# ON THE RATIONAL INVARIANTS OF QUANTUM SYSTEMS OF $n$-QUBITS 


#### Abstract

LUCA CANDELORI ${ }^{1}$, VLADIMIR Y. CHERNYAK ${ }^{1,2}$, JOHN R. KLEIN ${ }^{1}$

Abstract. For an $n$-qubit system, a rational function on the space of mixed states which is invariant with respect to the action of the group of local symmetries may be viewed as a detailed measure of entanglement. We give two proofs that the field of all such invariant rational functions is purely transcendental over the complex numbers and has transcendence degree $4^{n}-3 n-1$. An explicit transcendence basis is also exhibited.
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## 0. Introduction

A physical system is often described in terms of three notions: states, observables and dynamics. We shall consider here the case of quantum systems consisting of $n$ parties, in which each party is in possession of a qubit, i.e., a two dimensional complex vector space. The qubits

[^0]are not permitted to interact. Stated differently, each qubit is allowed to evolve independently of the other qubits by means of its owner. Mathematically, this means that the time evolution of the system is a one-parameter family of local unitary transformations.

From the point-of-view of this paper, entanglement occurs when one considers the problem of what the parties can or cannot do to transform one state into another one. A solution to this problem amounts to describing the orbit structure of the state space with respect to action of the group of local unitary transformations, the latter which is isomorphic to a product of $n$-copies of the Lie group $\mathrm{U}(2)$. Consequently, any reasonable measure of entanglement should be a function on the space of orbits. In particular, the most detailed measure of entanglement is given by considering functions on the state space which are invariant with respect to the group of local unitary transformations.

In addition, one observes that the action of the local unitary group is trivial on the subgroup given by $n$-copies of the group of uni-modular transformations. Hence, the orbit structure is defined by the action of an $n$-fold product of projective unitary groups $\mathrm{PU}(2) \cong \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$. However, from the viewpoint of algebraic geometry, it is usually simpler to work over the algebraically closed field of complex numbers. In this instance, one needs to complexify the space of states as well as the symmetry group, resulting in an action of an $n$-fold product of copies of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ acting the state space of trace one endomorphisms of the tensor product of the $n$-qubits. If one wishes to recover measures of entanglement over the real numbers, it is necessary to keep track of the real structure on the complexification (for an alternative approach, see [3]).
0.1. The rationality problem. If one restricts the class of invariant functions to be polynomials, or more generally, rational functions, then the problem of determining such measures of entanglement may be attacked using the machinery of geometric invariant theory.

Suppose $G$ is a reductive algebraic group and $W$ is a finite dimensional $G$ representation. Then a fundamental question is whether or not the field $\mathbb{C}(W)^{G}$, i.e., the $G$-invariant rational functions on $W$, is a purely transcendental extension of $\mathbb{C}$. If it is, then we say $\mathbb{C}(W)^{G}$ is purely rational. If $G$ is connected, then a surprising fact is that there is no known example of a $G$-module $W$ for which $\mathbb{C}(W)^{G}$ not purely rational [1, p. 6].

In this paper we solve the rationality problem in the affirmative in the case when $W$ is space of (mixed) states associated with a quantum system on $n$-qubits.
0.2. The main result. Let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ denote $n$-qubits and let

$$
\mathscr{L}_{n}:=\mathscr{L}_{n}\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right)
$$

denote the affine space consisting of all trace one endomorphisms of the tensor product $V_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{n}$. This is the space of $L$-states (or mixed states) on $n$-qubits.

For a vector space $V$, let $\operatorname{PGL}(V)$ denote the associated projective linear group. Then the Lie group

$$
G=\operatorname{PGL}\left(V_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{PGL}\left(V_{n}\right)
$$

acts on $\mathscr{L}_{n}$ by conjugating endomorphisms.
The following, which is our main result, settles the rationality problem for $G$ acting on $\mathscr{L}_{n}$.

Theorem A. The field $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathscr{L}_{n}\right)^{G}$ is purely rational and has transcendence degree $4^{n}-3 n-1$ over the complex numbers.

Remark 0.1. To the best of our knowledge, Theorem A does not directly follow from known results on the rationality problem [1], [4].

If $n=2$, then Theorem A appears in [2, thm. VIII.1]. The proof given there relies on two ingredients: (i) the existence of a rational section (or slice) for the action on which a discrete semi-simple finite abelian Weyl group acts, and (ii) the "No-Name Lemma" [5], the latter which is a tool used to identify the field of rational invariants of the total space of a $G$-linearized vector bundle whose base space is a $G$-torsor. The proof proceeds by means of determining the algebraic quotient of the action of the Weyl group on the rational section.

In this paper, we provide two proofs of Theorem A. Our first proof dispenses with a choice of rational section altogether and is more direct. An added feature of the first proof is that it enables one to construct an explicit transcendence basis for $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathscr{L}_{n}\right)^{G}$. This is accomplished in §4. Our second proof is similar in spirit to the proof of [2, thm. VIII.1] in that it uses both the No-Name Lemma and a judicious choice of rational section.

Outline. In $\S 1$ we provide an alternative description of the space $\mathscr{L}_{n}$, called the Bloch model, which expresses a mixed state in terms of its
set of correlation functions. In $\S 2$ we briefly discuss geometric invariant theory in the affine case [7, ch. $1 \S 2$ ]. Then $\S 3$ contains a proof of Theorem A. In $\S 4$, we provide a transcendence basis for $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathscr{L}_{n}\right)^{G}$. Lastly, $\S 5$ sketches our second proof of Theorem A.
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## 1. States and observables

The purpose of this section is to describe the state space $\mathscr{L}_{n}$, the associated observables, as well as the kinds of correlation functions that arise in considering quantum systems of $n$-qubits. We also explain how the complexified local unitary group acts on $\mathscr{L}_{n}$.

