# Thermalization in Krylov Basis

Mohsen Alishahiha<sup>a</sup> and, Mohammad Javad Vasli<sup>b,a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Physics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),

*E-mails:* alishah@ipm.ir, vasli@phd.guilan.ac.ir

We study thermalization in closed non-integrable quantum systems using the Krylov basis. We demonstrate that for thermalization to occur, the matrix representation of typical local operators in the Krylov basis should exhibit a specific tridiagonal form with all other elements in the matrix are exponentially small, reminiscent of the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. Within this framework, we propose that the nature of thermalization, whether weak or strong, can be examined by the infinite time average of the Krylov complexity. Moreover, we analyze the variance of Lanczos coefficients as another probe for the nature of thermalization. One observes that although the variance of Lanczos coefficients may capture certain features of thermalization, it is not as effective as the infinite time average of complexity.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Based on our everyday experience, the thermalization of macroscopic systems is one of the most natural phenomena in nature. Although to see a macroscopic system is approaching thermal equilibrium one does not need to produce several copies of the system, the statistical mechanics in which we are dealing with "ensembles" is provided a powerful tool to study thermalization. This has to do with the ergodic property of classical chaotic systems that validates the statistical mechanics. In fact in these systems the ensemble averages used in statistical mechanics calculations agree with the time averages involving in our experiments.

Even though for closed quantum systems one may also observe emerging of the thermal equilibrium in nonequilibrated systems (caused by *e.g.* global quench), unlike the classical systems, the thermalization may be seen without performing any time averages [1, 2]. Indeed, out of equilibrium states approach to their thermal expectations shortly after relaxation. It is, however, important to note that in closed quantum systems dynamics is unitary and time reversal invariant, and therefore, a priori, it is not obvious how and in what sense the thermal equilibrium can be reached dynamically.

The notion of thermalization in quantum mechanics may be described by the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) [1, 2] which gives an understanding of how an observable thermalizes to its thermal equilibrium value. According to ETH for sufficiently complex quantum systems the energy eigenstates are indistinguishable from thermal states with the same average energy.

Although, it is believed that a non-integrable model will generally thermalize, the nature of thermalization might differ in different situations. Actually, besides Hamiltonian which gives dynamics of the system, the nature of the thermalization may also depend on the initial state, such that, within a fixed model different initial states may exhibit different behaviors [3].

To explore this point better let us consider spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ 

Ising model given by the following Hamiltonian

$$H = -J \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z - \sum_{i=1}^N (g\sigma_i^x + h\sigma_i^z).$$
(1)

Here and in what follows  $\sigma^{z,y,z}$  are Pauli matrices and J, g and h are constants which define the model. By rescaling one may set J = 1, and the nature of the model, being chaotic or integrable, is controlled by constants g and h. In particular, for  $gh \neq 0$  the model is non-integrable. In what follows to perform our numerical computations we will set h = 0.5, g = -1.05 [3]. It has been shown in [3] that three different initial states in which all spins are aligned on x, y or z directions denoting by  $|X+\rangle, |Y+\rangle, |Z+\rangle$  respectively, results in three distinct thermalization behaviors.

In general, we would like to study time evolution of expectation value of a local operator (observable)  $\mathcal{O}$ 

$$\langle \psi(t) | \mathcal{O} | \psi(t) \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} \left( e^{-iHt} \rho_0 e^{iHt} \mathcal{O} \right),$$
 (2)

whose behavior could explore the nature of thermalization whether it is strong or weak. In the strong thermalization, the expectation value relaxes to the thermal value very fast, while for weak thermalization it strongly oscillates around the thermal value, though its time average attains the thermal value. Here  $\rho_0$  is density state associated with the initial state  $|\psi_0\rangle$ .

For the Ising model (1) it has been shown that although the initial state  $|Y+\rangle$  exhibits strong thermalization, for initial state  $|Z+\rangle$  one observes weak thermalization and for initial state  $|X+\rangle$  there is an apparent departure of the thermal expectation value form its thermal value suggesting that there might be no thermalization for this state<sup>1</sup> [3].

P.O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran <sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, University of Guilan, P.O. Box 41335-1914, Rasht, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Actually it seems that the apparent departure of thermalization in this case is due to the finite N effects and indeed, even in this case we still have a weak thermalization [4].

It was proposed in [3] that whether we are going to observe strong or weak thermalization is closely related to the effective inverse temperature,  $\beta$ , of the initial state which can be read from the following equation

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(H(\rho_0 - \rho_{th})\right) = 0, \qquad (3)$$

where  $\rho_{th} = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})}$  is thermal density state with inverse temperature  $\beta$ . The strong thermalization occurs when the effective inverse temperature of initial states is close to zero. On the other hand, for initial states whose effective inverse temperature are sufficiently far away from zero, one observes weak thermalization. In particular, for the model given in (1) the initial state  $|Y+\rangle$  has zero effective inverse temperature and for initial states  $|Z+\rangle$  and  $|X+\rangle$  one has  $\beta = 0.7275$  and  $\beta = -0.7180$ , respectively.

For a given initial state  $|\psi_0\rangle$  the equation (3) may be rewritten as follows

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{th}H\right) = \langle \psi_0 | H | \psi_0 \rangle = E \,, \tag{4}$$

which suggests that the information of the effective inverse temperature could be read from the expectation value of the energy. Indeed, the regime on which the strong or weak thermalization may occur could also be identified by the normalized energy of the initial state [5]

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\langle \psi_0 | H | \psi_0 \rangle - E_{min}}{E_{max} - E_{min}} \tag{5}$$

where  $E_{max}, E_{min}$  are maximum and minimum energy eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian. Actually, the quasiparticle explanation of weak thermalization suggests that initial states with weak thermalization are in the regime of near the edge of energy spectrum [6].

Although in the literature, mainly, the normalized energy (5) has been considered to study weak and strong thermalization, it is found useful to work with the expectation value of energy itself which contains the same amount of information as that of the normalized energy.

To further explore the nature of thermalization in the Ising model (1), let us consider an arbitrary initial state in the Bloch sphere which may be parameterized by two angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  as follows<sup>2</sup>

$$|\theta,\phi\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2} \ |Z+\rangle_i + e^{i\phi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2} \ |Z-\rangle_i\right), \quad (6)$$

where  $|Z\pm\rangle$  are eigenvectors of  $\sigma^z$  with eigenvalues  $\pm$ . Indeed, at each site, the corresponding state is the eigenvector of the operator  $\mathcal{O}_i = n \cdot \sigma_i$ , with n is the unit vector on the Bloch sphere. More explicitly, one has

$$\mathcal{O}_i(\theta,\phi) = n \cdot \sigma_i = \cos\theta \ \sigma_i^z + \sin\theta \left(\cos\phi \sigma_i^x + \sin\phi \ \sigma_i^y\right),\tag{7}$$

for  $i = 1, \cdots, N$ .

For this general initial state and for the model (1) one can compute the expectation value of energy which has the following simple form

$$E = -\cos\theta \left(Nh + (N-1)J\cos\theta\right) - Ng\cos\phi\,\sin\theta\,.$$
 (8)

An interesting feature of the expectation value of energy is that for large N ( $N \gg 1$ ), the number of spins appears as an overall factor and thus the density of energy defined by E/N (energy per site) is independent of the size of the system

$$\frac{E}{N} \approx -\left(h\cos\theta + J\cos^2\theta\right) - g\cos\phi\,\sin\theta, \quad N \gg 1. \tag{9}$$

Using this analytic expression for the expectation value of energy we have drown the density of energy in figure 1 for N = 100 and J = 1, h = 0.5, g = -1.05. Actually, to highlight the regions where the density of energy vanishes we have depicted its absolute value.



