

Suppression of flux jumps in high- J_c Nb₃Sn conductors by ferromagnetic layer

Cun Xue,^{1,*} Kai-Wei Cao,¹ Tian He,² Chong Wei,¹ Wei Liu,^{3,†} and Jun-Yi Ge^{2,‡}

¹*School of Mechanics, Civil Engineering and Architecture,
Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China*

²*Materials Genome Institute, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China*

³*Western Superconducting Technologies Co., Ltd.,
and Xi'an Superconducting Magnet Technology Co., Ltd, Xi'an 710014, China*

(Dated: March 12, 2024)

Flux jumps observed in high- J_c Nb₃Sn conductors are urgent problems to construct high field superconducting magnets. The low-field instabilities usually reduce the current-carrying capability and thus cause the premature quench of Nb₃Sn coils at low magnetic field. In this paper, we explore suppressing the flux jumps by ferromagnetic (FM) layer. Firstly, we experimentally and theoretically investigate the flux jumps of Nb₃Sn/FM hybrid wires exposed to a magnetic field loop with constant sweeping rate. Comparing with bare Nb₃Sn and Nb₃Sn/Cu wires, we reveal two underlying mechanisms that the suppression of flux jumps is mainly attributed to the thermal effect of FM layer for the case of lower sweeping rate, whereas both thermal and electromagnetic effects play a crucial role for the case of higher sweeping rate. Furthermore, we explore the flux jumps of Nb₃Sn/FM hybrid wires exposed to AC magnetic fields with amplitude B_{a0} and frequency ω . We build up the phase diagrams of flux jumps in the plane ω - B_{a0} for bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/Cu wire and Nb₃Sn/FM wire, respectively. We stress that the region of flux jumps of Nb₃Sn/FM wire is much smaller than the other two wires, which indicates that the Nb₃Sn/FM wire has significant advantage over merely increasing the heat capacity. The findings shed light on suppression of the flux jumps by utilizing FM materials, which is useful for developing new type of high- J_c Nb₃Sn conductors.

I. INTRODUCTION

With high critical current density (non-matrix J_c exceeds 3000 A/mm⁻² at 4.2 K, 12 T) and upper critical field (28-30 T) [1–4], Nb₃Sn conductor is a preferred material to construct high magnetic field (10-16 T) that is beyond the limit of NbTi [5]. Additionally, a hybrid solution of high temperature superconductor (HTS) and Nb₃Sn materials is usually used to achieve much higher magnetic field (> 20 T) in order to avoid significantly high cost of HTS [6]. However, Nb₃Sn magnets are susceptible to the flux jumps [7–12], which seriously limits the Nb₃Sn performance. During the flux jumps, substantial energy is released in the form of Joule heating within a very short time due to the rapid motion of magnetic flux trapped inside the filaments. In this case, the flux instability behaviors usually lead to undesirable problem of premature quenches [13]. Transport current measurements demonstrate that threshold for stability of Nb₃Sn is even significantly lower than the critical current at higher fields [14]. Moreover, frequent and sudden spikes in voltage signals sometimes are very high, which can cause difficulties for the digital quench detection system [15].

In recent years, researchers have shown more and more academic interests in exploring effective methods to prevent the flux instabilities of Nb₃Sn. Experiments by Fermi national accelerator laboratory [16, 17] and Brookhaven national laboratory [18, 19] show that both reducing the filament size (d_{eff}) and increasing residual resistivity ratio (RRR) of the copper can significantly improve stability

of Nb₃Sn at low field, which can indeed improve Nb₃Sn conductor performance and current carry ability. On one hand, more subelements can reduce d_{eff} . On the other hand, however, it may also easily cause the problems of contamination and thus raise manufacturing difficulties [20]. The higher RRR can be achieved by reducing the reaction time or by modifying the design with adjusting the metal ratio of Nb and Sn, which is at the cost of reducing the critical current density [21]. Wilson et al demonstrated that the intrinsic stability of high- J_c Nb₃Sn conductors can be improved by adjusting the temperature margin and the dynamic cooling [22]. However, further increasing the temperature margin beyond a certain limit becomes increasingly challenging for Nb₃Sn utilized in generating high fields. Considering Nb₃Sn coils are usually impregnated with epoxy, the quite small thermal diffusion coefficient may weaken the effectiveness of dynamic cooling. The experiments by Goldfarb et al [23] showed that the number of flux jumps decreases remarkably when the high- J_c Nb₃Sn wire exposed to liquid helium at 2.1 K, which indicates that improvement of heat transfer capacity indeed can suppress the low-field instabilities. This method needs very costly lower operation temperature. Additionally, it may not be suitable for Nb₃Sn magnets with densely packed coils where heat dissipation conditions of inner Nb₃Sn wires is completely different from the sample of single Nb₃Sn wire in experiments. Very recently, Xu et al [24] investigated the influences of heat treatment temperature and Ti-doping on the low-field flux jumps. It was found that the suppression of low-field flux jumps by heat treatment temperature is attributed to both reducing the $J_c(B)$ curve slope and increasing the heat capacity. The negative result is a significant reduction of J_c at low fields. Another promising idea to improve the thermomagnetic stability stems from increasing the specific

