

Continuity and equivariant dimension

Alexandru Chirvasitu and Benjamin Passer

Abstract

We study the local-triviality dimensions of actions on C^* -algebras, which are invariants developed for noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theory. While finiteness of the local-triviality dimensions is known to guarantee freeness of an action, we show that free actions need not have finite weak local-triviality dimension. Moreover, the local-triviality dimensions of a continuous field may be greater than those of its individual fibers, and the dimensions may fail to vary continuously across the fibers. However, in certain circumstances upper semicontinuity of the weak local-triviality dimension is guaranteed. We examine these results and counterexamples with a focus on noncommutative tori and noncommutative spheres, both in terms of computation and theory.

Key words: local-triviality dimension; continuous fields; deformations; free actions; noncommutative sphere; noncommutative torus; vector bundle; matrix bundle

MSC 2020: 46L55; 46L65; 46L80; 46L85; 46M20; 55R25; 55S40

1 Introduction

The Borsuk-Ulam Theorem states that if $f : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is a continuous odd function, then the degree of f is nonzero. Equivalently, there is no continuous odd function $g : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^m$ where $m < n$. While there are many equivalent forms of the theorem, each such form generalizes slightly differently into the noncommutative setting, meaning the study of unital C^* -algebras in place of compact Hausdorff spaces. The antipodal action $\vec{x} \mapsto -\vec{x}$ on the sphere \mathbb{S}^n induces an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on the C^* -algebra $C(\mathbb{S}^n)$ of continuous complex-valued functions, which in turn provides a grading of the same C^* -algebra. This is the familiar decomposition of functions into “even” and “odd” components. Similarly, an odd function $g : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^m$ may be split into individual coordinates: a list of odd, real-valued functions $g_0, \dots, g_m \in C(\mathbb{S}^n)$ with $g_0^2 + g_1^2 + \dots + g_m^2 = 1$. Thus, the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem places a lower bound on the number of functions g_i that must appear.

In the general noncommutative setting, key elements of the above discussion translate into conditions on freeness or equivariant dimension. Precursors to the study of equivariant dimension appeared in [55, §3], which motivated some later problems in noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theory. Taghavi’s questions primarily concerned counting the number of odd self-adjoint elements required to produce an invertible square-sum. It should be noted that this is not the only interpretation of noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theory; references [60, 3, 39, 11] consider an approach focused on (non-)existence of equivariant morphisms. The full formulation of equivariant dimension, including a much more general context, appeared in [19]. The local-triviality dimension of an action is defined in [19, Definition 3.1], and it is a noncommutative analogue of the Schwarz genus. Moreover, it is related to the Rokhlin dimension of [23, Definition 1.1], as explored in [19, §5.2]. We recall the definitions of the local-triviality dimension in Section 3.

In this manuscript, we will typically focus on actions of \mathbb{S}^1 or its finite cyclic subgroups, for which equivalent versions of the dimensions we study are found in [12, §3]. Consider, for example, a unital C^* -algebra A on which $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts. The action decomposes A into eigenspaces for eigenvalues ± 1 ; elements in the -1 eigenspace are called *odd* and elements in the 1 eigenspace are called *even*. The *local-triviality (LT for short) dimension* of this action is

$$\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A) = \inf \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ odd self-adjoint } a_0, \dots, a_n \in A, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^2 = 1 \right\},$$

where we note that the value ∞ is possible. With this notation, the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is equivalent to the claim that $\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}^n)) = n$, where $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $C(\mathbb{S}^n)$ via the antipodal action. Because $C(\mathbb{S}^n)$ is commutative, there are adjustments to this dimension that make no difference here, but vastly change the computation for noncommutative C^* -algebras. For example, one could relax the condition on the sum-square of the a_i to be merely invertible instead of 1. Alternatively, one could strengthen the requirements by insisting that the a_i are normal commuting elements of A . Of particular note is that finiteness of the local-triviality dimension implies freeness [19, Theorem 3.8], though this is not always equivalent.

Here, we will study how the local-triviality dimension and its variants behave with respect to deformation and fields of C^* -algebras, with a focus on noncommutative spheres and tori. We are interested in circumstances where the local-triviality dimensions are continuous, or not continuous, with respect to a deformation parameter. By construction of examples, we find that the most one can generally hope for is *semicontinuity* of the dimension, as explored in Section 3. Further, we extend work of [12] by finding free actions whose weak local-triviality dimension is infinite. In Section 4, we explore the θ -deformed spheres and tori in more detail, with a focus on the rational case. In particular, viewing a rational noncommutative torus as a space of sections of a finite-dimensional vector bundle yields information about the strong local-triviality dimension. These results strengthen the noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theory of [39] when the domain sphere is commutative.

Acknowledgements

AC is partially supported through NSF grant DMS-2001128.

2 Preliminaries

For what follows, $\text{Prim}(A)$ denotes the primitive spectrum [42, §4.1.2] of a C^* -algebra A : the space of primitive ideals, equipped with the Jacobson (or hull-kernel) topology [42, §4.1.4]. Further, $Z(A)$ denotes the center of A , and $M(A)$ denotes the multiplier algebra of A . Following [45, §3], say, we write

$$e(x) := \exp(2\pi i x).$$

Many of our examples concern noncommutative spheres, found by replacing commutativity of generators with a scaled commutativity relation. In [35], Natsume and Olsen define a family of noncommutative spheres by a universal construction, generalizing the 3-dimensional spheres of Matsumoto [31]. We will adjust their notation to be consistent with that used for noncommutative tori. For a real $n \times n$ antisymmetric matrix θ , the unital C^* -algebra $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1})$ is defined by generators z_1, \dots, z_n and relations

$$z_j z_j^* = z_j^* z_j, \quad z_k z_j = e(\theta_{jk}) z_j z_k, \quad z_1 z_1^* + \dots + z_n z_n^* = 1. \quad (2-1)$$

If the final generator z_n of $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1})$ is central, then one also defines $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-2})$ by imposing the additional relation $z_n = z_n^*$. While it is also possible to consider the case that z_n anticommutes with other generators (see [40, 41]), the resulting C^* -algebras are not deformations of the commutative even-dimensional spheres in any obvious way, and we will not consider them here.

The action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ that negates each generator will be called the *antipodal action*. Similarly, there is a \mathbb{Z}/k -action that scales each generator by $\zeta = e(1/k)$. When k is understood, we will denote the order k isomorphism that implements this action by R , so

$$R : z_j \mapsto \zeta z_j.$$

The Natsume-Olsen spheres, which we will also call θ -spheres for brevity, have $K_1(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ [35], with a specific generator that is inspired by the commutative case.

Remark 2.1 Recall (e.g. [35, p.1094]) that for the classical 3-sphere, the group $K_1(C(\mathbb{S}^3))$ is generated by the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ -z_2^* & z_1^* \end{pmatrix} \in U_2(C(\mathbb{S}^3)) \quad (2-2)$$

where z_i are the standard generators of $C(\mathbb{S}^3)$. Alternatively: identify \mathbb{S}^3 with the Lie group $SU(2)$, whereupon (2-2) is nothing but the obvious embedding

$$(\mathbb{S}^3 \cong SU(2) \hookrightarrow M_2) \in C(\mathbb{S}^3) \otimes M_2 \cong M_2(C(\mathbb{S}^3)). \quad \blacklozenge$$

More generally, [35, §5] shows that the cyclic group $K_1(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ admits a generator of matrix dimension 2^{n-1} , which we will denote Z_θ , such that each entry is a $*$ -monomial. For each of the \mathbb{Z}/k rotation actions defined above, the eigenspace of each entry is such that there exist unitary matrices $A, B \in M_{2^{n-1}}(\mathbb{C})$, independent of θ , such that $R(Z_\theta) = AZ_\theta B$ (where R is applied entrywise) and $A^k = B^k = I$. The antipodal action ($k = 2$) is particularly simple; in this case each entry of Z_θ is odd, so $R(Z_\theta) = -Z_\theta$. See [39, §3] for a slightly modified construction that chooses the monomial coefficients continuously.

The Borsuk-Ulam Theorem extends to the Natsume-Olsen spheres by [39, Corollary 4.12]; if $\phi : C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_\omega^{2n-1})$ is \mathbb{Z}/k -equivariant, then the K_1 degree m of ϕ is congruent to 1 modulo k . In particular, the induced map $K_1(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1})) \rightarrow K_1(C(\mathbb{S}_\omega^{2n-1}))$ is nontrivial. However, the local triviality dimensions of these actions are not all identical. In particular, [10, Proposition 3.21] shows that the θ -sphere $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1})$ such that each generator anticommutes has local-triviality dimension 1. Since the local-triviality dimension is integer-valued and the commutative sphere has dimension $2n - 1$, this immediately shows that the local-triviality dimension fails to be continuous in the parameter θ .