Figure 1. Absolute value of the density of energy evaluated using the analytic expression (8) for N = 100 and J = 1, h = 0.5, g = -1.05.

To compare this result with the behavior of the effective inverse temperature and in particular the sensitivity of the result with the size of the system, in figure 2 we have presented the numerical result of the absolute value of the effective inverse temperature for N = 7. Since the Hamiltonian of the model (1) is traceless, the locus of  $\beta = 0$  are given by the regions over which E = 0 that are shown by two dark semi circles (ring of zero  $\beta^3$ ) in figures 1 and 2. This is, particularly, illustrative since the main significant information contained in  $\beta$  is its distance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In general the initial state could be identified by 2N angles  $(\theta_i, \phi_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots N$ . In our case we have assumed that angles in all sites are equal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> By a phase shift one may draw the density of energy for  $-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi$  for which  $\beta = 0$  region is a ring.



Figure 2. Absolute value of the effective inverse temperature for arbitrary  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$  for the general initial state (6). Here we have set N = 7 and g = 0.5, h = -1.05.

(absolute value) from zero. Generally, it is believed that strong thermalization occurs near the ring of zero  $\beta$ .

One observes that the behavior of the effective inverse temperature matches exactly that of the density of energy event though the size of the two systems by which these quantities are evaluated are different by about a factor of 15. This shows the robustness of the results against the size of the system. In particular, this has to be compared with the results in the literature where the numerical computations have been performed for N = 14. Even though our  $\beta$  is evaluated for N = 7in comparison with that of N = 14 the error we acquire is about  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  percent.

This article aims to study quantum thermalization using the Krylov basis which seems to be a more appropriate basis when the dynamics of the system is our interest. Although the Krylov method has been used to study numerical computations [7], in recent years there have been several activities to use the Krylov method in the context of quantum chaos (see [8] and its citations).

In this paper, we would like to explore a potential application of Krylov space within the context of quantum thermalization. The key advantage of studying thermalization in this framework lies in the fact that, under a unitary time evolution the trajectory of a given initial state does not necessarily expose into the entire Hilbert space. Instead, it remains confined within a subset known as the Krylov space, which typically has a smaller dimension compared to the full Hilbert space of the system. Thus, focusing on the Krylov space suffices for studying the time evolution of the system. In particular, if the system has conserved charges, working in this basis we are automatically confined in a subsystem that preserves the symmetry of the initial state.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we will study the late time behavior of the expectation

value of typical operators within the Krylov basis. Following the Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis (ETH), we will propose an ansatz for the matrix elements of the operator within the Krylov basis, called the Krylov Thermalization Hypothesis (KTH). We will present several numerical computations in support of our ansatz. In section three we will study the nature of thermalization in this framework. Specifically, we will introduce two metrics to probe the nature of thermalization—namely, the variance of Lanczos coefficients and the infinite time average of Krylov complexity. While the former may not provide a definitive conclusion, the latter offers a pattern that agrees perfectly with other proposed probes in the existing literature. Additionally, we will calculate the inverse participation ratio to contrast it with the results from the infinite time average of complexity. The late section is devoted to discussions.

## II. KRYLOV BASIS AND THERMALIZATION

Let us consider a closed quantum system with time independent local Hamiltonian H whose eigenstates and eigenvalues are denoted by  $|E_n\rangle$ , and  $E_n$ , respectively. Starting with an initial state,  $|\psi_0\rangle$ , in the Schrödinger picture at any time one has

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{iHt}|\psi_0\rangle.$$
(10)

In the context of quantum thermalization the main purpose is to start with an initial state and then quickly alter the system, e.g. by a global quench, and then let the system evolve under the local Hamiltonian H. As we have already mentioned, generally, we are interested in the late time behavior of the expectation value of local operators (observables)

$$\langle \psi(t) | \mathcal{O} | \psi(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-iHt} \mathcal{O} e^{iHt} | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}(t) \rangle \,. \tag{11}$$

The main question is to what extent and for what times the system can be described by a suitable thermal equilibrium system in which the above expectation value can be approximated by Tr ( $\rho_{th}\mathcal{O}$ ).

To study different features of chaotic systems and thermalization one usually utilizes the energy spectrum and energy eigenstates which amounts to diagonalize the Hamiltonian. For example, the nature of quantum chaos may be given in terms of the energy level statistics [9].

In the energy eigenstates, assuming  $|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_n c_\alpha |E_\alpha\rangle$ , the expectation value (11) reads

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t) \rangle = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{DE}\mathcal{O}) + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{\mathcal{D}} e^{i(E_{\alpha} - E_{\beta})t} c_{\alpha} c_{\beta}^{*} \langle E_{\alpha} | \mathcal{O} | E_{\beta} \rangle,$$
(12)

where  $\rho_{DE}$  is diagonal density matrix

$$\rho_{DE} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathcal{D}} |c_{\alpha}|^2 |E_{\alpha}\rangle \langle E_{\alpha}| \,. \tag{13}$$

Here  $\mathcal{D}$  is the dimension of Hilbert space. Then, one can proceed to explore equilibrium and thermalization in this context which happens due to the possible phase cancellation at long times [10] when the expectation value of the operator may be given by the canonical ensemble  $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{DE}\mathcal{O}) \approx \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{th}\mathcal{O}).$ 

We note, however, that a Hamiltonian may be also put into a tridiagonal form in which we could work in the Krylov basis. See e,g [11–13]. In this basis, we usually deal with Lanczos coefficients and thus we would expect that the properties of the quantum system can be also described in terms of the spectrum of Lanczos coefficients. Indeed, the Lanczos spectrum has been used to study operator growth in many body systems [8] ( see also [21]). Recently, it was also suggested in [13] that the chaotic nature of a system may be described in terms of the Lanczos coefficients. More precisely, it was proposed that "Quantum chaotic systems display a Lanczos spectrum well described by random matrix model."

Here we would like to study quantum thermalization in the Krylov basis. In particular, we would like to understand to what extent the nature of thermalization may be explored in this context (see also [22]). To proceed, let us first briefly review the recursive procedure producing the Krylov space for a given state in a quantum system (see [7] for review).

Starting with an initial state  $|\psi_0\rangle$  in a quantum system with a time independent Hamiltonian H, the Krylov basis,  $\{|n\rangle, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{\psi} - 1\}$ , can be constructed as follows. The first element of the basis is identified with the initial state  $|0\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle$  (which we assume to be normalized) and then the other elements are constructed, recursively, as follows

$$|\widehat{n+1}\rangle = (H-a_n)|n\rangle - b_n|n-1\rangle, \qquad (14)$$

where  $|n\rangle = b_n^{-1} |\hat{n}\rangle$ , and

$$a_n = \langle n|H|n\rangle, \qquad b_n = \sqrt{\langle \hat{n}|\hat{n}\rangle}.$$
 (15)

This recursive procedure stops whenever  $b_n$  vanishes which occurs for  $n = \mathcal{D}_{\psi} \leq \mathcal{D}$  that is the dimension of Krylov space. Note that this procedure produces an orthonormal and ordered basis together with coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  known as Lanczos coefficients [23].

Having constructed the Krylov basis, at any time the evolved state may be expanded in this basis

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{D}_{\psi}-1} \phi_n(t) |n\rangle, \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{D}_{\psi}-1} |\phi_n(t)|^2 = 1,$$
(16)

where the wave function  $\phi_n(t)$  satisfies the following Schrödinger equation

$$-i\partial_t \phi_n(t) = a_n \phi_n(t) + b_n \phi_{n-1}(t) + b_{n+1} \phi_{n+1}(t), \quad (17)$$

which should be solved with the initial condition  $\phi_n(0) = \delta_{n0}$ .

