

# Asymptotics of the finite-temperature sine kernel determinant

Shuai-Xia Xu

Institut Franco-Chinois de l'Energie Nucléaire, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China. E-mail: xushx3@mail.sysu.edu.cn

---

**Abstract** In the present paper, we study the asymptotics of the Fredholm determinant  $D(x, s)$  of the finite-temperature deformation of the sine kernel, which represents the probability that there is no particles on the interval  $(-x/\pi, x/\pi)$  in the bulk scaling limit of the finite-temperature fermion system. The variable  $s$  in  $D(x, s)$  is related to the temperature. The determinant also corresponds to the finite-temperature correlation function of one dimensional Bose gas. We derive the asymptotics of  $D(x, s)$  in several different regimes in the  $(x, s)$ -plane. A third-order phase transition is observed in the asymptotic expansions as both  $x$  and  $s$  tend to positive infinity at certain related speed. The phase transition is then shown to be described by an integral involving the Hastings-McLeod solution of the second Painlevé equation.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 33E17; 34M55; 41A60

*Keywords and phrases:* Asymptotic analysis; Fredholm determinants; sine kernel; Painlevé equations; Riemann-Hilbert problems, Deift-Zhou method.

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Statement of results</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>RH problem for the finite-temperature sine kernel determinant</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Large <math>x</math> asymptotics of <math>Y</math></b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	First transformation: $Y \rightarrow S$	10
4.2	Global parametrix	11
4.3	Small-norm RH problem	12
4.4	Larger $x$ asymptotics of $R$ for $s \in (-\infty, c_1 x^2]$ with $0 < c_1 < 1$	12
4.5	Large $x$ asymptotics of $R$ for $s \in [c_1 x^2, c_2 x^2]$ with $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \frac{\pi^2}{4}$	13

<b>5</b>	<b>Large <math>s</math> asymptotics of <math>Y</math> for <math>x = \frac{2l}{\pi}\sqrt{s}</math> with <math>0 &lt; l &lt; 1</math></b>	<b>14</b>
5.1	First transformation: $Y \rightarrow T$ . . . . .	14
5.2	Second transformation: $T \rightarrow A$ . . . . .	14
5.3	Third transformation: $A \rightarrow B$ . . . . .	16
5.4	Properties for the $g$ -function and $\phi$ -function . . . . .	18
5.5	Global parametrix . . . . .	23
5.6	Local parametrix . . . . .	23
5.7	Small-norm RH problem . . . . .	25
<b>6</b>	<b>Large <math>s</math> asymptotics of <math>Y</math> as <math>\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{x}{\sqrt{s}} \rightarrow 1</math></b>	<b>26</b>
6.1	Case: $Mx \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \frac{\pi}{2L}x$ with any constant $0 < M < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . . . . .	26
6.1.1	Properties for the $g$ -function and $\phi$ -function . . . . .	26
6.1.2	Local parametrix near the origin . . . . .	28
6.1.3	Small-norm RH problem . . . . .	30
6.2	Case: $s^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{x}{\sqrt{s}} - L\right) \in [-M, 0]$ with any constant $M > 0$ . . . . .	31
6.2.1	Local parametrix near the origin . . . . .	31
6.2.2	Small-norm RH problem . . . . .	33
<b>7</b>	<b>Large <math>s</math> asymptotics of <math>Y</math> for <math>x\sqrt{s}</math> bounded</b>	<b>34</b>
7.1	Global parametrix . . . . .	34
7.2	Small-norm RH problem . . . . .	34
<b>8</b>	<b>Proof of Theorems</b>	<b>36</b>
8.1	Proof of Theorem 1 . . . . .	36
8.2	Proof of Theorem 2 . . . . .	38
8.3	Proof of Theorem 3 . . . . .	41
8.4	Proof of Theorem 4 . . . . .	42

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the asymptotics of the Fredholm determinant of the finite-temperature deformation of the classical sine kernel. The studies of the determinant have attracted great interest because of their applications in the finite-temperature fermion system, the Moshe-Neuberger-Shapiro (MNS) random matrix ensemble and the non-intersecting Brownian motions [19, 36, 38, 39]. It is remarkable that the determinant has also been applied to characterize the finite-temperature correlation functions of the one dimensional Bose gas in the pioneering work of Its, Izergin, Korepin and Slavnov [33].

To see more explicitly how the determinant arises, we consider the system of  $N$  noninteracting spinless fermions in one dimension and confined in a harmonic trap. At zero temperature, it is known that the positions of the  $N$  fermions behave statistically as the eigenvalues of random matrices from the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE); see the review article [20] and the references therein. In the large  $N$  limit, the distribution of the largest eigenvalue of a GUE matrix and consequently the rescaled position of the rightmost fermion is described by the Tracy-Widom distribution. The distribution can be expressed in terms of the Fredholm determinant

of the Airy kernel

$$K_{\text{Ai}}(\lambda, \mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{Ai}(\lambda + r)\text{Ai}(\mu + r)dr, \quad (1.1)$$

or equivalently in terms of the integral of the Hastings-McLeod solution of the second Painlevé equation [41]. In the bulk of the spectrum, the statistic of the eigenvalues and therefore the positions of the fermions are described by the determinant of the sine kernel

$$K_{\text{sin}}(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\sin(x(\lambda - \mu))}{\pi(\lambda - \mu)}, \quad (1.2)$$

which can be expressed in terms of the  $\sigma$ -form of the fifth Painlevé equation [34].

It is discovered recently that at finite temperature, the positions of the fermions can be described by the MNS random matrix ensemble [19, 38, 39]. By analyzing the grand canonical version of the MNS ensemble, the scaling limit of the correlation kernel at finite temperature are obtained both in the bulk and at the edge of the spectrum in the large  $N$  limit. These limiting kernels depend on the temperature and generalize the classical sine kernel and Airy kernel. For example, the largest eigenvalue of a MNS matrix and consequently the position of the rightmost fermion at finite temperature is given by the determinant of the deformed Airy kernel

$$K_{\text{Ai}}^T(\lambda, \mu; c) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Ai}(\lambda + r)\text{Ai}(\mu + r)}{1 + e^{-cr}} dr. \quad (1.3)$$

Here, the parameter  $c$  depends explicitly on the temperature. In the zero-temperature limit, we have  $c \rightarrow +\infty$  and the finite-temperature Airy kernel is reduced to the classical Airy kernel. It is discovered by Johansson in [36] that the finite-temperature Airy-kernel determinant interpolates between the classical Gumbel distribution and the Tracy-Widom distribution. It is remarkable that the finite-temperature Airy-kernel determinant characterizes also the solution of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation with the narrow wedge initial condition [2, 7, 37]. Recently, the asymptotics of the finite-temperature deformed Airy-kernel determinant have been obtained in several different regimes, which provide useful informations on the lower tail asymptotics for the narrow wedge solution of the KPZ equation and the initial data of the determinant solutions to the KdV equation [7, 8, 11].

While, the finite-temperature generalization of the sine kernel is obtained in the bulk regime [19, 36, 38]

$$K(\lambda, \mu; s) = \int_0^{+\infty} \cos(\pi(\lambda - \mu)\tau) \sigma(\tau) d\tau. \quad (1.4)$$

Here  $\sigma(\tau) = \sigma(\tau; s)$  denotes the Fermi distribution

$$\sigma(\tau; s) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\tau^2 - s)}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.5)$$

and the variable  $s$  is related to the temperature. As a consequence, the probability that no fermions, properly scaled, lies in the interval  $(-\frac{x}{\pi}, \frac{x}{\pi})$  is given by the Fredholm determinant

$$D(x, s) = \det(I - K_{\frac{x}{\pi}}), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $K_{\frac{x}{\pi}}$  is the integral operator acting on  $L^2(-x/\pi, x/\pi)$  with the kernel (1.4). If the temperature tends to zero, we have  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ . As  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\sigma(\sqrt{s}\tau; s) \rightarrow \chi_{(-1,1)}(\tau), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\chi_{(a,b)}(\tau)$  is the characteristic function on the interval  $(a, b)$ . Thus, the kernel (1.4) approaches the classical sine kernel as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}K\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{s}}, \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{s}}; s\right) \rightarrow \frac{\sin \pi(\lambda - \mu)}{\pi(\lambda - \mu)}. \quad (1.8)$$

While, the kernel (1.4) degenerates to the kernel of a uniform Poisson process as  $s \rightarrow -\infty$

$$e^{-s}K(e^{-s}\lambda, e^{-s}\mu; s) \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\delta(\lambda - \mu), \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta function. Therefore, the process defined by the finite-temperature sine kernel (1.4) interpolates between the sine process and the Poisson process as observed in [36].

To see how the determinant (1.6) arises in the studies of one dimensional Bose gas [33], we rewrite (1.4) in the following form

$$K(\lambda, \mu; s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-i\pi(\lambda - \mu)\tau) \sigma(\tau) d\tau, \quad (1.10)$$

using the fact that  $\sigma$  defined in (1.5) is an even function. Let  $K_{x/\pi}$  be the integral operator acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with the kernel  $K(\lambda, \mu; s)$  restricted to the interval  $(-x/\pi, x/\pi)$ . We have

$$K_{x/\pi} = \chi_{(-x/\pi, x/\pi)} \mathcal{F} \sigma \mathcal{F}^* \chi_{(-x/\pi, x/\pi)}. \quad (1.11)$$

Here  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^*$  denote the Fourier transform and its conjugate

$$\mathcal{F}(g)(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\mu\tau} g(\mu) d\mu, \quad \mathcal{F}^*(g)(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\mu\tau} g(\mu) d\mu, \quad (1.12)$$

while  $\chi_{(-x/\pi, x/\pi)}$  and  $\sigma$  denote the multiplication operators with the functions  $\chi_{(-x/\pi, x/\pi)}$  and  $\sigma$ , respectively. From the property of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, we have [15]

$$\det(I - \chi_{(-x/\pi, x/\pi)} \mathcal{F} \sigma \mathcal{F}^* \chi_{(-x/\pi, x/\pi)}) = \det(I - \mathcal{F}^* \chi_{(-x/\pi, x/\pi)} \mathcal{F} \sigma), \quad (1.13)$$

which implies that

$$D(x, s) = \det(I - K_{\sigma}). \quad (1.14)$$

Here  $K_{\sigma}$  is the integrable operator acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with the following kernel

$$K_{\sigma}(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\sin(x(\lambda - \mu))}{\pi(\lambda - \mu)} \sigma(\mu), \quad (1.15)$$

with  $\sigma(\mu)$  defined in (1.5). It is also shown in [15] that the operator  $I - K_{x/\pi}$  is invertible and therefore  $D(x, s)$  is non-zero. The determinant of (1.15) is exactly the one investigated in the pioneering work [33] of Its, Izergin, Korepin and Slavnov on one-dimensional Bose gas and the

theory of integral Fredholm operators. It was shown in [33] that the second derivative of the logarithm of the determinant

$$b(x, s)^2 = -\partial_x^2 \log D(x, s), \quad (1.16)$$

satisfies the PDE

$$\partial_x \left( \frac{\partial_s \partial_x b}{2b} \right) - \partial_s (b^2) + 1 = 0. \quad (1.17)$$

And the logarithm of the determinant  $q(x, s) = \log D(x, s)$  satisfies the other PDE

$$(\partial_s \partial_x^2 q)^2 + 4(\partial_x^2 q)(2x \partial_s \partial_x q + (\partial_s \partial_x q)^2 - 2\partial_s q) = 0. \quad (1.18)$$

Recently, Claeys and Tarricone in [15] rederive the PDEs and show that the PDEs are true for much broader class of weight function than the Fermi distribution (1.5). Furthermore, it is shown in [15] that the determinant also satisfies a system of integro-differential equations generalizing the fifth Painlevé equation. From the fact that the operator  $K_\sigma$  has small trace for small  $x$ , the initial data of the PDE (1.18) is also derived in [15] as  $x \rightarrow 0$

$$D(x, s) = 1 - \text{tr} K_\sigma + O(x^2) = 1 - x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda + O(x^2), \quad (1.19)$$

with any fixed  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Since the celebrated work of Its, Izergin, Korepin and Slavnov [33] on the theory of integral Fredholm operators, the studies of Fredholm determinants of integrable operators have attracted great interest. In [23], Deift, Its and Zhou derived the asymptotics of the Fredholm of the sine kernel on the union of disjoint intervals as the size of the intervals tends to infinity up to the undetermined constant term, with applications in random matrix theory and integrable statistical mechanics. In the one-interval case, the constant was conjectured to be given by using the Riemann zeta-function in the earlier work of Dyson [28] and Widom [42], and was derived rigorously later by Deift, Its, Krasovsky and Zhou [22]. In the two-intervals case, the constant has been obtained very recently by Fahs and Krasovsky in [29]. The asymptotics of the Fredholm determinant of the sine kernel with discontinuities on consecutive intervals have been derived up to and including the constant term by Charlier in [9]. The asymptotics of the Fredholm determinants of the Airy kernel, Bessel kernel, confluent hypergeometric kernel and Pearcey kernel are considered in [5, 10, 12, 16, 17, 18, 24, 43, 44, 45]. Quite recently, important progress has been made in the asymptotics of the finite-temperature deformed Airy-kernel determinant in [7, 8, 11].

In the present paper, we will study the asymptotics for the Fredholm determinant (1.14) of the finite-temperature deformed sine kernel (1.15), the logarithm of which solves the PDE (1.18). The determinant represents the probability that there is no particles on the interval  $(-x/\pi, x/\pi)$  in the bulk scaling limit of the finite-temperature fermion system, and the finite-temperature correlation function of one dimensional Bose gas. We will derive the asymptotics for the Fredholm determinant (1.14) in several different regimes in the  $(x, s)$ -plane. It is interesting to observe a third-order phase transition in the asymptotic expansions for both  $x$  and  $s$  tend to positive infinity at certain related speed. The phase transition will then be shown to be described by the Hastings-McLeod solution of the second Painlevé equation. The main results are stated in the following section.