The θ -spheres are related to a similar family of C^* -algebras called *quantum (or noncommutative) tori* ([20, §12.2] or [47, §1]). If θ is an antisymmetric $n \times n$ real matrix, the C^* -algebra A_θ^n , or $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$, is defined by generators u_1, \dots, u_n and relations

$$u_j u_j^* = u_j^* u_j = 1 \quad (2-3)$$

$$u_k u_j = e(\theta_{jk}) u_j u_k.$$

Since the set of 2-dimensional antisymmetric matrices is one-dimensional, we abuse notation somewhat when $n = 2$, and simply refer to $\theta = \theta_{12} \in \mathbb{R}$. The noncommutative tori and noncommutative spheres are related by polar decomposition [35, Theorem 2.5], which we recall in Section 4. In particular, the action R discussed on θ -spheres factors through a related action on the quantum tori:

$$R : u_j \mapsto \zeta u_j.$$

All θ -spheres and noncommutative tori are known to be Rieffel deformations in the sense of [49, §10.2]. While it is not clear if the θ -spheres or noncommutative tori form a continuous field over the set of antisymmetric matrices, [49, Theorem 8.13] implies that the field is separately continuous in each entry. In particular, the distinction between those two cases does not matter for 3-spheres or 2-tori. As we will discuss continuity of fields in more detail, we recall some basic definitions.

Recall [25, Definition 1.5] that for a locally compact Hausdorff space X , a $C_0(X)$ -algebra is a C^* -algebra A equipped with a non-degenerate morphism $C_0(X) \rightarrow Z(M(A))$. For us, X will typically be compact and A will be unital, so there will be little need to worry about units. We will also revert to the notation $C(X) = C_0(X)$ when X is compact.

Definition 2.2 For a group \mathbb{G} and a locally compact Hausdorff space X , a \mathbb{G} - $C_0(X)$ -algebra is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra equipped with an action of \mathbb{G} by automorphisms that fix the structure morphism $C_0(X) \rightarrow Z(M(A))$. \blacklozenge

$C(X)$ -algebras are one way to formalize the notion of a *field* of C^* -algebras [46, Definition 1.1 and Propositions 1.2 and 1.3], while [37, Theorem 2.3] equates the notion to that of an upper semicontinuous C^* -algebra *bundle*, generalizing [18, Definition VII.8.2].

For $x \in X$, we write A_x for the *fiber*

$$A_x := A/J_x, \quad J_x := \text{ideal generated by } \{f \in C(X) \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

of the $C(X)$ -algebra. We denote the quotient map $A \rightarrow A_x$ by π_x and use $\|\cdot\|_x$ to refer to the norm on A_x . Moreover, we use $\|\pi_x(a)\|_x$ and $\|a\|_x$ interchangeably, viewing $\|\cdot\|_x$ as a seminorm on A . The values of these seminorms do not need to vary continuously in $x \in X$, but if they do, then the field itself is called continuous (see the discussion after [6, Definition 1.2]).

Definition 2.3 A $C(X)$ -algebra A is called a *continuous field* if for each $a \in A$, the mapping

$$X \ni x \mapsto \|a\|_x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

is continuous. \blacklozenge

3 Local-Triviality Dimension and Fields

If $(C(\mathbb{G}), \Delta)$ is a compact quantum group with a coaction on a unital C^* -algebra A , then [19, Definition 3.1] defines the weak and plain local-triviality dimensions as follows. Here, we let t denote the identity function in $C_0((0, 1])$. We also note that since $C(\mathbb{G})$ denotes a compact *quantum* group, it need not be commutative as a C^* -algebra, despite the notation used.

Definition 3.1 The local-triviality dimension $\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{G}}(A)$ is the infimum of the set of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exist \mathbb{G} -equivariant $*$ -homomorphisms $\rho_0, \dots, \rho_n : C_0((0, 1]) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow A$ with $\sum_{j=0}^n \rho_j(t \otimes 1) = 1$. \blacklozenge

Definition 3.2 The weak local-triviality dimension $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{G}}(A)$ is the infimum of the set of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exist \mathbb{G} -equivariant $*$ -homomorphisms $\rho_0, \dots, \rho_n : C_0((0, 1]) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow A$ with $\sum_{j=0}^n \rho_j(t \otimes 1)$ invertible. \blacklozenge

The strong variant of the local-triviality dimension, found in [19, Definition 3.20], is defined in reference to the noncommutative join operation of [14, Definition 4.1] or [3, Definition 1.4]. Here $E_n^\Delta \mathbb{G}$ denotes the join of $n + 1$ copies of \mathbb{G} .

Definition 3.3 The strong local-triviality dimension is the infimum of the set of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists a \mathbb{G} -equivariant unital $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : C(E_n^\Delta \mathbb{G}) \rightarrow A$. \blacklozenge

For actions of (non-quantum) groups \mathbb{G} on commutative unital C^* -algebras $A = C(X)$, the three dimensions coincide: for the weak and plain dimensions, there is a straightforward proof using conjugation, and equality of the plain and strong dimensions follows from [12, Proposition 2.4]. However, if A is noncommutative, then the dimensions may differ, even if \mathbb{G} is an ordinary group. Of particular note is the fact that the join of any number of copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is a sphere, and consequently, the strong local-triviality dimension has a direct connection to the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem. In fact, the local-triviality dimensions introduced in [19] were developed in tandem with noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theory, in the setting of free coactions.

A coaction $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} C(\mathbb{G})$ is *free* if

$$\overline{\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{(a \otimes 1)\delta(b) : a, b \in A\}} = A \otimes_{\min} C(\mathbb{G}).$$

Multiple characterizations of freeness are also found in [4, Theorem 0.4]. Moreover, finiteness of any one of the local-triviality dimensions implies freeness of the coaction by [19, Theorem 3.8]. We will primarily be interested in the case that A is noncommutative, but \mathbb{G} is an ordinary group (in particular, a compact abelian group). In this case, there is an equivalent condition to freeness found in [48, Definition 1.6] or [43, Definition 7.1.4]. If \mathbb{G} is compact abelian and α is an action of \mathbb{G} on A , then for each character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, there is an associated eigenspace (or spectral subspace)

$$A_\gamma := \{a \in A : \alpha_g(a) = \gamma(g)a, \forall g \in \mathbb{G}\}.$$

The action α is then free precisely when

$$\overline{A_\gamma A A_\gamma^*} = A.$$

For the case that \mathbb{G} is a closed subgroup of \mathbb{S}^1 , [12, Propositions 3.1 and 3.2] show how the local-triviality dimensions may each be recast in terms of elements of the spectral subspaces.

Proposition 3.4 Consider an action of \mathbb{S}^1 on a unital C^* -algebra A , and let γ be a generator of $\widehat{\mathbb{S}^1} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(A) = \inf \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal } a_0, \dots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_i^* = 1 \right\},$$

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(A) = \inf \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal } a_0, \dots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_i^* \text{ is invertible} \right\},$$

and

$$\dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{S}^1}(A) = \inf \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal commuting } a_0, \dots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_i^* = 1 \right\}.$$

For \mathbb{Z}/k -actions, an additional spectral condition is needed. Set

$$\text{Star}_k := \{te(m/k) : t \in [0, 1], m \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}\}.$$

This ‘‘asterisk’’ shape is star-convex, with center 0, generated by the k th roots of unity.

Proposition 3.5 Consider an action of \mathbb{Z}/k on a unital C^* -algebra A , and let γ be a generator of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/k} \cong \mathbb{Z}/k$. Then

$$\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(A) = \inf \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal } a_0, \dots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sigma(a_i) \subseteq \text{Star}_k, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_i^* = 1 \right\},$$

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(A) = \inf \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal } a_0, \dots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sigma(a_i) \subseteq \text{Star}_k, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_i^* \text{ is invertible} \right\},$$

and

$$\dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(A) = \inf \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \text{ normal commuting } a_0, \dots, a_n \in A_\gamma, \sigma(a_i) \subseteq \text{Star}_k, \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_i^* = 1 \right\}.$$

Since $\text{Star}_2 = [-1, 1]$, the elements a_i used to compute $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dimensions are self-adjoints. As such, dimensions of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -actions are typically much easier to compute than other cases. Also, as the set of invertible elements of A is open, but $\{1\}$ is closed, the propositions suggest that weak and plan local-triviality dimensions may behave differently for fields of C^* -algebras. At the very least, for \mathbb{G} - $C(X)$ -algebras, we have the following immediate estimate on the global dimensions in terms of their respective fiber-wise counterparts.

Lemma 3.6 For a compact group \mathbb{G} and a \mathbb{G} - $C(X)$ -algebra A , we have

$$\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{G}}(A) \geq \sup_{x \in X} \dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{G}}(A_x), \quad (3-1)$$

and similarly for $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$ and $\dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{G}}(-)$.

Proof Immediate, as there exist \mathbb{G} -equivariant morphisms $A \rightarrow A_x$ for all $x \in X$. ■

Cohomological obstructions can render the inequality of Lemma 3.6 strict.

Example 3.7 The group \mathbb{G} will be $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and the space X will be $\mathbb{P}^1 := \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, the complex projective line (i.e. space of lines in \mathbb{C}^2). The global algebra A underlying the field is simply

$$A := C(X) \otimes M_2 \cong \text{Cont}(X \rightarrow M_2).$$

The $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -action, on the other hand, is “twisted” conformant to the topology of X : the action on the fiber $A_x \cong M_2$ for a line

$$\mathbb{P}^1 = X \ni x = \mathbb{C}v, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$$

is conjugation by the self-adjoint unitary $u_x := 2P_x - 1$, where P_x is the orthogonal projection on x .

