

MAXITIVE FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO GENERAL ORDERS

MICHAEL KUPPER AND JOSÉ M. ZAPATA

ABSTRACT. In decision-making, maxitive functions are used for worst-case and best-case evaluations. Maxitivity gives rise to a rich structure that is well-studied in the context of the pointwise order. In this article, we investigate maxitivity with respect to general preorders and provide a representation theorem for such functionals. The results are illustrated for different stochastic orders in the literature, including the usual stochastic order, the increasing convex/concave order, and the dispersive order.

Key words: Maxitive functions, representation theorem, stochastic orderings

AMS 2020 Subject Classification: 62C86, 47H05, 28A25, 91B05

1. INTRODUCTION

In the context of decision-making under risk and uncertainty, one usually considers a set of gambles X and a functional $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which assigns to each gamble $f \in X$ a number $\psi(f)$ representing its price, risk, or utility. In the theory of imprecise probabilities, these functionals are called previsions, see e.g. [25], while in financial mathematics they are often referred to as risk measures [9] or nonlinear expectations [18].

In many cases, the functional ψ takes the form of an expectation, where the value $\psi(f)$ represents the weighted average of the values associated with the gamble f . However, decisions are often based on assessments of best-case or worst-case scenarios, rather than relying on averages. These evaluations are described with maxitive functions. A functional ψ is called maxitive if $\psi(f \vee g) = \psi(f) \vee \psi(g)$ for any two gambles f and g , where $f \vee g$ denotes the pointwise supremum of f and g .

Maxitive functionals play a crucial role in possibility theory [7, 8, 28] and serve as aggregation functions in several fields such as economics, information fusion, and control theory [10, 24]. Under reasonable assumptions, maxitive functions can be represented in terms of maxitive integrals, such as the Shilkret integral discussed in [23, 2, 19, 13]. Beyond the theory of decision-making, maxitive functions appear in other contexts, including idempotent or tropical analysis [14], or in large deviations theory [12, 13, 20].

In probability theory and statistics, and particularly in decision theory, there exists a wide range of criteria to define order relations between gambles beyond the pointwise order, see [17, 22]. While the majority of the literature has concentrated on maxitivity with respect to pointwise order, little attention has been paid to maxitivity with respect to general orders. The aim of the present work is to close this gap by studying maxitivity with respect to preorders. This allows, in particular, the application of the maxitive structure and its beneficial properties to larger classes of functions. By passing from the pointwise order to weaker stochastic orders, new maxitive functionals are obtained; e.g., it is shown in [26] that the value at risk is maxitive with respect to the usual stochastic order.

The main result of this paper is a characterization and representation result for countably maxitive functionals on a preordered space (X, \preceq) . Its proof utilizes the recently established representation results in [4, 15] for convex monotone functionals on spaces of bounded continuous functions, and relies on dual representations of sublevel sets as in [6]. The key assumption is that the preorder \preceq admits a numerical representation given by $x \preceq y$ if and only if $\phi(x) \leq \phi(y)$ for all ϕ in some Polish space Φ and $\phi \mapsto \phi(x)$ is lower semicontinuous for all $x \in X$. This assumption is fulfilled for typical stochastic orders. As a result we get characterizations of maxitive functions with respect to the usual stochastic order, the increasing convex order, the convex order, the increasing concave order, and the dispersive order, see [17, 22].

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 covers basic concepts and definitions. In Section 3, we present and prove the main result. Section 4 discusses variations of the main result for different stochastic orders. Finally, in an appendix, we provide representation results for maxitive risk measures on C_b and auxiliary results for quantile functions.

2. BASIC CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS

Let X be a non-empty set and let Φ be a non-empty set of functions $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Throughout, the set X is endowed with the preorder

$$x \preceq y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi(x) \leq \phi(y) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \Phi. \quad (2.1)$$

Further, let \mathcal{Y} be a family of subsets of X which includes all finite subsets. Typical examples of \mathcal{Y} are the family of all finite subsets, the family of all at most countable subsets, or the power set.

Definition 2.1. (X, \preceq) is \mathcal{Y} -complete if every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ which is bounded from above has a unique supremum $\vee Y$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function with sublevel sets $(A_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ given by $A_s := \{x \in X: \psi(x) \leq s\}$.

- (1) The function ψ is *monotone* if $x \preceq y$ implies $\psi(x) \leq \psi(y)$.
- (2) If (X, \preceq) is \mathcal{Y} -complete, then the function ψ is \mathcal{Y} -maxitive if for every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$,

$$\sup_{y \in Y} \psi(y) = \begin{cases} \psi(\vee Y), & \text{if } Y \text{ is bounded from above,} \\ \infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

- (3) The function ψ has (upwards) \mathcal{Y} -directed sublevel sets if for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the set A_s is \mathcal{Y} -directed, i.e., for every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $Y \subset A_s$, there exists $y^* \in A_s$ with $y \preceq y^*$ for all $y \in Y$.

These concepts are related as follows.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that (X, \preceq) is \mathcal{Y} -complete. Then a function $\psi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is \mathcal{Y} -maxitive if and only if ψ is monotone and has \mathcal{Y} -directed sublevel sets.*

Proof. First, suppose that ψ is \mathcal{Y} -maxitive. Then for $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$, it follows from \mathcal{Y} -maxitivity with $Y := \{x, y\}$ that $\psi(x) \leq \psi(x \vee y) = \psi(y)$. Moreover, for $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $Y \subset A_s$, it holds $\sup_{y \in Y} \psi(y) \leq s$ and \mathcal{Y} -maxitivity implies that Y is bounded from above with $\vee Y \in A_s$.

Second, if ψ is monotone, then the right hand side of (2.2) is greater than the left hand side. If ψ has \mathcal{Y} -directed sublevel sets, then either $\sup_{y \in Y} \psi(y) = \infty$ or $\sup_{y \in Y} \psi(y) = s < \infty$ in which case $\vee Y \in A_s$ so that $\psi(\vee Y) \leq s$, i.e., the right hand side of (2.2) is smaller than the left hand side. \square

As a typical example, we consider the set $X = [-\infty, \infty]^\Omega$ of all $[-\infty, \infty]$ -valued functions on a non-empty set Ω , and the family $\Phi := \{\phi_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$ where $\phi_\omega : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\phi_\omega(f) = \arctan(f(\omega))$.¹ Then Φ induces the pointwise order on X , and the concept of \mathcal{Y} -maxitivity amounts to the usual concepts of maxitivity studied in the literature, see e.g. [2] and references therein. In particular, if \mathcal{Y} is the family of all finite subsets of X , then the set of all \mathcal{Y} -maxitive functions is exactly the set of all maxitive functions. Likewise, if \mathcal{Y} is the family of countable subsets of X (respectively the family of all subsets of X), then the set of all \mathcal{Y} -maxitive functions is the set of all countably maxitive functions (respectively the set of all completely maxitive functions).

Definition 2.4. A function $\alpha : \Phi \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is \mathcal{Y} -stable if for every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $\sup_{y \in Y} \phi(y) \leq \alpha(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$, there exists $y^* \in X$ with $y \preceq y^*$ for all $y \in Y$ and $\phi(y^*) \leq \alpha(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$.

Proposition 2.5. Suppose that $A = \{x \in X : \phi(x) \leq \alpha(\phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in \Phi\}$ for some $\alpha : \Phi \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$. Then

$$A = \{x \in X : \phi(x) \leq \alpha_{\min}(\phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in \Phi\},$$

where $\alpha_{\min}(\phi) := \sup_{x \in A} \phi(x)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$. In this case, A is \mathcal{Y} -directed, if and only if α is \mathcal{Y} -stable, if and only if α_{\min} is \mathcal{Y} -stable.

Proof. First, since $\phi(x) \leq \alpha(\phi)$ for all $x \in A$ and $\phi \in \Phi$, it holds $\alpha_{\min}(\phi) \leq \alpha(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$, which shows that $\{x \in X : \phi(x) \leq \alpha_{\min}(\phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in \Phi\} \subset A$. Conversely, if $x \in A$, it holds $\phi(x) \leq \alpha_{\min}(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$, which shows that $A \subset \{x \in X : \phi(x) \leq \alpha_{\min}(\phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in \Phi\}$.

Second, if A is \mathcal{Y} -directed and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies $\sup_{y \in Y} \phi(y) \leq \alpha(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$, then $Y \subset A$ so that there exists $y^* \in A$ with $y \preceq y^*$ for all $y \in Y$, and therefore $\phi(y^*) \leq \alpha(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$, i.e., α is \mathcal{Y} -stable. Conversely, if α is \mathcal{Y} -stable and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies $Y \subset A$, then $\sup_{y \in Y} \phi(y) \leq \alpha(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$ and \mathcal{Y} -stability implies that Y is bounded from above by some $y^* \in A$, i.e., A is \mathcal{Y} -directed. The argumentation for α_{\min} is analogous. \square

Remark 2.6. In general, for an arbitrary \mathcal{Y} -directed subset $A \subset X$, it does not follow that $\alpha_{\min}(\phi) := \sup_{x \in A} \phi(x)$ is \mathcal{Y} -stable, even if X is \mathcal{Y} -complete.

