

A Lower bound for Secure Domination Number of an Outerplanar Graph

Toru Araki

Gunma University

Abstract

A subset S of vertices in a graph G is a secure dominating set of G if S is a dominating set of G and, for each vertex $u \notin S$, there is a vertex $v \in S$ such that uv is an edge and $(S \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ is also a dominating set of G . The secure domination number of G , denoted by $\gamma_s(G)$, is the cardinality of a smallest secure dominating sets of G . In this paper, we prove that for any outerplanar graph with $n \geq 4$ vertices, $\gamma_s(G) \geq (n + 4)/5$ and the bound is tight. Secure dominating set, secure domination number,

outerplanar graph, lower bound

1 Introduction

We consider a finite undirected graph G with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$ and use standard graph theoretic terminology and notations (see, for example [10]). The *open neighborhood* of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is defined by $N_G(v) = \{u \mid vu \in E(G)\}$, and the *closed neighborhood* of v is $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. We denote by $\deg_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ the degree of v . For a subset $U \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph induced by U is denoted by $G[U]$. A vertex v is a *cut-vertex* of a connected graph G if $G - \{v\}$ is disconnected. A *block* of a connected graph of G is a maximal subgraph that has no cut-vertices.

A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *dominating set* of G if each vertex $u \in V(G) \setminus S$ is adjacent to some vertex in S . The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ of G is the smallest cardinality of a dominating set of G . Let S be a dominating set of G . A vertex $v \in S$ is said to *defend* $u \notin S$ if $uv \in E(G)$ and $S' = (S \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ is also a dominating set. A dominating set S is a *secure dominating set* if, for any $u \in V(G) \setminus S$, there exists a vertex $v \in S$ such that $uv \in E(G)$ and $(S \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ is also a dominating set. The *secure domination number* $\gamma_s(G)$ of G is the smallest cardinality of a secure dominating set of G .

The problem of secure domination was introduced by Cockayne et al. and investigated some fundamental properties of a secure dominating set, and obtained exact values of $\gamma_s(G)$ for some graph classes, such as paths, cycles, complete multipartite graphs [11]. Various aspects of secure domination have

been researched [7, 8, 14, 17]. Some polynomial-time algorithms for computing the secure domination number of some restricted graph classes are investigated [2–4, 6, 12, 13, 18, 20]. Upper and lower bounds on $\gamma_s(G)$ have been established for some graph classes [5, 8, 14, 17].

A graph G is *outerplanar* if it has a crossing-free embedding in the plane such that all vertices belong to the boundary of its outer face (the unbounded face). A *maximal outerplanar graph* is an outerplanar graph such that the addition of a single edge results in a graph that is not outerplanar. An inner face of a maximal outerplane graph G is an *internal triangle* if it is not adjacent to the outer face of G . A maximal outerplane graph without internal triangles is called *stripped*. Matheson and Tarjan [16] proved a tight upper bound for the domination number on the class of *triangulated discs*: graphs that have an embedding in the plane such that all of their faces are triangles, except possibly one. They proved that $\gamma(G) \leq n/3$ for any n -vertex triangulated disc. Campos and Wakabayashi [9] showed that if G is a maximal outerplanar graph with n vertices, then $\gamma(G) \leq (n + k)/4$ where k is the number of vertices of degree 2. Tokunaga proved the same result independently in [19]. Li et al. [15] improved the result by showing that $\gamma(G) \leq (n + t)/4$, where t is the number of pairs of consecutive degree 2 vertices with distance at least 3 on the outer cycle. For the secure domination problem in an outerplanar graph, the author [5] proved that $\gamma_s(G) \leq \lceil 3n/7 \rceil$ and the upper bound is sharp, and also showed that for a stripped maximal outerplanar graph G , $n/4 < \gamma_s(G) \leq \lceil n/3 \rceil$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the next theorem that gives a lower bound of the secure domination number for an outerplanar graph.

Theorem 1.1. *For an outerplanar graph with $n \geq 4$ vertices,*

$$\gamma_s(G) \geq \frac{n + 4}{5},$$

and the bound is tight.

Note that K_3 is an outerplanar graph and $\gamma_s(K_3) = 1$ which does not satisfy the lower bound. So the condition $n \geq 4$ is necessary.