The fiber-wise actions on the A_x then have (weak, and plain, and strong) local-triviality dimension 0 by [12, Proposition 4.5], so the right-hand supremum of (3-1) vanishes. On the other hand, the vanishing of any of the dimensions for A as a whole would require the existence of a single odd self-adjoint unitary

$$v \in C(X) \otimes M_2.$$

Each fiber $v_x \in A_x \cong M_2$ satisfies

$$u_x v_x u_x^{-1} = -v_x,$$

so the 1-eigenspace of v_x will coincide with neither of the two eigenspaces of u_x (with respective eigenvalues ± 1). Identifying

$$X = \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2,$$

said eigenspaces of u_x are nothing but x and its antipodal point $-x \in \mathbb{S}^2$. The map

$$\mathbb{S}^2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \ni x \longmapsto \text{1-eigenspace of } v_x$$

is thus a self-map of the sphere that has no fixed points and sends no point to its antipode, and no such map exists by [57, Corollary 1.24]. \blacklozenge

Remark 3.8 A somewhat different take on Example 3.7 will make it a simple matter to compute

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{G}}(A) = \dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{P}^1) \otimes M_2) = 1. \quad (3-2)$$

Equip \mathbb{P}^1 with its complex analytic structure or, equivalently for the purpose of manipulating holomorphic bundles, its complex projective algebraic structure (see [52, §12]). The line bundle

$$X = \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \ni x \longmapsto \text{1-eigenspace of } u_x$$

is the *universal subbundle* [16, §3.2.3] on \mathbb{P}^1 and hence what algebraic geometers denote by $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ [21, Definition preceding Proposition 5.12]. Its orthogonal complement

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni x \longmapsto (-1)\text{-eigenspace of } u_x$$

in the trivial rank-2 bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 is then $\mathcal{O}(1)$, as the *degrees* [21, Exercise II.6.12] of the two bundles must add up to 0. A choice of non-zero self-adjoint odd v_x for x ranging over some open $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$ means precisely an identification $\mathcal{O}(-1) \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ over U , or a trivialization of

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O}(1))|_U \cong \mathcal{O}(2)|_U.$$

Such trivializations exist over *any* proper open subset of \mathbb{P}^1 (e.g. the complement of a point), per the standard classification [38, Theorem 2.1.1] of line bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 . It follows that there are trivializations

$$\mathcal{O}(-2)|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}|_{U_i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad U_i := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{p_i\} \quad \text{with } p_0 \neq p_1 \in \mathbb{P}^1$$

whose supports are respectively contained in U_i and have interiors covering \mathbb{P}^1 . This gives the claimed (3-2). \blacklozenge

A salient example of a $C(X)$ -algebra is that of sections of an $n \times n$ *matrix-algebra bundle* \mathcal{M} on X in the sense of [24, Definition 18.1.3]: a vector bundle $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ with local trivializations $\mathcal{M}|_U \cong U \times M_n$ respecting the C^* -algebra structures along the fibers. There will be no harm in allowing n to vary, as X simply decomposes into clopen subspaces over which n is constant.

Having to work with such trivializations extensively, we need some language to express the extent to which they exist. To that end, the following notions specialize the (*numerical*) \mathbb{G} -*index* ([61, Definition 3.13], [30, Definition 6.2.3]) of a \mathbb{G} -action on a space X , which is typically compact. That invariant can be recast via [13, Theorem 3.4] (where the term is *co-index* instead) as the smallest n for which X/\mathbb{G} admits an open cover by U_0, \dots, U_n , above which the action induces trivial principal \mathbb{G} -bundles.

Definition 3.9 (1) The *index* $\text{ix}(\mathcal{F})$ of a vector bundle \mathcal{F} over a space X (which is typically compact Hausdorff) is the smallest m for which X admits an open cover $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^m U_i$ trivializing each $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$.

More generally, for a family $(\mathcal{F}_j)_j$ of vector bundles we write $\text{ix}(\mathcal{F}_j)_j$ for the smallest m for which a cover as above trivializes *all* \mathcal{F}_j simultaneously.

(2) In parallel, for a *matrix-algebra* bundle \mathcal{M} over X the indices $\text{ix}(\mathcal{M})$ or $\text{ix}(\mathcal{M}_j)_j$ are defined as in (1), except that the trivializations $\mathcal{M}_j|_{U_i} \cong U_i \times M_{n_j}$ are assumed to respect the C^* -algebra structures.

To distinguish between the two notions of index we rely either on context (vector vs. matrix bundles) or, for added clarity, on subscripts: ix_{VB} for (1) and ix_{MB} for (2). \blacklozenge

Remarks 3.10 (1) Parts (1) and (2) of Definition 3.9 are indeed instances of numerical \mathbb{G} -indices (at least along the clopen subsets of X where the ranks of the vector/matrix bundles involved are constant), with \mathbb{G} either a unitary or projective unitary group. As explained in [24, Assertion 18.3.4], rank- n vector bundles and $n \times n$ matrix bundles “are” nothing but principal $U(n)$ - and $PU(n)$ -bundles, respectively.

(2) A \mathbb{G} -action on a matrix bundle $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ induces one on $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ as a $C(X)$ -algebra; conversely, a \mathbb{G} - $C(X)$ -algebra structure on $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ induces actions on the fibers $\mathcal{M}_x = \Gamma(\mathcal{M})_x$ that glue together into an action on \mathcal{M} . There is thus no harm in passing freely between the two types of action, as we will frequently in the sequel. \blacklozenge

We also need the following numerical invariants attached to finite-cyclic-group actions on matrix algebras or bundles.

Definition 3.11 (1) Consider an action $\mathbb{Z}/k \times M_n \xrightarrow{\triangleright} M_n$ on the C^* -algebra M_n . By [5, Example II.5.5.14], a generator of \mathbb{Z}/k is necessarily conjugation by a unitary $v \in M_n$, $v^k = I_n$, uniquely determined up to scaling by some power ζ^j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}/k$, of a primitive k^{th} root of unity ζ .

The *quotient spread* of the action is

$$\text{qt}(\triangleright) := \left\lceil \frac{\max \dim(v\text{-eigenspace})}{\min \dim(v\text{-eigenspace})} \right\rceil \quad (3-3)$$

The aforementioned uniqueness of v up to scaling makes the definition sound.

(2) Similarly, for a \mathbb{Z}/k -action on a matrix bundle $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ or directly on a section $C(X)$ -algebra $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$, we have

- *fiber-wise quotient spreads* $\text{qt}_x(\text{action})$ at $x \in X$, defined as in (3-3) for a unitary generator $v_x \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M})_x \cong M_{n_x}$ of the action;
- and a *global quotient spread* $\text{qt} := \sup_{x \in X} \text{qt}_x$. \blacklozenge

A follow-up on Definitions 3.9 and 3.11:

Definition 3.12 For a \mathbb{Z}/k -action on a matrix bundle \mathcal{M} over X , the corresponding index $\text{ix}(\mathcal{M}, \triangleright) = \text{ix}(\triangleright)$ is the smallest m for which there is an open cover $X = \bigcup_0^m U_i$ with \mathcal{M} trivial, \triangleright inner over each U_i induced by some unitary $s_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}|_{U_i})$, $s_i^k = 1$, with all eigenspaces of s_i again trivial as bundles over U_i . \blacklozenge

Theorem 3.13 *Given a \mathbb{Z}/k -action \triangleright on a matrix bundle $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ over a compact Hausdorff space, we have*

$$(\text{ix}(\mathcal{M}, \triangleright) + 1)(\text{qt} + 1) - 1 \geq \dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(\Gamma(\mathcal{M})) \geq \text{qt}. \quad (3-4)$$

Proof [12, Theorem 4.1] effectively proves the singleton- X version, when \mathcal{M} is just a matrix algebra, $\text{ix} := \text{ix}(\mathcal{M}, \triangleright) = 0$, and (3-4) is a single equality. Although that discussion focuses on *gauge* actions on matrix algebras, the arguments apply to arbitrary conjugation actions by unitaries $v \in M_n$, $v^k = 1$. This same remark, given the equivariant maps

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{M}) =: A \longrightarrow A_x \cong M_{n_x},$$

also proves the lower bound $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(A) \geq \text{ix}$ in (3-4).

As for the upper bound on $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(A)$, consider an open cover $X = \bigcup_i U_i$ trivializing the matrix bundle \mathcal{M} and rendering the action inner, by unitary sections $v_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}|_{U_i})$ with $v_i^k = 1$, and trivial v_i -eigenbundles

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j} := \ker(\zeta^j - v_i) \quad 0 \leq i \leq \text{ix} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}/k,$$

uniquely determined (for each i) up to cycling through the j indices. We also fix a refinement (V_i) of the cover (U_i) , with

$$V_i = \overset{\circ}{V}_i \subseteq \overline{V}_i \subseteq U_i.$$

Along each U_i there are $\text{qt} + 1$ operators $a_{i,s} \in A|_{U_i} = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}|_{U_i})$, $0 \leq s \leq \text{qt}$, each mapping

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{a_{i,s}} \mathcal{E}_{i,j+1}|_{U_i}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}/k$$

partially isometrically with maximal possible rank $\min_j \text{rk } \mathcal{E}_{i,j}$, with $a_{i,s}^k$ a projection, and with $\sum_s a_{i,s}^* a_{i,s}$ invertible on each $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}|_{U_i}$. It remains to rescale $a_{i,s}$ into $\phi_i a_{i,s} \in A$ for continuous functions ϕ_i supported in U_i with $\phi_i|_{V_i} \equiv 1$. \blacksquare

Just as [12, Theorem 4.1] implies the freeness criterion of [12, Corollary 4.2] for gauge actions, Theorem 3.13 delivers a matrix-bundle analogue (and generalization).

