

Kinetic modelling of rarefied gas mixtures with disparate mass

Qi Li, Jianan Zeng and Lei Wu[†]

Department of Mechanics and Aerospace Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

The simulation of rarefied gas flow based on the Boltzmann equation is challenging, especially when the gas mixtures have disparate molecular masses. In this paper, a computationally tractable kinetic model is proposed for monatomic gas mixtures, to mimic the Boltzmann collision operator as closely as possible. The intra- and inter-collisions are modelled separately using relaxation approximations, to correctly recover the relaxation timescales that could span several orders of magnitude. The proposed kinetic model preserves the accuracy of the Boltzmann equation in the continuum regime by recovering the four critical transport properties of a gas mixture: the shear viscosity, the thermal conductivity, the coefficients of diffusion and the thermal diffusion. While in the rarefied flow regimes, the kinetic model is found to be accurate when comparing its solutions with those from the direct simulation Monte Carlo method in several representative cases (e.g. one-dimensional normal shock wave, Fourier flow and Couette flow, two-dimensional supersonic flow passing a cylinder and nozzle flow into a vacuum), for binary mixtures with a wide range of mass ratios (up to 1000), species concentrations, and different intermolecular potentials. Pronounced separations in species properties have been observed, and the flow characteristics of gas mixtures in shock waves are found to change as the mass difference increases from moderate to substantial.

1. Introduction

The dynamics of rarefied gas mixtures have long been an important issue, and one of the particular interests lies in the non-equilibrium phenomena of disparate-mass mixtures widely encountered in plasma physics, aerospace engineering and chip industry. For example, during the re-entry of a vehicle into the planetary atmosphere at a significantly high Mach number, plasma comprising ions, electrons, as well as neutral species, is formed between the shock and the vehicle surface, where the mass ratio between the mixture components can be as large as 10^3 to 10^5 (Brun 2012); In the low-pressure environment of an extreme ultraviolet (EUV) lithography system, hydrogen is commonly employed as a clean gas to effectively inhibit the diffusion of pollutant gas molecules (e.g. hydrocarbon) generated by photoresists, which possess a mass several tens or hundreds times greater than that of clean gases (Teng et al. 2023); Similar situations are also encountered in the design of particle exhaust system in nuclear fusion device (Tantos et al. 2024).

In addition to the well-known gas rarefaction effects occurring when the mean free path of gas molecules is comparable to the characteristic flow length, in the rarefied gas mixtures with disparate mass, multiscale non-equilibrium is present not only spatially but also temporally. From the mesoscopic perspective, the light gas molecules have a larger thermal velocity than the heavy ones, thus leading to dispersed relaxation time scales of molecular collisions. According to Grad (1960), the light gas molecules will get the equilibrium among themselves first through intra-species collisions; then the heavy molecules reach their own equilibrium; and finally, all the species

[†] Email address for correspondence: wul@sustech.edu.cn

approach the common state through inter-species collisions. The large difference in relaxation time generates difficulties in the simulation of gas mixture flows. The Navier–Stokes-type equations involving a common flow temperature and approximated diffusion velocities of each species are adequate only when all these relaxation times are much smaller than the characteristic time of gas flow (Nagnibeda & Kustova 2009). Otherwise, the gas kinetic theory needs to be adopted to capture the non-equilibrium behaviours (Sawant *et al.* 2020; Agrawal *et al.* 2020). Although the Boltzmann equation is rigorously established for gas mixtures consisting of monatomic molecules at a mesoscopic level, it is practically difficult to apply in realistic applications, due to the numerical complexity of the high-dimensional nonlinear integral collision operator, especially for the mixtures with mass disparity. Even using the fast spectral method, the computational cost for each binary collision operator will be increased by the square root of the mass ratio, and hence the numerical simulation is stopped at the molecular mass ratio less than 36 (Wu *et al.* 2015b).

A well-acknowledged method for the simulations of rarefied gas flows is the Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC) method (Bird 1994), which models the kinetic processes of a collection of simulated particles. It has been proven by Wagner (1992) that DSMC is equivalent to the Boltzmann equation for monatomic gas, as the number of simulation particles approaches infinity. Although applicable in all flow regimes, DSMC is computationally costly in the simulation of flows with low Knudsen numbers (Kn), since the cell size and time step should be smaller than the mean free path and mean collision times of gas molecules, respectively. In a gas mixture with disparate mass, the mean collision time of different types of collisions spans multiple scales, thereby restricting the time step in DSMC to be smaller than the fastest relaxation time and significantly decelerating the numerical evolution of the system. In some simulation cases of collisional plasma, the electron mass is increased by three orders of magnitude to achieve an acceptable simulation time but sacrifice the accuracy (Farbar & Boyd 2010). In addition, the concentration of components in the gas mixture varies significantly in many of the realistic applications. For instance, the ultraviolet radiation from nitric oxide is of particular interest in the flow fields surrounding hypersonic re-entry vehicles, while the mole fraction of nitric oxide is typically less than 10^{-5} (Erdman *et al.* 1993). Thus, the conventional DSMC method with equally weighted particles has enormous difficulties in terms of either huge computational costs or significant statistical noise. The differentially weighted schemes, although solve the disparate mole fraction problem, face conservation issues during each collision (Boyd 1996), and involve complicated splitting/merging treatment for colliding particles (Alves *et al.* 2018). Therefore, the multiscale feature in gas mixtures with disparate mass and concentrations makes the DSMC method time-consuming and even intractable in some cases.

Therefore, it is important to develop kinetic model equations with much-simplified collision operators to imitate as closely as possible the behaviour of the Boltzmann equation, and multiscale numerical methods to solve those kinetic models. For a single-species monatomic gas, the Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) model equation replaces the Boltzmann collision operator with a relaxation approximation (Bhatnagar *et al.* 1954), thus achieves high computational efficiency and lays the foundation for more sophisticated models after that. However, the main drawback of the BGK model is the incorrect Prandtl number produced by its single relaxation rates for both stress and heat flux. This issue has been addressed by the modified versions of the original BGK model, that is, the ellipsoidal-statistical BGK (ES-BGK) model (Holway 1966; Andries *et al.* 2000; Mathiaud *et al.* 2022) and the Shakhov model (Shakhov 1968a,b), both of which can reproduce correct shear viscosity and thermal conductivity simultaneously in the continuum flow regime. Together with the multiscale numerical methods, these kinetic models have found applications in many engineering problems (Su *et al.* 2020a; Liu *et al.* 2020; Pfeiffer *et al.* 2022; Zeng *et al.* 2023; Liu *et al.* 2024).

However, the extension of the single-species kinetic model equations to gas mixtures is a nontrivial task with substantial difficulties. The first fundamental reason for this is that collisions

between different species of molecules lead to exchanges of momentum and energy between mixture components, exhibiting notable disparities in relaxation times due to variations in species properties. Second, apart from the components-specific shear viscosities and thermal conductivities, a multi-species gas also possesses effective mixture viscosity and thermal conductivity, as well as diffusion and thermal diffusion coefficients that correspond to the Fick and Soret effects, respectively (Chapman & Cowling 1970), which have to be recovered by the kinetic models in the continuum limit. Previously proposed kinetic models using BGK approaches can be classified into two types (Pirner 2021). One uses single-relaxation term involving both inter- and intra-species collisions, and the other one has a sum of collision terms modelling each type of binary collision individually. For the single-relaxation models, The model of Andries *et al.* (2002) is the most widely applied one, which reduces to the single-species BGK model for mechanically identical components that cannot recover shear viscosity and thermal conductivity of the mixture simultaneously. Besides, the diffusion coefficient is not correctly captured by this model. Later, more adjustable parameters are introduced into the single-relaxation model by adopting ES-BGK and Shakhov-type operators, thus thermal conductivity (Brull 2015) and diffusion coefficients (Groppi *et al.* 2011; Todorova & Steijl 2019) can be recovered. It should be noted that, since the thermal diffusion effect is not reproduced, the proposed models are incapable of modelling the thermally induced flow of non-Maxwellian molecules, which is an important transport phenomenon in gas mixtures (Sharipov 2024). Although the single-relaxation models are found to be accurate enough for mixtures with small mass ratio (Pfeiffer *et al.* 2021) and also easy to be extended to polyatomic gases with internal energy (Bisi & Travaglini 2020; Todorova *et al.* 2020) and reactions (Groppi & Spiga 2004; Bisi *et al.* 2018), they are however not able to distinguish the multiple scales of relaxation times and different types of interactions. On the other hand, several multi-relaxation models have been proposed (Morse 1964; Hamel 1965; Greene 1973; Haack *et al.* 2017; Klingenberg *et al.* 2017; Bobylev *et al.* 2018; Bisi *et al.* 2022), which mainly differ in the construction of the auxiliary properties in the inter-species collision terms. Because of the complexity of the collision operators, these models were basically derived mathematically but rarely applied to a realistic problem (Tantos *et al.* 2021; Bisi *et al.* 2022). More importantly, the recovery of transport properties from the multi-relaxation models in the continuum limit is usually overlooked, and the determination of the adjustable parameters (e.g. the multiple relaxation times) is still an open question. It is also noted that, the models proposed by McCormack (1973) and Kosuge (2009) replace the Boltzmann collision operator by the use of polynomial expansions in the molecular velocity, where the model coefficients are determined by matching the moments of the model collision operator to the Boltzmann collision operator. The polynomial models correctly recover all the transport coefficients of a gas mixture, and show excellent accuracy in slightly to moderately non-equilibrium flows (Ho *et al.* 2016; Tantos & Valougeorgis 2018). However, tremendously complicated model coefficients and collision integrals based on molecular interactions are involved in the model equations, which brings difficulties in realistic applications due to the significant computational cost and the lack of accurate intermolecular potentials.

Despite the great effort made in the past decades, establishing accurate and computationally tractable kinetic models for gas mixtures with disparate mass is still of significant challenge. Hence, the present work is dedicated to developing a kinetic model based on the idea of multi-relaxation models for rarefied monotonic gas mixtures with disparate molecular mass, which not only recovers the transport properties of a gas mixture including shear viscosity, thermal conductivity, diffusion coefficient and thermal diffusion coefficients, but also correctly captures the multiscale relaxation rates of different collision processes. More importantly, with the deterministic numerical methods and multiscale schemes, the proposed kinetic model can be used to solve gas mixture flows with disparate mass and mole fractions efficiently and accurately in all flow regimes.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in §2, the kinetic model is proposed with all the adjustable parameters determined by the transport properties of the gas, and the transport coefficients and hydrodynamic equations in the continuum limit are given; in §3, to make a consistent comparison to DSMC method as validation, the kinetic model parameters are obtained based on the DSMC collision model; the accuracy of the proposed kinetic model is assessed by the DSMC method in several one-dimensional and two-dimensional problems in §4 and §5, respectively, and the flow characteristics of gas mixtures with disparate mass are discussed. Finally, conclusions are presented in §6.

2. Kinetic model equation

The gas kinetic theory describes the status of a gaseous system in the phase space using the velocity distribution functions, whose evolution is governed by the Boltzmann equations when only binary collisions are considered. Because of the unaffordable computational cost of solving the N^2 number of Boltzmann operators for a N -components gas mixture, kinetic model equations with simplified collision operators are highly demanded. Theoretically, several requirements need to be followed by a kinetic model for monatomic gas mixtures: (i) the collision terms satisfy the conservations of mass, momentum and energy, and restore the equilibrium state for an isolated system; (ii) the relaxation rates for different types of intermolecular interaction can be correctly captured; (iii) all the transport properties given by the model equation are consistent with those obtained from the Boltzmann equation in the hydrodynamic limit; (iv) the momentum and energy exchange during inter-species collisions are close to those obtained from the Boltzmann equation; (v) the kinetic model complies with the indifferenciability principle and H-theorem.

However, it is impractical to build such an ideal kinetic model to satisfy all the requirements with affordable computational cost. Even for a single-species gas, though the ES-BGK model has been proven to keep the non-negativity of the velocity distribution functions and satisfy the H-theorem (Andries et al. 2000), the Shakhov model is found to be more accurate for many strong non-equilibrium problems due to its better approximations of high-order moments of molecular velocity distributions (Chen et al. 2015; Fei et al. 2020; Yuan & Wu 2022; Park et al. 2024). Moreover, despite the fact that the model of Andries et al. (2002) complies with the indifferenciability principle unconditionally, it recovers only one transport coefficient and ignores the multiple relaxation time scales.

Therefore, to achieve a balance between the accuracy and computational burden for a gas mixture with disparate mass, we build our kinetic model using multi-relaxation operators to distinguish different types of binary interactions. The tunable parameters in the kinetic model are determined by recovering the transport properties in the continuum flow regime, including viscosity, thermal conductivity, diffusion coefficients and thermal diffusion coefficients. Additionally, the conditional indifferenciability principle of the proposed model equation can be satisfied in the near-equilibrium condition.