Using the completeness of the energy eigenstates one may expand any element of the Krylov basis in terms of energy basis

$$|n\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathcal{D}} f_{n\alpha} |E_{\alpha}\rangle.$$
 (18)

Note that since  $\mathcal{D}_{\psi} \leq \mathcal{D}$ , the expansion coefficient,  $f_{n\alpha}$ , is not necessary inevitable and therefore, in general, energy eigenstates cannot be expanded in terms of Krylov basis. Using the orthogonality condition of the Krylov basis one gets

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathcal{D}} f_{n\alpha}^* f_{m\alpha} = \delta_{nm} \,. \tag{19}$$

On the other hand from the equation (14) one finds

$$f_{n\alpha}E_{\alpha} = a_n f_{n\alpha} + b_{n+1}f_{n+1\alpha} + b_n f_{n-1\alpha}, \qquad (20)$$

that can be used to find  $f_{n\alpha}$  in terms of  $f_{0\alpha} = c_{\alpha}$ .

In this formalism the expectation value of a local operator (11) reads

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t) \rangle = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\mathcal{D}_{\psi}-1} \phi_n^*(t) \phi_m(t) O_{nm}$$
(21)

where  $O_{nm} = \langle n | \mathcal{O} | m \rangle$  are matrix elements of the operator  $\mathcal{O}$  in the Krylov basis which, in general, are complex numbers. Of course, the diagonal elements are real.

To find the infinite time average of the corresponding operator one needs to compute  $C_{nm}$  given by

$$C_{nm} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \, \phi_n^*(t) \phi_m(t) \,, \qquad (22)$$

that is essentially matrix elements of the diagonal density matrix in the Krylov basis  $C_{nm} = \langle m | \rho_{DE} | n \rangle$ , that is

$$C_{nm} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathcal{D}} |c_{\alpha}|^2 f_{m\alpha} f_{n\alpha}^* \,. \tag{23}$$

In this framework, inspired by ETH, we propose an ansatz for the matrix elements of typical operators in the Krylov basis to ensure that thermalization occurs within the system. To illustrate how the corresponding ansatz might be formulated, let us consider the ETH ansatz for the matrix elements of the operator  $\mathcal{O}$  in energy eigenstates  $[1, 10]^4$ ,

$$\langle E_{\alpha}|\mathcal{O}|E_{\beta}\rangle = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(E_{\alpha})\delta_{\alpha\beta} + e^{-\frac{S(\bar{E})}{2}}f_{\mathcal{O}}(\bar{E},\omega)R_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (24)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In this expression  $S(\bar{E})$  is thermal entropy at energy  $\bar{E}$  which is an extensive quantity and proportional to the size of the system. It is important to note that  $\tilde{O}$  and  $f_O$  are smooth functions of their arguments.  $R_{\alpha\beta}$  is a random real or complex variable with zero mean  $\overline{R_{\alpha\beta}} = 0$  and unit variance:  $\overline{R_{\alpha\beta}^2} = 1$ ,  $|\overline{R_{\alpha\beta}}|^2 = 1$ .

where  $\overline{E} = (E_{\alpha} + E_{\beta})/2$ ,  $\omega = E_{\alpha} - E_{\beta}$ . This expression can be utilized to propose an ansatz for the matrix elements of the operator  $\mathcal{O}$  in the Krylov basis through the following relation

$$\langle n|\mathcal{O}|m\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{\mathcal{D}} f_{n\alpha}^* f_{m\beta} \langle E_{\alpha}|\mathcal{O}|E_{\beta}\rangle.$$
 (25)

To proceed, one can promote the function  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  to an operator by replacing the energy with the Hamiltonian. This allows to express the ETH ansatz as follows

$$\langle E_{\alpha}|\mathcal{O}|E_{\beta}\rangle = \langle E_{\alpha}|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(H)|E_{\beta}\rangle + \mathcal{O}(e^{-S/2}).$$
 (26)

Here we have utilized the fact that  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(H)|E_{\beta}\rangle = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(E_{\beta})|E_{\beta}\rangle$ . Plugging this expression into equation (25) one gets

$$\langle n|\mathcal{O}|m\rangle = \langle n|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(H)|m\rangle + \text{suppressed terms}.$$
 (27)

In this equation, the suppressed terms correspond to the exponentially suppressed terms in the original ETH ansatz. Using the fact that  $\langle n|m\rangle = \delta_{nm}$  and

$$\langle n|H|m\rangle = a_n\delta_{nm} + b_{m+1}\delta_{nm+1} + b_m\delta_{nm-1},\qquad(28)$$

it becomes clear that the matrix representation of the operator  $\mathcal{O}$  in the Krylov basis is not diagonal. However, we note that while off-diagonal elements also appear at leading order in this expression, we generally would not expect significant contributions from all off-diagonal matrix elements.

To understand this, we recognize that for thermalization to occur beside the ETH ansatz, one must further assume that  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  is a smooth and slowly varying function of  $E_{\alpha}$ . Additionally, the initial state must be sufficiently localized within a narrow energy window—specifically, the variance of energy should be much smaller than the energy expectation value of the initial state. This justifies the neglecting of higher-order terms in the following Taylor expansion[10]<sup>5</sup>

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(E_{\alpha}) \approx \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(E) + (E_{\alpha} - E) \,\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'(E),$$
 (29)

where  $E = \langle \psi_0 | H | \psi_0 \rangle$  and,  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(E)$  is the expectation value predicted by the (micro)canonical ensemble  $\text{Tr}(\rho_{th}\mathcal{O}) \approx \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(E)$ . Here "prime" denotes derivative with respect to  $E_{\alpha}$ . In this approximation, the ETH ansatz (24) reads

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta} \approx \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(E) \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'(E) \left\langle E_{\alpha} | H - E | E_{\beta} \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{S}{2}}), \quad (30)$$

resulting in the following expression for the matrix elements in the Krylov basis

$$\mathcal{O}_{nm} \approx \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(a_0)\delta_{nm} + \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'(a_0) \langle n|H - a_0|m \rangle$$

5

which shows that the matrix representation of a typical observable in the Krylov basis is essentially tridiagonal in which the off-diagonal elements adjacent to the diagonal, denoted as  $\mathcal{O}_{nn+1}$ , are proportional to the Lanczos coefficients  $b_n$  (see equation (28)).

Regarding "suppressed terms," one can follow a similar approach as with the ETH ansatz to estimate their order of magnitude [24]. Specifically, if we assume that the off-diagonal matrix elements  $\mathcal{O}_{nm}$  are smooth and vary slowly, we can derive an expression that captures their behavior to the leading order. Consequently, we obtain (see also [25])

$$\mathcal{O}_{nm} \le \frac{|\mathcal{O}|}{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{\psi}}},$$
(32)

where  $|\mathcal{O}|$  denotes the operator norm, and  $\mathcal{D}_{\psi}$  is the dimension of the Krylov space associated with the initial state  $\psi_0$ . It is essential to highlight that the aforementioned condition pertains to the contributions of "suppressed terms" to off-diagonal matrix elements. Additionally, there is a contribution to these off-diagonal matrix elements from leading terms, as indicated in equation (31).