A qubit is a complex vector space of dimension two. If $V$ is a qubit, then we write

$$
\mathscr{V}:=\mathfrak{s l}(V)
$$

for the Lie algebra of traceless endomorphisms of $V$. The analytical scalar product $\mathscr{V} \otimes \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by the Killing form

$$
\langle A, B\rangle:=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(A B),
$$

in which $\operatorname{tr}$ denotes the trace of an operator. When $A=B$, we will resort to the notation $\|A\|^{2}:=\langle A, A\rangle$.

The vector product

$$
[-,-]: \mathscr{V} \otimes \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}
$$

is the Lie bracket $[A, B]=A B-B A$. Then the triple scalar product

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{3} \mathscr{V} & \cong \\
A \wedge B \wedge C & \mapsto\langle[A, B], C\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

equips $\mathscr{V}$ with a canonical orientation.
Remark 1.1. A choice of ordered basis for $V$ induces an isomorphism of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathscr{V}$. Then $\mathscr{V}$ is equipped with a positively oriented ordered basis of Pauli matrices

$$
\sigma_{x}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \sigma_{y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), \sigma_{z}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\operatorname{SO}(\mathscr{V})$ be the special orthogonal group of $\mathscr{V}$, i.e., the group of orientation preserving isometries $\mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}$.

Remark 1.2. Let $\operatorname{PGL}(V)=\mathrm{GL}(V) / \mathbb{C}^{\times}$denote the projective general linear group of the qubit $V$. Then the action $\operatorname{PGL}(V) \times \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}$ defined by conjugating traceless endomorphisms is orientation preserving and preserves the analytical scalar product. Hence, the adjoint of this action defines a canonical isomorphism of Lie groups

$$
\operatorname{PGL}(V) \cong \mathrm{SO}(\mathscr{V}) .
$$

1.1. L-states. For a vector space $V$, we let $\operatorname{End}(V)$ denote the vector space of endomorphisms $V \rightarrow V$. Let $\bar{n}=\{1, \ldots n\}$. Fix $n$ qubits $V_{1}, \ldots V_{n}$. Then associated with each $V_{j}$ we have $\mathscr{V}_{j}$ as above.

For $I \subset \bar{n}$ we set

$$
V_{I}=\bigotimes_{i \in I} V_{i}, \quad \mathscr{V}_{I}=\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathscr{V}_{i} .
$$

We define the space of $L$-states on $n$-qubits

$$
\mathscr{L}_{n}:=\mathscr{L}\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\bar{n}}\right)
$$

as the codimension one affine subspace consisting of the trace one endomorphisms of $V_{\bar{n}}=V_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{n}$. We refer to vectors $\rho \in \mathscr{L}_{n}$ as L-states; these are also known as the mixed states of the quantum system. Note that as an algebraic variety, $\mathscr{L}_{n}$ is isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{4^{n}-1}$.

We set $G_{j}=\operatorname{PGL}\left(V_{j}\right) \cong \mathrm{SO}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}\right)$ and define

$$
G:=\prod_{j=1}^{n} G_{j} \cong \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{SO}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}\right) .
$$

Then $G$ acts on $\mathscr{L}_{n}$ by conjugating endomorphisms. Moreover, $G$ is the complexification of the group of local unitary transformations.
1.2. Observables. The space $\Omega$ of (global) observables is the vector space $\operatorname{End}\left(V_{\bar{n}}\right)$. Given an observable $A$, the expected value of measuring $A$ in an L-state $\rho$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{tr}(A \rho) \in \mathbb{C}
$$

A local observable at $j \in \bar{n}$ is an element of $\mathscr{V}_{j}$. A local observable $A \in \mathscr{V}_{j}$ determines a global observable by forming the tensor product $A \otimes \mathrm{id}$, where id is the identity operator of $V_{\bar{n} \backslash\{i\}}$.

More generally, let

$$
\binom{\bar{n}}{k}
$$

denote the space of subsets of of $\bar{n}$ of cardinality $k$. Then one has a vector bundle

$$
E_{k} \rightarrow\binom{\bar{n}}{k}
$$

whose fiber at $I \in\binom{\bar{n}}{k}$ is $\mathscr{V}_{I}$. A $k$-point observable at the configuration $I$ is an element of $\mathscr{V}_{I}$. A $k$-point observable at $I$ determines a global observable by tensoring it with the identity operator of $\mathscr{V}_{\bar{n} \backslash I}$.

Remark 1.3. It is customary to identify a $k$-point observable with its associated global observable.
1.3. Correlation functions. For a given $\rho \in \mathscr{L}_{n}$ and a choice of section $s:\binom{\bar{n}}{k} \rightarrow E_{k}$, we obtain a function $\hat{s}:\binom{\bar{n}}{k} \rightarrow \Omega$ which assigns to $I$ the global observable associated with $s(I)$. A section $s$ is said to be supported at $I$ if it vanishes whenever $J \neq I$. In this case, specifying $s$ is equivalent to specifying a $k$-point observable at $I$. Moreover, to any section $s$ we may associate a section supported at $I$, namely, the one associated with the observable $s(I)$.

The $k$-point correlation function of $\rho$ associated with a section $s$ is the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{\bar{n}}{k} \xrightarrow{a(\rho, s)} \mathbb{C}, \\
I \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(\hat{s}(I) \rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

which describes the expected values of a family of $k$-point observables. We note that $a(\rho, s)(I)$ only depends on $\rho$ and the $k$-point observable $s(I)$.