Corollary 3.14 *For a \mathbb{Z}/k -action \triangleright on a matrix bundle $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ over a compact Hausdorff space, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *At every x , a unitary v_x , $v_x^k = 1$ inducing the \mathbb{Z}/k -action has full spectrum $\mathbb{Z}/k \subset \mathbb{S}^1$.*
- (b) $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(\Gamma(\mathcal{M})) < \infty$.
- (c) *The action induced by \triangleright on $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ is free.*

Proof (a) \implies (b) follows from the upper bound (3-4) on $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(\Gamma(\mathcal{M}))$ while (b) \implies (c) generally [19, Theorem 3.8]. As for (c) \implies (a), freeness means [33, Theorem 8.1.7] that the \mathbb{Z}/k -grading on $A := \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ induced by the action is *strong*:

$$A_{\zeta^j} A_{\zeta^{-j}} = A_1, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}/k.$$

It is enough to focus on a single fiber at $x \in X$: the claim is that the \mathbb{Z}/k -grading induced by the conjugation action of a unitary $v \in M_n$ with $v^k = 1$ is strong precisely when the ζ^j -eigenspaces of v are all non-zero for $j \in \mathbb{Z}/k$. This is easily seen: if, say,

$$\ker(\zeta^j - u) = \{0\} \neq \ker(\zeta^{j+1} - u),$$

then the orthogonal projection onto the latter (non-zero) space annihilates $M_{n,\zeta} M_{n,\zeta^{-1}}$. \blacksquare

As for *plain* LT dimensions, Theorem 3.15 below is to [12, Theorem 4.4] what Theorem 3.13 is to [12, Theorem 4.1]. This is in the same spirit of “spreading” the results of [12, §4] over a base space X .

Theorem 3.15 *For a \mathbb{Z}/k -action \triangleright on a matrix bundle $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ over a compact Hausdorff space, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(\Gamma(\mathcal{M})) \leq \text{ix}(\mathcal{M}, \triangleright)$;
- (b) $\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(\Gamma(\mathcal{M})) < \infty$;
- (c) *At every $x \in X$, a unitary $v_x \in \mathcal{M}_x$ with $v_x^k = 1$ inducing the action has equidimensional ζ^j -eigenspaces for $j \in \mathbb{Z}/k$.*

Proof The implication (a) \Rightarrow (b) is formal and (b) \Rightarrow (c) follows from (the proof of) [12, Theorem 4.4], which provides the $X = \{*\}$ version; we thus turn to (c) \Rightarrow (a).

As in the proof of Theorem 3.13, consider an open cover $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\text{ix}} U_i$ trivializing \mathcal{M} , generators v_i for the \mathbb{Z}/k -action restricted to U_i , and the eigenspaces $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}/k$ of v_i . We can as in that earlier proof, the only difference being that now we can select a *single* unitary $a_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}|_{U_i})$ for each i , rescaling it to $\phi_i^{1/2} a_i$ for a *partition of unity* [34, Theorem 36.1] (ϕ_i) subordinate to the cover $(U_i)_i$. ■

The case $X = \{*\}$ of Theorem 3.15, treated in [12, Theorem 4.4], is not sufficiently refined to distinguish between ordinary and strong LT dimensions. Contrast this to Example 3.7, which meets the requirements of Theorem 3.15 and hence has finite LT (so also weak LT) dimension:

Proposition 3.16 *For the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on $A := C(\mathbb{P}^1) \otimes M_2$ of Example 3.7 we have*

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A) = \dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A) = \infty$$

Proof Example 3.7 already argues that none of the dimensions can vanish, and the upper bound of 1 for the ordinary (hence also weak) LT dimension follows from Theorem 3.15(a) by the selfsame example: $\text{ix}(\mathcal{M}, \triangleright) = 1$, since a cover by two punctures of \mathbb{P}^1 trivializes everything in sight.

As for the infinitude of the strong LT dimension, recall first that the action at $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is conjugation by the self-adjoint (hence involutive) unitary having x (regarded as a line in \mathbb{C}^2) and the orthogonal complement $x^\perp \leq \mathbb{C}^2$ as its 1- and (-1) -eigenspaces, respectively.

Consider commuting self-adjoint odd elements

$$a_i \in A \cong C(\mathbb{P}^1, M_2)$$

witnessing finite strong LT dimension. At each $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$, at least one a_i will be non-vanishing, and the commutation assumption ensures that at every x , the unordered pair of mutually-orthogonal $a_i(x)$ -eigenspaces (corresponding to the positive and negative eigenvalue of a_i respectively) is i -independent so long as $a_i(x) \neq 0$.

We thus have a continuous map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \quad \ni \quad x \longmapsto (\text{pair of } a_i(x)\text{-eigenspaces}) &\in \mathbb{S}^2 / (\text{antipodal } \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ &\cong \text{real projective plane } \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3-5}$$

Because (by oddness) each eigenspace of a_i is orthogonal to each eigenspace of u , (3-5) coincides with the canonical surjection $\mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$ *nowhere*. A continuous lift $\mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ thereof (which does exist by [34, Lemma 79.1], as \mathbb{S}^2 is simply-connected) will thus have no coincidences with either the identity or the antipodal map, once more violating [57, Corollary 1.24]. ■

The following semicontinuity result for the weak local-triviality dimension of $\mathbb{Z}/2^m$ -actions applies whether or not a given field is continuous.

Theorem 3.17 *Let A be a unital $(\mathbb{Z}/2^m)$ - $C(X)$ -algebra for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The function*

$$X \ni x \mapsto \dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2^m}(A_x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \sqcup \{\infty\}$$

is upper semicontinuous.

Proof We have to argue that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the set

$$\{x \in X \mid \dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2^m}(A_x) \leq n\} \subseteq X$$

is open. Having fixed a point x with $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2^m}(A_x) \leq n$, consider the morphisms given by Definition 3.2:

$$C_0((0, 1]) \otimes C(\mathbb{Z}/2^m) \xrightarrow{\rho_i} A_x, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n$$

with $\sum_{i=0}^n \rho_i(t \otimes 1)$ invertible. The ρ_i lift equivariantly to

$$C_0((0, 1]) \otimes C(\mathbb{Z}/2^m) \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}_i} A$$

by *projectivity*, as in the proof of [12, Proposition 5.4], via [44, Proposition 2.10]. Define $a := \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{\rho}_i(t \otimes 1)$. Note that $\pi_x(a)$ is invertible, so we may choose an element $b \in A$ such that $\pi_x(b) = (\pi_x(a))^{-1}$. That is, both $\|ab - 1\|_x = 0$ and $\|ba - 1\|_x = 0$. By [46, Proposition 1.2], for each $c \in A$ the mapping

$$X \ni x \mapsto \|c\|_x$$

is upper semicontinuous, so there exists a neighborhood U of x such that for each $y \in U$, both $\|ab - 1\|_y < 1$ and $\|ba - 1\|_y < 1$. In particular, $\pi_y(a)$ is invertible for all $y \in U$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^n \bar{\rho}_i(t)$ is invertible modulo J_y for all $y \in U$, this shows that

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2^m}(A_y) \leq n, \quad \forall y \in U,$$

finishing the proof. ■

The following examples show that the weak local-triviality dimension is not necessarily continuous, even if a given field of C^* -algebras is continuous in the sense of Definition 2.3.

Example 3.18 Consider the antipodal $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on the θ -deformed spheres $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$, which form a continuous field. The Borsuk-Ulam theorem implies that $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}^3)) = 3$. On the other hand, for $\theta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ the proof of [39, Theorem 2.10] shows that if $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ is noncommutative, with $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, then

$$(x_1 + x_2)^2 + (y_1 + y_2)^2 = 1 + w$$

where $\|w\| < 1$. Hence, we have found two self-adjoint odd elements whose sum-square is invertible, and $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)) \leq 1$. The dimension is not zero, as there exists an equivariant quotient $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1)$, so $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)) = 1$.

We conclude that the weak local triviality dimension function is upper semicontinuous, but not continuous, in the parameter θ . ◆

Example 3.19 Consider the *Berezin quantization* of the coadjoint orbit

$$SU(2)/\mathbb{S}^1$$

where \mathbb{S}^1 is a maximal torus: see [29, Theorems 1 and 2] and [28, Theorem III.1.11.1], for $G = SU(2)$, as recalled in [50, Introduction].

The field A of C^* -algebras (and actions) we consider is based on

$$X := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \sqcup \{\infty\}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

with

- the fiber A_n at $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ isomorphic to the matrix algebra M_n ;
- the fiber A_∞ isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{S}^2)$;
- the action at ∞ given by translation of $SU(2)$ on $\mathbb{S}^2 \cong SU(2)/\mathbb{S}^1$ or, equivalently, the usual action of $SU(2)$ by rotations, via the surjection

$$SU(2) \longrightarrow PSU(2) \cong SO(3); \text{ and} \tag{3-6}$$

- the action of $SU(2)$ on M_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ given by the conjugation action on

$$M_n \cong B(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

resulting from realizing \mathbb{C}^n as the irreducible n -dimensional $SU(2)$ -representation (see [53, Theorem VIII.4.2]).