2.1. Kinetic description of monatomic gas mixture

We consider a N -components mixture of monatomic gases with the velocity distribution functions $f_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ describing their mesoscopic states, where s indicates the species, t is the time, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the spatial coordinates, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the molecular velocity. Since all the collisions, either intra-species or inter-species, are binary, the distribution function for species s under external body accelerations \mathbf{a}_s evolve according to the Boltzmann equation,

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{a}_s \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \mathbf{v}}}_{\mathcal{D}f_s} = \sum_{r=1}^N Q_{sr}(f_s, f_r), \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (2.1)$$

where the left-hand side is known as the streaming term $\mathcal{D}f_s$, and the right-hand side is a sum over all binary Boltzmann collision operator Q_{sr} between molecules of species s and r ,

$$Q_{sr}(f_s, f_r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{4\pi} \sigma_{sr} \left(|\mathbf{v}_*|, \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}_*}{|\mathbf{v}_*|} \right) [f_s(\mathbf{v}')f_r(\mathbf{w}') - f_s(\mathbf{v})f_r(\mathbf{w})] |\mathbf{v}_*| d\boldsymbol{\Omega} d\mathbf{w}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here, σ_{sr} is the scattering cross-section depending on the intermolecular potential between the two species, and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the unit vector of the solid angle; \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are the pre-collision velocities of the two molecules of species s and r , respective. Hence $\mathbf{v}_* = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}$ is the relative velocity, which determine the post-collision velocities \mathbf{v}' and \mathbf{w}' of the collision pair as,

$$\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v} - \frac{2m_r}{m_s + m_r} (\mathbf{v}_* \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}, \quad \mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{w} + \frac{2m_s}{m_s + m_r} (\mathbf{v}_* \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}. \quad (2.3)$$

The macroscopic variables of each species s , namely, the number density n_s , mass density ρ_s , flow velocity \mathbf{u}_s , temperatures T_s , pressure tensor \mathbf{P}_s , and heat flux \mathbf{q}_s , are obtained by taking the moments of the respective velocity distribution function f_s ,

$$\begin{aligned} n_s &= \langle 1, f_s \rangle, \quad \rho_s = \langle m_s, f_s \rangle, \quad \rho_s \mathbf{u}_s = \langle m_s \mathbf{v}, f_s \rangle, \quad \frac{3}{2} n_s k_B T_s = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s)^2, f_s \right\rangle, \\ \mathbf{P}_s &= \langle m_s (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s) (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s), f_s \rangle, \quad \mathbf{q}_s = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s)^2 (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s), f_s \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where m_s is the molecular mass, k_B is the Boltzmann constant; and the operator $\langle h, \psi \rangle$ is defined as an integral of $h\psi$ over the velocity space,

$$\langle h, \psi \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h\psi d\mathbf{v}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then, the corresponding macroscopic quantities for the mixture, the number density n , mass ρ , flow velocity \mathbf{u} , temperatures T , pressure tensor \mathbf{P} , and heat flux \mathbf{q} , are given by

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum_s \langle 1, f_s \rangle = \sum_s n_s, \\ \rho &= \sum_s \langle m_s, f_s \rangle = \sum_s \rho_s, \\ \rho \mathbf{u} &= \sum_s \langle m_s \mathbf{v}, f_s \rangle = \sum_s \rho_s \mathbf{u}_s, \\ \frac{3}{2} n k_B T &= \sum_s \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s c^2, f_s \right\rangle = \sum_s \frac{3}{2} n_s k_B T_s + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \rho_s (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u})^2, \\ \mathbf{P} &= \sum_s \langle m_s \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}, f_s \rangle = \sum_s \mathbf{P}_s + \sum_s \rho_s (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}) (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}), \\ \mathbf{q} &= \sum_s \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s c^2 \mathbf{c}, f_s \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_s \mathbf{q}_s + \sum_s \frac{3}{2} n_s k_B T_s (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \rho_s (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u})^2 (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}) + \sum_s \mathbf{P}_s \cdot (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}$ is the peculiar velocity with respect to the mixture velocity \mathbf{u} , and therefore the diffusion velocities $\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}$ contribute to the mixture temperature, stress and heat flux.

2.2. Kinetic model with multi-relaxation collision operators

The proposed kinetic model for gas mixtures adopts relaxation time approximations for each pair of gas components individually to simplify the Boltzmann collision operator (2.2), and thus the evolution of distribution functions f_s can be written as,

$$\mathcal{D}f_s = \sum_{r=1}^N \frac{1}{\tau_{sr}} (g_{sr} - f_s), \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (2.6)$$

where $s = r$ indicates a intra-species collision operator, $s \neq r$ are inter-species collisions; τ_{sr} is the corresponding relaxation time; g_{sr} is the reference distribution function constructed in the form,

$$g_{sr} = \hat{n}_{sr} \left(\frac{m_s}{2\pi k_B T_s} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{m_s (\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})^2}{2k_B T_s} \right) \times \left[1 + \frac{\hat{T}_{sr} - T_s}{T_s} \left(\frac{m_s (\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})^2}{2k_B T_s} - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{2m_s \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr} \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})}{5\hat{n}_{sr} k_B^2 \hat{T}_{sr}^2} \left(\frac{m_s (\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})^2}{2k_B \hat{T}_{sr}} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \right], \quad (2.7)$$

with \hat{n}_{sr} , \hat{T}_{sr} , $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr}$, $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr}$ being the auxiliary parameters.

Construction of the auxiliary parameters is the most crucial task in building the kinetic model. As the essential constraints, the conservations of mass, momentum and energy have to be guaranteed during any binary collisions. For the intra-species collision operators, the conservations are the same as those for a single-species gas,

$$\left\langle 1, \frac{1}{\tau_{ss}} (g_{ss} - f_s) \right\rangle = 0, \quad \left\langle m_s \mathbf{v}, \frac{1}{\tau_{ss}} (g_{ss} - f_s) \right\rangle = 0, \quad \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s v^2, \frac{1}{\tau_{ss}} (g_{ss} - f_s) \right\rangle = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore, the auxiliary number density, flow velocity and temperature in intra-species collision operators can be simply determined by the macroscopic properties of each species as $\hat{n}_{ss} = n_s$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{ss} = \mathbf{u}_s$, $\hat{T}_{ss} = T_s$. Thus, the reference distribution function g_{ss} in the intra-species collision term reduces to the Shakhov model.

For the inter-species collision operators, the number density of each inert species is still unchanged, while only the total momentum and energy of the collision pairs of species s and r meet the conservations,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle 1, \frac{1}{\tau_{sr}} (g_{sr} - f_s) \right\rangle = 0, \quad \left\langle 1, \frac{1}{\tau_{rs}} (g_{rs} - f_r) \right\rangle = 0, \\ \left\langle m_s \mathbf{v}, \frac{1}{\tau_{sr}} (g_{sr} - f_s) \right\rangle + \left\langle m_r \mathbf{v}, \frac{1}{\tau_{rs}} (g_{rs} - f_r) \right\rangle = 0, \\ \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s v^2, \frac{1}{\tau_{sr}} (g_{sr} - f_s) \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_r v^2, \frac{1}{\tau_{rs}} (g_{rs} - f_r) \right\rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Then, the auxiliary number density is obtained as $\hat{n}_{sr} = n_s$ and $\hat{n}_{rs} = n_r$, while the auxiliary velocities and temperatures $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr}$, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{rs}$, \hat{T}_{sr} , \hat{T}_{rs} cannot be uniquely determined, but yield the constraints,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_s}{\tau_{sr}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr} + \frac{\rho_r}{\tau_{rs}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{rs} = \frac{\rho_s}{\tau_{sr}} \mathbf{u}_s + \frac{\rho_r}{\tau_{rs}} \mathbf{u}_r, \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{sr}} \left[\frac{3}{2} n_s k_B (\hat{T}_{sr} - T_s) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_s (\hat{u}_{sr}^2 - u_s^2) \right] + \frac{1}{\tau_{rs}} \left[\frac{3}{2} n_r k_B (\hat{T}_{rs} - T_r) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_r (\hat{u}_{rs}^2 - u_r^2) \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore, we further impose the following assumptions (2.11) to determine the auxiliary

velocities and temperatures in inter-species collision operators,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{rs} &= (1 - a_{sr}) (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}_r) - b_{sr} (\nabla \ln T_s + \nabla \ln T_r), \\ \hat{T}_{sr} - \hat{T}_{rs} &= (1 - c_{sr}) (T_s - T_r) - d_{sr} (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}_r)^2,\end{aligned}\quad (2.11)$$

where $a_{sr} = a_{rs}$, $b_{sr} = -b_{rs}$, $c_{sr} = c_{rs}$, $d_{sr} = -d_{rs}$ are the adjustable parameters, which describe how rapidly the equilibrium among different gas components can be achieved through inter-species collisions. Note that, compared with those in literature (such as [Haack et al. \(2017\)](#); [Bobylev et al. \(2018\)](#)), (2.11) gives a more general expression for the relations between the auxiliary and macroscopic properties, by adding an additional term of the temperature gradient to phenomenologically model the possible thermally induced flow. Then, combined with (2.10), the auxiliary velocities and temperatures are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr} &= \mathbf{u}_s - \frac{\rho_r \tau_{sr}}{\rho_s \tau_{rs} + \rho_r \tau_{sr}} \mathbf{X}_{sr}, \\ \hat{T}_{sr} &= T_s - \frac{n_r \tau_{sr}}{n_s \tau_{rs} + n_r \tau_{sr}} Y_{sr} - \frac{\rho_s \rho_r \tau_{sr} \tau_{rs} \mathbf{X}_{sr} \cdot [\mathbf{X}_{sr} - 2(\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}_r)]}{3k_B (n_s \tau_{rs} + n_r \tau_{sr}) (\rho_s \tau_{rs} + \rho_r \tau_{sr})},\end{aligned}\quad (2.12)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}_{sr} &= a_{sr} (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}_r) + b_{sr} (\nabla \ln T_s + \nabla \ln T_r), \\ Y_{sr} &= c_{sr} (T_s - T_r) + d_{sr} (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}_r)^2.\end{aligned}\quad (2.13)$$

In addition, the auxiliary properties $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr}$ are constructed to adjust the relaxation rates of heat fluxes as

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr} = (1 - \text{Pr}_{sr}) \mathbf{q}_s + \gamma_{sr} (\mathbf{q}_{sr} - \mathbf{q}_s), \quad (2.14)$$

where Pr_{sr} is an effective Prandtl number giving the thermal relaxation of species s due to collisions with species r , which reduces to $\text{Pr}_{ss} = 2/3$ for the intra-species collisions of a monatomic gas; \mathbf{q}_{sr} is defined as the heat flux of species s measured relative to auxiliary velocity $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr}$,

$$\mathbf{q}_{sr} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s (\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})^2 (\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr}), f_s \right\rangle, \quad (2.15)$$

and thus (2.14) yields $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{ss} = (1 - \text{Pr}_{ss}) \mathbf{q}_s$ for the intra-species collision term; $\gamma_{sr} = -\gamma_{rs}$ is a dimensionless coefficient taking into account the Dufour effects caused by the diffusive thermal conductivity. Based on the asymptotic analysis of the Boltzmann equation ([Chapman & Cowling 1970](#)), it is an effect inverse to thermal diffusion and hence γ_{sr} is not an independent parameter, which can be determined by the other adjustable parameters as shown in the following section.

2.3. Continuum limit

The multi-relaxation kinetic model equations with the auxiliary properties have been constructed for a monatomic gas mixture with disparate mass, which involves several adjustable parameters: relaxation rates τ_{sr} , effective Prandtl number Pr_{sr} , and the set of coefficients a_{sr} , b_{sr} , c_{sr} , d_{sr} , γ_{sr} used for calculating auxiliary properties. Here, we perform the Chapman–Enskog analysis to get the asymptotic limit of the proposed model equation and determine the relevant parameters to recover the transport coefficients, so that the kinetic model and macroscopic fluid dynamics are consistent in the continuum flow regime, which is the basic requirement to achieve accurate kinetic modelling.

Without loss of generality, we consider a binary mixture of monatomic gases, and the extension to a multi-species mixture can be straightforward. In the continuum limit, when all the relaxation times are considerably smaller than the characteristic time of gas flow, only the species number density n_s , total momentum $\rho \mathbf{u}$ and energy $\frac{3}{2} n k_B T + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2$ are the collisional invariants. Then, the set of equations for the conserved macroscopic variables n_s , \mathbf{u} , T can be obtained by taking

momentums of the kinetic equations (2.6), and summing over the species ($s = 1, 2$) for momentum and energy equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (n_s (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u})) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} &= \rho_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \rho_2 \mathbf{a}_2, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{3}{2} n k_B T + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\frac{3}{2} n k_B T + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 \right) \mathbf{u} \right) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} &= \rho_1 \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 + \rho_2 \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where \mathbf{u}_s is the species macroscopic velocity defined in (2.4), \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{q} are the mixture pressure tensor and heat flux, respectively, given in (2.5). To close the above set of equations, it is necessary to find the approximations to distribution functions f_s , and hence the properties \mathbf{u}_s , \mathbf{P} , \mathbf{q} can be expressed as functions of the macroscopic variables n_s , \mathbf{u} , T , giving the constitutive relations and transport properties of the gas mixture (see the details in Appendix A).

The Navier–Stokes-type equation for gas mixture is then obtained by the second approximation of f_s , and the species velocities \mathbf{u}_s^{NS} , stress tensor \mathbf{P}^{NS} and heat flux \mathbf{q}^{NS} are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_1^{\text{NS}} &= \mathbf{u} - \frac{\rho_1 \tau_{21} + \rho_2 \tau_{12}}{a_{12}} \frac{p}{\rho \rho_1} \mathbf{d}_{12} - \frac{2b_{12} \rho_2}{a_{12} \rho} \nabla \ln T, \\ \mathbf{u}_2^{\text{NS}} &= \mathbf{u} + \frac{\rho_1 \tau_{21} + \rho_2 \tau_{12}}{a_{12}} \frac{p}{\rho \rho_2} \mathbf{d}_{12} + \frac{2b_{12} \rho_1}{a_{12} \rho} \nabla \ln T, \\ \mathbf{P}^{\text{NS}} &= n k_B T \mathbf{I} - k_B T \left(\frac{\tau_{11} \tau_{12}}{\tau_{11} + \tau_{12}} n_1 + \frac{\tau_{22} \tau_{21}}{\tau_{22} + \tau_{21}} n_2 \right) \left(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \mathbf{I} \right), \\ \mathbf{q}^{\text{NS}} &= \frac{5}{2} k_B T \left(n_1 \mathbf{u}_1^{\text{NS}} + n_2 \mathbf{u}_2^{\text{NS}} - n \mathbf{u} \right) + \frac{2b_{12} \rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 \tau_{21} + \rho_2 \tau_{12}} \left(\mathbf{u}_1^{\text{NS}} - \mathbf{u}_2^{\text{NS}} \right) \\ &\quad - \left[\left(\frac{n_1}{m_1} \frac{\tau_{11} \tau_{12}}{\text{Pr}_{12} \tau_{11} + \text{Pr}_{11} \tau_{12}} + \frac{n_2}{m_2} \frac{\tau_{22} \tau_{21}}{\text{Pr}_{21} \tau_{22} + \text{Pr}_{22} \tau_{21}} \right) \frac{5k_B^2 T}{2} - \frac{4b_{12}^2 \rho_1 \rho_2}{a_{12} (\rho_1 \tau_{21} + \rho_2 \tau_{12}) T} \right] \nabla T. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where $p = nk_B T$ is the pressure, \mathbf{I} is a 3×3 identity matrix, and \mathbf{d}_{12} is given as

$$\mathbf{d}_{12} = \nabla \left(\frac{n_1}{n} \right) + \frac{n_1 n_2 (m_2 - m_1)}{n \rho} \nabla \ln p - \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho p} (\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2), \quad (2.18)$$

which yields $\mathbf{d}_{12} = -\mathbf{d}_{21}$, since $\nabla (n_1/n) = -\nabla (n_2/n)$.