If we fix $\rho$ and $I$, then the assignment $s \mapsto a(\rho, s)(I)$ defines a linear functional $\mathscr{V}_{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Consequently, we have defined a function

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{L}_{n} & \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{I}^{*} \\
\rho & \mapsto(s \mapsto a(\rho, s)), \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

which assigns to an $L$-state the totality of its $k$-point correlation functions evaluated at $I$.

The vector space of sections of $E_{k} \rightarrow\binom{\bar{n}}{k}$ supported at $I$, i.e., the space $\mathscr{V}_{I}$, admits a basis consisting of $3^{k}$ vectors: Choose an ordered basis for each $V_{i}$. Then each $\mathscr{V}_{i}$ inherits a basis of Pauli matrices $\left\{\sigma_{x}^{i}, \sigma_{y}^{i}, \sigma_{z}^{i}\right\}$ and $\mathscr{V}_{I}$ has an associated basis given by $3^{k}$ basic tensors of the form

$$
\sigma_{I, \phi}:=\otimes_{i \in I}^{\otimes} \sigma_{\phi(i)}^{i}
$$

where $\phi: I \rightarrow\{x, y, z\}$ ranges over all functions.
If we let $I$ and $k$ vary, then it is straightforward to check that $\rho$ is completely determined by the collection of $4^{n}-1$ correlations $a(\rho, s)$, where $s$ varies through the sections supported on any non-empty finite subset of $\bar{n}$.

Example $1.4(n=2)$. In this instance, for a given $\rho$ it is convenient to display the correlation functions as follows: Set $\sigma_{0}=I, \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{2}=$ $\sigma_{y}, \sigma_{3}=z$, and set $\rho_{i j}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{j}\right) \rho\right)$. Then we obtain a $4 \times 4$ complex matrix of correlations

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
1 & \rho_{01} & \rho_{02} & \rho_{03} \\
\hline \rho_{10} & \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} \\
\rho_{20} & \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} & \rho_{23} \\
\rho_{30} & \rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & \rho_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in which $\rho_{00}=\operatorname{tr}(\rho)=1$. In the above, the displayed $1 \times 3$ and $3 \times 1$ blocks list the one-point correlations, and the $3 \times 3$ block lists the twopoint correlations.
1.4. The Bloch model. There is an alternative way of describing Lstates that is equivalent to the correlation function description. The idea is to implement the identifications $\operatorname{End}\left(V_{i}\right) \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathscr{V}_{i}$. These induce a canonical decomposition

$$
\Omega \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset \mathbf{n}} \mathscr{V}_{I}
$$

Moreover, the constraint that an endomorphism be of trace one on the left corresponds to excising the summand $\mathscr{V} \not{ }_{\emptyset}$ on the right.

Definition 1.5. The Bloch model for L-states on $n$-qubits is

$$
\mathscr{L}_{n}^{b}:=\bigoplus_{\emptyset \neq I \subset \mathbf{n}} \mathscr{V}_{I} .
$$

Example $1.6(n=2)$. The space $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{b}$ is given by triples

$$
\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, C\right)
$$

in which $a_{i} \in \mathscr{V}_{i}$ and $C \in \mathscr{V}_{1} \otimes \mathscr{V}_{2}$.
In summary, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}_{n} & \cong \\
\rho & \mapsto \mathscr{L}_{n}^{b}, \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.7. The action of $G$ on $\mathscr{L}_{n}^{b}$ preserves the summands $\mathscr{V}_{I}$. To determine how $G$ acts on $\mathscr{V}_{I}$, recall the canonical isomorphism

$$
G \cong \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{SO}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}\right)
$$

For $I \subset \bar{n}$, let $G_{I}=\prod_{j \in I} \mathrm{SO}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}\right)$. Then the action of $G$ on $\mathscr{V}_{I}$ is by $G_{I}$ using the projection $G \rightarrow G_{I}$.

Remark 1.8. Henceforth, we only consider the model for L-states given by $\mathscr{L}_{n}^{b}$ and we will drop the superscript from the notation.

Definition 1.9. For $I \subset \bar{n}$, the canonical projection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}_{n} & \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{I} \\
\rho & \mapsto \rho_{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

defines the $I$-component of an L-state.
Remark 1.10. With respect to the canonical isomorphism $\mathscr{V}_{I}^{*} \cong \mathscr{V}_{I}$, the map $\mathscr{L}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{I}^{*}$ of (1) coincides with the projection onto the $I$ component.

## 2. Quotients and rationality

Here we assemble some necessary results from geometric invariant theory $[7$, ch. $1 \S 2]$, [10] in the affine case. In what follows, $k$ will be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. A $k$-variety $X$ is a scheme over $k$ which is integral (i.e., irreducible and reduced) and of finite type.
2.1. Invariant functions and quotients. Let $X$ be a $k$-variety and let $G$ an affine algebraic group over $k$ acting (algebraically) on $X$. Recall that $G$ is said to be reductive if it possesses a faithful semi-simple representation over $k$. In what follows, we will always assume that $G$ is reductive.

We write the coordinate ring (i.e., the global sections of the structure sheaf) of $X$ by $k[X]$ and the associated function field by $k(X)$. We denote the ring of polynomial $G$-invariant functions on $X$ by $k[X]^{G}$, so $k[X]^{G}$ is a $k$-subalgebra of $k[X]$. Similarly, we write the field of rational $G$-invariant functions by $k(X)^{G}$.