## 2 Statement of results

**Theorem 1.** Let  $D(x, s)$  be defined in (1.14), we have the asymptotic expansion as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\log D(x, s) = -\frac{2x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda) \lambda^2 d\lambda + c_0(s) + O(e^{-cx^2}), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $c$  is some positive constant and

$$c_0(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^s \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda; \tau) d\lambda \right)^2 d\tau, \quad (2.2)$$

with  $\sigma(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda; s)$  defined in (1.5). Here the error term is uniform for  $s \in (-\infty, M_0 x^2]$  with any constant  $M_0 < \frac{\pi^2}{4}$ .

**Remark 1.** The formula (2.1) is consistent with the one derived in [33, Eq.(9.9)] as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  for fixed  $s$ . The formula (2.1) also implies that the leading-order term in the large- $x$  asymptotics of the finite-temperature sine-kernel determinant for fixed  $s$  is linear in  $x$ , which is different from the leading term  $-\frac{x^2}{2}$  in the large- $x$  asymptotics of the classical sine-kernel determinant [22, 28].

**Remark 2.** After a change of variables, we find

$$D(x, s) = \det(I - \widehat{K}_\sigma), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\widehat{K}_\sigma$  denotes the integral operator acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with the kernel

$$\widehat{K}_\sigma(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\sin(x\sqrt{s}(\lambda - \mu))}{\pi(\lambda - \mu)} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\mu). \quad (2.4)$$

Here

$$\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(s(\lambda^2 - 1))}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.5)$$

As  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \rightarrow \chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda)$ . Let  $x = \frac{2l}{\pi}\sqrt{s}$  with  $l > 1$ , we have from (2.1) that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log D(x, s)}{(x\sqrt{s})^2} = C_+(l), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$C_+(l) = -\frac{2}{3l} + \frac{1}{4l^2} = -\frac{5}{12} + \frac{1}{6}(l-1) + \frac{1}{12}(l-1)^2 - \frac{1}{3}(l-1)^3 + O(l-1)^4, \quad l \rightarrow 1^+. \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, the leading-order term in the asymptotics (2.6) is the same as that of the determinant of the classical sine-kernel (2.4) replacing  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\mu)$  by  $\chi_{(-1,1)}(\mu)$ , which is given by  $-\frac{1}{2}sx^2$ . While, the coefficient of the leading term  $C_+(l)$  is different.

In the regime  $x = \frac{2l}{\pi}\sqrt{s}$  with  $0 < l < 1$ , we have the following asymptotic expansion.

**Theorem 2.** As  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have the asymptotic expansion

$$\log D(x, s) = -\frac{sx^2}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi^2 x^2}{24 s} \right) + O(s), \quad (2.8)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $\frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} \in [M_1, M_2]$  with any constant  $0 < M_1 < M_2 < 1$ .

**Remark 3.** Suppose  $x = \frac{2l}{\pi}\sqrt{s}$  with  $0 < l < 1$ , we have from (2.8) that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log D(x, s)}{(x\sqrt{s})^2} = C_-(l), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$C_-(l) = -\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{12}l^2\right) = -\frac{5}{12} + \frac{1}{6}(l-1) + \frac{1}{12}(l-1)^2. \quad (2.10)$$

Comparing (2.7) with (2.10), we observe that the leading coefficients  $C_+(l)$  and  $C_-(l)$  in the asymptotic expansions of  $\log D(x, s)$  agree up to the third derivative at  $l = 1$ . This phenomenon is known as third-order phase transition in the literature [31, 3, 35].

In the transition regime near the critical curve  $x = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{s}$  in the  $(x, s)$ -plane, we show that the asymptotics is described by the Hastings-McLeod solution of the second Painlevé equation

$$u''(x) = xu(x) + 2u^3(x), \quad (2.11)$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$u(x) \sim \text{Ai}(x), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty, \quad u(x) \sim \sqrt{-\frac{x}{2}}, \quad x \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (2.12)$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $x = \frac{2}{\pi}s^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{y}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{3}}}s^{-\frac{1}{6}}$ , we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\log D(x, s) = -\frac{2x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda)\lambda^2 d\lambda + c_0(s) - \int_y^{+\infty} (\tau - y)u^2(\tau) d\tau + O(s^{-1/6}), \quad (2.13)$$

where  $c_0$  is given in (2.2),  $u(\tau)$  is the Hastings-McLeod solution of the second Painlevé equation and the error term is uniform for  $-M_3 \leq y \leq M_4 s^{\frac{2}{3}}$  with any constants  $M_3 > 0$  and  $M_4 > 0$ .

**Remark 4.** The integral involving the Hastings-McLeod solution corresponds to the Tracy-Widom distribution [41]

$$\log F_{TW}(y) = - \int_y^{+\infty} (\tau - y)u^2(\tau) d\tau.$$

From (2.12), we see that  $\log F_{TW}(y)$  tends to zero exponentially fast as  $y \rightarrow +\infty$ , and

$$\log F_{TW}(y) \sim \frac{1}{12}y^3, \quad y \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (2.14)$$

As a consequence, the asymptotic expansion (2.13) degenerates to (2.1) when  $y$  grows like  $M_4 s^{\frac{2}{3}}$  with any constant  $M_4 > 0$ . And we recover (2.9) if we formally let  $y \rightarrow -\infty$  in (2.13) and using (2.14). Therefore, the asymptotic expansion (2.13) describes a smooth transition between (2.1) and (2.8).

As  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $x \rightarrow 0$  such that  $x\sqrt{s}$  is bounded, we have  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \rightarrow \chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda)$ . We show that the determinant  $D(x, s)$  degenerates to the classical sine-kernel determinant, which is expressible in terms of the solution of the  $\sigma$ -form of the fifth Painlevé equation

$$(xv'')^2 + 4(4v - 4xv' - v'^2)(v - xv') = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$v(x) \sim -\frac{2}{\pi}x, \quad x \rightarrow 0, \quad v(x) \sim -x^2 - \frac{1}{4}, \quad x \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.16)$$

**Theorem 4.** As  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $x \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\sqrt{sx}$  is bounded, we have

$$\log D(x, s) = \int_0^{\sqrt{sx}} \frac{v(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau + O\left(\frac{x}{s^2}\right), \quad (2.17)$$

where  $v(\tau)$  solves the  $\sigma$ -form of the fifth Painlevé equation (2.15) with the boundary conditions (2.16).

**Remark 5.** From (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain formally the leading-order term  $-\frac{1}{2}sx^2$  in the asymptotics of  $\log D(x, s)$  as both  $s$  and  $\sqrt{sx}$  tend to positive infinity, which matches with the large gap asymptotics of the classical sine-kernel determinant [22, 28]. The leading term is also consistent with (2.8) after taking formally the limit  $l = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{x}{\sqrt{s}} \rightarrow 0$ . We will study these regimes rigorously in a separate paper.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we express the logarithmic derivatives of the determinant (1.14) with respect to  $x$  and  $s$  in terms of the solution of a Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem by using the theory of integrable operators developed in [33, 23]. In Sections 4-7, we perform the Deift-Zhou nonlinear steepest descent analysis [25, 26, 27] of the RH problem in several different regimes. The proofs of Theorems 1-4 are then given in Section 8 by using the results obtained in Sections 4-7.

### 3 RH problem for the finite-temperature sine kernel determinant

In this section, we relate the determinant (1.14) to the solution of a RH problem by using the general theory of integrable operators developed in [33, 23].

For the purpose, we denote

$$\mathbf{f}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix\lambda} \\ e^{-ix\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{h}(\mu) = \frac{\sigma(\mu)}{2\pi i} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ix\mu} \\ -e^{ix\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

with  $\sigma(\mu)$  defined in (1.5). Then the kernel (1.15) can be written in the following form

$$K_\sigma(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\mathbf{f}(\lambda)^t \mathbf{h}(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\mathbf{f}(\lambda)^t$  denotes the transpose of the vector  $\mathbf{f}(\lambda)$ . According to [33, 23], the logarithmic derivative of the determinant (1.14) can be expressed in terms of the solution of the following RH problem.

**RH problem 1.** The function  $Y(\lambda) = Y(\lambda; x, s)$  satisfies the properties.

- (1)  $Y(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2)  $Y(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$Y_+(\lambda) = Y_-(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sigma(\lambda) & e^{2ix\lambda}\sigma(\lambda) \\ -e^{-2ix\lambda}\sigma(\lambda) & 1 + \sigma(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\sigma(\lambda)$  is defined in (1.5).



(3) The behavior of  $Y(\lambda)$  at infinity is

$$Y(\lambda) = I + \frac{Y_1}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right). \quad (3.4)$$

**Proposition 1.** We have the differential identities

$$\partial_x \log D(x, s) = -2i(Y_1)_{11}, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\partial_s \log D(x, s) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{h}^t(\lambda) Y_+^{-1}(\lambda) \frac{d}{d\lambda} (Y_+(\lambda) \mathbf{f}(\lambda)) \partial_s \log \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{h}$  are defined in (3.1) and  $Y_1$  is the coefficient in the large- $\lambda$  expansion of  $Y(\lambda)$  in (3.4).

*Proof.* According to [33], there exists a unique solution to the RH problem for  $Y(\lambda)$

$$Y(\lambda) = I - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{F}(\mu) \mathbf{h}^t(\mu) \frac{d\mu}{\mu - \lambda}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}(\mu) = (I - K_\sigma)^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mu), \quad (3.8)$$

and  $I - K_\sigma$  is invertible as shown in [15]. Moreover, the resolvent  $K_\sigma(I - K_\sigma)^{-1}$  is also an integrable operator with the kernel given by

$$R(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\mathbf{F}^t(\lambda) \mathbf{H}(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}(\lambda) = Y_+(\lambda) \mathbf{f}(\lambda), \quad \mathbf{H}(\mu) = Y_+^{-t}(\mu) \mathbf{h}(\mu), \quad (3.10)$$

with  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ; see [33].

It follows from (1.14) that

$$\partial_x \log D(x, s) = -\text{tr}((I - K_\sigma)^{-1} \partial_x K_\sigma). \quad (3.11)$$

By (3.2), we have

$$\partial_x K_\sigma(\lambda, \mu) = i \mathbf{f}(\lambda)^t \sigma_3 \mathbf{h}(\mu) = i (\sigma_3 \mathbf{h}(\mu))^t \mathbf{f}(\lambda), \quad (3.12)$$

where  $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  is one of the Pauli matrices. Therefore, we have

$$\partial_x \log D(x, s) = -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\sigma_3 \mathbf{h}(\mu))^t \mathbf{F}(\mu) d\mu. \quad (3.13)$$

The differential identity (3.5) then follows from (3.4), (3.8) and (3.13).

Similarly, we have from (1.14) and (3.2) that

$$\partial_s \log D(x, s) = -\text{tr}((I - K_\sigma)^{-1} \partial_s K_\sigma) = -\text{tr}((I - K_\sigma)^{-1} K_\sigma \partial_s \log \sigma). \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore, the differential identity (3.6) follows from (3.9), (3.10) and (3.14).  $\square$

## 4 Large $x$ asymptotics of $Y$

In this section, we carry out the Deift-Zhou [25, 26, 27] nonlinear steepest descent analysis of the RH problem for  $Y(\lambda)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $s \in (-\infty, M_0 x^2]$  with any constant  $M_0 < \frac{\pi^2}{4}$ .

### 4.1 First transformation: $Y \rightarrow S$

The jump matrix in (3.3) can be factorized in the following way

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sigma(x\lambda) & e^{2ix^2\lambda}\sigma(x\lambda) \\ -e^{-2ix^2\lambda}\sigma(\lambda) & 1 + \sigma(x\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-2ix^2\lambda}\frac{\sigma(x\lambda)}{1-\sigma(x\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} (1 - \sigma(x\lambda))^{\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2ix^2\lambda}\frac{\sigma(x\lambda)}{1-\sigma(x\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

Based on the above factorization, we define

$$S(\lambda) = Y(x\lambda) \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -e^{2ix^2\lambda}\frac{\sigma(x\lambda)}{1-\sigma(x\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Omega_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-2ix^2\lambda}\frac{\sigma(x\lambda)}{1-\sigma(x\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Omega_3, \\ I, & \lambda \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_4. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

The regions are illustrated in Fig. 1, the boundaries of which will be specified later.




Figure 1: Jump contours and regions for the RH problem for  $S$ .

Then,  $S(\lambda)$  satisfies the following RH problem.

**RH problem 2.** *The function  $S(\lambda)$  satisfies the following properties.*

- (1)  $S(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\mathbb{R} \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2\}$ ; see Fig. 1.

(2)  $S(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$S_+(\lambda) = S_-(\lambda) \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2ix^2\lambda} \frac{\sigma(x\lambda)}{1-\sigma(x\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Gamma_1, \\ (1 - \sigma(x\lambda))^{\sigma_3}, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-2ix^2\lambda} \frac{\sigma(x\lambda)}{1-\sigma(x\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Gamma_2. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

(3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$S(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (4.4)$$

## 4.2 Global parametrix

From (1.5), we have

$$e^{\pm 2ix^2\lambda} \frac{\sigma(x\lambda)}{1 - \sigma(x\lambda)} = \exp(-x^2(\lambda \mp i)^2 - x^2 + s). \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore, we may choose some appropriate contours  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  such that the function in (4.5) is exponentially small as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Neglecting the jumps along  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , we arrive at the following approximate RH problem with the only jump along the real axis

$$N_+(\lambda) = N_-(\lambda) (1 - \sigma(x\lambda))^{\sigma_3}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.6)$$

and the asymptotic behavior at infinity

$$N(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (4.7)$$

It is direct to see that

$$N(\lambda) = d(\lambda)^{\sigma_3} \quad (4.8)$$

with

$$d(\lambda) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1 - \sigma(x\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda}\right). \quad (4.9)$$

If  $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\text{Re}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1 - \sigma(x\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda}\right) = \frac{\text{Im } \lambda}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1 - \sigma(x\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{|\zeta - \lambda|^2} < 0, \quad (4.10)$$

since  $\sigma(x\zeta) \in (0, 1)$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, we have for  $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$

$$|d(\lambda)| < 1. \quad (4.11)$$

Similarly, we have for  $\text{Im } \lambda < 0$

$$|d(\lambda)^{-1}| < 1. \quad (4.12)$$

For later use, we derive the expansion of  $\log d(\lambda)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Using integration by parts in (4.9), we have

$$\log d(\lambda) = -\frac{x^2}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(\lambda - \zeta) \zeta \sigma(x\zeta) d\zeta, \quad (4.13)$$

where  $\arg(\lambda - \zeta) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . Replacing  $\sigma(x\zeta)$  by  $\chi_{(-b,b)}(\zeta)$  with  $b = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{x}$ , we have as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \log d(\lambda) &= -\frac{x^2}{\pi i} \int_{-b}^b \log(\lambda - \zeta) \zeta d\zeta + O(1) \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2\pi i} \left( 2b\lambda + (\lambda^2 - b^2) \log \left( \frac{\lambda - b}{\lambda + b} \right) \right) + O(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where  $\arg(\lambda \pm b) \in (-\pi, \pi)$  and the error term is uniform for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .

### 4.3 Small-norm RH problem

We define

$$R(\lambda) = S(\lambda)N(\lambda)^{-\sigma_3}. \quad (4.15)$$

Then,  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the following RH problem.

**RH problem 3.** *The function  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the following properties.*

- (1)  $R(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2\}$ .
- (2)  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$R_+(\lambda) = R_-(\lambda)J_R(\lambda), \quad J_R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \exp(-x^2(\lambda - i)^2 - x^2 + s)h(\lambda)^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Gamma_1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\exp(-x^2(\lambda + i)^2 - x^2 + s)h(\lambda)^{-2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Gamma_2. \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

- (3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (4.17)$$

### 4.4 Larger $x$ asymptotics of $R$ for $s \in (-\infty, c_1x^2]$ with $0 < c_1 < 1$

If  $s \in (-\infty, c_1x^2]$  with any constant  $0 < c_1 < 1$ , we choose the contours

$$\Gamma_1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} \lambda = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} \lambda = -1\}. \quad (4.18)$$

Then, we obtain from (4.5), (4.11), (4.12) and (4.16)

$$J_R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\exp\left(-x^2 - s + x^2|\operatorname{Re} \lambda|^2\right)\right), \quad (4.19)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  and  $s \in (-\infty, c_1x^2]$ .

From (4.19), we see that  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies a small-norm RH problem for large  $x$ . By standard arguments of small-norm RH problems [21, 27], we have the expansion as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{\exp(-(x^2 - s))}{1 + |\lambda|}\right), \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}R(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{\exp(-(x^2 - s))}{1 + |\lambda|^2}\right), \quad (4.21)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2\}$  and  $s \in (-\infty, c_1x^2]$  with any constant  $c_1 < 1$ .

#### 4.5 Large $x$ asymptotics of $R$ for $s \in [c_1x^2, c_2x^2]$ with $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \frac{\pi^2}{4}$

In this subsection, we consider the region  $s \in [c_1x^2, c_2x^2]$  with any constant  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \frac{\pi^2}{4}$ . From (4.14), we have

$$\log(\exp(-x^2(\lambda - i)^2 - x^2 + s)d(\lambda)^2) = x^2\varphi(\lambda) + O(1), \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$\varphi(\lambda) = -\lambda^2 + 2i\lambda + b^2 + \frac{1}{\pi i} \left( 2b\lambda + (\lambda^2 - b^2) \log\left(\frac{\lambda - b}{\lambda + b}\right) \right), \quad (4.23)$$

with  $b = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{x}$  and  $\arg(\lambda \pm b) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . From (4.23), we have the properties

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(\lambda) = -\lambda^2 + b^2 < 0, \quad \lambda \in (-\infty, -b) \cup (b, +\infty), \quad (4.24)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi_+(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda \in (-b, b), \quad (4.25)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \operatorname{Im} \varphi_+(\lambda) = 2 - \frac{4b}{\pi} - \frac{2}{\pi} \lambda \log\left(\frac{b - \lambda}{\lambda + b}\right) > 0, \quad \lambda \in (-b, b), \quad (4.26)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(\lambda) \sim \frac{2b}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \lambda \log |\lambda \mp b| < 0, \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \pm b. \quad (4.27)$$

From (4.24)-(4.27), there exists a sufficiently small constant  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(\lambda) < -c|\lambda|^2, \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda = \delta, \quad (4.28)$$

with some constant  $c > 0$ . In the derivation of (4.28) for  $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda \pm b| < r$  with sufficiently small  $r > 0$ , we use (4.27). The estimate of (4.28) for  $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda| > b + r/2$  follows from (4.24). While, the estimate of (4.28) for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \in (-b + r/2, b - r/2)$  is obtained by using (4.25), (4.26) and the Cauchy-Riemann equations. We choose

$$\Gamma_1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} \lambda = \delta\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} \lambda = -\delta\}. \quad (4.29)$$

From (4.16), (4.22) and (4.28), we then have

$$J_R(\lambda) = I + O(\exp(-cx^2|\lambda|^2)), \quad (4.30)$$

where  $c$  is some positive constant and the error term is uniform for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_1$  defined in (4.29) and  $s \in [c_1x^2, c_2x^2]$ . Similarly, we have (4.30) uniformly for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_2$ .

Similar to (4.20) and (4.21), we have from (4.30)

$$R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{\exp(-cx^2)}{1+|\lambda|}\right), \quad (4.31)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}R(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{\exp(-cx^2)}{1+|\lambda|^2}\right), \quad (4.32)$$

where  $c$  is some positive constant and the error term is uniform for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2\}$  and  $s \in [c_1x^2, c_2x^2]$  with any constant  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \frac{\pi^2}{4}$ .

## 5 Large $s$ asymptotics of $Y$ for $x = \frac{2l}{\pi}\sqrt{s}$ with $0 < l < 1$

In this section, we will carry out the nonlinear steepest descent analysis of the RH problem for  $Y(\lambda)$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $x = \frac{2l}{\pi}\sqrt{s}$  with  $l$  in any compact subset of  $(0, 1)$ .

### 5.1 First transformation: $Y \rightarrow T$

To simplify the jump condition, we introduce the transformation

$$T(\lambda) = Y(\sqrt{s}\lambda)e^{ix\sqrt{s}\lambda\sigma_3}. \quad (5.1)$$

Then,  $T(\lambda)$  satisfies the RH problem below.

**RH problem 4.** *The function  $T(\lambda)$  satisfies the following properties.*

- (1)  $T(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2)  $T(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$T_+(\lambda) = T_-(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) & \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \\ -\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) & 1 + \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2)$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$T(\lambda) = \left(I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right) e^{ix\sqrt{s}\lambda\sigma_3}. \quad (5.3)$$

### 5.2 Second transformation: $T \rightarrow A$

As  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \rightarrow \chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda)$ . Therefore, we may factorize the jump matrix in (5.7) as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) & \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \\ -\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) & 1 + \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.4)$$

for  $\lambda \in (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0)$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) & -1 + \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \\ 1 + \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) & \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{1-\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} (1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda))^{\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{1-\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.5)$$

for  $\lambda \in (-\infty, -\lambda_0) \cup (\lambda_0, \infty)$ . The endpoint  $\lambda_0$  will be specified later. Based on (5.4) and (5.5), we introduce the second transformation

$$A(\lambda) = T(\lambda) \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{1-\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_3, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Omega_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{1-\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Omega_4 \cup \Omega_6, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Omega_5. \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

Here, the function  $\frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{1-\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)} = \exp(-s(\lambda^2 - 1))$  by using (1.5). And the regions are illustrated in Fig. 2, where the contours  $\Sigma_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are chosen such that  $\text{Re}(\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2) = 0$ .




Figure 2: Jump contours and regions for the RH problem for  $A$ .

Then,  $A(\lambda)$  satisfies the following RH problem.

**RH problem 5.** *The function  $A(\lambda)$  satisfies the following properties.*

- (1)  $A(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_A$ , where  $\Sigma_A = \cup_1^4 \Sigma_k \cup \mathbb{R}$ ; see Fig. 2.

(2)  $A(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$A_+(\lambda) = A_-(\lambda) \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{1-\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{1-\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Sigma_3 \cup \Sigma_4, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0), \\ (1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda))^{\sigma_3}, & \lambda \in (-\infty, -\lambda_0) \cup (\lambda_0, +\infty). \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

(3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$A(\lambda) = \left( I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right) e^{ix\sqrt{s}\lambda\sigma_3}. \quad (5.8)$$

### 5.3 Third transformation: $A \rightarrow B$

To normalize the behavior of  $A(\lambda)$  at infinity, we introduce the transformation

$$B(\lambda) = (1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0))^{\pm\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} A(\lambda) \exp(-g(\lambda)\sigma_3) (1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0))^{\mp\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}, \quad (5.9)$$

for  $\pm \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$ . Here, the  $g$ -function satisfies the asymptotic behavior as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

$$g(\lambda) = ix\sqrt{s}\lambda + O(1/\lambda). \quad (5.10)$$

To simplify the jump condition, we assume  $g(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  and satisfies the properties

$$g_+(\lambda) - g_-(\lambda) - V(\lambda) + V(\lambda_0) = 0, \quad \lambda \in (-\infty, -\lambda_0) \cup (\lambda_0, +\infty), \quad (5.11)$$

with

$$V(\lambda) = \log(1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)), \quad (5.12)$$

and

$$g_+(\lambda) + g_-(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda \in (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0). \quad (5.13)$$

By the Sokhotski-Plemelj formulas, we obtain from (5.10)-(5.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda) &= \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} \left( ix\sqrt{s} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_J \frac{V(\zeta) - V(\lambda_0)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} \left( ix\sqrt{s} + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{V(\zeta) - V(\lambda_0)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{\zeta^2 - \lambda^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

where the square root takes the principal branch and  $J = (-\infty, -\lambda_0) \cup (\lambda_0, +\infty)$ .

Then,  $B(\lambda)$  satisfies the following RH problem.

**RH problem 6.** *The function  $B(\lambda)$  satisfies the following properties.*

(1)  $B(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_B$  with  $\Sigma_B = \cup_1^4 \Sigma_k \cup (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0)$ ; see Fig. 3.






Figure 3: Jump contours and regions for the RH problem for  $B$ .

(2)  $B(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$B_+(\lambda) = B_-(\lambda) \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \exp(2g(\lambda) - V(\lambda) + V(\lambda_0)) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\exp(-2g(\lambda) - V(\lambda) + V(\lambda_0)) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Sigma_3 \cup \Sigma_4, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-2g_+(\lambda) + V(\lambda) - V(\lambda_0)) & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0). \end{cases} \quad (5.15)$$

(3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$B(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (5.16)$$

We denote

$$\phi(\lambda) = g(\lambda) \mp \frac{1}{2}(V(\lambda) - V(\lambda_0)), \quad \pm \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0. \quad (5.17)$$

Then, the jump condition (5.15) can be written as follows

$$B_+(\lambda) = B_-(\lambda) \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \exp(2\phi(\lambda)) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\exp(-2\phi(\lambda)) & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in \Sigma_3 \cup \Sigma_4, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-2\phi_+(\lambda)) & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda \in (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0). \end{cases} \quad (5.18)$$

In the subsequent RH analysis, it will be convenient if the function  $\phi(\lambda)$  vanishes at the endpoints  $\pm\lambda_0$  of order  $\frac{3}{2}$ . In view of (5.14) and (5.17), we use the freedom to choose the endpoint  $\lambda_0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{V(\zeta) - V(\lambda_0)}{(\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{3/2}} \zeta d\zeta = x\sqrt{s}. \quad (5.19)$$

From (5.12), we have

$$V'(\lambda) = 2s\lambda\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda). \quad (5.20)$$

Using integration by parts, the equation (5.19) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} d\zeta = \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma\left(\sqrt{s(\zeta^2 + \lambda_0^2)}\right) d\zeta = \frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}}. \quad (5.21)$$

We will show in next section that the function  $\phi(\lambda)$  vanishes at the endpoints  $\pm\lambda_0$  of order  $\frac{3}{2}$  under the condition (5.19) or (5.21) equivalently.

#### 5.4 Properties for the $g$ -function and $\phi$ -function

In this section, we derive some useful properties for the  $g$ -function and  $\phi$ -function in a series of lemmas. The properties play important roles in the asymptotics of the RH problem for  $B(\lambda)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose*

$$L = \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\zeta = 1 + O(1/s^2), \quad (5.22)$$

there exists a unique solution  $\lambda_0$  to the equation (5.21) for  $0 < \frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} \leq L$ . Moreover, we have the asymptotic expansion as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\lambda_0^2 = 1 - \frac{\pi^2 x^2}{4s} + O(1/s^2), \quad (5.23)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $\frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} \in [M, L]$  with any constant  $0 < M < L$ .

*Proof.* It is seen from (1.5) that the second integral in (5.21) is strictly decreasing from the value  $L$  given in (5.22) to zero as  $\lambda_0$  goes from zero to positive infinity. Therefore, there exists a unique positive solution  $\lambda_0$  to the equation (5.21) for  $0 < \frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} \leq L$ .

To derive the asymptotics of  $\lambda_0$ , we split the integral in (5.21) into three parts

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \zeta \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} = \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} - \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \zeta(1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} + \int_1^{+\infty} \zeta \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}}. \quad (5.24)$$

From (1.5), we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \zeta(1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} &= \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \zeta \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \exp(s(1 - \zeta^2)) \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \\ &= \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s})}{2s\sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}} + O(1/s^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4s\sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}} + O(1/s^2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

uniformly for  $0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq 1 - \delta$  with any constant  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Similarly, we have from (1.5)

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \zeta \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} = \frac{1}{4s\sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}} + O(1/s^2). \quad (5.26)$$

Therefore, we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \zeta \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} = \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} + O(1/s^2). \quad (5.27)$$

Then, it follows from (5.19) and (5.27) that

$$\sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2} = \frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} + O(1/s^2). \quad (5.28)$$

Therefore, we have (5.23).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $\frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} \in [M, L]$  with any constant  $0 < M < L$  and  $L$  given in (5.22), we have

$$g'(\lambda) = \frac{2}{\pi i} s \lambda \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^2 - \lambda^2}, \quad (5.29)$$

where the square root takes the principal branch. Furthermore, we have for large  $\lambda$

$$g(\lambda) = ix\sqrt{s}\lambda + \frac{g_1}{\lambda} + O(1/\lambda^3), \quad (5.30)$$

with

$$g_1 = -\frac{ix\sqrt{s}}{2} \lambda_0^2 - \frac{2is}{3\pi} (1 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(1/s). \quad (5.31)$$

*Proof.* From (5.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \left( ix\sqrt{s} + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{V(\zeta) - V(\lambda_0)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{\zeta^2 - \lambda^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi i} \lambda \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{V(\zeta) - V(\lambda_0)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{2\zeta d\zeta}{(\zeta^2 - \lambda^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Using (5.19) and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ix\sqrt{s} + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{V(\zeta) - V(\lambda_0)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{\zeta^2 - \lambda^2} &= \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{V(\zeta) - V(\lambda_0)}{(\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{3/2}} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{\zeta^2 - \lambda^2} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}{\pi i} \left( \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{V'(\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^2 - \lambda^2} - \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{V(\zeta) - V(\lambda_0)}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{2\zeta d\zeta}{(\zeta^2 - \lambda^2)^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

From (5.20), (5.32) and (5.33), we have (5.29).

