\gamma}{2} .
$$

Proof. From Theorem 1.2 and the expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(s+1) & =\frac{1}{s}+\gamma(0)+O(s), \zeta(s)=\zeta(0)+\zeta^{\prime}(0) s+O\left(s^{2}\right) \\
\psi(s) & =-\frac{1}{s}+\psi(1)+O(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=I(0, k)+\frac{1+\zeta(0)}{s}+\gamma-\psi(1) \zeta(0)+O(s) .
$$

Using the residue theorem with (21) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(0, k) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{z e^{k z}}{e^{k z}-1} \log \left(\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right) z^{-1} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& =D_{1, k}=\frac{1}{2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=\frac{1+\zeta(0)}{s}+\frac{1}{2 k}+\gamma(1+\zeta(0))+O(s) .
$$

Corollary 3.5. Let $j \geq 1$ be an integer. In a neighborhood of $s=1-2 j$ we have

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=\frac{\zeta(1-2 j) k^{2 j-1}}{s+2 j-1}+\gamma_{A(k), 1-2 j}(0)+O(s+2 j-1)
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{A(k), 1-2 j}(0)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{24 k}-\frac{1}{4}-k \psi(2) \zeta(-1), & j=1 \\ \frac{k^{2 j-1}}{4 j} \sum_{v=1}^{j}\binom{2 j}{2 v} \frac{B_{2 j-2 v} B_{2 v}}{v k^{2 v}}-k^{2 j-1} \psi(2 j) \zeta(1-2 j), & j>1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From Theorem 1.2 and

$$
\psi(s)=-\frac{1}{s+m}+\psi(m+1)+O(s+m)
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{A(k)}(s) & =\Gamma(2 j) I(1-2 j, k)+\zeta(2-2 j) \\
& -\frac{1}{k^{s}}\left(-\frac{\zeta(1-2 j)}{s+2 j-1}+\psi(2 j) \zeta(1-2 j)-\zeta(1-2 j) \log k\right)+O(s+2 j-1) \\
& =\Gamma(2 j) I(1-2 j, k)+\zeta(2-2 j) \\
& -k^{2 j-1}\left(-\frac{\zeta(1-2 j)}{s+2 j-1}+\psi(2 j) \zeta(1-2 j)\right)+O(s+2 j-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, from residue theorem with (21) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(1-2 j, k) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{z e^{k z}}{e^{k z}-1} \log \left(\frac{e^{z}-1}{z}\right) z^{-2 j} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& =D_{2 j, k}=\frac{1}{(2 j)!} \sum_{v=1}^{2 j}\binom{2 j}{v} \frac{k^{2 j-1-v}}{v} B_{2 j-v} B_{v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $j=1$, then

$$
I(-1, k)=\frac{1}{2}\left(2 B_{1} B_{1}+\frac{1}{2 k} B_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{24 k}
$$

and if $j>1$, then

$$
I(1-2 j, k)=\frac{1}{(2 j)!} \sum_{v=1}^{j}\binom{2 j}{2 v} \frac{k^{2 j-1-2 v}}{2 v} B_{2 j-2 v} B_{2 v}
$$

Combining the above results, with the use of $\zeta(0)=-1 / 2$ and $\zeta(2-2 j)=0$ for $j>1$, we arrive at

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=\frac{\zeta(1-2 j) k^{2 j-1}}{s+2 j-1}+\gamma_{A(k), 1-2 j}(0)+O(s+2 j-1)
$$

### 3.1 A limit representation for $\gamma_{A(k)}(m)$

In this section we prove the following limit representation for $\gamma_{A(k)}(m)$.
Theorem 3.2. The coefficients $\gamma_{A(k)}(m)$ can be determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{A(k)}(m)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A_{n}(k) \log ^{m} n}{n}-\frac{1}{k} \frac{\log ^{m+2} x}{m+2}-\frac{\gamma}{k} \frac{\log ^{m+1} x}{m+1}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof, we shall need the following lemmas.
Lemma 3.1 (Abel summation formula). (see [4, Theorem 4.2]) If $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of complex numbers and $v(x)$ is a function with a continuous derivative for $x>\alpha$, then

