Classical action for the height within the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation for surface growth

Garry Goldstein

garrygolds teinwinnip eg@gmail.com

In this work we write down a classical (not quantum) action for the surface height for the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation for surface growth. We do so starting with the regular Martin-Siggia-Rose (MSR) action (which is quantum - contains the constraint field) and integrate out the quantum constraint field exactly. We analyze the classical action, we thereby obtain, within the gaussian and one loop approximations various instabilities to rough surfaces. The gaussian analysis predicts instabilities to rough surfaces below two dimensions while one loop analysis predicts stronger surface stability to roughness and instabilities to roughness below one dimension rather than two dimensions. The one loop analysis shows that the KPZ action is incomplete and that we generate additional terms (not found in the initial classical action) in the action perturbatively. In the supplement we also modify the KPZ equation for growing surfaces to include the effect of surface tilt on the noise (that is have two sources of noise one of which is multiplicatively coupled noise).

I. INTRODUCTION

An important example of a non-equilibrium statistical mechanics system is a surface growing under the external deposition of molecules. A mathematical, stochastic differential, equation that describes a very wide class of such growing surfaces is known as the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation. It is given by [1–3]:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \Gamma\left(\sqrt{1 + (\nabla h)^2}\right) - D\nabla^2 h + \xi$$
$$\cong \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla h\right)^2\right) - D\nabla^2 h + \xi. \tag{1}$$

Here h is the surface height, D is the diffusion coefficient and Γ is an overall rate of molecule deposition and we have performed a small gradient expansion for simplicity. Furthermore $\xi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a Gaussian noise function with action:

$$\int \mathcal{D}\xi \exp\left(-\int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \xi^2 / 2\Delta\right) \tag{2}$$

Also the second term on the RHS of Eq. (1) describes the diffusion of atoms on the surface which tends to smooth it out. Furthermore for geometric reasons we see that the surface height growth rate is not constant Γ but is given by $\frac{\Gamma}{\cos(\theta)}$ where θ is the angle between the normal to the surface (\hat{n}) and the direction the molecules are deposited - say z-axis. We now compute the normal to a 2d surface in terms of the gradient $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}$ whereby we obtain:

$$\hat{n} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \hat{x} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \hat{y} + \hat{z}$$
(3)

Whereby:

$$\Rightarrow \cos\left(\hat{n} \cdot \hat{z}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\right)^2}} \tag{4}$$

As such we obtain Eq. (1). Originally the KPZ equation was proposed by Kardar, Parisi and Zhang to study the growth of surfaces [2, 3] however it was later realized that the same equations appear in a wide variety of contexts. For example the KPZ equation arises in the weakly asymmetric simple exclusion principle dynamics [4], in the partition functions of directed polymers [5, 6], Burgers' hydrodynamics (which Navier-Stokes hydrodynamics without vorticity and with noise) [3, 5, 7], polynuclear growth [8–10], rigid solid on solid (RSOS) models [11–14] and represents a whole universality class of processes with both space and time dependence [5, 15].

The KPZ equation may be studied in a variety of field theoretical ways. It can be studied using the quantum KPZ action (based on the Martin-Siggia-Rose (MSR) formalism). The action for this approach is given by [3]:

$$S(h,p) = -\int d^{d}\mathbf{x}dt \left[p\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - Dp\nabla^{2}h + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma p\left(\nabla h\right)^{2} - \Delta p^{2} \right]$$
(5)

Here p is the quantum constraint field. Alternatively one can use a Cole-Hopf transformation [3, 5], with the transformation being given by:

$$\varphi = \exp\left(\frac{\Gamma}{2D}h - \frac{\Gamma^2 \Delta}{4D^2}t\right) \tag{6}$$

Whereby using the Martin-Siggia-Rose (MSR) procedure one obtains the action:

$$S\left(\varphi,\pi\right) = -\int d^{d}\mathbf{x}dt \left[\pi\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} - D\nabla^{2}\varphi\right) + \frac{\Gamma^{2}\Delta}{4D^{2}}\pi^{2}\varphi^{2}\right]$$
(7)

Here π is the quantum constraint field. For both approaches one then obtains that for all $d \leq 2$ (in two or less dimensions) the action flows to a strong coupling fixed point (where $\frac{\Gamma^2 \Delta}{4D^2}$ becomes large and perturbation theory fails) often associated with rough surfaces.