Inspired by the above observations one can propose an ansatz for the matrix elements of typical operators in the Krylov basis as follows

$$\langle n|\mathcal{O}|m\rangle = \langle n|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(H)|m\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{\psi}}}f_{\mathcal{O}}(a_{nm})R_{nm},$$
 (33)

where  $a_{nm} = \langle n|H|m \rangle$ . Here  $R_{nm}$  is a random real or complex variable with zero mean  $\overline{R_{nm}} = 0$  and unit variance:  $\overline{R_{nm}^2} = 1$ ,  $\overline{|R_{nm}|^2} = 1$ .

The equation (33) imposes a condition on the matrix elements of the operator  $\mathcal{O}$  in the Krylov basis, which can be seen as an ansatz for these matrix elements to ensure thermalization. This is referred to as the KTH ansatz. It is worth noting that, in practice, for typical chaotic systems, the leading-order terms of the KTH ansatz are actually represented by those in equation (31).

It is then straightforward to see that by substituting this expression into equation (21) one finds

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t) \rangle \approx \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{th}\mathcal{O}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{\psi}}} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\mathcal{D}_{\psi}-1} \phi_n^*(t) \phi_m(t) f_{\mathcal{O}}(a_{nm}) R_{nm}$$
(34)

which at long times results in

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(t) \rangle \approx \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{th}\mathcal{O}) + \text{small fluctuations}, \quad (35)$$

as expected. Therefore, even though the matrix is tridiagonal, the main contribution is primarily determined by the diagonal elements, which represent the expectation value of the corresponding operator as derived from the (micro)canonical ensemble.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> More precisely, one assumes that  $(\Delta E)^2 \tilde{\mathcal{O}}''(E) / \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \ll 1$  with  $\Delta E$  being the variance of energy[10].

To examine the KTH behavior of local operators (observables) we will compute matrix elements of the operator  $S_x = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^x$ , which is magnetization in the x direction, for the Ising model (1) with h = 0.5, g = -1.05 where the model is non-integrable.

The corresponding matrix elements for two different initial states  $|Y+\rangle$  and  $|Z+\rangle$  are presented in figure 3 for N = 10. From this figure, one observes that the matrix elements  $O_{nm}$  exhibit the desired behavior as suggested by KTH. To highlight the behavior of matrix elements we have presented the absolute value of them, so that in figure 3 the dark points correspond to vanishing elements.



Figure 3. Matrix elements of the operator  $S_x = \sum_i \sigma_i^x$  in Krylov basis for cases where the initial state is  $|Y+\rangle$  (left) and  $|Z+\rangle$  (right). Darker points represent the matrix elements that are closed to zero. Although, due to its resolution, it might not be clear for these plots, these matrices are not diagonal and, indeed, they are tridiagonal (see figure 4).

To further explore KTH we have also presented the actual values of matrix elements of  $S_x$  in the Krylov basis for different initial states in figure 4 where one can observe that  $(S_x)_{n,n+1}$  is significantly greater than other elements. Note also that, diagonal elements  $\mathcal{O}_{nn}$  are not entirely given by  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(E)$  and, in fact, they appear in a certain combination of  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(E) + (a_n - E)\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'(E)$  which might be small, even though the expectation value, itself, could be relatively large.

We have also computed matrix elements of the magnetization in the z direction,  $S_z = \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^z$ , for several initial states specified by different  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  and we have found the same pattern as that in figure 3 and 4. In particular, numerical results for initial states  $|Y+\rangle$  and  $|Z+\rangle$  are depicted in figure 5.

It is also illustrative to explicitly compute time evolution of the operator we considered above to see how they actually follow the general behavior given by the equation (35). The results are depicted in figure 6. The brown straight lines in these plots represent the long time expectation value predicted by the canonical ensemble which is equal to the infinite time average of the corresponding expectation value:  $\text{Tr}(\rho_{DE}S_{x,z}) \approx \text{Tr}(\rho_{th}S_{x,z})$ , that is necessary for thermalization to occur. Although thermalization occurs for both initial states, from this figure one observes that the nature of thermalization should be



Figure 4. Actual (absolute) values of matrix elements of the operator  $S_x = \sum_i \sigma_i^x$  in Krylov basis for cases where the initial state is  $|Y+\rangle$  (up) and  $|Z+\rangle$  (down). Blue points in left panels denote  $(S_x)_{n,n+1}$  elements.



Figure 5. Actual (absolute) values of matrix elements of the operator  $S_z = \sum_i \sigma_i^z$  in Krylov basis for cases where the initial state is  $|Y+\rangle$  (up) and  $|Z+\rangle$  (down). Blue points in the left panels denote  $(S_z)_{n,n+1}$  elements.

different for these states. While  $|Y+\rangle$  exhibit string thermalization, for  $|Z+\rangle$  it is weak.

To further explore the KTH behavior we have also done the same computations for the cases where gh = 0 in which the model (1) is integrable. An immediate observation we have made is that in integrable cases the dimension of Krylov space reduces significantly<sup>6</sup> which may also depend on the initial state. For example, for N = 10while in the chaotic case, the dimension of Krylov space

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Similar observation has been already made in the context of operator Krylov complexity in [26] (see also [27]).



Figure 6. Time evolution of the expectation value of  $S_x$  and  $S_z$  for two different initial states  $|Y+\rangle$  (up) and  $|Z+\rangle$  (down). The straight lines represent the value predicted by the canonical ensemble.

is 528<sup>7</sup>, in an integrable mode given by h = 0, g = -1.05it is 463 for initial states  $|Y+\rangle$ ,  $|Z+\rangle$  and 253 for  $|X+\rangle$ . For integrable model given by h = 0.5, g = 0 it is 23 for  $|Y+\rangle$ ,  $|X+\rangle$  and zero for  $|Z+\rangle$ .

In order to have a better statistic we have considered the case where h = 0, g = -1.05. For this case, we have computed matrix elements of different operators in the Krylov basis for different initial states. We have found that, although for some special cases, the corresponding matrix elements have almost similar patterns as that in figure 3, it is not a generic behavior and typically they exhibit non-universal behavior. More importantly, in this case the long time average cannot be approximated by a canonical ensemble (see, for example, figure 7).



Figure 7. Time evolution of the expectation value of  $S_x$  for initial state  $|Y+\rangle$  for an integrable case where h = 0. The brown dashed line represents a value predicted by the canonical ensemble whose energy is the same as that of the initial state  $|Y+\rangle$ .

### III. KRYLOV SPACE AND NATURE OF THERMALIZATION

As we have already maintained although both initial states  $|Y+\rangle$  and  $|Z+\rangle$  exhibit almost the same pattern for the operator matrix elements (see figures 4 and 5) indicating that thermalization occurs in both states, it is evident for figure 6 that the nature of thermalization for these two states must be different, as we discussed in the previous section. In this section, we would like to study how the nature of thermalization, being weak or strong, can be probed in the context of Krylov space.

From equation (35) one finds that the nature of thermalization should be controlled by the second term which is essentially given by a summation over  $\phi_n(t)$ 's. On the other hand,  $\phi_n(t)$  can be evaluated, recursively, from  $\phi_0(t)$  using Lanczos coefficients. Therefore, the nature of thermalization should be reflected in these quantities. Based on this insight, we will propose various quantities within the framework of Krylov space that could serve as indicators for the nature of thermalization.

### A. Variance of Lanczos coefficients

From the Krylov basis construction, it is evident that the Lanczos coefficients should encapsulate information about both the model's dynamics and initial state, making them a suitable candidate for probing the nature of thermalization.