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} b_{n} v(n)=\left(\sum_{n \leq x} b_{n}\right) v(x)-\int_{\alpha}^{x}\left(\sum_{n \leq t} b_{n}\right) v^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

The following lemma follows by setting $b_{n}=A_{n}(k)$ and $v(x)=x^{-s}$ in Lemma 3.1.
Lemma 3.2. For $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$ we have

$$
\zeta_{A(k)}(s)=s \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-s-1}\left(\sum_{n \leq t} A_{n}(k)\right) d t
$$

Lemma 3.3. We have

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} A_{n}(k)=\frac{x}{k} \log (x)+\frac{x}{k}(\gamma-1)+C_{k}+O(\log x)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{k}-\gamma-\frac{3+k}{2 k} \log k+\frac{1}{k^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} j \gamma\left(0, \frac{j}{k}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from (15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{k N} A_{n}(k) & =\sum_{m=1}^{k N} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=m}^{k N} f_{n}(m) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=(j-1) k+1}^{j k} \frac{1}{m}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{N-j} \sum_{n=m+(v-1) k}^{m+v k-1} f_{n}(m)+f_{k N}(m)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{n}(m)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1, n=m+(v-1) k \equiv m(\bmod k) \\ 0, m+(v-1) k<n \leq m+v k-1\end{array}\right.$ we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{k N} A_{n}(k) & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=(j-1) k+1}^{j k} \frac{1}{m}\left(N-j+f_{k N}(m)\right) \\
& =N H_{k N}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} j \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{1}{m+(j-1) k}+\frac{H_{N}}{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the double sum can be evaluated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{j}{m+(j-1) k} & =H_{k N}+\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{j}{m+j k} \\
& =H_{k N}+N-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{m}{m+j k} \\
& =H_{k N}+N-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{m}{k} H_{N-1}\left(\frac{m}{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{k N} A_{n}(k)=N H_{k N}+\frac{H_{N}}{k}-H_{k N}-N+\frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{m}{k} H_{N-1}\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)
$$

We now use the well-known identities

$$
H_{N}=\log N+\gamma+\frac{1}{2 N}+O\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}}\right), \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
H_{N}(a)=\log (N+a)+\gamma(0, a)+O\left(\frac{1}{N+a}\right), \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty
$$

Hence we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{k N} A_{n}(k) & =N \log k N+N(\gamma-1)+\frac{3-k}{2 k} \log (N) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right) \gamma-\log k+\frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{m}{k} \gamma\left(0, \frac{m}{k}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x} A_{n}(k) & =\frac{x}{k} \log (x)+\frac{x}{k}(\gamma-1) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right) \gamma-\frac{3+k}{2 k} \log k+\frac{1}{k^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} j \gamma\left(0, \frac{j}{k}\right)+O(\log x)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 3.3 we set

$$
\begin{align*}
E(x, k) & =\sum_{n \leq x} A_{n}(k)-\frac{x}{k} \log x-\frac{x}{k}(\gamma-1)-C_{k} \\
& =O(\log x) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let

$$
f(s, k)=s \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-s-1} E(t, k) d t
$$

Then, for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$,

$$
f(s, k)=\frac{1}{k}-\frac{\gamma}{k}-C_{k}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \frac{\gamma_{A(k)}(m)}{m!}(s-1)^{m}
$$

where $C_{k}$ is given by (24).
Proof. For $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$ the integral is an analytic function. Moreover, for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$, it follows from (25) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-s-1} E(t, k) d t & =\frac{1 / k}{(s-1)^{2}}+\frac{\gamma / k}{s-1}-\frac{s}{k} \frac{1}{(s-1)^{2}}-\frac{\gamma-1}{k} \frac{s}{s-1} \\
& -C_{k}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} \gamma_{A(k)}(m)}{m!}(s-1)^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the desired conclusion.
Lemma 3.5. Let $m$ be a non-negative integer and let $u<0$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x} A_{n}(k) n^{u} \log ^{m} n & =\frac{1}{k} \int_{1}^{x}\left(t^{u} \log ^{m+1} t+\gamma t^{u} \log ^{m} t\right) d t+(-1)^{m} f^{(m)}(-u, k) \\
& +\left(C_{k}+\frac{\gamma-1}{k}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, m=0 \\
0, m>0
\end{array}+O\left(x^{u} \log ^{m+1} x\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(s, k)$ is the function introduced in Lemma 3.4, and $C_{k}$ is given by (24).
Proof. Set $v(x)=x^{u} \log ^{m} x$ and $b_{n}=A_{n}(k)$ in Lemma 3.1. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x} A_{n}(k) n^{u} \log ^{m} n=x^{u}\left(\log ^{m} x\right) \sum_{n \leq x} A_{n}(k)-\int_{1}^{x} \sum_{n \leq t} A_{n}(k) \frac{d}{d t}\left(t^{u} \log ^{m} t\right) d t \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS of (26) can be written as

