

REDUCTION OF QUANTUM PRINCIPAL BUNDLES OVER NON AFFINE BASES

RITA FIORESI, EMANUELE LATINI, CHIARA PAGANI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we develop the theory of reduction of quantum principal bundles over projective bases. We show how the sheaf theoretic approach can be effectively applied to certain relevant examples as the Klein model for the projective spaces; in particular we study in the algebraic setting the reduction of the principal bundle $\mathrm{GL}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n)/P = \mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ to the Levi subgroup G_0 inside the maximal parabolic subgroup P of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. We characterize reductions in the sheaf theoretic setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

A reduction of a principal P -bundle $E \rightarrow M$ to the structure group K , with $K \subset P$ a subgroup, is the data of a principal K bundle $E_0 \rightarrow M$ and a bundle morphism $\phi : E_0 \rightarrow E$. Many relevant geometrical structures arise in this way. Consider for example a K structure, that is the reduction to $K \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of the principal $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ frame bundle $\mathcal{P}M \rightarrow M$. When $K = \mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{R})$ one gets a Riemannian structure on M , while when n is even, and $K = \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{R})$ the reduction of the frame bundle induces an almost symplectic structure on M . In the context of parabolic geometries (see for example [12]), one considers a graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ and a principal P -bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ equipped with a Cartan connection valued in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , where $\mathrm{Lie}(P) = \mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$; the image under the exponential map of \mathfrak{n}_+ is a closed nilpotent subgroup of P , that we denote by N , and $P/N \cong G_0$ is the Levi subgroup of P , so that we have $P = G_0 \ltimes N$. It is interesting to observe that many information on the underlying geometrical structure are contained in the reduced G_0 -bundle $\mathcal{G}/N \rightarrow M$. Viewing this bundle as a reduction of the original one requires making a choice, namely an equivariant section of the projection $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/N$. This is exactly the choice of a Weyl structure and it turns out that any reduction of the original bundle to the structure group G_0 is obtained in this way (see [12, Proposition 5.1.1]). When the total space is a Lie group G ‘exponentiating’ the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , one obtains the Klein description of flag varieties. Inspired by that, in this manuscript we focus on the quantization of those structures.

Starting from the pioneering work on Hopf-Galois extensions [34] and quantum principal bundles [6], quantum homogeneous spaces have been an important object of study in the past years. Stimulated by the canonical non commutative differential calculi developed in [22, 23], (see also [4] and refs. therein for a more general discussion on differential calculi on comodule algebras) there was a recent revived interest on quantum flag varieties (see e.g. [28, 8]). It is important to observe that the classical framework detailed above, namely the one inspired by *parabolic geometries*, cannot be fully understood and treated by looking at affine objects only. For example given a complex algebraic group G and a parabolic subgroup P as before, the model geometry G/P is projective; when looking at the principal P -bundle $G \rightarrow G/P$, affine algebras are only a local model and cannot be used for the global Hopf-Galois description, as in the above mentioned works. This

Date: March 2024.

problem was faced and solved only recently in [1] via the use of sheaf theoretic methods, introduced in [29, 10], and non commutative algebraic geometric techniques that were already conceptually introduced much earlier, in [37, 30]. Those techniques also proved essential to build non commutative differential calculi on quantum principal bundles [2] and more specifically to treat quantum flag manifolds [15, 16, 9].

In this paper we study reductions of quantum principal bundles in the sheaf theoretic language. We will start from the affine case, introduced in [19, 31], where a full characterization of affine reductions is provided (see also [21]). Then, we will proceed to define quantum reductions and examine in detail the example of the general linear quantum group over the quantum projective space.

The sheaf theoretic approach is then necessary to capture the notion of principal bundle when looking for reduction for bundles over non affine bases, as the case of parabolic geometries and reductions of the structure group from the parabolic to its Levi.

The organization of this paper is as follows.

In §2 we briefly recap the classical setting, discussing a simple example, elucidating the key conceptual steps in our subsequent treatment.

In §3 we lay down the main definitions and establish our notation. We start from a sheaf theoretic definition of *quantum principal bundle* as in [1], then building the notion of reduction (Def. 3.5) from a local affine model (Def. 3.2).

In §4 we construct examples of reductions starting from the Takhtajan-Sudbery algebra of the quantum general linear group. These are given by quantum principal bundles over projective spaces described by sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_q(P)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(G_0)$ comodule algebras with P being the maximal parabolic subgroup of $GL(n)$ and G_0 its Levi.

Finally, in §5, we provide a criterion (Thm. 5.3) giving a reduction of a given quantum principal bundle (as a sheaf), based on the affine (local) counterpart [19, Thm. 4].

Acknowledgements. We thank Paolo Aschieri, Andreas Čap and Thomas Weber for helpful discussions. This research was supported by Gnsaga-Indam, by COST Action CaLISTA CA21109, HORIZON-MSCA-2022-SE-01-01 CaLIGOLA, MSCA-DN CaLiFORNIA - 101119552, PNRR MNESYS, PNRR National Center for HPC, Big Data and Quantum Computing, INFN Sezione Bologna. CP is grateful to the Department of Mathematics, Informatics and Geosciences of Trieste University for the hospitality.

2. THE CLASSICAL SETTING

We recall the definition and some classical results about restriction and prolongation of principal bundles, following [24, Chs. 2 and 6].

Definition 2.1. Let E and M be topological spaces, P a topological group and $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ a surjective continuous function. The data $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$ is a *P -principal bundle* with *total space* E and *base* M , if

- (1) P acts freely from the right on E ;
- (2) P acts transitively on the fiber $\pi^{-1}(m)$ of each point $m \in M$.

From now on, assume K to be a closed subgroup of a group P . We will sometimes denote a bundle just by $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ or simply $E \rightarrow M$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$ be a principal P -bundle and $\xi_0 = (E_0, \pi_0, M)$ a principal K -bundle over same base M . The bundle ξ_0 is a *restriction*, or *reduction*, of ξ if there is a K -equivariant homeomorphism

$$\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow \phi(E_0) \subset E, \quad \varphi(xk) = \varphi(x)k, \quad x \in E_0, k \in K,$$

onto a closed subset $\varphi(E_0)$ of E . We equivalently say that ξ is *reducible* to ξ_0 and we call ξ a *prolongation* of ξ_0 .

On the one hand, it is known that a prolongation of a principal bundle always exists. In fact if $\xi_0 = (E_0, \pi_0, M)$ is a K -principal bundle, for K a closed subgroup of P , one can always construct a P -principal bundle ξ which is a prolongation of ξ_0 . Consider $(E_0 \times P)/K$ defined out of the following K -action,

$$(x, p)k = (xk, k^{-1}p), \quad \text{for } x \in E_0, k \in K \text{ and } p \in P,$$

and the (well-defined) projection map $\pi : (E_0 \times P)/K \rightarrow M, [(x, p)] \rightarrow \pi_0(x)$. Then $\xi := ((E_0 \times P)/K, \pi, M)$ is a P -principal bundle which is a prolongation of ξ_0 with morphism $\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow (E_0 \times P)/K, x \mapsto [(x, e)]$.

On the other hand, the restriction is not always possible; for example for $K = \{e\} \subset P$, a principal P -bundle admits a restriction to a K -principal bundle if and only if it is trivial.

Using the same notation as above, the following classical result holds (see e.g. [24, Ch. 6, Thm. 2.3]):

Proposition 2.3. *A principal P -bundle $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$ is reducible to a principal K -bundle $\xi_0 = (E_0, \pi_0, M)$ if and only if the bundle $\xi_K := (E \setminus K, \pi_K, M)$, with π_K being the projection induced by π on $E \setminus K$, admits a global section.*

We recall that the bundle ξ_K is isomorphic to the bundle associated to ξ via the representation of P on the space $P \setminus K$ of right cosets of K in P (constructed out of left K -action on P). Thus a section of ξ_K can also be given by a P -equivariant map $f : E \rightarrow P \setminus K$.

Explicitly, given such a map f , the reduced subbundle of ξ can be recovered as $E_0 := f^{-1}([e])$. Vice versa, having a K -reduction E_0 induced by the K -equivariant bundle morphism $\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow E$, the composition of ϕ with the projection $E \rightarrow E \setminus K$ descends to a section $M \rightarrow E \setminus K$.

Notice that we can consider algebraic, analytic or smooth principal P -bundles, their reductions and prolongations, by taking objects and morphisms in suitable categories.

2.1. Example of a reduction. We now discuss an enlightening example.

Consider the special linear group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the principal bundle $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M = \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/B$, where B is the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices; construct then the bundle

$$\pi_0 : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/N \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \tag{1}$$

where $N \subset B$ is the nilpotent subgroup. In the above notation we have:

$$E = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}), \quad P = B, \quad K = T \subset B, \quad E_0 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/N$$

where T is the torus of diagonal matrices.

In particular we have that (1) is a T principal bundle and that $(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/N, \pi_0, \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ is an example of reduction. We can write a generic element of E as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } a \neq 0, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -c^{-1} \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c^{-1}d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } c \neq 0.$$

Note in fact that we can choose the open cover for $E = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = U_1 \cup U_2$, with $U_1 = \{g \in E \mid a \neq 0\}$, $U_2 = \{g \in E \mid c \neq 0\}$ and coordinates (a, b, c) and (a, c, d) on U_1 and U_2 respectively. Explicitly, the change of coordinates on the intersection of these open sets is:

$$U_1 \ni (a, b, c) \cong \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & ac^{-1}d \\ c & ca^{-1}b \end{pmatrix} \cong (a, c, d) \in U_2. \tag{2}$$

We aim to construct an injective map $E_0 \rightarrow E$ onto a closed set of E . Let $\bar{U}_i = p(U_i)$, under the projection $p : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/N$, with $i = 1, 2$. We have

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/N \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} = \{(a, c) \neq (0, 0)\}$$

and \bar{U}_1 (resp. \bar{U}_2) is the open set with $a \neq 0$ (resp. $c \neq 0$). We have two well-defined local maps $\varphi_i : \bar{U}_i \rightarrow U_i$:

$$\phi_1(a, c) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \phi_2(a, c) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -c^{-1} \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We leave to the reader the easy check that these maps are K invariant and glue to a K equivariant homeomorphism $E_0 \rightarrow E$. Hence we have an example of a reduction according to Def. 2.2.

It is also fruitful to examine the same example in the light of Prop. 2.3. We define:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 : U_1 &\longrightarrow P \setminus K & f_2 : U_2 &\longrightarrow P \setminus K \\ (a, b, c) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K & ; & (a, c, d) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c^{-1}d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K \end{aligned}$$

By using the change of coordinate charts given above, one verifies that they glue to a well-defined P -equivariant map $f : E \rightarrow P \setminus K$ as in Prop. 2.3, hence we can conclude again that the T principal bundle (1) is a reduction of the B principal bundle $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})/N \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

3. QUANTUM PRINCIPAL BUNDLES AND REDUCTIONS

In this section, after a brief summary of the theory of quantum principal bundles and reduction in the affine setting, we generalize the definitions of those object to incorporate a sheaf theoretic point of view. Our main references are [1, 2, 10, 19, 21], and [27] for a treatment on Hopf algebras.

3.1. Hopf Galois Extensions. We first recall some standard results on comodule algebras and Hopf–Galois extensions to establish our notation. We will assume all algebras to be over a field.