From (5.14), we see that  $g(\lambda) = -g(-\lambda)$ . This, together with (5.14), implies the expansion of  $g(\lambda)$  in the form given in (5.30) as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . To derive the coefficient  $g_1$ , we use (5.21) to rewrite the equation (5.29) as follows

$$g'(\lambda) = \frac{ix\sqrt{s}\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \left( 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{s}}{\pi x} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{\zeta^2 - \lambda^2} \right). \quad (5.34)$$

From the large- $\lambda$  expansion of (5.34), we have

$$g_1 = -\frac{ix\sqrt{s}}{2}\lambda_0^2 - \frac{2is}{\pi} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \zeta(\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\zeta. \quad (5.35)$$

Similar to (5.27), we have

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \zeta(\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\zeta = \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \zeta(\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\zeta + O(1/s^2). \quad (5.36)$$

This, together with (5.35), implies (5.31).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Under the assumption of Lemma 2, we have*

$$\phi(\lambda) = (\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (c_1(s) + O(\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2)), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \pm\lambda_0, \quad (5.37)$$

where  $\arg(\lambda \pm \lambda_0) \in (-\pi, \pi)$  and the coefficient

$$c_1(s) = \frac{2is}{3\pi\sqrt{1-\lambda_0^2}} + O(1/s), \quad s \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (5.38)$$

*Proof.* From (5.14), (5.17) and (5.19), we have the expansion of  $\phi(\lambda)$  in the form (5.37). We proceed to derive the asymptotics of the coefficient  $c_1(s)$  in (5.37) as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ . For convenience, we suppose  $|\lambda \pm \lambda_0| < \delta$  with a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\lambda_0 + \delta < 1$ . Similar to (5.27), we obtain after replacing  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)$  by  $\chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda)$  in (5.29) that

$$g'(\lambda) = I_0(\lambda)(1 + O(1/s^2)), \quad (5.39)$$

where

$$I_0(\lambda) = \frac{2}{\pi i} s\lambda \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}(\zeta^2 - \lambda^2)} d\zeta, \quad (5.40)$$

with the branch chosen such that  $\arg(\lambda \pm \lambda_0) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . By a change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}(\zeta^2 - \lambda^2)} d\zeta &= \int_0^{\sqrt{1-\lambda_0^2}} \frac{1}{\zeta^2 + \lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} - \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} + \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

where the principal branches are taken. Therefore, we have

$$I_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi i} s\lambda \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} - \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} + \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}} \right). \quad (5.42)$$

From (6.15), we have the expansion

$$I_0(\lambda) - s\lambda = -\frac{2s\lambda}{\pi i} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}{1 - \lambda_0^2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k+1} \left( \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}{1 - \lambda_0^2} \right)^k, \quad (5.43)$$

where  $\arg(\lambda \pm \lambda_0) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . Integrating both sides of (5.43) and comparing it with (5.17) and (5.37), we have (5.38).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Under the assumption of Lemma 2, there exists some constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\phi_+(\lambda) \geq \frac{cs}{\sqrt{1-\lambda_0^2}} |\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2|^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \lambda \in (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0), \quad (5.44)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \phi(\lambda) \leq -cs|\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2|^{\frac{3}{2}}(|\lambda| + 1)^{-2}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, \quad (5.45)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \phi(\lambda) \geq cs|\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2|^{\frac{3}{2}}(|\lambda| + 1)^{-2}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_3 \cup \Sigma_4. \quad (5.46)$$

*Proof.* For  $-\lambda_0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , we obtain after a change of variables  $\eta = \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}$  that

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}(\zeta^2 - \lambda^2)} d\zeta = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\eta^2 + \lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2} d\eta = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2}}. \quad (5.47)$$

This, together with (5.20) and (5.29), implies

$$\phi'_+(\lambda) = g'_+(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}V'(\lambda) = \frac{2}{\pi}s\lambda\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda))}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}(\zeta^2 - \lambda^2)} d\zeta. \quad (5.48)$$

It is seen from (1.5) that the integrant in (5.48) is negative. Therefore, we have from (1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda))}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}(\zeta^2 - \lambda^2)} d\zeta &\leq \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda))}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}(\zeta^2 - \lambda^2)} d\zeta \\ &\leq (\sigma(\sqrt{s}) - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0)) \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}(\zeta^2 - \lambda^2)} d\zeta \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0)\right) \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\zeta^2} d\zeta \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.49)$$

for  $s$  large enough. To get the last inequality, we use the fact that  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0) \rightarrow 1$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ . Therefore, we have

$$\phi'_+(\lambda) \leq -\frac{2}{3\pi}s\lambda\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_0, \quad (5.50)$$

and

$$\phi'_+(\lambda) \geq -\frac{2}{3\pi}s\lambda\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - \lambda^2}, \quad -\lambda_0 < \lambda < 0. \quad (5.51)$$

Since  $\phi(\pm\lambda_0) = 0$ , we have from (5.29) -(5.51) the estimate (5.44).

We proceed to derive (5.45) and (5.46). For  $\lambda \in \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3 \cup \Sigma_4$ , we have  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda^2 = \lambda_0^2 < 1$ . Therefore, we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\operatorname{Re}(V(\lambda_0) - V(\lambda)) = \log \left| \frac{1 + \exp(-s(\lambda^2 - 1))}{1 + \exp(-s(\lambda_0^2 - 1))} \right| = \log \left| \frac{1 + \exp(s(\lambda^2 - 1))}{1 + \exp(s(\lambda_0^2 - 1))} \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.52)$$

where  $V(\lambda)$  is defined in (5.12). By (5.17), the remaining task is to estimate  $g(\lambda)$ . For the purpose, we rewrite (5.29) using integration by parts

$$g'(\lambda) = \frac{is\lambda}{\pi} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \right) d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta), \quad (5.53)$$

where the square roots and the logarithm take the principal branches. Integrating (5.53) and using the fact that  $g(\lambda_0) = 0$ , we have

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{is}{\pi} \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \eta \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{\eta^2 - \lambda_0^2} - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}}{\sqrt{\eta^2 - \lambda_0^2} + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \right) d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\eta. \quad (5.54)$$

Since  $\arg(\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$  for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_1$ , we obtain after a change of variables  $\eta^2 - \lambda_0^2 = i\tau$  that

$$g(\lambda) = -\frac{s}{2\pi} \int_0^{|\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2|} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \log \left( \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}}{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \right) d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\tau. \quad (5.55)$$

Thus, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} g(\lambda) = -\frac{s}{2\pi} \int_0^{|\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2|} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \log \left| \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}}{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \right| d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\tau. \quad (5.56)$$

Since both the integrand and  $d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)$  are negative, we have

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \log \left| \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}}{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \right| d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \geq \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \log \left| \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}}{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \right| d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta). \quad (5.57)$$

As  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^1 \log \left| \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}}{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \right| d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) = \log \left| \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} + \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}}{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} - \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}} \right| + O(1/s), \quad (5.58)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $\tau \geq 0$ . Therefore, we have for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_1$  and large enough  $s$

$$-\operatorname{Re} g(\lambda) \geq \frac{s}{4\pi} \int_0^{|\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2|} \log \left| \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} + \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}}{e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i} \sqrt{\tau} - \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}} \right| d\tau \geq cs |\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2|^{\frac{3}{2}} (|\lambda| + 1)^{-2}, \quad (5.59)$$

with some constant  $c > 0$ . To get the last inequality, we use the asymptotic behaviors of the integrand near zero and positive infinity. The estimate (5.59) is also true for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_2$ , which can be derived from (5.53) in the same manner. Therefore, we arrive at the estimate (5.45). From (5.17) and (5.14), we have the symmetry relation

$$\phi(\lambda) = -\phi(-\lambda). \quad (5.60)$$

Thus, the estimate (5.46) follows directly from (5.45) and (5.60). We complete the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

At the end of this section, we derive the expression for  $\phi'_+(\lambda)$  with  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  for later use. From (5.17), (5.20) and (5.54), we have

$$\phi'_+(\lambda) = \frac{is\lambda}{\pi} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \log \left( \frac{|\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}|}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \right) d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta), \quad (5.61)$$

for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ . The above expression can be simplified to

$$\phi'_+(\lambda) = \frac{is\lambda}{\pi} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \log |\lambda^2 - \zeta^2| - 2 \log \left( \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} + \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2} \right) d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta), \quad (5.62)$$

where  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ .

## 5.5 Global parametrix

Suppose  $x = \frac{2l}{\pi}\sqrt{s}$  with  $l$  in any compact subset of  $(0, 1)$ . From the estimates (5.44)-(5.46), we see that the exponential terms in the jumps (5.18) tend to zero exponentially fast as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , when  $\lambda$  is bounded away from the endpoints  $\pm\lambda_0$ . Therefore, we arrive at the following approximate RH problem as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**RH problem 7.** *The function  $P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)$  satisfies the following properties.*

- (1)  $P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0)$ .
- (2)  $P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = P_-^{(\infty)}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.63)$$

for  $\lambda \in (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0)$ .

- (3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (5.64)$$

The solution to the above RH problem for  $P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)$  can be constructed as follows

$$P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = \frac{I + i\sigma_1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda + \lambda_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{I - i\sigma_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (5.65)$$

where  $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and the branches are chosen such that  $\arg(\lambda \pm \lambda_0) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have the asymptotic expansion

$$P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) = I + \frac{P_1^{(\infty)}}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right), \text{ with } P_1^{(\infty)} = -\frac{\lambda_0}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.66)$$

## 5.6 Local parametrix

Let  $U(\pm\lambda_0, \delta)$  be an open disc centered at  $\pm\lambda_0$  with radius  $\delta > 0$ , we look for the parametrices satisfying the same jump condition as  $B(\lambda)$  in  $U(\pm\lambda_0, \delta)$  and matching with  $P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)$  on the boundaries of  $U(\pm\lambda_0, \delta)$ .

**RH problem 8.** *We look for a matrix-valued function  $P^{(\pm\lambda_0)}(\lambda)$  satisfying the following properties.*

- (1)  $P^{(\pm\lambda_0)}(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $U(\pm\lambda_0, \delta) \setminus \Sigma_B$ .
- (2)  $P^{(\pm\lambda_0)}(\lambda)$  satisfies the same jump condition as  $B(\lambda)$  on  $\Sigma_B \cap U(\pm\lambda_0, \delta)$ .
- (3) On  $\partial U(\pm\lambda_0, \delta)$ , we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$P^{(\pm\lambda_0)}(\lambda) = (I + O(1/s)) P^{(\infty)}(\lambda). \quad (5.67)$$

To construct the solution to the above RH problem, we define

$$f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{3}{2}\phi(\lambda)\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} & \text{Im } \lambda > 0, \\ \left(-\frac{3}{2}\phi(\lambda)\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} & \text{Im } \lambda < 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.68)$$

From (5.37) and (5.38), we choose the branch in (5.68) such that  $f(\lambda)$  is a conformal mapping in  $U(-\lambda_0, \delta)$ :

$$f(\lambda) \sim 2\lambda_0 \left(\frac{3}{2}|c_1(s)|\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} (\lambda + \lambda_0), \quad \lambda \rightarrow -\lambda_0, \quad (5.69)$$

with  $c_1(s)$  given in (5.38). Then, the local parametrix near  $-\lambda_0$  can be constructed as follows

$$P^{(-\lambda_0)}(\lambda) = E(\lambda)\Phi^{(\text{Ai})}(f(\lambda))e^{-\phi(\lambda)\sigma_3}, \quad (5.70)$$

where

$$E(\lambda) = \sqrt{2}P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} i & 1 \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} f(\lambda)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}}, \quad (5.71)$$

and the branch of  $f(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}}$  is chosen such that  $\arg(f(\lambda)) \in (0, 2\pi)$ .




Figure 4: Jump contours and regions for the RH problem for  $\Phi^{(\text{Ai})}$ .

Here,  $\Phi^{(\text{Ai})}(\lambda)$  satisfies the following RH problem.

**RH problem 9.**

- (1)  $\Phi^{(\text{Ai})}(\lambda)$  is analytic for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_{k=1}^3 \Sigma_k^{(\text{Ai})}$ , with the contours depicted in Fig. 4.
- (2)  $\Phi^{(\text{Ai})}(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$\Phi_+^{(\text{Ai})}(\lambda) = \Phi_-^{(\text{Ai})}(\lambda)J_k, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_k^{(\text{Ai})}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \quad (5.72)$$

where

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$



(3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\Phi^{(\text{Ai})}(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} i & 1 \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left( I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \right) e^{\frac{2}{3}\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma_3}, \quad (5.73)$$

where  $\arg \lambda \in (0, 2\pi)$ .