To give a counter example, let \mathcal{Y} be the family of all at most countable subsets, and consider the set X of all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that there exists a bounded interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ for which $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus I : f(x) > 0\}$ is at most countable. We consider the family $\Phi := \{\phi_x : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $\phi_x(f) = f(x)$. The ordered set (X, \preceq) is \mathcal{Y} -complete. Now, let A be the set of all functions $f \in X$ such that $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > 0\}$ is at most countable. Then the set A is \mathcal{Y} -directed and $\alpha_{\min}(\phi_x) = \sup_{f \in A} f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. To show that α_{\min} is not \mathcal{Y} -stable, consider for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the indicator function $1_{[-n, n]}$ which assumes the value 1 on $[-n, n]$ and 0 on $[-n, n]^c$. Then it holds $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{[-n, n]}(x) \leq \alpha_{\min}(\phi_x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, but there is no function $f \in X$ with $1_{[-n, n]}(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. MAIN RESULT

As before, let X be a non-empty set, and let Φ be a non-empty set of functions $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which induces the preorder $x \preceq y$ if and only if $\phi(x) \leq \phi(y)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$. From now on, if not stated otherwise, we assume in addition that

¹We set $\arctan(\infty) := \pi/2$ and $\arctan(-\infty) := -\pi/2$.

- the set Φ is endowed with a topology such that Φ is a Polish space, and the functions $f_x: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f_x(\phi) := \phi(x)$ are lower semicontinuous for all $x \in X$;
- \mathcal{Y} is the family of all at most countable subsets.

In that case, we denote the properties \mathcal{Y} -complete, \mathcal{Y} -maxitive, \mathcal{Y} -directed and \mathcal{Y} -stable as countably complete, countably maxitive, countably directed and countably stable, respectively. Note that we do not assume that (X, \preceq) is countably complete.

The following is our main result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\psi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function with sublevel sets $(A_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ given by $A_s := \{x \in X: \psi(x) \leq s\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- ψ is monotone and has countably directed sublevel sets.*
- There exists a function $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \times \Phi \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ which is non-decreasing in the first argument and countably stable in the second argument such that*

$$\psi(x) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}: \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha(s, \phi)\} \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \quad (3.1)$$

The infimum is attained for all $x \in X$ with $\psi(x) < \infty$.

- The function $\alpha_{\min}: \mathbb{R} \times \Phi \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$, $\alpha_{\min}(s, \phi) := \sup_{x \in A_s} \phi(x)$ is countably stable in the second argument and*

$$\psi(x) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}: \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}(s, \phi)\} \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \quad (3.2)$$

The infimum is attained for all $x \in X$ with $\psi(x) < \infty$.

- The function $\alpha_{\min}^+: \mathbb{R} \times \Phi \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$, $\alpha_{\min}^+(s, \phi) := \inf_{s < t} \alpha_{\min}(t, \phi)$ is countably stable in the second argument and*

$$\psi(x) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}: \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}^+(s, \phi)\} \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \quad (3.3)$$

The infimum is attained for all $x \in X$ with $\psi(x) < \infty$.

- The function α_{\min}^+ is countably stable in the second argument and*

$$\psi(x) = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} G(\phi(x), \phi) \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \quad (3.4)$$

where $G: \mathbb{R} \times \Phi \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$, $G(t, \phi) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R}: t \leq \alpha_{\min}^+(s, \phi)\}$.

Proof. Let $L(\Phi)$ denote the set of all lower semicontinuous functions $f: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the pointwise order. Recall that $f_x: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f_x(\phi) := \phi(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Moreover, let $A_s := \{x \in X: \psi(x) \leq s\}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): We can assume w.l.o.g. that $\inf_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi(x) > -\infty$ for all $x \in X$.² For $I := \{s \in \mathbb{R}: A_s \neq \emptyset\}$, we define

$$F(s, f) := \inf_{x \in A_s} \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{f(\phi) - \phi(x)\} \quad \text{for all } s \in I \text{ and } f \in L(\Phi). \quad (3.5)$$

Then the mapping $F: I \times L(\Phi) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is well-defined and satisfies the following properties. For every $f, g \in L(\Phi)$ and $s, t \in I$, it holds

²Otherwise, we prove (ii) for the set $\Phi' := \{e^\phi: \phi \in \Phi\}$, which also induces the preorder \preceq . In fact, if (ii) holds for the set Φ' and some function $\alpha': \mathbb{R} \times \Phi' \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$, then it follows by inspection that (ii) is also satisfied by the original family Φ and the function $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \times \Phi \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ defined by $\alpha(s, \phi) := \log(0 \vee \alpha'(s, e^\phi))$.

- (1) $F(s, f) \leq F(t, f)$ for all $t \leq s$,
- (2) $F(s, f) \leq F(s, g)$ whenever $f \leq g$,
- (3) $F(s, f + c) = F(s, f) + c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (4) $F(s, \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F(s, f_n)$ for every sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L(\Phi)$ with $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in L(\Phi)$,
- (5) $F(s, f) \in (-\infty, \infty]$ and $F(s, 0) \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (6) $A_s = \{x \in X : F(s, f_x) \leq 0\}$,
- (7) $\psi(x) = \inf\{s \in I : F(s, f_x) \leq 0\}$ for all $x \in X$, and the infimum is attained whenever $\psi(x) < \infty$.

The properties (1)–(3) follow directly from the definition of F .

To show (4), let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L(\Phi)$ with $f := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in L(\Phi)$. Then it follows from condition (2) that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F(s, f_n) \leq F(s, f)$. By contradiction, we assume there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F(s, f_n) < c < F(s, f).$$

Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x_n \in A_s$ with $f_n(\phi) - \phi(x_n) < c$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$. Since A_s is countably directed, there exists $x^* \in A_s$ with $x_1, x_2, \dots \preceq x^*$. Using (2.1), we obtain $f_n(\phi) - \phi(x^*) < c$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking the supremum first over all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and second over all $\phi \in \Phi$, we obtain

$$\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{f(\phi) - \phi(x^*)\} \leq c < F(s, f) = \inf_{x \in A_s} \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{f(\phi) - \phi(x)\},$$

which is a contradiction to $x^* \in A_s$.

To verify (5), suppose by contradiction that $F(s, f) = -\infty$. Then for $\phi \in \Phi$ there exists $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A_s$ with $f(\phi) - \phi(x_n) \leq -n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since A_s is countably directed, there is $x^* \in A_s$ with $x_1, x_2, \dots \preceq x^*$, and therefore

$$n + f(\phi) \leq \phi(x^*) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields $\phi(x^*) = \infty$ in contrast to the assumption that ϕ is real-valued. This shows that $F(s, f) \in (-\infty, \infty]$. In particular, we obtain for every $x \in A_s$,

$$-\infty < F(s, 0) = \inf_{y \in A_s} \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{-\phi(y)\} \leq - \inf_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi(x) < \infty,$$

where the last inequality follows from the boundedness assumption on the family Φ , i.e., $F(s, 0) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Next, we show (6). If $x \in A_s$, then

$$F(s, f_x) = \inf_{y \in A_s} \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \phi(y)\} \leq \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \phi(x)\} = 0.$$

If $x \in X$ satisfies $F(s, f_x) \leq 0$, then there exists $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A_s$ with $\phi(x) - \phi(x_n) < 1/n$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$. Since A_s is countably directed, there exists $x^* \in A_s$ with $x_1, x_2, \dots \preceq x^*$, and therefore $\phi(x) - \phi(x^*) \leq 0$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$, which shows $x \preceq x^*$. Since ψ is monotone, we conclude that $\psi(x) \leq \psi(x^*) \leq s$, and therefore $x \in A_s$.

To show (7), we notice that condition (6) implies that for every $x \in X$,

$$\psi(x) = \inf\{s \in I : x \in A_s\} = \inf\{s \in I : F(s, f_x) \leq 0\},$$

and the infimum is attained whenever $\psi(x) < \infty$. Hence, we have verified the conditions (1)–(7).

Due to conditions (3)–(5), for each $s \in I$, the function $F(s, \cdot) : L(\Phi) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ satisfies the assumptions of Corollary A.3, and we obtain the representation

$$F(s, f) = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{f(\phi) - \alpha(s, \phi)\} \quad \text{for all } s \in I, f \in L(\Phi), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\alpha(s, \phi) := \sup_{f \in C_b(\Phi)} \{f(\phi) - F(s, f)\}$ for all $s \in I$ and $\phi \in \Phi$. As a result of condition (7), we obtain

$$\psi(x) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R} : \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha(s, \phi)\} \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \quad (3.7)$$

where we set $\alpha(s, \phi) := -\infty$ whenever $s \notin I$. Moreover, the infimum is attained whenever $\psi(x) < \infty$. Due to condition (1), the mapping α is non-decreasing in the first argument. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the representation (3.7) implies that $x \in A_s$ if and only if $\phi(x) \leq \alpha(s, \phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$. Since A_s is countably directed, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that α is countably stable in the second argument.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The representation (3.1) implies that $x \in A_s$ if and only if $\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha(s, \phi)\} \leq 0$. Since α is countably stable in the second argument, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that A_s is countably directed. Moreover, Proposition 2.5 implies that $x \in A_s$ if and only if $\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}(s, \phi)\} \leq 0$, where $\alpha_{\min}(s, \phi) := \sup_{x \in A_s} \phi(x)$, and α_{\min} is countably stable in the second argument.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from (iii) together with Proposition 2.5 that A_s is countably directed and $x \in A_s$ if and only if $\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}(s, \phi)\} \leq 0$. Hence, $x \in X$ satisfies $\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}(s, \phi)\} \leq 0$, if and only if $x \in A_t$ for all $t > s$, if and only if $\sup_{t > s} \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}(t, \phi)\} \leq 0$, if and only if $\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}^+(t, \phi)\} \leq 0$. In particular, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that α^+ is countably stable in the second argument.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): Since α_{\min}^+ is right-continuous and non-decreasing in the first argument, it holds

$$\alpha_{\phi}^{-1}([t, \infty)) = [G(t, \phi), \infty) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \phi \in \Phi,$$

where $\alpha_{\phi}^{-1}([t, \infty)) := \{s \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha_{\min}^+(s, \phi) \in [t, \infty)\}$ is the preimage of $[t, \infty)$ under α_{\min}^+ . Fix $x \in X$. Suppose first that $\psi(x) < \infty$. It follows from the representation (3.3) that $s \in [\psi(x), \infty)$ if and only if $\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}^+(s, \phi)\} \leq 0$, if and only if $\phi(x) \leq \alpha_{\min}^+(s, \phi)$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$, if and only if $s \in \alpha_{\phi}^{-1}([\phi(x), \infty))$ for all $\phi \in \Phi$. Hence,

$$[\psi(x), \infty) = \bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi} \alpha_{\phi}^{-1}([\phi(x), \infty)) = \bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi} [G(\phi(x), \phi), \infty).$$

This shows that $\psi(x) = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} G(\phi(x), \phi)$ for all $x \in X$. In case that $\psi(x) = \infty$, then the representation (3.3) implies that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $\phi_n \in \Phi$ such that $n \notin \alpha_{\phi_n}^{-1}([\phi_n(x), \infty))$. Hence, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$n \notin \bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi} \alpha_{\phi}^{-1}([\phi(x), \infty)) = \bigcap_{\phi \in \Phi} [G(\phi(x), \phi), \infty).$$

This implies that $\psi(x) = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} G(\phi(x), \phi) = \infty$.

