

Ghost Martin-Siggia-Rose (MSR) applications to Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation with non-linear noise

Garry Goldstein¹

¹*garrygoldsteinwinnipeg@gmail.com*

In this work we modify the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation for growing surfaces to include the effect of surface tilt on the noise (that is have non-linearly coupled noise). We introduce ghost Martin-Siggia-Rose (gMSR) action for the KPZ equation with Faddeev-Popov ghosts to compute an auxiliary functional determinant. We integrate out the Faddeev-Popov ghosts to leading order and the auxiliary MSR Lagrange multiplier exactly to obtain an action for the height. We analyze it within the gaussian, meanfield and Landau free energy like approximations and find various instabilities to rough surfaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

An important example of a non-equilibrium statistical mechanics system is a surface growing under the deposition of molecules. A mathematical stochastic differential equation that describes a very wide class of such growing surfaces is known as the KPZ equation. It is given by [1–3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} &= \Gamma \left(\sqrt{1 + (\nabla h)^2} \right) - D \nabla^2 h + \xi \\ &\cong \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right) - D \nabla^2 h + \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here h is the surface height, D is the diffusion coefficient and Γ is an overall rate of molecule deposition and we have performed a small gradient expansion for simplicity. Furthermore $\xi(x, t)$ is a Gaussian noise function with action:

$$\int \mathcal{D}\xi \exp \left(- \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \xi^2 / 2\Delta \right) \quad (2)$$

The second term on the RHS of Eq. (1) describes the diffusion of atoms on a surface which smoothes it out. Furthermore for geometric reasons we see that the growth rate is not constant Γ but should be $\frac{\Gamma}{\cos(\theta)}$ where θ is the angle between the normal to the surface and the direction the molecules are deposited. As such we obtain Eq. (1), see supplementary online information [4]. In this work we extend the KPZ equations by claiming that the noise ξ should couple to the gradient the same way as the main molecule deposition rate Γ does so we should consider

a new KPZ (nKPZ) equation with non-linearly coupled noise:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} &= (\Gamma + \xi) \left(\sqrt{1 + (\nabla h)^2} \right) - D \nabla^2 h \\ &\cong (\Gamma + \xi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right) - D \nabla^2 h \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

From now on in this work we focus only on nKPZ. We perform a Martin-Siggia-Rose (MSR) analysis [3, 5] on this stochastic differential equation and introduce Faddeev-Popov ghosts [6, 7] because of the multiplicative noise in the system. After integrating out the Faddeev-Popov ghosts to leading order and the MSR Lagrange multiplier exactly (there is only one connected Feynman diagram) we obtain the action in Eq. (11) which is our main result. We analyze the action in Eq. (11) for instabilities to rough surfaces using gaussian, meanfield and Landau free energy like analysis. We find the upper critical dimension for rough surfaces is $d = 2$. We also find an instability to formation of height waves with two different wavevectors (one twice the other) within the Landau free energy analysis.

II. ACTION FOR KPZ EQUATION WITH NONLINEAR NOISE

We now study the stochastic differential equation in Eq. (3). We now perform MSR analysis [3, 5] on this stochastic differential equation in Eq. (3) and introduce Faddeev-Popov ghosts [6, 7] for the functional determinant $\det \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right]$ and change variables $h \rightarrow h - \Gamma t$:

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= \int \mathcal{D}\xi \mathcal{D}h \exp \left(- \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \xi^2 / 2\Delta \right) \delta \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - (\Gamma + \xi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right) + D\nabla^2 h \right) \det \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right) \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}\xi \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}p \exp \left(- \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[\xi^2 / 2\Delta + p \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - (\Gamma + \xi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right) + D\nabla^2 h \right) \right] \right) \det \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right) \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}p \exp \left(- \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[p \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - Dp\nabla^2 h + \Gamma p (\nabla h)^2 \right] \right) \det \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right) \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}p \mathcal{D} \{c^\dagger, c\} \exp \left(- \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[p \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - Dp\nabla^2 h + \Gamma p (\nabla h)^2 - \Delta p^2 - c^\dagger \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right] c \right] \right) \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

to obtain the action:

$$S(h, p, \{c^\dagger, c\}) = - \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[p \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - Dp\nabla^2 h + \Gamma p (\nabla h)^2 - \Delta p^2 + c^\dagger \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right] c \right] \quad (5)$$

We now integrate out the Faddeev-Popov ghost fermions to leading order loop level to obtain the effective action for the ghosts:

$$S_G = \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt d^d \mathbf{x}' dt' (\nabla h(x, t))^2 (\nabla h(x', t'))^2 G^{-1}(x - x', t - t')^2 \quad (6)$$

Now we have that $G^{-1}(k, \omega) = 1$ and $G^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \delta^d(\mathbf{x}) \delta(t)$ as such it is important to introduce some sort of regulator associated with the time a deposited molecule attaches and its size (T and a) and write:

$$S(h, p) = - \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[p \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - Dp\nabla^2 h + \Gamma p (\nabla h)^2 - \Delta p^2 - \mathcal{C} (\nabla h)^4 \right] \quad (7)$$

Where $\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{T \cdot a}$.