$$
R H S=\frac{L_{1}}{k}+\frac{\gamma-1}{k} L_{2}-\frac{d^{m}}{d u^{m}} u \int_{1}^{x} t^{u-1} E(t, k) d t+C_{k} \frac{d^{m}}{d u^{m}} 1+O\left(x^{u} \log ^{m+1} x\right)
$$

upon the use of

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(t^{u} \log ^{m} t\right)=\frac{d^{m}}{d u^{m}}\left(u t^{u-1}\right)
$$

Here

$$
L_{1}=x^{u+1} \log ^{m+1} x-\frac{d^{m}}{d u^{m}} u \int_{1}^{x} t^{u} \log t d t \text { and } L_{2}=x^{u+1} \log ^{m} x-\frac{d^{m}}{d u^{m}} u \int_{1}^{x} t^{u} d t
$$

and $\frac{d^{m}}{d u^{m}} 1=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1, m=0 \\ 0, m>0\end{array}\right.$. It is easily seen that

$$
L_{1}=\int_{1}^{x} t^{u} \log ^{m+1} t d t+\int_{1}^{x} t^{u} \log ^{m} t d t \text { and } L_{2}=\int_{1}^{x} t^{u} \log ^{m} t d t+\frac{d^{m}}{d u^{m}} 1
$$

These give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x} A_{n}(k) n^{u} \log ^{m} n & =\frac{1}{k} \int_{1}^{x}\left(t^{u} \log ^{m+1} t+\gamma t^{u} \log ^{m} t\right) d t+(-1)^{m} f^{(m)}(-u, k) \\
& +\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{k}+C_{k}\right) \frac{d^{m}}{d u^{m}} 1+O\left(x^{u} \log ^{m+1} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the desired result.
We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.2.
Proof of Theorem 3.2. From Lemma 3.4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(m)}(1, k)=(-1)^{m} \gamma_{A(k)}(m) \text { for } m>0 \text { and } f(1, k)=\frac{1-\gamma}{k}-C_{k}+\gamma_{A(k)}(0) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $u=-1$ in Lemma 3.5 yields to

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A_{n}(k) \log ^{m} n}{n} & =\frac{1}{k} \frac{\log ^{m+2} x}{m+2}+\frac{\gamma}{k} \frac{\log ^{m+1} x}{m+1}+(-1)^{m} f^{(m)}(1, k) \\
& +\left(C_{k}+\frac{\gamma-1}{k}\right) \frac{d^{m}}{d u^{m}} 1+O\left(x^{u} \log ^{m+1} x\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (27) and (28) lead to

$$
\gamma_{A(k)}(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A_{n}(k)}{n}-\frac{1}{k} \frac{\log ^{2} x}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{k} \log x\right)
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{A(k)}(m)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{A_{n}(k) \log ^{m} n}{n}-\frac{1}{k} \frac{\log ^{m+2} x}{m+2}-\frac{\gamma}{k} \frac{\log ^{m+1} x}{m+1}\right) .
$$