2 Preliminaries

Let S be a dominating set of G , and $u \in V(G) \setminus S$. For a vertex $v \in S$, a vertex u is an *external private neighbor of v with respect to S* if $N_G(u) \cap S = \{v\}$. We denote by $\text{epn}_G(v, S)$ the set of all external private neighbors of v with respect to S . Cockayne et al. [11] proved a fundamental property of a secure dominating set.

Theorem 2.1 ([11]). *Let S be a dominating set of G . A vertex $v \in S$ defends $u \in V(G) \setminus S$ if and only if $G[\text{epn}_G(v, S) \cup \{u, v\}]$ is complete.*

A graph H is a *subdivision* of G if either $G = H$ or H can be obtained from G by inserting vertices of degree 2 into the edges of G . The following characterization of outerplanar graphs is known (see [10], for example).

Theorem 2.2. *A graph G is outerplanar if and only if G contains no subgraph that is a subdivision of the complete graph K_4 or the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,3}$.*

The following lemma is used in the next section. A proof of Lemma 2.3 is given in Section 4 of [1].

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a bipartite outerplanar graph with a bipartition (X, Y) and $|X| \geq 2$. If $\deg_G(v) \geq 2$ for any $v \in Y$, then $|Y| \leq 2|X| - 2$.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We can see that the theorem is true when $4 \leq n \leq 7$. Hence we assume that $n \geq 8$. Assume that G is an outerplanar graph with n vertices, and S is a secure dominating set of G such that $\gamma_s(G) = |S|$.

Let $x = |S|$ and $y = |V(G) \setminus S|$. If $y \leq 4x - 4$, then we obtain $x \geq (n + 4)/5$ since $n = x + y$. To show $y \leq 4x - 4$, we assume to the contrary that $y \geq 4x - 3$.

Let $S = S_2 \cup S_1 \cup S_0$ be the partition of S , where $S_i = \{v \mid |\text{epn}_G(v, S)| = i\}$. Note that, for any vertex $v \in S$, $|\text{epn}_G(v, S)| \leq 2$ since G has no K_4 as a subgraph and Theorem 2.1. Further suppose that

$$C = \{u \mid u \in V(G) \setminus S \text{ and } |N_G(u) \cap S| \geq 2\}$$

and $c = |C|$. Thus $V(G) = S_2 \cup S_1 \cup S_0 \cup (\bigcup_{v \in S_2 \cup S_1} \text{epn}_G(v, S)) \cup C$. Hence $x = x_2 + x_1 + x_0$ and $y = (2x_2 + x_1) + c$, where $x_i = |S_i|$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Since $y \geq 4x - 3$, we have $(2x_2 + x_1) + c \geq 4(x_2 + x_1 + x_0) - 3$, or

$$c \geq 2x_2 + 3x_1 + 4x_0 - 3. \quad (1)$$

Let B be a bipartite subgraph of G defined as follows: B has the bipartition (S, C) , and $u \in S$ and $v \in C$ are adjacent in B if and only if $uv \in E(G)$. Since $\deg_B(v) \geq 2$ for any $v \in C$, we have $c \leq 2x - 2$ by Lemma 2.3. Hence

$$c \leq 2x_2 + 2x_1 + 2x_0 - 2. \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we obtain

$$x_1 + 2x_0 \leq 1. \quad (3)$$

Since x_1 and x_0 are integers, we have $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 \leq 1$. Each vertex in C is defended by a vertex in S . Since G has no K_4 as a subgraph, no vertex in S_2 defends a vertex in C by Theorem 2.1. Also, since G has no $K(2, 3)$ as a subgraph, a vertex in S_1 defends at most two vertices in C by Theorem 2.1. So $x = x_2 + x_1$, $y = 2x_2 + x_1 + c$ and $c \leq 2x_1$.

- Assume that $x_1 = 0$. Then $x = x_2$ and $c = 0$. By (1), we have $x_2 = 1$. Hence we obtain $x = 1$ and $y = 2$, and this contradicts $n \geq 8$.




Figure 1: The outerplanar graph G_k when $k = 4$.