2.3.1. Viscosity

The shear viscosity μ of a binary mixture can be obtained from the off-diagonal components of the non-equilibrium stress tensor \mathbf{P} in (2.17), which leads to

$$\mu = k_B T \left(\frac{\tau_{11} \tau_{12}}{\tau_{11} + \tau_{12}} n_1 + \frac{\tau_{22} \tau_{21}}{\tau_{22} + \tau_{21}} n_2 \right). \quad (2.19)$$

Clearly, the mixture shear stress depends on all the relaxation times including both intra- and inter-species interactions. It is known that the dependence of species shear viscosity μ_s on mean collision time τ_{ss} of intra-species collisions is $\mu_s = n_s k_B T \tau_{ss}$. However, the relaxation time τ_{sr} ($s \neq r$) in the kinetic model is no longer a mean molecular collision time of inter-species interactions, but measures the time scale approaching the reference states g_{sr} for the component s due to the collisions with component r . In other words, $n_r \tau_{sr}$ should be smaller than $n_s \tau_{rs}$ when $m_s < m_r$, because of the fact that collisions between molecules with mass disparity have a more significant influence on the light one than on the heavy one. Particularly, by considering a mixture

consisting of ions and electrons with mass m_i and m_e , respectively, the approximated relation between the inter-species relaxation times given in the literature is $n_i\tau_{ei}/n_e\tau_{ie} = m_e/m_i \ll 1$ (Bellan 2006).

Therefore, here we define

$$\phi_{sr} = \frac{n_s\tau_{ss}}{n_r\tau_{sr}} \quad (2.20)$$

to quantify the ratio between the relaxation times of one single intra- and inter-species collision for species s , where ϕ_{sr} is only determined by the intermolecular interactions and temperature, but independent of the concentrations of the components. Then the mixture viscosity (2.19) can be rewritten in the form

$$\mu = \frac{\mu_1}{1 + \frac{n_2}{n_1}\phi_{12}} + \frac{\mu_2}{1 + \frac{n_1}{n_2}\phi_{21}}, \quad (2.21)$$

which is a linear combination of the species viscosities of the mixture components and shares the exact same form as that given by Wilke's mixture rule (Wilke 1950). Nevertheless, the more accurate values of ϕ_{sr} can be obtained by fitting the mixture viscosity measured experimentally.

2.3.2. Diffusion

In a gas mixture, the ordinary diffusion coefficient D_{sr} is usually measured when the gas mixture is uniform in temperature and pressure and without external forces acting on the gas molecules; while the thermal diffusion with coefficient $D_{T,sr}$ also contributes to the diffusion velocity when there is a temperature gradient present. In general, the mass flux J_s of the species s in a binary mixture caused by gradients of concentration, pressure and temperature is given by (Hirschfelder et al. 1954),

$$J_s = \rho_s (\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}) = -\frac{n^2}{\rho} m_s m_r [D_{sr} \mathbf{d}_{sr} - D_{T,sr} \nabla \ln T]. \quad (2.22)$$

Meanwhile, the diffusion velocity in the proposed kinetic model is obtained as (2.17) from the Chapman-Enskog method,

$$\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u} = -\frac{\rho_1\tau_{21} + \rho_2\tau_{12}}{a_{sr}} \frac{p}{\rho_1\rho_2} \mathbf{d}_{sr} - \frac{2b_{sr}}{a_{sr}} \nabla \ln T. \quad (2.23)$$

Therefore, the binary diffusion coefficient D_{12} yields

$$D_{12} = \frac{k_B T}{m_1 m_2 n} \frac{\rho_1\tau_{21} + \rho_2\tau_{12}}{a_{12}}, \quad (2.24)$$

which determines the parameter $a_{12} = a_{21}$ when the relaxation times are known from viscosity. Also, the thermal diffusion coefficient $D_{T,12}$, as well as the thermal-diffusion ratio $k_{T,12} = D_{T,12}/D_{12}$ are obtained,

$$D_{T,12} = \frac{2b_{12}n_1n_2}{a_{12}n^2}, \quad k_{T,12} = \frac{2b_{12}\rho_1\rho_2}{p(\rho_1\tau_{21} + \rho_2\tau_{12})}. \quad (2.25)$$

Thus, the parameter $b_{12} = -b_{21}$ is adjusted to match the thermal-diffusion ratio, and recover the Soret effect in the continuum limit. The Soret effect describes mass separation due to a temperature gradient, and it may be positive or negative depending on the mass difference and intermolecular potentials. In general, thermal diffusion becomes stronger in molecules with a larger mass difference, and is thus crucial in the modelling of gas mixtures with significant mass disparity. Meanwhile, though the absolute value of thermal-diffusion ratio $k_{T,12}$ usually has an order of magnitude less than 10^{-1} for neutral gas mixture, it can be greatly increased in ionized gases (Chapman 1958).

2.3.3. Thermal conductivity

In addition to the direct transfer of kinetic energy during collisions, the diffusional migration of molecules also carries thermal energy and contributes to the heat transport in a gas mixture, which is known as the Dufour effect. Based on the Chapman-Enskog method, the mixture heat flux \mathbf{q} is obtained as,

$$\mathbf{q} = \frac{5}{2}k_B T (n_1 (\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}) + n_2 (\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u})) + \frac{5}{2}k_B T A (\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) - \frac{5}{2}k_B T \left(\frac{n_1}{m_1} \frac{\tau_{11}\tau_{12}}{\text{Pr}_{12}\tau_{11} + \text{Pr}_{11}\tau_{12}} + \frac{n_2}{m_2} \frac{\tau_{22}\tau_{21}}{\text{Pr}_{21}\tau_{22} + \text{Pr}_{22}\tau_{21}} - A \frac{2b_{12}}{a_{12}k_B T} \right) k_B \nabla T. \quad (2.26)$$

with

$$A = \gamma_{12} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} \frac{\tau_{11}\tau_{12}}{\text{Pr}_{12}\tau_{11} + \text{Pr}_{11}\tau_{12}} + \frac{1}{m_2} \frac{\tau_{22}\tau_{21}}{\text{Pr}_{21}\tau_{22} + \text{Pr}_{22}\tau_{21}} \right) \frac{a_{12}\rho_1\rho_2}{\rho_1\tau_{21} + \rho_2\tau_{12}}. \quad (2.27)$$

It can be seen that there are three parts contributing to the total heat flux: (i) The first term occurs since the heat flux is measured relative to the mixture flow velocity, instead of the species flow velocity, and thus represents energy carried by the molecular flux in the presence of diffusion $\mathbf{u}_s - \mathbf{u}$. (ii) The second term with binary diffusion velocity $\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2$ arises as an inverse process to thermal diffusion, and has a coefficient $\frac{5}{2}k_B T A = nk_B T k_{T,12}$ based on the asymptotic analysis of the original Boltzmann equation (Chapman & Cowling 1970), leading to

$$\gamma_{12} = \left(\frac{1}{m_1} \frac{\tau_{11}\tau_{12}}{\text{Pr}_{12}\tau_{11} + \text{Pr}_{11}\tau_{12}} + \frac{1}{m_2} \frac{\tau_{22}\tau_{21}}{\text{Pr}_{21}\tau_{22} + \text{Pr}_{22}\tau_{21}} \right)^{-1} \frac{4b_{12}}{5a_{12}k_B T}. \quad (2.28)$$

(iii) The third term $-\kappa \nabla T$ is generated by a temperature gradient, where κ is the ordinary thermal conductivity of the mixture that is usually measured experimentally in the absence of any diffusion velocity

$$\kappa = \left(\frac{n_1}{m_1} \frac{\tau_{11}\tau_{12}}{\text{Pr}_{12}\tau_{11} + \text{Pr}_{11}\tau_{12}} + \frac{n_2}{m_2} \frac{\tau_{22}\tau_{21}}{\text{Pr}_{21}\tau_{22} + \text{Pr}_{22}\tau_{21}} \right) \frac{5k_B^2 T}{2} - \frac{4b_{12}^2 \rho_1 \rho_2}{a_{12} (\rho_1 \tau_{21} + \rho_2 \tau_{12}) T}. \quad (2.29)$$

In analogy with the parameter ϕ_{sr} used to measure the ratio between the relaxation times of intra- and inter-species collisions, we also define

$$\varphi_{sr} = \frac{\text{Pr}_{sr}}{\text{Pr}_{ss}} \quad (2.30)$$

to represent the ratio of the thermal relaxation rates. Given the species thermal conductivity $\kappa_s = 5n_s k_B^2 T \tau_{ss} / 2m_s \text{Pr}_{ss}$, the mixture thermal conductivity (2.29) can be rewritten in the form,

$$\kappa = \frac{\kappa_1}{1 + \frac{n_2}{n_1} \phi_{12} \varphi_{12}} + \frac{\kappa_2}{1 + \frac{n_1}{n_2} \phi_{21} \varphi_{21}} - \frac{D_{12} k_T^2 n^3 k_B}{n_1 n_2}, \quad (2.31)$$

where the last term indicates the effect of thermal diffusion on the thermal conductance of a gas mixture. Similar to the viscosity, the values of φ_{sr} can be determined by matching the mixture thermal conductivity measured at different proportions of gas components.

2.4. Inter-species energy relaxation

We have shown that the temperatures of different components stay the same up to the Navier–Stokes approximation of the proposed model in the continuum limit, when all the relaxation times are considerably smaller than the characteristic time of gas flow. Thus the transport coefficients in the continuum limit are not affected by the parameters c_{sr} and d_{sr} . However, these parameters determine the auxiliary temperatures and hence the energy relaxation

rates between different species, which may have a significant impact in strong non-equilibrium situations. It implies that in a gas mixture, having all the transport coefficients is not enough to exactly describe the energy relaxation during inter-species collisions. Recovery of underlying relaxation processes is crucial to give accurate kinetic modelling of rarefied gas flow, which is systematically analysed in our previous work on single-species polyatomic gas flows (Li et al. 2021, 2023; Zeng et al. 2022).

Therefore, we determine the parameters c_{sr} and d_{sr} for calculating auxiliary temperature by imposing that the energy exchange rates of the inter-species collision operator coincide with that of the Boltzmann collision operator,

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s v^2, \frac{1}{\tau_{sr}} (g_{sr} - f_s) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m_s v^2, Q_{sr} \right\rangle. \quad (2.32)$$

The exchange rates of the kinetic model (left-hand side) can be calculated straightforwardly, while that of the Boltzmann collision operator may only be explicitly evaluated for the Maxwellian intermolecular potential,

$$\frac{n_s}{\tau_{sr}} \left[\frac{3}{2} k_B (\hat{T}_{sr} - T_s) + \frac{m_s}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr} - \mathbf{u}_s)^2 \right] = \lambda_{sr} \frac{m_s m_r}{(m_s + m_r)^2} n_s n_r \left[3k_B (T_r - T_s) + m_r (\mathbf{u}_r - \mathbf{u}_s)^2 \right], \quad (2.33)$$

where $\lambda_{sr} = \lambda_{rs}$ are constants related to the integral of collision cross-sections. By substituting the auxiliary velocity and temperature (2.12) into (2.33), we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{sr} &= \frac{2\lambda_{sr} m_s m_r}{(m_s + m_r)^2} (n_s \tau_{rs} + n_r \tau_{sr}), \\ d_{sr} &= \frac{\lambda_{sr} m_s m_r}{3k_B (m_s + m_r)^2} \left[\lambda_{sr} (n_r \rho_r \tau_{sr}^2 - n_s \rho_s \tau_{rs}^2) - 2(\rho_r \tau_{sr} - \rho_s \tau_{rs}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

Note that the same form of (2.33) can be obtained for non-Maxwellian intermolecular potentials with non-constant λ_{sr} , when it is subject to the restriction that the distribution functions are Maxwellians at different temperatures but with small diffusion velocities (Morse 1964). Therefore, we calculate the parameters c_{sr} and d_{sr} using (2.34) for any type of intermolecular potential.

Furthermore, the collision cross-sections related variables λ_{sr} can be approximated by matching the momentum exchange rates of the inter-species collision operator with that of the Boltzmann collision operator for the Maxwellian intermolecular potential,

$$\left\langle m_s v, \frac{1}{\tau_{sr}} (g_{sr} - f_s) \right\rangle = \langle m_s v, Q_{sr} \rangle, \quad (2.35)$$

which leads to

$$\frac{n_s m_s}{\tau_{sr}} (\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr} - \mathbf{u}_s) = \lambda_{sr} \frac{m_s m_r}{m_s + m_r} n_s n_r (\mathbf{u}_r - \mathbf{u}_s). \quad (2.36)$$

Therefore, λ_{sr} can be evaluated by parameter a_{sr} as

$$\lambda_{sr} = \frac{a_{sr} (m_s + m_r)}{\rho_s \tau_{rs} + \rho_r \tau_{sr}}, \quad (2.37)$$

which is thus determined by the binary diffusion coefficient as given by (2.24).

2.5. Indifferentiability principle

The indifferentiability principle states that, when all the molecules are mechanically identical (e.g. they have the same mass and scattering cross-section), the model equation reduces to a single one by adding the distribution functions (Garzó et al. 1989). This property holds for the Boltzmann equation with only binary collisions because of the bilinearity of its operators. It is

however nontrivial to inherit for a model equation since the operators constructed are usually highly nonlinear. Historically, several kinetic models using a single relaxation collision operator have been proven to fulfil the principle. It should be noted that some of them require a condition that the diffusion velocities vanish for the indiffereniable molecules, when the models contain parameters to recover the Fick law (Brull et al. 2012; Todorova & Steijl 2019).

Therefore, we also adopt the assumption that $\mathbf{u}_s = \mathbf{u}$ for all the indiffereniable species s , to demonstrate that our multi-relaxation model with linearised collision operator complies with the indiffereniable principle. Consider a system of gas mixture that slightly deviates from an equilibrium state with flow velocity \mathbf{u} , temperature T , and number density n_s of each component, the reference distribution in the linearised collision operator is given as

$$g_{sr}^{linear} = f_s^{eq} \left[1 + \frac{m_s (\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{c}}{k_B T} + \frac{\hat{T}_{sr} - T}{T} \left(\frac{m_s c^2}{2k_B T} - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{2m_s \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr} \cdot \mathbf{c}}{5n_s k_B^2 T^2} \left(\frac{m_s c^2}{2k_B T} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \right], \quad (2.38)$$

with

$$f_s^{eq} = n_s \left(\frac{m_s}{2\pi k_B T} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{m_s (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})^2}{2k_B T} \right). \quad (2.39)$$

For the indiffereniable molecules, we have (i) $m_s = m$, and $n_r \tau_{sr}$ is constant for any s and r due to the identical mass and scattering cross-sections, respectively; (ii) $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr} = \mathbf{u}$ based on (2.12) with the thermal diffusion coefficient vanishing; (iii) $\hat{T}_{sr} + \hat{T}_{rs} = T_s + T_r$ and $nT = \sum n_s T_s$ from total energy conservation (2.10) and calculation of mixture temperature (2.5), respectively; (iv) $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr} = (1 - \text{Pr}) \mathbf{q}_s$ from (2.14). Then, the sum of the kinetic equations over all species yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} \left(\sum_{s=1}^N f_s \right) &= \sum_{s=1}^N \sum_{r=1}^N \frac{1}{\tau_{sr}} \left(g_{sr}^{linear} - f_s \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\sum_s f_s^{eq} \left(1 + \frac{2m(1 - \text{Pr})(\sum_s \mathbf{q}_s) \cdot \mathbf{c}}{5n_s k_B^2 T^2} \left(\frac{m c^2}{2k_B T} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \right) - \sum_{s=1}^N f_s \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

where $\tau = n_r \tau_{sr} / n$ is the overall relaxation time. Clearly, the kinetic model equation reduces to the Shakhov model of single-species monatomic gas with distribution function $f = \sum f_s$.