To explore this idea, let us begin by calculating the Lanczos coefficients for three different initial states that we have discussed in the previous section.<sup>8</sup>. The results for N = 10 are shown in figure 8

Although one could recognize some differences among these three plots, the differences are not substantial. Essentially, the Lanczos coefficients exhibit qualitatively

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> It is known that the Hamiltonian (1) has a parity symmetry which is essentially reflection symmetry about the center of the chain. It is straightforward to see that the initial state (6) has positive parity which in turn indicates that the obtained Krylov subspace should be a subspace with positive parity. Actually, the positive parity subspace has 528 dimensions, as expected, which is equal to the dimension of Krylov space.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Lanczos coefficients for the model under consideration have also been computed in [29–34].



Figure 8. Lanczos coefficients  $a_n, b_n$  of three initial states  $|Y+\rangle, |Z+\rangle, |X+\rangle$ .  $b_n$  and  $a_n$  are shown with blue and brown circles, respectively. The numerical results are presented for N = 10 in which the dimension of Krylov space for a generic initial state is about 529.

similar patterns across all three cases. As a result, one might conclude that the straightforward behavior of Lanczos coefficients may not offer a distinct metric to differentiate between these three cases.

We note, however, that a better quantity which might be more sensitive to the initial state is the variance of Lanczos coefficients. Indeed, the variance of Lanczos coefficients has been considered in [32] as a measure to probe whether a system is chaotic or integrable.

Let us recall that for a collection of M numbers,  $s_i$ , the variance may be defined as follows

$$\operatorname{Var}(s_i) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (s_i - \bar{s})^2$$
(36)

where  $\bar{s}$  is the mean value. In what follows we would like to compute the variance of Lanczos coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ . Actually, if one computes the variance of Lanczos coefficients for three initial states considered before, one observes that they differ significantly.

More generally, one could compute the variance of Lanczos coefficients associated with the general initial state given by (6). In figure 9 we have presented the numerical results for the variance of  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  as a function of  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  for N = 10.

Clearly, there is an obvious correlation between behaviors of the effective inverse temperature, the absolute value of the density of energy (or normalized energy) and variance of Lanczos coefficients (see figure 1). Generally, one observes that for regions where the effective inverse temperature is small the variance of  $a_n$  ( $b_n$ ) is also small (large). The variance of  $a_n$  ( $b_n$ ) becomes larger (smaller) as we move away from  $\beta = 0$  regions. Another observation we have made is that being positive, the variance is not sensitive to the sign of  $\beta$  and only the absolute value of it matters.

We note, however, that the behavior of variances is not exactly the same as that of effective inverse temperature.



Figure 9. Variance of Lanczos coefficients  $a_n$  (left) and  $b_n$  (right). The numerical results are done for N = 10 spins.

Indeed, even though one can recognize the lower part of the ring of zero  $\beta$ , the upper part is not apparent in the plots of variances, though there is a trace of the ring. More precisely, although from the behavior of  $\beta$  or density of energy one would expect to see states with strong thermalization are localized near the ring of zero  $\beta$ , the behavior of the variance suggests that strong thermalization for states with  $\theta \gtrsim \frac{2\pi}{3}$  does not necessarily located near the ring of zero  $\beta$  and rather they almost uniformly distribute around  $\theta \approx \pi$ .

One also notices that at the symmetric axis of  $\phi = \pi$ , while the effective inverse temperature or the absolute value of the density of energy decreases almost monotonically from  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{6}$  to  $\theta = \pi$ , the variance of  $a_n$  exhibits a pick around  $\theta \approx \frac{5\pi}{6}$  suggesting that the state  $|\frac{5\pi}{6}, \pi\rangle$ would be among those with the weakest thermalization.

Actually, since we have an exact analytic expression for the energy one can use it as a gauge to validate our numerical results. In fact, by making use of the analytic expression of energy we can compute the density of energy for  $\phi = \pi$  slice for arbitrary N. In figure 10 we have drown the density of energy for  $\phi = \pi$  slice for N = 10and 100. We have also presented the first derivative of the density of energy to better explore its behavior.



Figure 10. Density of energy (left) and its derivative (right) for  $\phi = \pi$  slice for different N = 10, 100. Indeed, they show nontrivial behavior around  $\theta = \frac{5\pi}{6}$ , though it is not as pronounced as that in the variance of  $a_n$ .

From this figure, one finds that the density of energy, indeed, exhibits a non-trivial behavior around  $\theta = \frac{5\pi}{6}$ , though it is not as pronounced as that in the variance of  $a_n$ . Actually, the first derivative is closed but not exactly

zero showing that there is no real minimum around the position of the second pick, even though for higher N the situation gets better.

In conclusion, we observe a correlation between the behavior of the effective inverse temperature and the variance of Lanczos coefficients. However, significant discrepancies exist between the two, suggesting that the variance of Lanczos coefficients may not effectively serve as a suitable indicator for the nature of thermalization, despite potentially capturing certain aspects of it.

#### B. Infinite time average of Krylov complexity

Working with Krylov basis we note that there is rather a special operator in Krylov space whose matrix elements are exactly diagonal. More precisely, consider the number operator defined by

$$\mathcal{N} = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{D}_{\psi}-1} n |n\rangle \langle n|, \qquad (37)$$

that is obviously diagonal in Krylov basis,  $\mathcal{N}_{nm} = n\delta_{nm}$ . We note that the expectation value of the number operator, actually, computes Krylov complexity [8]<sup>9</sup>

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle \mathcal{N}(t) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{D}_{\psi}-1} n |\phi_n(t)|^2, \qquad (38)$$

that saturates (equilibrates) at very late times where the Lanczos coefficients vanish [28].

The Krylov complexity is an interesting quantity which relies on both the initial state and the Hamiltonian, akin to Lanczos coefficients. Indeed, by making use of the fact that the Krylov complexity is essentially the expectation value of the number operator, one can compute the infinite time average of Krylov complexity [35] and consider it as a possible measure to study the nature of thermalization. More precisely, from the expression of Krylov complexity (38) one has

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{N}(t) \rangle \, dt = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{DE} \mathcal{N}) \,, \qquad (39)$$

which results in

$$\mathcal{C} = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{DE}\mathcal{N}) + \text{small fluctuations}, \qquad (40)$$

at long times. It is straightforward to compute the infinite time average of complexity for states associated with initial states (6). The numerical result for N = 9 is depicted in figure 11.

Interestingly, the resulting pattern agrees perfectly with the absolute value of the density of energy and

Infinite Time Average of Complexity  $7\frac{\pi}{8}$   $3\frac{\pi}{4}$   $5\frac{\pi}{8}$   $\frac{5\pi}{4}$   $\frac{5\pi}{4}$   $\frac{3\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{2}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{2}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{2}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{2}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$   $\frac{\pi}{4}$ 

Figure 11. Infinite time average of complexity for states associated with the initial state 6. The numerical computation is done for N = 9.

the effective inverse temperature. More precisely, we have observed that states showing strong thermalization have a complexity saturation value higher than those with weak thermalization. In conclusion, we find that as thermalization becomes stronger, the saturation value of complexity also increases.

### C. Inverse participation ratio

It is worth mentioning that by making use of the inverse participation ratio [36] the nature of weak or strong thermalization of certain XY Ising model has been studied in [37]. Thus it is worth looking at this quantity for our model too.

Consider a state whose expansion in the energy eigenstates is  $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{D}} c_{\alpha} |E_{\alpha}\rangle$ , where  $c_{\alpha} = \langle E_{\alpha} | \psi \rangle$ . Then, the inverse participation ratio is defined by

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=\alpha}^{\mathcal{D}} |c_{\alpha}|^4} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{DE}^2)}, \qquad (41)$$

which is essentially a quantity that measures the number of energy eigenstates that contribute to the state  $|\psi\rangle$ . Note that  $1 \leq \lambda \leq \mathcal{D}$ . In fact, when only one energy eigenstate contributes to the state the inverse participation number is one, while when all energy levels equally contribute to the state it is equal to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

It is worth also noting that the inverse participation ratio may be given in terms of the infinite time average of the wave function  $\phi_0$ 

$$\lambda^{-1} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\phi_0(t)|^2 dt \,. \tag{42}$$

Now let us compute the inverse participation ratio for general initial state (6). The result is depicted in figure

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  See also [14–20] for related works.