* xuecun@nwpu.edu.cn

† liuweil7@c-wst.com

‡ junyi.ge@t.shu.edu.cn

heat. Xu et al proposed to introduce high specific heat substances (Gd_2O_3) in certain positions [25]. The experimental results show that the amplitude of the flux jumps can indeed remarkably suppressed at low fields, which, however, causes even more flux jumps in the magnetization curves. Therefore, it is still desirable to explore new methods to suppress the flux jumps.

It is well-known that the superconductors and ferromagnetic (FM) materials exhibit completely different electromagnetic behaviors. When coupling the two materials, it was expected to observe interesting phenomena in hybrid superconductors/ferromagnetic (SC/FM) structures. Many researchers developed theoretical and experimental approaches to explore the impacts of ferromagnetic substrate on the electromagnetic responses of superconductors. The complex-field approach with conformal mapping was used to analyze the effect of soft ferromagnetic substrate on the electromagnetic response of superconducting strips [26] and ac loss of 2G HTS power transmission cables [27]. Recently, Prigozhin et al proposed a thin shell model and numerical scheme of Chebyshev spectral method for electromagnetic response of a coated conductor with magnetic substrate to variations of the transport current and applied field [28]. Silhanek et al developed a quantitative magneto-optical imaging technique to directly visualize the thermomagnetic instabilities of superconductor/ferromagnet hybrid structures [29]. Another fascinating phenomenon is the co-called magnetic cloak to exactly cloak uniform static magnetic fields by specially designed superconductor-ferromagnetic structures [30, 31]. Due to the electromagnetic interactions, it is expected that the FM materials have clear impact on the low-field instabilities of Nb_3Sn wires, which has not been well explored yet.

In this paper, we explore the thermomagnetic instabilities of Nb_3Sn /ferromagnetic ($\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$) hybrid wires exposed to time-varying magnetic field. The magnetization measurements show that the flux jumps of Nb_3Sn wires can be significantly suppressed by the FM layer. Combining with numerical simulations, we reveal different underlying mechanisms for suppression of flux jumps in the cases of low and high magnetic field sweeping rates, respectively. Then we further explore the flux jumps of $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ hybrid wire exposed to the AC magnetic field. We demonstrate that the flux jumps depends on both amplitude B_{a0} and frequency ω of AC magnetic field. The phase diagram of flux jumps in the plane ω - B_{a0} for bare Nb_3Sn wire, $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{cooper}$ ($\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{Cu}$) wire and $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire are presented. The paper is organised as follows: we present the experimental measurements and theoretical method that are used to study the flux jumps of $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wires in Section II. Then we discuss the suppression of flux jumps for two cases of a linear ramping magnetic field and AC magnetic field. The summary is given in the final section.




FIG. 1. (a) Bare Nb_3Sn wire with 54 subelements (left) and hybrid-structured Nb_3Sn wire wrapped by FM strip ($\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire, right). (b-c) The samples are exposed to an applied magnetic field with sweeping rate of 0.02 T/s.

II. EXPERIMENTS SETUP AND THEORETICAL FORMALISM

To prepare the samples used in the experimental measurements, we choose the multi-filamentary Nb_3Sn wire manufactured with the so-called internal-tin approach. The diameter of Nb_3Sn wire is about 1.3 mm and its subelement structure is shown in Fig. 1(a). In this work, two kinds of samples are fabricated, i.e., bare Nb_3Sn wire (see left panel in Fig. 1a) and Nb_3Sn wire wrapped by a FM layer made of 1J22 soft magnetic alloy (right panel in Fig. 1a). We prepare three samples of hybrid $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wires and the thickness of the FM layer is 0.1 mm, 0.2 mm and 0.3 mm, respectively. A polishing procedure is performed for both ends of all samples. In order to detect the thermomagnetic instabilities, we perform the magnetization measurements for all samples exposed to a transverse magnetic field loop with MPMS at $T_0 = 4.2$ K. Note that a zero-field cooling down from 20 K to 4.2 K is first performed before each magnetization measurements. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the magnetic field is varying between +3 T and -3 T with a constant field-sweeping rate of 20 mT/s, i.e., $B_a = 0 \text{ T} \rightarrow 3 \text{ T} \rightarrow -3 \text{ T} \rightarrow 3 \text{ T}$.