Definition 2.1. The algebraic quotient of $X$ by $G$ is the affine scheme

$$
X / / G:=\operatorname{Spec}\left(k(X)^{G}\right)
$$

We define a rational quotient for the action of $G$ on $X$ to be a $k$-variety $Y$ which is birationally equivalent to the affine quotient. Equivalently, $k(Y) \cong k(X)^{G}$.

A fundamental question regarding the field of rational invariants $k(X)^{G}$ is whether it is purely rational, i.e., whether $k(X)^{G}$ is generated by a finite number of algebraically independent rational functions. This is equivalent to the question of whether or not there is a rational quotient for the action of $G$ on $X$ that is isomorphic to an affine space $\mathbb{A}^{m}$. If this is the case, then the transcendence degree of the field $k(X)^{G}$ over $k$ is $m$.
2.2. Rationality. A useful tool for proving rationality is the following version of descent for vector bundles along a torsor.

Proposition 2.2 (Descent [5], [10, 2.13], [4]). Let $G$ be a reductive group that acts almost freely on a k-variety $X$. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ be a $G$-linearized vector bundle. Then the algebraic quotient $E / / G$ is birationally equivalent to a vector bundle over the algebraic quotient $X / / G$.

Remark 2.3. Let $d$ be the rank of $\pi$. Then with respect to the assumptions, another formulation of Proposition 2.2 is that there is a commutative diagram of $k$-varieties with $G$-action

in which $U \subset X$ is an open subset on which $G$ acts freely, $p_{1}$ is first factor projection, $G$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{A}^{d}$, and the horizontal map is an isomorphism of vector bundles.

Note that the construction of such a diagram is equivalent to finding a basis of equivariant sections of $\pi$ along the open set $U$.

Corollary 2.4 ("No-Name Lemma" cf. [4, cor. 3.8]). There is an isomorphism of function fields

$$
k(E)^{G} \cong k\left(\mathbb{A}^{d}\right) \otimes_{k} k(X)^{G} .
$$

## 3. First proof of Theorem A

A directed graph $\Gamma$ consists of a pair of sets $\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{0}\right)$ together with a function

$$
\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right): \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{0} \times \Gamma_{0}
$$

which is one-to-one. Here $\Gamma_{1}$ is the set of (directed) edges and $\Gamma_{0}$ is the set of vertices. The function $d_{0}$ assigns to an edge its incoming vertex, and $d_{1}$ assigns to an edge its outgoing vertex.

Let $K_{n}$ denote the complete directed graph whose set of vertices is $\bar{n}$. Then $K_{n}$ has $n^{2}-n$ edges labeled by ordered pairs $(i, j)$, with $i \neq j$. For such an edge, $i$ is the incoming vertex and $j$ is the outgoing vertex. If there is no confusion, we frequently abbreviate notation and denote the edge $(i, j)$ by $i j$. Then $d_{0}(i j)=i, d_{1}(i j)=j$.

Definition 3.1. A nowhere zero vector field on $n$ vertices is a directed subgraph $\Gamma \subset K_{n}$ such that

- the set of vertices of $\Gamma$ is $\bar{n}$, and
- to each vertex $i$ of $\Gamma$, there is precisely one edge of the form $i j$, i.e., each vertex has precisely one outgoing edge.

In particular, there is a bijection between the set of vertices and the set of edges of $\Gamma$.

The second condition in the definition is equivalent to requiring $d_{0}: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{0}$ to be an isomorphism or equivalently, requiring the Euler characteristic of $\Gamma$ to be trivial.

Remark 3.2. A nowhere zero vector field is given by the cyclic graph indicated by sequence of arrows

$$
1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n \rightarrow 1
$$

In fact, nowhere zero vector fields are abundant: There is a a one-toone correspondence between nowhere zero vector fields and the set of right inverses of function $d_{0}:\left(K_{n}\right)_{1} \rightarrow\left(K_{n}\right)_{0}$. If $s$ is a right inverse, i.e. $d_{0} s=1$, then the corresponding nowhere zero vector field consists of all edges in the image of $s$. In particular, there are precisely $(n-1)^{n}$ nowhere zero vector fields on $n$ vertices.

In what follows we fix $\Gamma$, a nowhere zero vector field on $n$ vertices.
Let $\breve{V}_{i} \subset \mathscr{V}_{i}$ denote the set of vectors having non-zero squared norm, and let $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }} \subset \mathscr{L}$ denote the Zariski open subset given by those L-states $\rho$


Fig. 3.1. Nowhere zero vector fields on 6 vertices and on 3 vertices.
with the property that each one-point component $\rho_{j} \in \mathscr{V}_{j}$ has non-zero squared norm.

Label the vertices of $\Gamma$ by vectors $a_{k} \in \breve{\mathscr{V}}_{k}$, for $k=1, \ldots, n$. Then $a_{k}$ defines an orthogonal direct sum decomposition

$$
\mathscr{V}_{k}=\mathscr{V}_{k}^{\ell} \oplus \mathscr{V}_{k}^{t}
$$

in which $\mathscr{V}_{k}^{\ell}=\operatorname{span}\left(a_{k}\right)$. With respect to the choice of $a_{k}$, we call $\mathscr{V}_{k}^{\ell}$ the longitudinal of $\mathscr{V}_{k}$ and $\mathscr{V}_{k}^{t}$ the transversal of $\mathscr{V}_{k}$.

For each choice of such vertex labels, we label an edge $i j$ by the two dimensional vector space

$$
\mathscr{V}_{i j}^{\ell t}:=\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell} \otimes \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t} .
$$

In particular, $\mathscr{V}_{i j}^{\ell t}$ is canonically identified with $\operatorname{hom}\left(\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell}, \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t}\right)$ using the identification $\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell} \cong\left(\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell}\right)^{*}$ arising from the analytical scalar product.

Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the space of such labelings. Then

$$
\mathscr{B} \subset \prod_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} \breve{\mathscr{V}}_{k} \times \prod_{i j \in \Gamma_{1}} \mathscr{V}_{i} \otimes \mathscr{V}_{j}
$$

and projection onto the first factor defines a vector bundle $\mathscr{B} \rightarrow \prod_{i} \breve{\mathscr{V}}_{i}$. The fiber of this vector bundle at $a_{\bullet}:=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \prod_{i} \breve{V}_{i}$ is the direct sum

$$
\bigoplus_{i j \in \Gamma_{1}} \mathscr{V}_{i j}^{\ell t},
$$

where $\mathscr{V}_{i j}^{\ell t}$ depends on $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$.
The evident projection

$$
p: \dot{\mathscr{L}}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}
$$

is a $G$-linearized vector bundle. The fiber of $p$ is identified with the vector space

$$
F:=\bigoplus_{i j \in \Gamma_{1}, i<j}\left(\left(\mathscr{V}_{i}^{t} \otimes \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t}\right) \oplus\left(\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell} \otimes \mathscr{V}_{j}^{\ell}\right)\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{|I|>2} \mathscr{V}_{I}
$$

which has dimension $4^{n}-5 n-1$.
Lemma 3.3. There is an isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{C}\left(\mathscr{L}_{n}\right)^{G} \cong \mathbb{C}(\mathscr{F}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\mathscr{B})^{G}
$$

Proof. The group $G$ acts freely on $\mathscr{B}$, so one obtains a $G$-torsor $\mathscr{B} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{B} / G$. The result now follows by the "No-Name Lemma" [4, cor. 3.8]

Let

$$
\mathfrak{F}_{j}=\left\{(v, w) \mid v, w \in \breve{\mathscr{V}}_{j},\langle v, w\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathfrak{F}_{j}$ is a variety.
Suppose $i j$ is an edge of $\Gamma$. Given a triple $\left(a_{i}, a_{j}, C_{i j}\right) \in \breve{\mathscr{V}}_{i} \times \breve{\mathscr{V}}_{j} \times \mathscr{V}_{i j}^{\ell t}$ we may associate the vector

$$
\left.b_{j}:=\left[C_{i j}\right\lrcorner a_{i}, a_{j}\right] \in \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t} .
$$

Here $\left.C_{i j}\right\lrcorner a_{i}$ denotes contraction, and $[-,-]$ denotes the Lie bracket of $\mathscr{V}_{j}$. We define

$$
\mathscr{\mathscr { B }} \subset \mathscr{B}
$$

the Zariski open subset given by those ( $a_{\bullet}, C_{\bullet}$ ) such that $\left\|b_{j}\right\|^{2} \neq 0$ for all $j$.

Then we have a $G$-equivariant map

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{B}} & \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{F}_{j}  \tag{2}\\
\left(a_{\bullet}, C_{\bullet}\right) & \mapsto\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $G$ acts on $j$-th factor $\mathfrak{F}_{j}$ via the projection $G \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}\right)$.
Lemma 3.4. The map $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{B}} \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{F}_{j}$ is an isomorphism of schemes.
Proof. As above, we may regard a tensor $C_{i j} \in \mathscr{V}_{i j}^{\ell t}$ as a homomorphism $C_{i j}: \mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t}$. With respect this indentification the contraction with $a_{i}$ is given by the evaluation $C_{i j}\left(a_{i}\right)$.

Let $\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right) \in \mathfrak{F}_{j}$ be given. Then a homomorphism $\mathscr{V}_{j}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t}$ is completely determined by its value at $a_{j} \in \mathscr{V}_{j}^{\ell}$. Moreover, the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{V}_{j}^{t} & \rightarrow \operatorname{hom}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}^{\ell}, \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t}\right) \\
v & \mapsto\left(a_{j} \mapsto\left[v, a_{j}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces. In particular, the homomorphism determined by $a_{j} \mapsto b_{j}$ corresponds under this isomorphism to an element $c \in \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t}$ which has the property that $\left[c, a_{j}\right]=b_{j}$. Setting $C_{i j}\left(a_{j}\right):=c$, we obtain a unique homomorphism $C_{i j}: \mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t}$ that satisfies $\left[C_{i j}\left(a_{i}\right), a_{j}\right]=b_{j}$.

Next, given $\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right) \in \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{F}_{j}$, we obtain $C_{i j}$ for all edges of $\Gamma$ satisfying $\left[C_{i j}\left(a_{i}\right), a_{j}\right]=b_{j}$ This recipe defines the inverse homomorphism $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{F}_{j} \rightarrow \stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{B}}$.

In view of the lemma, it suffices to identify a rational quotient for the action of $G$ on $\prod_{j} \mathfrak{F}_{j}$. As $G$ acts on the factor $\mathfrak{F}_{j}$ via the projection $G \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}\right)$, it will suffice to identify a rational quotient for $G_{j}:=$ $\mathrm{SO}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}\right)$ acting on $\mathscr{F}_{j}$.