2.6. Dimensionless forms

Let L_0 , T_0 , n_0 , m_0 be the reference length, temperature, number density and mass, respectively, then the most probable speed is $v_m = \sqrt{2k_B T_0 / m_0}$ and reference pressure is $p_0 = n_0 k_B T_0$. The dimensionless variables are introduced as,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{x} / L_0, & \tilde{n} &= n / n_0, & \tilde{m} &= m / m_0, & \tilde{T} &= T / T_0, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{v}} &= \mathbf{v} / v_m, & \tilde{\mathbf{c}} &= \mathbf{c} / v_m, & \tilde{t} &= v_m t / L_0, & \tilde{\tau} &= v_m \tau / L_0, \\ \tilde{p} &= p / p_0, & \tilde{\mathbf{q}} &= \mathbf{q} / (p_0 v_m), & \tilde{f}_s &= v_m^3 f_s / n_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

The Knudsen numbers Kn_s of each species s is defined as

$$\text{Kn}_s = \frac{\mu_s(T_0)}{n_0 L_0} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2m_s k_B T_0}}. \quad (2.42)$$

It is noted that the species-specific Knudsen numbers are correlated as $\text{Kn}_r = \text{Kn}_s \beta_{rs}^\mu / \sqrt{\beta_{rs}^m}$, with $\beta_{rs}^\mu = \mu_r(T_0) / \mu_s(T_0)$ being the viscosity ratio at the reference temperature, and $\beta_{rs}^m = m_r / m_s$ the mass ratio. Therefore, the dimensionless relaxation times can be written in terms of the Knudsen

numbers,

$$\tilde{\tau}_{ss} = 2\text{Kn}_s \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{m}_s \tilde{T}_s^{\omega_s-1}}{\pi \tilde{n}_s}}, \quad \tilde{\tau}_{sr} = \tilde{\tau}_{ss} \phi_{sr}^{-1} \frac{\tilde{n}_s}{\tilde{n}_r}, \quad (2.43)$$

where ω_s is the viscosity index of species s in

$$\mu_s(T) = \mu_s(T_0) \left(\frac{T}{T_0} \right)_s^\omega. \quad (2.44)$$

Then, the kinetic model equations are non-dimensionalised as

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}_s}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_s}{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{x}}} + \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_s \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_s}{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{v}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi \tilde{T}_s^{1-\omega_s}}{\tilde{m}_s}} \frac{\tilde{T}_s^{1-\omega_s}}{2\text{Kn}_s} \left[\tilde{n}_s (\tilde{g}_{ss} - \tilde{f}_s) + \sum_r \tilde{n}_r \phi_{sr} (\tilde{g}_{sr} - \tilde{f}_s) \right], \quad (2.45)$$

with the dimensionless reference velocity distribution function

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{sr} = & \tilde{n}_{sr} \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_s}{\pi \tilde{T}_{sr}} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{\tilde{m}_s (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})^2}{\tilde{T}_{sr}} \right) \times \\ & \left[1 + \frac{\tilde{T}_r - \tilde{T}_s}{\tilde{T}_s} \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_s (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})^2}{\tilde{T}_{sr}} - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{4\tilde{m}_s \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{sr} \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})}{5\tilde{n}_{sr} \tilde{T}_{sr}^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_s (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})^2}{\tilde{T}_{sr}} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

It clearly shows that the strengths of intra- and inter-species collisions are indicated by the magnitudes of \tilde{n}_s and $\tilde{n}_r \phi_{sr}$, respectively. Therefore, in a gas mixture with a large disparity in concentration or mass, the intra-species collisions become dominant for the component s with a major proportion of number density ($\tilde{n}_s \gg \tilde{n}_r$) or significantly heavier mass ($\phi_{sr} \ll 1$ when $m_r \ll m_s$).

3. Determination of parameters

Given the relationship between the model parameters and transport coefficients of the mixtures as (2.21), (2.24), (2.25) and (2.31), the adjustable parameters can be uniquely determined by the experimentally measured properties of gas mixtures directly, without the knowledge of any intermolecular potentials that is also constructed to approximate the real gas properties.

Nevertheless, to validate our kinetic model in various rarefied flow problems of gas mixtures with a wide range of mass ratios and different types of molecular interactions, we compare the solutions of the kinetic model with DSMC results for virtual gases with well-defined intermolecular potentials. The transport properties of a gas in DSMC simulations are the result of the transfer of mass, momentum, and energy through particle movement and collision dynamics of corresponding collision models. Given the information of any intermolecular potentials, the DSMC method with the variable-soft-sphere (VSS) collision model (Koura & Matsumoto 1991) captures the viscosity and diffusion cross sections simultaneously, and thus provides reference solutions consistent with those from the Boltzmann equation for monatomic gases. Therefore, to make a consistent comparison between the results from the proposed kinetic model and the DSMC method, we determine the parameters in the model equation by matching the transport properties of a gas mixture from the VSS model applied in the DSMC simulations.

The transport coefficients for both simple gases and gas mixtures can be approximated by the Chapman–Enskog solutions of the Boltzmann equation. In a binary gas mixture of monatomic molecules, the first approximations of the transport coefficients, denoted by $[\cdot]_1$, are given by

(Chapman & Cowling 1970),

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mu_s]_1 &= \frac{5k_B T}{8\Omega_s^{(2)}(2)}, \\
[\kappa_s]_1 &= \frac{75k_B^2 T}{32m_s \Omega_s^{(2)}(2)}, \\
[D_{12}]_1 &= \frac{3E}{2n(m_1 + m_2)}, \\
[k_T]_1 &= 5C \frac{n_1 n_2}{n} \frac{n_1 S_1 - n_2 S_2}{n_1^2 Q_1 + n_2^2 Q_2 + n_1 n_2 Q_{12}}, \\
[\mu]_1 &= \frac{n_1^2 R_1 + n_2^2 R_2 + n_1 n_2 R'_{12}}{n_1^2 R_1 / [\mu_1]_1 + n_2^2 R_2 / [\mu_2]_1 + n_1 n_2 R_{12}}, \\
[\kappa]_1 &= \frac{n_1^2 Q_1 [\kappa_1]_1 + n_2^2 Q_2 [\kappa_2]_1 + n_1 n_2 Q'_{12}}{n_1^2 Q_1 + n_2^2 Q_2 + n_1 n_2 Q_{12}}, \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where Ω_s is the collision integral of intra-species interactions, the variables S , Q , R can be expressed in terms of species viscosities $[\mu_s]_1$ and mass fraction $M_s = m_s / (m_1 + m_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \frac{M_1^2 E}{[\mu_1]_1} - M_2 (3(M_2 - M_1) + 4M_1 A), \\
S_2 &= \frac{M_2^2 E}{[\mu_2]_1} - M_1 (3(M_1 - M_2) + 4M_2 A), \\
Q_1 &= \frac{M_1 E}{[\mu_1]_1} \left(6M_2^2 + 5M_1^2 - 4M_1^2 B + 8M_1 M_2 A \right), \\
Q_2 &= \frac{M_2 E}{[\mu_2]_1} \left(6M_1^2 + 5M_2^2 - 4M_2^2 B + 8M_2 M_1 A \right), \\
Q_{12} &= 3(M_1 - M_2)^2 (5 - 4B) + 4M_1 M_2 A (11 - 4B) + \frac{2M_1 M_2 E^2}{[\mu_1]_1 [\mu_2]_1}, \\
Q'_{12} &= \frac{15k_B E}{2(m_1 + m_2)} \left(\frac{M_1 E}{[\mu_1]_1} + \frac{M_2 E}{[\mu_2]_1} + (11 - 4B - 8A) M_1 M_2 \right), \tag{3.2} \\
R_1 &= \frac{2}{3} + \frac{M_1 A}{M_2}, \quad R_2 = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{M_2 A}{M_1}, \\
R_{12} &= \frac{E}{2[\mu_1]_1 [\mu_2]_1} + \frac{4A}{3EM_1 M_2}, \\
R'_{12} &= \frac{E}{2[\mu_1]_1} + \frac{E}{2[\mu_2]_1} + 2 \left(\frac{2}{3} - A \right). \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, A , B , C , E are functions of collision integrals Ω_{12} of inter-species interactions,

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \frac{\Omega_{12}^{(2)}(2)}{5\Omega_{12}^{(1)}(1)}, \quad B = \frac{5\Omega_{12}^{(1)}(2) - \Omega_{12}^{(1)}(3)}{5\Omega_{12}^{(1)}(1)}, \\
C &= \frac{2\Omega_{12}^{(1)}(2)}{5\Omega_{12}^{(1)}(1)} - 1, \quad E = \frac{k_B T (m_1 + m_2)^2}{8m_1 m_2 \Omega_{12}^{(1)}(1)}, \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the VSS collision model, the collision integrals can be calculated as given by [Stephani](#)

et al. (2012),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_s^{(2)}(2) &= \frac{4\alpha_s}{(\alpha_s + 1)(\alpha_s + 2)} \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{k_B T}{\pi m_s} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \omega_s \right) \left(\frac{7}{2} - \omega_s \right) \left(\frac{T_0}{T} \right)^{\omega_s - 1/2} d_{s,ref}^2, \\
 \Omega_{12}^{(1)}(1) &= \frac{2}{\alpha_{12} + 1} \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{k_B T}{2\pi m_{12}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \omega_{12} \right) \left(\frac{T_0}{T} \right)^{\omega_{12} - 1/2} d_{12,ref}^2, \\
 \Omega_{12}^{(1)}(2) &= \Omega_{12}^{(1)}(1) \left(\frac{7}{2} - \omega_{12} \right), \\
 \Omega_{12}^{(1)}(3) &= \Omega_{12}^{(1)}(1) \left(\frac{7}{2} - \omega_{12} \right) \left(\frac{9}{2} - \omega_{12} \right), \\
 \Omega_{12}^{(2)}(2) &= \frac{4\alpha_{12}}{(\alpha_{12} + 1)(\alpha_{12} + 2)} \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{k_B T}{2\pi m_{12}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \omega_{12} \right) \left(\frac{7}{2} - \omega_{12} \right) \left(\frac{T_0}{T} \right)^{\omega_{12} - 1/2} d_{12,ref}^2, \quad (3.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $m_{12} = m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2)$ is the reduced mass; d_{ref} is the reference collision diameter, ω is the viscosity index, α is the angular scattering parameter in VSS model, with subscripts s and 12 indicating the values for intra- and inter-species collisions, respectively.

Therefore, given the collision parameters in the VSS model, the first approximations of the transport properties of a gas mixture can be explicitly evaluated based on equations (3.1) to (3.5). The parameters ϕ_{sr} measuring the ratio between relaxation times of intra- and inter-species collisions are obtained by fitting the expression (2.21) to the calculated mixture viscosities at different proportions of gas components. Similarly, the ratio of thermal relaxation rates φ_{sr} can be fitted by matching the mixture thermal conductivity using (2.31), once ϕ_{sr} is determined. Also, the parameters a_{sr} and b_{sr} are calculated based on the diffusion coefficients (2.24) and thermal-diffusion ratio (2.25), respectively. It should be noted that, the first approximations of the transport coefficients for non-Maxwell gases may have differences compared to the exact values. Based on the Chapman–Enskog solutions to higher order in Sonine polynomials (Tipton et al. 2009a,b), for a gas mixture consisting of hard-sphere molecules, the discrepancies in mixture viscosity and diffusion coefficient are usually limited, namely, less than 3% for a wide range of molecular mass, sizes and mole fractions. On the other hand, the discrepancies can be non-negligible in thermal conductivity, that is, around 10% in some mixtures, and even significant for thermal diffusion coefficients (higher than 20%).

The value of $\phi_{sr} = n_s \tau_{ss} / n_r \tau_{sr}$ is not only essential to recover the shear viscosity of the gas mixture, but also reveals the relaxation timescales of different types of binary collisions and their corresponding significance in the kinetic model. Then, to explore the dependence of the relaxation time ratio on mass disparity, we obtain ϕ_{sr} across a wide range of mass ratios $m_2/m_1 = 1 \sim 10^4$ for Maxwell gas mixtures with a fixed reference diameter ratio $d_2/d_1 = 1$ and hard-sphere gas mixtures with $d_2/d_1 = 2$, as shown in figure 1. It is found that for lighter species (typically smaller in diameter), $\phi_{12} = n_1 \tau_{11} / n_2 \tau_{12}$ is greater than 1 and also increases gradually with mass ratio (figure 1a), which implies an important role of inter-species collisions with heavy gas molecules. On the other hand, $\phi_{21} = n_2 \tau_{22} / n_1 \tau_{21}$ rapidly decreases as the mass disparity grows, and roughly scales as $(m_2/m_1)^{-0.59}$ when the mass ratio exceeds 20 (figure 1b). Consequently, in a gas mixture with a large mass difference, the inter-species collision term in the proposed kinetic model will have a negligible impact on the dynamics of the heavier species unless its mole fraction is significantly small; while it is usually important in determining the behaviors of the lighter species. Note that, knowing the relaxation timescale of each collision term is also crucial for developing a multi-temperature hydrodynamic equation for mixtures with disparate mass, since the corresponding constitutive relations depend on the orders of magnitude of the inter-species relaxation rates.

In the present work, three types of binary gas mixtures are considered in the following




Figure 1: The relaxation time ratios (a) ϕ_{12} and (b) ϕ_{21} fitted by the first approximations of the mixture viscosities with the mass ratio m_2/m_1 varies from 1 to 10^4 , for Maxwell gas mixtures with a fixed reference diameter ratio $d_2/d_1 = 1$ and hard-sphere gas mixtures with $d_2/d_1 = 2$.