Let H be a Hopf algebra and A a *right H -comodule algebra*, that is an algebra with a coaction $\delta_H : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$, $\delta_H(a) = a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$, which is an algebra morphism. The space of *coinvariants*

$$A^{\mathrm{co}H} := \{b \in A \mid \delta_H(b) = b \otimes 1\}$$

is a subalgebra of A . The algebra extension $A^{\mathrm{co}H} \subset A$ is called *H -Galois (Hopf-Galois)*, if the *canonical map*

$$\chi : A \otimes_{A^{\mathrm{co}H}} A \rightarrow A \otimes H, \quad a' \otimes_{A^{\mathrm{co}H}} a \mapsto a' a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)} \quad (3)$$

is bijective. The inverse of χ is a left A -module map as χ and thus obtained via the *translation map*:

$$\tau : H \rightarrow A \otimes_{A^{\mathrm{co}H}} A, \quad \tau(h) := h^{<1>} \otimes_{A^{\mathrm{co}H}} h^{<2>}, \quad \chi^{-1}(a \otimes h) := a\tau(h). \quad (4)$$

We recall, for later use, the following standard properties of the translation map holding for each $h, k \in H$:

$$h^{<1>} \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} h^{<2>}_{(0)} \otimes h^{<2>}_{(1)} = h_{(1)}^{<1>} \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} h_{(1)}^{<2>} \otimes h_{(2)} \quad (5)$$

$$h_{(2)}^{<1>} \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} h_{(2)}^{<2>} \otimes S(h_{(1)}) = h^{<1>}_{(0)} \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} h^{<2>} \otimes h^{<1>}_{(1)} \quad (6)$$

$$(hk)^{<1>} \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} (hk)^{<2>} = k^{<1>} h^{<1>} \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} h^{<2>} k^{<2>} . \quad (7)$$

An extension $A^{\text{co}H} \subset A$ is called *cleft* if one of the following equivalent condition is verified (see e.g. [27, Thm. 7.2.2, Thm. 8.2.4]):

- (1) there exists a convolution invertible H -comodule map $\gamma : H \rightarrow A$ (*cleaving map*);
- (2) the algebra A is isomorphic to a crossed product $A \simeq A^{\text{co}H} \# H$;
- (3) $A^{\text{co}H} \subset A$ is H -Galois and has the normal basis property, that is A is isomorphic to $A^{\text{co}H} \otimes H$ as left $A^{\text{co}H}$ -module and right H -comodule (where $A^{\text{co}H} \otimes H$ is a left $A^{\text{co}H}$ -module by left multiplication on the first factor and an H -comodule via $id \otimes \Delta$).

An extension $A^{\text{co}H} \subset A$ is called *trivial* if it admits a cleaving map $H \rightarrow A$ which is an H -comodule algebra map. In this case, the inverse of the cleaving map is given by $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma \circ S$, for S the antipode of H .

A *morphism of H -comodule algebras* $\phi : A \rightarrow A'$ is an algebra morphism which intertwines the H -coactions: $(\phi \otimes id_H) \circ \delta_H = \delta'_{A'} \circ \phi$. Any such ϕ maps coinvariants to coinvariants, $\phi(A^{\text{co}H}) \subset A'^{\text{co}H}$. Moreover it intertwines the canonical maps, that is $\chi' \circ (\phi \otimes \phi) = (\phi \otimes id_H) \circ \chi$.

We now give the definition of principal H -comodule algebra, which represents the local version of our sheaf theoretic definition of quantum principal bundle, (see also [2, §2, Def. 2.8]). From now on, we will assume H to have bijective antipode.

Definition 3.1. Let H be a Hopf algebra. An H -comodule algebra A is called *principal* if:

- (1) $A^{\text{co}H} \subset A$ is H -Galois,
- (2) A is a faithfully flat $A^{\text{co}H}$ -module.

If A is a cleft extension, then A is also principal.

3.2. Quantum reductions. We first give the definition of a quantum reduction for a quantum principal bundle in the affine setting, inspired by [19] (see also [21]).

Definition 3.2. Let A be a principal H -comodule algebra with $B := A^{\text{co}H}$ and J be a Hopf ideal of H such that H is a principal left H_0 -comodule algebra for $H_0 := H/J$ with left action given by (projection of) the coproduct. Let A_0 be a principal H_0 -comodule algebra with $B_0 := A_0^{\text{co}H_0}$.

We say that A_0 is a *reduction* of A if

- (1) $B \cong B_0$ as algebras;
- (2) there exists a surjective H_0 -comodule morphism, $\phi : A \rightarrow A_0$, $\phi(B) = B_0$, where A carries the induced H_0 -coaction.

We say that A_0 is an *algebraic reduction* if ϕ is an algebra morphism.

Remark 3.3. Notice that when ϕ is a surjective algebra morphism, that is A_0 is an algebraic reduction, we obtain $A_0 \cong A/I$, for some ideal I , retrieving the definition as in [19] and [21]. Notice also that, in general, the requirement for the map $\phi : A \rightarrow A_0$ to be an algebra map is too restrictive, thus our more general definition of a reduction. Indeed,

in the degenerate case of J and I trivial, a reduction is just a bundle automorphism and it is known that one has to relax the algebra map condition in order to account for the bundle morphisms we also observe in the ordinary setting, see [5, 3].

Definition 3.2 provides a local model for reduction in the non affine case, as we shall presently see. Let us first recall the notion of quantum principal bundle as in [1].

Definition 3.4. A *quantum ringed space* (M, \mathcal{O}_M) is a pair consisting of a classical topological space M and a sheaf over M of noncommutative algebras. We say that a sheaf of H -comodule algebras \mathcal{F} is a *quantum principal bundle* over (M, \mathcal{O}_M) if there exists an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of M such that:

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(U_i)^{\text{co}H} = \mathcal{O}_M(U_i)$,
- (2) $\mathcal{F}(U_i)$ is a principal H -comodule algebra.

We say that \mathcal{F} is a *locally cleft quantum principal bundle* if \mathcal{F} is *locally cleft*, that is, $\mathcal{F}(U_i)$ is a cleft extension of $\mathcal{F}(U_i)^{\text{co}H}$ for each open U_i of the covering.

We say the covering $\{U_i\}$ is a *local trivialization* for \mathcal{F} if $\mathcal{F}(U_i)$ is a trivial extension of $\mathcal{F}(U_i)^{\text{co}H}$, that is we have the isomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{F}(U_i) \cong \mathcal{F}(U_i)^{\text{co}H} \otimes H$.

Our definition is in agreement with [2] and [10], however more general with respect to [4] and [28].

Notice that both bundles described in Example 2.1 admit a local trivialization with respect to the covering $\{\bar{U}_1, \bar{U}_2\}$.

Definition 3.5. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_0 be quantum principal bundles over the quantum ringed space (M, \mathcal{O}_M) for Hopf algebras H and $H_0 = H/J$, respectively. We say that \mathcal{F}_0 is a *reduction* (resp. *algebraic reduction*) of \mathcal{F} if there exists an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of M such that:

- (1) \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_0 satisfy (1) and (2) of Def. 3.4 with respect to such cover,
- (2) there exists an H_0 -comodule (resp. H_0 -comodule algebra) morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$ such that $\varphi(\mathcal{F}(U_i)^{\text{co}H}) = \mathcal{F}(U_i)^{\text{co}H_0}$ for the induced coaction of $H_0 = H/J$ on the $\mathcal{F}(U_i)$.

Notice that $\mathcal{F}_0(U_i)$ is a quantum reduction of $\mathcal{F}(U_i)$ according to Def. 3.2.

Remark 3.6. Assume A is trivial cleft extension, i.e. $A \cong B \otimes H$ as H -comodule algebras, $B = A^{\text{co}(H)}$. Let $H_0 = H/J$ as above and assume we can write $H = H_0 \# B$. Then $A_0 := B \otimes H_0$ is a reduction.

4. EXAMPLES OF REDUCTIONS

In this section we provide examples of quantum reductions starting from the multiparameter family $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(\text{GL}(n))$ of deformations of the algebra of coordinate functions on the general linear group $\text{GL}(n)$ introduced in [36, 35], see also [33].

4.1. Multiparametric deformations of $\text{GL}(n)$. The coordinate algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(M(n))$, deformation of the algebra of coordinate functions of n by n complex matrices, is generated by elements a_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, with commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ik}a_{il} &= p_{kl} a_{il}a_{ik} ; & a_{ik}a_{jk} &= q_{ij} a_{jk}a_{ik} \\ a_{il}a_{jk} &= \frac{q_{ij}}{p_{kl}} a_{jk}a_{il} ; & a_{ik}a_{jl} &= \frac{p_{kl}}{p_{ij}} a_{jl}a_{ik} + (p_{kl} - \frac{1}{q_{kl}}) a_{il}a_{jk} , & i < j, k < l . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The relations depend on the $n(n-1)/2$ non-zero parameters $q_{ij}, i < j$ and an additional parameter u with $p_{ij} := \frac{u}{q_{ij}}$. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(M(n))$ is a bialgebra with the standard matrix coalgebra structure:

$$\Delta(a_{ij}) = \sum_k a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj} \quad , \quad \varepsilon(a_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} .$$

The element

$$D_{\mathbf{q}} := \sum_{\sigma \in P_n} \epsilon^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n} a_{1\sigma_1} \cdots a_{n\sigma_n} = \sum_{\sigma \in P_n} \epsilon_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n} a_{\sigma_1 1} \cdots a_{\sigma_n n} \quad (9)$$

is called the *quantum determinant*, where

$$\epsilon^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n} := \prod_{\alpha < \beta; \sigma_\beta < \sigma_\alpha} (-p_{\sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha}) , \quad \epsilon_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n} := \prod_{\alpha < \beta; \sigma_\beta < \sigma_\alpha} (-q_{\sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha})$$

and $\epsilon^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n} = 1, \epsilon_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n} = 1$, when no p , respectively q , appear in the product. In general $D_{\mathbf{q}}$ is not central, nevertheless it obeys simple commutation relations with the algebra generators:

$$a_{ik} D_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} q_{\alpha k} \prod_{\gamma=k+1}^n p_{k\gamma}}{\prod_{\beta=1}^{i-1} q_{\beta i} \prod_{\delta=i+1}^n p_{i\delta}} D_{\mathbf{q}} a_{ik} . \quad (10)$$

The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(\text{GL}(n))$ of the quantum general linear group is then introduced as the algebra extension of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(M(n))$ by the element $D_{\mathbf{q}}^{-1}$, the inverse of the determinant, with commutation relations deduced from those of $D_{\mathbf{q}}$ in (10) imposing compatibility with $D_{\mathbf{q}}^{-1} D_{\mathbf{q}} = D_{\mathbf{q}} D_{\mathbf{q}}^{-1} = 1$. The quantum determinant and its inverse are group-like,

$$\Delta(D_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 1}) = D_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 1} \otimes D_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 1} \quad , \quad \varepsilon(D_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 1}) = 1 .$$

The bialgebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(\text{GL}(n))$ becomes a Hopf algebra with antipode S defined in terms of quantum cofactors, see e.g. [35].

Example 4.1. In the lowest dimensional case $n = 2$, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}(\text{GL}(2))$ is generated by elements $a := a_{11}, b := a_{12}, c := a_{21}, d := a_{22}$ and $D_{\mathbf{q}}^{-1}$ that satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= pba , & ac &= qca , & cd &= pdc \\ bd &= qdb , & bc &= \frac{q}{p} cb , & ad &= da + (p - \frac{1}{q})bc , \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for $p = uq^{-1}$, with a and d commuting with the quantum determinant $D_{\mathbf{q}} = ad - pbc$ and its inverse $D_{\mathbf{q}}^{-1}$ and

$$bD_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 1} = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\pm 1} D_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 1} b , \quad cD_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 1} = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\mp 1} D_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 1} c .$$

The antipode is the anti-algebra map given in matrix form as

$$S \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -\frac{1}{p}b \\ -pc & a \end{pmatrix} D_{\mathbf{q}}^{-1} = D_{\mathbf{q}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d & -\frac{1}{q}b \\ -qc & a \end{pmatrix}$$

and $S(D_{\mathbf{q}}^{\pm 1}) = D_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mp 1}$. ■

4.2. The Takhtajan-Sudbery algebra. We now address our attention to the one-parameter deformation corresponding to the choice $u = 1$ and $q_{ij} = q \in \mathbb{C}/\{0\}$ for all $i < j$ (and hence $p_{ij} = q^{-1}$ for all $i < j$). We refer to this algebra as the Takhtajan-Sudbery algebra. We observe that, for this choice of parameters, the determinant is not central (and thus the quotient to quantum special linear groups is not defined), while $a_{ik}a_{jl} = a_{jl}a_{ik}$ hold, for all $i < j, k < l$. In the following we simply write $D^{\pm 1}$ for the quantum determinant and its inverse. We denote this Hopf algebra by $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n))$, to distinguish it from the ‘standard’ quantum group $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n))$ of FRT bialgebras, which corresponds to the choice $u = q^2$ and $q_{ij} = q$, for all $i < j$. For this choice of the parameters, indeed, the quantum determinant is central and one can construct the quotient Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}(n)) := \mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n))/(D - 1)$ whose prototype is the quantum group $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{SL}(2))$ [26].

The algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n))$ is generated by elements a_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, with commutation relations given in (8) (for $q_{ij} = q$ and $p_{ij} = q^{-1}$ for all $i < j$):

$$a_{ik}a_{il} = q^{-1}a_{il}a_{ik}; \quad a_{ik}a_{jk} = qa_{jk}a_{ik}; \quad a_{il}a_{jk} = q^2a_{jk}a_{il}; \quad a_{ik}a_{jl} = a_{jl}a_{ik}, \quad i < j, k < l \quad (12)$$

together with D^{-1} , the inverse of the quantum determinant D , with commutation relations

$$a_{ik}D = q^{2(k-i)}Da_{ik}, \quad a_{ik}D^{-1} = q^{-2(k-i)}D^{-1}a_{ik}. \quad (13)$$

Observe that we can rewrite the above commutation relations in a condensed form as

$$a_{ik}a_{jl} = \mu_{ij}\mu_{lk}a_{jl}a_{ik}, \quad a_{ik}D^{\pm 1} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (\mu_{\alpha k}\mu_{i\alpha})^{\pm 1} D^{\pm 1}a_{ik} \quad \forall i, j, k, l \quad (14)$$

with

$$\mu_{ij} := \begin{cases} q & \text{if } i < j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ q^{-1} & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}. \quad (15)$$

By definition, $\mu_{ij}\mu_{ji} = 1$, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and moreover for each index ℓ fixed

$$\mu_{i\ell}\mu_{\ell j} = 1 \quad \text{if both } i, j < \ell \text{ or } i, j > \ell. \quad (16)$$

4.3. The Quantum ringed space $(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n})$. In [9], through the concept of *quantum section*, a construction of a quantum deformation of the homogeneous coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)$ of the projective variety G/P appears, for G a complex algebraic group and P a parabolic subgroup. The quantum section is the quantum equivalent of the character of P encoding the information on the projective embedding of G/P , via the line bundle on G/P defined through the given character. Such embedding is giving the homogeneous commutative ring $\mathcal{O}(G/P)$, recovered in the space of the global sections of the line bundle. For more details see [9, 1].

We recall the key result of [9] that we need here. Let $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ be a Hopf algebra, with comultiplication Δ and counit ϵ , which is a quantum deformation of the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(G)$ of the algebraic group G .

Theorem 4.2. *Let $d \in \mathcal{O}_q(G)$ be a quantum section i.e. $(id \otimes \pi)\Delta(d) = d \otimes \pi(d)$. Then*

(1) $\mathcal{O}_q(G/P) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_q(G/P)_n$ is a graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$, where

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)_n = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_q(G) \mid (id \otimes \pi)\Delta(f) = f \otimes \pi(d^n)\}$$

for $\pi : \mathcal{O}_q(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(P) := \mathcal{O}_q(G)/I_P$, $\mathcal{O}_q(P)$ a quantum group deformation of the coordinate ring of the parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$.

(2) $\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)$ is a graded $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -comodule algebra, via the restriction of the comultiplication Δ in $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$,

$$\Delta|_{\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)} : \mathcal{O}_q(G/P) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(G/P).$$

In our special setting, we take $\mathcal{O}_q(G) = \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n))$ and one can check immediately that $d = a_{11}$ is a quantum section, for

$$\pi : \mathcal{O}_q(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(P) := \mathcal{O}_q(G)/(a_s, s = 2, \dots, n). \quad (17)$$

With this choice, by Thm 4.2, we obtain $\mathcal{O}_q(G/P)$ as the graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ generated by the $a_{\ell 1}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$. In terms of generators and relations, we can express $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q := \mathcal{O}_q(G/P)$ as the graded non commutative algebra generated by generic variables x_i 's, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, subject to the (homogeneous) relations:

$$x_i x_j = q x_j x_i, \quad 0 \leq i < j \leq n-1.$$

We now construct a sheaf of noncommutative algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q$. We consider the classical affine open cover of $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$, defined as:

$$U_\ell := \{[\hat{x}_0, \dots, \hat{x}_{n-1}] \mid \hat{x}_\ell \neq 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \quad (18)$$

where $[\hat{x}_0, \dots, \hat{x}_{n-1}]$ represents a point in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$. We then consider the topology generated by the U_ℓ 's; the intersections of an arbitrary number of U_ℓ 's form a basis. In analogy with the classical setting, we define $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell)$ as the non commutative *projective localization* of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q$ at the multiplicatively closed set $S_\ell = \{x_\ell^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell) := [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q S_\ell^{-1}]_{\mathrm{proj}}.$$

This construction amounts to take the localization at S_ℓ and then the subalgebra of degree zero elements. This construction is standard, see [17, Ch. 4] for more details. We leave to the reader the checks; notice that all elements of S_ℓ are Ore. We have the following result, that we shall use later.

Proposition 4.3. *Let the notation be as above. Then,*

(1) *we can express $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell)$ in terms of generators and relations as:*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell) = \mathbb{C}[x_i^{(\ell)}]_{i=1, \dots, n; i \neq \ell} / (x_i^{(\ell)} x_j^{(\ell)} - \mu_{\ell i} \mu_{i j} \mu_{j \ell} x_j^{(\ell)} x_i^{(\ell)}) \quad (19)$$

(2) *for each $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_1)$ via the map*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell), \quad x_j^{(1)} \mapsto \begin{cases} x_{j+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} & \text{if } j = 2, \dots, n-\ell+1; \\ x_{j+\ell-1-n}^{(\ell)} & \text{if } j = n-\ell+2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1). We notice that $x_j^{(\ell)} := x_j x_\ell^{-1}$ generate $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell)$ and satisfy the given commutation relations. (2). The proof is completely analogous to that of Proposition 4.7 and thus we omit it. \square

Let us denote by AS^{-1} the localization of the algebra A at the multiplicatively closed subset S (of Ore elements).

Proposition 4.4. *Let the notation be as above. The assignment*

$$U_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_r} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell) S_{i_1}^{-1} \cdots S_{i_r}^{-1}$$

defines a sheaf of non commutative algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q$ on \mathbf{P}^{n-1} , with respect to the topology defined by the open cover $\{U_\ell\}$. Hence $(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q)$ is a quantum ringed space.

Proof. The $U_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_r}$ form a basis for the topology, restriction maps are naturally defined via localizations. We leave to the reader all remaining checks. See [2, Observation 4.4]. \square

4.4. The Quantum Principal bundle \mathcal{F} . We now construct a quantum principal bundle \mathcal{F} , which is a deformation of the principal bundle $\mathrm{GL}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n)/P \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$. Classically, it is the same construction as in [1]. However, since here the deformation is substantially different, we need to take care of the technicalities involved in verifying that we indeed have a quantum principal bundle. We shall make an essential use of [1, Thm 4.8]. Later on, in §4.5, we will construct a quantum reduction of \mathcal{F} .

Let $A = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n))$. For each $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, consider the algebra extension $A_\ell := A[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}]$ of A by the inverse of $a_{\ell 1}$, $a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{\ell 1} = 1 = a_{\ell 1} a_{\ell 1}^{-1}$. In view of our application of [1, Thm 4.8], the $a_{\ell 1}$ here are the d_ℓ 's there, while the quantum section is $a_{11} \in A$.

For consistency, the additional generator satisfies commutation relations

$$a_{jk} a_{\ell 1}^{-1} = \mu_{k1} \mu_{\ell j} a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{jk}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (20)$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let ℓ, m two fixed indices in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and A_ℓ and A_m the corresponding algebra extensions. Then, the map (change of coordinates)*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\ell m} : A_\ell[a_{m1}^{-1}] &\xrightarrow{\simeq} A_m[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}] & (21) \\ a_{\ell k} &\mapsto a_{\ell 1} a_{m1}^{-1} a_{mk} \\ a_{\ell 1}^{-1} &\mapsto a_{\ell 1}^{-1} \\ a_{mk} &\mapsto a_{m1} a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{\ell k} \\ a_{m1}^{-1} &\mapsto a_{m1}^{-1} \\ a_{jk} &\mapsto a_{jk}, \quad \forall j, k = 1, \dots, n, j \neq \ell, m \\ D^{-1} &\mapsto D^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

is an algebra isomorphism.

Proof. The proof is by direct computation, using the commutation relations given in concise forms in (14) and (20) and the property $\mu_{ij} \mu_{ji} = 1$, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. \square

In matrix form, in the algebra A_ℓ we write:

$$(a_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & u_{12}^{(\ell)} & \cdots & u_{1n}^{(\ell)} \\ a_{21} & u_{22}^{(\ell)} & \cdots & u_{2n}^{(\ell)} \\ \vdots & & & \\ a_{\ell 1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ a_{n1} & u_{n2}^{(\ell)} & \cdots & u_{nn}^{(\ell)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n_{12}^{(\ell)} & n_{13}^{(\ell)} & \cdots & n_{1n}^{(\ell)} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

that is

$$n_{1j}^{(\ell)} := a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{\ell j}, \quad u_{ij}^{(\ell)} := a_{ij} - a_{i1} a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{\ell j}, \quad j > 1 \quad i \neq \ell. \quad (22)$$

Lemma 4.6. For $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ fixed,

$$A_\ell = \mathbb{C}_q[a_{k1}, a_{\ell 1}^{-1}, n_{1s}^{(\ell)}, u_{js}^{(\ell)}, D^{\pm 1}]_{j,k=1,\dots,n, j \neq \ell, s=2,\dots,n} / I_\ell \quad (23)$$

for I_ℓ the ideal giving commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k1}a_{j1} &= \mu_{kj}a_{j1}a_{k1} & a_{k1}a_{\ell 1}^{-1} &= \mu_{\ell k}a_{\ell 1}^{-1}a_{k1} \\ a_{k1}n_{1s}^{(\ell)} &= \mu_{s1}n_{1s}^{(\ell)}a_{k1} & a_{k1}u_{is}^{(\ell)} &= \mu_{s1}\mu_{ki}u_{is}^{(\ell)}a_{k1} \\ a_{\ell 1}^{-1}n_{1s}^{(\ell)} &= \mu_{1s}n_{1s}^{(\ell)}a_{\ell 1}^{-1}; & a_{\ell 1}^{-1}u_{is}^{(\ell)} &= \mu_{1s}\mu_{il}u_{is}^{(\ell)}a_{\ell 1}^{-1}; \\ n_{1s}^{(\ell)}n_{1t}^{(\ell)} &= \mu_{ts}n_{1t}^{(\ell)}n_{1s}^{(\ell)} & n_{1s}^{(\ell)}u_{kt}^{(\ell)} &= \mu_{1t}\mu_{ts}u_{kt}^{(\ell)}n_{1s}^{(\ell)} \\ u_{js}^{(\ell)}u_{kt}^{(\ell)} &= \mu_{jk}\mu_{ts}u_{kt}^{(\ell)}u_{js}^{(\ell)} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k1}D^{\pm 1} &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (\mu_{\alpha 1}\mu_{k\alpha})^{\pm 1} D^{\pm 1} a_{k1} & a_{\ell 1}^{-1}D^{\pm 1} &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (\mu_{\alpha 1}\mu_{\ell\alpha})^{\mp 1} D^{\pm 1} a_{\ell 1}^{-1} \\ n_{1s}^{(\ell)}D^{\pm 1} &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (\mu_{1\alpha}\mu_{\alpha s})^{\pm 1} D^{\pm 1} n_{1s}^{(\ell)} & u_{kt}^{(\ell)}D^{\pm 1} &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (\mu_{\alpha t}\mu_{k\alpha})^{\pm 1} D^{\pm 1} u_{kt}^{(\ell)} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for all $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $s, t = 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. The commutation relations above are computed directly from (14), (13) and (20). \square

In the identification (23), the algebra isomorphism $\Psi_{\ell m} : A_\ell[a_{m1}^{-1}] \rightarrow A_m[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}]$ in Proposition 4.5 is given on the algebra generators by

$$a_{j1} \mapsto a_{j1}, a_{\ell 1}^{-1} \mapsto a_{\ell 1}^{-1}, a_{m1}^{-1} \mapsto a_{m1}^{-1}, n_{1j}^{(\ell)} \mapsto n_{1j}^{(m)}, u_{mj}^{(\ell)} \mapsto a_{m1}a_{\ell 1}^{-1}u_{lj}^{(m)}, u_{ij}^{(\ell)} \mapsto u_{ij}^{(m)} \quad (26)$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n, i \neq \ell, m$.