- Assume that $x_1 = 1$. Then $x = x_2 + 1$ and $c \leq 2$. By (1), we have $x_2 = 1$. Hence we obtain $x = 2$ and $y \leq 5$, and this contradicts $n \geq 8$.

From the above discussion, we obtain a contradiction. Hence we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

To show the lower bound is tight, we give an outerplanar graph G with n vertices such that $\gamma_s(G) = (n + 4)/5$. Let $n = 5k + 1$ for $k \geq 2$. Thus $(n + 4)/5 = k + 1$.

For $k \geq 2$, a graph G_k is defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} V(G_k) &= \{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} \cup \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2k}\} \cup \{u_i^1, u_i^2 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}, \\ E(G_k) &= \{vw_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k\} \cup \{v_i w_{2i-1}, v_i w_{2i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \\ &\quad \cup \{v_i u_i^1, v_i u_i^2, u_i^1 u_i^2 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \end{aligned}$$

The graph G_k is an outerplanar graph and has $5k+1$ vertices. Figure 1 illustrates G_k when $k = 4$. It is easy to see that the set $\{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ of $k + 1$ vertices is a secure dominating set of G .

If an outerplanar graph G with $5k + 1$ vertices contains G_k as a spanning subgraph, then we obtain

$$\frac{n + 4}{5} \leq \gamma_s(G) \leq \gamma_s(G_k) = \frac{n + 4}{5},$$

and hence $\gamma_s(G) = k + 1$. Furthermore, we show that the converse is also true.

Theorem 3.1. *An outerplanar graph G with $n = 5k + 1$ vertices for $k \geq 2$ has a secure dominating set of size $(n + 4)/5$ if and only if G contains the graph G_k as a spanning subgraph.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show the necessity. Assume that S is a minimum secure dominating set of G and $|S| = k + 1$. Then, we have $|V(G) \setminus S| = 4k$. Similar to the discussion in the proof Theorem 1.1, we define S_2, S_1, S_0 and C , and $x_i = |S_i|$ and $c = |C|$. Since $|S| = k + 1 = x_2 + x_1 + x_0$ and $|V(G) \setminus S| = 4k = 2x_2 + x_1 + c$, we obtain

$$c = 2x_2 + 3x_1 + 4x_0 - 4. \quad (4)$$

Since $c \leq 2x - 2$ by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$c \leq 2x_2 + 2x_1 + 2x_0 - 2. \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5), we obtain

$$x_1 + 2x_0 \leq 2. \quad (6)$$

Claim 1. $x_1 = 0$ and $x_0 = 1$.

Proof of Claim 1. Assume to the contrary that $x_1 \geq 1$. By (6), $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 = 1$ or 2 . As mentioned earlier, any vertex in S_2 defends at most two vertices, and any vertex in S_1 defends at most three vertices. Hence, it satisfies $2x_2 + 3x_1 \geq |V(G) \setminus S| = 4k$. If $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = k$, we have $2k + 3 \geq 4k$ or $k \leq 3/2$, which is a contradiction. If $x_1 = 2$ and $x_2 = k - 1$, we have $2(k - 1) + 2 \geq 4k$ or $k \leq 0$, which is also a contradiction.

Hence we obtain $x_1 = 0$ and $x_0 \leq 1$. Assume that $x_0 = 0$. Then $S = S_2$ and the vertices in S defend at most $2(k+1) < 4k$ vertices, and hence a contradiction occurs.

By Claim 1, we obtain $x_2 = k$, $x_1 = 0$, and $x_0 = 1$. Let $S_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ and $S_0 = \{v\}$, and $\text{epn}_G(v_i, S) = \{u_i^1, u_i^2\}$ for every $v_i \in S_2$.

Claim 2. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, three vertices v_i, u_i^1, u_i^2 form a triangle.

Proof of Claim 2. Since S is a secure dominating set and u_i^1, u_i^2 are the external private neighbors of v_i , the three vertices v_i, u_i^1 and u_i^2 form a triangle by Theorem 2.1.

Since $|V(G) \setminus S| = 4k$ and $V(G) \setminus S = \{u_1^1, u_1^2, \dots, u_k^1, u_k^2\} \cup C$, we have $|C| = 2k$. Let $C = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2k}\}$.