The above RH problem is related to the standard Airy parametrix in [25, 26] by some elementary transformations. The solution can be constructed by using the Airy functions

$$\Phi^{(\text{Ai})}(\lambda) = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{1}{6}\pi i} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(e^{-\frac{2}{3}\pi i}\lambda) & \text{Ai}(\lambda) \\ -e^{-\frac{2}{3}\pi i}\text{Ai}'(e^{-\frac{2}{3}\pi i}\lambda) & -\text{Ai}'(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}\sigma_3} \begin{cases} I, & \lambda \in \text{I}, \\ J_2^{-1}, & \lambda \in \text{II}, \\ J_1^{-1}, & \lambda \in \text{III}. \end{cases} \quad (5.74)$$

It follows from (5.63) and (5.71) that  $E(\lambda)$  is analytic for  $\lambda \in U(-\lambda_0, \delta)$ . This, together with (5.72), implies that  $P^{(-\lambda_0)}(\lambda)$  satisfies the the same jump condition as  $B(\lambda)$  on  $\Sigma_B \cap U(-\lambda_0, \delta)$  as given in (5.18). From (5.70), (5.71) and (5.73), we obtain the matching condition (5.67). Therefore,  $P^{(-\lambda_0)}(\lambda)$  constructed in (5.70) satisfies the required properties.

It is seen from (5.16), (5.18) and (5.60) that we have the symmetry

$$\sigma_1 B(-\lambda) \sigma_1 = B(\lambda). \quad (5.75)$$

Therefore, the local parametrix in  $U(\lambda_0, \delta)$  can be constructed as follows

$$P^{(\lambda_0)}(\lambda) = \sigma_1 P^{(-\lambda_0)}(-\lambda) \sigma_1, \quad \lambda \in U(\lambda_0, \delta). \quad (5.76)$$

## 5.7 Small-norm RH problem

We define

$$R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} B(\lambda)P^{(-\lambda_0)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & |\lambda + \lambda_0| < \delta, \\ B(\lambda)P^{(\lambda_0)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \delta, \\ B(\lambda)P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & |\lambda \pm \lambda_0| > \delta. \end{cases} \quad (5.77)$$

Then  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the RH problem below.

**RH problem 10.** *The function  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the following properties.*

(1)  $R(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R$ , with  $\Sigma_R = \partial U(\lambda_0, \delta) \cup \partial U(\lambda_0, -\delta) \cup (\Sigma_B \setminus \{U(-\lambda_0, \delta) \cup U(\lambda_0, \delta)\})$ .

(2)  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$R_+(\lambda) = R_-(\lambda) J_R(\lambda), \quad J_R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} P^{(\pm\lambda_0)}(\lambda) P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & \lambda \in \partial U(\pm\lambda_0, \delta), \\ P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) J_B(\lambda) P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & \lambda \in \Sigma_B \setminus \{U(-\lambda_0, \delta) \cup U(\lambda_0, \delta)\}. \end{cases} \quad (5.78)$$

(3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (5.79)$$

Then, it follows from (5.44)-(5.46) and (5.67) that

$$J_R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{s(1+|\lambda|^2)}\right), \quad (5.80)$$

for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_R$ . Therefore,  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies a small-norm RH problem for large  $s$ . By standard arguments of small-norm RH problems [27, 21], we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{s(1+|\lambda|)}\right), \quad (5.81)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}R(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{s(1+|\lambda|^2)}\right), \quad (5.82)$$

uniformly for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R$  and  $x = \frac{2l}{\pi}\sqrt{s}$  with  $l$  in any compact subset of  $(0, 1)$ .

## 6 Large $s$ asymptotics of $Y$ as $\frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} \rightarrow 1$

### 6.1 Case: $Mx \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \frac{\pi}{2L}x$ with any constant $0 < M < \frac{\pi}{2}$

We consider in this section the case  $Mx \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \frac{\pi}{2L}x$ , with any constant  $0 < M < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $L \sim 1$  as given in (5.22). The first few transformations  $Y(\lambda) \rightarrow T(\lambda) \rightarrow A(\lambda) \rightarrow B(\lambda)$  are defined in the same way as those given in (5.1), (5.6) and (5.9), with the endpoint  $\lambda_0 = 0$  therein.

Similar to (5.10) and (5.11), the  $g$ -function is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  and satisfies

$$g_+(\lambda) - g_-(\lambda) - V(\lambda) + V(0) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$g(\lambda) = ix\sqrt{s}\lambda + O(1/\lambda), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.2)$$

#### 6.1.1 Properties for the $g$ -function and $\phi$ -function

We derive some useful properties for the  $g$ -function defined by (6.1) and (6.2) and the associated  $\phi$ -function.

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose  $g(\lambda)$  satisfies (6.1) and (6.2), we have*

$$g(\lambda) = i\lambda \left( x\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\zeta \right) + \frac{s}{\pi i} \int_0^\lambda \eta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)}{\zeta - \eta} d\zeta \right) d\eta, \quad (6.3)$$

$$g'(\lambda) = i \left( x\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\zeta \right) + \frac{s\lambda}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)}{\zeta - \lambda} d\zeta, \quad (6.4)$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  and the path of integration does not cross the real axis. Moreover, we have as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

$$g(\lambda) = ix\sqrt{s}\lambda + \frac{s}{\pi i\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^2 \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\zeta + O(1/\lambda^3). \quad (6.5)$$

*Proof.* From (5.20), (6.1) and (6.2), we have

$$g'_+(\lambda) - g'_-(\lambda) = 2s\lambda\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$g'(\lambda) = ix\sqrt{s} + O(1/\lambda^2), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.7)$$

By the Sokhotski-Plemelj formulas, we have (6.4). It is seen from (6.1) and (6.2) that

$$g(\lambda) = -g(-\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.8)$$

Therefore, integrating (6.4) gives us (6.3). From (1.5), (6.4) and (6.8), we obtain (6.5).  $\square$

It is noted that the the expression for  $g'$  in (6.4) is consistent with (5.29) when  $\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{x}{\sqrt{s}} = L$  and therefore  $\lambda_0 = 0$ , with  $L$  given in (5.22).

**Lemma 6.** *For large enough  $s$ , there exists some constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} g(\lambda) \leq -cs|\lambda|^3(|\lambda| + 1)^{-2}, \quad \arg \lambda = \frac{\pi}{2} \pm \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad (6.9)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} g(\lambda) \geq cs|\lambda|^3(|\lambda| + 1)^{-2}, \quad \arg \lambda = -\frac{\pi}{2} \pm \frac{\pi}{4}. \quad (6.10)$$

*Proof.* We evaluate the two terms in the expression (6.3) for  $g(\lambda)$  separately. To evaluate the first term in (6.3), we have from the definition of  $L$  (5.22) that

$$x\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\zeta = x\sqrt{s} - \frac{2s}{\pi} L. \quad (6.11)$$

Under the condition  $\sqrt{s} \leq \frac{\pi}{2L}x$  in this case we consider, we have

$$x\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\zeta \geq 0. \quad (6.12)$$

Therefore, we have for  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( x\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\zeta \right) i\lambda < 0. \quad (6.13)$$

We proceed to estimate the integral in (6.3). We denote the integral by

$$g_0(\lambda) = \frac{s}{\pi i} \int_0^\lambda \eta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)}{\zeta - \eta} d\zeta \right) d\eta = \frac{2s}{\pi i} \int_0^\lambda \left( \eta^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)}{\zeta^2 - \eta^2} d\zeta \right) d\eta. \quad (6.14)$$

Using integration by parts, we have

$$g'_0(\lambda) = -\frac{s\lambda}{\pi i} \int_0^{+\infty} \log \left( \frac{\lambda - \zeta}{\lambda + \zeta} \right) d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta), \quad (6.15)$$

where  $\arg(\lambda - \zeta) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . Therefore, we have

$$g_0(\lambda) = \frac{is}{\pi} \int_0^\lambda \int_0^{+\infty} \eta \log \left( \frac{\eta - \zeta}{\eta + \zeta} \right) d\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) d\eta, \quad (6.16)$$

where  $\arg(\eta \pm \zeta) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . By similar arguments in the estimate of (5.54), we have

$$\operatorname{Re} g_0(\lambda) \leq -cs|\lambda|^3(|\lambda| + 1)^{-2}, \quad (6.17)$$

where  $\arg \lambda = \frac{\pi}{2} \pm \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $c$  is some positive constant. Combining (6.13) with (6.17), we have (6.9). The estimate (6.10) follows from the symmetry relation (6.8) and (6.9).  $\square$

We define for  $\pm \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$

$$\phi_0(\lambda) = g_0(\lambda) \mp \frac{1}{2}(V(\lambda) - V(0)) = 2s \int_0^\lambda \eta \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)}{\zeta - \eta} d\zeta \mp \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\eta)}{2} \right) d\eta. \quad (6.18)$$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\phi_0(\lambda)$  be defined by (6.18), we have as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$*

$$\phi_0(\lambda) = c_3(s)\lambda^3(1 + O(\lambda)), \quad (6.19)$$

where the coefficient

$$c_3(s) = \frac{2i}{3\pi}s + O(1/s). \quad (6.20)$$

*Proof.* It is seen from (6.18) that  $\phi_0(\lambda)$  is analytic near the origin and  $\phi_0(0) = 0$ . To calculate the leading-order term in the small- $\lambda$  expansion of  $\phi_0(\lambda)$ , we consider the derivative of  $\phi_0(\lambda)$

$$\phi_0'(\lambda) = 2s\lambda \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda} - \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{2} \right), \quad (6.21)$$

for  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0$ . We rewrite the integral in the above equation as

$$I_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda} - \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda} - \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{2}, \quad (6.22)$$

where the integral path is along the real axis with infinitesimal semicircular centered at the origin  $\Gamma = (-\infty, -r] \cup \{re^{\pi i\theta} : \theta \in (-1, 0)\} \cup [r, +\infty)$ . From (1.5) and (6.22), we have

$$I_0(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I_0'(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^2}. \quad (6.23)$$

For small enough  $r > 0$ , we may replace  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)$  by the characteristic function on  $\Gamma \cap U(0, 1)$  in (6.23) and obtain  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$I_0'(0) = -\frac{1}{\pi i} + O(1/s^2). \quad (6.24)$$

Therefore, we arrive at the expansion (6.19) with (6.20). This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

### 6.1.2 Local parametrix near the origin

It is seen from (6.9), (6.10) and (5.52) (with  $\lambda_0 = 0$ ) that the jumps in (5.15) tend to the identity matrix exponentially fast for  $\lambda$  bounded away from the origin. The remaining task is to construct a local parametrix near the origin satisfying the same jump condition as  $B(\lambda)$ .

For the purpose, we define the following conformal mapping in  $U(0, \delta)$  with some constant  $0 < \delta < 1$ :

$$f_0(\lambda) = \left( -\frac{3}{4}\phi_0(\lambda)i \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = c_1\lambda(1 + O(\lambda)), \quad (6.25)$$

with

$$c_1 = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{3}}s^{\frac{1}{3}} + O(s^{-5/3}). \quad (6.26)$$

We also introduce

$$h(\lambda; x, s) = \left( x\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)d\zeta \right) \frac{\lambda}{f_0(\lambda)}. \quad (6.27)$$

Then,  $h(\lambda; x, s)$  is analytic for  $\lambda \in U(0, \delta)$ . We have from (5.22) and (6.26)

$$\tau(x, s) = h(0; x, s) = 4(2\pi)^{-\frac{2}{3}}s^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} - 1 \right) + O(s^{-4/3}), \quad (6.28)$$

and

$$\partial_x \tau(x, s) = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{c_1} = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{3}}s^{\frac{1}{6}} (1 + O(s^{-2})). \quad (6.29)$$

Moreover, we have the equality for  $|\lambda| = \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{4}{3}f_0(\lambda)^3 + ih(\lambda)f_0(\lambda) - \phi(\lambda) &= i\frac{4}{3}f_0(\lambda)^3 + ih(\lambda)f_0(\lambda) - \phi_0(\lambda) - i\left(x\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)d\zeta\right)\lambda \\ &= ih(\lambda)f_0(\lambda) - i\left(x\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)d\zeta\right)\lambda \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.30)$$




Figure 5: Jump contours for the RH problem for  $\Phi^{(PII)}$ .

The parametrix can be constructed as follows

$$P^{(0)}(\lambda) = \sigma_1 \Phi^{(PII)}(f_0(\lambda), h(\lambda)) \sigma_1 e^{-\phi(\lambda)\sigma_3}, \quad \lambda \in U(0, \delta). \quad (6.31)$$

Here  $\Phi^{(PII)}(\lambda, t) = \Phi^{(PII)}(\lambda)$  satisfies the following RH problem.

**RH problem 11.** The function  $\Phi^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda, t) = \Phi^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda)$ , satisfies the properties below.

- (1)  $\Phi^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\cup_{k=1}^4 \Sigma_k^{(\text{PII})}\}$ ; see Fig. 5.
- (2)  $\Phi^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$\Phi_+^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda) = \Phi_-^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda) \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_1^{(\text{PII})} \cup \Sigma_2^{(\text{PII})}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda \in \Sigma_3^{(\text{PII})} \cup \Sigma_4^{(\text{PII})}. \end{cases} \quad (6.32)$$

- (3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\Phi^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda) = \left( I + \frac{\Phi_1(t)}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) \right) \exp\left(-i\left(\frac{4}{3}\lambda^3 + t\lambda\right)\sigma_3\right), \quad (6.33)$$

where

$$\Phi_1(t) = -\frac{i}{2}H(t)\sigma_3 + \frac{1}{2}u(t)\sigma_2, \quad H = (u')^2 - u^4 - tu^2, \quad (6.34)$$

$$\text{and } \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark 6.** The solution to the above model RH problem can be constructed by using the  $\psi$ -functions associated with the second Painlevé equation [13]. The function  $u(t)$  appeared in (6.34) is the Hastings-McLeod solution of (2.11), which is exponentially small as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ; see [3, 6, 13, 14, 30]. The Hastings-McLeod solution  $u(t)$  is pole-free in the region  $\arg t \in [-\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}] \cup [\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3}]$ ; see [4, 32]. Therefore, the model RH problem for  $\Phi^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda, t)$  is solvable for  $t$  in this region. From the large- $t$  asymptotics of  $\Phi^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda; t)$  in [30, Chapter 11], we see that the expansion (6.33) is uniform for  $|\arg(t)| < \frac{\pi}{3} - \delta$ , with any constant  $0 < \delta < \frac{\pi}{3}$ .