Let $\mathbb{G}_{m}=\mathbb{C}^{\times}$denote the multiplicative group. Consider the $G$ invariant map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{F}_{j} & \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m} \\
\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right) & \mapsto\left(\left\|a_{j}\right\|^{2},\left\|b_{j}\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.5. The $\operatorname{map} \mathfrak{F}_{j} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}$ is $G_{j}$-torsor. Consequently, a rational quotient for the action of $G$ on $\mathscr{B}$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{G}_{m}^{\times 2 n}
$$

Proof. Fix a pair $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{F}_{j}$ with the property that $\|a\|^{2}=1=\|b\|^{2}$. Let $U=\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}$ and let

$$
\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}
$$

be the map $(r, s) \mapsto\left(r^{2}, s^{2}\right)$. Then $\phi$ is a étale cover. Define a map

$$
\tilde{\phi}: G_{j} \times U \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{j}
$$

by $(A, r, s) \mapsto(r A a, s A b)$. Then $\tilde{\phi}$ defines a trivialization of $\mathfrak{F}_{j} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}$ along $\phi$. The proves the first part.

As to the second part, we infer that a rational quotient $\mathfrak{F}_{j} / / G_{j}$ is given by $\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}$. Consequently, using Lemma 3.4, a rational quotient for
the action of $G$ on $\mathscr{B}$ is given by

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{F}_{j} / / G_{j} \cong \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}\right)=\mathbb{G}_{m}^{\times 2 n}
$$

Proof of Theorem A. By Lemma 3.3 there is an isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{C}(\mathscr{L})^{G} \cong \mathbb{C}(\mathscr{F}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\mathscr{B})^{G}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}(\mathscr{F})$ is purely rational with transcendence degree $4^{n}-5 n-1$ over $\mathbb{C}$. By Proposition 3.5, $\mathbb{C}(\mathscr{B})^{G} \cong \mathbb{C}(\mathscr{B} / / G)$ is purely rational of transcendence degree $2 n$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Hence the displayed tensor product has transcendence degree $4^{n}-3 n-1$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

## 4. An explicit transcendence basis

Above we described a transcendence basis of $\mathbb{C}(\mathscr{B})^{G}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ consisting of $2 n$ invariants. Using the injective homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{C}(\mathscr{B})^{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left(\mathscr{L}_{n}\right)^{G}
$$

we obtain $2 n$ algebraically independent elements of $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathscr{L}_{n}\right)^{G}$. We will show how to extend this to a transcendence basis of $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathscr{L}_{n}\right)^{G}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ by introducing $4^{n}-5 n-1$ additional invariants.

Let $R=\mathbb{C}\left[\mathscr{L}_{n}\right]$ be the coordinate ring of $\mathscr{L}_{n}$ and observe that vector space $\mathscr{V}_{I}$ is equipped with an analytical scalar product.

For each subset $I \subset \bar{n}$, the canonical projection

$$
a_{I}: \mathscr{L}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{I}
$$

corresponds to an element

$$
a_{I}^{*} \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathscr{V}_{I}, R\right) \cong \mathscr{V}_{I} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R
$$

where in the above isomorphism we have implicitly used the analytical scalar product.

First consider the case when $|I| \geq 3$. Then the analytical scalar product induces a $G$-invariant pairing

$$
\left(\mathscr{V}_{I} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R\right) \otimes\left(\mathscr{V}_{I} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R\right) \xrightarrow{\langle-,-\rangle} R
$$

Consider the functions

$$
a_{j, r}: \mathscr{L}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{j}, \quad j \in \bar{n}, r \in\{0,1,2\}
$$

defined by

$$
\left.a_{j, 0}(\rho):=\rho_{j}, \quad a_{j, 1}(\rho):=\left[\rho_{j k}\right\lrcorner \rho_{k}, \rho_{j}\right], \quad a_{j, 2}(\rho):=\left[\rho_{j}, a_{j, 1}(\rho)\right],
$$

where $j k \in \Gamma_{1}$ is the unique edge that points away from the vertex $j$. Given a function $\phi: I \rightarrow\{0,1,2\}$, we may form the function

$$
a_{I, \phi}:=\bigotimes_{j \in I} a_{j, \phi(j)}: \mathscr{L}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{I}
$$

Then $a_{I, \phi}$ corresponds to an element $a_{I, \phi}^{*} \in \mathscr{V}_{I} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R$.
Applying the pairing, we obtain a $G$-invariant element

$$
\theta_{I, \phi}:=\left\langle a_{I}^{*}, a_{I, \phi}^{*}\right\rangle \in R .
$$

If $I$ is fixed of cardinality $r$, then there are $3^{r}$ such invariants corresponding to the number of functions $\phi$. Hence, if $I \in\binom{\bar{n}}{r}$ is allowed to vary, there are a total $\binom{n}{r} 3^{r}$ such invariants.

If $|I|=2$ the above construction is to be modified. Suppose $I=$ $\{i, j\}$. For $\rho \in \mathscr{L}_{n}$, to avoid clutter we write $C:=\rho_{i j}$. Set

$$
\left.a_{j}:=\rho_{j}, \quad b_{j}:=[C\lrcorner a_{i}, a_{j}\right], \quad c_{j}:=\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right]
$$

Then we obtain five invariants associated with $I$ :

- four invariants $C_{r s}^{t t}$ indexed over $r, s \in\{1,2\}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{11}^{t t}=\left\langle C b_{i}, b_{j}\right\rangle, & C_{12}^{t t}=\left\langle C b_{i}, c_{j}\right\rangle, \\
C_{21}^{t t}=\left\langle C c_{i}, b_{j}\right\rangle, & C_{22}^{t t}=\left\langle C c_{i}, c_{j}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

- one invariant $C^{\ell \ell}:=\left\langle C a_{i}, a_{j}\right\rangle$.

Hence, allowing $I$ to vary through subsets of cardinality two, we obtain a total of $5\binom{n}{2}$ invariants of the above type.