Claim 3. Each vertex in C is adjacent to $v \in S_0$.

Proof of Claim 3. Since any $v_i \in S_2$ defends the two vertices u_i^1 and u_i^2 but cannot defend any vertex in C , vertex $w_i \in C$ is defended by $v \in S_0$. Thus w_i is adjacent to v for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$.

Claim 4. For any $v_i, v_j \in S_2$ with $i \neq j$, v_i is adjacent to exactly two vertices in C and $N_G(v_i) \cap N_G(v_j) = \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim 4. Assume that some $v_i \in S_2$ is adjacent to three vertices $w_p, w_q, w_r \in C$. Since v is adjacent to the three vertices by Claim 3, the induced subgraph by the set $\{v, v_i, w_p, w_q, w_r\}$ contains $K_{2,3}$ as a subgraph, and thus a contradiction occurs by Theorem 2.2. Hence, each $v_i \in S_2$ is adjacent to at most two vertices in C . Since $|S_2| = k$ and $|C| = 2k$, for $i \neq j$, v_i is adjacent to exactly two vertices in C and $N_G(v_i) \cap N_G(v_j) = \emptyset$.

By Claim 2, 3, and 4, G contains the graph G_k as a spanning subgraph. \square

References

- [1] Y. Aita, T. Araki, Secure total domination number in maximal outerplanar graphs, arXiv:2403.03404.
- [2] T. Araki, H. Miyazaki, Secure domination in proper interval graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 247 (2018) 70–76.
- [3] T. Araki, R. Saito, Correcting the algorithm for a minimum secure dominating set of proper interval graphs by Zou, Liu, Hsu and Wang, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 334 (2023) 139–144.
- [4] T. Araki, R. Yamanaka, Secure domination in cographs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 262 (2019) 179–184.
- [5] T. Araki, I. Yumoto, On the secure domination numbers of maximal outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 236 (2018) 23–29.
- [6] A. P. Burger, A. P. de Villiers, J. H. van Vuuren, A linear algorithm for secure domination in trees, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 171 (10) (2014) 15–47.
- [7] A. P. Burger, A. P. de Villiers, J. H. van Vuuren, On minimum secure dominating sets of graphs, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 39 (2) (2016) 189–202.
- [8] A. P. Burger, M. A. Henning, J. H. van Vuuren, Vertex covers and secure domination in graphs, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 31 (2) (2008) 163–171.
- [9] C. N. Campos, Y. Wakabayashi, On dominating sets of maximal outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 161 (2013) 330–335.
- [10] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak, P. Zhang, *Graphs & Digraphs*, 5th ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2011.
- [11] E. J. Cockayne, P. J. P. Grobler, W. R. Gründlingh, J. Munganga, J. H. van Vuuren, Protection of a graph, *Utilitas Mathematicae* 67 (2005) 19–32.
- [12] A. Jha, D. Pradhan, S. Banerjee, The secure domination problem in cographs, *Information Proceedings Letters* 145 (2019) 30–38.
- [13] A. Kišek, S. Klavžar, Correcting the algorithm for the secure domination number of cographs by Jha, Pradhan, and Banerjee, *Information Processing Letters*, 172 (2021) 106155.
- [14] Z. Li, Z. Shao, J. Xu, On secure domination in trees, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 40 (1) (2017) 1–12.
- [15] Z. Li, E. Zhu, Z. Shao, J. Xu, On dominating sets of maximal outerplanar and planar graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 198 (2016) 164–169.

- [16] L. R. Matheson, R. E. Tarjan, Dominating sets in planar graphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics* 17 (1996) 565–568.
- [17] H. B. Merouane, M. Chellali, On secure domination in graphs, *Information Processing Letters* 115 (2015) 786–790.
- [18] D. Pradhan, A. Jha, On computing a minimum secure dominating set in block graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization* 35 (2018) 613–631.
- [19] S. Tokunaga, Dominating sets of maximal outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 161 (2013) 3097–3099.
- [20] Y. H. Zou, J. J. Liu, C. C. Hsu, Y. L. Wang, A simple algorithm for secure domination in proper interval graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 260 (2019) 289–293.