From (6.25)-(6.27) and (6.12), there exists a sufficiently small constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\arg h(\lambda)| < \frac{\pi}{6}$  for  $|\lambda| < \delta$  and  $Mx \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \frac{\pi}{2L}x$ . According to Remark 6,  $h(\lambda)$  stays away from the poles of the Hastings-McLeod solution and therefore  $\Phi^{(\text{PII})}(f_0(\lambda), h(\lambda))$  exists for  $|\lambda| < \delta$ . Moreover, we see from (6.25), (6.31) and (6.32) that  $P^{(0)}(\lambda)$  satisfies the same jump condition as  $B(\lambda)$  in (5.18) with  $\lambda_0 = 0$  therein for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_B \cap U(0, \delta)$ .

### 6.1.3 Small-norm RH problem

We define

$$R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} B(\lambda)P^{(0)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & |\lambda| < \delta, \\ B(\lambda), & |\lambda| > \delta. \end{cases} \quad (6.35)$$

Then  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the RH problem below.

**RH problem 12.** The function  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the following properties.

- (1)  $R(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R$ , with  $\Sigma_R = \partial U(0, \delta) \cup (\Sigma_B \setminus U(0, \delta))$ .

(2)  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$R_+(\lambda) = R_-(\lambda)J_R(\lambda), \quad J_R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} P^{(0)}(\lambda), & \lambda \in \partial U(0, \delta), \\ J_B(\lambda) & \lambda \in \Sigma_B \setminus U(0, \delta). \end{cases} \quad (6.36)$$

(3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (6.37)$$

From the estimates (6.9) and (6.10), we see that the jump  $J_R(\lambda) = J_B(\lambda)$  tends to the identity matrix exponentially fast for  $|\lambda| > \delta$ . We have from (6.25), (6.30) and (6.33) that

$$J_R(\lambda) = I + \frac{\sigma_1 \Phi_1(h(\lambda)) \sigma_1}{f_0(\lambda)} + O(s^{-2/3}), \quad (6.38)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $|\lambda| = \delta$  and  $Mx \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \frac{\pi}{2L}x$  with any constant  $0 < M < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $L$  defined in (5.22). It follows from (2.12) and (6.25) that the second term is of order  $s^{-1/3}$ . Therefore,  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies a small-norm RH problem for large  $s$ . As a consequence, we have from (6.25), (6.26), (6.28) and (6.38) for  $|\lambda| > \delta$

$$R(\lambda) = I + \frac{\sigma_1 \Phi_1(\tau(x, s)) \sigma_1}{(2\pi)^{-1/3} s^{1/3} \lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2/3} \lambda}\right), \quad (6.39)$$

where  $\Phi_1$  and  $\tau(x, s)$  are given in (6.34) and (6.28), respectively. The error term is uniform for  $|\lambda| > \delta$  and  $Mx \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \frac{\pi}{2L}x$  with any constant  $0 < M < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $L$  given in (5.22).

## 6.2 Case: $s^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} - L \right) \in [-M, 0]$ with any constant $M > 0$

In this section, we consider the large  $s$  asymptotics of  $Y(\lambda)$  for  $s^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} - L \right) \in [-M, 0]$  with any constant  $M > 0$  and  $L \sim 1$  given in (5.22). The first few transformations  $Y(\lambda) \rightarrow T(\lambda) \rightarrow A(\lambda) \rightarrow B(\lambda)$  are the same as those given in (5.1), (5.6) and (5.9).

From the estimates (5.45)-(5.46), we see that the jumps (5.18) tend to the identity matrix exponentially fast for  $\lambda$  bounded away from the origin. Therefore, the global parametrix can be taken as the identity matrix. It is seen from (5.23) that the two soft edges  $\lambda_0$  and  $-\lambda_0$  merge together at the origin as  $\frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}} \rightarrow 1$ . We will construct a local parametrix near the origin by using the  $\psi$ -functions associated with the Hastings-McLeod solution of the second Painlevé equation in the following subsection.

### 6.2.1 Local parametrix near the origin

Using (5.37), we introduce

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \left( -i \frac{3}{4} \phi(\lambda) \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (6.40)$$

where the branch is chosen such that

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) \sim \hat{c}_1 (\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \pm \lambda_0, \quad (6.41)$$

with

$$\hat{c}_1 = \hat{c}_1(x, s) = \frac{s^{\frac{2}{3}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{2}{3}}(1 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} + O(s^{-4/3}). \quad (6.42)$$

We then define the following conformal mapping near the origin

$$\rho(\lambda) = (\hat{f}(\lambda) - \hat{f}(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \hat{c}_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda, \quad (6.43)$$

where  $\arg(\hat{f}(\lambda) - \hat{f}(0)) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . We also denote

$$\hat{\tau}(x, s) = 2\hat{f}(0) = -\frac{2s^{\frac{2}{3}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{2}{3}}(1 - \lambda_0^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \lambda_0^2 + O(s^{-4/3}) = -4(2\pi)^{-\frac{2}{3}} s^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(1 - \frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{s}}\right) + O(s^{-2/3}). \quad (6.44)$$

For later use, we derive the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{4}{3}\rho(\lambda)^3 + i\hat{\tau}(x, s)\rho(\lambda) - \phi(\lambda) &= \frac{4i\hat{f}(0)^2}{3\rho(\lambda)} \left( -\left(\frac{\hat{f}(\lambda)}{\hat{f}(0)} - 1\right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\hat{f}(\lambda)}{\hat{f}(0)} - 1\right) - \frac{\hat{f}(\lambda)^2}{\hat{f}(0)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\hat{f}(0)}{\hat{f}(\lambda)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{i}{2}\hat{f}(0)^2/\rho(\lambda) (1 + O(\lambda_0^2)), \end{aligned} \quad (6.45)$$

for  $|\lambda| = \delta$  with some constant  $\delta > 0$ .




Figure 6: Jump contours for the RH problem for  $\hat{\Phi}^{(PII)}$ .

The parametrix can be constructed for  $\lambda \in U(0, \delta)$

$$\hat{P}^{(0)}(\lambda) = \sigma_1 \hat{\Phi}^{(PII)}(\rho(\lambda), \hat{\tau}(x, s); \rho(\lambda_0)) \sigma_1 e^{-\phi(\lambda)\sigma_3}. \quad (6.46)$$

Here  $\hat{\Phi}^{(PII)}(\lambda, t; c_0) = \hat{\Phi}^{(PII)}(\lambda)$  satisfies the following RH problem.

**RH problem 13.** *The function  $\hat{\Phi}^{(PII)}(\lambda, t; c_0) = \hat{\Phi}^{(PII)}(\lambda)$ , satisfies the properties below.*



(1)  $\widehat{\Phi}^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\cup_{k=1}^4 \widehat{\Sigma}_k^{(\text{PII})} \cup (-c_0, c_0)\}$ ; see Fig. 6.

(2)  $\widehat{\Phi}^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$\widehat{\Phi}_+^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda) = \widehat{\Phi}_-^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda) \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda \in \widehat{\Sigma}_1^{(\text{PII})} \cup \widehat{\Sigma}_2^{(\text{PII})}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda \in \widehat{\Sigma}_3^{(\text{PII})} \cup \widehat{\Sigma}_4^{(\text{PII})}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \lambda \in (-c_0, c_0). \end{cases} \quad (6.47)$$

(3) The large- $\lambda$  asymptotic of  $\widehat{\Phi}^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda)$  is given by (6.33).

The above RH problem is equivalent to the one for  $\Phi^{(\text{PII})}(\lambda)$  after some elementary transformation by deforming the original jump contours in (6.32) to those in (6.47); see Figs. 5 and 6. Since  $\hat{\tau}(x, s)$  is real and the Hastings-McLeod solution is pole free on the real axis, the parametrix  $\widehat{P}^{(0)}(\lambda)$  in (6.46) exists for  $|\lambda| < \delta$ . Moreover, it is seen from (6.40), (6.46) and (6.47) that  $\widehat{P}^{(0)}(\lambda)$  satisfies the same jump condition as  $B(\lambda)$  in (5.18) for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_B \cap U(0, \delta)$ .

## 6.2.2 Small-norm RH problem

We define

$$R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} B(\lambda)\widehat{P}^{(0)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & |\lambda| < \delta, \\ B(\lambda), & |\lambda| > \delta, \end{cases} \quad (6.48)$$

where  $\widehat{P}^{(0)}(\lambda)$  is defined in (6.46). Then,  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies RH problem 12. It is seen from the estimates (5.45)-(5.46) that the jumps (5.18) tend to the identity matrix exponentially fast for  $|\lambda| > \delta$ . Using (6.45), (6.46), (6.33) and (6.48), we have the asymptotic expansion as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$J_R(\lambda) = I + J_1(\lambda) + O(s^{-2/3}), \quad (6.49)$$

where

$$J_1(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_1 \Phi_1 \sigma_1 - \frac{i}{2} \hat{f}(0)^2 \sigma_3}{\rho(\lambda)} = O(s^{-1/3}), \quad |\lambda| = \delta. \quad (6.50)$$

Here the error term is uniform for  $|\lambda| = \delta$  and  $s^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{x}{\sqrt{s}} - L \right) \in [-M, 0]$  with any constant  $M > 0$ . Therefore,  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies a small-norm RH problem for large  $s$ . According to the theory of RH problems [27, 21], we have from (6.43), (6.49) and (6.50) that

$$R(\lambda) = I + \frac{R_1}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{s^{2/3}\lambda}\right), \quad |\lambda| > \delta, \quad (6.51)$$

where

$$R_1 = \left( \frac{i}{2} (H(\hat{\tau}) - \hat{f}(0)^2) \sigma_3 - \frac{1}{2} u(\hat{\tau}) \sigma_2 \right) \hat{c}_1^{-1/2}, \quad (6.52)$$

with  $\hat{c}_1$  and  $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}(x, s)$  defined in (6.42) and (6.44). Therefore, we have

$$(R_1)_{11} = \frac{i}{2} (2\pi)^{1/3} (H(\hat{\tau}) - \frac{\hat{\tau}^2}{4}) s^{-\frac{1}{3}} + O(s^{-\frac{2}{3}}), \quad (6.53)$$

where  $\hat{\tau}$  is given in (6.44) and the error term is uniform for  $s^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{x}{\sqrt{s}} - L \right) \in [-M, 0]$  with any constant  $M > 0$  and  $L$  defined in (5.22).

## 7 Large $s$ asymptotics of $Y$ for $x\sqrt{s}$ bounded

In this section, we consider the asymptotics of  $Y(\lambda)$  as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $x \rightarrow 0$  in a way such that  $t = \sqrt{s}x$  is bounded.

The first transformation  $Y(\lambda) \rightarrow T(\lambda)$  is defined the same as (5.1). As  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \rightarrow \chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda)$ . Replacing  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)$  by  $\chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda)$ , we arrive at the following approximate RH problem.

### 7.1 Global parametrix

**RH problem 14.** *The function  $\Phi^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda, t) = \Phi^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda)$  satisfies the properties below.*

- (1)  $\Phi^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ .
- (2)  $\Phi^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$\Phi_+^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda) = \Phi_-^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.1)$$

for  $\lambda \in (-1, 1)$ .

- (3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\Phi^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda) = \left( I + \frac{\Phi_1^{(\text{PV})}(t)}{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) \right) e^{it\lambda\sigma_3}. \quad (7.2)$$

- (4) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \pm 1$ , we have

$$\Phi^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda) = E^{(\pm 1)}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.3)$$

where  $E^{(\pm 1)}(\lambda)$  is analytic near  $\lambda = \pm 1$  and the principal branch is chosen for the logarithm.

**Remark 7.** *The above model RH problem is corresponding to the one for the classical sine-kernel determinant; see RH problem 1 with  $\sigma$  replaced by  $\chi_{(-1,1)}$  and also [1, Chapter 9]. The solution to the above model RH problem can be constructed by using the  $\psi$ -functions associated with the fifth Painlevé equation. Let  $v(t) = -2it(\Phi_1^{(\text{PV})})_{11}(t)$ , with  $\Phi_1^{(\text{PV})}(t)$  given in (7.2), then  $v(t)$  is a smooth solution of the  $\sigma$ -form of the fifth Painlevé equation (2.15) for  $t \in (0, +\infty)$  with the boundary conditions (2.16); see [1, Chapter 9].*

### 7.2 Small-norm RH problem

We define

$$R(\lambda) = T(\lambda) \begin{cases} \Phi^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda, \sqrt{s}x)^{-1}, & |\lambda \pm 1| > \delta, \\ \left( E^{(\pm 1)}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)}{\zeta - \lambda} d\zeta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1}, & |\lambda \mp 1| < \delta. \end{cases} \quad (7.4)$$

From (5.2), (5.3) and (7.1)-(7.4), we see that  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the following RH problem.

**RH problem 15.** *The function  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the following properties.*

(1)  $R(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R$ , with  $\Sigma_R = \partial U(-1, \delta) \cup \partial U(1, \delta) \cup (-\infty, -1 - \delta) \cup (-1 + \delta, 1 - \delta) \cup (1 + \delta, +\infty)$ .

(2)  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies the jump condition

$$R_+(\lambda) = R_-(\lambda)J_R(\lambda), \quad (7.5)$$

where

$$J_R(\lambda) = \begin{cases} E^{(\pm 1)}(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\widehat{\sigma}(\zeta)}{\zeta - \lambda} d\zeta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} E^{(\pm 1)}(\lambda)^{-1}, & |\lambda \mp 1| = \delta, \\ \Phi_-^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda, \sqrt{s}x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) & \widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) \\ -\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) & 1 + \widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \Phi_-^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda, \sqrt{s}x)^{-1}, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, |\lambda \pm 1| > \delta, \end{cases} \quad (7.6)$$

and

$$\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) = \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) - \chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda). \quad (7.7)$$

(3) As  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (7.8)$$

From (1.5) and (7.7), we have

$$\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(s(\lambda^2 - 1))}, \quad \lambda \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, +\infty), \quad (7.9)$$

and

$$\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{1 + \exp(s(1 - \lambda^2))}, \quad \lambda \in (-1, 1). \quad (7.10)$$

Therefore, we have

$$|\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda)| \leq \exp(-s|\lambda^2 - 1|), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (7.11)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\widehat{\sigma}(\zeta)}{\zeta - \lambda} d\zeta = O(1/s^2), \quad (7.12)$$

uniformly for  $|\lambda \pm 1| = \delta$ . From (7.2) and (7.3), we see that  $\Phi_-^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda, \sqrt{s}x)$  and its inverse are bounded uniformly for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\lambda \pm 1| > \delta$  and  $\sqrt{s}x \in [0, M]$  with any constant  $M > 0$ . This, together with (7.11), implies that there exists some positive constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$J_R(\lambda) = I + O(\exp(-cs|\lambda^2 - 1|)), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\lambda \pm 1| > \delta. \quad (7.13)$$

From (7.12) and the fact that  $E^{(\pm 1)}(\lambda)$  is analytic in  $\lambda$ , we have

$$J_R(\lambda) = I + O(1/s^2), \quad (7.14)$$

uniformly for  $|\lambda \pm 1| = \delta$ . Thus,  $R(\lambda)$  satisfies a small-norm RH problem for large  $s$ . According to the theory of RH problems [27, 21], we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$R(\lambda) = I + O\left(\frac{1}{s^2\lambda}\right), \quad (7.15)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R$  and  $\sqrt{s}x \in [0, M]$  with any constant  $M > 0$ .