The compact group $SU(2)$ acts on everything in sight (i.e. all A_x , $x \in X$) via its quotient (3-6). One can then restrict said actions to subgroups of $PSU(2)$. Consider, specifically, the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/2$ generated by the image in $PSU(2)$ of $\sigma := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Because every rotation has fixed points on the sphere, no $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on \mathbb{S}^2 obtained in this manner can be free; this means in particular that $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_\infty) = \infty$.

On the other hand, σ acts on \mathbb{C}^n with eigenvalues $\pm\lambda$, with $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = i$ depending on the parity of n , and with the $(\pm\lambda)$ -eigenspaces of dimension as close to equal as possible. It follows that σ induces the usual gauge $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -action on M_n resulting from the latter's realization as the graph algebra of a length- n directed path. Finally, [12, Proposition 4.5] shows that

$$n \text{ is even} \implies \dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_n) = 0,$$

which demonstrates the claimed discontinuity at ∞ .

This example applies to all three variants of the local-triviality dimension, so none of them are continuous over fields. ◆

Another pathology can occur upon dropping some of the constraints on the group \mathbb{G} of Theorem 3.17: there exist continuous fields for which even the weak local-triviality dimension fails to be upper semicontinuous.

Example 3.20 Consider the noncommutative 2-tori $A_\theta = A_\theta^2$, the universal C^* -algebras respectively generated by two unitaries $u := u_1$ and $v := u_2$ subject to

$$vu = e(\theta)uv.$$

The A_θ are the fibers of a continuous field by [49, Theorem 8.13 and Example 10.2], and all admit *ergodic* actions (see [20, p.537]) by the ordinary 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2 \cong (\mathbb{S}^1)^2$. This constitutes a continuous field of actions in the sense of [46, Definition 3.1]. Note the following.

- At $\theta = 0$, we have $A_0 \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ with the usual translation action, so that all dimensions

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{T}^2}(A_0) = \dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{T}^2}(A_0) = \dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{T}^2}(A_0) = 0$$

vanish by [12, Remark 2.5].

- On the other hand, for non-integral values of θ , none of the dimensions can vanish. The \mathbb{T}^2 -action is ergodic, so the eigenspaces for the characters of \mathbb{T}^2 are all 1-dimensional (see [58, Theorem 1]). Therefore an equivariant morphism

$$C(\mathbb{T}^2) \longrightarrow A_\theta$$

would have to be onto, which would force A_θ to be commutative.

Because all of the local-triviality dimensions vanish at $\theta = 0$ but are *larger* everywhere else in a neighborhood of that point, the upper semicontinuity of Theorem 3.17 fails in this case. \blacklozenge

Incidentally, in the context of Example 3.20 we can say much more: not only are the LT dimensions strictly positive for non-integral θ , they are infinite.

Theorem 3.21 *Let \mathbb{G} be a compact quantum group acting ergodically on a unital C^* -algebra A . Consider the following conditions.*

- (a) *All of the LT dimensions vanish.*
- (b) *All of the LT dimensions are finite.*
- (c) $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{G}}(A)$ *is finite.*
- (d) *There is a factorization*

$$C(\mathbb{G}) \twoheadrightarrow A \twoheadrightarrow C_r(\mathbb{G}),$$

where the left-hand surjection induces the \mathbb{G} -action on A .

We then have

$$(a) \iff (b) \iff (c) \iff (d), \tag{3-7}$$

with full equivalence when \mathbb{G} is of Kac type [36, post Proposition 1.7.9].

Proof Among (3-7), the only nontrivial implication is (c) \implies (a). Consider morphisms

$$C_0((0, 1]) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} A, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n \tag{3-8}$$

witnessing the finiteness of the weak LT dimension, as in Definition 3.2. In particular, $\sum_{j=0}^n \varphi_j(t \otimes 1)$ is invertible, so we can fix some $\varphi := \varphi_{i_0}$ with $\varphi(t \otimes 1) \neq 0$.

Recall from [59, Corollary 4.1] that φ uniquely retrieves a completely positive contractive *order-zero* [59, Definition 2.3] map

$$C(\mathbb{G}) \ni x \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} \varphi(t \otimes x) \in A.$$

Note that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is \mathbb{G} -equivariant in the usual sense, and by [59, Theorem 3.3] it is a scaling of a morphism $C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow A$ by the \mathbb{G} -invariant, positive, non-zero element $h := \tilde{\varphi}(1) \in A$. Since ergodicity of the action implies that h is a scalar, we can divide by it to recover a \mathbb{G} -equivariant C^* -morphism $C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow A$.

Observe also that a \mathbb{G} -equivariant C^* -morphism is by necessity one-to-one on the dense Hopf $*$ -algebra [36, §1.6] $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}) \leq C(\mathbb{G})$: the \mathbb{G} -invariant state

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{coaction} & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) & \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes h_{\mathbb{G}}} & C & \\ & \searrow & & & \nearrow & \\ & & C & & & \end{array} \quad \psi := \text{state on } A, \quad h_{\mathbb{G}} := \text{the Haar state on } \mathbb{G}$$

restricts to $h_{\mathbb{G}}$ along the assumed morphism $C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow A$, and $h_{\mathbb{G}}$ is faithful on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G})$ [36, Corollary 1.7.5]. If the action is 0-dimensional, then, the multiplicity of a \mathbb{G} -representation ρ in A is precisely $\dim \rho$. For ergodic actions of Kac groups this is also an upper bound for that multiplicity [7, Theorem 17] (see also [58, Theorem 1] for classical compact groups), hence the implication (a) \Rightarrow (d) for Kac-type \mathbb{G} . \blacksquare

In particular, taking $\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{T}^n$ for $n \geq 2$, the field

$$\theta \longmapsto C(\mathbb{T}_{\theta}^n)$$

of ergodic \mathbb{T}^n -actions in Example 3.20 defined over the skew-symmetric matrices θ has local-triviality dimension equal to 0 precisely when θ is integral. Moreover, it has local-triviality dimension ∞ elsewhere. This proves a stronger claim than used in Example 3.20.

Theorem 3.21 will also allow us to revisit the matter of how the *freeness* of an action relates to finiteness of the local-triviality dimensions. Recall the following:

- if the weak local-triviality dimension (or rather, any one of the local-triviality dimensions) of an action is finite, then [19, Theorem 3.8] shows that the action is free;
- for the gauge \mathbb{Z}/k -actions on finite-acyclic-graph algebras, [12, Corollary 4.2] shows that freeness is *equivalent* to finiteness of the weak local-triviality dimension;
- however, freeness of an action of \mathbb{Z}/k on a matrix algebra M_n does *not* imply the finiteness of $\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(M_n)$ or $\dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/k}(M_n)$, as seen in [12, Proposition 4.5 and Remark 4.6].

Theorem 3.21 allows us to demonstrate another key fact: freeness of an action does not even imply finiteness of the *weak* local-triviality dimension.

Example 3.22 The group $\mathbb{G} := (\mathbb{Z}/q)^2$ acts ergodically on M_q , with the two generators operating as conjugations by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \zeta & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \zeta^{q-2} & \\ & & & & \zeta^{q-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & 1 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & 0 & \\ 0 & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively for some primitive q^{th} root of unity ζ (see [20, proof of Proposition 12.2, (12.7)]). This in fact realizes M_q as a *cocycle twist* [17, §2.2] $C^*(\Gamma, \sigma)$ of the group algebra of

$$\Gamma := \widehat{\mathbb{G}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/q)^2,$$

for a cocycle whose class generates

$$H^2((\mathbb{Z}/q)^2, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/q$$

by [51, Theorem 9.74 and Theorem following Example 9.65]. Next, [33, Theorem 8.1.7] once more shows that the induced grading by the Pontryagin-dual group Γ is strong:

$$M_{q,\gamma}M_{q,\gamma^{-1}} = M_{q,1} = \mathbb{C}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

since every homogeneous component $M_{q,\gamma}$ contains unitaries. Therefore, the action is free. However, Theorem 3.21 shows that the weak local-triviality dimension is infinite. \blacklozenge

4 Rational tori and spheres

In this section, we consider the local-triviality dimensions of θ -spheres, with an emphasis on the rational case. The θ -spheres and quantum tori are related by a polar decomposition that mirrors that of the commutative case. Consider, for example, a sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} whose complex coordinates z_j are written in polar form

$$z_j = t_j u_j, \quad t_j \in [0, 1], u_j \in \mathbb{S}^1.$$

For $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$, if choices of t_1, \dots, t_n are fixed, then we recover a function $g \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ by

$$g(u_1, \dots, u_n) := f(t_1 u_1, \dots, t_n u_n).$$

However, if some particular t_j equals 0, then $z_j = t_j u_j = 0$ is never changing, so the function g must not depend on u_j . That is, g is in the C^* -algebra generated by the other coordinate functions. This perspective extends to the θ -spheres and quantum tori, as in [35, Theorem 2.5]. We recall this result and introduce some notation below.

Notation 4.1 Let $n \geq 2$ and $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$.

(1) Following [35, §2], write

$$\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} := \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \mid t_i \geq 0\}$$

for the “first-quadrant” portion of the $(n-1)$ -sphere.

(2) For any subset $F \subseteq [n]$ set

$$\mathbb{S}_{++,F}^{n-1} := \{(t_i) \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \mid t_i > 0 \text{ exactly when } i \in F\}.$$

Each $\mathbb{S}_{++,F}^{n-1}$ is the interior of an $(|F| - 1)$ -dimensional simplex, and the closed simplex \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} is the disjoint union of the various open simplices $\mathbb{S}_{++,F}^{n-1}$.