12. Note that what is drown in this figure is the logarithm of the inverse participation ratio,  $\ln \lambda$ . Interestingly enough, one observes a significant correlation with all quantities we have considered so far, including the variance of Lanczos coefficients.



Figure 12. The logarithm of inverse participation ratio for general initial state given in (6) as a function of  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . The numerical computation is done for N = 10.

It is worth noting that the behavior of the inverse participation ratio is in perfect agreement with that of the infinite time average of complexity. It shows that the complexity saturation value is greater for states consisting of more energy eigenstates [32, 35].

It is important to note that using the behavior of the effective inverse temperature and normalized energy it was suggested that the weak thermalization occurs for states near the edge of the energy spectrum [3–6]. Here, we have seen that whether a state exhibits weak or strong thermalization is correlated to its inverse participation ratio as suggested in [37]. More precisely, the nature of the thermalization of a state is closely related to the number of energy eigenstates that contribute to the state. A state consisting of more energy eigenstates likely exhibits stronger thermalization. Note that our computations of the expectation value of local operators confirm this behavior too.

It is also interesting to see that looking at  $\phi = \pi$  slice, we get similar behavior as that in the variance of Lanczos coefficients, Namely, there are two picks around  $\frac{\pi}{6}$  and  $\frac{5\pi}{6}$ . Unlike the variance of Lanczos coefficients in this case one can see that although the first pick represents the state with the weakest thermalization, the second pick is the artificial of the finite N effect. To see this, we have presented the logarithm of the inverse participation ratio for N = 10 and N = 11 in figure 13 from which it is evident that the second pick is removed as we go to higher N. Interestingly enough, the correction due to higher N did not significantly alter other features of the inverse participation ratio.



Figure 13. The logarithm of inverse participation ratio of  $\phi = \pi$  slice for N = 10, 11. One observes that the second pick (minimum) is removed as one goes to higher N.

It is also interesting that for N = 11 the behavior of inverse participation ratio at  $\phi = \pi$  is very closed to that of the density of energy (see figure 10).

#### D. Time dependent of expectation value

To get a better understanding of what actually happens in different points in the  $\theta - \phi$  plane (initial states), it is useful to explicitly compute the expectation value of local operators to see how the thermalization occurs for different initial states. To do so, we will consider the magnetization in the z direction and compute the following quantity <sup>10</sup>

$$\langle S_z(t) \rangle = \langle \theta, \phi | e^{-iHt} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^z e^{iHt} | \theta, \phi \rangle, \qquad (43)$$

for different values of  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . The results are depicted in figure 14. Actually, we have computed the corresponding expectation value for 441 initial states<sup>11</sup> and only few of them have been shown in this figure which are for particular slices given by  $\phi = 0, \pi$ . The results are relatively compatible with what suggested by the variance of Lanczos coefficients and in exact agreement with what is suggested by the infinite time average of complexity.

From the behavior of the expectation value of  $S_z$  and those we presented in the previous section, one finds that states with weak thermalization are mostly located around  $\theta \approx 0$  while those of strong thermalization are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> We have also computed the expectation value for the magnetization in the x direction,  $S_x$ , in which we have found that the conclusion is the same as that of  $S_z$  that is explicitly presented in what follows.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Since the pattern in the figure 9 is symmetric under  $\phi \to 2\pi - \phi$ , we have only considered initial states located in  $0 \le \phi \le \pi$ .



Figure 14. Expectation value of  $S_z$  as a function of time for different initial states. As we see the weakest thermalization mostly occurs for states whose theta angle is near zero while beside the ring of zero  $\beta$  the strong thermalization occurs for  $\theta \approx \pi$ .

around  $\theta \approx \pi$ , besides those located near the ring of zero  $\beta$ . Thus, as one moves from  $\theta = 0$  towards  $\theta = \pi$ , generally, states exhibit stronger thermalization. In particular, states  $|Z+\rangle = |0,\phi\rangle$  and  $|Z-\rangle = |\pi,\phi\rangle$ , for arbitrary  $\phi$ , exhibit weak and strong thermalization, respectively.

To be more concrete let us look at  $\phi = 0$  slice where we have presented results for  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{5}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{4\pi}{5}$  in figure 14. By making use of these results and those in the previous section one finds that at  $\theta = 0$  we have weak thermalization though it becomes stronger as one approaches the ring of zero  $\beta$ . Then it becomes weaker as one moves further forwards  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  after which it keeps becoming stronger all the way to  $\theta = \pi$ . Interestingly enough, we have found that the behavior is consistent with the behavior of the infinite time average of complexity which is also in qualitative agreement with the behavior of the variance of Lanczos coefficients.

Looking at  $\phi = \pi$  slice, from the expectation value of  $S_z$  we find that the weakest thermalization occurs at  $\theta \approx \frac{\pi}{6}$  while it becomes relatively stronger as we move towards  $\theta = \pi$ . Actually evaluating the energy expectation value, one can see that the initial state  $|\frac{\pi}{6}, \pi\rangle$  is very close to an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian. Thus being localized in energy eigenstates one observes an oscillatory behavior for typical operators. This can also be seen from the inverse participation number in which for this state one has  $\lambda \approx 1$ .

It is worth also noting that we did not observe any further special point in this slice in agreement with the behavior of the infinite time average of complexity and in contrast to the behavior suggested by the variance in which we would expect to have a state with relatively weaker thermalization around  $\theta \approx \frac{5\pi}{6}$ .

#### IV. DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we have studied thermalization for a closed quantum system using the Krylov basis. Actually, our main motivation to do so is that, by definition, under time evolution a quantum state propagates over a subspace of Hilbert space known as Krylov space. An advantage (at least theoretically) of working in this space

In the traditional approach to quantum thermalization one usually has to study the expectation value of operators in the energy eigenstates. It is believed that for a chaotic quantum system the thermalization occurs in the level of eigenstates that mathematically reflected in the statement of ETH.

On the other hand, working within the context of Krylov space, one will have to compute matrix elements of local operators in the Krylov basis. It is then natural to expect that a similar concept may also show up in this context. Indeed, by making use of an explicit example we have shown that the matrix elements of local operators satisfy a condition analogous to that of ETH. More precisely, We have demonstrated that for thermalization to occur, the matrix representation of typical local operators in the Krylov basis should exhibit a specific tridiagonal form with all other elements in the matrix being exponentially small.

We have also studied the nature of thermalization in this framework by introducing certain metrics to probe whether a given initial state exhibits weak or strong thermalization. To do so, We have observed that the nature of thermalization depends on two crucial factors: the system's Hamiltonian and the initial state. The Krylov basis and Lanczos coefficients, by construction, contain information about these elements, making them capable for studying the process of thermalization.

We have shown that the infinite time average of Krylov complexity could provide a measure to probe the nature of thermalization<sup>12</sup> which is in perfect agreement with the behavior of the effective inverse temperature and the density of energy. In particular, an initial state exhibiting strong thermalization has relatively larger value for complexity saturation.