## 8 Proof of Theorems

### 8.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Tracing back the transformations (4.2) and (4.15), we have

$$Y_+(x\lambda) = R(\lambda)N_+(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2ix^2\lambda} \frac{\sigma(x\lambda)}{1-\sigma(x\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.1)$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . From (3.1), we have for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$Y_+(\lambda)\mathbf{f}(\lambda) = R(\lambda/x)N_+(\lambda/x) \begin{pmatrix} e^{ix\lambda} \frac{1}{1-\sigma(\lambda)} \\ e^{-ix\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.2)$$

and

$$\mathbf{h}(\lambda)^t Y_+(\lambda)^{-1} = \frac{\sigma(\lambda)}{2\pi i} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ix\lambda} & -e^{ix\lambda} \frac{1}{1-\sigma(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} N_+(\lambda/x)^{-1} R(\lambda/x)^{-1}. \quad (8.3)$$

Substituting (8.2) and (8.3) into (3.6) and using (4.20), (4.21), (4.31) and (4.32), we obtain as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\partial_s \log D(x, s) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{2ix}{1-\sigma(\lambda)} + \frac{d}{d\lambda} \frac{1}{1-\sigma(\lambda)} + \frac{2}{1-\sigma(\lambda)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log d_+(\lambda/x) \right) \partial_s \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda + \mathcal{E}(x, s), \quad (8.4)$$

where the error term  $\mathcal{E}(x, s) = O(e^{-(x^2-s)})$  uniformly for  $s \in (-\infty, c_1 x^2]$  with any constant  $c_1 < 1$  and  $\mathcal{E}(x, s) = O(e^{-cx^2})$  uniformly for  $s \in [c_1 x^2, c_2 x^2]$  with any constant  $c_2 < \frac{\pi^2}{4}$ . Here  $c$  is some positive constant.

We proceed to evaluate the integral in (8.4). Since  $\sigma(\lambda)$  is an even function in  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_s \sigma(\lambda) \frac{d}{d\lambda} \frac{1}{1-\sigma(\lambda)} d\lambda = 0. \quad (8.5)$$

From (1.5), we have

$$\partial_s \sigma(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)^2 \exp(\lambda^2 - s), \quad (8.6)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \sigma(\lambda) = -2\lambda \sigma(\lambda)^2 \exp(\lambda^2 - s), \quad (8.7)$$

and

$$1 - \sigma(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda) \exp(\lambda^2 - s). \quad (8.8)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_s \sigma(\lambda)}{1-\sigma(\lambda)} d\lambda = -\frac{d}{ds} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1-\sigma(\zeta)) d\zeta = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (8.9)$$

From (4.13), we have

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \log d_+(\lambda/x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta \sigma(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda_+}, \quad (8.10)$$

for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . By (8.6), (8.8) and (8.10), we have

$$-\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_s \sigma(\lambda)}{1 - \sigma(\lambda)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln d(\lambda/x) d\lambda = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\zeta) \frac{\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda_+} d\zeta \right) \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (8.11)$$

Next, we calculate the double integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\zeta) \frac{\zeta}{\zeta - \lambda_+} d\zeta \right) \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\zeta \sigma(\zeta) \sigma(\lambda)}{\zeta - \lambda - i\epsilon} d\lambda d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\zeta \sigma(\zeta) \sigma(\lambda)}{\zeta - \lambda - i\epsilon} + \frac{\lambda \sigma(\lambda) \sigma(\zeta)}{\lambda - \zeta - i\epsilon} d\lambda d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8.12)$$

In the second line of the above equation, we use the change of variables  $(\lambda, \zeta) \rightarrow (\zeta, \lambda)$  in the double integral. From (8.11) and (8.12), we have

$$-\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial_s \sigma(\lambda)}{1 - \sigma(\lambda)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln d(\lambda/x) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \right)^2. \quad (8.13)$$

Substituting (8.5), (8.9) and (8.13) into (8.4), we obtain as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\partial_s \log D(x, s) = \frac{x}{\pi} \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln(1 - \sigma(\zeta)) d\zeta + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \right)^2 + \mathcal{E}(x, s), \quad (8.14)$$

where the error term  $\mathcal{E}(x, s) = O\left(e^{-(x^2-s)}\right)$  uniformly for  $s \in (-\infty, c_1 x^2]$  with any constant  $c_1 < 1$  and  $\mathcal{E}(x, s) = O\left(e^{-cx^2}\right)$  with some constant  $c > 0$  uniformly for  $s \in [c_1 x^2, c_2 x^2]$ ,  $c_2 < \frac{\pi^2}{4}$ . Therefore, we have as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\int_{-\infty}^s \mathcal{E}(x, \tau) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{c_1 x^2} \mathcal{E}(x, \tau) d\tau + \int_{c_1 x^2}^s \mathcal{E}(x, \tau) d\tau = O\left(x^2 e^{-cx^2}\right), \quad (8.15)$$

uniformly for  $s \in (-\infty, c_2 x^2]$ . It follows from (1.5) that  $\sigma(\lambda) = O(e^{-(\lambda^2+|s|)})$  as  $s \rightarrow -\infty$ . Hence, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \log D(x, s) = 0. \quad (8.16)$$

Using integration by parts, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1 - \sigma(\zeta)) d\zeta = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\zeta) \zeta^2 d\zeta. \quad (8.17)$$

Integrating both sides of (8.14) with respect to  $s$  over the interval  $(-\infty, s]$  and using (8.15)-(8.17), we obtain (2.1) and complete the proof of Theorem 1.

## 8.2 Proof of Theorem 2

Tracing back the series of invertible transformations  $Y(\lambda) \rightarrow T(\lambda) \rightarrow A(\lambda) \rightarrow B(\lambda) \rightarrow R(\lambda)$  given in (5.1), (5.6), (5.9) and (5.77), we have

$$Y(\sqrt{s}\lambda) = (1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0))^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} R(\lambda) P^{(\infty)}(\lambda) e^{(g(\lambda) - ix\sqrt{s}\lambda)\sigma_3} (1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0))^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -e^{2ix\sqrt{s}\lambda} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.18)$$

where  $\lambda \in \Omega_2$  and  $|\lambda \pm \lambda_0| > \delta$ ,  $g(\lambda)$  and  $P^{(\infty)}(\lambda)$  are given in (5.14) and (5.65), respectively. Substituting (5.30), (5.31), (5.66), (5.81) into (8.18), we obtain the expansion as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

$$Y(\sqrt{s}\lambda) = (1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0))^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \left( I + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( P_1^{(\infty)} + g_1\sigma_3 + O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) \right) (1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0))^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}, \quad (8.19)$$

where  $g_1$  and  $P_1^{(\infty)}$  are given in (5.31) and (5.66). From (3.5) and (8.19), we obtain

$$\partial_x \log D(x, s) = -2i\sqrt{s}(g_1 + O(1/s)), \quad (8.20)$$

where  $g_1$  is defined in (5.31). From (5.23), (5.31) and (8.20), we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\partial_x \log D(x, s) = -xs + \frac{\pi^2}{12}x^3 + O(s^{-1/2}), \quad (8.21)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{x}{\sqrt{s}}$  in any compact subset of  $(0, 1)$ .

We proceed to derive the asymptotics of  $\partial_s \log D(x, s)$ . From (3.1) and (5.6), we have

$$(\mathbf{h}^t Y^{-1}(Y\mathbf{f})')(\sqrt{s}\lambda) = -\frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{2\pi i \sqrt{s}} (A^{-1}A')_{12}(\lambda), \quad (8.22)$$

where  $\lambda \in \Omega_2$  and  $'$  denotes the derivative with respect to  $\lambda$ . Substituting (5.9) into (8.22) gives

$$\mathbf{h}^t Y_+^{-1}(Y_+\mathbf{f})'(\sqrt{s}\lambda) = -\frac{\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)}{2\pi i \sqrt{s}(1 - \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda_0))} e^{-2g(\lambda)} (B^{-1}B')_{21}(\lambda), \quad (8.23)$$

for  $\lambda \in \Omega_2$ . From (3.6), (5.9), (8.6), (8.8) and (8.23) we obtain

$$\partial_s \log D(x, s) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\hat{B}_+^{-1} \hat{B}'_+)_{12}(\lambda) e^{-2\phi_+(\lambda)} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (8.24)$$

Here  $\hat{B}(\lambda)$  is the analytic extension of  $B(\lambda)$  from  $\Omega_2$ . From (5.18), we have  $\hat{B}_+(\lambda) = B_+(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in (-\lambda_0, \lambda_0)$  and

$$\hat{B}_+(\lambda) = B_+(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2\phi_+(\lambda)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.25)$$

for  $\lambda \in (-\infty, -\lambda_0) \cup (\lambda_0, \infty)$ . We split the integral in (8.24) into three parts

$$\partial_s \log D(x, s) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \quad (8.26)$$

where

$$I_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{D_j \cup (-D_j)} (\hat{B}_+^{-1} \hat{B}'_+)_{12}(\lambda) e^{-2\phi_+(\lambda)} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (8.27)$$

with

$$D_1 = (0, \lambda_0 - \delta), \quad D_2 = (\lambda_0 + \delta, +\infty), \quad D_3 = (\lambda_0 - \delta, \lambda_0 + \delta). \quad (8.28)$$

We compute the asymptotics of the integrals  $I_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  defined in (8.27). From (5.44), we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$I_1 = O(e^{-cs}), \quad (8.29)$$

for some constant  $c > 0$ . We proceed to estimate  $I_2$ . From (5.65), (5.81), (5.82) and (8.25), we have

$$(\hat{B}_+^{-1} \hat{B}'_+)_{12}(\lambda) e^{-2\phi_+(\lambda)} = 2\phi'_+(\lambda) + \frac{i\lambda_0}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2} \cos(2i\phi_+(\lambda)) + O\left(\frac{1}{s(1 + \lambda^2)}\right), \quad (8.30)$$

where  $\lambda \in (-\infty, -\lambda_0 - \delta) \cup (\lambda_0 + \delta, \infty)$ . Therefore, we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$I_2 = I_{2,1} + I_{2,2} + O(1/s), \quad (8.31)$$

where

$$I_{2,1} = -\frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi} \int_{D_2 \cup (-D_2)} \cos(2i\phi_+(\lambda)) \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}, \quad (8.32)$$

and

$$I_{2,2} = -\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{D_2 \cup (-D_2)} \phi'_+(\lambda) \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (8.33)$$

with  $D_2$  defined in (8.28). It is seen from (5.14) and (5.17) that  $i\phi_+(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $\lambda \in D_2 \cup (-D_2)$ . Therefore, we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$I_{2,1} = O(1). \quad (8.34)$$

Noting that  $\phi'_+(\lambda) = \phi'_+(-\lambda)$ , we have

$$I_{2,2} = -\frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0 + \delta}^{+\infty} \phi'_+(\lambda) \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (8.35)$$

This, together with (8.34), implies

$$I_2 = -\frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0 + \delta}^{+\infty} \phi'_+(\lambda) \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda + O(1), \quad (8.36)$$

as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Next, we estimate the integral  $I_3$ . From (5.68), (5.70), (5.74), (5.81) and (5.82), we have for  $\lambda \in (-\lambda_0 - \delta, -\lambda_0 + \delta)$

$$(\hat{B}_+^{-1} \hat{B}'_+)_{12}(\lambda) e^{-2\phi_+(\lambda)} = f'(\lambda) ((\Phi_+^{(\text{Ai})})^{-1} (\Phi_+^{(\text{Ai})})')_{12}(f(\lambda)) + O(1), \quad (8.37)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} ((\Phi_+^{(\text{Ai})})^{-1} (\Phi_+^{(\text{Ai})})')_{12}(z) = K_{\text{Ai}}(z, z) = \text{Ai}'(z)^2 - z \text{Ai}(z)^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.38)$$

Using the asymptotic behaviors of  $\text{Ai}(z)$  and  $\text{Ai}'(z)$  [40, Equations (9.7.5), (9.7.6), (9.7.9) and (9.7.10)], we see that

$$K_{\text{Ai}}(z, z) = O\left(z^{1/2} e^{-\frac{4}{3}z^{3/2}}\right), \quad z \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (8.39)$$

and

$$K_{Ai}(z, z) = \frac{1}{\pi}|z|^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(z^{-5/2}), \quad z \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (8.40)$$

Therefore, we have from (8.37)-(8.40) and (5.68) that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\lambda_0-\delta}^{-\lambda_0+\delta} (\hat{B}_+^{-1} \hat{B}'_+)_{12}(\lambda) e^{-2\phi_+(\lambda)} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\lambda_0-\delta}^{-\lambda_0} \phi'_+(\lambda) \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda + O(1). \quad (8.41)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0-\delta}^{\lambda_0+\delta} (\hat{B}_+^{-1} \hat{B}'_+)_{12}(\lambda) e^{-2g_+(\lambda)+V(\lambda)-V(\lambda_0)} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0+\delta} \phi'_+(\lambda) \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda + O(1). \quad (8.42)$$

From (8.27), (8.41), (8.42) and the fact that  $\phi'_+(\lambda)$  and  $\sigma(\lambda)$  are even functions for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$I_3 = -\frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0+\delta} \phi'_+(\lambda) \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda + O(1). \quad (8.43)$$