(3) Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_{+,F}^{n-1} &:= \overline{\mathbb{S}_{++,F}^{n-1}} \\ &= \{(t_i) \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \mid \forall i \notin F, t_i = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a skew-symmetric matrix $\theta \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, recall from [35, Theorem 2.5] that

$$C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1}) \cong \text{Cont}_\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)), \quad (4-1)$$

where the ∂ subscript indicates satisfaction of the following boundary condition: whenever some t_i vanish, the continuous function

$$\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$$

is to take values in the C^* -subalgebra of $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$ generated by the unitaries u_j , $j \neq i$. That is, for all $F \subseteq [n]$,

$$f\left(\mathbb{S}_{+,F}^{n-1}\right) \subseteq C^*(\{u_j \mid j \in F\}). \quad (4-2) \quad \blacklozenge$$

In particular, morphisms into a θ -sphere relate to (continuous) families of morphisms into a quantum torus, which will allow us to study their dimensions more carefully.

Lemma 4.2 *Every θ -sphere has $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^k)) \leq \dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^k)) \leq k$.*

Proof For $k = 2n - 1$, each generator $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ of $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1})$ is normal and odd, so the identity $1 = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j z_j^* = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 + y_j^2$ shows that the weak and plain local-triviality dimensions are at most $2n - 1$. A similar argument applies to $k = 2n - 2$, with the final generator $z_n = x_n$ self-adjoint. \blacksquare

Theorem 4.3 *Every θ -sphere has $\dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^k)) \geq k$. If each entry θ_{jk} is a rational number with odd denominator, then in fact $\dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^k)) = k$.*

Proof The noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theorem [39, Corollary 3.8] implies that if $m < k$, there is no $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant morphism $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^m) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^k)$. This applies in particular to the case when the domain sphere is commutative. Nonexistence of an equivariant morphism $C(\mathbb{S}^m) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^k)$ for $m < k$ is equivalent to the claim $\dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^k)) \geq k$.

Now, assume that each θ_{jk} is a rational number with odd denominator, and suppose $k = 2n - 1$ is odd. There exists an odd positive integer M such that in the associated quantum torus $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$, the elements u_1^M, \dots, u_n^M are central. The functions $f_i : \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^n)$ defined by

$$f_i(t_1, \dots, t_n) = t_i u_i^M$$

meet the boundary conditions (4-2) of $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1})$ and represent odd, normal, commuting elements with $f_1 f_1^* + \dots + f_n f_n^* = 1$. This splits into the sum-square of $2n$ self-adjoint, odd, commuting elements, so $\dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^{2n-1})) \leq 2n - 1$.

If k is even, then the final generator is self-adjoint, so a similar argument applies with one fewer term. \blacksquare

To say more about the strong dimension of θ -spheres, we will discuss quantum tori and the polar decomposition more carefully. Consider a noncommutative 2-torus $A_\theta = C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$, generated by $u := u_1$ and $v := u_2$, for a *rational* parameter

$$\theta = \frac{p}{q}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

written in lowest terms. From [45, Theorem 3.12] (or [20, Proposition 12.2]) we see that, in this case, there is a rank- q vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{T}^2 such that

$$A_\theta \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^*) := \text{continuous sections of the bundle } \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^*. \quad (4-3)$$

This is also recalled in [26, §2.5], which is perhaps more accessible. The center

$$Z := Z(A_\theta) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2)$$

is generated by the two unitaries u^q and v^q [20, Corollary 12.3], and A_θ is a bundle of $q \times q$ matrix algebras over that center. This also makes A_θ into a *rank- q^2 Azumaya algebra* (see [32, §§13.7.6 and 13.7.13], [9, §§III.1.3 and III.1.4], [1, Definition 5.4.17], etc.): it is finitely-generated projective over its center Z , with

$$A_\theta \otimes_Z A_\theta^{op} \ni a \otimes b \xrightarrow[\cong]{} a \cdot b \in \text{End}_Z(A_\theta).$$

We next clarify a claim made in the literature about rational A_θ .

Remarks 4.4 (1) The discussion following [26, Exercise 2.7] claims that the rank- q vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{T}^2 yielding (4-3) is *flat*, which it cannot be. A flat bundle (see [27, §1.2]) admits a connection with vanishing curvature, or local trivializations with locally constant transition functions, and flat bundles have vanishing Chern classes by [27, Proposition II.3.1]. In contrast, the Chern class

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}) \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad (4-4)$$

is identified in [45, Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 3.9] with an integer¹ a for which $ap + bq = 1$ (the *twist* of [45, p.299] is $-a$). In particular, that Chern class cannot vanish.

Additionally, vector bundles over compact connected Riemann surfaces are completely determined by their ranks and degrees (i.e. Chern classes c_1) by [56, Proposition, p.2]. Flat bundles on \mathbb{T}^2 , for that reason, are topologically trivial. They need not be holomorphically trivial, but ‘topologically’ is what matters in the present context.

(2) What does hold, and what was perhaps meant by the flatness claim in the paragraph preceding [26, Exercise 2.8], is that the bundle

$$\text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^*$$

itself is flat, and in fact it is topologically trivial as a vector bundle (but *not* as a bundle of algebras!). The first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^*) \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes by [27, (1.14)], whence triviality by [56, Proposition, p.2] again. \blacklozenge

Note that (4-3) implies that for rational $\theta = \frac{p}{q}$ in lowest terms, the algebras $A_\theta := C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$ satisfy the *polynomial identities* [1, Definition 2.2.1] of M_q (and no smaller $q' < q$), and hence are *polynomial-identity (or PI) algebras* of *PI-degree* q , as in [9, §§I.13.1 and I.13.3]. The polar decomposition (4-1) of the θ -spheres implies that the same claim applies to the rational sphere algebras. From [9, Example I.13.2 3], this is in fact a consequence of the claim that the rational θ -spheres are finitely-generated modules over their respective centers.

Proposition 4.5 *Let $\theta = \frac{p}{q}$ be a lowest-terms rational number.*

¹The bundle \mathcal{E} is only unique up to tensoring with a line bundle [15, Théorème 9], hence the uniqueness of a only modulo q . This is also compatible with the fact that A_θ only depends on the coset of θ modulo \mathbb{Z} .

(1) $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ is finite over its center

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\theta &:= Z(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)) \cong \text{Cont}_\partial(\mathbb{S}_+^1 \longrightarrow Z(C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2))) \\ &= \left\{ f : [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\text{cont.}} C^*(u^q, v^q) \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2) \mid f(0) \in C^*(u^q), f(1) \in C^*(v^q) \right\} \\ &\cong C(\mathbb{S}^3). \end{aligned} \tag{4-5}$$

(2) $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ is a PI algebra of PI-degree q .

(3) The Azumaya locus [9, §III.1.7] of $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ is the complement in \mathbb{S}^3 of two disjoint circles

$$\mathbb{S}_i^1 \subset \mathbb{S}^3 \cong \text{Prim}(Z_\theta), \quad i = 0, 1$$

defined by evaluating the functions f of (4-5) at $i = 0, 1$ respectively.

(4) The fibers of the $C(\mathbb{S}^3)$ -algebra $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ at the points of the circles \mathbb{S}_i^1 are abelian q -dimensional.

Proof (1): That (4-5) is indeed the center of $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ follows from the polar decomposition (4-1) and the fact that u^q and v^q generate the center of $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$. As for finite generation, note that the terms

$$u^k v^\ell, \quad k, \ell \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$$

generate

$$C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3) \cong \left\{ f : [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\text{cont.}} C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2) \mid f(0) \in C^*(u), f(1) \in C^*(v) \right\} \tag{4-6}$$

as either a left or right module over (4-5).

(2): This is immediate from (4-6) and the already-noted analogous claim about $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$.

(3) and (4): Evaluating the f of (4-6) at any interior point $t \in (0, 1)$ produces all of $C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$, which in turn has a $q \times q$ matrix algebra as its fiber at any point of

$$\mathbb{T}^2 \cong \text{Prim}(Z(C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)))$$

by (4-3). On the other hand, evaluating the f of (4-6) at either boundary point produces a function algebra $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ generated, respectively, by one of the unitaries $u, v \in C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$. Focusing on u to fix the notation, further evaluation at a point z of the corresponding circle \mathbb{S}^1 means imposing the relation $u^q = z$. The C^* -algebra generated by a unitary u subject to the relation $u^q = z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ is indeed abelian and q -dimensional, and we are done. ■

Remark 4.6 The identification by Proposition 4.5 item (3) of the “ill-behaved” locus of

$$\mathbb{S}^3 \cong \text{Prim}(Z(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)))$$

with two disjoint circles meshes well with various familiar aspects of the geometry/topology of the 3-sphere.

In the usual realization [31, §1] of \mathbb{S}^3 as a union of two solid tori $\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ glued along their common boundary $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ (as familiar from the theory of *Heegaard splittings* [22, §2]), the two exceptional circles of Proposition 4.5 item (3) can be thought of as the two “core” circles

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \cong \{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$$

of the two solid tori. The complement

$$\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus (\mathbb{S}_0^1 \sqcup \mathbb{S}_1^1)$$

can be thought of as $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1)$, as expected from (4-5) after removing the problematic boundary points of the unit interval.