We have also suggested that the variance of Lanczos coefficients could probe the nature of thermalization to see whether for a given initial state the thermalization is weak or strong, too. We have seen that a state with relatively smaller (greater) variance for Lanczos coefficients  $a_n$  ( $b_n$ ) exhibits strong (weak) thermalization. Of course, there is some mismatch between the variance of Lanczos coefficients and the other quantities we have evaluated. We believe that this miss match might be due to the finite N effect, though to explicitly show it we need to go to sufficiently higher N and perform our numerical computations with extremely high precision which is out of our computational abilities. We leave exploring this point for further study.

To further explore the thermalization properties of the model under consideration we have also evaluated the inverse participation ratio for general initial states.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> We also note that a certain state dependence of Krylov complexity has been studied in [38]

We have observed that strong thermalization occurs for states with relatively greater inverse participation ratio. In other words, a state consisting of more energy eigenstates is more likely to exhibit stronger thermalization. We have seen that there is a correlation between the behaviors of the infinite time average of complexity and the inverse participation ratio.

To verify our proposal we have also computed time dependence of the expectation value of local operators to explicitly probe the nature of thermalization for the generic initial state given by (6). The results, indeed, confirm our observation based on the behaviors of the infinite time average complexity, the inverse participation ratio and the variance of Lanczos coefficients.

An interesting question we have been trying to address rather implicitly in this paper was the robustness of the quantities we have studied in this paper against the size of the system. In most numerical computations we have done in this paper we have set N = 10, while in the literature the computations are done for N = 14. It is then natural to see how robust the results are.

Actually, among all the quantities we have considered in this paper, we have presented an exact analytic expression for expectation value of energy which may be treated as a gauge to validate other results.

It is clear from the exact analytic expression that for large N limit the density of energy is independent of N, so that its behavior is universal which only depends on the parameters of the model g and h.

We have also computed effective inverse temperature for N = 7 and, surprisingly, one observes that it perfectly agrees with the density of energy even for large N, showing that the behavior of  $\beta$  is robust against the size of the system. Of course, as we have already mentioned the actual value of  $\beta$  is changed, though in comparison with the numerical results available in the litterateur for N = 14one finds just a few percent errors. It is worth emphasizing that this is also the case for other quantities we have studied in this paper that include the infinite time average of complexity, the inverse participation number and the expectation value of local operators. We note, however, that for the variance of Lanczos coefficients, we expect to see significant finite N effect to make it consistent with other quantities.

To explore our idea about thermalization in the Krylov basis we have considered an Ising model whose Hamiltonian is given by (1). We note, however, that there is another model which has been extensively studied in the literature whose Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y + g \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^y.$$
(44)

For the general initial state (6) the expectation value of the energy is

$$E = \sin \theta \left( (N-1)\sin \theta + Ng\sin \phi \right) \,. \tag{45}$$

One may also explore thermalization and its nature for

this model by evaluating different quantities such as effective inverse temperature and infinite time average of complexity. Doing so, one can see that the results are consistent with the behavior of the expectation of the energy (45), that also confirms our expectations. We note that the inverse participation ratio for this model has been studied in [37].

In this paper, we have studied the infinite time average of the Krylov complexity and the variance of Lanczos coefficients associated with the spread of an initial state [39]. We note, however, that the same question as studied in this paper can be also addressed using Lanczos coefficients associated with operator growth [8] <sup>13</sup>. Essentially in our context, it corresponds to changing the picture from Schrödinger to Heisenberg.

In the Heisenberg picture of quantum mechanics, we are dealing with the operators and the time evolution is attributed to the operator

$$\mathcal{O}(t) = e^{-iHt} \mathcal{O}e^{iHt} \,. \tag{46}$$

Defining an inner product in the space of operators acting on the Hilbert space, one can construct the Krylov basis for the operator starting with an initial operator  $\mathcal{O}$ . The first element is identified with the initial operator  $O_0 = \mathcal{O}$  (which we assume to be normalized with respect to the inner product) and the other elements may be constructed recursively as follows

$$\hat{O}_{n+1} = \mathcal{L}O_n - \hat{b}_n O_{n-1}, \quad O_n = \hat{b}_n^{-1} \hat{O}_n,$$
(47)

where  $\mathcal{L}O_n = [H, O_n]$  and  $\hat{b}_n^2 = |\hat{O}_n \cdot \hat{O}_n|$  is Lanczos coefficients. The procedure stops for  $n = \mathcal{D}_O \leq \mathcal{D}^2 - \mathcal{D} + 1$  [26] that is the dimension of Krylov space for the operator. Here we denote the Lanczos coefficients with a hat to avoid confusion with those defined in the Krylov basis for state in (15). Using this basis one has

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{D}_O - 1} i^n \varphi_n(t) O_n \,. \tag{48}$$

Note that with this notation  $\varphi_n(t)$  is real and satisfies the following equation

$$\partial_t \varphi_n(t) = \hat{b}_n \varphi_{n-1} - \hat{b}_{n+1} \varphi_{n+1} \,. \tag{49}$$

In this context, we could also look for the variance of Lanczos coefficients in the operator picture. To study Lanczos coefficients for the Ising model (1) we may consider a generic initial operator as follows

$$\mathcal{O}_{\theta,\phi} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}_i(\theta,\phi) \,, \tag{50}$$

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  We note that operator and state growth may be studied within a universal framework [40]

where  $\mathcal{O}_i$  is defined in (7). It is worth noting that since this initial state (6) is the eigenstate of the above operator, in this case, we are essentially studying the time evolution of density matrix associated with the initial state  $\mathcal{O}_{\theta,\phi} = \rho(\theta,\phi) = |\theta,\phi\rangle\langle\theta,\phi|$ .

One can also study the variance of Lanczos coefficients  $\hat{b}_n$  associated with the initial density matrix. Doing so, one finds the corresponding variance results in the same conclusion as that for the state studied in the previous section. An interesting observation we have made is that the behavior of variance  $\hat{b}_n$  in operator growth is actually identical with that obtained from  $a_n$  in state growth. It would be interesting to understand this point better.

- M. Srednicki, "Chaos and Quantum Thermalization," Phys. Rev. E 50, 888 doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.50.888 [arXiv:cond-mat/9403051 [cond-mat]].
- [2] Deutsch JM. Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system. Phys Rev A. 43 (1991) 2046 doi:10.1103/physreva.43.2046
- [3] M. C. Bañuls, J. I. Cirac and M. B. Hastings, "Strong and Weak Thermalization of Infinite Nonintegrable Quantum Systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) no.5, 050405 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.050405
- [4] Z. H. Sun, J. Cui and H. Fan, "Quantum information scrambling in the presence of weak and strong thermalization," Phys. Rev. A **104** (2021) no.2, 022405 doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.104.022405 [arXiv:2008.01477 [quant-ph]].
- [5] F. Chen, et al, "Observation of Strong and Weak Thermalization in a Superconducting Quantum Processor," Phys. Rev. Lett. **127** (2021) 020602, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.020602
- [6] C. J. Lin and O. I. Motrunich, "Quasiparticle explanation of the weak-thermalization regime under quench in a nonintegrable quantum spin chain," Phys. Rev. A 95 (2017) no.2, 023621 doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.95.023621 [arXiv:1610.04287 [cond-mat.stat-mech]].
- [7] V. S. Viswanath and G. Mülle, "The Recursion Method: Application to Many Body Dynamics," Lecture Notes in Physics Monographs (1994), Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- [8] D. E. Parker, X. Cao, A. Avdoshkin, T. Scaffidi and E. Altman, "A Universal Operator Growth Hypothesis," Phys. Rev. X 9 (2019) no.4, 041017 doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.9.041017 [arXiv:1812.08657 [cond-mat.stat-mech]].
- [9] O. Bohigas, M. J. Giannoni and C. Schmit, "Characterization of chaotic quantum spectra and universality of level fluctuation laws," Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984), 1-4 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1
- [10] M. Srednicki, "The approach to thermal equilibrium in quantized chaotic systems," Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, (1999) 1163
- [11] I. Dumitriu and A. Edelman, "Matrix models for beta ensembles," arXiv:math-ph/0206043
- [12] V. Balasubramanian, J. M. Magan and Q. Wu, "Tridiagonalizing random matrices," Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) no.12, 126001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.126001

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Ali Mollabashi, Mohammad Reza Mohammadi Mozaffar, Mohammad Reza Tanhayi and Hamed Zolfi for useful discussions. We would also like to thank the School of Physics of the Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM) for providing computational facilities. M.A. Would also like to thank Souvik Banerjee for discussions on different aspects of Krylov space. Some numerical computations related to this work were carried out at IPM Turin Cloud Services [41]. This work is based upon research founded by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) under project No 4023620.