Mixture	constituents	m_2/m_1	d_2/d_1	ω_{12}	α_{12}	ϕ_{12}	ϕ_{21}	φ_{12}	φ_{21}
1	Maxwell gas	10	1	1.0	2.14	1.214	0.5154	1.035	1.779
2		1000	1	1.0	2.14	1.367	0.05754	0.999	2.259
3	hard-sphere gas	100	2	0.5	1.0	2.955	0.1269	1.425	1.261

Table 1: The constituents of the three binary mixtures considered in the present work, and the corresponding parameters ϕ_{sr} and φ_{sr} in the kinetic model fitted by matching the mixture viscosity and thermal conductivity from VSS collision model, respectively.

simulations: Mixtures 1 and 2 consist of Maxwell gas molecules possessing identical reference diameters and mass ratios of 10 and 1000, respectively; Mixture 3 composites hard-sphere molecules characterized by a mass ratio of 100 and a diameter ratio of 2. All the parameters associated with inter-species collisions in the VSS model (namely, ω_{12} , α_{12} and d_{12}) are determined simply through the arithmetic averaging of the corresponding parameters of the individual components in each mixture. The fitting parameters ϕ_{sr} and φ_{sr} for relaxation rate ratios are given in Table 1. Due to the discrepancy by the first approximation of mixture thermal conductivity for hard-sphere molecules as mentioned above, we determine the values of φ_{sr} for Mixture 3 based on the thermal conductivities calculated from DSMC directly, to make a more consistent comparison for model validation. It is noteworthy that the fitted values of ϕ_{sr} and φ_{sr} for the considered mixtures are independent of temperature, while this is generally not the case for arbitrary mixtures containing gas molecules with different interaction potentials.

4. Numerical results of one-dimensional problems

In this section, the accuracy of the proposed kinetic model is assessed by the DSMC method in one-dimensional normal shock wave, Fourier flow and Couette flow of the binary gas mixtures listed in Table 1. We compare not only the average properties of the mixture but also those of the individual components, which is crucial for accurately describing mixture flows, as the different species in the mixture can vary significantly in concentration, velocity, and temperature in non-equilibrium flows.

The DSMC simulations are conducted using the open-source code SPARTA (Plimpton et al. 2019). On the other hand, to reduce the computational cost of solving kinetic model equations, the

velocity distribution functions are dimensionally reduced to be quasi-one-dimensional in velocity space for the normal shock wave and Fourier flow, by introducing functions $f_{s,x1}$ and $f_{s,x2}$,

$$f_{s,x1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_s dv_y dv_z, \quad f_{s,x2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v_y^2 + v_z^2) f_s dv_y dv_z. \quad (4.1)$$

Similarly, f_s can be reduced to be quasi-two-dimensional in velocity space for the Couette flow, by introducing functions $f_{s,xy1}$ and $f_{s,xy2}$,

$$f_{s,xy1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} f_s dv_z, \quad f_{s,xy2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} v_z^2 f_s dv_z. \quad (4.2)$$

The macroscopic variables are then calculated by taking moments of the reduced distribution functions. The kinetic model equations are solved by the discretized velocity method, and a finite difference method with a second-order upwind scheme is adopted in the numerical implementation. Since the characteristic molecular velocity of the lighter gas can be much higher than that of the heavier one by the square root of the mass ratio, the individual velocity space of each component is used with different truncations and discretization.

4.1. Normal shock wave

We investigate the structure of normal shock waves under various freestream conditions. The conditions are defined by the species mole fraction χ_s in the unperturbed freestream mixture, as well as the Mach number (Ma) calculated based on the speed of sound $v_{mix} = \sqrt{5k_B T_u / 3m_{mix}}$ in the upstream, where T_u is the upstream temperature and $m_{mix} = \sum m_s \chi_s$ is the averaged mass of the mixture. Given the freestream conditions, the macroscopic quantities at the downstream end are determined by the classical Rankine–Hugoniot relation.

The mass of the lighter species (denoted as species 1), the mixture number density n_u and the temperature T_u of the upstream flow are taken as reference values, namely, $m_0 = m_1$, $n_0 = n_u$, $T_0 = T_u$. The characteristic length L_0 is set equal to the mean free path of the lighter species in the upstream flow, thus leading to $\text{Kn}_1 = 1$. The simulation domain $[-L_x, L_x]$ is selected to ensure that the boundary conditions at the upstream and downstream ends can be approximated by equilibrium states, and then the specific values of L_x are chosen as $40L_0, 500L_0, 30L_0$ for Mixtures 1, 2 and 3, respectively, due to the significant differences in the properties of these gas mixtures and the freestream conditions.

Numerical results of both the kinetic model and DSMC are compared in figures 2, 3 and 4 for Mixtures 1, 2 and 3, respectively. We present the normalized values for number density, flow velocity, temperature, and dimensionless heat flux for each species and also the mixture, under the specified conditions with different concentration $\chi_1 = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9$ and $\text{Ma} = 3, 4, 5$. For Mixture 1 and 2 consisting of Maxwell gases, excellent agreements between the results of the kinetic model and DSMC are achieved (figure 2 and 3), even when the mass ratio is as high as 1000. For Mixture 3 consisting of hard-sphere molecules, the number density and velocity of the lighter species predicted by the kinetic model deviate from DSMC results, when the lighter species only present in a small amount ($\chi_1 = 0.1$, see figure 4a and 4d). However, the average properties of the mixture remain highly accurate despite this discrepancy, since in this situation, the lighter species, due to its low concentration, has a minimal impact on the overall behavior of the mixture. Generally, three reasons may contribute to the possible deviation predicted by the kinetic model for mixtures consisting of non-Maxwell gases: (i) the parameters c_{sr} and d_{sr} accounting for the energy relaxation are derived in the sense of approximation for non-Maxwell molecules; (ii) the term with parameter b_{sr} is designed to capture the correct thermal diffusion phenomena in the continuum limit, thus may have a discrepancy in strong non-equilibrium cases; (iii) the velocity-dependent collision frequency for non-Maxwell molecules is not recovered in the BGK-types operators. Nevertheless, as shown in figure 4, the kinetic model gives good overall agreement




Figure 2: Comparisons of the normalized (a-c) number density, (d-f) flow velocity, (g-i) temperature, and dimensionless (j-l) heat flux of the gas mixture between kinetic model (lines) and DSMC (symbols) for the normal shock wave at $Ma = 5$. The binary mixture consists of Maxwell molecules with a mass ratio $m_2/m_1 = 10$, diameter ratio $d_2/d_1 = 1$, and the mole fraction of light species $\chi_1 = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9$.

with DSMC simulations for hard-sphere gas mixtures. Therefore, the agreement suggests that the kinetic model can predict accurate results for real gases, whose behavior usually lies between that of hard-sphere and Maxwell molecules.

Although the shock waves in mixtures with large mass ratios (e.g. 32.8 for Helium-Xenon) have been studied in the literature, and have shown unique characteristics absent in those composed of similar gas molecules. The shock structures can be significantly altered by a further substantial




Figure 3: Comparisons of the normalized (a-c) number density, (d-f) flow velocity, (g-i) temperature, and dimensionless (j-l) heat flux of the gas mixture between kinetic model (lines) and DSMC (symbols) for the normal shock wave at $Ma = 3$. The binary mixture consists of Maxwell molecules with a mass ratio $m_2/m_1 = 1000$, diameter ratio $d_2/d_1 = 1$, and the mole fraction of light species $\chi_1 = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9$.

mass disparity within the mixture. By comparing the shock structures in a wide range of mass ratios and species concentrations, the following features can be observed and correctly captured by our kinetic model:

(i) The shock wave thickness of a gas mixture is markedly greater than that of a pure gas, especially when the mass ratio is large, as the mixture viscosity and diffusivity become stronger and the relaxation between components gets slower. For example, as shown in figure 3, the Maxwell




Figure 4: Comparisons of the normalized (a-c) number density, (d-f) flow velocity, (g-i) temperature, and dimensionless (j-l) heat flux of the gas mixture between kinetic model (lines) and DSMC (symbols) for the normal shock wave at $Ma = 4$. The binary mixture consists of hard-sphere molecules with a mass ratio $m_2/m_1 = 100$, diameter ratio $d_2/d_1 = 2$, and the mole fraction of light species $\chi_1 = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9$.

gases with a mass ratio of 1000 and diameter ratio of 1 form a significantly large transition zone from the upstream to the downstream, which spans several hundreds of the molecular mean free path.

(ii) In Mixture 1 with a mass ratio of 10 (moderate mass difference), a pronounced temperature overshoot of the heavy species (higher than the downstream temperature) is observed when the heavier gas has only a small proportion in the mixture (figure 2i), which has been shown in the

literature from kinetic modelling (Bird 1968; Kosuge et al. 2001; Sharipov & Dias 2018) and hydrodynamic equations (Schmidt et al. 1984). However, when the mass ratio increases to 1000, the temperature overshoot gradually vanishes with the concentration unchanged. As illustrated in figure 3, a comparable or even larger proportion of the heavier gas is required for the temperature overshoot to occur.

(iii) Flow velocity undershoot of the lighter gas happens in a mixture with a large mass ratio (100 and 1000) and a small proportion of lighter species ($\chi_1 = 0.1$) (figure 3d and 4d), which confirms the phenomena predicted by the multi-temperature hydrodynamic equations on weak shock (Goldman & Sirovich 1969). In these situations, the lighter gas decelerates to a velocity lower than that of the downstream flow, and thus is compressed to a density above the downstream one.

(iv) A two-stage shock structure with distinct gradients of the mixture properties can be observed. In Mixture 1 with a mass ratio of 10 and a large concentration of light species ($\chi_1 = 0.9$), the properties of the shock exhibit a very steep change on the upstream side, while followed by a sudden change in the form of a long tail downstream. This phenomenon happened in a mixture with a moderate mass difference has been reported in experiments of Helium-Xenon (Gmurczyk et al. 1979) and found by hydrodynamic equations (Ruyev et al. 2002). However, when the mass ratio becomes significantly large in Mixtures 2 and 3 (figure 3 and 4), this phenomenon disappears. On the contrary, the two-stage shock structure with an opposite trend occurs when there is only a small proportion of light species in the mixture ($\chi_1 = 0.1$). This structure consists of a smooth change in gas properties on the upstream side followed by a sudden and dramatic change on the downstream side.

4.2. Planar Fourier flow

A stationary rarefied mixture confined between two infinite parallel plates located at $x = 0$ and $x = L_0$ is considered. The surfaces of the plates have different temperatures $T_w = T_0 \pm \Delta T$, and reflect the gas molecules in a fully diffuse way. The Knudsen number is determined in terms of the averaged number density of the mixture n_0 , the temperature T_0 , and the distance between the two plates L_0 . A variety of cases were considered for the mixtures with different mole fractions χ_s , temperature difference ΔT and Knudsen numbers, while a selection of the representative results is shown in figures 5 and 6. It can be seen that the solutions given by the kinetic model agree well with the DSMC results.

The temperatures of the components in Mixture 1 ($m_2/m_1 = 10$) stay close when Kn is up to 1 (figure 5a to 5f), while pronounced temperature separation and concentration variation can be observed for Mixture 2 ($m_2/m_1 = 1000$) when Kn = 0.1 (figure 5g to 5l). It is noteworthy that all these Maxwell molecules under consideration have the same size of the mean free path, due to their identical diameter and interaction potential. However, the inter-species relaxation is much slower for the gases with disparate mass, although the spatial Knudsen number is the same. In other words, the mixtures with larger mass ratios may exhibit significant non-equilibrium phenomena even at small Kn, and hence shrink the applicable range of the hydrodynamic description of the mixtures. The same observation has been found in a previous work solving linearized Boltzmann equation and McCormack model (Ho et al. 2016).

Unlike Maxwell gas mixtures, where the thermal diffusion effect is absent, mixtures of hard-sphere molecules have a significant thermal diffusion effect. As shown in figure 6 (Kn₁ = 0.1 and $\Delta T = 0.8T_0$), although the temperature of the two species remains the same, the concentration ratio between components varies across the domain due to the temperature gradient, and the heavy gas molecules tend to concentrate in the cold region. Meanwhile, the highly nonlinear feature arising from the pronounced temperature difference between the two plates is accurately captured. We also solve the kinetic model without the thermal diffusion effect for these cases by setting parameter $b_{12} = 0$ (all the other transport properties and relaxation rates remain unchanged), and




Figure 5: Comparisons of the normalized number density (first column), dimensionless temperature (second column), and heat flux (third column) of the gas mixture between kinetic model (lines) and DSMC (symbols) for the planar Fourier flow with $\Delta T = 0.2T_0$. The binary mixture consists of Maxwell molecules with a diameter ratio $d_2/d_1 = 1$, and the mole fraction of light species $\chi_1 = 0.5$. Each row belongs to a specific flow condition: (a-c) Mixture 1 ($m_2/m_1 = 10$), $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1$, (d-f) Mixture 1, $\text{Kn}_1 = 1$, (g-i) Mixture 2 ($m_2/m_1 = 1000$), $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1$, (j-l) Mixture 2, $\text{Kn}_1 = 1$.

the corresponding results are shown in figure 6 by black lines. Clearly, the species separation phenomenon cannot be reproduced, thus leading to an incorrect prediction of concentration and hence the heat flux of each species.




Figure 6: Comparisons of the normalized number density (first column), dimensionless temperature (second column), and heat flux (third column) of the gas mixture between kinetic model (lines) and DSMC (symbols) for the Fourier flow, when $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1$ and $\Delta T = 0.8T_0$. The binary mixture consists of hard-sphere molecules with a mass ratio $m_2/m_1 = 100$, diameter ratio $d_2/d_1 = 2$, and the mole fraction of light species $\chi_1 = 0.1$ (first row) and 0.9 (second row). The black lines are the kinetic model solutions without thermal diffusion effects ($b_{12} = 0$).

4.3. Planar Couette flow

The Couette flow shares the same configuration as that of the Fourier flow, but the temperatures of both plates are kept the same at T_0 , and the lower and upper plates move along y direction with velocity $u_{1,y} = -v_w$ and $u_{2,y} = v_w$, respectively, where $v_w = \sqrt{2k_B T_0 / m_{mix}}$. Due to the symmetry, only half of the domain ($L_0/2 \leq x_2 \leq L_0$) is used in the simulations.