(v) \Rightarrow (i) Since α_{\min}^+ is countably stable in the second argument, we argue similar as in the implication from (iii) to (iv) that the level sets of ψ are countably directed. Since G is non-decreasing in the first argument, the functional ψ is monotone. \square

Remark 3.2. We point out that the statement of Theorem 3.1 remains valid if we replace countable maxitivity by complete maxitivity and countable stability by complete stability.³ The proof follows with the same arguments and the following modifications. In the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii), we do not rely on Corollary A.3, but rather extend the definition (3.5) of $F(s, f)$ for all $s \in I$ and $f \in [-\infty, \infty)^{\Phi}$, where $[-\infty, \infty)^{\Phi}$ denotes

³I.e., $\mathcal{P}(X)$ -maxitivity and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ -stability, where $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the power set.

the set of all functions from Φ to $[-\infty, \infty)$. Direct verification shows that F satisfies the conditions (1)–(3) on $I \times [-\infty, \infty)^\Phi$ and $F(s, \sup_{j \in J} f_j) = \sup_{j \in J} F(s, f_j)$ for every family $(f_j)_{j \in J}$ in $[-\infty, \infty)^\Phi$. From the latter property, one obtains the representation (3.6) for all $s \in I$ and $f \in [-\infty, \infty)^\Phi$ for a suitable function $\alpha: I \times \Phi \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$. From there, the argumentation is similar as in the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 3.1.

For the rest of this section, the space X is endowed with an operation $+$: $X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$. A function $\psi: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ satisfies the *translation property* if $\psi(x + c) = \psi(x) + c$ for all $x \in X$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. A function $\psi: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ satisfies the *deviation property* if $\psi(x + c) = \psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that every $\phi \in \Phi$ has the translation property. Let $\psi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function with $A_s := \{x \in X: \psi(x) \leq s\}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) ψ is monotone and has countably directed sublevel sets.
- (ii) There exists a stable function $\gamma: \Phi \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ with

$$\psi(x) = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \gamma(\phi)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

- (iii) The mapping $\phi \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(0, \phi) := \sup_{x \in A_0} \psi(x)$ is stable and

$$\psi(x) = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}(0, \phi)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii): Since ψ has the translation property, it holds $\psi(x) \leq s$ if and only if $\psi(x - s) \leq 0$, so that $A_s = A_0 - s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, since every $\phi \in \Phi$ has the translation property, we obtain for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi \in \Phi$,

$$\alpha_{\min}(s, \phi) = \sup_{x \in A_s} \phi(x) = \sup_{x \in A_0} \phi(x + s) = \sup_{x \in A_0} \phi(x) + s = \alpha_{\min}(0, \phi) + s.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that for every $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R} : \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}(s, \phi)\} \leq 0 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R} : \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}(0, \phi)\} \leq s \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) - \alpha_{\min}(0, \phi)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): That ψ is monotone and has countably directed sublevel sets follows from Theorem 3.1. Moreover, since every $\phi \in \Phi$ has the translation property, for every $x \in X$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\psi(x + c) = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x + c) - \gamma(\phi)\} = \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \{\phi(x) + c - \gamma(\phi)\} = \psi(x) + c,$$

which shows that ψ has the translation property. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that $\psi: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is monotone and has countably directed sublevel sets. If ψ has the deviation property, then $\psi(x) = \infty$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that for every $x \in X$,

$$\psi(x) = \inf \{s \in \mathbb{R} : \phi(x) \leq \alpha_{\min}(s, \phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in \Phi\}.$$

By similar arguments as in Corollary 3.3, it holds $\alpha_{\min}(s, \phi) = \alpha_{\min}(0, \phi)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, it necessary holds $\psi(x) = \infty$. \square

4. EXAMPLES

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be an atomless probability space. We denote by \mathcal{M} the set of all random variables $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where two of them are identified if they have the same law. Further, let \mathcal{M}_∞ and \mathcal{M}_1 be the sets of all random variables in \mathcal{M} which are essentially bounded, and have finite first moments, respectively. In the following, we analyze the main results of the previous section for several stochastic orders. For definitions and further details on stochastic orders we refer to [16, 22].

4.1. Usual stochastic order. For $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$, the *quantile function* $q_\xi: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as the left-inverse of the cumulative distribution function, i.e.,

$$q_\xi(u) := \inf\{v \in \mathbb{R}: u \leq \mathbb{P}(\xi \leq v)\}.$$

On the one hand, the quantile function q_ξ is non-decreasing and left-continuous, see Lemma B.1. On the other hand, every function $q: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is non-decreasing and left-continuous satisfies $q = q_\xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$, see Lemma B.3. Hence, there is a one-to-one relation between the set \mathcal{M} and quantile functions.

The *usual stochastic order* on \mathcal{M} is defined by

$$\xi \preceq_{\text{st}} \eta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad q_\xi(u) \leq q_\eta(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in (0, 1).$$

The ordered space $(\mathcal{M}, \preceq_{\text{st}})$ is a complete lattice, see [11, 17]. In particular, every sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ which is bounded from above has a supremum $\xi^* = \vee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n$ in \mathcal{M} which is determined by $q_{\xi^*}(u) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_{\xi_n}(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$.

Let $\Phi_{\text{st}} := \{\phi_u: u \in (0, 1)\}$ denote the family of functions $\phi_u: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\phi_u(\xi) := q_\xi(u)$. We identify Φ_{st} with $(0, 1)$, and endow $(0, 1)$ with the Euclidean topology.⁴ Then it holds $\xi \preceq_{\text{st}} \eta$ if and only if $\phi_u(\xi) \leq \phi_u(\eta)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, for every $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$, the mapping $u \mapsto \phi_u(\xi)$ is lower semicontinuous. Therefore, the partially ordered space $(\mathcal{M}, \preceq_{\text{st}})$ satisfies the assumptions of Section 3.

Lemma 4.1. *Every function $\gamma: (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is countably stable.*

Proof. Let $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ with $q^*(u) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_{\xi_n}(u) \leq \gamma(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$. Then the mapping $u \mapsto q^*(u)$ is real-valued, non-decreasing and left-continuous. Hence, there exists $\xi^* \in \mathcal{M}$ with $q^*(u) = q_{\xi^*}(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$. In particular, $\xi^* = \vee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n$ and $\phi_u(\xi^*) = q^*(u) \leq \gamma(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$. \square

For a function $\psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with sublevel sets $A_s := \{\xi \in \mathcal{M}: \psi(\xi) \leq s\}$, we define $\alpha_{\min}(s, u) := \sup_{\xi \in A_s} q_\xi(u)$ and $\alpha_{\min}^+(s, u) := \inf_{s < t} \alpha_{\min}(t, u)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in (0, 1)$. If ψ is countably maxitive, then the sublevel sets are countably directed, so that the mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(s, u)$ is real-valued for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A_s \neq \emptyset$. As a direct application of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following representation result for maxitive functions w.r.t. the usual stochastic order.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) ψ is countably maxitive w.r.t. \preceq_{st} .
- (ii) ψ is monotone and has countably directed sublevel sets w.r.t. \preceq_{st} .

⁴ $(0, 1)$ is not complete with the Euclidean distance. However, it is completely metrizable, that is, there exists an equivalent metric on $(0, 1)$ such that $(0, 1)$ with the relative Euclidean topology is a complete metric space. In fact, every countable intersection of open subsets of a complete metric space is completely metrizable, see [27, Theorem 24.12].

- (iii) *There exists a function $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ which is non-decreasing in the first argument such that*

$$\psi(\xi) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}: \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \{q_\xi(u) - \alpha(s, u)\} \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}.$$

The infimum is attained for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\psi(\xi) < \infty$.

- (iv) *The mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(s, u)$ is real-valued for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A_s \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, it holds*

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0,1)} G(q_\xi(u), u) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M},$$

where $G(t, u) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R}: t \leq \alpha_{\min}^+(s, u)\}$ for all $(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$.