## 4 Two evaluation formulas for $\gamma_{H}(n, 1 / 2)$

In [29], we have presented a formula for the harmonic Stieltjes constant $\gamma_{H}(m, 1 / 2)$. Here we offer two other evaluation formulas. The first one is a consequence of (18):
Corollary 4.1. For a non-negative integer $m$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{H}(m, 1 / 2) & =-\gamma_{H}(m)+(-1)^{m}\left(\frac{\ln 2}{n+2}+\gamma\right) \frac{2 \ln ^{m+1} 2}{m+1} \\
& +4 \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}(-1)^{m-j} \gamma_{A(2)}(j) \ln ^{m-j}(2) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The second one is as follows:
Proposition 4.1. For a non-negative integer $m$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{H}(m, 1 / 2) & =-\gamma_{H}(m)+(-1)^{m}\left(\frac{\log 2}{m+2}+\gamma\right) \frac{2 \log ^{m+1} 2}{(m+1)} \\
& +2(-1)^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}\left((-1)^{j} \gamma_{H}(j)-\sum_{v=0}^{j}\binom{j}{v} g_{j-v} J_{v}\right)\left(\log ^{m-j} 2\right), \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{m}$ is given by (20) and

$$
J_{m}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\log \left(1-e^{-x}\right)}{1+e^{-x}} \log ^{m} x d x
$$

Proof. We exploit the equation

$$
\zeta_{H}(s, a)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{1-e^{-x}} e^{-x a} \Phi\left(e^{-x}, 1 ; a\right) d x
$$

([29, Eq. (14)]) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{H}(s, a+1)+\zeta_{H}\left(s, a+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1}}{1-e^{-x}}\left(e^{-x(a+1)} \Phi\left(e^{-x}, 1 ; a+1\right)+e^{-x(a+1 / 2)} \Phi\left(e^{-x}, 1 ; a+1 / 2\right)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality

$$
\frac{\Phi\left(e^{-x}, 1 ; a+1\right)}{e^{x(a+1)}}+\frac{\Phi\left(e^{-x}, 1 ; a+1 / 2\right)}{e^{x(a+1 / 2)}}=2 \frac{\Phi\left(e^{-x / 2}, 1 ; 2 a\right)}{e^{2 a(x / 2)}}-\frac{e^{-a x}}{a}
$$

gives rise to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{H}(s, a+1)+\zeta_{H}\left(s, a+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{2^{s}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(x / 2)^{s-1}}{1-e^{-x / 2}} e^{-2 a(x / 2)} \Phi\left(e^{-x / 2}, 1 ; 2 a\right) d\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{2^{s}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(x / 2)^{s-1} e^{-2 a(x / 2)}}{1+e^{-x / 2}} \Phi\left(e^{-x / 2}, 1 ; 2 a\right) d\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{a \Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} e^{-a x}}{1-e^{-x}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious from the generating function

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{n}^{-}(a) t^{n}=\frac{\Phi(-t, 1 ; a)}{1-t}, \text { where } H_{n}^{-}(a)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j+a}
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{s-1} e^{-a x}}{1+e^{-x}} \Phi\left(e^{-x}, 1 ; a\right) d x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} H_{n}^{-}(a)}{(n+a)^{s}}=: \eta_{H^{-}}(s, a) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{H}(s, a+1)+\zeta_{H}\left(s, a+\frac{1}{2}\right)=2^{s}\left\{\zeta_{H}(s, 2 a)+\eta_{H^{-}}(s, 2 a)\right\}-\frac{1}{a} \zeta(s, a) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{H}(s, a+1)=\zeta_{H}(s, a)-\frac{1}{a} \zeta(s, a) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(32) becomes

$$
\zeta_{H}(s, a)+\zeta_{H}\left(s, a+\frac{1}{2}\right)=2^{s}\left\{\zeta_{H}(s, 2 a)+\eta_{H^{-}}(s, 2 a)\right\} .
$$

In particular, for $a=1 / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{H}\left(s, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\left(2^{s}-1\right) \zeta_{H}(s)+2^{s} \eta_{H^{-}}(s) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used that $\zeta_{H}(s, 1)=\zeta_{H}(s)$ and $\eta_{H^{-}}(s, 1)=\eta_{H^{-}}(s)$. Making use of (3) and