The algebra $A = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n))$ is an H -comodule algebra for the Hopf algebra $H := \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P) := \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n)) / (a_{s1}, s \neq 1)$, with right coaction given by the composition of the coproduct with the quotient map $pr : A \rightarrow H$:

$$\delta := (id \otimes pr) \circ \Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes H, \quad a_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes p_{kj}, \quad D^{-1} \mapsto D^{-1} \otimes \tilde{D}^{-1}. \quad (27)$$

Here p_{ij}, \tilde{D}^{-1} denote the images of the generators a_{ij}, D^{-1} of A under the quotient map. The generators of the Hopf algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$ satisfy

$$p_{ik}p_{jl} = \mu_{ij}\mu_{lk}p_{jl}p_{ik}, \quad p_{ik}\tilde{D}^{\pm 1} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (\mu_{\alpha k}\mu_{i\alpha})^{\pm 1} \tilde{D}^{\pm 1} p_{ik}, \quad \forall i, j, k, l. \quad (28)$$

Moreover (cf. (9)),

$$\tilde{D} = p_{11}M_{11} = M_{11}p_{11}, \quad M_{11} := \sum_{\sigma \in P_{n-1}} \epsilon_{\sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n} p_{\sigma_2 2} \cdots p_{\sigma_n n} \quad (29)$$

with $\epsilon_{\sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n} := \prod_{\alpha < \beta; \sigma_\beta < \sigma_\alpha} (-q_{\sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha})$ and $\epsilon_{\sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n} = 1$, when no q appear in the product. Thus p_{11} is an invertible group-like element, with $p_{11}^{-1} = M_{11}\tilde{D}^{-1}$.

Being $\delta(a_{\ell 1}) = a_{\ell 1} \otimes p_{11}$, the coaction δ in (27) extends as an algebra map in a unique way to coactions $\delta_\ell : A_\ell \rightarrow A_\ell \otimes H$ on the algebra extensions $A_\ell = A[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}]$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\delta_\ell(a_{\ell 1}^{-1}) = a_{\ell 1}^{-1} \otimes p_{11}^{-1}. \quad (30)$$

In the identification $A_\ell = \mathbb{C}_q[a_{k1}, a_{\ell 1}^{-1}, n_{1s}^{(\ell)}, u_{js}^{(\ell)}, D^{\pm 1}]/I_\ell$ in (23),

$$\delta_\ell(n_{1s}^{(\ell)}) = \sum_{r=2}^n n_{1r}^{(\ell)} \otimes p_{11}^{-1} p_{rs}, \quad \delta_\ell(u_{js}^{(\ell)}) = \sum_{r=2}^n u_{jr}^{(\ell)} \otimes p_{rs}, \quad j \neq \ell, \quad s > 1. \quad (31)$$

For each ℓ , the corresponding subalgebra of coinvariants $B_\ell := A_\ell^{\text{co}H}$ is generated by the elements

$$b_j^{(\ell)} := a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{j1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (j \neq \ell). \quad (32)$$

They satisfy commutation relations

$$b_i^{(\ell)} b_j^{(\ell)} = \mu_{\ell i} \mu_{ij} \mu_{j\ell} b_j^{(\ell)} b_i^{(\ell)} \quad (33)$$

In particular, for $\ell = 1$, the generators of the algebra B_1 satisfy commutation relations

$$b_i^{(1)} b_j^{(1)} = \mu_{ij} b_j^{(1)} b_i^{(1)}, \quad (34)$$

being, from (16), $\mu_{1i} \mu_{j1} = 1$ for all $i, j = 2, \dots, n$.

Proposition 4.7. *For each $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ the algebra B_ℓ is isomorphic to the algebra B_1 via the map*

$$\beta_\ell : B_1 \rightarrow B_\ell, \quad b_j^{(1)} \mapsto \begin{cases} b_{j+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} & \text{if } j = 2, \dots, n - \ell + 1; \\ b_{j+\ell-1-n}^{(\ell)} & \text{if } j = n - \ell + 2, \dots, n. \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

Proof. If $j, k = 2, \dots, n - \ell + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\ell(b_j^{(1)}) \beta_\ell(b_k^{(1)}) &= b_{j+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} b_{k+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} = \mu_{\ell, j+\ell-1} \mu_{j+\ell-1, k+\ell-1} \mu_{k+\ell-1, \ell} b_{k+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} b_{j+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} \\ &= \mu_{jk} b_{k+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} b_{j+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} = \mu_{jk} \beta_\ell(b_k^{(1)}) \beta_\ell(b_j^{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $j + \ell - 1, k + \ell - 1 > \ell$ and thus $\mu_{\ell, j+\ell-1} \mu_{k+\ell-1, \ell} = 1$ because of (16) and $\mu_{j+\ell-1, k+\ell-1} = \mu_{jk}$. Analogous computation is done for $j, k = n - \ell + 2, \dots, n$. Finally, if $j = 2, \dots, n - \ell + 1$ and $k = n - \ell + 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\ell(b_j^{(1)}) \beta_\ell(b_k^{(1)}) &= b_{j+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} b_{k+\ell-1-n}^{(\ell)} = \mu_{\ell, j+\ell-1} \mu_{j+\ell-1, k+\ell-1-n} \mu_{k+\ell-1-n, \ell} b_{k+\ell-1-n}^{(\ell)} b_{j+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} \\ &= \mu_{jk} b_{k+\ell-1-n}^{(\ell)} b_{j+\ell-1}^{(\ell)} = \mu_{jk} \beta_\ell(b_k^{(1)}) \beta_\ell(b_j^{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$

being here $j + \ell - 1 > \ell > k + \ell - 1 - n$ and thus $\mu_{jk} = \mu_{\ell, j+\ell-1} = \mu_{k+\ell-1-n, \ell} = \mu_{j+\ell-1, k+\ell-1-n}^{-1}$ because of (15). \square

Proposition 4.8. *For each $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, the algebra extension $B_\ell \subset A_\ell$ is a faithfully flat H -Galois extension.*

Proof. Since $H = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$ is cosemisimple and has bijective antipode, the surjectivity of the canonical map implies bijectivity and faithfully flatness of the extension, [34, Th. I]. Moreover, even if the canonical map and thus its inverse are not in general algebra maps, in order to show the surjectivity of the canonical map of an algebra extension $B = A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq A$ it is enough to show that for each generator h of H the element $1 \otimes h$ is in the image of χ , [32]. Indeed if $1 \otimes h = \chi(\sum_i a_i \otimes_B a'_i)$ and $1 \otimes k = \chi(\sum_j c_j \otimes_B c'_j)$ for $h, k \in H$ generic, then basic properties of the canonical map give $\chi(\sum_{i,j} a_i c_j \otimes_B c'_j a'_i) = 1 \otimes kh$, that is $1 \otimes kh$ is in the image of χ . Being χ left A -linear, this implies its surjectivity.

Let $\chi_\ell : A_\ell \otimes_{B_\ell} A_\ell \rightarrow A_\ell \otimes H$ be the canonical map of the algebra extension $B_\ell \subset A_\ell$ defined as in (3). We recall from (27) and (30) the expression

$$\delta_\ell(a_{i1}) = a_{i1} \otimes p_{11}, \quad \delta_\ell(a_{\ell 1}^{-1}) = a_{\ell 1}^{-1} \otimes p_{11}^{-1}, \quad \delta_\ell(a_{ir}) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes p_{kr}, \quad \delta_\ell(D^{-1}) = D^{-1} \otimes \tilde{D}^{-1}$$

for the coaction $\delta_\ell : A_\ell \rightarrow A_\ell \otimes H$ on the algebra generators, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $r = 2, \dots, n$. Then, from the left A_ℓ -linearity of the canonical map,

$$\chi_\ell(a_{\ell 1}^{-1} \otimes_{B_\ell} a_{\ell 1}) = 1 \otimes p_{11}, \quad \chi_\ell\left(\sum_{k=1}^n S(a_{ik}) \otimes_{B_\ell} a_{kr}\right) = 1 \otimes p_{ir}, \quad \chi_\ell(D \otimes_{B_\ell} D^{-1}) = 1 \otimes \tilde{D}^{-1}, \quad (36)$$

for S the antipode of the Hopf algebra $A = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n))$, with $A \subset A_\ell = A[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}]$. This shows the surjectivity of the canonical map χ_ℓ . \square

For $\ell = 1$, the algebra extension $B_1 \subset A_1$ is trivial:

Lemma 4.9. *For $\ell = 1$, the map $\gamma_1 : H \rightarrow A_1$ defined on the algebra generators by*

$$\gamma_1 : \tilde{D}^{-1} \mapsto D^{-1}, \quad p_{1j} \mapsto a_{1j}, \quad p_{rs} \mapsto u_{rs}^{(1)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad r, s = 2, \dots, n, \quad (37)$$

and extended to the whole of H as an algebra map is a cleaving map for the algebra extension $B_1 \subset A_1$, thus trivial.

Proof. First we show that the map γ_1 can be extended consistently to the whole of H as an algebra map. From (14) and (24)

$$a_{1k} a_{1j} = \mu_{jk} a_{1j} a_{1k}, \quad a_{1k} u_{rs}^{(1)} = \mu_{1r} \mu_{sk} u_{rs}^{(1)} a_{1k}, \quad u_{rs}^{(1)} u_{pt}^{(1)} = \mu_{rp} \mu_{ts} u_{pt}^{(1)} u_{rs}^{(1)} \quad (38)$$

moreover, from (13) and (25)

$$a_{1k} D^{-1} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (\mu_{\alpha k} \mu_{1\alpha})^{-1} D^{-1} a_{1k} \quad u_{rs}^{(\ell)} D^{-1} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (\mu_{\alpha s} \mu_{r\alpha})^{-1} D^{-1} u_{rs}^{(\ell)}.$$

Comparing these commutation relations with those among the generators p_{ik}, \tilde{D}^{-1} of H in (28), we see that the map γ_1 can be extended consistently to the whole of H as an algebra map.

It is immediate to see, comparing expressions (27) and (31) for the coactions, that the map $\gamma_1 : H \rightarrow A_1$ is also an H -comodule morphism. Finally, being an algebra map, it is convolution invertible with inverse given by $\gamma_1 \circ S$, for S the antipode of H . \square

We now show that this family of H -Galois extensions forms a quantum principal bundle on the quantum ringed space $(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q)$ for the $\{U_\ell\}$ cover as defined above.

Proposition 4.10. *Let the notation be as above. Then*

- (1) *The assignment $\mathcal{F} : U_\ell \mapsto A_\ell$, for A_ℓ the algebras defined in (23) gives a sheaf \mathcal{F} of H -comodule algebras, for the topology generated by the covering $\{U_\ell\}$.*
- (2) *The sheaf \mathcal{F} is a quantum principal bundle (in the sense of Definition 3.4) over the quantum ringed space $(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q)$ with respect to the covering $\{U_\ell\}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. (1). On the intersection of two open sets U_ℓ and U_m with $\ell < m$ we set

$$\mathcal{F}(U_\ell \cap U_m) := A_\ell[a_{m1}^{-1}] =: A_{\ell m}$$

and define restriction morphisms

$$\rho_{\ell, \ell m} : A_\ell \hookrightarrow A_{\ell m} \quad \rho_{m, \ell m} : A_m \hookrightarrow A_{\ell m} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{m\ell}} A_\ell[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}] \quad (39)$$

given respectively by the algebra inclusion and by the composition of the algebra inclusion with the isomorphism $\Psi_{m\ell} : A_m[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} A_{\ell 1}[a_{m 1}^{-1}]$ given in (21). Similarly for multiple intersections. We leave to the reader the checks involved in showing that these information define a unique sheaf for the topology generated by $\{U_\ell\}$ (see [14, Ch. 1] for more details), together with the checks for the claims regarding the H -comodule structure.