This should be compared to the usual KPZ MSR type action [3]:

$$S(h, p) = - \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[p \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - Dp\nabla^2 h + \Gamma p (\nabla h)^2 - \Delta p^2 \right] \quad (8)$$

We note that if we set $\mathcal{C} = 0$ we recover the standard KPZ action and all results of this work apply to it as well in the limit where $\mathcal{C} = 0$. We note that large positive \mathcal{C} tends to roughen the surface as it favors large positive gradients. Now we write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta p^2 - p \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + Dp\nabla^2 h &= \Delta \left[p - \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right) \right]^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right)^2 \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma p (\nabla h)^2 &= \Gamma \left[p - \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right) \right] (\nabla h)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right) (\nabla h)^2 \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

As such after integrating out $p - \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right)$ to tree level (which is exact as there is only one connected Feynman diagram) we have that

$$S(h) = - \int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[\frac{1}{4\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right) (\nabla h)^2 - \left[\mathcal{C} - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] (\nabla h)^4 \right] \quad (11)$$

This is the main result of this work.

III. ANALYSIS OF THE ACTION IN EQ. (11)

We will study the properties of the action in Eq. (11). We will do Gaussian, meanfield and Landau free energy

like analysis of the action. We will not do RG analysis due to current questions about its accuracy [8].

A. Gaussian Analysis

We now Fourier transform to obtain:

$$h(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega h(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \exp(i[\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t]) \quad (12)$$

Now lets first focus on the quadratic action from Eq. (11):

$$S_{Quad}(h) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega |h(\mathbf{k})|^2 (\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4) \quad (13)$$

This means that [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle h(\mathbf{x}, t) h(\mathbf{0}, 0) \rangle \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega \frac{[1 - \exp(i[\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t])]}{(\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4)}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We now do the ω integration first, close the contour in the lower half plane and pick up the pole at $\omega = -iD\mathbf{k}^2$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega \frac{[1 - \exp(i[\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t])]}{(\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4)} \\ & \cong \frac{V(S^{d-1})}{(2-d)2D(2\pi)^d} \left[a^{2-d} - \sqrt{Dt + |\mathbf{x}|^2}^{2-d} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here $V(S^{d-1})$ is the volume of a unit radius $d-1$ dimensional sphere.. We now see that for

$$\begin{cases} d > 2 & \text{smooth surface} \\ d = 2 & \text{marginal surface} \\ d < 2 & \text{rough surface} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Indeed the term $a^{2-d} - \sqrt{Dt + |\mathbf{x}|^2}^{2-d}$ becomes large for $d < 2$ for large distances and times showing non-smooth surfaces.

B. Meanfield analysis

We will now do mean field corrections to this result. We write $h(\mathbf{k}, \omega) =$

$$h_0 \delta^d(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0, \omega - \omega_0) \delta + h_0^* \delta^d(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_0, \omega + \omega_0) \quad (17)$$

Then we see that $S(h) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} |h_0|^2 \left[\omega_0^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}_0^4 - \left[C - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] 3 |h_0|^2 \mathbf{k}_0^4 \right] \\ &\cong \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} |h_0|^2 \left[\omega_0^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}_0^4 - \left[C - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] 9 \langle |h|^2 \rangle \mathbf{k}_0^4 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

As such for stability we must have that:

$$C - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} < 0 \quad (19)$$

Otherwise the quartic action (before meanfield) becomes negatively unbounded. Now we write:

$$\langle |h|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}_0^4 - 9 \left[C - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] \langle |h|^2 \rangle \mathbf{k}_0^4} \quad (20)$$

We see that $\langle |h|^2 \rangle =$

$$\frac{\sqrt{(\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4)^2 - 36 \left[C - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] - (\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4)^2}}{18 \left[C - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right]} \quad (21)$$

If this is satisfied we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{MF}(h) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega |h(\mathbf{k})|^2 [\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4 \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{(\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4)^2 - 36 \left[C - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] - (\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4)^2} \right] \mathbf{k}^4 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Now we are mostly interested in small $|\mathbf{k}|$ divergences so we may write $S_{MF}(h) \cong$