$$
\eta_{H^{-}}(s)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_{H^{-}}^{(m)}(1)}{m!}(s-1)^{m}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \frac{\gamma_{H}(m, 1 / 2)}{m!}(s-1)^{m} & =2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\log ^{m+2} 2}{(m+2)!}+\gamma \frac{\log ^{m+1} 2}{(m+1)!}-(-1)^{m} \frac{\gamma_{H}(m)}{m!}\right)(s-1)^{m} \\
& +2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}\left\{(-1)^{j} \gamma_{H}(j)-\eta_{H^{-}}^{(j)}(1)\left(\log ^{m-j} 2\right)\right\} \frac{(s-1)^{m}}{m!}
\end{aligned}
$$

which entails

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{H}(m, 1 / 2) & =-\gamma_{H}(m)+(-1)^{m}\left(\frac{\log 2}{m+2}+\gamma\right) \frac{2 \log ^{m+1} 2}{(m+1)} \\
& +2(-1)^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}\left((-1)^{j} \gamma_{H}(j)+\eta_{H^{-}}^{(j)}(1)\right)\left(\log ^{m-j} 2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The assertion

$$
\eta_{H^{-}}^{(j)}(1)=-\sum_{v=0}^{j}\binom{j}{v} g_{j-v} J_{v}
$$

follows from (31).
Recall the formula recorded in [29, Proposition 12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{H}(m, 1 / 2)=\gamma_{H}(m)+2(-1)^{m} \frac{\log ^{m+1} 2}{m+1}+2 \sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v}(-\log 2)^{m-v}\left(\gamma_{\widetilde{H}}(v)+\gamma_{H^{-}}(v)\right), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{\widetilde{H}}(m)$ and $\gamma_{H^{-}}(m)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{H}(s) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1} \frac{H_{n}}{n^{s}}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \frac{\gamma_{\widetilde{H}}(m)}{m!}(s-1)^{m} \\
\zeta_{H^{-}}(s) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n}^{-}}{n^{s}}=\frac{\log 2}{s-1}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} \frac{\gamma_{H^{-}}(m)}{m!}(s-1)^{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Employing the binomial transform, formula (35) can equivalently be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{H^{-}}(m)=- & \gamma_{\widetilde{H}}(m)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=0}^{m}\binom{m}{v}(\log 2)^{m-v} \\
& \times\left(\gamma_{H}(v, 1 / 2)-\gamma_{H}(v)-2(-1)^{v} \frac{\log ^{v+1} 2}{v+1}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the constants that occur on the RHS are computable $\left(\gamma_{H}(k, 1 / 2)\right.$ by Corollary 4.1, $\gamma_{H}(k)$ by Corollary 3.2, $\gamma_{\widetilde{H}}(m)$ by [29, Proposition 14]), (36) can be used to calculate $\gamma_{H^{-}}(m)$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.3 and its consequences

In this section, we first prove Theorem 1.3 and then present some results for the harmonic zeta functions $\zeta_{O}(s)$ and $S_{1, s}^{++}(0,1 / 2)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$. Then,

$$
\eta_{H}(s, a)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} H_{n}(a)}{(n+a)^{s}}=2^{1-s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_{2 n}(a)}{(n+a / 2)^{s}}+\frac{2}{a^{s+1}}-\zeta_{H}(s, a) .
$$

We now use the facts

$$
H_{2 n}(a)=\mathcal{H}_{2 n}(a)+\frac{1}{a}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 n}(a)}{(n+b)^{s}}=\frac{1}{2} S_{1, s}^{++}\left(\frac{a-1}{2}, b\right)+\frac{1}{2} S_{1, s}^{++}\left(\frac{a}{2}, b\right)
$$

(see [38, Eq. (2.19)]). We then find that

$$
\eta_{H}(s, a)=2^{-s} S_{1, s}^{++}\left(\frac{a-1}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right)+2^{-s} S_{1, s}^{++}\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right)+\frac{2^{1-s}}{a} \zeta\left(s, \frac{a}{2}\right)-\zeta_{H}(s, a)
$$

The equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1, s}^{++}(a, b)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{n}(a)}{(n+b)^{s}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n}(a+1)}{(n+b+1)^{s}}=\zeta_{H}(s, a+1, b+1) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (33) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{H}\left(s, \frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)=2^{s}\left(\eta_{H}(s, a)+\zeta_{H}(s, a)\right)-\zeta_{H}\left(s, \frac{a}{2}\right) . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the assertion (8) follows from (38) with $a=1$ since $\zeta_{H}(s, 1)=\zeta_{H}(s), \eta_{H}(s, 1)=\eta_{H}(s)$ and

$$
\zeta_{H}\left(s, 1, \frac{1}{2}+1\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n}(1)}{\left(n+\frac{1}{2}+1\right)^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n}}{\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{s}}=S_{1, s}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

For (9) we set $a=2$ in (38). The LHS becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{H}\left(s, 1+\frac{1}{2}, 1+1\right) & =S_{1, s}^{++}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{(n+1)^{s}} \\
& =2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{1}{2 j-1}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{O_{n}}{n^{s}}-2 \zeta(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

upon the use of (37). While the RHS becomes

$$
2^{s}\left(\zeta_{H}(s)-\eta_{H}(s)+\eta(s)-\zeta(s)\right)-\zeta_{H}(s)
$$

since

$$
\zeta_{H}(s, 2) \stackrel{(33)}{=} \zeta_{H}(s)-\zeta(s) \text { and } \eta_{H}(s, 2)=\eta(s)-\eta_{H}(s)
$$

Hence

$$
S_{1, s}^{++}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{O_{n}}{n^{s}}-2 \zeta(s)=2^{s}\left(\zeta_{H}(s)-\eta_{H}(s)+\eta(s)-\zeta(s)\right)-\zeta_{H}(s)
$$

or

$$
\zeta_{O}(s)=2^{s-1}\left(\zeta_{H}(s)-\eta_{H}(s)\right)-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{H}(s)
$$

upon the use of $\eta(s)=\left(1-2^{1-s}\right) \zeta(s)$.
We now order some consequences of Theorem 1.3. By appealing the Laurent/Taylor expansions of $\zeta_{H}(s), \eta_{H}(s)$ and $\zeta_{H}(s, 1 / 2)$ in a neighborhood of $s=1$, one can obtain the following Laurent expansions:

Corollary 5.1. In a neighborhood of $s=1$ we have

$$
\zeta_{O}(s)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(s-1)^{2}}+\frac{\log 2+\gamma / 2}{(s-1)}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\gamma_{O}(n)}{n!}(s-1)^{n}
$$

where the coefficients $\gamma_{O}(n)$ can be determined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{O}(n) & =(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{\log 2}{n+2}+\gamma\right) \frac{\log ^{n+1} 2}{n+1}-\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{H}(n) \\
& +(-1)^{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n}\binom{n}{v}\left((-1)^{v} \gamma_{H}(v)-\eta_{H}^{(v)}(1)\right) \log ^{n-v} 2 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 5.2. In a neighborhood of $s=1$ we have

$$
S_{1, s}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{(s-1)^{2}}+\frac{\gamma}{s-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{d_{n}}{n!}(s-1)^{n}
$$

where the coefficients $d_{n}$ can be determined by

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{n} & =-\gamma_{H}(n, 1 / 2)+2(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{\log 2}{n+2}+\gamma\right) \frac{\log ^{n+1} 2}{n+1} \\
& +2(-1)^{n} \sum_{v=0}^{n}\binom{n}{v}\left((-1)^{v} \gamma_{H}(v)+\eta_{H}^{(v)}(1)\right) \log ^{n-v} 2 \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we observe from (8) and (9) that the functions $\zeta_{O}(s)$ and $S_{1, s}^{++}(0,1 / 2)$ have simple poles at $s=1-2 m, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(\zeta_{H}(s), s=1-2 m\right)=\operatorname{Res}\left(\zeta_{H}(s, 1 / 2), s=1-2 m\right)=\zeta(1-2 m)
$$

we find that

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(S_{1, s}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), s=1-2 m\right)=\left(2^{1-2 m}-1\right) \zeta(1-2 m)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(\zeta_{O}(s), s=1-2 m\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(2^{1-2 m}-1\right) \zeta(1-2 m)
$$

Furthermore, we may evaluate the values at $s=-2 m, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, of $\zeta_{O}(s)$ and $S_{1, s}^{++}(0,1 / 2)$.
Corollary 5.3. We have

$$
S_{1,0}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)=1 \text { and } \zeta_{O}(0)=0
$$