The simulation results of our kinetic model and DSMC method are shown in figure 7 for the three mixtures with $\chi_1 = 0.5$, when $\text{Kn}_1 = 1$ for Mixture 1 and $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1$ for the others. Good agreements are found for all the macroscopic properties. We analyze the mixture shear stress predicted by two methods across various mole fractions χ_1 and Knudsen numbers Kn_1 (Table 2). Note that the actual plate's velocities vary significantly for mixtures with different mass ratios and mole fractions, and the boundary velocity is supersonic or even hypersonic for the heavier species, but subsonic for lighter gas in most cases (exclude the one for Mixture 1 with $\chi_1 = 0.9$). Nevertheless, the maximum relative error of shear stress in all the considered cases remains below 3.2%, and occurs at a moderate degree of rarefaction. It should be noted that, the moderate rarefaction level extends to a wider range of Knudsen numbers for a mixture with larger mass difference, because of the presence of multiscale species Kn and relaxation times of inter-species collisions. Importantly, the data demonstrates a consistent level of accuracy for our model, regardless of variations in the mass ratio of the gas species.




Figure 7: Comparisons of the normalized (a-c) number density, dimensionless (d-f) flow velocity, (g-i) temperature, and (j-l) heat flux between kinetic model (lines) and DSMC (symbols) for the Couette flow with the mole fraction of light species $\chi_1 = 0.5$, when $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1$ (Mixture 2 and 3 in the first and second column, respectively) and 1 (Mixture 1 in the third column).

5. Numerical results for two-dimensional problems

In this section, the kinetic model is further applied to solve two-dimensional mixture flows, that is, a supersonic mixture passing a circular cylinder and a gas mixture flowing through a nozzle. Also, the results are compared to the DSMC simulations to evaluate the accuracy of our kinetic model.

The discretized velocity method is applied to solve the model equation that is reduced to be quasi-two-dimensional in velocity space using (4.2). The spatial domains simulated in all the

		Maxwell gas				Hard-sphere gas				
m_2/m_1		10		1000		100				
χ_1		0.1	0.5	0.9	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.1	0.5	0.9
$\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1$		0.224	0.223	0.216	0.233	0.281	0.289	0.600	0.074	0.110
		(0.1%)	(0.6%)	(1.7%)	(0.2%)	(0.9%)	(3.2%)	(1.4%)	(1.7%)	(2.9%)
1		0.782	0.731	0.706	0.781	0.692	0.411	0.397	0.425	0.449
		(1.7%)	(2.3%)	(3.0%)	(1.5%)	(1.1%)	(3.2%)	(1.3%)	(1.6%)	(3.2%)
10		1.058	0.963	0.952	1.035	0.809	0.450	0.904	0.787	0.626
		(0.2%)	(0.7%)	(0.3%)	(0.2%)	(0.1%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.1%)	(2.8%)

Table 2: The dimensionless shear stress $P_{xy}/n_0k_B T_0$ of the mixture calculated by the kinetic model equation for the Couette flow, with the mole fraction $\chi_1 = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9$ and $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1, 1, 10$. The values in parentheses are the relative errors between the results given by the kinetic model and the DSMC method.




Figure 8: Comparisons of the dimensionless (a) number density and (b) flow velocity between the results of the kinetic model (black lines) and DSMC method (background contours) for a supersonic mixture flow passing a cylinder, when the mole fraction of the freestream is $\chi_1 = 0.5$, $\text{Ma}_\infty = 3$ and $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.5$. The binary mixture consists of Maxwell molecules with a mass ratio $m_2/m_1 = 1000$ and a diameter ratio $d_2/d_1 = 1$.

following cases are discretized by structured quadrilateral mesh with refinement near the surfaces, and the grid size is maintained smaller than the local mean free path of the gas molecules, to ensure a reliable solution of the kinetic model equation. The finite volume method is used in the numerical implementation, where the convention fluxes are evaluated implicitly by the LU-SGS technique, and the collision terms are calculated with the Venkata limiter.

5.1. Supersonic flow around a circular cylinder

We consider the supersonic gas flow with density n_0 at $\text{Ma}_\infty = 3$ passing a cylinder with diameter L_0 . The temperatures of both the freestream and isothermal surfaces of the cylinder are maintained at T_0 . The Knudsen number of the lighter species of the freestream is $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.5$. Based on the diameter ratio of mixtures' components, the Knudsen number of the heavier species




Figure 9: Comparisons of the dimensionless (a-c) number density, (d-f) flow velocity, (g-i) temperature and (j-l) heat flux along the windward side stagnation line between the results of the kinetic model and DSMC for a supersonic mixture flow passing a cylinder, when the mole fraction of the freestream is $\chi_1 = 0.5$, $Ma_\infty = 3$ and $Kn_1 = 0.5$. The first, second and third column corresponds to Mixture 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

in Mixture 1 and 2 is $Kn_2 = 0.5$ as well, while that in Mixture 3 of hard-sphere molecules is $Kn_2 = 0.125$. Besides, the mole fraction considered in this problem is $\chi_1 = 0.5$ for all the mixtures. The simulations are conducted only in the upper half-domain $[-L, L] \times [0, L]$ due to symmetry, with $L/L_0 = 6, 12, 10$ for Mixture 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

The detailed flow fields about species number density and flow velocity of the surrounding gas are presented in figure 8 for the gas mixture with a mass ratio of 1000, where the result given by




Figure 10: Comparisons of the dimensionless (a-c) pressure and (d-f) heat flux along the surface of the cylinder between the results of kinetic model and DSMC for the mixtures, when the mole fraction of the freestream is $\chi_1 = 0.5$, $\text{Ma}_\infty = 3$ and $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.5$. The angle is measured from the windward to the leeward side. The first, second and third column corresponds to Mixture 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

the kinetic model matches the DSMC data well. Figure 9 compares kinetic model results of the windward side number density, flow velocity, temperature and heat flux along the stagnation line with those solved by the DSMC method, and the overall agreement is very good.

Despite having the same freestream flow velocity that exceeds the sound speed of the mixture, individual species in a gas mixture with disparate mass experience vastly different flow characteristics. This is due to their distinct species Mach numbers $\text{Ma}_{\infty,s}$, which are defined based on their own individual sound speeds $\sqrt{5k_B T_0 / 3m_s}$. For instance, $\text{Ma}_{\infty,1} = 0.13$ and $\text{Ma}_{\infty,2} = 4.24$ for the mixture shown in figure 8. Therefore, the lighter component forms a subsonic flow field and exhibits significantly less compression compared to the heavier species. As shown by the density profiles in figure 9 for the mixture with a mass ratio of 1000, the number density of the heavier gas gets nearly 10 times that of the lighter one near the stagnation point.

The overall properties of the shock are mainly determined by the heavier species, because of its higher number density and molecular mass, as long as the components' mole fractions in the freestream are comparable. However, it is found that, as the mass ratio changes from 10 to 1000 for Mixture 1 and 2, the thickness of the shock wave and the peak values of the mixture temperature increase only slightly (10%), while the thinner shock structure of Mixture 3 composed of hard-sphere molecules arises from the lower Knudsen number of its heavier component. Note that the actual freestream velocities of the mixtures vary significantly due to the distinct average mixture mass, though Ma_∞ keeps constant.

Figure 10 shows the pressure and heat flux along the surface of the cylinder. The pressure predicted by the kinetic model matches the DSMC results very well, while the heat fluxes given by the two methods have a 6% relative difference around the windward side stagnation region for Mixture 3 consisting of hard-sphere molecules. Interestingly, the forces acting on the object are found to be very close in the three types of mixtures, despite the disparity in average mixture mass, and even the intermolecular potential. Particularly, for the flow of Mixture 1 and 2, the aerodynamic forces are nearly the same hence roughly independent of the mass ratio, which is the




Figure 11: The dimensionless (a,c) number density and (b,d) local Mach number solved by the kinetic model (black lines) and DSMC method (background contours) for a gas mixture flowing through a nozzle, when $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1$ at the inlet. The inlet mole fraction is $\chi_1 = 0.05$ for Mixture 2 (first row) and $\chi_1 = 0.5$ for Mixture 3 (second row).

only different dimensionless variable in the two flows. Also, the force is found to be insensitive to the intermolecular potential and molecular diameter ratio (Mixture 3). On the other hand, the values of heat flux on the surface are not only inversely scaled by the square root of the mass ratio, but also significantly influenced by the intermolecular potential and molecular diameter ratio.

5.2. Nozzle flow

In this case, the kinetic model is applied to simulate a two-dimensional rarefied gas mixture flowing through a nozzle into the vacuum. The structure of the nozzle is shown in figure 11, which has a straight channel with width L_0 , a converging section shrinking the width to $L_0/2$ at the throat, and a diverging section. At the inlet of the nozzle ($x = 0$), the flow was assumed to be considerably subsonic $\text{Ma}_{in} = 0.05$ with Maxwellian velocity distributions at temperature T_0 . The gas molecules are reflected on the cold walls of the nozzle ($T_w = T_0/2$) with complete thermal accommodation, and then go through the outlet ($x = 3L_0$) into the vacuum. The Knudsen number, defined in terms of the gas properties at the inlet, is $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1$ for lighter species for all the mixtures.

We consider a very small proportion of lighter molecules ($\chi_1 = 0.05$) mixed with heavier ones for Mixture 2, and a half-half mix ($\chi_1 = 0.5$) of hard-sphere molecules for Mixture 3. Figure 11 shows the species number density and local Mach number distribution in the nozzle solved by the kinetic model and DSMC. Also, Figure 12 shows the density, temperature and heat flux along the center line of the nozzle. The good accuracy of our model equation is demonstrated.

It is found that, when a gas mixture with disparate mass flows through a nozzle, the density and temperatures of each component do not experience remarkable separation across the nozzle. However, the species velocities at the outlet become noticeably different. As illustrated in figure 12a and 12d, Mixture 2, having a mass ratio of 1000, exhibits an outlet velocity for the lighter




Figure 12: Comparisons of the dimensionless (a,d) flow velocity, (b,e) temperature and (c,f) heat flux along the center line between the results of the kinetic model and DSMC for a gas mixture flowing through a nozzle, when $\text{Kn}_1 = 0.1$ at the inlet. The inlet mole fraction is $\chi_1 = 0.05$ for Mixture 2 (first row) and $\chi_1 = 0.5$ for Mixture 3 (second row).




Figure 13: Comparisons of the dimensionless number flow rate of each species passing through the outlet of the nozzle between the results of the kinetic model (lines) and DSMC (symbols). The results of Mixture 2 and 3 are given in (a) and (b), respectively.

species that is 20 times higher than the velocity of the heavier one; similarly, Mixture 3 shows a velocity ratio of 3.7 between its lighter and heavier components. Consequently, the significant diffusion velocities make a noticeable contribution to the total heat flux of the mixture, particularly in the diverging section of the nozzle, where the heat flux due to conductance becomes negligible. On the other hand, the two components achieve close values of species Mach number $\text{Ma}_{s,local}$ calculated using their respective local sound speeds, as plotted in figure 11b and 11d, which also indicates similar degrees of compression of the disparate species flowing through the nozzle. While the relatively higher $\text{Ma}_{s,local}$ of the heavier components primarily arises from inter-species collisions with lighter molecules, which accelerate the heavier ones.

We also calculate the flow rate of individual components passing through the nozzle outlet, as plotted in figure 13. The number flow rate is found to be comparable for the mixture components,

while the heavier species will hence dominate the mass flow rate due to its much higher molecular mass. Compared with DSMC results, the mass flow rate predicted by the kinetic model yields less than 1.7% relative difference for all the species in Mixture 2, and 3.2% relative difference for those in Mixture 3.

6. Conclusions

In summary, we have proposed a new kinetic model for monatomic gas mixtures, which can describe the dynamics of rarefied gas flow with disparate molecular mass, and reduce to the Shakhov model for single-species gas when the components are mechanically identical and the diffuse velocity vanishes. The tunable parameters in the kinetic model are uniquely determined by the transport properties of the gas mixture, and thus the shear viscosity, thermal conductivity, diffusion coefficient and thermal diffusion coefficients can be recovered by the model equation in the continuum limit.

The accuracy of the proposed models has been assessed by comparing with DSMC simulations for various binary gas mixture flows in representative problems, including the one-dimensional Fourier flow, Couette flow and normal shock waves, as well as the two-dimensional supersonic flow passing a cylinder and nozzle flow into a vacuum. A wide range of mass ratios, species concentrations, and different intermolecular potentials have been considered. The kinetic model demonstrates its high accuracy not only for predicting the average mixture properties but also for capturing the flow fields of the individual components.

The proposed kinetic model benefits from the following features that enable its applicability in the accurate modelling of a rarefied gas mixture with disparate mass:

(i) The model equation is constructed using a sum of relaxation operators imitating each type of binary collision individually, thus it can correctly capture the multiscale relaxation rates inherent in different collision processes. We reveal the dependence of the inter-species relaxation timescales on mass difference, which differ for the lighter and heavier components by orders of magnitude in a mixture with disparate mass.

(ii) All the transport coefficients can be recovered simultaneously by the kinetic model in the continuum limit. Particularly, the model goes beyond previous BGK-type models by incorporating the thermal diffusion effect for mixtures composed of non-Maxwell gases. The concentration variation is correctly captured by our model in simulations of the Fourier flow of hard-sphere gas mixtures. Therefore, the kinetic model can be applied to investigate the gas separation processes driven by temperature gradients, such as those occurring in a Knudsen compressor ([Takata et al. 2007](#)).

(iii) More importantly, the model equation exhibits minimal loss of accuracy when the mass ratio between components increases from 10 to 1000, when compared to the original Boltzmann equation. This remarkable consistency suggests the accuracy of the kinetic model for the gas mixtures with even larger mass disparities, and particularly, its potential extension to the kinetic modeling of plasmas.

Gas mixtures with disparate mass possess substantial velocity and temperature non-equilibrium due to significant slow inter-species relaxations, thus forming unique flow characteristics, which are most evident in supersonic mixture flows:

(i) Previous observations of temperature overshoots and two-stage structures in normal shock waves are found at low concentrations of heavier gas in mixtures with moderate mass ratios. However, mixtures with significant mass disparity exhibit these phenomena under different conditions. Specifically, the temperature overshoot occurs when the heavier molecules are present in equal and even lower amounts compared to the lighter molecules. Additionally, the shock wave displays a reversed two-stage structure, characterized by a smooth and expansive upstream region followed by a steep change in properties on the downstream side.

(ii) The supersonic mixture around an object leads to the coexistence of a subsonic lighter gas flow and a super/hypersonic heavier gas flow, hence posing a dramatic temperature difference between the components. Interestingly, the aerodynamic force acting on the cylinder is found to be independent of the mass ratio and insensitive to the intermolecular potential, while the heat transfer to the cylinder can be significantly affected by these factors.