If ψ also has the translation property, then we get the following version of the previous result. We notice that $q_{\xi+c} = q_\xi + c$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., the mapping $\phi_u: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the translation property for all $u \in (0, 1)$. Hence, we can apply Corollary 3.3 and obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function with the translation property. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *ψ is countably maxitive w.r.t. \preceq_{st} .*
- (ii) *There exists a function $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ such that*

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \{q_\xi(u) - \alpha(u)\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}.$$

- (iii) *The mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(0, u) = \sup_{\xi \in A_0} q_\xi(u)$ is real-valued, and*

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \{q_\xi(u) - \alpha_{\min}(0, u)\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Recall that the *value at risk* $\text{VaR}_u: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at level $u \in (0, 1)$, which is defined by $\text{VaR}_u(\xi) := q_\xi(u)$, is a monetary risk measure. A detailed discussion on risk measures can be found in [9]. The previous Corollary 4.3 is closely related to [26, Theorem 4] which provides a representation result for lower semicontinuous complete maxitive functionals $\mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having the translation property. A related representation result was also shown in [1, Proposition 4] for the class of benchmark-loss VaR which were introduced by Bigozzi et al. [1].

Remark 4.4. For every sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n$ exists, it holds $\text{VaR}_u(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{VaR}_u(\xi_n)$. Nevertheless, the function $\text{VaR}_u: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is not countably maxitive because it may happen that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{VaR}_u(\xi_n) < \infty$ for an unbounded sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}$. However, the function VaR_u can also be defined on the set \mathcal{M}^* of all extended random variables $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$. Then the supremum $\bigvee_{i \in I} \xi_i$ exists for every family $(\xi_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{M}^*$ and $\text{VaR}_u: \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is completely maxitive.

4.2. Increasing convex stochastic order. For $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$, we define the *integrated quantile function* $Q_\xi: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$Q_\xi(u) := \int_u^1 q_\xi(v) \, dv.$$

The function $u \mapsto Q_\xi(u)$ is concave, with $Q_\xi(0^+) = \mathbb{E}[\xi]$ and $Q_\xi(1^-) = 0$. Conversely, every concave function $Q: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $Q(0^+) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Q(1^-) = 0$ is of the form

$Q = Q_\xi$ for some random variable $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$, see Lemma B.4. The *increasing convex order* on \mathcal{M}_1 is defined by

$$\xi \preceq_{\text{icx}} \eta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad Q_\xi(u) \leq Q_\eta(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in (0, 1).$$

The ordered space $(\mathcal{M}_1, \preceq_{\text{icx}})$ is a complete lattice, see [11, 17]. In particular, every sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_1$ which is bounded from above has a supremum $\xi^* = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n$ which is determined by

$$Q_{\xi^*}(u) = \text{conc}(Q_{\xi_1}, Q_{\xi_2}, \dots)(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in (0, 1).$$

Here, $\text{conc}(Q_{\xi_1}, Q_{\xi_2}, \dots)$ denotes the *concave hull* of the sequence $(Q_{\xi_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, i.e., the smallest concave function $Q^*: (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ with $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Q_{\xi_n}(u) \leq Q^*(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$.⁵

Let $\Phi_{\text{icx}} := \{\phi_u : u \in (0, 1)\}$ be the family of functions $\phi_u : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\phi_u(\xi) := Q_\xi(u)$. We identify Φ_{icx} with $(0, 1)$, and endow $(0, 1)$ with the Euclidean topology. For every $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$, the function $u \mapsto \phi_u(\xi)$ is continuous. Hence, the partially ordered space $(\mathcal{M}_1, \preceq_{\text{icx}})$ satisfies the assumptions of Section 3.

Lemma 4.5. *Every concave function $\gamma : (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is countably stable.*

Proof. Let $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_1$ with $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Q_{\xi_n}(u) \leq \gamma(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$. Since γ is concave, it holds $Q^*(u) := \text{conc}(Q_{\xi_1}, Q_{\xi_2}, \dots)(u) \leq \gamma(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$. Since $u \mapsto Q^*(u)$ is real-valued, we obtain $Q_{\xi^*} = Q^*$ where $\xi^* = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n \in \mathcal{M}_1$, and $Q_{\xi^*}(u) = Q^*(u) \leq \gamma(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$. \square

For a function $\psi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with sublevel sets $A_s := \{\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1 : \psi(\xi) \leq s\}$, we define $\alpha_{\min}(s, u) := \sup_{\xi \in A_s} Q_\xi(u)$ and $\alpha_{\min}^+(s, u) := \inf_{s < t} \alpha_{\min}(t, u)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in (0, 1)$. If ψ is countably maxitive, then the sublevel sets are countably directed, from which it is straightforward to verify that the mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(s, u)$ is real-valued and concave for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A_s \neq \emptyset$.⁶ As a direct application of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following representation result for maxitive functions w.r.t. the increasing convex stochastic order.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) ψ is countably maxitive w.r.t. \preceq_{icx} .
- (ii) ψ is monotone and has countably directed sublevel sets w.r.t. \preceq_{icx} .
- (iii) There exists a function $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ which is non-decreasing in the first argument and concave in the second argument such that

$$\psi(\xi) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R} : \sup_{u \in (0, 1)} \{Q_\xi(u) - \alpha(s, u)\} \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_1.$$

The infimum is attained for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$ with $\psi(\xi) < \infty$.

- (iv) The mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(s, u)$ is real-valued and concave for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A_s \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, it holds

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0, 1)} G(Q_\xi(u), u) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_1,$$

where $G(t, u) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R} : t \leq \alpha_{\min}^+(s, u)\}$ for all $(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$.

⁵Notice that $\text{conc}(Q_{\xi_1}, Q_{\xi_2}, \dots)$ is the pointwise infimum over all concave functions $Q : (0, 1) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with $Q_{\xi_n}(u) \leq Q(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

⁶As for the concavity, we notice that for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in A_s$, there exists $\xi^* \in A_s$ with $Q_{\xi^*} = \text{conc}(Q_{\xi_1}, Q_{\xi_2})$ such that $\lambda Q_{\xi_1}(u_1) + (1 - \lambda) Q_{\xi_2}(u_2) \leq Q_{\xi^*}(\lambda u_1 + (1 - \lambda) u_2)$ for all $u_1, u_2, \lambda \in (0, 1)$.

If ψ in addition has the translation property, then we can argue as follows. Since the function $\xi \mapsto Q_\xi$ does not have the translation property, we consider the modified family $\Phi'_{\text{icx}} := \{\text{ES}_u : u \in (0, 1)\}$. Here, $\text{ES}_u : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the *expected shortfall* given by

$$\text{ES}_u(\xi) := \frac{1}{1-u} Q_\xi(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in (0, 1).$$

The expected shortfall is a convex risk measure, see e.g. [9] for further details. In particular, the functions ES_u have the translation property and also induce the partial order \preceq_{icx} . However, by changing to the family Φ'_{icx} , we also change the set of countably stable functions. More precisely, a function $\gamma : (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is countably stable w.r.t. Φ'_{icx} if and only if the function $u \mapsto \frac{1}{1-u} \gamma(u)$ is countably stable w.r.t. Φ'_{icx} . Moreover, the minimal penalty function α'_{\min} w.r.t. Φ'_{icx} is given by

$$\alpha'_{\min}(s, u) = \frac{1}{1-u} \alpha_{\min}(s, u).$$

As a consequence of Corollary 3.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function with the translation property. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) ψ is countably maxitive w.r.t. \preceq_{icx} .
- (ii) There exists a concave function $\alpha : (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0, 1)} \left\{ \text{ES}_u(\xi) - \frac{1}{1-u} \alpha(u) \right\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_1.$$

- (iii) The mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(0, u)$ is real-valued and concave. Moreover, it holds

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0, 1)} \left\{ \text{ES}_u(\xi) - \frac{1}{1-u} \alpha_{\min}(0, u) \right\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_1.$$

The previous Corollary 4.7 is closely related to [26, Theorem 5]. Moreover, it is shown in [26] that the function ES_u does not always preserve the maximum of two random variables w.r.t. \preceq_{icx} , i.e., the function ES_u is not maxitive w.r.t. \preceq_{icx} .

4.3. Convex stochastic order. The *convex order* on \mathcal{M}_1 is defined by

$$\xi \preceq_{\text{cx}} \eta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathbb{E}[\xi] = \mathbb{E}[\eta] \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \preceq_{\text{icx}} \eta.$$

The partially ordered space $(\mathcal{M}_1, \preceq_{\text{cx}})$ is not a lattice on \mathcal{M}_1 because it is not possible to define the maximum of two random variables $\xi, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ for which $\mathbb{E}[\xi] \neq \mathbb{E}[\nu]$. However, for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the convex order agrees with the increasing convex order on the set

$$\mathcal{M}_1^r := \{\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1 : \mathbb{E}[\xi] = r\}.$$

In particular, $(\mathcal{M}_1^r, \preceq_{\text{cx}})$ is a complete lattice. For $\psi : \mathcal{M}_1^r \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with sublevel sets $A_s := \{\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1^r : \psi(\xi) \leq s\}$, we define $\alpha_{\min} : \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ by $\alpha_{\min}(s, u) := \sup_{\xi \in A_s} Q_\xi(u)$ and $\alpha_{\min}^+(s, u) := \inf_{s < t} \alpha_{\min}(t, u)$. As a direct application of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following representation result for maxitive functions w.r.t. the convex stochastic order.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}_1^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) ψ is countably maxitive w.r.t. \preceq_{cx} .
- (ii) ψ is monotone and has countably directed sublevel sets w.r.t. \preceq_{cx} .

- (iii) *There exists a function $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ which is non-decreasing in the first argument and concave in the second argument such that*

$$\psi(\xi) = \min \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}: \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \{Q_\xi(u) - \alpha(s, u)\} \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_1^r.$$

- (iv) *The mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(s, u)$ is real-valued and concave for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A_s \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, it holds*

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0,1)} G(Q_\xi(u), u) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_1^r,$$

where $G(t, u) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R}: t \leq \alpha_{\min}^+(s, u)\}$ for all $(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$.