Proof. The proof follows by evaluating the following limits:

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} S_{1, s}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0}\left(2^{s} \zeta_{H}(s)-\zeta_{H}\left(s, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)+\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} 2^{s} \eta_{H}(s)
$$

and

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \zeta_{O}(s)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2}\left(2^{s}-1\right) \zeta_{H}(s)-\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} 2^{s-1} \eta_{H}(s)
$$

by utilizing that $\zeta(0,1 / 2)=1 / 2, \psi(1 / 2)=-2 \log 2-\gamma$ and $\eta_{H}(0)=(\log 2) / 2$.
Corollary 5.4. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
S_{1,-2 m}^{++}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\left(1-2^{2 m-1}\right) \frac{B_{2 m}}{2^{2 m}}
$$

and

$$
\zeta_{O}(-2 m)=0
$$

Proof. The proof of the first assertion follows from (8), [33, p. 400] (or [11, Eq. (16)]), [12, Eq. (22)], [29, p. 10] and the facts

$$
\zeta(-m, a)=-\frac{B_{m+1}(a)}{m+1} \text { and } B_{m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2^{m-1}}-1\right) B_{m}, m \geq 0
$$

The proof of the second assertion follows from (9) with [33, p. 400] (or [11, Eq. (16)]) and [12, Eq. (22)].

It is worth mentioning that Corollaries 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 give rise to

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{n}}{n!}=\gamma \text { and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{O}(n)}{n!}=\frac{\gamma-1}{2}+\log 2
$$

Further, Corollaries 5.1 and 5.2 with $\zeta_{O}(2)=7 \zeta(3) / 4$ (cf. [28, Eq. (8)]) and $S_{1,2}^{++}(0,1 / 2)=7 \zeta(3)-$ $\pi^{2} \ln 2$ (cf. [39, Theorem 1]) entail

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\gamma_{O}(n)}{n!} & =\frac{7}{4} \zeta(3)-\frac{1+\gamma}{2}-\log 2 \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{d_{n}}{n!} & =7 \zeta(3)-\gamma-\pi^{2} \ln 2-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## References