Last but not least, a gas mixture with disparate mass usually exhibits multiscale features both spatially and temporally, as well as significant concentration differences between its components. These characteristics bring unaffordable computational costs when solving the Boltzmann equation or conducting DSMC simulations in such mixture flows. On the other hand, the deterministic numerical methods with multiscale schemes that solve the kinetic equations have shown their excellent performance in multiscale problems. For example, the general synthetic iterative scheme developed in recent years can asymptotically preserve the Navier-Stokes equation in the continuum limit (thus removing the constraint on the spatial cell size), and find the steady-state solution of a kinetic equation within dozens of iterations at any Knudsen number (Su et al. 2020a,b; Liu et al. 2024). Therefore, with the computationally tractable kinetic model proposed here and the multiscale numerical methods, the gas mixture flows with disparate mass can be accurately and efficiently solved and found promising engineering applications, e.g., the particle exhaust system in nuclear fusion device (Tantos et al. 2024) and the gas dynamics locker in EUV lithography (Teng et al. 2023). Moreover, with our experience in the kinetic modeling of single-species with internal degrees of freedom (Wu et al. 2015a; Li et al. 2021, 2023), the kinetic models for multi-species gas mixtures with internal degrees of freedom are expected to be established in the near future.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants No. 12172162 and No. 12202177.

Declaration of interests

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Appendix A. Chapman-Enskog analysis of kinetic model equation

The transport coefficients and macroscopic equations given by our kinetic model (2.6) in continuum limit can be obtained by the Chapman-Enskog method (Chapman & Cowling 1970), where the distribution functions f_s are expansions in the form of an infinite series,

$$f_s = f_s^{(0)} + \varepsilon f_s^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 f_s^{(2)} + \dots, \quad s = 1, 2. \quad (\text{A } 1)$$

The conserved macroscopic properties n_s , \mathbf{u} , T remain unexpanded and thus are determined only by $f_s^{(0)}$, while the other quantities h , including macroscopic variables and auxiliary properties, are also expanded as,

$$h = h^{(0)} + \varepsilon h^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 h^{(2)} + \dots. \quad (\text{A } 2)$$

Substituting the expansions into the model equation (2.6), the zero-order distribution functions

$f_s^{(0)}$ are given by the solution of the kinetic equations,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^{(0)} f_1 &= \frac{1}{\tau_{11}^{(0)}} \left(g_{11}^{(0)} - f_1^{(0)} \right) + \frac{1}{\tau_{12}^{(0)}} \left(g_{12}^{(0)} - f_1^{(0)} \right), \\ \mathcal{D}^{(0)} f_2 &= \frac{1}{\tau_{21}^{(0)}} \left(g_{21}^{(0)} - f_2^{(0)} \right) + \frac{1}{\tau_{22}^{(0)}} \left(g_{22}^{(0)} - f_2^{(0)} \right),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A } 3)$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{(0)} f_s = 0$, and the reference distribution functions g_{sr} ($s, r = 1, 2$), which depend on auxiliary properties, are expanded around the Maxwellian distribution of the conserved macroscopic variables n_s, \mathbf{u}, T ,

$$\begin{aligned}g_{sr}^{(0)} &= n_s \left(\frac{m_s}{2\pi k_B T} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{m_s c^2}{2k_B T} \right), \quad s, r = 1, 2, \\ g_{sr}^{(1)} &= g_{sr}^{(0)} \left[\frac{m_s \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{c}}{k_B T} + \frac{\hat{T}_{sr}^{(1)}}{T} \left(\frac{m_s c^2}{2k_B T} - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{2m_s \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{c}}{5n_s k_B^2 T^2} \left(\frac{m_s c^2}{2k_B T} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \right], \quad s, r = 1, 2,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A } 4)$$

where $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}$ is the peculiar velocity with respect to the mixture velocity \mathbf{u} . Therefore, the first approximation to $f_s = f_s^{(0)}$ gives the local equilibrium state of each species with the common flow velocity \mathbf{u} and temperature T ,

$$f_s^{(0)} = n_s \left(\frac{m_s}{2\pi k_B T} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{m_s (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})^2}{2k_B T} \right), \quad s = 1, 2. \quad (\text{A } 5)$$

Then, the zero-order macroscopic properties can be obtained by taking respective moments of $f_s^{(0)}$,

$$\mathbf{u}_s^{(0)} = \mathbf{u}, \quad T_s^{(0)} = 0, \quad \mathbf{P}^{(0)} = nk_B T \mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{q}^{(0)} = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \quad (\text{A } 6)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix. Meanwhile, the zero-order auxiliary parameters are also obtained from equation (2.12) as $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{12}^{(0)} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{21}^{(0)} = \mathbf{u}$, $\hat{T}_{12}^{(0)} = \hat{T}_{21}^{(0)} = T$.

To the second approximation of the distribution function $f_s = f_s^{(0)} + \varepsilon f_s^{(1)}$, the first-order correction $f_s^{(1)}$ is solved from the kinetic equations,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^{(1)} f_1 &= \frac{1}{\tau_{11}^{(0)}} \left(g_{11}^{(1)} - f_1^{(1)} \right) + \frac{1}{\tau_{12}^{(0)}} \left(g_{12}^{(1)} - f_1^{(1)} \right), \\ \mathcal{D}^{(1)} f_2 &= \frac{1}{\tau_{21}^{(0)}} \left(g_{21}^{(1)} - f_2^{(1)} \right) + \frac{1}{\tau_{22}^{(0)}} \left(g_{22}^{(1)} - f_2^{(1)} \right),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A } 7)$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{(1)} f_s$ can be explicitly evaluated as,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^{(1)} f_s &= \frac{\partial f_s^{(0)}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_s^{(0)}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{a}_s \cdot \frac{\partial f_s^{(0)}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \\ &= f_s^{(0)} \left[\left(\frac{m_s c^2}{2k_B T} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla \ln T + \frac{n}{n_s} \mathbf{d}_{sr} \cdot \mathbf{c} + \frac{m_s}{k_B T} \left(\mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} - \frac{1}{3} c^2 \mathbf{I} \right) : \nabla \mathbf{u} \right], \\ &s = 1, 2, \quad r \neq s.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A } 8)$$

Here, \mathbf{d}_{sr} ($s \neq r$) represents the diffusive driving force

$$\mathbf{d}_{12} = -\mathbf{d}_{21} = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho p} \left[\left(\frac{\nabla p_1}{\rho_1} - \frac{\nabla p_2}{\rho_2} \right) - (\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2) \right]. \quad (\text{A } 9)$$

Therefore, the first-order correction of the distribution function is obtained,

$$f_s^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\tau_{s1}^{(0)} + \tau_{s2}^{(0)}} \left(\tau_{s2}^{(0)} g_{s1}^{(1)} + \tau_{s1}^{(0)} g_{s2}^{(1)} - \tau_{s1}^{(0)} \tau_{s2}^{(0)} \mathcal{D}^{(1)} f_s \right), \quad s = 1, 2. \quad (\text{A } 10)$$

Substituting the second approximation to f_s into the definition of diffusion velocity, stress tensor and heat flux, the transport terms as functions of the gradients of the macroscopic properties can be calculated according to (2.4) and (2.5).

The first-order correction of the species velocity $\mathbf{u}_s^{(1)}$ is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_1^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{n_1} \int \mathbf{v} f_1^{(1)} d\mathbf{v} = \frac{\tau_{12}^{(0)}}{\tau_{11}^{(0)} + \tau_{12}^{(0)}} \mathbf{u}_1^{(1)} + \frac{\tau_{11}^{(0)}}{\tau_{11}^{(0)} + \tau_{12}^{(0)}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{12}^{(1)} - \frac{\tau_{11}^{(0)} \tau_{12}^{(0)}}{\tau_{11}^{(0)} + \tau_{12}^{(0)}} \frac{p}{\rho_1} \mathbf{d}_{12}, \\ \mathbf{u}_2^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{n_2} \int \mathbf{v} f_2^{(1)} d\mathbf{v} = \frac{\tau_{21}^{(0)}}{\tau_{22}^{(0)} + \tau_{21}^{(0)}} \mathbf{u}_2^{(1)} + \frac{\tau_{22}^{(0)}}{\tau_{21}^{(0)} + \tau_{22}^{(0)}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{21}^{(1)} + \frac{\tau_{22}^{(0)} \tau_{21}^{(0)}}{\tau_{22}^{(0)} + \tau_{21}^{(0)}} \frac{p}{\rho_2} \mathbf{d}_{12}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 11)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{12}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{21}$ are given by (2.12) and (2.13). Considering that the mixture velocity \mathbf{u} is unexpanded, which gives a constraint $\rho_1 \mathbf{u}_1^{(1)} + \rho_2 \mathbf{u}_2^{(1)} = 0$, the first-order species velocities are obtained,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_1^{(1)} &= -\frac{\rho_1 \tau_{21}^{(0)} + \rho_2 \tau_{12}^{(0)}}{a_{12}} \frac{p}{\rho \rho_1} \mathbf{d}_{12} - \frac{2b_{12} \rho_2}{a_{12} \rho} \nabla \ln T, \\ \mathbf{u}_2^{(1)} &= -\frac{\rho_1 \tau_{21}^{(0)} + \rho_2 \tau_{12}^{(0)}}{a_{12}} \frac{p}{\rho \rho_2} \mathbf{d}_{21} - \frac{2b_{21} \rho_1}{a_{12} \rho} \nabla \ln T. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 12)$$

Similarly, the first-order correction of the species temperature $T_s^{(1)}$ is calculated based on (2.4) and the auxiliary properties (2.12) and (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned} T + \varepsilon T_s^{(1)} &= \frac{2}{3n_s k_B} \int \frac{1}{2} m_s (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s)^2 \left(f_s^{(0)} + \varepsilon f_s^{(1)} \right) d\mathbf{v} \\ &= \left(T + \varepsilon T_s^{(1)} \right) + \varepsilon \frac{\tau_{ss}^{(0)}}{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} + \tau_{sr}^{(0)}} \frac{n_r \tau_{sr}^{(0)}}{n_s \tau_{rs}^{(0)} + n_r \tau_{sr}^{(0)}} c_{sr} \left(T_r^{(1)} - T_s^{(1)} \right) + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad s \neq r. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 13)$$

Since the mixture temperature T is unexpanded, the constraint $n_1 T_1^{(1)} + n_2 T_2^{(1)} = 0$ needs to be satisfied by ignoring the higher-order terms. It is found that the first-order correction of the species temperature vanishes

$$T_1^{(1)} = T_2^{(1)} = 0. \quad (\text{A } 14)$$

The first-order correction of the mixture stress tensor $\mathbf{P}^{(1)}$ is calculated based on (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^{(1)} &= \int m_1 \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} f_1^{(1)} d\mathbf{v} + \int m_2 \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} f_2^{(1)} d\mathbf{v} \\ &= -k_B T \left(\frac{\tau_{11}^{(0)} \tau_{12}^{(0)}}{\tau_{11}^{(0)} + \tau_{12}^{(0)}} n_1 + \frac{\tau_{22}^{(0)} \tau_{21}^{(0)}}{\tau_{22}^{(0)} + \tau_{21}^{(0)}} n_2 \right) \left(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \mathbf{I} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A } 15)$$

The first-order correction of the species heat fluxes $\mathbf{q}_s^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{q}_{sr}^{(1)}$ are,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{q}_s^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int \frac{1}{2} m_s (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s)^2 (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}_s) \left(f_s^{(0)} + \varepsilon f_s^{(1)} \right) d\mathbf{v} \\ &= \frac{\tau_{sr}^{(0)}}{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} + \tau_{sr}^{(0)}} \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{ss}^{(1)} + \frac{\tau_{ss}^{(0)}}{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} + \tau_{sr}^{(0)}} \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr}^{(1)} - \frac{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} \tau_{sr}^{(0)}}{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} + \tau_{sr}^{(0)}} \frac{5k_B T}{2m_s} n_1 k_B \nabla T + O(\varepsilon), \\ \mathbf{q}_{sr}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int \frac{1}{2} m_s (\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr})^2 (\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{sr}) \left(f_s^{(0)} + \varepsilon f_s^{(1)} \right) d\mathbf{v} \\ &= \frac{\tau_{sr}^{(0)}}{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} + \tau_{sr}^{(0)}} \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{ss}^{(1)} + \frac{\tau_{ss}^{(0)}}{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} + \tau_{sr}^{(0)}} \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr}^{(1)} - \tau_{sr}^{(0)} \frac{5k_B T}{2m_s} p \mathbf{d}_{sr} - \frac{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} \tau_{sr}^{(0)}}{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} \tau_{sr}^{(0)}} \frac{5k_B T}{2m_s} n_1 k_B \nabla T + O(\varepsilon), \quad s \neq r,\end{aligned}\tag{A 16}$$

where the auxiliary heat fluxes $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{ss}$, $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{sr}$ are constructed as (2.14), and thus we have,

$$\mathbf{q}_s^{(1)} = - \frac{\tau_{ss}^{(0)} \tau_{sr}^{(0)}}{\text{Pr}_{sr} \tau_{ss}^{(0)} + \text{Pr}_{ss} \tau_{sr}^{(0)}} \frac{5k_B T}{2m_s} (\gamma_{sr} p \mathbf{d}_{sr} + n_s k_B \nabla T), \quad s \neq r.\tag{A 17}$$

Then the first-order correction of the mixture heat flux is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{q}^{(1)} &= \int \frac{1}{2} m_1 c^2 \mathbf{c} f_1^{(1)} d\mathbf{v} + \int \frac{1}{2} m_2 c^2 \mathbf{c} f_2^{(1)} d\mathbf{v} \\ &= \mathbf{q}_1^{(1)} + \mathbf{q}_2^{(1)} + \frac{5}{2} k_B T \left(n_1 \mathbf{u}_1^{(1)} + n_2 \mathbf{u}_2^{(1)} \right) + O(\varepsilon), \\ &= \frac{5}{2} k_B T \left(n_1 \mathbf{u}_1^{(1)} + n_2 \mathbf{u}_2^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{n_1}{m_1} \frac{\tau_{11}^{(0)} \tau_{12}^{(0)}}{\text{Pr}_{12} \tau_{11}^{(0)} + \text{Pr}_{11} \tau_{12}^{(0)}} + \frac{n_2}{m_2} \frac{\tau_{22}^{(0)} \tau_{21}^{(0)}}{\text{Pr}_{21} \tau_{22}^{(0)} + \text{Pr}_{22} \tau_{21}^{(0)}} \right) \frac{5k_B T}{2} k_B \nabla T \\ &\quad + \gamma_{12} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} \frac{\tau_{11}^{(0)} \tau_{12}^{(0)}}{\text{Pr}_{12} \tau_{11}^{(0)} + \text{Pr}_{11} \tau_{12}^{(0)}} + \frac{1}{m_2} \frac{\tau_{22}^{(0)} \tau_{21}^{(0)}}{\text{Pr}_{21} \tau_{22}^{(0)} + \text{Pr}_{22} \tau_{21}^{(0)}} \right) \frac{5k_B T}{2} \times \\ &\quad \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 \tau_{21}^{(0)} + \rho_2 \tau_{12}^{(0)}} \left[a_{12} \left(\mathbf{u}_1^{(1)} - \mathbf{u}_2^{(1)} \right) + 2b_{12} \nabla \ln T \right] + O(\varepsilon).\end{aligned}\tag{A 18}$$