4.4. Increasing concave stochastic order. For $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$, we define the function $\bar{Q}_\xi: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\bar{Q}_\xi(u) := -Q_{-\xi}(u) = -\int_u^1 q_{-\xi}(v) dv.$$

The mapping $t \mapsto \bar{Q}_\xi(t)$ is convex and satisfies $\bar{Q}_\xi(0^+) = \mathbb{E}[\xi]$ and $\bar{Q}_\xi(1^-) = 0$. Conversely, every convex function $\bar{Q}: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\bar{Q}(0^+) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{Q}(1^-) = 0$ is of the form $\bar{Q} = \bar{Q}_\xi$ for some random variable $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$. The *increasing concave stochastic order* is defined by

$$\xi \preceq_{\text{icv}} \eta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad -\eta \preceq_{\text{icx}} -\xi.$$

That is, $\xi \preceq_{\text{icv}} \eta$ if and only if $\bar{Q}_\xi(u) \leq \bar{Q}_\eta(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$. The partially ordered space $(\mathcal{M}_1, \preceq_{\text{icv}})$ is a complete lattice, see [11, 17]. In particular, every sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_1$ which is bounded from above w.r.t. \preceq_{icv} has a supremum $\xi^* = \vee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n$ which is determined by $\bar{Q}_{\xi^*}(u) = \vee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{Q}_{\xi_n}(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$. We consider the family $\Phi_{\text{icv}} := \{\phi_u: u \in (0, 1)\}$ of functions $\phi_u: \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\phi_u(\xi) := \bar{Q}_\xi(u)$. Hence, the partially ordered space $(\mathcal{M}_1, \preceq_{\text{icv}})$ satisfies the conditions of Section 3. With arguments similar to those for the usual stochastic order, we get the following result.

Lemma 4.9. *Every function $\gamma: (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is countably stable.*

For a function $\psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with sublevel sets $A_s := \{\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1: \psi(\xi) \leq s\}$, we define $\alpha_{\min}(s, u) := \sup_{\xi \in A_s} \bar{Q}_\xi(u)$ and $\alpha_{\min}^+(s, u) := \inf_{s < t} \alpha_{\min}(t, u)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in (0, 1)$. If ψ is countably maxitive, then the sublevel sets are countably directed, so that the mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(s, u)$ is real-valued for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A_s \neq \emptyset$. As a direct application of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following representation result for maxitive functions w.r.t. the increasing concave stochastic order.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $\psi: \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) ψ is countably maxitive w.r.t. \preceq_{icv} .
- (ii) ψ is monotone and has countably directed sublevel sets w.r.t. \preceq_{icv} .
- (ii) *There exists a function $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ which is non-decreasing in the first argument such that*

$$\psi(\xi) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}: \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \{\bar{Q}_\xi(u) - \alpha(s, u)\} \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_1.$$

The infimum is attained for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$ with $\psi(\xi) < \infty$.

(iii) *The mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(s, u)$ is real-valued for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A_s \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, it holds*

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0,1)} G(\bar{Q}_\xi(u), u) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M},$$

where $G(t, u) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R} : t \leq \alpha_{\min}^+(s, u)\}$ for all $(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$.

In case that ψ has the translation property, we can proceed as follows. Since the function $\xi \mapsto \bar{Q}_\xi$ does not have the translation property, we consider the modified family $\Phi'_{\text{icv}} := \{\overline{\text{ES}}_u : u \in (0, 1)\}$, where $\overline{\text{ES}}_u : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\overline{\text{ES}}_u(\xi) := -\text{ES}_u(-\xi)$. The functions $\overline{\text{ES}}_u$ are translation invariant and induce the order \preceq_{icv} . The families Φ'_{icv} and Φ_{icv} result in the same countably stable functions. In addition, we obtain

$$\alpha'_{\min}(s, u) = \frac{1}{1-u} \alpha_{\min}(s, u),$$

where α'_{\min} is the minimal penalty function w.r.t. Φ'_{icv} . As a consequence of Corollary 3.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $\psi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function with the translation property. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) ψ is countably maxitive w.r.t. \preceq_{icv} .
- (ii) There exists a function $\alpha : (0, 1) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \left\{ \overline{\text{ES}}_u(\xi) - \frac{1}{1-u} \alpha(u) \right\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_1.$$

(iii) *The mapping $u \mapsto \alpha_{\min}(0, u)$ is real-valued. Moreover, it holds*

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \left\{ \overline{\text{ES}}_u(\xi) - \frac{1}{1-u} \alpha_{\min}(0, u) \right\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_1.$$

4.5. Dispersive stochastic order. The *dispersive stochastic order* on \mathcal{M} is defined by

$$\xi \preceq_{\text{disp}} \eta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad q_\xi(v) - q_\xi(u) \leq q_\eta(v) - q_\eta(u) \quad \text{for all } 0 < u \leq v < 1.$$

Remark 4.12. The dispersive order is not antisymmetric and is therefore not a partial order. However, by considering the quotient space \mathcal{M}/\sim w.r.t. the equivalence relation $\xi \sim \eta$ if and only if $\xi = \eta + c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that the partially ordered space $(\mathcal{M}/\sim, \preceq_{\text{disp}})$ is a lattice, see [17]. It is shown in Corollary C.4 that the lattice $(\mathcal{M}_\infty/\sim, \preceq_{\text{disp}})$ is order complete.

It follows from Proposition C.3 that every sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_\infty$ which is bounded from above w.r.t. \preceq_{disp} has a supremum $\xi^* \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ which is determined by

$$q_{\xi^*}(u) := \text{TV}_{[0,u]}(\{q_{\xi_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \quad \text{for all } u \in (0, 1].$$

Here, for $0 \leq u < v \leq 1$, we denote by $\text{TV}_{[u,v]}(Q) := \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[u,v]} S_\pi(Q)$ the *total variation* along $[u, v]$ of a non-empty set Q of quantile functions, where $\Pi[u, v]$ is the set of all partitions of the form $\pi = \{u = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = v\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for which we set $S_\pi(Q) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{q \in Q} (q(t_i) - q(t_{i-1}))$ with $q(0) := q(0^+)$ and $q(1) := q(1^-)$. It follows from Proposition B.2 that

$$\xi \preceq_{\text{disp}} \eta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad q_\xi(v) - q_\xi^+(u) \leq q_\eta(v) - q_\eta^+(u) \quad \text{for all } 0 < u < v < 1, \quad (4.1)$$

where $q_\xi^+(u) := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}(\xi \leq x) > u\}$ is the right quantile function of ξ .

In the following, we consider the family $\Phi_{\text{disp}} := \{\phi_{u,v} : 0 < u < v < 1\}$ of functions $\phi_{u,v} : \mathcal{M}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\phi_{u,v}(\xi) := q_\xi(v) - q_\xi^+(u)$. We identify Φ_{disp} with the set

$\Delta := \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < u < v < 1\}$ which we endow with the Euclidean topology.⁷ It follows from (4.1) that the family Φ_{disp} induces the dispersive order on \mathcal{M}_∞ . By Proposition B.1, the mapping $(u, v) \mapsto \phi_{u,v}(\xi)$ is lower semicontinuous on Δ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$. Hence, the ordered space $(\mathcal{M}_\infty, \preceq_{\text{disp}})$ satisfies the assumptions of Section 3.

Lemma 4.13. *A function $\alpha: \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is countably stable if there exists a non-decreasing function $\beta: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(u, v) = \beta(v) - \beta(u)$ for all $(u, v) \in \Delta$.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_\infty$ satisfies $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{q_{\xi_n}(v) - q_{\xi_n}^+(u)\} \leq \alpha(u, v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \Delta$. As an application of Proposition C.2 and Proposition C.1, we obtain that

$$\text{TV}_{[0,1]}(\{q_{\xi_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \text{TV}_{[0,t]}(\{q_{\xi_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \leq \beta(1) - \beta(0) < \infty.$$

By Proposition C.3, the sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a supremum $\xi^* \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ which is determined by

$$q_{\xi^*}(u) = \text{TV}_{[0,u]}(\{q_{\xi_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \quad \text{for all } u \in (0, 1].$$

Then for $(u, v) \in \Delta$, we obtain from $\text{TV}_{[0,v]} = \text{TV}_{[0,u]} + \text{TV}_{[u,v]}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\xi^*}(v) - q_{\xi^*}^+(u) &\leq \text{TV}_{[0,v]}(\{q_{\xi_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) - \text{TV}_{[0,u]}(\{q_{\xi_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \\ &= \text{TV}_{[u,v]}(\{q_{\xi_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \leq \beta(v) - \beta(u) = \alpha(u, v), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that α is countable stable. \square

A set Q of quantile functions is of bounded variation if $\text{TV}_{[0,1]}(Q) < \infty$.

Lemma 4.14. *Let A be a non-empty subset of \mathcal{M}_∞ . If A is countably directed w.r.t. \preceq_{disp} , then the set $Q := \{q_\xi : \xi \in A\}$ is of bounded variation and satisfies*

$$\sup_{\xi \in A} (q_\xi(v) - q_\xi^+(u)) = \text{TV}_{[u,v]}(Q) \quad \text{for all } (u, v) \in \Delta.$$

Proof. By contradiction, suppose that $\text{TV}_{[0,1]}(Q) = \infty$. Then there exists a sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in A$ and partitions $\pi_1 \subset \pi_2 \subset \dots$ of $[0, 1]$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_\pi(q_{\xi_n}) = \infty$. Since A is countably directed, the sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a supremum $\xi^* \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$. Then

$$\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{\pi_n}(q_{\xi_n}) \leq \sup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{\pi_n}(q_{\xi^*}) \leq \text{TV}_{[0,1]}(q_{\xi^*}),$$

in contradiction to $\text{TV}_{[0,1]}(q_{\xi^*}) < \infty$ since ξ^* is essentially bounded. This shows that Q is of bounded variation.