To deeply understand the effects of FM layer on the thermomagnetic instabilities of Nb_3Sn wire as well as to explore more complicated cases, as schematically shown in Fig. 1(c), we consider a 2D numerical model in the numerical simulations. With the H-formula [32], the electromagnetic responses of bare Nb_3Sn wire and $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire exposed to a time-varying transverse magnetic field can be obtained by solving the following equations,




FIG. 2. (a) The magnetization loop of the FM layer obtained by MPMS measurements. The fitting curve (blue) is in good agreement with the experimental data. (b) The relative permeability variations with applied magnetic field used in the numerical simulations.

$$\mu_0 (\mu_r(H) + H_x^2 f(H)) \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial t} + \mu_0 H_x H_y f(H) \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} + \rho \frac{\partial J_z}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\mu_0 (\mu_r(H) + H_y^2 f(H)) \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t} + \mu_0 H_x H_y f(H) \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial t} - \rho \frac{\partial J_z}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_x}{\partial y} = J_z \quad (3)$$

where μ_0 is the vacuum permeability, $\mu_r(H)$ the relative permeability and ρ the resistivity. $H_x(x, y)$ and $H_y(x, y)$ are the components of local magnetic field and thus the total magnetic field $H = \sqrt{H_x^2 + H_y^2}$. $f(H) = d\mu_r(H) / (H dH)$.

For the soft 1J22 FM layer, the relationship can be obtained by the magnetization measurements as shown in

Fig. 2(a). One can see that a fitting curve agrees with the experimental results quite well. Therefore, similar to Ref [33], the following relative permeability is employed in the simulation for the ferromagnetic material,

$$\mu_r(H) = 1 + 1200000 \left(1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{H}{70}\right)^{3.2}\right) \right) H^{-0.99} \quad (4)$$

The constitutive relationship between current density and electric field is given as

$$\mathbf{E} = \rho \mathbf{J} \quad (5)$$

The law of resistance in the superconducting region can be written as [34]

$$\rho_{SC} = \frac{\rho_0 \rho_{SC0}}{\rho_0 + \rho_{SC0}} \quad (6)$$

$$\rho_{SC0} = \frac{E_c}{J_c} \left(\frac{|J|}{|J_c|} \right)^{n-1} \quad (7)$$

where ρ_0 is a constant resistivity, E_c the critical electric field. The critical current density J_c is generally dependent on the temperature and local magnetic field, which can be calculated using the formulae in Appendix. The flux creep exponent n is taken as

$$n = n_0 \left(1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_c} \right)^4 \right) \left(1 - \frac{B}{B_{c2}} \right) \frac{T_c}{T} \quad (8)$$

where n_0 is the flux creep exponent at working temperature T_0 , and T_c is the critical temperature, B_{c2} is the upper critical magnetic field. The magnetic field B is taken as

$$B = \mu_0 \mu_r H \quad (9)$$

In order to study the thermomagnetic instability, the electromagnetic equations should be solved by coupling the heating diffusion equations. The heat transport in the system is governed by the equation,

$$c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T) + \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}$ is the Joule heating source. The heat diffusion equation can be solved by considering the following heat exchange boundary condition

$$-\kappa (\nabla T \cdot \mathbf{n}) = h(T - T_0) \quad (11)$$

where c , κ , h are the specific heat, thermal conductivity and heat transfer coefficient, respectively. The thermal parameters are assumed to be proportional to T^3 , i.e., $c = c_0 (T/T_0)^3$, $\kappa = \kappa_0 (T/T_0)^3$, $h = h_0 (T/T_0)^3$ [35].

The coupling equations above can be solved by PDE mode in Comsol Multiphysics [36, 37]. In our numerical simulations, the electromagnetic parameters are $J_{c0} = 4 \times 10^{10} \text{ A} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$, $T_0 = 4.2 \text{ K}$, $T_c = 18.2 \text{ K}$, $n_0 = 15$,




FIG. 3. (a-d) Experimental magnetization curves of bare Nb₃Sn wire (sample A) and hybrid Nb₃Sn wire wrapped by FM layer with thickness of 0.1-0.3 mm (sample B-D) exposed to a transversal magnetic field with a ramping rate of 0.02 T/s by MPMS measurements at 4.2 K. (e-h) Simulated magnetization for sample A-D under the same parameters with experiments.