Alternatively, given the *Hopf fibration* (see the discussion preceding [8, Proposition 17.22]) of \mathbb{S}^3 over \mathbb{S}^2 with fiber \mathbb{S}^1 , the two circles can be thought of as the fibers above two antipodal poles $\pm p$ of \mathbb{S}^2 . The complement is then a *trivial* \mathbb{S}^1 -fibration over

$$\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{\pm p\} \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times (0, 1),$$

which is again identifiable with $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1)$. ◆

The following result shows an opposite extreme to Theorem 4.3.

Proposition 4.7 *For any lowest-terms rational $\theta = \frac{p}{q}$, $\dim_{\text{SLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/q}(C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)) = \infty$.*

Proof We have from (4-3) that there is a realization

$$C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^*)$$

for a rank- q vector bundle \mathcal{E} over \mathbb{T}^2 . Furthermore, Proposition 4.5 (together with Remark 4.6) describes the spectrum of the center Z_θ of $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^3 &\cong (\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cup_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2) \\ &\cong \mathbb{S}_0^1 \sqcup \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1) \sqcup \mathbb{S}_1^1, \end{aligned} \tag{4-7}$$

with $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ a $q \times q$ -matrix bundle over the Azumaya bulk $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1)$ and a \mathbb{C}^q -bundle over each of the two exceptional circles \mathbb{S}_i^1 , $i \in \{0, 1\}$. We can then recover A_θ as the quotient of $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ over the “middle” slice

$$\mathbb{T}^2 \cong \mathbb{T}^2 \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\} \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1) \tag{4-8}$$

of (4-7).

Assuming the strong local-triviality dimension is $d < \infty$, consider commuting normal elements x_0, \dots, x_d in the $\zeta := e(1/q)$ eigenspace of $C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$ with

$$\sum_{j=0}^d x_j x_j^* = 1.$$

Over each point in the Azumaya locus $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1)$, said elements specialize to commuting $q \times q$ matrices, of degree ζ with respect to the \mathbb{Z}/q -action on M_q , and not all of these are zero. It follows that every such tuple splits the \mathbb{C}^q on which the corresponding M_q acts into a direct sum of lines, namely, the ζ^k -eigenspaces of *any* of the non-zero x_j for $0 \leq k \leq q - 1$. Said spaces are the same for different x_j because these normal elements commute. Further, the splitting is determined up to a cyclic permutation, as the \mathbb{Z}/q -action maps the ζ^k -eigenspace of x_i onto its ζ^{k+1} -eigenspace.

The space of *ordered* splittings of \mathbb{C}^q as a direct sum of lines is the *full flag manifold* [2, §3] $U(q)/U(1)^q$, easily proven simply-connected via the long exact homotopy sequence [54, §17.3]. The quotient by the cyclic \mathbb{Z}/q -action then produces a space \mathbb{P}_q with fundamental group \mathbb{Z}/q , and hence a \mathbb{P}_q -bundle \mathcal{E}_q over $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1)$. Moreover, the x_j jointly give, as described above, a single section of \mathcal{E}_q over all of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1)$.

Varying the slice

$$\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{t\} \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1)$$

thus gives a homotopy $(s_t)_{t \in (0,1)}$ of sections of \mathcal{E}_q over the “core” slice $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ of (4-8). On slices $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{\varepsilon\}$ with small $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ (so, close to one of the two exceptional circles) s_ε will be uniformly close to the section s_u resulting from the eigenspaces of one of the generators u of A_θ . Similarly, $s_{1-\varepsilon}$ will be close to the section s_v of \mathcal{E}_q induced by the *other* generator $v \in A_\theta$. So, s_u and s_v are homotopic.

The previous claim results in a contradiction. If one restricts everything in sight to the circle $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ corresponding to u , sections of \mathcal{E}_q are nothing but loops in the fiber \mathbb{P}_q over $1 \in \mathbb{S}^1$. As such, s_u is *not* nullhomotopic (as it generates $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_q) \cong \mathbb{Z}/q$), but s_v is. ■

Remark 4.8 The reason the argument employed in the proof of Proposition 4.7 only goes through for θ with denominator *exactly* (rather than divisible by) q is that for higher-dimensional \mathbb{C}^{kq} , the x_i would not produce an unambiguous splitting into q subspaces. Rather, the (non-)vanishing patterns of the x_i on various subsets of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times (0,1)$ would force us to make choices over which eigenspaces we select, and those choices might not be compatible. ◆

The following result shows that the bound in Lemma 4.2 is not necessarily sharp for the plain LT dimension (Example 3.18 already confirms this for *weak* dimensions).

Proposition 4.9 *Consider the antipodal $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -actions on both the noncommutative $A_\theta := C(\mathbb{T}_\theta^2)$ and the noncommutative sphere $S_\theta := C(\mathbb{S}_\theta^3)$, defined in both cases by simultaneously changing the signs of the generators.*

If $\theta = \frac{p}{q}$ is a non-integral odd-denominator rational number, then

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_\theta) = \dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_\theta) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (4-9)$$

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S_\theta) = \dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S_\theta) = 1. \quad (4-10)$$

Proof There are equivariant morphisms $S_\theta \rightarrow A_\theta$ by evaluating the functional realization (4-6) of S_θ anywhere in the interior of the unit interval, so we in any case have

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A^\theta) \leq \dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A^\theta) \leq \dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^\theta).$$

Because furthermore the weak LT dimension vanishes if and only if *all* LT dimensions do [12, Remark 2.5], the *upper* bounds of 1 in (4-9) and (4-10) will both follow from the single inequality $\dim_{\text{LT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S_\theta) \leq 1$.

To verify that, recall the argument in Example 3.18 (in turn reliant on [39, Theorem 2.10]) for the *weak* LT upper bound of 1: denoting the standard generators of S_θ by

$$z_j = x_j + iy_j, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

the two odd self-adjoint elements $x_1 + x_2$ and $y_1 + y_2$ will have invertible square sum. To refine that line of reasoning, consider the description (4-3) of A_θ , with its unitary generators u_1 and u_2 , as the algebra of sections of a $q \times q$ matrix bundle over $\text{Spec}(C^*(u_1^q, u_2^q))$.

Because the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ negates u_j^q , bringing this within the scope of our prior discussion of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ - $C(X)$ -algebras requires that we take $X = \mathbb{T}^2 = \text{Spec}(C^*(u_1^{2q}, u_2^{2q}))$ instead, whereupon $A_\theta \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ for an M_q^2 -bundle $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$. The $\mathbb{Z}/2$ action then interchanges the two factors of each fiber M_q^2 .

Now couple this with the functional realization (4-1) of S_θ , which here reads

$$S_\theta \ni z_j \mapsto ([0, 1] \ni t_j \mapsto t_j u_j \in A_\theta), \quad t_1^2 + t_2^2 = 1,$$

to realize S_θ as a $C(\mathbb{S}^3)$ -algebra whose fibers are again M_q^2 except over two exceptional circles, where they will be \mathbb{C}^{2q} instead.

The explicit realization [20, proof of Proposition 12.2, (12.7)] of the generators u_j as $q \times q$ matrices makes it easy to see that at no point in $\mathbb{T}^2 \cong \text{Spec}(C^*(u_j^q))$ can

$$a_0 := x_1 + x_2 = t_1\Re(u_1) + t_2\Re(u_2) \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 := y_1 + y_2 = t_1\Im(u_1) + t_2\Im(u_2), \quad t_1^2 + t_2^2 = 1$$

both fail to be invertible. This implies that the base space \mathbb{S}^3 of the $C(\mathbb{S}^3)$ -algebra S_θ admits an open cover by U_i , $i = 0, 1$ where a_i is respectively invertible. Substituting for a_i , on U_i , the unitary portion of the polar decomposition of a_i , we conclude as in the proof of Theorem 3.15, using a partition of unity subordinate to $(U_i)_{i=0}^1$, that indeed the plain LT dimension is at most 1.

The same Example 3.18 also argues that for S_θ the dimensions cannot vanish; the strongest claim that $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_\theta) \neq 0$ follows from [39, Proposition 1.9], hence the conclusion. \blacksquare

Remark 4.10 The strict inequality $\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_\theta) > 0$ invoked in the proof of Proposition 4.9 (via [39, Proposition 1.9]) provides yet another natural family of examples showing that (3-1) is generally not an equality.