Combining (8.36) with (8.43), we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$I_2 + I_3 = -\frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \phi'_+(\lambda) \sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda) d\lambda + O(1). \quad (8.44)$$

From (5.62) and (7.11), we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \phi'_+(\lambda) \hat{\sigma}(\lambda) d\lambda = O(1), \quad (8.45)$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}(\lambda)$  is defined in (7.7). Replacing  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\lambda)$  by  $\chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda)$  in (8.44), we have

$$I_2 + I_3 = -\frac{2}{\pi i} \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \phi'_+(\lambda) d\lambda + O(1), \quad (8.46)$$

as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We proceed to evaluate the integral in (8.46). From (5.17), (5.20) and (5.34), we have the expression of  $\phi_+(\lambda)$  in terms of the following Cauchy principal value integral

$$\phi'_+(\lambda) = \frac{ix\sqrt{s}\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \left( 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{s}}{\pi x} P.V. \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2} \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{\zeta^2 - \lambda^2} \right), \quad (8.47)$$

for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ . Substituting this into (8.46) and changing the order of integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \phi'_+(\lambda) d\lambda &= ix\sqrt{s} \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{2is}{\pi} \int_{\lambda_0}^{+\infty} \zeta \sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta) \sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2} \left( P.V. \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \zeta^2} \right) d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (8.48)$$



Using (5.41), we have for  $\zeta > \lambda_0$

$$P.V. \int_{\lambda_0}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{\lambda^2 - \zeta^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \log \left( \frac{|\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2} - \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}|}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - \lambda_0^2} + \sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2}} \right). \quad (8.49)$$

Substituting this into (8.48) and replacing  $\sigma(\sqrt{s}\zeta)$  by  $\chi_{(-1,1)}(\zeta)$ , we obtain as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$I_2 + I_3 = -\frac{2}{\pi i} \left( ix\sqrt{s}\sqrt{1 - \lambda_0^2} - \frac{is}{\pi}(1 - \lambda_0^2) \right) + O(1). \quad (8.50)$$

Substituting the estimates (8.29) and (8.50) into (8.26) and using (5.23), we obtain as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\partial_s \log D(x, s) = -\frac{x^2}{2} + O(1), \quad (8.51)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $\frac{x}{\sqrt{s}} \in [M_1, M_2]$  with any constant  $0 < M_1 < M_2 < \frac{2}{\pi}$ .

From (8.21) and (8.51), we obtain as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \log D(c\sqrt{s}, s) = -c^2 s + \frac{\pi^2}{12} c^4 s + O(1), \quad (8.52)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $c \in [M_1, M_2]$ . Integrating the above equation gives us the following asymptotic expansion as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\log D(x, s) = -\frac{sx^2}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{24} \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{s}} \right)^2 \right) + O(s), \quad (8.53)$$

where  $x = c\sqrt{s}$  and the error term is uniform for  $c \in [M_1, M_2]$ . Therefore, we have (2.8) and complete the proof of Theorem 2.

### 8.3 Proof of Theorem 3

Tracing back the transformations (5.1), (5.6), (5.9) and using the differential identity (3.5), we have

$$\partial_x \log D(x, s) = -2i\sqrt{s}((R_1)_{11} + g_1), \quad (8.54)$$

where  $g_1$  and  $R_1$  are the coefficients of  $1/\lambda$  in the large- $\lambda$  expansions of  $g(\lambda)$  and  $R(\lambda)$ , respectively. Therefore, we have from (6.5) and (6.39)

$$\partial_x \log D(x, s) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda) \lambda^2 d\lambda + (2\pi)^{1/3} s^{1/6} H(\tau(x, s)) + O(s^{-2/3}), \quad (8.55)$$

where  $\tau(x, s)$  is given in (6.28) and the error term is uniform for  $Mx \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \frac{\pi}{2L}x$  with any constant  $0 < M < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $L$  given in (5.22). Using the initial value (2.1), (6.29) and the fact that  $H(\tau)$  is exponentially small as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain after integrating (8.55) with respect to  $x$

$$\log D(x, s) = -\frac{2x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda) \lambda^2 d\lambda + c_0(s) + \int_{+\infty}^{\tau(x, s)} H(\xi) d\xi + O(s^{-1/6}), \quad (8.56)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $Mx \leq \sqrt{s} \leq \frac{\pi}{2L}x$  with any constant  $0 < M < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Next, we consider the regime  $x = \frac{2L}{\pi}s^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{y}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{3}}}s^{-\frac{1}{6}}$  for  $y \in [M', 0]$  with any constant  $M' < 0$  and  $L$  defined in (5.22). From (5.23) and (5.31), we have

$$g_1 = -\frac{2i}{3\pi}s + \frac{is}{\pi}\left(1 - \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{x}{\sqrt{s}}\right)^2 + O(1/s). \quad (8.57)$$

Therefore, we have from (6.53), (8.54) and (8.57) that

$$\partial_x \log D(x, s) = -\frac{4}{3\pi}s^{\frac{3}{2}} + (2\pi)^{1/3}s^{\frac{1}{6}}H(\hat{\tau}) + O(s^{-1/2}), \quad (8.58)$$

where  $\hat{\tau}(x)$  is defined in (6.44). Integrating the above equation with respect to  $x$  from  $\frac{2L}{\pi}s^{\frac{1}{2}}$  to  $\frac{2L}{\pi}s^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{y}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{3}}}s^{-\frac{1}{6}}$  and using the asymptotics given in (8.56), we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\log D(x, s) = -\frac{2x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(\lambda)\lambda^2 d\lambda + c_0(s) + \int_{+\infty}^y H(\xi)d\xi + O(s^{-1/6}), \quad (8.59)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $y \in [M', 0]$  with any constant  $M' < 0$ . Combining (8.56) with (8.59) and using the fact that  $H' = -u^2$  and (5.22), we obtain (2.13). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

#### 8.4 Proof of Theorem 4

From (5.1), (7.4) and (7.15), we have as  $s \rightarrow +\infty$

$$Y(\sqrt{s}\lambda) = \left(I + O\left(\frac{1}{s^2\lambda}\right)\right) \Phi^{(\text{PV})}(\lambda, \sqrt{s}x)e^{-i\sqrt{s}x\lambda\sigma_3}, \quad (8.60)$$

where the error term is uniform for  $|\lambda| > 1 + \delta$  and  $\sqrt{s}x \in [0, M]$  with any constant  $M > 0$ . From the differential identity (3.5), (7.2), (8.60) and Remark 7, we have

$$\partial_x \log D(x, s) = \frac{v(\sqrt{s}x)}{x} + O(1/s^2), \quad (8.61)$$

where  $v(\tau)$  is the solution of the  $\sigma$ -form of the fifth Painlevé equation (2.15) with the boundary conditions (2.16). From (2.16), the function  $\frac{v(\tau)}{\tau}$  is integrable on  $[0, \sqrt{s}x]$ . Integrating (8.61) with respect to  $x$  and using  $D(0, s) = 1$ , we obtain (2.17). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

## Acknowledgements

The work of Shuai-Xia Xu was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 12371257 and 11971492, and by Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Grant No. 2022B1515020063).

## References

- [1] G. Akemann, J. Baik and P. Di Francesco, *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011.
- [2] G. Amir, I. Corwin and J. Quastel, Probability distribution of the free energy of the continuum directed random polymer in  $1 + 1$  dimensions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **64** (4), 466–537(2011).
- [3] J. Baik, P. Deift and K. Johansson, On the distribution of the length of the longest increasing subsequence of random permutations, *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, **12**, 1119–1178 (1999).
- [4] M. Bertola, On the location of poles for the Ablowitz-Segur family of solutions to the second Painlevé equation, *Nonlinearity*, **25**, 1179–1185 (2012).
- [5] E. Blackstone, C. Charlier and J. Lenells, The Bessel kernel determinant on large intervals and Birkhoff’s ergodic theorem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **76**, no. 11, 3300–3345(2023).
- [6] P. Bleher and A. Its, Double scaling limit in the random matrix model: the Riemann-Hilbert approach, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **56**, 433–516 (2003).
- [7] M. Cafasso and T. Claeys, A Riemann-Hilbert approach to the lower tail of the KPZ equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **75** (3), 493–540 (2022).
- [8] M. Cafasso, T. Claeys, G. Ruzza, Airy kernel determinant solutions to the KdV equation and integro-differential Painlevé equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **386** (2), 1107–1153 (2021).
- [9] C. Charlier, Large gap asymptotics for the generating function of the sine point process, *P. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **123**, 103–152 (2021).
- [10] C. Charlier and T. Claeys, Large gap asymptotics for Airy kernel determinants with discontinuities, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **375**, 1299–1339 (2020).
- [11] C. Charlier, T. Claeys and G. Ruzza, Uniform tail asymptotics for Airy kernel determinant solutions to KdV and for the narrow wedge solution to KPZ, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **283**, no.8, Paper No. 109608, 54 pp (2022).
- [12] C. Charlier and P. Moreillon, On the generating function of the Pearcey process, *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, **33**, 3240–3277 (2023).
- [13] T. Claeys and A.B.J. Kuijlaars, Universality of the double scaling limit in random matrix models, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **59**, 1573–1603 (2006).
- [14] T. Claeys, A.B.J. Kuijlaars and M. Vanlessen, Multi-critical unitary random matrix ensembles and the general Painlevé II equation, *Ann. of Math.*, **168**, 601–641 (2008).
- [15] T. Claeys and S. Tarricone, On the integrable structure of deformed sine kernel determinants, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, **27** , no. 1, Paper No. 3 (2024).

- [16] D. Dai, S.-X. Xu and L. Zhang, Asymptotics of Fredholm determinant associated with the Pearcey kernel, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **382**, 1769–1809 (2021).
- [17] D. Dai, S.-X. Xu and L. Zhang, On the deformed Pearcey determinant, *Adv. Math.*, **400**, 108291 (2022).
- [18] D. Dai and Y. Zhai, Asymptotics of the deformed Fredholm determinant of the confluent hypergeometric kernel, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **49**, 1032–1085 (2022).
- [19] D. S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, Finite-temperature free fermions and the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation at finite time, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **114**, 110402 (2015).
- [20] D. S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar and G. Schehr, Noninteracting fermions in a trap and random matrix theory, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **52**, 144006 (2019).
- [21] P. Deift, *Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach*, Courant Lecture Notes 3, New York University, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [22] P. Deift, A. Its, I. Krasovsky and X. Zhou, The Widom-Dyson constant for the gap probability in random matrix theory, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **202**, 26–47 (2007).
- [23] P. Deift, A. Its and X. Zhou, A Riemann-Hilbert approach to asymptotic problems arising in the theory of random matrix models, and also in the theory of integrable statistical mechanics, *Ann. Math.*, **146**, 149–235(1997).
- [24] P. Deift, I. Krasovsky and J. Vasilevska, Asymptotics for a determinant with a confluent hypergeometric kernel, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **2011**, 2117–2160 (2011).
- [25] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides and X. Zhou, Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **52**, 1335–1425 (1999).
- [26] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides and X. Zhou, Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **52**, 1491–1552 (1999).
- [27] P. Deift and X. Zhou, A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems, Asymptotics for the MKdV equation, *Ann. Math.*, **137**, 295–368 (1993).
- [28] F. Dyson, Fredholm determinants and inverse scattering problems, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **47**, 171–183 (1976).
- [29] B. Fahs and I. Krasovsky, Sine-kernel determinant on two large intervals, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **77**, no. 3, 1958–2029(2024).
- [30] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, A. A. Kapaev and V. Y. Novokshenov, Painlevé transcendents: the Riemann-Hilbert approach, In: AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. **128**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2006).

- [31] D.J. Gross and E. Witten, Possible third-order phase transition in the large-lattice gauge limit, *Phys. Rev. D*, **21**, 446–453 (1980).
- [32] M. Huang, S.-X. Xu and L. Zhang, Location of poles for the Hastings-McLeod solution to the second Painlevé equation, *Constr. Approx.*, **43**, no.3, 463–494(2016).
- [33] A. R. Its, A. G. Izergin, V. E. Korepin and N. A. Slavnov, Differential equations for quantum correlation functions, *Internat. J. Modern Phys.*, **B 4** , 1003–1037 (1990).
- [34] A. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Mori and M. Sato, Density matrix of an impenetrable Bose gas and the fifth Painlevé transcendent, *Physica 1D*, 80–158 (1980).
- [35] K. Johansson, The longest increasing subsequence in a random permutation and a unitary random matrix model, *Math. Res. Lett. Phys.*, **5**, 63–82 (1998).
- [36] K. Johansson, From Gumbel to Tracy-Widom, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **138** (1-2), 75–112 (2007).
- [37] M. Kardar, G. Parisi and Y. C. Zhang, Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **56** 889–892(1986).
- [38] K. Liechty and D. Wang, Asymptotics of free fermions in a quadratic well at finite temperature and the Moshe-Neuberger-Shapiro random matrix model, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, **56** (2), 1072–1098(2020).
- [39] M. Moshe, H. Neuberger and B. Shapiro, Generalized ensemble of random matrices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **73** (11) 1497–1500(1994) .
- [40] F.W.J. Olver, A.B. Olde Daalhuis, D.W. Lozier, B.I. Schneider, R.F. Boisvert, C.W. Clark, B.R. Miller, B.V. Saunders, H.S. Cohl, M.A. McClain (Eds.), NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.1.10 of 2023-06-15.
- [41] C. Tracy and H. Widom, Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **159**, 151–174 (1994).
- [42] H. Widom, The strong Szegő limit theorem for circular arcs, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **21**, 277–283 (1971).
- [43] S.-X. Xu and D. Dai, Tracy-Widom distributions in critical unitary random matrix ensembles and the coupled Painlevé II system, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **365**, 515–567(2019).
- [44] S.-X. Xu, S.-Q. Zhao and Y.Q. Zhao, On the Fredholm determinant of the confluent hypergeometric kernel with discontinuities, *Physica D*, **461**, 134101 (2024).
- [45] S.-X. Xu and Y.Q. Zhao, Gap probability of the circular unitary ensemble with a Fisher-Hartwig singularity and the coupled Painlevé V system, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **337**(2020), 1545–1596.