$E_c = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ V/m, $\rho_{air} = 1 \Omega \cdot m$, $\rho_{SC} = 2.5 \times 10^{-7} \Omega \cdot m$, $\rho_{Cu} = 3 \times 10^{-10} \Omega \cdot m$, $\rho_{FM} = 9 \times 10^{-7} \Omega \cdot m$. After calculation: the temperature of Nb₃Sn is the same as that of Cu, the thermal conductivity of Nb₃Sn has no effect on the simulation results, and the thermal conductivity of Nb₃Sn and Cu is the same. The thermal parameters are $\kappa_{SC0} = 500$ W/(m·K), $\kappa_{Cu0} = 500$ W/(m·K), $\kappa_{FM0} = 110$ W/(m·K), $c_{SC0} = 560$ J/(K·m³), $c_{Cu0} = 560$ J/(K·m³), $c_{FM} = 500$ J/(K·m³) [38–41].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. suppression of flux jumps in Nb₃Sn/FM wire exposed to a linear ramping magnetic field loop

We first start with discussing the flux jumps induced by thermomagnetic instabilities of Nb₃Sn/FM wire exposed to a magnetic field loop, i.e., 0 T → 3T → -3 T → 3 T with a constant field sweeping rate. Fig. 3(a-d) represent the experimental magnetization curves of bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/FM wire with FM layer thickness of 0.1-0.3 mm, respectively. The width of the gap between FM layer and Nb₃Sn wire is about 0.07 mm during experimental measurements. In this work, we mainly focus on the flux jumps, i.e., the sharp peaks observed in the magnetization curves. As such, the magnitude of magnetization is not important and the magnetization is normalized by the maximum value M_0 for the convenient comparison with different samples. By comparing with bare Nb₃Sn wire (Fig. 3a), we can see a most distinct characteristic of hybrid Nb₃Sn/FM wire (Fig. 3(b-d)) that the magnetization curves can only be observed in the first and third quadrants. This is attributed to the strong paramagnetism of 1J22 FM materials and thus the total magnetization M

is reversed. Importantly, as shown in Fig. 3(a), frequent flux jumps are observed in bare Nb₃Sn wire. However, for the Nb₃Sn wire wrapped by FM layer with thickness of 0.1 mm, the number of the peaks decreases and the flux jumps are suppressed, especially for the decreasing branches of magnetic fields. Moreover, it is surprising to note that the magnetization curves become quite smooth and only 4 flux jumps observed in hybrid Nb₃Sn/FM wire with FM thickness of 0.3 mm, which indicates that the thick FM layer can significantly suppress the undesired flux jumps triggered in Nb₃Sn wire.

On one hand, it is apparent that suppression of flux jumps by wrapping FM layer should first be attributed to the thermal effect because it increases the total heating capacity, which definitely enhances the thermomagnetic stability of Nb₃Sn wire exposed to a ramping magnetic fields. The main origin of the idea is based on the well-known fact that the flux jumps are thermomagnetic coupling problems. As a matter of fact, it had been demonstrated that power-doping with high specific heating capacity in Nb₃Sn wire, such as Gd₂O₃, is an effective way to suppress the flux jumps [25]. On the other hand, one could possibly argue that, besides the indirect effect, the FM layer should also have an extra impact on the flux jumps due to its electromagnetic effect. The main argument behind this belief leans on the fact that FM layer is a typical paramagnetic material and thus can directly affect the electromagnetic responses of the Nb₃Sn wire. In order to clarify the underlying physics, we performed numerical simulations for the bare Nb₃Sn wire and hybrid Nb₃Sn/FM wire exposed to the same magnetic field loop with the aforementioned magnetization experiments. As shown in Fig. 3(e-h), the simulated magnetization of bare Nb₃Sn wire and Nb₃Sn/FM wire can reproduce the experimental results quite well. For Nb₃Sn/FM system, both experimental and simulated results demonstrate that the flux jumps




FIG. 4. (a) Schematic diagrams of two same-sized Nb₃Sn/FM wire (left) and Nb₃Sn/Cu wire (right) used in the numerical simulations. (b) The magnetization curves of Nb₃Sn/Cu wire with Cu thickness of 0.1-0.6 mm. (c) The number of flux jumps as a function of the thickness of Cu and FM layer.

are triggered at the increasing branches ($0 \rightarrow \pm 3$) T more frequently than at the decreasing branches ($\pm 3 \rightarrow 0$) T.

To further explore the thermal effect and electromagnetic effect of FM layer on suppression of flux jumps, as illustrated in Fig. 4(a), we calculate the magnetization of Nb₃Sn wire with Cu layer which has the same structure size with Nb₃Sn/FM wire. Although the Cu can lead to modifications of the current streamlines over the superconducting wire after quench, it is worth noting that, as shown in Fig. 3, the magnetization of Nb₃Sn wire does not decrease to zero during the flux jumps and thus Nb₃Sn wire does not quench yet. So the current still flows in the Nb₃Sn filaments rather than in cooper during the flux jumps and this is also verified by our numerical simulations. In this case, the Cu layer only exhibits thermal effect on the flux jumps while its electromagnetic effect can be neglected. Fig. 4(b) shows the magnetization of Nb₃Sn/Cu wire with Cu layer thickness of 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 mm. One can see that the outer Cu layer also significantly suppresses the flux jumps of Nb₃Sn wire, which is analogous to the case of Nb₃Sn/FM wire. Fig. 4(c) indicates that the flux jumps are less likely to occur when both increasing the thickness of Cu layer and FM layer. Additionally, the Cu layer exhibits a slightly more powerful suppression of flux jumps due to its larger specific heating capacity of Cu as mentioned in section II. To conclude, the suppression of flux jumps by FM layer is mainly attributed to its thermal effect, while its electromagnetic effect is ineffective.