[arXiv:2208.08452 [hep-th]].

- [13] V. Balasubramanian, J. M. Magan and Q. Wu, "Quantum chaos, integrability, and late times in the Krylov basis," [arXiv:2312.03848 [hep-th]].
- [14] A. Avdoshkin and A. Dymarsky, "Euclidean operator growth and quantum chaos," Phys. Rev. Res. 2 (2020) no.4, 043234 doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043234 [arXiv:1911.09672 [cond-mat.stat-mech]].
- [15] A. Dymarsky and A. Gorsky, "Quantum chaos as delocalization in Krylov space," Phys. Rev. B 102 (2020) no.8, 085137 doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.102.085137 [arXiv:1912.12227 [cond-mat.stat-mech]].
- [16] A. Dymarsky and M. Smolkin, "Krylov complexity in conformal field theory," Phys. Rev. D 104, no.8, L081702 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.L081702 [arXiv:2104.09514 [hep-th]].
- [17] J. Kim, J. Murugan, J. Olle and D. Rosa, "Operator delocalization in quantum networks," Phys. Rev. A 105 (2022) no.1, L010201 doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.105.L010201 [arXiv:2109.05301 [quant-ph]].
- [18] K. Adhikari, S. Choudhury and A. Roy, "Krylov Complexity in Quantum Field Theory," Nucl. Phys. B 993 (2023), 116263 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116263 [arXiv:2204.02250 [hep-th]].
- [19] H. A. Camargo, V. Jahnke, H. S. Jeong, K. Y. Kim and M. Nishida, "Spectral and Krylov complexity in billiard systems," Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) no.4, 046017 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.046017 [arXiv:2306.11632 [hep-th]].
- [20] K. B. Huh, H. S. Jeong and J. F. Pedraza, "Spread complexity in saddle-dominated scrambling," [arXiv:2312.12593 [hep-th]].
- [21] J. L. F. Barbón, E. Rabinovici, R. Shir and R. Sinha, "On The Evolution Of Operator Complexity Beyond Scrambling," JHEP 10 (2019), 264 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2019)264 [arXiv:1907.05393 [hepth]].
- [22] B. Bhattacharjee, S. Sur and P. Nandy, "Probing quantum scars and weak ergodicity breaking through quantum complexity," Phys. Rev. B 106 (2022) no.20, 205150 doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.106.205150 [arXiv:2208.05503 [quant-ph]].

- [23] C. Lanczos, "An iteration method for the solution of the eigenvalue problem of linear differential and integral operators," J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. B 45 (1950), 255-282 doi:10.6028/jres.045.026
- [24] L. D'Alessio, Y. Kafri, A. Polkovnikov and M. Rigol, "From quantum chaos and eigenstate thermalization to statistical mechanics and thermodynamics," Adv. Phys. 65, no.3, 239-362 (2016) doi:10.1080/00018732.2016.1198134 [arXiv:1509.06411 [cond-mat.stat-mech]].
- [25] T. Mori, T. N. Ikeda, E. Kaminishi and M. Ueda, "Thermalization and prethermalization in isolated quantum systems: a theoretical overview," J. Phys. B 51 (2018) no.11, 112001 doi:10.1088/1361-6455/aabcdf [arXiv:1712.08790 [cond-mat.stat-mech]].
- [26] E. Rabinovici, A. Sánchez-Garrido, R. Shir and J. Sonner, "Operator complexity: a journey to the edge of Krylov space," JHEP 06 (2021), 062 doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2021)062 [arXiv:2009.01862 [hepth]].
- [27] P. Caputa, H. S. Jeong, S. Liu, J. F. Pedraza and L. C. Qu, "Krylov complexity of density matrix operators," [arXiv:2402.09522 [hep-th]].
- [28] E. Rabinovici, A. Sánchez-Garrido, R. Shir and J. Sonner, "Krylov complexity from integrability to chaos," JHEP 07 (2022), 151 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2022)151 [arXiv:2207.07701 [hep-th]].
- [29] J. D. Noh, "Operator growth in the transverse-field Ising spin chain with integrability-breaking longitudinal field," arXiv:2107.08287.
- [30] B. L. Español and D. A. Wisniacki, "Assessing the saturation of Krylov complexity as a measure of chaos," Phys. Rev. E 107 (2023) no.2, 024217 doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.107.024217 [arXiv:2212.06619 [quant-ph]].
- [31] F. B. Trigueros and C. J. Lin, "Krylov complexity of many-body localization: Operator localization in Krylov basis," SciPost Phys. **13** (2022) no.2, 037 doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.13.2.037 [arXiv:2112.04722 [cond-mat.dis-nn]].

- [32] G. F. Scialchi, A. J. Roncaglia and D. A. Wisniacki, "Integrability to chaos transition through Krylov approach for state evolution," [arXiv:2309.13427 [quant-ph]].
- [33] A. Bhattacharya, P. Nandy, P. P. Nath and H. Sahu, "On Krylov complexity in open systems: an approach via bi-Lanczos algorithm," JHEP **12** (2023), 066 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2023)066 [arXiv:2303.04175 [quant-ph]].
- [34] A. Bhattacharya, P. Nandy, P. P. Nath and H. Sahu, "Operator growth and Krylov construction in dissipative open quantum systems," JHEP **12** (2022), 081 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2022)081 [arXiv:2207.05347 [quant-ph]].
- [35] E. Rabinovici, A. Sánchez-Garrido, R. Shir and J. Sonner, "Krylov localization and suppression of complexity," JHEP 03 (2022), 211 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2022)211 [arXiv:2112.12128 [hep-th]].
- [36] A. J. Short and T. C. Farrelly, "Quantum equilibration in finite time," New J. Phys. **14** (2012) no.1, 013063 doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/1/013063 [arXiv:1110.5759 [quant-ph]].
- [37] L. F. d. Prazeres and T. R. de Oliveira, "Continuous Transition Between Weak and Strong Thermalization using Rigorous Bounds on Equilibration of Isolated Systems," [arXiv:2310.13392 [quant-ph]].
- [38] A. Kundu, V. Malvimat and R. Sinha, "State dependence of Krylov complexity in 2d CFTs," JHEP 09 (2023), 011 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2023)011 [arXiv:2303.03426 [hepth]].
- [39] V. Balasubramanian, P. Caputa, J. M. Magan and Q. Wu, "Quantum chaos and the complexity of spread of states," Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) no.4, 046007 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.046007 [arXiv:2202.06957 [hep-th]].
- [40] M. Alishahiha and S. Banerjee, "A universal approach to Krylov state and operator complexities," SciPost Phys. 15 (2023) no.3, 080 doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.15.3.080 [arXiv:2212.10583 [hep-th]].
- [41] https://turin.ipm.ir/.