In this work we study the KPZ equation using the MSR method, without the Cole-Hopf transform, e.g. using the action in Eq. (5). We integrate out the quantum constraining field exactly (which can be done at tree level as,

after a co-ordinate change, there is only one connected Feynman diagram relevant to the constraining field) and transform the KPZ - MSR quantum - action into a classical action just for the height field (see Eq. (12)). We analyze the action in Eq. (12) for instabilities to rough surfaces using gaussian and one loop like analysis. We find the upper critical dimension for rough surfaces is d = 2 for the gaussian analysis and d = 2 is modified using the one loop analysis with rough surfaces forming for d < 1. We argue that the system is partially amenable to Renormalization Group (RG) analysis and propose new self energy terms and interaction terms that show up perturbatively in the RG process (ones that do not show up in the original action [16, 17] but are more relevant in the RG sense).

II. MAIN CALCULATIONS

Consider the MSR action for the KPZ equation [3, 7] given in Eq. (5) (see also the supplementary online information [18]). Now we write:

$$-\Delta p^2 + p\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - Dp\nabla^2 h = -\Delta \left[p - \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right) \right]^2 + \frac{1}{4\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right)^2 \tag{8}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\Gamma p\left(\nabla h\right)^{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma\left[p - \frac{1}{2\Delta}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^{2}h\right)\right]\left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + \frac{\Gamma}{4\Delta}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^{2}h\right)\left(\nabla h\right)^{2} \tag{9}$$

As such we have rewritten the action in Eq. (5) in terms of two fields h and $P = p - \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^2 h \right)$. Now we write:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}h\mathcal{D}p \exp\left(-\int d^{d}\mathbf{x}dt \left[p\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - Dp\nabla^{2}h - \Delta p^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma p\left(\nabla h\right)^{2}\right]\right)$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}h\mathcal{D}P \exp\left(-\int d^{d}\mathbf{x}dt \left[-\Delta P^{2} - \frac{1}{4\Delta}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^{2}h\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma P\left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + \frac{\Gamma}{4\Delta}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^{2}h\right)\left(\nabla h\right)^{2}\right]\right)$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}h \exp\left(-\int d^{d}\mathbf{x}dt \left[\frac{1}{4\Delta}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^{2}h\right)^{2} + \frac{\Gamma^{2}}{4\Delta}\left(\nabla h\right)^{4} + \frac{\Gamma}{4\Delta}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^{2}h\right)\left(\nabla h\right)^{2}\right]\right)$$
(10)

where we have performed a change of variables $\mathcal{D}h\mathcal{D}p \to \mathcal{D}h\mathcal{D}P$. We note that the determinant of the Jacobian of the transformation is unity as the Jacobian of the transformation is upper triangular. We have integrated out P to tree level (which is exact as there is only one connected Feynman diagram for P and we use exponentiation of disconnected diagrams [19]) and we have introduced the integral:

$$\frac{\int \exp\left(\Delta P^2\right) P^2 dP}{\int \exp\left(\Delta P^2\right) dP} = \frac{-\int \exp\left(-\Delta P^2\right) P^2 d\left[iP\right]}{\int \exp\left(-\Delta P^2\right) d\left[iP\right]} = -\frac{1}{\Delta}$$
(11)

where the variable P was Wick rotated as a regularization. As such we have obtained a new KPZ action:

$$S(h) = -\int d^{d}\mathbf{x}dt \left[\frac{1}{4\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^{2}h\right)^{2} + \frac{\Gamma}{4\Delta} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - D\nabla^{2}h\right) (\nabla h)^{2} + \frac{\Gamma^{2}}{4\Delta} (\nabla h)^{4}\right]$$
(12)

This is the main result of this work as it integrates out the quantum action for KPZ into a classical action just for the height field.

III. ANALYSIS OF THE ACTION IN EQ. (12)

We will study the properties of the action in Eq. (12). We will do gaussian and one loop analysis of the action. We will not do Renormalization Group (RG) analysis due to current questions about its accuracy [21] for obtaining fixed point positions and coefficients and because preliminary one loop analysis shows that RG will generate new RG relevant couplings not found in Eq. (12).