In order to deeply understand the phenomenon that seems to be against the common sense, Fig. 5 depicts the magnetic flux lines and current density distributions over the bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/FM wire and Nb₃Sn/Cu wire exposed to a lower and higher magnetic fields, respectively. In the following text, the thicknesses of FM layer and Cu layer are both fixed to be 0.3 mm in all numerical simulations unless otherwise stated. For the case of lower




FIG. 5. The simulated magnetic flux lines and current density distributions over bare Nb₃Sn wire (a, d), Nb₃Sn/Cu wire (b, e) and Nb₃Sn/FM wire (c, f) exposed to $B_a = 0.2$ T (left panels) and 3.0 T (right panels).

magnetic field, it can be found that the induced current density in bare Nb₃Sn wire and Nb₃Sn/Cu wire are nearly the same, which are much higher than that in Nb₃Sn/FM wire. Moreover, most of magnetic flux lines pass through the FM layer, which demonstrates a significant magnetic shielding effect. However, the Cu layer has no impact on the distributions of magnetic flux lines. In contrast to the low magnetic field, the impact of FM layer on the electromagnetic responses of Nb₃Sn becomes much weaker in the case of higher magnetic fields. As shown in Fig. 2, the experimental measurements show that the magnetization of 1J22 FM material is saturated and its relative permeability decreases rapidly with increasing magnetic field when $B_a > 0.25$ T. As a consequence, in the case of small ramping rate \dot{B}_a , the ferromagnetic layer has nearly no extra advantage over increasing the ratio of cooper to Nb₃Sn due to the rapid decrease of relative permeability of ferromagnetic material at higher magnetic fields.

Now we explore the case of three kinds of superconducting wires exposed to a magnetic field loop with a higher field-sweeping rate. Fig. 6 shows simulated magnetization of bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/Cu wire and Nb₃Sn/FM wire with $\dot{B}_a = 0.1$ T/s. Counting the peaks in magnetization curves, one can see that the flux jumps of Nb₃Sn/FM wire is less than that of Nb₃Sn/Cu wire, which indicates that the FM layer has better suppression of flux jumps than Cu




FIG. 6. (a-b) The simulated magnetization of bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/Cu wire and Nb₃Sn/FM wire exposed to a magnetic field with a higher field-sweeping rate of $\dot{B}_a = 0.1$ T/s for $h = 4$ and 8 W · Km⁻², respectively. The thickness of Cu and FM layers are 0.3 mm. For comparison, both cases of the Nb₃Sn/FM wire with/without thermal effect are presented.




FIG. 7. The number of flux jumps in bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/Cu wire and Nb₃Sn/FM wire triggered during one applied magnetic field loop with different ramping rate.




FIG. 8. The variation of AC magnetic field with time used in the numerical simulations and the time evolutions of simulated temperature of Nb₃Sn wire.

layer for higher field-ramping rate. It seems that the electromagnetic effect of FM layer on Nb₃Sn wire is enhanced for the cases of higher field-ramping rate. Note that it is not an accident because the similar results can also be observed by varying the heating transfer coefficient as shown in Fig. 6(b). In order to extract the electromagnetic effect of FM layer on the flux jumps, we remove the heating effect in the numerical simulations. As shown in Fig. 7, the mere electromagnetic effect of FM layer can indeed suppress the flux jumps partially. One may suppose that the suppression of flux by ferromagnetic layer depends on the field-ramping rate. Fig. 7 represents the number of flux jumps in the bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/Cu wire and Nb₃Sn/FM wire with increasing the field-ramping rate of applied magnetic field \dot{B}_a . The results demonstrate that flux jumps are more likely triggered in the three kinds of Nb₃Sn wires with increasing \dot{B}_a . It is interesting to note that the flux jumps triggered in Nb₃Sn/FM wire is less than the Nb₃Sn/Cu wire in the case of higher field-ramping rate. This demonstrates that advantage of FM layer over the Cu layer becomes more and more apparent with increasing \dot{B}_a although the FM layer and Cu layer have nearly the same suppression of flux jumps for small \dot{B}_a .