Define $\alpha(u, v) := \sup_{\xi \in A} (q_\xi(v) - q_\xi^+(u))$. For $(u, v) \in \Delta$, it follows from Proposition C.2 that

$$\alpha(u, v) \leq \text{TV}_{[u,v]}(Q).$$

To prove the equality, suppose by contradiction that $\alpha(u, v) < \text{TV}_{[u,v]}(Q)$. Then by Proposition C.2, there exists a partition $\pi := \{u = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = v\}$ with

$$\alpha(u, v) < \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{\xi \in A} (q_\xi(t_i) - q_\xi^+(t_{i-1})) < \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{\xi_i}(t_i) - q_{\xi_i}^+(t_{i-1}))$$

for some $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n \in A$. Since A is countably directed, there exists $\xi^* \in A$ such that $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \preceq_{\text{disp}} \xi^*$. Then

$$\alpha(u, v) < \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{\xi^*}(t_i) - q_{\xi^*}^+(t_{i-1})) \leq q_{\xi^*}(v) - q_{\xi^*}^+(u) \leq \alpha(u, v),$$

⁷Although Δ is not a complete metric space, it is completely metrizable as it is an open subset, see [27, Theorem 24.12]. In particular, Δ is a Polish space with the Euclidean topology.

which is a contradiction. \square

For a function $\psi: \mathcal{M}_\infty \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ with sublevel sets $A_s := \{\xi \in \mathcal{M}_\infty: \psi(\xi) \leq s\}$, we define $\alpha_{\min}(s, u, v) := \sup_{\xi \in A_s} (q_\xi(v) - q_\xi^+(u))$ and $\alpha_{\min}^+(s, u, v) := \inf_{s < t} \alpha_{\min}(t, u, v)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(u, v) \in \Delta$.

Let $I := \{s \in \mathbb{R}: A_s \neq \emptyset\}$. If ψ has countably directed sublevel sets, it follows from Lemma 4.14 that for every $s \in I$, the set $Q_s := \{q_\xi: \xi \in A_s\}$ is of bounded variation, and $\alpha_{\min}(s, u, v) = \beta(s, v) - \beta(s, u)$ for all $(u, v) \in \Delta$ where $\beta(s, u) := \text{TV}_{[0, u]}(Q_s)$. Moreover, the mapping $\beta: \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-decreasing in the second argument and satisfies $\beta(s, v) - \beta(s, u) \leq \beta(s', v) - \beta(s', u)$ for all $s \leq s'$. As a direct application of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following representation result for maxitive functions w.r.t. the dispersive stochastic order.

Theorem 4.15. *Let $\psi: \mathcal{M}_\infty \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be a function with sublevel sets $(A_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) ψ is monotone and $(A_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ are countably directed upwards w.r.t. \preceq_{disp} .
- (2) There exists a function $\beta: I \times (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is non-decreasing in the second argument, and satisfies $\beta(s, v) - \beta(s, u) \leq \beta(s', v) - \beta(s', u)$ for all $s \leq s'$ and $(u, v) \in \Delta$. Moreover, it holds

$$\psi(\xi) = \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}: \sup_{(u, v) \in \Delta} \{q_\xi(v) - q_\xi(u^+) - \alpha(s, u, v)\} \leq 0 \right\} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_\infty,$$

where $\alpha(s, u, v) := \beta(s, v) - \beta(s, u)$ for all $s \in I$, and $\alpha(s, u, v) := \infty$ otherwise. The infimum is attained for all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ with $\psi(\xi) < \infty$.

- (3) For every $s \in I$, the set $Q_s := \{q_\xi: \xi \in A_s\}$ is of bounded variation and $\alpha_{\min}(s, u, v) = \text{TV}_{[u, v]}(Q_s)$ for all $(u, v) \in \Delta$. Moreover, it holds

$$\psi(\xi) = \sup_{(u, v) \in \Delta} G(q_\xi(v) - q_\xi^+(u), u, v) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{M}_\infty,$$

where $G(t, u, v) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R}: t \leq \alpha_{\min}^+(s, u, v)\}$ for all $(t, u, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Delta$.

APPENDIX A. AUXILIARY RESULTS FOR MAXITIVE RISK MEASURES ON $C_b(S)$

Let (S, d) be a Polish space, and denote by \mathcal{X} a convex cone of functions $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $C_b(S) \subset \mathcal{X}$. Here, $C_b(S)$ denotes the space of all bounded continuous functions. The set \mathcal{X} is endowed with the pointwise order. For a function $\psi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$, we frequently deal with the following properties:

- (T) $\psi(f + c) = \psi(f) + c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (M) $\psi(f) \leq \psi(g)$ whenever $f \leq g$.
- (C) $\psi(\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g) \leq \lambda\psi(f) + (1 - \lambda)\psi(g)$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

The function ψ is *continuous from below* if $\psi(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(f_n)$ for all sequences $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ with $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, the function ψ is *countably maxitive* if $\psi(f) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \psi(f_n)$ for all sequences $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ with $f = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in \mathcal{X}$.

For $\psi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$, we consider the *acceptance set* $\mathcal{A} := \{f \in \mathcal{X}: \psi(f) \leq 0\}$, and define the *convex conjugate* $\psi_{C_b(S)}^*: \text{ca}_1^+(S) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$\psi_{C_b(S)}^*(\mu) := \sup_{f \in C_b(S)} \left\{ \int f \, d\mu - \psi(f) \right\}.$$

Here, $\text{ca}_1^+(S)$ denotes the set of all Borel probability measures on S . In the particular case of a Dirac measure δ_x , we get

$$I_{\min}(x) := \psi_{C_b(S)}^*(\delta_x) = \sup_{f \in C_b(S)} \{f(x) - \psi(f)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in S.$$

The following result was recently shown by Delbaen [4, 5], see also [15] for an alternative proof.

Theorem A.1. *Let $\psi: C_b(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying (T), (M) and (C). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) ψ is continuous from below.
- (ii) ψ admits the representation

$$\psi(f) = \sup_{\mu \in \text{ca}_1^+(S)} \left\{ \int f \, d\mu - \psi_{C_b(S)}^*(\mu) \right\}.$$

In combination with [12, Theorem 2.1], we obtain the following representation result.

Theorem A.2. *Let $\psi: C_b(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying (T) and (M). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) ψ is countably maxitive.
- (ii) $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in \mathcal{A}$ for every sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in C_b(S)$.
- (iii) ψ admits the representation

$$\psi(f) = \sup_{x \in S} \{f(x) - I_{\min}(x)\} \quad \text{for all } f \in C_b(S).$$

- (iv) ψ satisfies (C) and is continuous from below. Moreover,

$$\psi_{C_b(S)}^*(\mu) = \int I_{\min}(x) \mu(dx) \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \text{ca}_1^+(S).$$

- (v) There exists a function $\gamma: S \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

$$\mathcal{A} = \{f \in C_b(S) : f \leq \gamma\}.$$

Proof. The argumentation is analogous to that in [12, Theorem 2.1]. Similar to the proof of [12, Theorem 2.1], it holds

$$\psi^*(\delta_\cdot) = \text{ess.sup}_\mu \mathcal{A} \quad \mu\text{-almost surely} \tag{A.1}$$

for all $\mu \in \text{ca}_1^+(S)$, where $\text{ess.sup}_\mu \mathcal{A}$ denotes the essential supremum of \mathcal{A} w.r.t. μ .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in C_b(S)$. Since ψ is countably maxitive, it holds $\psi(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \psi(f_n) \leq 0$, which shows that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_b(S)$ with $f := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in C_b(S)$. Since by (T), $f_n - \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \psi(f_m) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain $f - \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \psi(f_m) \in \mathcal{A}$. By (T) and (M), it holds

$$\psi(f) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \psi(f_n) \leq \psi(f).$$

(i) \Rightarrow (iv) : Since ψ is countably maxitive, by [12, Proposition 2.1], it satisfies (C). Fix $\mu \in \text{ca}_1^+(S)$. Since \mathcal{A} is directed upwards, there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_n \nearrow \text{ess.sup}_\mu \mathcal{A}$ μ -almost surely. By (A.1), we have that $\text{ess.sup}_\mu \mathcal{A} = I_{\min}$ μ -almost surely. Hence, by monotone convergence,

$$\psi_{C_b(S)}^*(\mu) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} \int f \, d\mu \geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_n \, d\mu = \int I_{\min}(x) \mu(dx).$$

Moreover,

$$\psi_{C_b(S)}^*(\mu) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} \int f \, d\mu \leq \int \text{ess.sup}_\mu \mathcal{A} \, d\mu = \int I_{\min}(x) \mu(dx).$$

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii) : For every $f \in C_b(S)$, it follows from Theorem A.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f) &= \sup_{\mu \in \text{ca}_1^+(S)} \left\{ \int f \, d\mu - \psi_{C_b(S)}^*(\mu) \right\} = \sup_{\mu \in \text{ca}_1^+(S)} \left\{ \int f \, d\mu - \int I_{\min}(x) \mu(dx) \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\mu \in \text{ca}_1^+(S)} \left\{ \int f(x) - I_{\min}(x) \mu(dx) \right\} = \sup_{x \in S} \{f(x) - I_{\min}(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (v) : From the representation, $f \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $f(x) \leq I_{\min}(x)$ for all $x \in S$. Hence, $\mathcal{A} = \{f \in C_b(S) : f \leq \gamma\}$ with $\gamma = I_{\min}$.