A. Gaussian Analysis

We now Fourier transform to obtain:

$$h(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^d \mathbf{k} d\omega h(\mathbf{k},\omega) \exp\left(i\left[\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\omega t\right]\right)$$
(13)

Now lets first focus on the quadratic action from Eq. (12):

$$S_{Quad}\left(h\right) = -\frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{d+1}} \int d^{d}\mathbf{k}d\omega \left|h\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left[\omega^{2} + D^{2}\mathbf{k}^{4}\right]$$
(14)

This means that [20]:

$$\left\langle \left[h\left(\mathbf{x},t\right)-h\left(\mathbf{0},0\right)\right]^{2}\right\rangle = \frac{2\Delta}{\left(2\pi\right)^{d+1}}\int d^{d}\mathbf{k}d\omega \frac{\left[1-\exp\left(i\left[\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\omega t\right]\right)\right]}{\left(\omega^{2}+D^{2}\mathbf{k}^{4}\right)}$$
(15)

We now perform this integral, we do the ω integration first, close the contour in the lower half plane and pick up the pole at $\omega = -iD\mathbf{k}^2$ to obtain:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int d^{d}\mathbf{k} d\omega \frac{[1 - \exp\left(i\left[\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t\right]\right)]}{(\omega^{2} + D^{2}\mathbf{k}^{4})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d}} \int d^{d}\mathbf{k} \frac{1}{2D\mathbf{k}^{2}} \left[1 - \exp\left(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - D\mathbf{k}^{2}t\right)\right]$$

$$\cong \frac{V\left(S^{d-1}\right)}{2D\left(2\pi\right)^{d}} \left[\frac{1}{2 - d} \left[a^{2-d} - L^{2-d}\right] - \int_{1/L}^{1/\sqrt{Dt + |\mathbf{x}|^{2}}} k^{d-3} dk\right]$$

$$= \frac{V\left(S^{d-1}\right)}{(2 - d) 2D\left(2\pi\right)^{d}} \left[a^{2-d} - \sqrt{Dt + |\mathbf{x}|^{2}}\right] \qquad (16)$$

Here $V(S^{d-1})$ is the volume of a unit radius d-1 dimensional sphere. We now see that for:

$$\begin{cases} d > 2 \quad smooth \ surface \\ d = 2 \quad marginal \ surface \\ d < 2 \quad rough \ surface \end{cases}$$
(17)

Indeed the term $a^{2-d} - \sqrt{Dt + |\mathbf{x}|^2}^{2-d}$ becomes large for d < 2 for large distances and times showing non-smooth surfaces. Here *a* is the typical size of a molecule which serves as a cutoff and is considered finite, that is it is not a divergent term in the calculations.

B. One loop analysis for self energy

We notice that there are only two one loop diagrams for self energy $\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$. Let us only pick out terms which are proportional to \mathbf{k}^2 and ω^2 that modify the dispersion (these are the most divergent term). We will see that the terms $\sim \omega^2$ will be non-analytic but can be regularized to be analytic in an RG way 17 [17]. At one loop there are two Feynman diagrams contributing diagrams with their values given by:

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \Sigma_1(\mathbf{k},\omega) + \Sigma_2(\mathbf{k},\omega) + \Sigma_3(\mathbf{k},\omega) + \Sigma_4(\mathbf{k},\omega)$$
(18)

Where:

$$\Sigma_{1} (\mathbf{k}, \omega) = 2\Gamma^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} \int \frac{d\Omega d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{2}}{\Omega^{2} + D^{2} \mathbf{q}^{4}}$$
$$= 2\Gamma^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{2D \mathbf{q}^{2}} \mathbf{q}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} V \left(S^{d-1}\right)}{(2\pi)^{d} D \cdot d \cdot a^{d}}$$
(19)

$$\Sigma_{2} (\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{2}{d} \Gamma^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} \int \frac{d\Omega d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{2}}{\Omega^{2} + D^{2} \mathbf{q}^{4}}$$
$$= \frac{2}{d} \Gamma^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{2D \mathbf{q}^{2}} \mathbf{q}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} V \left(S^{d-1}\right)}{(2\pi)^{d} D \cdot d^{2} \cdot a^{d}}$$
(20)

$$\Sigma_{3} (\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -\frac{2}{d} \Gamma^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} \int \frac{d\Omega d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{2}}{\Omega^{2} + D^{2} \mathbf{q}^{4}}$$
$$= -\frac{2}{d} \Gamma^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{2D \mathbf{q}^{2}} \mathbf{q}^{2}$$
$$= -\frac{\Gamma^{2} \mathbf{k}^{2} V \left(S^{d-1}\right)}{\left(2\pi\right)^{d} D \cdot d^{2} \cdot a^{d}}$$
(21)