B. Suppression of flux jumps in Nb₃Sn/FM wire exposed to an AC magnetic field

In this subsection, we further explore the suppression of flux jumps in Nb₃Sn wire exposed to an AC magnetic field by FM layer. Unlike the cases of a constant field ramping rate in subsection A, the main characteristic of AC magnetic field is that the field ramping rate always varies with time, and it also depends on the present magnetic field. As shown in Fig. 8, we consider an AC magnetic field with sinusoidal variations, i.e., $B_a(t) = B_{a0}\sin(2\pi\omega t)$. The frequency ω and the amplitude B_{a0} of the AC magnetic field can be tunable in the numerical simulations. Although the signals of flux jumps are not strictly periodic with AC magnetic field, it can be found that the number of simulated flux jumps are nearly the same in each period except the initial increasing branch. Therefore, we count




FIG. 9. (a) The time evolution of temperature in bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/Cu wire and Nb₃Sn/FM wire exposed to an AC magnetic field with frequency $\omega = 0.5$ Hz and $B_{a0} = 0.75$ T. (b-d) The current density distributions over the three kinds of wires when $B_a(t) = 0.75$ T. (e-f) The local magnetic field evolutions with time at point 1 and 2 indicated in (b-d). The dashed black curves are the variations of AC magnetic field with time.

the flux jumps within a period of AC magnetic field after the initial increasing branch (see colored box in Fig. 8).

We first explore a simple case of AC magnetic field by fixing the frequency and amplitude. Fig. 9(a) shows the time evolutions of temperature in bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/Cu wire and Nb₃Sn/FM wire exposed to an AC magnetic field with $\omega = 0.5$ Hz and $B_{a0} = 0.75$ T. The averaged ramping rate of magnetic field is about 1.5 T/s, which is much greater than the field-sweeping rates investigated above. By noting that flux jumps triggered in Nb₃Sn/Cu wire is less than that in bare Nb₃Sn wire, one can see that the Cu layer can partially suppress the flux jumps, which is similar to the cases in subsection A. By contrast, it is surprising that the FM layer can completely eliminate the flux jumps. To understand the difference of thermomagnetic instabilities in three kinds of Nb₃Sn wires exposed to AC magnetic field, Fig. 9(b-d) represents the current density distributions over bare Nb₃Sn wire, Nb₃Sn/Cu wire and Nb₃Sn/FM wire when $B_a(t) = 0.75$ T, respectively. It can be observed that both bare Nb₃Sn wire and Nb₃Sn/Cu wire are completely penetrated by magnetic flux. However, the magnetic flux just partially penetrates the Nb₃Sn/FM wire. The maximum current density of Nb₃Sn/FM wire is greater than the bare




FIG. 10. (a) The number of flux jumps as a function of frequency ω in bare SC wire, SC/Cu wire and SC/FM wire exposed to AC magnetic field by fixing $B_{a0} = 0.75$ T. (b-c) The time evolutions of temperature in three kinds of wires for $\omega = 0.5$ Hz and 10 Hz, respectively. (d) The number of flux jumps as a function of amplitude of ac magnetic field by fixing $\omega = 0.5$ Hz.

Nb₃Sn wire and Nb₃Sn/Cu wire because flux jumps occur before $B_a(t) = 0.75$ T in the latter two cases. Additionally, one may expect to find more evidence from the local magnetic field to interpret the suppression of flux jumps by FM layer. Fig. 9(e-f) shows the time evolutions of two representative local magnetic field at point 1 and 2 indicated in Fig. 9(b-d). It is evident that the local magnetic field $B_{SC/FM}$ at point 1 and 2 is always much less than B_{SC} and $B_{SC/Cu}$. Therefore, the FM layer decreases not only the local magnetic fields, but also the field ramping rate.

For $B_{a0} = 0.75$ T, Fig. 10(a) indicates that the flux jumps are completely eliminated by the FM layer for all frequencies of AC magnetic field, while the flux jumps are observed in bare Nb₃Sn wire and Nb₃Sn/Cu wire. Furthermore, the number of flux jumps triggered in both bare Nb₃Sn wire and Nb₃Sn/Cu wire is not a monotonous function with frequency of AC magnetic field. This can be interpreted by the temperature. Fig. 10(b-c) shows the time evolutions of temperature in three kinds of wires for $\omega = 0.5$ Hz and $\omega = 10$ Hz, respectively. By taking the Nb₃Sn/Cu wire as an example, the temperature varies in the range of 4.2-7.6 K for $\omega = 10$ Hz (see Fig. 10c), while the maximum temperature is less than 5 K before triggering flux jumps for $\omega = 0.5$ Hz (see Fig. 10b). As studied in previous work (see Refs. [42]), the pinning effect on magnetic vortices is weakened and the critical current density is reduced at higher temperature. This leads to the magnetic flux penetrates into the superconductor easier and the magnetic pressure is not sufficiently large to triggered the flux jumps. As a consequence, the smooth flux penetrations are statistically more likely to occur and the thermomagnetic instabilities are less likely triggered in




FIG. 11. The phase diagram of flux jumps in the plane ω - B_{a0} for bare Nb_3Sn wire, $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{Cu}$ wire and $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire. The different colored curves represent the threshold frequencies as functions of amplitude of AC magnetic field.

this case. Due to the field-shielding effect of FM layer, one can observe that the temperature of $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire is much smaller than the other two kinds of wires. Although the minimum temperature is about 4.2 K in $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire for $\omega = 10$ Hz, the ramping rate of magnetic field is very small and flux jumps cannot be triggered at that time.