REFERENCES

- AGRAWAL, S., SINGH, S. K. & ANSUMALI, S. 2020 Fokker–Planck model for binary mixtures. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **899**, A25.
- ALVES, L. L., BOGAERTS, A., GUERRA, V. & TURNER, M. M. 2018 Foundations of modelling of nonequilibrium low-temperature plasmas. *Plasma Sources Science and Technology* **27** (2).
- ANDRIES, P., AOKI, K & PERTHAME, B. 2002 A consistent BGK-type model for gas mixtures. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **106** (516), 993–1018.
- ANDRIES, P., LE TALLEC, P., PERLAT, J. & PERTHAME, B. 2000 The Gaussian-BGK model of Boltzmann equation with small Prandtl number. *European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids* **19** (6), 813–830.
- BELLAN, P. M. 2006 *Fundamentals of Plasma Physics*. Cambridge University Press.
- BHATNAGAR, P. L., GROSS, E. P. & KROOK, M. 1954 A model for collision processes in gases. I. Small amplitude processes in charged and neutral one-component systems. *Physical review* **94**, 511–525.
- BIRD, G. A. 1968 The structure of normal shockwaves in a binary gas mixture. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **31** (4), 657–668.
- BIRD, G. A. 1994 *Molecular Gas Dynamics and the Direct Simulation of Gas Flows*. Oxford University Press Inc, New York: Oxford Science Publications.
- BISI, M., BOSCHERI, W., DIMARCO, G., GROPPI, M. & MARTALÒ, G. 2022 A new mixed Boltzmann-BGK

- model for mixtures solved with an IMEX finite volume scheme on unstructured meshes. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **433**, 127416.
- BISI, M., MONACO, R. & SOARES, A. J. 2018 A BGK model for reactive mixtures of polyatomic gases with continuous internal energy. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **51** (12), 125501.
- BISI, M. & TRAVAGLINI, R. 2020 A BGK model for mixtures of monoatomic and polyatomic gases with discrete internal energy. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **547**, 124441.
- BOBYLEV, A., BISI, M., GROPPi, M., SPIGA, G. & POTAPENKO, I. 2018 A general consistent BGK model for gas mixtures. *Kinetic and Related Models* **11** (6), 1377–1393.
- BOYD, D. 1996 Conservative species weighting scheme for the direct simulation monte carlo method. *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer* **10**, 579–585.
- BRULL, S. 2015 An ellipsoidal statistical model for gas mixtures. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences* **13** (1), 1–13.
- BRULL, S., PAVAN, V. & SCHNEIDER, J. 2012 Derivation of a BGK model for mixtures. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* **33**, 74–86.
- BRUN, RAYMOND 2012 *High Temperature Phenomena in Shock Waves*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- CHAPMAN, S. 1958 Thermal diffusion in ionized gases. *Proceedings of the Physical Society* **72** (3), 353–362.
- CHAPMAN, S. & COWLING, T. G. 1970 *The Mathematical Theory of Non-uniform Gases*. Cambridge University Press.
- CHEN, S., XU, K. & CAI, Q. 2015 A comparison and unification of ellipsoidal statistical and Shakhov BGK models. *Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics* **7** (2), 245–266.
- ERDMAN, P., ZIPF, E., HEWLETT, C., LODA, R., COLLINS, R. J., LEVIN, D. A. & CANDLER, G. V. 1993 Flight measurements of low velocity bow shock ultraviolet radiation. *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer* **7** (1), 37–42.
- FARBAR, E. & BOYD, D. 2010 Modeling of the plasma generated in a rarefied hypersonic shock layer. *Physics of Fluid* **22**, 106101.
- FEI, F., LIU, H., LIU, Z. & ZHANG, J. 2020 A benchmark study of kinetic models for shock waves. *AIAA Journal* **58** (6), 2596–2608.
- GARZÓ, V., SANTOS, A. & BREY, J. J. 1989 A kinetic model for a multicomponent gas. *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics* **1** (2), 380–383.
- GMURCZYK, A. S., TARCYNSKI, M. & WALENTA, Z. A. 1979 Shock wave structure in the binary mixtures of gases with disparate molecular masses. *Rarefied gas dynamics; Proceedings of the 11th International Symposium* **1**, 333–341.
- GOLDMAN, E. & SIROVICH, L. 1969 The structure of shock-waves in gas mixtures. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **35** (3), 575–597.
- GRAD, H. 1960 Theory of rarefied gases. *Rarefied gas dynamics; Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium* pp. 100–138.
- GREENE, J. M. 1973 Improved Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook model of electron-ion collisions. *The Physics of Fluids* **16** (11), 2022–2023.
- GROPPi, M., MONICA, S. & SPIGA, G. 2011 A kinetic ellipsoidal BGK model for a binary gas mixture. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **96** (6), 64002.
- GROPPi, M. & SPIGA, G. 2004 A Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook-type approach for chemically reacting gas mixtures. *Physics of Fluids* **16** (12), 4273–4284.
- HAACK, J. R., HAUCK, C. D. & MURILLO, M. S. 2017 A conservative, entropic multispecies BGK model. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **168** (4), 826–856.
- HAMEL, B. B. 1965 Kinetic model for binary gas mixtures. *The Physics of Fluids* **8** (3), 418–425.
- HIRSCHFELDER, J.O., CURTISS, C.F. & BIRD, R. B. 1954 *Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids*. John Wiley & Sons.
- HO, M. T., WU, L., GRAUR, I., ZHANG, Y. & REESE, J. M. 2016 Comparative study of the boltzmann and mccormack equations for couette and fourier flows of binary gaseous mixtures. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* **96**, 29–41.
- HOLWAY, L. H. 1966 New statistical models for kinetic theory: methods of construction. *The Physics of Fluids* **9**, 1658–1673.
- KLINGENBERG, C., PIRNER, M. & PUPPO, G. 2017 A consistent kinetic model for a two-component mixture with an application to plasma. *Kinetic and Related Models* **10** (2), 445–465.
- KOSUGE, S. 2009 Model Boltzmann equation for gas mixtures: Construction and numerical comparison. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* **28** (1), 170–184.
- KOSUGE, S., AOKI, K. & TAKATA, S. 2001 Shock-wave structure for a binary gas mixture: finite-difference

- analysis of the Boltzmann equation for hard-sphere molecules. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* **20** (1), 87–126.
- KOURA, K. & MATSUMOTO, H. 1991 Variable soft sphere molecular model for inverse-power-law or Lennard-Jones potential. *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics* **3** (10), 2459–2465.
- LI, Q., ZENG, J., HUANG, Z. & WU, L. 2023 Kinetic modelling of rarefied gas flows with radiation. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **965**, A13.
- LI, Q., ZENG, J., SU, W. & WU, L. 2021 Uncertainty quantification in rarefied dynamics of molecular gas: rate effect of thermal relaxation. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **917**, A58.
- LIU, C., ZHU, Y. & XU, K. 2020 Unified gas-kinetic wave-particle methods I: Continuum and rarefied gas flow. *Journal of Computational Physics* **401**, 108977.
- LIU, W., ZHANG, Y. B., ZENG, J. N. & WU, L. 2024 Further acceleration of multiscale simulation of rarefied gas flow via a generalized boundary treatment. *Journal of Computational Physics* **503**, 112830.
- MATHIAUD, J., MIEUSSENS, L. & PFEIFFER, M. 2022 An ES-BGK model for diatomic gases with correct relaxation rates for internal energies. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* **96**, 65–77.
- MCCORMACK, F. J. 1973 Construction of linearized kinetic models for gaseous mixtures and molecular gases. *The Physics of Fluids* **16** (12), 2095–2105.
- MORSE, T. F. 1964 Kinetic model equations for a gas mixture. *The Physics of Fluids* **7** (12), 2012–2013.
- NAGNIBEDA, E. & KUSTOVA, E. 2009 *Non-Equilibrium Reacting Gas Flows: Kinetic Theory of Transport and Relaxation Processes*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- PARK, W., KIM, S., PFEIFFER, M. & JUN, E. 2024 Evaluation of stochastic particle Bhatnagar–Gross–Krook methods with a focus on velocity distribution function. *Physics of Fluids* **36** (2).
- PFEIFFER, M., GARMIRIAN, F. & GORJI, M. H. 2022 Exponential Bhatnagar–Gross–Krook integrator for multiscale particle-based kinetic simulations. *Physical Review E* **106**, 025303.
- PFEIFFER, M., MIRZA, A. & NIZENKOV, P. 2021 Multi-species modeling in the particle-based ellipsoidal statistical Bhatnagar–Gross–Krook method for monatomic gas species. *Physics of Fluids* **33** (3), 036106.
- PIRNER, M. 2021 A review on BGK models for gas mixtures of mono and polyatomic molecules. *Fluids* **6** (11), 393.
- PLIMPTON, S. J., MOORE, S. G., BORNER, A., STAGG, A. K., KOEHLER, T. P., TORCZYNSKI, J. R. & GALLIS, M. A. 2019 Direct Simulation Monte Carlo on petaflop supercomputers and beyond. *Physics of Fluids* **31** (8), 086101.
- RUYEV, G. A., FEDOROV, A. V. & FOMIN, V. M. 2002 Special features of the shock-wave structure in mixtures of gases with disparate molecular masses. *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Technical Physics* **43** (4), 529–537.
- SAWANT, N., DORSCHNER, B. & KARLIN, I. V. 2020 Consistent lattice Boltzmann model for multicomponent mixtures. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **909**, A1.
- SCHMIDT, B., SEILER, F. & WÖRNER, M. 1984 Shock structure near a wall in pure inert gas and in binary inert-gas mixtures. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **143**, 305–326.
- SHAKHOV, E. M. 1968a Approximate kinetic equations in rarefied gas theory. *Fluid Dynamics* **3**, 112–115.
- SHAKHOV, E. M. 1968b Generalization of the Krook kinetic relaxation equation. *Fluid Dynamics* **3** (5), 95–96.
- SHARIPOV, F. 2024 Ab initio modelling of transport phenomena in multi-component mixtures of rarefied gases. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* **220**, 124906.
- SHARIPOV, F. & DIAS, F. C. 2018 Structure of planar shock waves in gaseous mixtures based on ab initio direct simulation. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* **72**, 251–263.
- STEPHANI, K. A., GOLDSTEIN, D. B. & VARGHESE, P. L. 2012 Consistent treatment of transport properties for five-species air direct simulation Monte Carlo/Navier-Stokes applications. *Physics of Fluids* **24** (7).
- SU, W., ZHU, L., WANG, P., ZHANG, Y. & WU, L. 2020a Can we find steady-state solutions to multiscale rarefied gas flows within dozens of iterations? *Journal of Computational Physics* **407**, 109245.
- SU, W., ZHU, L. H. & WU, L. 2020b Fast convergence and asymptotic preserving of the general synthetic iterative scheme. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* **42** (6), B1517–B1540.
- TAKATA, S., SUGIMOTO, H. & KOSUGE, S. 2007 Gas separation by means of the Knudsen compressor. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* **26** (2), 155–181.
- TANTOS, C. & VALOUGEORGIS, D. 2018 Conductive heat transfer in rarefied binary gas mixtures confined between parallel plates based on kinetic modeling. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* **117**, 846–860.

- TANTOS, C., VAROUTIS, S. & DAY, C. 2021 Heat transfer in binary polyatomic gas mixtures over the whole range of the gas rarefaction based on kinetic deterministic modeling. *Physics of Fluids* **33** (2), 022004.
- TANTOS, C., WAROUTIS, S., HAUER, V., DAY, C. & INNOCENTE, P. 2024 3D numerical study of neutral gas dynamics in the DTT particle exhaust using the DSMC method. *Nuclear Fusion* **64**, 016019.
- TENG, S., HAO, M., LIU, J. X., BIAN, X., XIE, Y. H. & LIU, K. 2023 Pollutant inhibition in an extreme ultraviolet lithography machine by dynamic gas lock. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **430**, 139664.
- TIPTON, E. L., TOMPSON, R. V. & LOYALKA, S. K. 2009a Chapman–Enskog solutions to arbitrary order in Sonine polynomials II: Viscosity in a binary, rigid-sphere, gas mixture. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* **28** (3), 335–352.
- TIPTON, E. L., TOMPSON, R. V. & LOYALKA, S. K. 2009b Chapman–Enskog solutions to arbitrary order in Sonine polynomials III: Diffusion, thermal diffusion, and thermal conductivity in a binary, rigid-sphere, gas mixture. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* **28** (3), 353–386.
- TODOROVA, B. N. & STEIJL, R. 2019 Derivation and numerical comparison of Shakhov and ellipsoidal statistical kinetic models for a monoatomic gas mixture. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* **76**, 390–402.
- TODOROVA, B. N., WHITE, C. & STEIJL, R. 2020 Modeling of nitrogen and oxygen gas mixture with a novel diatomic kinetic model. *AIP Advances* **10** (9), 095218.
- WAGNER, W. 1992 A convergence proof for Bird’s direct simulation Monte Carlo method for the Boltzmann equation. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **66**, 1011–1044.
- WILKE, C. R. 1950 A viscosity equation for gas mixtures. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **18** (4), 517–519.
- WU, L., WHITE, C., SCANLON, T. J., REESE, J. M. & ZHANG, Y. H. 2015a A kinetic model of the Boltzmann equation for non-vibrating polyatomic gases. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **763**, 24–50.
- WU, L., ZHANG, J., REESE, J. M. & ZHANG, Y. 2015b A fast spectral method for the Boltzmann equation for monoatomic gas mixtures. *Journal of Computational Physics* **298**, 602–621.
- YUAN, R. F. & WU, L. 2022 Capturing the influence of intermolecular potential in rarefied gas flows by a kinetic model with velocity-dependent collision frequency. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **942**, A13.
- ZENG, J., LI, Q. & WU, L. 2022 Kinetic modeling of rarefied molecular gas dynamics (in Chinese). *Acta Aerodynamica Sinica* **40** (2), 1–30.
- ZENG, J., SU, W. & WU, L. 2023 General synthetic iterative scheme for unsteady rarefied gas flows. *Communications in Computational Physics* **34** (1), 173–207.