And:

$$\Sigma_{4} (\mathbf{k}, \omega) \approx -2\Gamma^{2} \omega^{2} \int \frac{d\Omega d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{4}}{\left[\Omega^{2} + D^{2} \mathbf{q}^{4}\right]^{2}}$$

$$= -2\Gamma^{2} \omega^{2} \int \frac{d\Omega d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{4}}{\left[\Omega + iD\mathbf{q}^{2}\right]^{2} \left[\Omega - iD\mathbf{q}^{2}\right]^{2}}$$

$$= -2\Gamma^{2} \omega^{2} \int \frac{d^{d} \mathbf{q} d\Omega}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{4}}{\Omega^{2} \left[\Omega + i2D\mathbf{q}^{2}\right]^{2}}$$

$$= -2\Gamma^{2} \omega^{2} \int \frac{d\Omega d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{\mathbf{q}^{4}}{-4\Omega^{2}D\mathbf{q}^{4}} \left[1 - \frac{\Omega}{iD\mathbf{q}^{2}} + \ldots\right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{2} \omega^{2} \int \frac{d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{D^{2}\mathbf{q}^{2}} \qquad (22)$$

Now we regularize the action by inserting the incoming momenta

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_{4} (\mathbf{k}, \omega) \cong -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{2} \omega^{2} \int \frac{d^{d} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{D^{2} [\mathbf{q}^{2} + (\mathbf{k}^{2} + \omega^{2})]}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{2} \omega^{2} \frac{V (S^{d-1})}{(2\pi)^{d} D^{2} \cdot (d-2) \cdot a^{d-2}}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{2} \omega^{2} \frac{V (S^{d-1}) (\mathbf{k}^{2} + \omega^{2})^{d-2}}{(2\pi)^{d} D^{2} \cdot (d-2)}$$
(23)

We now do RG regularization of the non-analytical terms (see [17] section 3.3.2):

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_4 \left(\mathbf{k}, \omega \right) \cong -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^2 \omega^2 \frac{V\left(S^{d-1}\right)}{\left(2\pi\right)^d D^2 \cdot \left(d-2\right) \cdot a^{d-2}} \quad (24)$$

Indeed RG would in introduce momentum shell integrals whereby the lower cutoff in Eq. (23) would no longer be introduced and we have dropped a constant of order unity in the final answer (this constant cannot be reliably computed see Ref. [21]). We see that d = 2 is the upper critical dimension for the self energy. As such we may write

$$\left\langle \left[h\left(\mathbf{x},t\right)-h\left(\mathbf{0},0\right)\right]^{2}\right\rangle =\frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^{d+1}}\int d^{d}\mathbf{k}d\omega\frac{\left[1-\exp\left(i\left[\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\omega t\right]\right)\right]}{E\left(\mathbf{k},\omega\right)}$$
(25)

With:

$$E\left(\mathbf{k},\omega\right) = \left[\frac{1}{2\Delta} - \frac{\Gamma^{2}V\left(S^{d-1}\right)}{2\left(2\pi\right)^{d}D^{2}\cdot\left(d-2\right)\cdot a^{d-2}}\right]\omega^{2} + \frac{\Gamma^{2}V\left(S^{d-1}\right)}{\left(2\pi\right)^{d}D\cdot d\cdot a^{d}}\mathbf{k}^{2}$$
(26)

We now see that for:

$$\begin{cases} d > 1 \quad smooth \, surface \\ d = 1 \quad marginal \, surface \\ d < 1 \quad rough \, surface \end{cases}$$
(27)

This is similar to Eq. (17). We note that without RG regularization we would have obtained non-derivative couplings similar to Hertz-Millis theory [16, 22, 23]. Based on our experience with one loop diagrams we now propose an RG action for the system of the form:

$$S(h) = -\int d^{d}\mathbf{x}dt \left[A\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}\right)^{2} + B\left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + C\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}\left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + D\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}\right)^{3} + E\left(\nabla h\right)^{4} + F\left(\nabla h\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}\right)^{2} + G\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}\right)^{4} \right]$$
(28)