Suppose now that $i j$ is a directed edge of $K_{n}$ which is not an edge of $\Gamma$. In this case we specify two invariants associated with $i j$, namely

- $\left.C_{11}^{\ell t}:=\langle C\lrcorner a_{i}, b_{j}\right\rangle$,
- $\left.C_{12}^{\ell t}:=\langle C\lrcorner a_{i}, c_{j}\right\rangle$,

As $\Gamma$ has $n$ edges, there are a total of $2\left(2\binom{n}{2}-n\right)=2 n^{2}-4 n$ such invariants.

Then the total number of distinct invariants is given by

$$
5\binom{n}{2}+2 n^{2}-4 n+\sum_{j=3}^{n}\binom{n}{j} 3^{j}=4^{n}-5 n-1
$$

Theorem 4.1. The above invariants, together with the $2 n$ invariants $\left\|a_{j}\right\|^{2},\left\|b_{j}\right\|^{2}$ for $j \in \bar{n}$, form a transcendence basis for $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathscr{L}_{n}\right)^{G}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. An inspection of the construction shows that each of $4^{n}-5 n-1$ invariants has the form $\theta_{I, \phi}=\left\langle a_{I}, a_{I, \phi}\right\rangle$.

In general each function $a_{I, \phi}$ may be interpreted as a $G$-equivariant section of the vector bundle $\mathscr{L}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}$. These sections are pairwise orthogonal by construction. When restricted to the Zariski open subset $\mathscr{B}$, they are nowhere trivial, so they define an equivariant basis of $4^{n}-5 n-1$ sections. This implies that the $4^{n}-5 n-1$ invariants $\theta_{I, \phi}$ are algebraically independent. It is also clear that adjoining the remaining $2 n$ invariants $\left\|a_{j}\right\|^{2},\left\|b_{j}\right\|^{2}$ preserves algebraic independence. The result follows.

Example $4.2(n=2)$. In this case $\mathscr{L}_{2}$ is given by the $L$-states $\rho=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, C\right)$ in which $a_{i} \in \mathscr{V}_{i}$ and $C \in \operatorname{hom}\left(\mathscr{V}_{1}, \mathscr{V}_{2}\right)$. Set

$$
b_{1}:=\left[C^{t} a_{2}, a_{1}\right], \quad c_{1}:=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right], \quad b_{2}:=\left[C a_{1}, a_{2}\right], \quad c_{2}:=\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right] .
$$

Define $\breve{\mathscr{L}}_{2} \subset \mathscr{L}_{2}$ be the Zariski open subset consisting of those $\rho=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, C\right)$ such that

- $\left\|a_{1}\right\|^{2} \neq 0 \neq\left\|a_{2}\right\|^{2}$;
- $\left\|b_{1}\right\|^{2} \neq 0 \neq\left\|b_{2}\right\|^{2}$.

If $\rho \in \breve{\mathscr{L}}_{2}$ then $\left\{b_{i}, c_{i}\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis for the transversal subspace $\mathscr{V}_{i}^{t}$ and $a_{i}$ is a basis for the longitudinal subspace $\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell}$. A transcendence basis for $\mathbb{C}\left(\breve{L}_{2}\right)^{G}=\mathbb{C}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}\right)^{G}$ is defined by the nine invariants

- $\left\|a_{1}\right\|^{2},\left\|a_{2}\right\|^{2},\left\|b_{1}\right\|^{2},\left\|b_{2}\right\|^{2}$,
- $C_{r s}^{t t}$, for $r, s \in\{1,2\}$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{11}^{t t}=\left\langle C b_{1}, b_{2}\right\rangle, & C_{12}^{t t}=\left\langle C b_{1}, c_{2}\right\rangle, \\
C_{21}^{t t}=\left\langle C c_{1}, b_{2}\right\rangle, & C_{22}^{t t}=\left\langle C c_{1}, c_{2}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

- $C^{\ell \ell}=\left\langle C a_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle$.


## 5. Second proof of Theorem A

The second proof of Theorem A relies on the notion of a rational section for the action of $G$ on $\mathscr{L}_{n}$.
5.1. Rational sections. Let $G$ act on a variety $X$. The normalizer of a subvariety $S \subset X$ is the subgroup $N \subset G$ defined by $\{g \in G \mid g S \subseteq S\}$. Then centralizer is the normal subgroup $C \subset N$ consisting of those elements of $G$ which fixes $S$ pointwise. The Weyl group is the quotient $W=N / C$.

Definition 5.1 ([10, §2.8]). We say a subvariety $S \subseteq X$ is a rational section (with respect to $G$ ) if there exists a dense open subset $S_{0} \subset S$ such that
(1) The Zariski closure of the orbit of $S$ is $X$, i.e., $\overline{G S}=X$.
(2) If $g \in G$ and $g S_{0} \cap S_{0} \neq \emptyset$, then $g \in N$.

It is not hard to see if $S \subseteq X$ is a rational section then the restriction $\mathbb{C}[X]^{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[S]^{W}$ is injective. The latter induces an field homomorphism $\mathbb{C}(X)^{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(S)^{W}$. In fact,
Proposition 5.2 ([10, p. 161]). Let $S \subseteq X$ be a rational section with respect to $G$. Then the restriction homomorphism $k(X)^{G} \rightarrow k(S)^{W}$ is an isomorphism.

We now turn to the second proof of Theorem A. We first describe a rational section for the action of $G$ on $\mathscr{L}_{n}$. This will depend on a fixed choice of nowhere zero vector field $\Gamma$ as well as a fixed choice of one-dimensional subspaces

$$
L_{i} \subset \mathscr{V}_{i}, \quad i \in \bar{n}
$$

with the property that $L_{i}$ is spanned by a vector having non-zero squared norm.