(2). We have to show that $\mathcal{F}(U_\ell)^{\text{co}H} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell)$, where $\mathcal{F}(U_\ell)^{\text{co}H} = B_\ell$ is the algebra generated by the elements $b_j^{(\ell)}$ in (32). This is a straightforward calculation. The H -Galois property was shown in Prop. 4.8. \square

4.5. Quantum reduction of \mathcal{F} . We next construct a reduction of the quantum principal bundle \mathcal{F} . We define the Hopf algebra

$$H_0 := \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)/(p_{1s}, s = 2, \dots, n) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\text{GL}(n))/(a_{s1}, a_{1s}, s = 2, \dots, n); \quad (40)$$

we denote $pr_0 : H \rightarrow H_0$ the quotient map and maintain the notation p_{ij} for the images of the generators of H in the quotient Hopf algebra. We define a sheaf \mathcal{F}_0 of H_0 -comodule algebras over the quantum ringed space $(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q)$: on the open sets $\{U_\ell\}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ we set

$$\mathcal{F}_0(U_\ell) := A_\ell/(n_{1s}^{(\ell)}, s = 2, \dots, n) =: A_\ell^0, \quad (41)$$

and on the intersection of two open sets U_ℓ and U_m with $\ell < m$ we set

$$\mathcal{F}_0(U_\ell \cap U_m) := A_{\ell m}/(n_{1s}^{(m)}, s = 2, \dots, n) =: A_{\ell m}^0.$$

Since $\Psi_{m\ell}(n_{1s}^{(m)}) = n_{1s}^{(\ell)}$, see (26), the isomorphism $\Psi_{m\ell}$ descends to a well-defined isomorphism $\Psi_{m\ell}^0 : A_m^0[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} A_\ell^0[a_{m 1}^{-1}] = A_{\ell m}^0$ and we thus have restriction morphisms

$$\rho_{\ell, \ell m}^0 : A_\ell^0 \hookrightarrow A_{\ell m}^0 \quad \rho_{m, \ell m}^0 : A_m^0 \hookrightarrow A_{\ell m}^0[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\Psi_{m\ell}} A_{\ell m}^0.$$

The sheaf \mathcal{F}^0 is similarly defined on multiple intersections.

For each $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, the H -comodule algebra $A_\ell = \mathcal{F}(U_\ell)$ is also an H_0 -comodule algebra with respect to the induced right coaction $(id \otimes pr_0) \circ \delta_\ell$. As from (31), $(n_{1s}^{(\ell)}, s = 2, \dots, n)$ is an H_0 -subcomodule of A_ℓ . Then the coaction $(id \otimes pr_0) \circ \delta_\ell : \mathcal{F}(U_\ell) = A_\ell \rightarrow A_\ell \otimes H_0$ descends to a well-defined coaction on $\mathcal{F}_0(U_\ell)$ and \mathcal{F}_0 is a sheaf of H_0 -comodule algebras:

Proposition 4.11. *The sheaf \mathcal{F}_0 is a quantum principal bundle (in the sense of Definition 3.4) over the quantum ringed space $(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q)$ with respect to the covering $\{U_\ell\}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. By comparisons of (33) and (19) it is immediate to see that

$$\mathcal{F}_0(U_\ell)^{\text{co}H_0} = \mathcal{F}(U_\ell)^{\text{co}H} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q(U_\ell).$$

The proof that $\mathcal{F}_0(U_\ell)$ is a principal H_0 -comodule algebra is analogue to that of Proposition 4.8 and thus omitted. \square

Proposition 4.12. *The quantum principal bundle \mathcal{F}_0 is a quantum algebraic reduction of \mathcal{F} (in the sense of Definition 3.5).*

Proof. The surjective H_0 -comodule maps $\varphi_U : \mathcal{F}(U_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(U_j)$, compatible with the restriction maps $\rho_{-, -}$ and $\rho_{-, -}^0$ are given by the quotient maps $\varphi_U : \mathcal{F}(U_j) = \mathcal{F}(U_j)/(n_{1s}^{(j)}, s = 2, \dots, n)$. Cf. (26). \square

Remark 4.13. The construction we have presented here works more in general for a quadratic algebra defined by n^2 generators which have commutation relations as in (14), with μ_{ij} parameters of deformations satisfying the properties $\mu_{ij}\mu_{ji} = 1$, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and (16). Indeed the computations only use this latter property of the parameters and not their explicit expression (15).

In particular it works for the deformation $\mathcal{O}_\theta(\mathrm{GL}(n))$ of the algebra of coordinates on $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ introduced by Connes and Dubois–Violette in [11], $n > 2$. This latter has generators a_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, which satisfy commutation relations that, formally, are written as in (14), with $\mu_{ij} := \exp(-2i\pi\theta_{ij})$ for θ an antisymmetric matrix of real deformation parameters.

$$\theta_{ij} = -\theta_{ji} = -\theta_{j'j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (42)$$

with $j' := m + j$ for $j \leq m$ and $n = 2m$ or $n = 2m + 1$ and, in this last case, $j' = j$ for $j = 2m + 1$. In this case $\prod_{\alpha=1}^n \mu_{\alpha k} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^m \mu_{\alpha k} \prod_{\alpha=m+1}^{2m} \mu_{\alpha k} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^m \mu_{\alpha k} \mu_{\alpha' k} = 1$ and thus the determinant is central. It is only when requiring the validity of Proposition 4.7, that one has to impose the further restriction (16) on the parameters, reducing the number of parameters of deformation to just one. \square

4.6. The $n = 2$ case: quantum reduction from $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(2))$. We detail here the case $n = 2$, providing a noncommutative version of the sheaf reduction in Example 2.1. In this low-dimensional case the ringed base space $(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})})$ is classical and the sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_0 give examples of quantum locally cleft principal bundles.

Let $A = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(2))$. Accordingly to (11), it has generators a, b, c, d and D^{-1} which satisfy commutation relations

$$ab = q^{-1}ba, \quad ac = qca, \quad cd = q^{-1}dc, \quad bd = qdb, \quad bc = q^2cb, \quad ad = da \quad (43)$$

together with

$$aD^{\pm 1} = D^{\pm 1}a, \quad bD^{\pm 1} = q^{\pm 2}D^{\pm 1}b, \quad cD^{\pm 1} = q^{\mp 2}D^{\pm 1}c, \quad dD^{\pm 1} = D^{\pm 1}d$$

for $D = ad - q^{-1}bc$ the quantum determinant and D^{-1} its inverse. Let $H := \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P) := \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(2))/(c)$ be the Hopf algebra obtained as quotient of A for the Hopf algebra ideal generated by c . The induced coaction of H on $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(2))$ is given on the generators by

$$\delta : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} t & n \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}, \quad D^{-1} \mapsto D^{-1} \otimes \tilde{D}^{-1} \quad (44)$$

and extended to the whole algebra as an algebra homomorphism. Here $t, n, s, \tilde{D}^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$, with $t(s\tilde{D}^{-1}) = (s\tilde{D}^{-1})t = 1$, are respectively the images of the algebra generators $a, b, c, D^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(2))$ under the quotient map $A \rightarrow H$.

We define algebra Ore extensions

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= A[a^{-1}] \\ &\simeq \mathbb{C}_q[a^{\pm 1}, x := a^{-1}b, c, D^{\pm 1}] / (a^{\pm 1}x - q^{\mp 1}xa^{\pm 1}, cx - q^{-1}xc, a^{\pm 1}c - q^{\pm 1}ca^{\pm 1}, \\ &\quad a^{\mp 1}a^{\pm 1} - 1, aD^{\pm 1} = D^{\pm 1}a, \quad a^{-1}D^{\pm 1} = D^{\pm 1}a^{-1}, \\ &\quad xD^{\pm 1} = q^{\pm 2}D^{\pm 1}x, \quad cD^{\pm 1} = q^{\mp 2}D^{\pm 1}c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &:= A[c^{-1}] \\
&\simeq \mathbb{C}_q[a, y := c^{-1}d, c^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}] / (ac^{\pm 1} - q^{\pm 1}c^{\pm 1}a, c^{\pm 1}y - q^{\mp 1}yc^{\pm 1}, ay - q^{-1}ya, \\
&\quad c^{\mp 1}c^{\pm 1} - 1, aD^{\pm 1} = D^{\pm 1}a, cD^{\pm 1} = q^{\mp 2}D^{\pm 1}c, \\
&\quad c^{-1}D^{\pm 1} = q^{\pm 2}D^{\pm 1}c^{-1}, yD^{\pm 1} = q^{\pm 2}D^{\pm 1}y)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_{12} := A_1[c^{-1}] \simeq A_2[a^{-1}]$$

with algebra isomorphism (cf. (2))

$$\Psi : A_1[c^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\simeq} A_2[a^{-1}], \quad a^{\pm 1} \mapsto a^{\pm 1}, \quad c^{\pm 1} \mapsto c^{\pm 1}, \quad x \mapsto y, \quad D^{\pm 1} \mapsto D^{\pm 1}. \quad (45)$$

The coaction (44) extends, uniquely as an algebra map, to coactions $\delta_i : A_i \rightarrow A_i \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$ with

$$\delta_1(a^{-1}) = a^{-1} \otimes t^{-1}, \quad \delta_2(c^{-1}) = c^{-1} \otimes t^{-1},$$

for $t^{-1} := s\tilde{D}^{-1}$, or

$$\delta_1(x) = 1 \otimes t^{-1}n + x \otimes t^{-1}s, \quad \delta_2(y) = 1 \otimes t^{-1}n + y \otimes t^{-1}s. \quad (46)$$

The corresponding subalgebras $B_i := A_i^{\text{co}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)}$ of coinvariant elements are

$$B_1 = \mathbb{C}_q[a^{-1}c] \simeq \mathbb{C}[z], \quad B_2 = \mathbb{C}_q[ac^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[w]$$

and $B_{12} = \mathbb{C}_q[ac^{-1}, ca^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$. In the algebras A_1 , respectively A_2 , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ca^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1}D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ca^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1}D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac^{-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & -q^{-1}c^{-1}D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac^{-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1}c^{-1}D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (48)$$

The algebras A_i are also H_0 -comodule algebras for the induced right coactions $(id \otimes pr_0) \circ \delta_i$ of the Hopf algebra $H_0 := H/(n)$, the quotient of H by the Hopf algebra ideal generated by n , with $pr_0 : H \rightarrow H_0$ the projection map. Notice that the algebra H_0 is commutative with all elements which are group-like. We keep denoting $t^{\pm 1}$, $D^{\pm 1}$ the images of the generators in H_0 .

We consider the topology \mathcal{U} induced by the base $\{U_1, U_2, U_{12} := U_1 \cap U_2\}$ with U_1 and U_2 being the subsets defined in the Example 2.1. We obtain a sheaf \mathcal{F} of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$ -comodule algebras on the ringed space $(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})})$ by setting

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})) = A, \quad \mathcal{F}(U_1) = A_1, \quad \mathcal{F}(U_2) = A_2, \quad \mathcal{F}(U_{12}) = A_{12}, \quad (49)$$

and $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) := \{0\}$ (the one element algebra). The restriction morphisms $\rho_{U,V} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$, for $V \subseteq U \in \mathcal{U}$, are all given by algebra inclusions ι , except for $\rho_{U_2, U_{12}} := \iota \circ \Psi$ given by the composition with the H -comodule algebra isomorphism Ψ in (45).

Proposition 4.14. *The sheaf \mathcal{F} is a quantum locally cleft principal bundle (in the sense of Definition 3.4) over the ringed space $(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})})$ with respect to the covering $\{U_1, U_2\}$.*

Proof. We show that \mathcal{F} is locally cleft. The algebra extension $B_1 \subset A_1$ is a trivial $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$ -Galois extension with (unital) cleaving map which is given on the generators by

$$\gamma_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P) \rightarrow A_1, \quad \tilde{D}^{\pm 1} \mapsto D^{\pm 1}, \quad t^{\pm 1} \mapsto a^{\pm 1}, \quad n \mapsto ax = b \quad (50)$$

or (cf. (47)) in matrix form by $\gamma_1 : \begin{pmatrix} t & n \\ 0 & t^{-1}\tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1}D \end{pmatrix}$. Indeed, an easy computation on the generators shows that γ_1 can consistently be extended as an algebra map to the whole of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$. It is a convolution invertible $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$ -comodule map with inverse $\bar{\gamma}_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P) \rightarrow A_1$ given by the algebra map $\bar{\gamma}_1 := \gamma_1 \circ S$ for S the antipode of P .