(v) \Rightarrow (ii) : Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \in C_b(S)$. Since $f_n \leq \gamma$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n \leq \gamma$. This shows that $f \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

We denote by $L(S)$ the set of all lower semicontinuous functions $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary A.3. *Suppose that $\psi : L(S) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ satisfies $\psi(0) \in \mathbb{R}$, (T), (M) and is countably maxitive. Then*

$$\psi(f) = \sup_{x \in S} \{f(x) - I_{\min}(x)\} \quad \text{for all } f \in L(S).$$

Proof. Since $\psi(0) \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows from (T) that the restriction of ψ to $C_b(S)$ is real-valued. Hence, it follows from Theorem A.2 that

$$\psi(f) = \sup_{x \in S} \{f(x) - I_{\min}(x)\} \quad \text{for all } f \in C_b(S).$$

Now, let $f \in L(S)$ being bounded from below. Then there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_b(S)$ with $f_n \nearrow f$. For instance, $f_n(x) := \inf \{f(y) + nd(x, y) : y \in S\} \wedge n$ is as desired. Then since ψ is continuous from below,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f) &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \psi(f_n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{x \in S} \{f_n(x) - I_{\min}(x)\} \\ &= \sup_{x \in S} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f_n(x) - I_{\min}(x)\} = \sup_{x \in S} \{f(x) - I_{\min}(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for general $f \in L(S)$, since ψ is countably maxitive, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f) \vee \psi(-n) &= \psi(f \vee (-n)) \\ &= \sup_{x \in S} \{f(x) \vee (-n) - I_{\min}(x)\} \\ &= \sup_{x \in S} \{(f(x) - I_{\min}(x)) \vee (-n - I_{\min}(x))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\psi(f) = \sup_{x \in S} \{f(x) - I_{\min}(x)\}$. \square

APPENDIX B. AUXILIARY RESULTS FOR QUANTILE FUNCTIONS

Suppose that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is an atomless probability space. Recall that \mathcal{M} denotes the set of all random variables $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where two of them are identified if they have the same law. Given $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$, we define the cumulative distribution function by $F_\xi(x) := \mathbb{P}(\xi \leq x)$ and set $F_\xi(x^-) = \sup_{y < x} F_\xi(y)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the quantile function $q_\xi : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and upper quantile function $q_\xi^+ : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined by

$$q_\xi(t) := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : t \leq F_\xi(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad q_\xi^+(t) := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : t < F_\xi(x)\}.$$

Lemma B.1. *For all $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, the following statements hold:*

- (i) $[q_\xi(t), \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : t \leq F_\xi(x)\}$.
- (ii) $(-\infty, q_\xi^+(t)] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : t \geq F_\xi(x^-)\}$.
- (iii) $\sup_{s < t} q_\xi(s) = \sup_{s < t} q_\xi^+(s) = q_\xi(t)$.
- (iv) $\inf_{s > t} q_\xi(s) = \inf_{s > t} q_\xi^+(s) = q_\xi^+(t)$.
- (v) *The function $q_\xi: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is left-continuous.*
- (vi) *The function $q_\xi^+: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is right-continuous.*
- (vii) *The function $(s, r) \mapsto q_\xi(r) - q_\xi^+(s)$ is lower semicontinuous on $(0, 1)^2$.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from the definitions of q and q^+ , using that F_ξ is non-decreasing and right-continuous.

For $a := \sup_{s < t} q_\xi(s)$, it follows from (i) that

$$[a, \infty) = \bigcap_{s < t} [q_\xi(s), \infty) = \bigcap_{s < t} F_\xi^{-1}([s, \infty)) = F_\xi^{-1}([t, \infty)) = [q_\xi(t), \infty),$$

where $F_\xi^{-1}([s, \infty)) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : s \leq F_\xi(x)\}$. This shows $\sup_{s < t} q_\xi(s) = q_\xi(t)$. For $s < t$, it holds $q_\xi(s) \leq q_\xi^+(s) \leq q_\xi(t)$, so that $q_\xi(t) = \sup_{s < t} q_\xi(s) \leq \sup_{s < t} q_\xi^+(s) \leq q_\xi(t)$, which shows (iii).

Define $G(s) := F_\xi(s^-)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For $b := \inf_{s > t} q_\xi^+(s)$, it follows from (ii) that

$$(-\infty, b] = \bigcap_{s > t} (-\infty, q_\xi^+(s)] = \bigcap_{s > t} G^{-1}((-\infty, s]) = G^{-1}((-\infty, t]) = (-\infty, q_\xi^+(t)],$$

where $G^{-1}([s, \infty)) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : s \leq G(x)\}$. This shows $\inf_{s > t} q_\xi^+(s) = q_\xi^+(t)$. For $s > t$, it holds $q_\xi^+(s) \geq q_\xi(s) \geq q_\xi^+(t)$, so that $q_\xi^+(t) = \inf_{s > t} q_\xi^+(s) \geq \inf_{s > t} q_\xi(s) \geq q_\xi^+(t)$, which shows (iv).

Finally, (v), (vi) and (vii) are consequences of (iii) and (iv). \square

Lemma B.2. *For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{M}$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $q_\xi(u) - q_\xi(t) \leq q_\eta(u) - q_\eta(t)$ for all $0 < t \leq u < 1$.
- (ii) $q_\xi(u) - q_\xi^+(t) \leq q_\eta(u) - q_\eta^+(t)$ for all $0 < t < u < 1$.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $0 < t \leq u < 1$. For $s \in (0, t)$, it holds $q_\xi(u) - q_\xi^+(s) \leq q_\eta(u) - q_\eta^+(s)$. Letting $s \rightarrow t^-$, it follows from (iii) in Lemma B.1 that

$$q_\xi(u) - q_\xi(t) \leq q_\eta(u) - q_\eta(t).$$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $0 < t < u < 1$. For $s \in (t, u)$, it holds $q_\xi(u) - q_\xi(s) \leq q_\eta(u) - q_\eta(s)$. Letting $s \rightarrow t^+$, it follows from (iv) in Lemma B.1 that $q_\xi(u) - q_\xi^+(t) \leq q_\eta(u) - q_\eta^+(t)$. \square

Lemma B.3. *If $q: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-decreasing and left-continuous, then there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $q(u) = q_\xi(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. If $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is atomless, then there exists a uniformly distributed random variable U with values in $(0, 1)$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, see e.g. [3, Theorem 1] and [9, Proposition A.27]. Then $\xi = q(U)$ is as desired. To that end, define $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$F(x) := 0 \vee \sup\{s \in (0, 1) : x \geq q(s)\},$$

which satisfies

- (1) $q(F(x)) \leq x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (where we set $q(0) := q(0^+)$ and $q(1) := q(1^-)$),
- (2) $F(q(s)) \geq s$ for all $s \in (0, 1)$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows from (2) that $\{q(U) \leq x\} \subset \{F(q(U)) \leq F(x)\} \subset \{U \leq F(x)\}$. Hence, for every $u \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} q_\xi(u) &= \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}(q(U) \leq x) \geq u\} \\ &\geq \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}(U \leq F(x)) \geq u\} \\ &= \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) \geq u\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) \geq u\}$, and $x_n \downarrow a$ with $F(x_n) \geq u$. It follows from (1) that $x_n \geq q(u)$, and therefore $q_\xi(u) \geq a \geq q(u)$ by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

As for the other inequality, we have that $\mathbb{P}(q(U) \leq q(u)) \geq \mathbb{P}(U \leq u) = u$. Hence, it follows from the definition of $q_\xi(u)$ that $q_\xi(u) \leq q(u)$. \square

Recall that for $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$, the integrated quantile function $Q_\xi : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$Q_\xi(u) := \int_u^1 q_\xi(v) \, dv.$$

Lemma B.4. *If $Q : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is concave and satisfies $Q(0^+) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Q(1^-) = 0$, then there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$ such that $Q(u) = Q_\xi(u)$ for all $u \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Since Q is concave, there exist a non-decreasing function $q : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$Q(u) = Q(c) - \int_c^u q(v) \, dv,$$

see e.g. [21, Theorem 12A]. By replacing q by its left-continuous modification, we can assume that q is left-continuous. Using that $Q(1^-) = 0$, we get that

$$Q(u) = \int_u^1 q(v) \, dv.$$

Since q is left-continuous, it follows from Lemma B.3 that $q = q_\xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$. It remains to show that $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{P}[|\xi|] &= \int_0^1 |q_\xi(v)| \, dv \\ &= - \int_0^{F_\xi(0)} q_\xi(v) \, dv + \int_{F_\xi(0)}^1 q_\xi(v) \, dv \\ &= -Q(0^+) + 2Q(F_\xi(0)) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, ξ has finite first moment, and consequently $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_1$. \square

APPENDIX C. AUXILIARY RESULTS FOR THE TOTAL VARIATION

Suppose that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is an atomless probability space. Recall that for a non-empty set Q of quantile functions and $[u, v] \subset [0, 1]$, we define its total variation on $[u, v]$ as

$$\text{TV}_{[u,v]}(Q) := \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[u,v]} S_\pi(Q),$$

where $\Pi[u, v]$ consists of all partitions $\pi = \{u = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = v\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, we set $S_\pi(Q) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{q \in Q} (q(t_i) - q(t_{i-1}))$ with $q(0) := q(0^+)$ and $q(1) := q(1^-)$.

We say that the set Q is of *bounded variation* if $\text{TV}_{[0,1]}(Q) < \infty$. It is straightforward to verify that $\text{TV}_{[0,v]} = \text{TV}_{[0,u]} + \text{TV}_{[u,v]}$ for all $0 < u \leq v < 1$.