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega |h(\mathbf{k})|^2 \left[\omega^2 + \left[D^2 + 3\sqrt{\frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} - C} \right] \mathbf{k}^4 \right] \quad (23)$$

As such we see that the conclusions in Eq. (16) still hold however the effective diffusion is modified as:

$$D^2 \rightarrow D^2 + 3\sqrt{\frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} - C} \quad (24)$$

C. Landau free energy analysis

Now we do Landau free energy action like analysis [10, 11] of the situation and assume a time independent solution in which case we have that [4]. We will now study few wave instabilities and write:

$$h(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_i h_i \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_i) + h.c. \quad (25)$$

We now specialize to two wave instabilities (more is possible but tedious). Also for the second term on the RHS of Eq. (11) to be non-zero we must have $\mathbf{k}_2 = 2\mathbf{k}_1$ (we now focus on this case). Now to simplify we write $h_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h_1 = i\mathfrak{h}_1 \in i\mathbb{R}$ [4]. As such see the supplementary online information [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} S(h_1, h_2) &= |\mathbf{k}_1|^4 \left[-\mathfrak{h}_1^2 - h_2^2 + \frac{4D}{\Delta} h_2 \mathfrak{h}_1^2 + 16 \left[C - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] \mathfrak{h}_1^4 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

As such the action has an instability because of the term $\frac{4D}{\Delta} h_2 h_1^2$ to two wave formation (with one wave twice the wave vector of the other). Since this work uses a small gradient expansion (see Eq. (3)) the form of the free energy we have is unreliable to determining the exact values of the instability.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work we have modified the KPZ equation to account for the effects of the surface slope on the noise leading to a non-linear in noise nKPZ equation. We have performed Faddeev-Popov gMSR action on the nKPZ equation and integrated out the ghosts to lowest order thereby obtaining the action in Eq. (7). We have then integrated out the Lagrange multiplier introduced in the MSR procedure exactly to obtain an action purely for the height field in Eq. (11) which is the main result of the work. We did Gaussian, meanfield and Landau free energy like analysis on the action in Eq. (11). The Gaussian action led us to conclude that $d = 2$ is the upper critical dimension for surface growth. The meanfield analysis made further constrained by the relation in Eq. (19) the region of smooth surfaces and renormalized the effective diffusion as in Eq. (24). The Landau free energy analysis at the two wavevector limit revealed a cubic instability to formation of surface waves with two wavevectors - one twice the other. In the future it would be of interest to study the action in Eq. (7) much more thoroughly to understand surface smoothness and roughness [2, 3] as well as to study possible applications to catalysis on surfaces [12].

Supplementary Online Information

Appendix A: Derivation of Eqs. (1) , (15) and (26)

1. Eq. (1)

We now compute the normal to a $2d$ surface in terms of the gradient $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}$.whereby:

$$\hat{n} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \hat{x} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \hat{y} + \hat{z} \quad (\text{A1})$$

The total action in Fourier space is given by:

Whereby:

$$\Rightarrow \cos(\hat{n} \cdot \hat{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\right)^2}} \quad (\text{A2})$$

2. Eq. (15)

We have that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega \frac{[1 - \exp(i[\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t])] }{(\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d \mathbf{k} \frac{1}{2D \mathbf{k}^2} [1 - \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - D \mathbf{k}^2 t)] \\ &\cong \frac{V(S^{d-1})}{2D(2\pi)^d} \left[\frac{1}{2-d} [a^{2-d} - L^{2-d}] - \int_{1/L}^{1/\sqrt{Dt+|\mathbf{x}|^2}} k^{d-3} dk \right] \\ &= \frac{V(S^{d-1})}{(2-d)2D(2\pi)^d} \left[a^{2-d} - \sqrt{Dt + |\mathbf{x}|^2}^{2-d} \right] \quad (\text{A3}) \end{aligned}$$

Here L is the system size. We did the ω integration first, closed the contour in the lower half plane and picked up the pole at $\omega = -iD \mathbf{k}^2$.

3. Eq. (26)

$$\begin{aligned}
S(h) = & -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega |h(\mathbf{k}, \omega)|^2 (\omega^2 + D^2 \mathbf{k}^4) + \\
& -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2[d+1]}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega d^d \mathbf{q} d\Omega h(\mathbf{k}, \omega) h(\mathbf{q}, \Omega) h(-\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, -\omega - \Omega) \times \\
& \times \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[2i(\omega + \Omega) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q} + 2D(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2 - 2D\mathbf{k}^2 \mathbf{q}^2 + i\omega \mathbf{q}^2 + i\Omega \mathbf{k}^2 \right] \\
& -\frac{8}{(2\pi)^{3[d+1]}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega d^d \mathbf{q} d\Omega d^d \mathbf{l} d\Lambda h(\mathbf{k}, \omega) h(\mathbf{q}, \Omega) h(\mathbf{l}, \Lambda) h(-\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{l}, -\omega - \Omega - \Lambda) \times \\
& \times \left[\mathcal{C} - \frac{2\Gamma}{\Delta} \right] (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q} \times (\mathbf{l}^2 + \mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})) + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{l} \times (\mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l})) + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{l} \times (\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{q}))) \quad (A4)
\end{aligned}$$