As for the other chart, the computation is more involved since the cleaving map is not an algebra map. The extension $B_2 \subset A_2$ is cleft with (unital) cleaving map which is given on the vector space basis $\{\tilde{D}^p t^m n^r, m, p \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$ by

$$\gamma_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P) \rightarrow A_2, \quad \tilde{D}^p t^m n^r \mapsto (-1)^p q^p D^p c^m d^r \quad (51)$$

and on the algebra generators reads

$$\gamma_2 : \begin{pmatrix} t & n \\ 0 & t^{-1}\tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & -q^{-1}c^{-1}D \end{pmatrix} \quad (52)$$

(cf. (48)). We postpone to Appendix A the proof that γ_2 is a convolution invertible $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$ -comodule map. \square

We define another sheaf \mathcal{F}_0 over $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0(U_1) &:= \mathbb{C}_q[a, c, a^{-1}, D^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathcal{F}(U_1), & \mathcal{F}_0(U_2) &:= \mathbb{C}_q[a, c, c^{-1}, D^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathcal{F}(U_2), \\ \mathcal{F}_0(U_{12}) &:= \mathbb{C}_q[a, c, a^{-1}, c^{-1}, D^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathcal{F}(U_{12}), & \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})) &= \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(2)) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

with restriction morphisms $\rho_{U_i V}^0$ given by algebra inclusions. Observe that

$$\mathcal{F}_0(U_1) \simeq \mathcal{F}(U_1)/(x), \quad \mathcal{F}_0(U_2) \simeq \mathcal{F}(U_2)/(y)$$

with (x) , respectively (y) , H_0 -subcomodules of $\mathcal{F}(U_1)$, respectively $\mathcal{F}(U_2)$. Indeed, from (46), one has $(id \otimes pr_0) \circ \delta_i(x) = x \otimes t^{-1}s$ and $(id \otimes pr_0) \circ \delta_i(y) = y \otimes t^{-1}s$. Then, the maps $(\varphi_i \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes pr_0) \circ \delta_i$, for

$$\varphi_1 : \mathcal{F}(U_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(U_1) \simeq \mathcal{F}(U_1)/(x), \quad \varphi_2 : \mathcal{F}(U_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(U_2) \simeq \mathcal{F}(U_2)/(y) \quad (54)$$

the quotient maps, descend to well-defined coactions $\delta_i^0 : \mathcal{F}_0(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(U_i) \otimes H_0$ and \mathcal{F}_0 is a sheaf of H_0 -comodule algebras. Analogously for U_{12} , with

$$\varphi_{12} : \mathcal{F}(U_{12}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(U_{12}) \simeq \mathcal{F}(U_{12})/(x). \quad (55)$$

Proposition 4.15. *The sheaf \mathcal{F}_0 is a locally cleft quantum principal bundle (in the sense of Definition 3.4) over the ringed space $(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})})$ with respect to the covering $\{U_1, U_2\}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{F}_0(U_1)^{\mathrm{co}H_0} = \mathbb{C}_q[a^{-1}c] \simeq \mathbb{C}[z], \quad \mathcal{F}_0(U_2)^{\mathrm{co}H_0} = \mathbb{C}_q[ac^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[w]$$

are the subalgebras of coinvariant elements. The algebra extension $\mathcal{F}_0(U_1)^{\mathrm{co}H_0} \subset \mathcal{F}_0(U_1)$ is trivial with (unital) cleaving map which is given by the algebra map

$$\gamma_1^0 : H_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(U_1), \quad \tilde{D}^{\pm 1} \mapsto D^{\pm 1}, \quad t^{\pm 1} \mapsto a^{\pm 1}$$

or in matrix form (cf. (47))

$$\gamma_1^0 : \begin{pmatrix} t & n \\ 0 & t^{-1}\tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1}D \end{pmatrix} \quad (56)$$

Recalling that the algebra H_0 is commutative with all elements which are group-like, it is immediate to see that γ_1^0 is a convolution invertible H_0 -comodule map.

The algebra extension $\mathcal{F}_0(U_2)^{\text{co}H_0} \subset \mathcal{F}_0(U_2)$ is cleft with (unital) cleaving map which is given on the vector space basis $\{\tilde{D}^p t^m, m, p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of H_0 by

$$\gamma_2^0 : H_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(U_2), \quad \tilde{D}^p t^m \mapsto (-q)^p D^p c^m \quad (57)$$

and reading (cf. (48))

$$\gamma_2^0 : \begin{pmatrix} t & n \\ 0 & t^{-1} \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1} c^{-1} D \end{pmatrix} \quad (58)$$

on the algebra generators. It is an H_0 -comodule map

$$(\gamma_2^0 \otimes id) \Delta(\tilde{D}^p t^m) = (-q)^p D^p c^m \otimes \tilde{D}^p t^m = (-q)^p \delta_2^0(D^p c^m) = \delta_2^0(\gamma_2^0(\tilde{D}^p t^m))$$

with convolution inverse $\bar{\gamma}_2^0 : \tilde{D}^p t^m \mapsto (-q)^{-p} c^{-m} D^{-p}$. \square

Proposition 4.16. *The quantum principal bundle \mathcal{F}_0 is a quantum algebraic reduction of \mathcal{F} (in the sense of Definition 3.5).*

Proof. We show there exist surjective $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(T)$ -comodule maps $\varphi_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(U)$, for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$, that are compatible with the restriction maps $\rho_{-, -}$ and $\rho_{-, -}^0$ of the sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_0 , respectively. That is,

$$\varphi_V \circ \rho_{U, V} = \rho_{U, V}^0 \circ \varphi_U$$

for all $V \subseteq U \in \mathcal{U}$, for Ψ the H -comodule algebra isomorphism in (45).

For $U = \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ we take the identity map $id : \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$. By construction, the quotient maps φ_1, φ_2 in (54) and φ_{12} in (55) are surjective H_0 -comodule algebra maps, $(\varphi_i \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes pr_0) \circ \delta = \delta_0 \circ \varphi_i$ and make the following diagrams commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U_1) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & \mathcal{F}_0(U_1) & & \mathcal{F}(U_2) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \mathcal{F}_0(U_2) \\ \rho_{U_1, U_{12}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{U_1, U_{12}}^0 & & \rho_{U_2, U_{12}=i \circ \Psi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{U_2, U_{12}}^0 \\ \mathcal{F}(U_{12}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{12}} & \mathcal{F}_0(U_{12}) & & \mathcal{F}(U_{12}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{12}} & \mathcal{F}_0(U_{12}) \end{array} .$$

Moreover, $\varphi_i(\mathcal{F}(U_i)^{\text{co}H}) = \mathcal{F}(U_i)^{\text{co}H_0}$ for the two open sets U_1, U_2 . \square

5. QUANTUM SHEAF ALGEBRAIC REDUCTIONS

In this section we provide a sufficient condition for the existence of reductions of quantum principal bundles, yielding them directly, based on a sheaf theoretic reinterpretation of the result in [19].

5.1. Quantum affine algebraic Reductions. We recall some results about affine reductions, in particular the Hopf-Galois reduction Theorem 64. The main reference is [19], see also [7, 21].

As before, let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and $H_0 := H/J$ its quotient by a Hopf ideal J , with $pr_0 : H \rightarrow H_0 = H/J$ denoting the quotient map. Let

$${}^{\text{co}H_0}H = \{k \in H \mid pr_0(k_{(1)}) \otimes k_{(2)} = 1 \otimes k \in H_0 \otimes H\}$$

be the subalgebra of coinvariants of H with respect to the left coaction $(pr_0 \otimes id) \circ \Delta$ of H_0 . We assume ${}^{\text{co}H_0}H \subset H$ to be a principal H_0 -Galois extension (and hence H is coflat as H_0 -comodule).

The Hopf-Galois Reduction Theorem is a noncommutative algebraic counterpart of Prop. 2.3. It was proven in [19, Thm. 4], as a generalization of [31, Thm. 3.2] for

Galois objects. It gives a correspondence between algebraic H_0 -reductions of a H -Galois extension $B := A^{\text{co}H} \subset A$ (in the sense of Def. 3.2) and certain algebra maps from the subalgebra of coinvariants ${}^{\text{co}H_0}H$ to the centralizer subalgebra of B in A . In order to state the result, let us introduce some notation and preliminary results.

Recall that, for any H -Galois extension $B \subset A$, the centralizer subalgebra of B in A ,

$$Z_A(B) := \{x \in A \mid xb = bx, \forall b \in B\} \quad (59)$$

is a right H -module algebra and H -comodule algebra. The action is given by the so-called Miyashita–Ulbrich action (see [13, §3]):

$$\triangleleft_{MU} : Z_A(B) \otimes H \rightarrow Z_A(B), \quad x \otimes h \mapsto x \triangleleft_{MU} h := h^{<1>} x h^{<2>} \quad (60)$$

where $h^{<1>}$ and $h^{<2>}$ denote the components of the translation map τ of the extension $B \subset A$, $\tau(h) := h^{<1>} \otimes_B h^{<2>} \in A \otimes_B A$ (see §3, (4)). Being τ valued on the balanced tensor product, the action (60) is indeed well-defined only on the centralizer subalgebra $Z_A(B) \subseteq A$. The right coaction is given by the restriction to $Z_A(B)$ of the principal H -coaction on A ,

$$\delta : x \mapsto x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)}. \quad (61)$$

The subalgebra ${}^{\text{co}H_0}H$ of coinvariants of H with respect to the left coaction of H_0 is a right H module and comodule algebra too. It has right adjoint action

$$\triangleleft : {}^{\text{co}H_0}H \otimes H \rightarrow {}^{\text{co}H_0}H, \quad k \otimes h \mapsto k \triangleleft h := S(h_{(1)})kh_{(2)}$$

and coaction given by the restriction of the coproduct of H to its subalgebra ${}^{\text{co}H_0}H$, indeed valued in ${}^{\text{co}H_0}H \otimes H$.

Observation 5.1. We recall that both $Z_A(B)$ and ${}^{\text{co}H_0}H$ are Yetter–Drinfeld module algebras over H . The H -module (60) and H -comodule (61) structures on $Z_A(B)$ are shown to satisfy the Yetter–Drinfeld condition

$$\delta(x \triangleleft_{MU} h) = x_{(0)} \triangleleft_{MU} h_{(2)} \otimes S(h_{(1)})x_{(1)}h_{(3)}$$

by using the property $h^{<1>}_{(1)} \otimes h^{<1>}_{(0)} \otimes_B h^{<2>}_{(0)} \otimes h^{<2>}_{(1)} = S(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}^{<1>} \otimes_B h_{(2)}^{<2>} \otimes h_{(3)}$ of the translation map, obtained by combining properties (5) and (6). The Yetter–Drinfeld compatibility condition for the subalgebra ${}^{\text{co}H_0}H$ is an easy check.

We denote by $\text{Alg}_H^H({}^{\text{co}H_0}H, Z_A(B))$ the space of maps $f : {}^{\text{co}H_0}H \rightarrow Z_A(B)$ that are H -module and comodule algebra maps. The H -module map condition reads

$$f(S(h_{(1)})kh_{(2)}) = h^{<1>} f(k)h^{<2>}, \quad \forall h \in H, k \in {}^{\text{co}H_0}H \quad (62)$$

where as before $h^{<1>} \otimes_B h^{<2>} = \tau(h)$ is the translation map τ of the extension $B \subset A$, while the H -comodule map condition is simply

$$f(h)_{(0)} \otimes f(h)_{(1)} = f(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}. \quad (63)$$

For the Hopf-Galois Reduction Theorem [19, Thm 4], functions in $\text{Alg}_H^H({}^{\text{co}H_0}H, Z_A(B))$ are in correspondence with elements in the set ${}_B\text{Red}^{H_0}(A)$ of algebraic H_0 -reductions of the H -Galois extension $B \subset A$. In particular, given a function $f \in \text{Alg}_H^H({}^{\text{co}H_0}H, Z_B(A))$, the set $I^+ := Af({}^{\text{co}H_0}H \cap \ker(\varepsilon))$ is an ideal in A , by condition (62), and an H -subcomodule. Moreover A/I^+ is an H_0 -Galois extension of B and a reduction of $B \subset A$. This gives the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Alg}_H^H({}^{\text{co}H_0}H, Z_B(A)) &\longrightarrow {}_B\text{Red}^{H_0}(A) \\ f &\longmapsto A/A f({}^{\text{co}H_0}H \cap \ker(\varepsilon)). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

We conclude this section with a technical lemma we shall need in the sequel.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $f : {}^{\text{co}H_0}H \rightarrow Z_A(B)$ a linear map. Suppose condition (62) holds for two elements h, h' in H , for all $k \in {}^{\text{co}H_0}H$. Then condition (62) is also satisfied by the element hh' , for all $k \in {}^{\text{co}H_0}H$.*

Proof. For the element hh' , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} f(S((hh')_{(1)})k(hh')_{(2)}) &= f\left(S(h'_{(1)}) (S(h_{(1)})kh_{(2)}) h'_{(2)}\right) \\ &= h'^{\langle 1 \rangle} f(S(h_{(1)})kh_{(2)}) h'^{\langle 2 \rangle} \\ &= h'^{\langle 1 \rangle} h^{\langle 1 \rangle} f(k)h^{\langle 2 \rangle} h'^{\langle 2 \rangle} \\ &= (hh')^{\langle 1 \rangle} f(k)(hh')^{\langle 2 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

thus proving (62). The last equality holds by property (7) of τ . \square

5.2. Quantum sheaf algebraic reductions. Next, we want to take advantage of the above correspondence for the affine setting to obtain a result for the sheaf theoretic definition of reduction. This will allow us to consider examples where the global coordinate rings of the geometric objects are not available.