Here A, B, C, D, E, F, G are coupling constants. All these terms will be generated under perturbative RG and are the most RG relevant ones. However in view of Ref. [21] we now mention the limited reliability of obtaining specific values of the constants A, B, C, D, E, F, G (say at a fixed point) due to various forms of the cutoff (which is so far unknown [21]). As such, we do not do full RG analysis of this action as we will not reliably obtain the values of the coupling coefficients A, B, C, D, E, F, G and leave it for future work.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work we started with the MSR based quantum action for the KPZ equation and integrated out the Lagrange multiplier introduced in the MSR procedure exactly to obtain a classical action e.g. an action purely for the height field in Eq. (12) which is the main result of the work. We did gaussian and one loop analysis on the action in Eq. (12). The gaussian action led us to conclude that surfaces form smoothly for d > 2. The one loop analysis also predicts that d > 1 is sufficient for smooth surface growth. We had used RG regularization to get rid of non-derivative (non-analytic) interactions. This is somewhat similar to Hertz-Millis theory [16, 22, 23] however can be regularized. However there are also some other concerns with the RG approach in this situation [21] so we did not pursue this further, due to some recent results 21 [21]. We did propose a general RG like action for KPZ (see Eq. (28) where additional relevant terms will be generated perturbatively. In the future it would be of interest to study the action in Eqs. (12) and (28) much more thoroughly in order to understand surface smoothness and roughness [2, 3] as well as to study possible applications to catalysis on surfaces [24].

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Davide Venturelli for useful discussions.

Supplementary online information

KPZ WITH MULTIPLICATIVE NOISE

1. Main idea

Here we extend the KPZ equations by claiming that due to geometric considerations the noise ξ should couple to the gradient the same way as the main molecule deposition rate Γ does. As such we obtain an equation with multiplicatively coupled noise:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = (\Gamma + \xi) \left(\sqrt{1 + (\nabla h)^2} \right) - D\nabla^2 h$$
$$\cong (\Gamma + \xi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla h)^2 \right) - D\nabla^2 h \qquad (29)$$

We perform a MSR analysis [3] on this stochastic differential equation and use the prescription in [3] for the multiplicative noise in the system. We note that this approximation means that most of the noise comes because of the deposition term while the usual approximation means that most of the noise comes because of the diffusion term. A more general approach (that incorporates both limits) is given below. 2. Equation of motion for two sources of noise

We know that the equation of motion can be written as

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \cong \left(\Gamma + \xi_1\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla h\right)^2\right) - D\nabla^2 h + \xi_2 \qquad (30)$$

Where we have that $\xi_1(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a Gaussian noise function with action:

$$\int \mathcal{D}\xi_1 \exp\left(-\int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \xi_1^2 / 2\Delta_1\right)$$
(31)

which corresponds to molecule deposition noise. While $\xi_2(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is Gaussian white noise with action:

$$\int \mathcal{D}\xi_2 \exp\left(-\int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \xi_2^2 / 2\Delta_2\right)$$
(32)

Where [3]:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_2} = \frac{\left(k_B T\right)^2}{2D} \tag{33}$$

which corresponds to molecule diffusion noise.

3. Action for KPZ equation with multiplicative noise

Now we write

$$P(h) = \int \mathcal{D}\xi_1 \mathcal{D}\xi_2 \exp\left(-\int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[\xi_1^2/2\Delta_1 + \xi_2^2/2\Delta_2\right]\right) \delta\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - (\Gamma + \xi_1)\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla h\right)^2\right) + D\nabla^2 h - \xi_2\right)$$
(34)

We now study the stochastic differential equation in Eq. (34). We now perform MSR analysis [3] on this stochastic differential equation in Eq. (29) and we do not introduce Faddeev-Popov ghosts [19] for the functional determinant det $\left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla h)^2\right]$ as it has been absorbed into regularization [3] and change variables $h \to h - \Gamma t$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}\xi_1 \mathcal{D}\xi_2 \exp\left(-\int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[\xi_1^2 / 2\Delta_1 + \xi_2^2 / 2\Delta_2\right]\right) \delta\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - (\Gamma + \xi_1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla h\right)^2\right) + D\nabla^2 h - \xi_2\right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\xi_1 \mathcal{D}\xi_2 \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}p \exp\left(-\int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[\left[\xi_1^2 / 2\Delta_1 + \xi_2^2 / 2\Delta_2\right] + p \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - (\Gamma + \xi_1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla h\right)^2\right) + D\nabla^2 h - \xi_2\right)\right]\right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\xi \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}p \exp\left(-\int d^d \mathbf{x} dt \left[\frac{1}{2\Delta_1} \left(\xi_1 - \Delta_1 p\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\Delta_2} \left(\xi_2 - \Delta_2 p\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\xi_1 p \left(\nabla h\right)^2 + p \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma \left(\nabla h\right)^2 + D\nabla^2 h - \left(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2\right) p\right)\right]\right) \end{aligned}$$
(35)