For the case of fixing frequency of AC magnetic field $\omega = 0.5$ Hz, Fig. 10(d) shows that the flux jumps are triggered in bare Nb_3Sn wire when the AC magnetic field amplitude $B_{a0} > 0.3$ T. By contrast, the threshold amplitude of AC magnetic field can be increased to 0.6 T and 0.8 T in $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{Cu}$ wire and $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire, respectively. On one hand, this again demonstrates that the Cu layer and FM layer can enhance the thermomagnetic stabilities of Nb_3Sn wire exposed to AC magnetic field. On the other hand, by combining with the fact that the specific heating capacity of cooper is a bit greater than that of ferromagnetic material 1J22, it also provides the evidence for that the mechanism of better suppression of flux jumps by FM layer than the Cu layer is attributed to both thermal effect and electromagnetic effect.

In the last, we explore more complicated situations by varying both amplitude and frequency of AC magnetic fields. Fig. 11 shows the phase diagram of thermomagnetic instabilities in plane ω - B_{a0} and the different colors denote the regions of flux jumps triggered in bare Nb_3Sn wire, $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{Cu}$ wire and $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire, respectively. Both lower and upper threshold frequencies can be observed in the phase diagrams, which indicates that the flux jumps can only be observed in AC magnetic fields with a specific range of frequencies by fixing the amplitude. Additionally, the threshold frequencies for all three kinds of wires depends on the amplitude of AC magnetic fields. Most importantly, the region of flux jumps for $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire is much smaller than other two kinds of wires. As a consequence, the hybrid $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire indeed has more

advantage to improve the thermomagnetic stability than bare Nb_3Sn wire and $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{Cu}$ wire when it is used in AC magnetic fields.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we experimentally and theoretically explore suppressing the undesirable low-field instabilities of high- J_c Nb_3Sn conductors by FM materials. We fabricate two kinds of sample of bare Nb_3Sn and $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ hybrid wires and implement magnetization measurements. Compared with bare Nb_3Sn wire, the experiments indicate that the flux jumps decrease significantly in $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ hybrid wires. We find that the thicker the FM layer is, the less flux jumps are observed. In order to reveal the underlying mechanism, we also implement numerical simulations. The validation of the numerical simulation are verified by reproducing the experiments quite well. We further numerically study two cases of $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ hybrid wires exposed to magnetic field loop with constant ramping rate and AC magnetic field with time-varying ramping rate, respectively. The main results are concluded as follows.

(a) When the field-sweeping rate is small, we find that the flux jumps of Nb_3Sn suppressed by FM layer are mainly attributed to the thermal effect, i.e., increasing the total heat capacity. As for higher field-sweeping rates, less flux jumps are observed in $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ hybrid wire than in $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{Cu}$ wire, which indicates that both thermal and eletromagnetic effects of FM materials are crucial to suppress the flux jumps in this case.

(b) For $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ hybrid wires exposed to AC magnetic field, it is found that the flux jumps can be suppressed completely, which depends on the amplitude and frequency of AC magnetic field. The local magnetic field inside $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ hybrid wire and the temperature rise is significantly smaller than that in bare Nb_3Sn wire and $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{Cu}$ wire. We further build up a phase diagram in the plane ω - B_{a0} for bare Nb_3Sn wire, $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{Cu}$ wire and $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire, respectively. The region of flux jumps in $\text{Nb}_3\text{Sn}/\text{FM}$ wire is much smaller than other two kinds of wires, which demonstrates that the FM materials exhibit much better suppression of low-field instabilities than merely increasing the heat capacity.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge support by the the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12372210, 11972298, 12174242).

Appendix

The critical current density J_c is generally dependent on the temperature and local magnetic field, which can

be expressed as [43, 44]

$$J_c(B, T) = J_c(B, T_0) \frac{\left(1 + \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^2\right)^{-0.5} \left(1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^2\right)^{2.5}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{T_0}{T_c}\right)^2\right)^{-0.5} \left(1 - \left(\frac{T_0}{T_c}\right)^2\right)^{2.5}} \quad (12)$$

with

$$J_c(B, T_0) = \frac{b}{A} \left(\frac{B}{B_{c2}}\right)^{-p} \left(1 - \frac{B}{B_{c2}}\right)^q \quad (13)$$

where $a = 25$, $b = 7731.5$, $p = 0.4$, $q = 3.12$, $A = 6.65 \times 10^{-7}$.