Let \mathcal{F} be a quantum H -principal bundle over the quantum ringed space (M, \mathcal{O}_M) with respect to an open covering $\{U_i\}$ as in Definition 3.4. For each i , let $Z_{\mathcal{F}(U_i)}(\mathcal{O}_M(U_i))$ be the centralizer of $\mathcal{F}(U_i)^{\text{co}H} = \mathcal{O}_M(U_i)$ in $\mathcal{F}(U_i)$ with right action defined as in (60) and right H -coaction given by the restriction of that on $\mathcal{F}(U_i)$. Assume, by eventually restricting \mathcal{F} , the topology to be generated by the open covering $\{U_i\}$, so that $\{U_I := \cap_{i \in I} U_i\}$ is a base (see also [2, §4]) and I is a multindex, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$, $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$. In the following we will denote for simplicity by $\rho_{K,I}$ the restriction map from U_K to U_I .

Theorem 5.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a quantum H -principal bundle over the quantum ringed space (M, \mathcal{O}_M) with respect to a finite open covering $\{U_i\}$, as above. Let $H_0 = H/J$ as above. Assume $\{f_i : {}^{\text{co}H_0}H \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{F}(U_i)}(\mathcal{O}_M(U_i))\}$ is a family of H -module and H -comodule algebra maps such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^{\text{co}H_0}H & \xrightarrow{f_i} & Z_{\mathcal{F}(U_i)}(\mathcal{O}_M(U_i)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(U_i) \\ f_j \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{i,i_j} \\ Z_{\mathcal{F}(U_j)}(\mathcal{O}_M(U_j)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(U_j) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{j,i_j}} & \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j) \end{array} \quad (65)$$

Then \mathcal{F} admits an algebraic reduction to H_0 .

Proof. Suppose we have a family of maps f_i as above. We want to define a reduction of \mathcal{F} as a quotient $\mathcal{F}_0 := \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}$, where \mathcal{I} is an ideal sheaf. We define \mathcal{I} by using locally the correspondence (64) of the Hopf–Galois reduction Theorem. For each open set U_I of the base, we set

$$\mathcal{I}(U_I) := \mathcal{F}(U_I)(\rho_{i,I} \circ f_i)({}^{\text{co}H_0}H \cap \ker \varepsilon_H).$$

This is well-defined, that is it does not depend on the choice of the index i . In fact since

$$\rho_{i,I} = \rho_{ij,I} \circ \rho_{i,i_j}$$

and by hypothesis (65) holds, $\rho_{i,i_j} \circ f_i = \rho_{j,i_j} \circ f_j$, we have that:

$$\rho_{i,I} \circ f_i = \rho_{ij,I} \circ \rho_{i,i_j} \circ f_i = \rho_{j,I} \circ f_j.$$

The restriction maps $\rho_{K,I}$ of the sheaf \mathcal{F} ensure that \mathcal{I} has the presheaf property on the base $\{U_I\}$. By [18, Ch.0, §3.2.1], we can extend the presheaf on the base to a presheaf on

all open sets and then, as usual, via sheafification, get an ideal sheaf, that we still denote by \mathcal{I} . Hence we obtain a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0 := \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}$. By applying the Hopf-Galois Reduction Theorem to $\mathcal{F}(U_i)/\mathcal{I}(U_i)$, we see this latter is a faithfully flat H_0 -Galois extension of $\mathcal{O}_M(U_i)$ and so is $\mathcal{F}_0(U_i)$ obtained via sheafification (it is a finite inverse limit). We leave to the readers all the checks of the properties involving the restriction maps $\rho_{K,I}^0$ of \mathcal{F}_0 on the base and then extended naturally to all open sets. \square

5.3. Example. We return to the examples in §4 and show here the existence of the quantum reduction by constructing maps f_j as in Thm 5.3. We keep the same notation used there.

Let $H = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P) := \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(\mathrm{GL}(n))/(a_{s1}, s \neq 1)$ and let J be the ideal generated by $\{p_{1s}, s = 2, \dots, n\}$. It is a Hopf algebra ideal of H and $H_0 = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)/J$ is the Hopf algebra in (40). By standard arguments, H is a left H_0 -comodule algebra with coaction $\rho : H \rightarrow H_0 \otimes H$, $h \mapsto \pi(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}$, for $\pi : H \rightarrow H_0$ the quotient map, $\bar{p}_{ij} := \pi(p_{ij})$.

We notice that H is a trivial H_0 -Galois extension of ${}^{\mathrm{co}H_0}H$, by writing directly the cleaving map $j : H_0 \rightarrow H$ and its convolution inverse \bar{j} :

$$j(\bar{p}_{ij}) = p_{ij}, \quad \bar{j}(\bar{p}_{11}) = p_{11}^{-1}, \quad \bar{j}(\bar{p}_{ij}) = S(p_{ij}).$$

The subalgebra ${}^{\mathrm{co}H_0}H$ of coinvariants is generated by the elements

$$\beta_s := p_{11}^{-1} p_{1s}, \quad s = 2, \dots, n$$

with commutation relations

$$\beta_s \beta_r = \mu_{rs} \beta_r \beta_s, \quad r, s = 2, \dots, n. \quad (66)$$

As in §4, we consider the quantum ringed space $(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})}^q)$ with open cover given by the sets U_ℓ in (18), for $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, that we assume to generate the topology. Thus the intersections of an arbitrary number of U_ℓ 's form a basis.

In Proposition 4.10 we proved that the assignment $\mathcal{F} : U_\ell \mapsto A_\ell = A[a_{\ell 1}^{-1}]$, for A_ℓ the algebras defined in (23), gives a sheaf \mathcal{F} of H -comodule algebras for the topology generated by the $\{U_\ell\}$ and moreover that it is a quantum principal bundle (in the sense of Definition 3.4) with respect to the covering $\{U_\ell\}$. We are now going to show the existence of a reduction of \mathcal{F} to a quantum principal H_0 -bundle by showing the existence of algebra morphisms $f_\ell : {}^{\mathrm{co}H_0}H \rightarrow Z_{B_\ell}(A_\ell)$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, which satisfy the hypothesis of Thm 5.3.

For $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, we define the map $f_\ell : {}^{\mathrm{co}H_0}H \rightarrow A_\ell$ on the algebra generators β_k as

$$f_\ell : \beta_s \mapsto n_{1s}^{(\ell)} = a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{\ell s}, \quad s = 2, \dots, n. \quad (67)$$

By comparing the commutation relations of the β_s in (66) with those of the elements $n_{1s}^{(\ell)}$ in (24), we see that the map f_ℓ can be extended to the whole algebra ${}^{\mathrm{co}H_0}H$ by requiring it to be an algebra morphism.

Proposition 5.4. *The algebra maps f_ℓ in (67) are valued in the centralizer $Z_{B_\ell}(A_\ell)$ of B_ℓ in A_ℓ , for B_ℓ the subalgebra of coinvariants of A_ℓ with respect to the H -coaction. Moreover $f_\ell : {}^{\mathrm{co}H_0}H \rightarrow Z_{B_\ell}(A_\ell)$ are H -module, comodule algebra maps and they satisfy (65), that is*

$$\rho_{\ell, \ell m} \circ f_\ell = \rho_{m, \ell m} \circ f_m \quad (68)$$

for $\rho_{\ell, \ell m}$ the restriction morphisms in (39).

Proof. By using the commutation relations in (24), we see that the elements $n_{1s}^{(\ell)}$ commute with the generators $b_r^{(\ell)} = a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{r1}$ in (32) of the subalgebra of coinvariants B_ℓ :

$$n_{1s}^{(\ell)} b_r^{(\ell)} = n_{1s}^{(\ell)} a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{r1} = \mu_{s1} a_{\ell 1}^{-1} n_{1s}^{(\ell)} a_{r1} = \mu_{s1} \mu_{1s} a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{r1} n_{1s}^{(\ell)} = b_r^{(\ell)} n_{1s}^{(\ell)}$$

where we use property (16). Thus, the image of f_ℓ is contained in the centralizer $Z_{B_\ell}(A_\ell)$.

Next we show that f_ℓ is an H -module and comodule map. Being an algebra map, it is enough to show the properties on the algebra generators. The H -comodule map condition (63) is easily verified: using the expression for the coaction $\delta_\ell : A_\ell \rightarrow A_\ell \otimes H$ in (31), we compute

$$\delta_\ell(f_\ell(\beta_s)) = \delta_\ell(n_{1s}^{(\ell)}) = \sum_{r=2}^n n_{1r}^{(\ell)} \otimes p_{11}^{-1} p_{rs} = \sum_{r=2}^n f_\ell(\beta_r) \otimes p_{11}^{-1} p_{rs} = f_\ell((\beta_s)_{(1)}) \otimes (\beta_s)_{(2)}$$

where we used $\Delta(\beta_s) = \Delta(p_{11}^{-1} p_{1s}) = \sum_{r=2}^n p_{11}^{-1} p_{1r} \otimes p_{11}^{-1} p_{rs} = \sum_{r=2}^n \beta_r \otimes p_{11}^{-1} p_{rs}$ for the last equality.

In order to show that the map f_ℓ is also an H -module map, that is (62) is satisfied,

$$f_\ell(S(h_{(1)})\beta_s h_{(2)}) = h^{<1>} f_\ell(\beta_s) h^{<2>} , \quad \forall h \in H, s = 2, \dots, n,$$

we need the explicit expression of the translation map of the H -Galois extension $B_\ell \subset A_\ell$ that was given in (36). For $h = p_{ir}$, $r > 1$, a generator of H , we have

$$f_\ell\left(\sum_{k=2}^n S(p_{ik})\beta_s p_{kr}\right) = f_\ell\left(\mu_{1r}\mu_{rs}\sum_{k=2}^n S(p_{ik})p_{kr}\beta_s\right) = \mu_{1r}\mu_{rs}\delta_{ir}f_\ell(\beta_s)$$

which coincides with

$$p_{ir}^{<1>} f_\ell(\beta_s) p_{ir}^{<2>} = \sum_{k=1}^n S(a_{ik})f_\ell(\beta_s)a_{kr} = \mu_{1r}\mu_{rs}S(a_{ik})a_{kr}f_\ell(\beta_s) = \mu_{1r}\mu_{rs}\delta_{ir}f_\ell(\beta_s).$$

For $h = p_{11}$ we have

$$f_\ell(S(p_{11})\beta_s p_{11}) = f_\ell(\mu_{1s}S(p_{11})p_{11}\beta_s) = \mu_{1s}f_\ell(\beta_s)$$

equal to

$$p_{11}^{<1>} f_\ell(\beta_s) p_{11}^{<2>} = a_{\ell 1}^{-1} f_\ell(\beta_s) a_{\ell 1} = \mu_{1s} a_{\ell 1}^{-1} a_{\ell 1} f_\ell(\beta_s) = \mu_{1s} f_\ell(\beta_s)$$

and similarly, condition (62) is shown to hold for $h = \tilde{D}^{-1}$ by using that $\beta_s \tilde{D}^{-1} = q^{-2(s-1)} \tilde{D}^{-1} \beta_s$ as well as $n_{1s}^{(\ell)} \tilde{D}^{-1} = q^{-2(s-1)} \tilde{D}^{-1} n_{1s}^{(\ell)}$. It is clear from the proof, that the above identities hold valid if we replace β_s by a generic element $k \in {}^{\text{co}H_0}H$, being this just a polynomial on the β_s . Thanks to Lemma 5.2 we conclude that (62) holds in general, that is the map f_ℓ is an H -module map as claimed.