Whereby:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}\xi \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}p \exp\left(-\int d^{d}\mathbf{x} dt \left[\frac{1}{2\Delta_{1}} \left(\xi_{1} - \Delta_{1}p\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta_{2}} \left(\xi_{2} - \Delta_{2}p\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi_{1} - \Delta_{1}p\right) p \left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{1}p^{2} \left(\nabla h\right)^{2} \right. \\ &+ p \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + D \nabla^{2}h - \left(\Delta_{1} + \Delta_{2}\right)p\right)\right] \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\Xi_{1} \mathcal{D}\Xi_{2} \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}p \exp\left(-\int d^{d}\mathbf{x} dt \left[\frac{1}{2\Delta_{1}}\Xi_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta_{1}}\Xi_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Xi_{1}p \left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{1}p^{2} \left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + p \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + D \nabla^{2}h - \left(\Delta_{1} + \Delta_{2}\right)p\right)\right] \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}h \mathcal{D}p \exp\left(-\int d^{d}\mathbf{x} dt \left[\frac{1}{4\Delta_{1}}p^{2} \left(\nabla h\right)^{4} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{1}p^{2} \left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + p \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + D \nabla^{2}h - \left(\Delta_{1} + \Delta_{2}\right)p\right)\right] \right) \end{aligned}$$
(36)

Where we have performed a change of variables $\mathcal{D}\xi_{1,2}\mathcal{D}h\mathcal{D}p \to \mathcal{D}\Xi_{1,2}\mathcal{D}h\mathcal{D}p$ with $\Xi_{1,2} = \xi_{1,2} - \Delta_{1,2}p$. We note that the determinant of the Jacobian of the transformation being one as the Jacobian is upper triangular and integrated out Ξ to tree level (which is exact as there is only one connected Feynman diagram involved (then one can use exponentiation of disconnected diagrams)) to obtain the action:

$$S(h,p) = -\int d^{d}\mathbf{x}dt \left[\Delta_{1}p^{2} \left(\nabla h\right)^{4} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{1}p^{2} \left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + p\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma\left(\nabla h\right)^{2} + D\nabla^{2}h - \left(\Delta_{1} + \Delta_{2}\right)p\right) \right]$$
(37)

Note that we used the same prescription as in Ref [3] for multiplicative noise.

- D. Forster, D. R. Nelson, and M. J. Stephen, Phys. Rev. A 16, 732 (1977).
- [2] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 889 (1986).
- [3] A. Kamenev, *Field Theories of Non-Equilibrium Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011).
- [4] L. Beretini, and G. Giacomin, Comm. Math. Phys. 183, 571 (1997).
- [5] K. J. Wiese, Rep. Prog. Phys. 85, 086502 (2022).
- [6] E. Brunet, and B. Derrida, Phys. Rev. E 61, 6789 (2000).
- [7] P. C. Martin, E. D. Siggia and H. A. Rose, Phys. Rev. A 8, 423 (1973).
- [8] M. Prahofer, and H. Spohn, Physica A 279, 342 (2000).
- [9] M. Prahofer and H. Sphon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4882 (2000).
- [10] M. Prahofer and H. Sphon, J. Stat. Phys. 108, 1071 (2002).
- [11] E. Martini, A. Pagnani, and G. Parisi, J. Phys. A 33, 8181 (2000).
- [12] E. Martini, A. Pagnani, G. Parisi and R. Racz, Phys. Rev. E 65, 026136 (2002).
- [13] S. G. Alves, T. J. Oliveira, and S. C. Ferriera, Phys. Rev.

E 90, 020103 (2014).

- [14] W. P. Gomes, A. L. A. Penna and F. A. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. E 100, 02101 (2019).
- [15] I. Corwin, Random Matrices 1, 1130001 (2012).
- [16] M. Continentino, Quantum Scaling in Many Body Systems: An Approach to Quantum Phase Transitions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017).
- [17] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011).
- [18] See supplementary online information.
- [19] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, Introduction to Quantum Field Theory (Perseus Books, Reading, 1995).
- [20] A. Auerbach, Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism (Springer, New York, 1994).
- [21] G. Goldstein arXiv 2403.12085.
- [22] J. A. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B 14, 1165 (1976).
- [23] A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7183 (1993).
- [24] L. Cherendoff and J. W. Niermantsverdiert, *Concepts of Modern Catalysis and Kinetics* (John Wiley & Sons, Toronto, 2003).