[1] J. A. Adell. Asymptotic estimates for Stieltjes constants: a probabilistic approach. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 467(2128):954-963, (2011).
[2] E. Alkan, Approximation by special values of harmonic zeta function and log-sine integrals. Communications in Number Theory and Physics, 7(3): 515-550, 2013.
[3] H. Alzer, J. Choi, Four parametric linear Euler sums, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 484 (1) 123661 (2020).
[4] T. M. Apostol. Introduction to analytic number theory. Springer Science \& Business Media, 1976.
[5] T. M. Apostol and T. H. Vu. Dirichlet series related to the Riemann zeta function. Journal of Number Theory, 19(1):85-102, 1984.
[6] N. Batır, Parametric binomial sums involving harmonic numbers. RACSAM 115, 91 (2021).
[7] B. C. Berndt. On the Hurwitz zeta-function. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 2, No. 1:151-157, 1972.
[8] I. V. Blagouchine. A theorem for the closed-form evaluation of the first generalized Stieltjes constant at rational arguments and some related summations. Journal of Number Theory, 148:537-592, 2015.
[9] I. V. Blagouchine. Expansions of generalized Euler's constants into the series of polynomials in $\pi^{-2}$ and into the formal enveloping series with rational coefficients only. Journal of Number Theory, 158:365-396, 2016.7
[10] D. Borwein, J.M. Borwein, D.M. Bradley, Parametric Euler sum identities, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 316 (1) (2006) 328-338.
[11] K. N. Boyadzhiev, H. G. Gadiyar, and R. Padma. The values of an Euler sum at the negative integers and a relation to a certain convolution of Bernoulli numbers. Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 45(2):277-283, 2008.
[12] K.N. Boyadzhiev, H.G. Gadiyar, R. Padma, Alternating Euler sums at the negative integers. Hardy-Ramanujan J. 32 (2009) 24-37.
[13] W. E. Briggs and R. G. Buschman. The power series coefficients of functions defined Dirichlet series. Illinois Journal of Mathematics, 5(1):43-44, 1961.
[14] W. E. Briggs and S. Chowla. The power series coefficients of $\zeta(s)$. American Mathematical Monthly, 62:323-325, 1955.
[15] M. Can, A. Dil, and L. Kargın, Stieltjes constants appearing in the Laurent expansion of the hyperharmonic zeta function, Ramanujan $J$ (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-022-00676-z
[16] M. Can, A. Dil, L. Kargın, M. Cenkci, and M. Güloğlu. Generalizations of the Euler-Mascheroni constant associated with the hyperharmonic numbers, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. (2023), in press, https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.01515.
[17] M. Can, L. Kargın, A. Dil and G. Soylu, Euler sums of generalized harmonic numbers and connected extensions. Appl. Anal. Discret. Math. https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM210122014C (2022)
[18] B. Candelpergher and M.-A. Coppo. Laurent expansion of harmonic zeta functions. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 491:124309, 2020.
[19] CP. Chen, Approximation formulas and inequalities for the Euler-Mascheroni constant. RACSAM 115, 56 (2021).
[20] J. Choi. Certain integral representations of Stieltjes constants $\gamma_{n}$. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2013(1):1-10, 2013.
[21] M. W. Coffey. Hypergeometric summation representations of the Stieltjes constants. Analysis 33(2): 121-142, 2013.
[22] M. W. Coffey. Series representations for the Stieltjes constants. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 44(2):443-477, 2014.
[23] H. Cohen. Number theory: Volume II: Analytic and modern tools. Vol. 240. Springer Science \& Business Media, 2008.
[24] M. Eie and W-C. Liaw. Double Euler sums on Hurwitz zeta functions. The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics (2009): 1869-1883.
[25] L. Euler. Opera omnia, vol. 15. Teubner, Berlin, 1917.
[26] P. Flajolet, B. Salvy, Euler sums and contour integral representations, Exp. Math. 7 (1) (1998) 15-35.
[27] J. Fredrik and I. V. Blagouchine. Computing Stieltjes constants using complex integration. Mathematics of Computation, 88(318):1829-1850, (2019).
[28] P. F. Jordan, Infinite sums of psi functions, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 79, 681-683 (1973).
[29] L. Kargin, A. Dil, M. Cenkci, and M. Can, On the Stieltjes constants with respect to harmonic zeta functions. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 127302 (2023). L.
[30] L. Kargın, M. Can, A. Dil and M. Cenkci, On evaluations of Euler-type sums of hyperharmonic number. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 45, 113-131 (2022)
[31] A. Li, H. Qin, The representation of Euler sums with parameters, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 30 (1) 55-82 (2019).
[32] J. J. Y. Liang and J. Todd. The Stieltjes constants. J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B, 76:161-178, 1972.
[33] Y. Matsuoka. On the values of a certain Dirichlet series at rational integers. Tokyo Journal of Mathematics, 5(2):399-403, 1982.
[34] A. S. Nimbran, P. Levrie and A. Sofo, Harmonic-binomial Euler-like sums via expansions of $(\arcsin x)^{p}$. RACSAM 116, 23 (2022).
[35] H. Qin, N. Shan and A. Li, Some identities on the Hurwitz zeta function and the extended Euler sums. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 24 (7) (2013) 561-581.
[36] R. Sitaramachandra Rao, A formula of S. Ramanujan, J. Number Theory 25:1 (1987), 1-19.
[37] A. Sofo, Integrals involving the Legendre Chi function. RACSAM 115, 24 (2021).
[38] A. Sofo and J. Choi, Extension of the four Euler sums being linear with parameters and series involving the zeta functions. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 515, 126370 (2022).
[39] A. Sofo and D. Cvijovic, Extensions of Euler Harmonic Sums, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 6, 317-328, (2012)
[40] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi. Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2001.
[41] H. Su and M.-S. Kim. On the Stieltjes constants and gamma functions with respect to alternating Hurwitz zeta functions. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, page 125930, 2021.
[42] W. Wang and C. Xu, On variants of the Euler sums and symmetric extensions of the Kaneko-Tsumura conjecture. Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat. 117, 84 (2023).