Now we go to the proof of (68). Using the restriction morphisms $\rho_{\ell, \ell m}$ in (39), $\ell < m$,

$$\rho_{\ell, \ell m}(f_\ell(\beta_s)) = \rho_{\ell, \ell m}(n_{1s}^{(\ell)}) = n_{1s}^{(\ell)} = \Psi_{m\ell}(n_{1s}^{(m)}) = \rho_{m, \ell m}(n_{1s}^{(m)}) = \rho_{m, \ell m}(f_m(\beta_s))$$

where we used the isomorphisms $\Psi_{m\ell}$ of Proposition 4.5 in (26). \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let the notation be as above. Then \mathcal{F} admits a reduction to an H_0 quantum principal bundle \mathcal{F}^0 .*

By Proposition 5.3, on the opens of the covering one has $\mathcal{F}_0(U_\ell) = A_\ell/\mathcal{I}(U_\ell)$ where $\mathcal{I}(U_\ell) = \mathcal{F}(U_\ell) f_\ell({}^{\text{co}H_0}H \cap \ker \varepsilon_H)$. Since $\varepsilon_H(p_{1s}) = 0$, we have here ${}^{\text{co}H_0}H \cap \ker \varepsilon_H = {}^{\text{co}H_0}H$. Moreover, being f_ℓ an algebra map, its image is the subalgebra of A_ℓ generated by the elements $n_{1s}^{(\ell)}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_0(U_\ell) = A_\ell/\mathcal{I}(U_\ell) = A_\ell^0$$

for A_ℓ^0 the H_0 -comodule algebras in (41) defining the reduction sheaf \mathcal{F}_0 of §4.5.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.14

In this Appendix, we conclude the proof of Proposition 4.14 showing that the map

$$\gamma_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P) \rightarrow A_2, \quad \tilde{D}^p t^m n^r \mapsto (-1)^p q^p D^p c^m d^r$$

defined in (51) is indeed a cleaving map for the algebra extension $B_2 \subset A_2$, which is thus cleft as claimed. First we show γ_2 is an $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P)$ -comodule map: $(\gamma_2 \otimes id) \circ \Delta = \delta_2 \circ \gamma_2$. For that we first compute

$$\Delta(\tilde{D}^p) = \tilde{D}^p \otimes \tilde{D}^p, \quad \Delta(t^m) = t^m \otimes t^m, \quad \Delta(n^r) = \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} q^{j(r-j)} t^j n^{r-j} \otimes \tilde{D}^{r-j} t^{j-r} n^j,$$

where the last formula is proven by induction and the symbol $\binom{r}{j}$ denotes the (classical) binomial coefficient. Moreover, for $m, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we compute

$$\delta_2(D^p) = D^p \otimes \tilde{D}^p, \quad \delta_2(c^m) = c^m \otimes t^m, \quad \delta_2(d^r) = \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} q^{j(r-j)} c^j d^{r-j} \otimes \tilde{D}^{r-j} t^{j-r} n^j,$$

using again induction. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_2 \otimes id) \circ \Delta(\tilde{D}^p t^m n^r) &= \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} q^{j(r-j)} \gamma_2(\tilde{D}^p t^{m+j} n^{r-j}) \otimes \tilde{D}^{p+r-j} t^{m+j-r} n^j \\ &= (-q)^p \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} q^{j(r-j)} D^p c^{m+j} d^{r-j} \otimes \tilde{D}^{p+r-j} t^{m+j-r} n^j \\ &= (-q)^p \delta_2(D^p c^m d^r) = \delta_2 \circ \gamma_2(\tilde{D}^p t^m n^r), \end{aligned}$$

showing that γ_2 is a comodule map, as claimed. Next we show that γ_2 is convolution invertible. Its inverse $\bar{\gamma}_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_q(P) \rightarrow A_2$ is given by

$$\bar{\gamma}_2(\tilde{D}^p t^m n^r) := (-1)^p q^{-p+r(r-1)} d^r c^{-m} D^{-r-p}. \quad (69)$$

It is indeed immediate to verify that

$$(\gamma_2 * \bar{\gamma}_2)(\tilde{D}^p t^m) = \gamma_2(\tilde{D}^p t^m) \bar{\gamma}_2(\tilde{D}^p t^m) = D^p c^m c^{-m} D^{-p} = 1 = \varepsilon(\tilde{D}^p t^m)$$

and similarly $(\bar{\gamma}_2 * \gamma_2)(\tilde{D}^p t^m) = 1$. While for $r \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_2 * \bar{\gamma}_2)(\tilde{D}^p t^m n^r) &= \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} q^{j(r-j)} \gamma_2(\tilde{D}^p t^{m+j} n^{r-j}) \bar{\gamma}_2(\tilde{D}^{p+r-j} t^{m+j-r} n^j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-1)^{r-j} q^{j(r-j)} q^p q^{-p-r+j+j(j-1)} D^p c^{m+j} d^{r-j} d^j c^{-m-j+r} D^{-p-r} \\ &= (-1)^r \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j q^{jr-r} q^{-r(m+j-2p)} d^r c^r D^{-r} \\ &= (-1)^r q^{-r(m-2p+1)} d^r c^r D^{-r} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

together with

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\bar{\gamma}_2 * \gamma_2)(\tilde{D}^p t^m n^r) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} q^{j(r-j)} \bar{\gamma}_2(\tilde{D}^p t^{m+j} n^{r-j}) \gamma_2(\tilde{D}^{p+r-j} t^{m+j-r} n^j) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-1)^{r-j} q^{j(r-j)} q^{-p+(r-j)(r-j-1)} q^{p+r-j} d^{r-j} c^{-m-j} D^{-p-r+j} D^{p+r-j} c^{m+j-r} d^j \\
&= (-1)^r \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j q^{r(r-j)} q^{-r(r-j)} c^{-r} d^r \\
&= (-1)^r c^{-r} d^r \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof that γ_2 in (51) is a cleaving map for the extension.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Aschieri, R. Fiorese, E. Latini, *Quantum Principal Bundles on Projective Bases*. Commun. Math. Phys. 382 (2021) 1691–1724.
- [2] P. Aschieri, R. Fiorese, E. Latini, T. Weber, *Differential Calculi on Quantum Principal Bundles over Projective Bases*. arXiv:2110.03481.
- [3] P. Aschieri, G. Landi, C. Pagani, *The gauge group of a noncommutative principal bundle and twist deformations*. J. Noncommut. Geom. (2020), 1501–1559.
- [4] E. J. Beggs, S. Majid, *Quantum Riemannian Geometry*. Springer, 2020.
- [5] T. Brzeziński, *Translation map in quantum principal bundles*. J. Geom. Phys. 20 (1996), 349–370.
- [6] T. Brzeziński, S. Majid, *Quantum group gauge theory on quantum spaces*. Commun. Math. Phys. 157 (1993), 591–638.
- [7] T. Brzeziński, B. Zieliński, *Quantum principal bundles over quantum real projective spaces*. J. Geom. Phys. 62 (2012), no. 5, 1097–1107.
- [8] A. Carotenuto, R. Ó Buachalla, *Principal Pairs of Quantum Homogeneous Spaces*. arXiv:2111.11284.
- [9] N. Ciccoli, R. Fiorese, F. Gavarini, *Quantization of Projective Homogeneous Spaces and Duality Principle*. J. Noncommut. Geom. 2 (2008), 449–496.
- [10] L.S. Cirio, C. Pagani, *A 4-sphere with non central radius and its instanton sheaf*. Lett. Math. Phys. (2015) 105: 169–197.
- [11] A. Connes, M. Dubois-Violette, *Noncommutative finite-dimensional manifolds. I. Spherical manifolds and related examples*. Commun. Math. Phys. 230 (2002), 539–579.
- [12] A. Čap, J. Slovák, *Parabolic Geometries*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs (2009), Vol. 154.
- [13] Y. Doi, M. Takeuchi, *Hopf-Galois extensions of algebras, the Miyashita-Ulbrich action and Azumaya algebras*. J. Algebra 121 (1989), 488–516.
- [14] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, *The geometry of schemes*. Springer-Verlag New York (2000).
- [15] R. Fiorese, *Quantum deformation of the grassmannian manifold*. J. Algebra 214 (1999), 418–447.
- [16] R. Fiorese, *Quantum deformation of the flag variety*. Commun. Algebra 27, 11 (1999), 5669–5685.
- [17] R. Fiorese, M.A. Lledo, *The Minkowski and conformal superspaces. The classical and quantum descriptions*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2015. xxii+341 pp.
- [18] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale de schémas et de morphismes de schémas*. Publ. Math. IHES 32 (1967).
- [19] R. Günther *Crossed products for pointed Hopf algebras*. Commun. Algebra 27 (1999), 4389–4410.
- [20] P. M. Hajac, U. Kraimer, R. Matthes, B. Zieliński, *Piecewise principal comodule algebras*. J. Noncommut. Geom. 5 (2011) 591–614.
- [21] P. M. Hajac, J. Rudnik, B. Zieliński, *Reductions of piecewise-trivial principal comodule algebras*. arXiv:1101.0201.
- [22] I. Heckenberger, S. Kolb, *The locally finite part of the dual coalgebra of quantised irreducible flag manifolds*. Proc. Lon. Math. Soc., 89 (2004) 457–484.

- [23] I. Heckenberger, S. Kolb, *De Rham complex for quantized irreducible flag manifolds*. J. Algebra, 305 (2006) 704–741.
- [24] D. Husemoller, *Fibre Bundles*. Springer-Verlag (1994).
- [25] A. Klimyk, K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*. Springer-Verlag (1997).
- [26] Y. I. Manin, *Topics in noncommutative geometry*. M. B. Porter Lectures. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1991).
- [27] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings*. CBMS Lecture Notes vol 82, American Math Society, Providence, RI (1993).
- [28] R. Ó Buachalla, *Quantum bundle description of quantum projective spaces*. Commun. Math. Phys., 316 (2012) 345–373.
- [29] M. Pflaum, *Quantum Groups on Fiber Bundles*. Commun. Math. Phys., 166 (1994) 279–315.
- [30] A. L. Rosenberg, *Noncommutative Algebraic Geometry and Representations of Quantized Algebras*, Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 330, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht (1995).
- [31] P. Schauenburg, *Galois objects over generalized Drinfeld doubles, with an application to $u_q(sl_2)$* . J. Algebra 217, 2 (1999), 584–598.
- [32] P. Schauenburg, *Bi-Galois objects over Taft algebras*. Israel J. Math. 115 (2000), 101–123.
- [33] A. Schirmacher, *The multiparametric deformation of $GL(n)$ and the covariant differential calculus on the quantum vector space*. Z. Phys. C Particles and Fields 50 (1991), 321–327.
- [34] H. Schneider, *Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras*. Israel J. Math. 72 (1990), 167–195.
- [35] A Sudbery, *Consistent multiparameter quantisation of $GL(n)$* . J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 (1990).
- [36] L.A. Takhtajan, *Quantum Groups and Integrable Models*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 19 (1989).
- [37] F. M. J. Van Oystaeyen and A. H. M. J. Verschoren, *Non-commutative Algebraic Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 887, Springer-Verlag (1981).

Rita Fioresi

ALMA MATER STUDIORUM UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA,
 FABIT, VIA S. DONATO 15, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY
 ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE, SEZIONE DI BOLOGNA, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY.
Email address: rita.fioresi@unibo.it

Emanuele Latini

ALMA MATER STUDIORUM UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA,
 DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO, 5, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY
 ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE, SEZIONE DI BOLOGNA, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY.
Email address: emanuele.latini@unibo.it

Chiara Pagani

ALMA MATER STUDIORUM UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA,
 DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO, 5, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY.
Email address: c.pagani@unibo.it