Having fixed the lines $L_{i}$, we employ the following change in notation:
Notation 5.3. If $\rho \in \mathscr{L}_{n}$ is an L-state such that $\rho_{i} \in L_{i}$, and $i j \in \Gamma_{1}$, we set $C_{i j}:=\rho_{i j}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a_{i}:=\rho_{i}, \quad b_{i}:=\left[a_{i}, C_{i j}\right\lrcorner a_{j}\right], \quad c_{i}=\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ are mutually orthogonal vectors in $\mathscr{V}_{i}$. In particular, they form an orthogonal basis when $\left\|a_{i}\right\|^{2},\left\|b_{i}\right\|^{2} \neq 0$.

If we set
$\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell}:=\operatorname{span}\left(a_{i}\right), \quad \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{-}}=\operatorname{span}\left(b_{i}\right), \quad \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{+}}=\operatorname{span}\left(c_{i}\right), \quad \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t}=\operatorname{span}\left(b_{i}, c_{i}\right)$, then $\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell}, \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{-}}, \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{+}}$are mutually orthogonal subspaces of $\mathscr{V}_{i}$ and

$$
\mathscr{V}_{i}^{t}=V_{i}^{t_{-}} \oplus \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{+}} .
$$

Furthermore, if $\left\|a_{i}\right\|^{2},\left\|b_{i}\right\|^{2} \neq 0$, then $\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell}, \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{-}}, \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{+}}$are one-dimensional and span $\mathscr{V}_{i}$.

Definition 5.4. With respect to $\Gamma$ and $L_{\bullet}=\left(L_{1}, \ldots L_{n}\right)$ as above, let

$$
S:=S\left(\Gamma, L_{\bullet}\right) \subset \mathscr{L}_{n}
$$

denote the complex vector space consisting of all L-states $\rho$ such that

- $\rho_{i} \in L_{i}$ for all $i \in \bar{n}$, and
- $\left.\left\langle\left[C_{i j}\right\lrcorner a_{i}, a_{j}\right], c_{j}\right\rangle=0$, for $i j \in \Gamma_{1}$, i.e., $\left.\left[C_{i j}\right\lrcorner a_{i}, a_{j}\right] \in \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t-}$.

In the above definition, we have used the notation of (3).
Proposition 5.5. The vector space $S$ is a rational section for the action of $G$ on $\mathscr{L}_{n}$. Furthermore, the normalizer of $S$ is $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{\times n}$ and the centralizer is trivial.

Proof. We first determine the normalizer and centralizer of $S$. Recall that $G=\prod_{i} G_{i}=\prod_{i} \operatorname{SO}\left(\mathscr{V}_{i}\right)$. Let $S_{0} \subset S$ denote the Zariski open subset consisting of those $\rho \in S$ such that $\left\|a_{i}\right\|^{2},\left\|b_{i}\right\|^{2} \neq 0$ for $i \in \bar{n}$, where we have employed the notation in (3).

If $\rho \in S_{0}$, then the vectors $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ are an orthogonal frame in $\mathscr{V}_{i}$. Moreover, the action of $G$ on the frame $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ is via $G_{i}$. If $g=$ $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in G$ acts so that $g \cdot \rho \in S$, then $g_{i}$ acts by an orthogonal transformation and fixes each of the lines $\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell}, \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{-}}, \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{+}}$. As these lines span all of $\mathscr{V}_{i}$, we infer that $g_{i}= \pm I$. Hence the normalizer is $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{\times n}$. It is clear that the only element of the latter group which stabilizes the vectors $a_{i}$ is the identity element. Hence, the centralizer is trivial.

To see that $S$ is a rational section, let $\rho \in \mathscr{L}_{n}$ be an $L$-state. Then there exists $g_{i} \in G_{i}$ such that $g_{i} \cdot \rho_{i} \in L_{i}$. Hence, we may assume at the outset that $\rho_{i} \in L_{i}$.

Suppose $i j \in \Gamma_{1}$. Then $\left.\left[C_{i j}\right\lrcorner a_{i}, a_{j}\right] \in \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t}$. We may then choose $h_{j} \in$ $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}^{t}\right) \subset G_{j}$ so that $\left.h_{j} \cdot\left[C_{i j}\right\lrcorner a_{i}, a_{j}\right] \in \mathscr{V}_{j}^{t-}$. Setting $h=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$, it follows that $h \cdot \rho \in S$, so the condition (1) of Definition 5.4 is satisfied.

With $\rho \in S_{0}$ as above, suppose that for some $g \in G$, say $g=$ $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$, there is $\rho^{\prime} \in S_{0}$ satisfying $g \rho=\rho^{\prime}$. Then it is straightforward to check that $g_{i}$ preserves the lines $\mathscr{V}_{i}^{\ell}, \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{-}}, \mathscr{V}_{i}^{t_{+}}$so $g_{i}= \pm I$. Consequently, condition (2) of Definition 5.4 is satisfied. We conclude that $S$ is a rational section.

Second proof of Theorem A. We first observe that the vector space $S$ has dimension $4^{n}-3 n-1$. By Propositions 5.2 and 5.5 , it suffices to show that $\mathbb{C}(S)^{N}$ is rational where $N=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{\times n}$. The latter immediately follows from a result of E. Fischer [6], [4, prop. 4.13] which says that for a finite semi-simple abelian group $G$ acting linearly on a finite dimensional complex vector space $V$, the field of rational invariants $\mathbb{C}(V)^{G}$ is purely rational and has transcendence degree $\operatorname{dim} V$.
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