Now specializing to the case where there is no time dependence:

$$\begin{aligned}
S(h) = & -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d \mathbf{k} |h(\mathbf{k})|^2 D^2 \mathbf{k}^4 \\
& -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d^d \mathbf{q} h(\mathbf{k}, \omega) h(\mathbf{q}, \Omega) h(-\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \times \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[2D(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2 - 2D\mathbf{k}^2 \mathbf{q}^2 \right] \\
& -\frac{8}{(2\pi)^{3d}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d^d \mathbf{q} d^d \mathbf{l} h(\mathbf{k}) h(\mathbf{q}) h(\mathbf{l}) h(-\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{l}) \times \\
& \times \left[\mathcal{C} - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{q} \times (\mathbf{l}^2 + \mathbf{l} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})) + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{l} \times (\mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l})) + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{l} \times (\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{q}))) \quad (A5)
\end{aligned}$$

Now specializing to Eq. (25) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
S(h) = & -\sum_i |h_i|^2 \mathbf{k}_i^4 + \frac{D}{2\Delta} \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{abc=\pm} bck_i^2 [\mathbf{k}_j \cdot \mathbf{k}_k] \delta(a\mathbf{k}_i + b\mathbf{k}_j + c\mathbf{k}_k) h_i^{(*)} h_j^{(*)} h_k^{(*)} \\
& + \left[\mathcal{C} - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] \sum_{i,j,k,l} \sum_{abcd=\pm} abcd [\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}_j] [\mathbf{k}_k \cdot \mathbf{k}_l] \delta(a\mathbf{k}_i + b\mathbf{k}_j + c\mathbf{k}_k + d\mathbf{k}_l) h_i^{(*)} h_j^{(*)} h_k^{(*)} h_l^{(*)} \quad (A6)
\end{aligned}$$

Where (*) indicates a complex conjugate depending on whether $a, b, c, d = \pm 1$. We now specialize to two wave instabilities (more is possible but tedious). Also for the second term on the RHS of Eq. (A6) to be non-zero we must have $\mathbf{k}_2 = 2\mathbf{k}_1$ (we now focus on this case). In which case we write:

$$\begin{aligned}
S(h_1, h_2) = & |\mathbf{k}_1|^4 \left(-|h_1|^2 - 4|h_2|^2 + \frac{D}{2\Delta} \left(4(h_2 + h_2^*) (h_1 - h_1^*)^2 + 4(h_2 + h_2^*) (h_1^2 - h_1^{*2}) \right) \right) \\
& + |\mathbf{k}_1|^4 \left[\mathcal{C} - \frac{2\Gamma^2}{\Delta} \right] \left((h_1 - h_1^*)^4 + 16(h_2 - h_2^*)^4 + 48(h_2 - h_2^*)^2 (h_1 - h_1^*)^2 \right) \quad (A7)
\end{aligned}$$

Now to simplify we write $h_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h_1 = ih_1 \in i\mathbb{R}$ and we obtain Eq. (26).

-
- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>[1] D. Forster, D. R. Nelson, and M. J. Stephen, Phys. Rev. A 16, 732 (1977).</p> <p>[2] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 889 (1986).</p> <p>[3] A. Kamenev, <i>Field Theories of Non-Equilibrium Systems</i> (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011).</p> <p>[4] See supplementary online information.</p> | <p>[5] P. C. Martin, E. D. Siggia and H. A. Rose, Phys. Rev. A 8, 423 (1973).</p> <p>[6] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, <i>Introduction to Quantum Field Theory</i> (Perseus Books, Reading, 1995).</p> <p>[7] L. D. Fadeev and V. N. Popov, Phys. Lett. B 25, 29 (1967)</p> <p>[8] G. Goldstein in preparation</p> |
|---|--|

- [9] A. Auerbach, *Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism* (Springer, New York, 1994).
- [10] M. Continentino, *Quantum Scaling in Many Body Systems: An Approach to Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017).
- [11] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011).
- [12] L. Cherendoff and J. W. Niermantsverdiert, *Concepts of Modern Catalysis and Kinetics* (John Wiley & Sons, Toronto, 2003)