Proposition C.1. *The mapping $t \mapsto \text{TV}_{[0,t]}(Q)$ is non-decreasing and left-continuous on $(0, 1]$.*

Proof. By definition, the mapping $t \mapsto \text{TV}_{[0,t]}(Q)$ is non-decreasing. Given $t \in (0, 1]$, we fix a partition $\pi = \{t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n\}$ of $[0, t]$. For every $u \in (0, t)$, we consider the partition $\pi_u := (\pi \cap [0, u]) \cup \{u\} \in \Pi[0, u]$. Then since every $q \in Q$ is non-decreasing and left-continuous, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{u < t} S_{\pi_u}(Q) &= \sup_{u \in (t_{n-1}, t)} S_{\pi_u}(Q) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sup_{q \in Q} (q(t_i) - q(t_{i-1})) + \sup_{u \in (t_{n-1}, t)} \sup_{q \in Q} (q(u) - q(t_{n-1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sup_{q \in Q} (q(t_i) - q(t_{i-1})) + \sup_{q \in Q} \sup_{u \in (t_{n-1}, t)} (q(u) - q(t_{n-1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sup_{q \in Q} (q(t_i) - q(t_{i-1})) + \sup_{q \in Q} (q(t) - q(t_{n-1})) \\ &= S_{\pi}(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{TV}_{[0,u]}(Q) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[0,u]} S_{\pi}(Q) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[0,t]} S_{\pi_u}(Q)$ for all $u < t$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{u \uparrow t} \text{TV}_{[0,u]}(Q) &= \sup_{u < t} \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[0,t]} S_{\pi_u}(Q) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[0,t]} \sup_{u < t} S_{\pi_u}(Q) \\ &= \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[0,t]} S_{\pi}(Q) = \text{TV}_{[0,t]}(Q). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

For $[u, v] \subset [0, 1]$ and $\pi \in \Pi[u, v]$, we define $\underline{S}_{\pi}(Q) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{q \in Q} (q_{\xi}(t_i) - q_{\xi}^+(t_{i-1}))$.

Proposition C.2. *For every $[u, v] \subset [0, 1]$, it holds*

$$\text{TV}_{[u,v]}(Q) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[u,v]} \underline{S}_{\pi}(Q).$$

Proof. By contradiction, we assume that $\sup_{\pi \in \Pi[u,v]} S_{\pi}(Q) > \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[u,v]} \underline{S}_{\pi}(Q)$. Then, there exists $\bar{\pi} = \{u = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = v\} \in \Pi[u, v]$ with

$$S_{\bar{\pi}}(Q) > \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[u,v]} \underline{S}_{\pi}(Q).$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $S_{\bar{\pi}}(Q) - \varepsilon > \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[0,t]} \underline{S}_{\pi}(Q)$. For every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the mapping $t \mapsto \sup_{q \in Q} (q(t) - q(t_{i-1}))$ is left-continuous. Hence, there exists $u_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ such that

$$\sup_{q \in Q} (q(u_i) - q(t_{i-1})) > \sup_{q \in Q} (q(t_i) - q(t_{i-1})) - \frac{\varepsilon}{n}.$$

Since $q^+(u_i) \leq q(t_i)$ for all i , we get

$$\sup_{q \in Q} (q(u_i) - q^+(u_{i-1})) \geq \sup_{q \in Q} (q(t_i) - q(t_{i-1})) - \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

where $u_0 := t_0 = u$. For the partition $\pi' := \{u = u_0 < \dots < u_n < t_n = v\} \in \Pi[u, v]$, we obtain

$$\underline{S}_{\pi'}(Q) \geq S_{\bar{\pi}}(Q) - \varepsilon > \sup_{\pi \in \Pi[0,t]} \underline{S}_{\pi}(Q),$$

which is a contradiction. \square

The following two results are formulated in the setting of Subsection 4.5.

Proposition C.3. *Suppose that $Q := \{q_\xi : \xi \in A\}$ is of bounded variation for some $A \subset \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists $\xi^* \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $q_{\xi^*}(t) = \text{TV}_{[0,t]}(Q)$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$. Moreover, ξ^* is a supremum of A w.r.t. \preceq_{disp} .*

Proof. By Proposition C.1 and the fact that Q is of bounded variation, the function $t \mapsto \text{TV}_{[0,t]}(Q)$ is real-valued, non-decreasing and left-continuous. Hence, there exists $\xi^* \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $q_{\xi^*}(t) = \text{TV}_{[0,t]}(Q)$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$. Given $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < u \leq v < 1$, for every $\xi \in A$, we have that

$$q_\xi(v) - q_\xi(u) \leq \text{TV}_{[u,v]}(Q) = \text{TV}_{[0,v]}(Q) - \text{TV}_{[0,u]}(Q) = q_{\xi^*}(v) - q_{\xi^*}(u).$$

Thus, ξ^* is an upper bound of A w.r.t. \preceq_{disp} . On the other hand, if η is another upper bound of A w.r.t. \preceq_{disp} , we necessarily have that

$$q_{\xi^*}(v) - q_{\xi^*}(u) = \text{TV}_{[u,v]}(Q) \leq \text{TV}_{[u,v]}(q_\eta) = q_\eta(v) - q_\eta(u),$$

where we have used in the last equality that q_η is non-decreasing. This implies that $\xi^* \preceq_{\text{disp}} \eta$. \square

Corollary C.4. *The partially ordered space $(\mathcal{M}_\infty/\sim, \preceq_{\text{disp}})$ is a complete lattice.*

Proof. Let $A \subset \mathcal{M}_\infty/\sim$ be a set which is bounded from above w.r.t. \preceq_{disp} , and denote by A_0 the set of respective representatives ξ with $q_\xi(0^+) = 0$. Suppose that ξ^* is an upper bound of A_0 . Then

$$\text{TV}_{[0,1]}(A_0) \leq \text{TV}_{[0,1]}(q_{\xi^*}) = q_{\xi^*}(1^-) - q_{\xi^*}(0^+) < \infty.$$

Hence, A_0 is of bounded variation and has a supremum in \mathcal{M}_∞ w.r.t. \preceq_{disp} by Proposition C.3. Then passing to the quotient, A has a unique supremum in \mathcal{M}_∞/\sim . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bignozzi, M. Burzoni, C. Munari, Risk measures based on benchmark loss distributions, *J. Risk Insurance* 87(2) (2020) 437–475
- [2] M. Cattaneo, On maxitive integration, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 304 (2016) 65–81.
- [3] F. Delbaen, *Monetary Utility Functions*, Osaka Univ. Press, Osaka, 2012.
- [4] F. Delbaen, Convex increasing functionals on $C_b(X)$ spaces, *Studia Math.* 271 (2023), 107–120.
- [5] F. Delbaen, Monetary utility functions on $C_b(X)$ spaces, Preprint, arXiv:2209.08137, 2022.
- [6] S. Drapeau, M. Kupper, Risk preferences and their robust representation, *Math. Oper. Res.* 38(1) (2013), 28–62.
- [7] D. Dubois, H. Prade, *Possibility Theory: An Approach to Computerized Processing of Uncertainty*, Plenum Press, New York, 1988.
- [8] D. Dubois, H. Prade, Possibility theory and its applications: where do we stand?, in: *Springer Handbook of Computational Intelligence*, Springer, 2015, pp. 31–60.
- [9] H. Föllmer, A. Schied, *Stochastic Finance. An Introduction in Discrete Time*, extended edition, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011.
- [10] M. Grabisch, J.-L. Marichal, R. Mesiar, and E. Pap, *Aggregation functions*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2009.
- [11] R. P. Kertz, and U. Rösler, Complete lattices of probability measures with applications to martingale theory. *IMS Lecture Notes Monograph Series* 35 (2000), 153–177.
- [12] M. Kupper, J. M. Zapata, Large deviations built on max-stability, *Bernoulli* 27(2) (2021) 1001–1027.
- [13] M. Kupper, J. M. Zapata, Weakly maxitive set functions and their possibility distributions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 467 (2023) 108506.
- [14] V. N. Kolokoltsov, V. P. Maslov, *Idempotent Analysis and Its Applications*, Springer, 1997.
- [15] M. Nendel, Lower semicontinuity of monotone functionals in the mixed topology on C_b , Preprint, arXiv:2210.09133 (2022).
- [16] A. Müller, D. Stoyan, *Comparison Methods for Stochastic Models and Risks*, John Wiley & Sons, 2002.

- [17] A. Müller, and M. Scarsini, Stochastic order relations and lattices of probability measures, *SIAM J. Optim.* 16(4) (2006) 1024–1043.
- [18] S. Peng, *Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus Under Uncertainty*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2019.
- [19] P. Poncet, *Representation of maxitive measures: An overview*, *Math. Slovaca* 67(1) (2017) 121–150.
- [20] A. Puhalskii, *Large Deviations and Idempotent Probability*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 119. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press/CRC., 2001.
- [21] A. W. Roberts, D. E. Varberg, *Convex Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [22] M. Shaked, J. G. Shanthikumar, *Stochastic Orders*, Springer Series in Statistics, 2007.
- [23] N. Shilkret, Maxitive measure and integration, *Indag. Math.* 33 (1971) 109–116.
- [24] Y. Torra, Y. Narukawa, *Modeling Decisions*. Information Fusion and Aggregation Operators, Springer, 2007.
- [25] P. Walley, *Statistical Reasoning with Imprecise Probabilities*, *Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability*, vol. 42, Chapman and Hall, Ltd., London, 1991.
- [26] T. Mao, R. Wang, and Q. Wu, Model aggregation for risk evaluation and robust optimization. Preprint, arXiv:2201.06370, 2022.
- [27] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison Wesley, 1970.
- [28] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets as a basis for a theory of possibility*, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 1 (1978) 3–28.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF KONSTANZ
Email address: kupper@uni-konstanz.de

UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA. DPTO. DE ESTADÍSTICA E INVESTIGACIÓN OPERATIVA, 30100 ESPINARDO, MURCIA, SPAIN
Email address: jmzg1@um.es