-
- [1] Lee P J, Larbalestier D C 2008 *Cryogenics* **48** 283-292
- [2] Vostner A, Salpietro E 2006 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **19** S90
- [3] Jewell M C, Godeke A, Lee P J and Larbalestier D C 2004 *AIP Conf Proc* **711** 474-484
- [4] Godeke A, Jewell M C, Fischer C M, Squitieri A A, Lee P J and Larbalestier D C 2005 *J. Appl. Phys.* **97**
- [5] Xu X C 2017 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **30** 093001
- [6] Ferracin P, Ambrosio G, Arbelaez D, Brouwer L, Barzi E, Cooley L, et al. 2022 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **32** 4000906
- [7] Wertheimer M R, Gilchrist J G 1967 *J Phys Chem Solids* **28** 2509-2524
- [8] Bruzzone P, March S, Sedlak K, Stepanov B, Wesche R and Uglietti D 2014 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **25** 1-4
- [9] Zlobin A V, Andreev N, Apollinari G, Auchmann B, Barzi E, Izquierdo Bermudez S, et al. 2014 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **25** 1-9
- [10] Feher S, Bossert R C, Ambrosio G, Andreev N, Barzi E, Carcagno R, et al. 2007 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **17** 1126-1129
- [11] Pong I, Jewell M C, Bordini B, Oberli L R, Liu S, Long F, et al. 2012 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **22** 4802606-4802606
- [12] Zlobin A V, Kashikhin V V, Barzi E 2006 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **16** 1308-1311
- [13] Glowacki B A 2005 *Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg* 697-738
- [14] Dietderich D R, Bartlett S E, Caspi S, Ferracin P, Gourlay S A, Higley H C, et al. 2005 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **15** 1524-1528
- [15] Orris D F, Carcagno R, Feher S, Makulski A and Pischalnikov Y M 2005 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **15** 1205-1208
- [16] Kashikhin V V, Zlobin A V 2005 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **15** 1621-1624
- [17] Barzi E, Andreev N, Kashikhin V V, Turrioni D and Zlobin A V 2005 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **15** 1537-1540
- [18] Ghosh A K, Cooley L D, Moodenbaugh A R, Parrell J A, Field M B, Zhang Y and Hong S 2005 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **15** 3494-7
- [19] Cooley L D, Chang P S and Ghosh A K 2007 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **17** 2706-9
- [20] Ghosh A K, Sperry E A, Cooley L D, Moodenbaugh A M, Sabatini R L and Wright J L 2004 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **18** L5
- [21] Field M B, Zhang Y Z, Miao H P, Gerace M and Parrell J A 2013 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **24** 1-5
- [22] Wilson M N 1983 *Oxford: Oxford University Press* pp 139-41
- [23] Goldfarb R B, Goodrich L F, Pyon T, and Gregory E 2001 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **11** 3679-3682
- [24] Xu X, Sumption M D, Collings E W 2014 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **27** 095009
- [25] Xu X, Li P, Zlobin A V, and Peng X 2018 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **31** 03LT02
- [26] Mawatari Y 2008 *Phys. Rev. B* **77** 104505
- [27] He A, Xue C, Yong H D and Zhou Y H 2013 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **27** 025004
- [28] Prigozhin L, Sokolovsky V 2023 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **33** 1-10
- [29] Shaw G, Brisbois J, Pinheiro L, Müller J, Blanco Alvarez S, Devillers T, et al. 2018 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **89**
- [30] Wang R F, Mei Z L, Cui T J. 2013 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**
- [31] Gömöry F, Solovyov M, Šouc J, Navau C, Prat-Camps J and Sanchez A 2012 *Science* **335** 1466-1466
- [32] Nguyen D N, Ashworth S P, Willis J O, Sirois F and Grilli F 2009 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **23** 025001
- [33] Liu G L, Zhang G M and Jing L W 2019 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **32** 055002
- [34] Morandi A, Fabbri M 2014 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **28** 024004
- [35] Vestgården J I, Shantsev D V, Galperin Y M and Johansen T H 2011 *Phys. Rev. B* **84** 054537
- [36] Brambilla R, Grilli F, Martini L 2006 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **20** 16
- [37] Hong Z, Campbell A M, Coombs T A 2006 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **19** 1246
- [38] Iwasa Y 2009 *Springer science & business media*
- [39] Koettig T, Maciocha W, Bermudez S, Rysti J, Tavares S, Cacherat F and Bremer J 2017 *IOP Conf. Series: Mater. Sci. Eng* **171** 012103
- [40] Kemp W R G, Klemens P G, White G K. 1956 *Australian Journal of Physics* **9** 180-188
- [41] Dixon M, Hoare F E, Holden T M and Moody D E 1965 *Proc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **285** 561-580
- [42] Jiang L, Xue C, Burger L, Vanderheyden B, Silhanek A V and Zhou Y H 2020 *Phys. Rev. B* **101** 224505
- [43] Müller K H 1989 *Physica C Supercond* **159** 717-726
- [44] Griessen R 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett* **64** 1674