Consider noncommutative tori A_θ with lowest-terms rational $\theta = \frac{p}{q}$ and even q , acted upon by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ in the usual generator-negating fashion. The matrix-bundle realization (4-3) then casts A_θ as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ - $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ -algebra with

$$C(\mathbb{T}^2) := C^*(u_1^q, u_2^q) \subset C^*(u_1, u_2) = A_\theta,$$

and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is easily seen to act on every fiber M_q as conjugation by a unitary involution with equidimensional (± 1) -eigenspaces. The fiber-wise LT dimensions thus vanish, so that

$$\dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_\theta) > \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^2} \dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_{\theta,x}) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^2} \dim_{\text{WLT}}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(M_q) = 0$$

and similarly for the other LT dimensions. \blacklozenge

References

- [1] Eli Aljadeff, Antonio Giambruno, Claudio Procesi, and Amitai Regev. *Rings with polynomial identities and finite dimensional representations of algebras*, volume 66 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2020. 17
- [2] Andreas Arvanitoyeorgos. Geometry of flag manifolds. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, 3(5-6):957–974, 2006. 19
- [3] Paul Baum, Ludwik Dąbrowski, and Piotr M. Hajac. Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam-type conjectures. *Banach Center Publ.*, 106:9–18, 2015. 1, 5
- [4] Paul Baum, Kenny De Commer, and Piotr M. Hajac. Free actions of compact quantum groups on unital C^* -algebras. *Doc. Math.*, 22:825–849, 2017. 5
- [5] B. Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras*, volume 5 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998. 8

- [6] Etienne Blanchard. Tensor products of $C(X)$ -algebras over $C(X)$. In *Recent advances in operator algebras. Collection of talks given in the conference on operator algebras held in Orléans, France in July 1992*, pages 81–92. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 1995. 4
- [7] Florin P. Boca. Ergodic actions of compact matrix pseudogroups on C^* -algebras. In *Recent advances in operator algebras. Collection of talks given in the conference on operator algebras held in Orléans, France in July 1992*, pages 93–109. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 1995. 14
- [8] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. 19
- [9] Ken A. Brown and Ken R. Goodearl. *Lectures on algebraic quantum groups*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002. 17, 18
- [10] Alexandru Chirvasitu and Benjamin Passer. Compact group actions on topological and non-commutative joins. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(8):3217–3232, 2018. 3
- [11] Alexandru Chirvasitu and Benjamin Passer. Invariants in noncommutative dynamics. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 277(8):2664–2696, 2019. 1
- [12] Alexandru Chirvasitu, Benjamin Passer, and Mariusz Tobolski. Equivariant dimensions of graph C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 280(6):Paper No. 108912, 32, 2021. 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 20
- [13] P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd. Fixed point free involutions and equivariant maps. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 66:416–441, 1960. 7
- [14] Ludwik Dąbrowski, Tom Hadfield, and Piotr M. Hajac. Equivariant join and fusion of non-commutative algebras. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 11:Paper 082, 7, 2015. 5
- [15] Jacques Dixmier and Adrien Douady. Champs continus d’espaces hilbertiens et de C^* -algèbres. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 91:227–284, 1963. 17
- [16] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. *3264 and all that—a second course in algebraic geometry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. 7
- [17] George A. Elliott and Hanfeng Li. Strong Morita equivalence of higher-dimensional noncommutative tori. II. *Math. Ann.*, 341(4):825–844, 2008. 15
- [18] J. M. G. Fell and R. S. Doran. *Representations of *-algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach *-algebraic bundles. Vol. 1: Basic representation theory of groups and algebras*, volume 125 of *Pure Appl. Math., Academic Press*. Boston, MA etc.: Academic Press, Inc., 1988. 4
- [19] Eusebio Gardella, Piotr M. Hajac, Mariusz Tobolski, and Jianchao Wu. The local-triviality dimension of actions of compact quantum groups, 2018. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.00767v2>. 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 14
- [20] José M. Gracia-Bondía, Joseph C. Várilly, and Héctor Figueroa. *Elements of noncommutative geometry*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks]. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001. 3, 13, 15, 17, 21

- [21] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. 7
- [22] John Hempel. *3-manifolds*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2004. Reprint of the 1976 original. 18
- [23] Ilan Hirshberg, Wilhelm Winter, and Joachim Zacharias. Rokhlin dimension and C^* -dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 335(2):637–670, 2015. 1
- [24] D. Husemöller, M. Joachim, B. Jurčo, and M. Schottenloher. *Basic bundle theory and K-cohomology invariants*, volume 726 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. Springer, Berlin, 2008. With contributions by Siegfried Echterhoff, Stefan Fredenhagen and Bernhard Krötz. 7, 8
- [25] G.G. Kasparov. Equivariant KK -theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 91(1):147–201, 1988. 4
- [26] Masoud Khalkhali. Lectures on noncommutative geometry. In *An invitation to noncommutative geometry*, pages 169–273. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008. 17
- [27] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*. Princeton Legacy Library. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, [2014]. Reprint of the 1987 edition [MR0909698]. 17
- [28] N. P. Landsman. *Mathematical topics between classical and quantum mechanics*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. 12
- [29] N. P. Landsman. Strict quantization of coadjoint orbits. *J. Math. Phys.*, 39(12):6372–6383, 1998. 12
- [30] Jiří Matoušek. *Using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. Lectures on topological methods in combinatorics and geometry. Written in cooperation with Anders Björner and Günter M. Ziegler*. Universitext. Berlin: Springer, 2nd corrected printing edition, 2008. 7
- [31] Kengo Matsumoto. Noncommutative three-dimensional spheres. *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)*, 17(2):333–356, 1991. 2, 18
- [32] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson. *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, volume 30 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, revised edition, 2001. With the cooperation of L. W. Small. 17
- [33] Susan Montgomery. *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings. Expanded version of ten lectures given at the CBMS Conference on Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, which took place at DePaul University in Chicago, USA, August 10-14, 1992*, volume 82 of *Reg. Conf. Ser. Math.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1993. 9, 15
- [34] James R. Munkres. *Topology*. Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2000. Second edition of [MR0464128]. 10
- [35] T. Natsume and C. L. Olsen. Toeplitz operators on noncommutative spheres and an index theorem. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 46(4):1055–1112, 1997. 2, 3, 15, 16
- [36] Sergey Neshveyev and Lars Tuset. *Compact quantum groups and their representation categories*, volume 20 of *Cours Spéc. (Paris)*. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2013. 13, 14

- [37] May Nilsen. C^* -bundles and $C_0(X)$ -algebras. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 45(2):463–477, 1996. 4
- [38] Christian Okonek, Michael Schneider, and Heinz Spindler. *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. Corrected reprint of the 1988 edition, With an appendix by S. I. Gelfand. 7
- [39] Benjamin Passer. A noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam theorem for Natsume-Olsen spheres. *J. Operator Theory*, 75(2):337–366, 2016. 1, 2, 3, 11, 16, 20, 21
- [40] Benjamin Passer. Anticommutation in the presentations of theta-deformed spheres. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 445(1):855–870, 2017. 3
- [41] Benjamin Passer. Triviality of equivariant maps in crossed products and matrix algebras. *Q. J. Math.*, 70(1):289–303, 2019. 3
- [42] Gert K. Pedersen. *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*. Edited by Søren Eilers and Dorte Olesen. Amsterdam: Elsevier/Academic Press, 2nd edition edition, 2018. 2
- [43] N. Christopher Phillips. *Equivariant K -theory and freeness of group actions on C^* -algebras*, volume 1274 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. 5
- [44] N. Christopher Phillips, Adam P.W. Sørensen, and Hannes Thiel. Semiprojectivity with and without a group action. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 268(4):929–973, 2015. 11
- [45] Marc A. Rieffel. The cancellation theorem for projective modules over irrational rotation C^* -algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 47(2):285–302, 1983. 2, 17
- [46] Marc A. Rieffel. Continuous fields of C^* -algebras coming from group cocycles and actions. *Math. Ann.*, 283(4):631–643, 1989. 4, 11, 13
- [47] Marc A. Rieffel. Noncommutative tori—a case study of noncommutative differentiable manifolds. In *Geometric and topological invariants of elliptic operators (Brunswick, ME, 1988)*, volume 105 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 191–211. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990. 3
- [48] Marc A. Rieffel. Proper actions of groups on C^* -algebras. In *Mappings of operator algebras (Philadelphia, PA, 1988)*, volume 84 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 141–182. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990. 5
- [49] Marc A. Rieffel. Deformation quantization for actions of \mathbf{R}^d . *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106(506):x+93, 1993. 4, 13
- [50] Marc A. Rieffel. Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces. *Matrix algebras converge to the sphere for quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, volume 796 of *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2004. 12
- [51] Joseph J. Rotman. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Universitext. Berlin: Springer, 2nd ed. edition, 2009. 15
- [52] Jean-Pierre Serre. Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 6:1–42, 1956. 7
- [53] Barry Simon. *Representations of finite and compact groups*, volume 10 of *Grad. Stud. Math.* Providence, RI: AMS, American Mathematical Society, 1996. 12

- [54] Norman Steenrod. *The topology of fibre bundles*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999. Reprint of the 1957 edition, Princeton Paperbacks. 19
- [55] Ali Taghavi. A Banach algebraic approach to the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, pages Art. ID 729745, 11, 2012. 1
- [56] Michael Thaddeus. An introduction to the topology of the moduli space of stable bundles on a Riemann surface. In *Geometry and physics (Aarhus, 1995)*, volume 184 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 71–99. Dekker, New York, 1997. 17
- [57] James W. Vick. *Homology theory. An introduction to algebraic topology.*, volume 145 of *Grad. Texts Math.* New York: Springer-Verlag, 2. ed. edition, 1994. 7, 10
- [58] Antony Wassermann. Ergodic actions of compact groups on operator algebras. I. General theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 130(2):273–319, 1989. 13, 14
- [59] Wilhelm Winter and Joachim Zacharias. Completely positive maps of order zero. *Münster J. Math.*, 2:311–324, 2009. 14
- [60] Makoto Yamashita. Equivariant comparison of quantum homogeneous spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 317(3):593–614, 2013. 1
- [61] R. T. Živaljević. User’s guide to equivariant methods in combinatorics. *Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér.*, 59:114–130, 1996. 7

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY 14260-2900, USA
E-mail address: achirvas@buffalo.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY, ANNAPOLIS, MD 21402-5002, USA
E-mail address: passer@usna.edu