

Flat or crumpled: states of active symmetric membranes

Sudip Mukherjee*

Barasat Government College, 10, KNC Road, Gupta Colony, Barasat, Kolkata 700124, West Bengal, India

Abhik Basu†

Theory Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics,
1/AF Bidhannagar, Calcutta 700064, West Bengal, India

We set up and study the hydrodynamic theory for active fluid and tethered membranes. We focus on those membranes which are inversion-symmetric. We show that such membranes are either described by appropriate linear hydrodynamic equations, which are exact in the asymptotic long wavelength limit, giving stable flat phases with positional quasi long range orders, or be linearly unstable, implying crumpling of the membranes. We argue that in a such an active membrane thermal noises dominate over any active noises, and use it to calculate the correlation functions of the undulation and in-plane displacements of the membrane in the stable case, and the associated correlation functions of the embedding bulk flow velocities.

Biomembranes or cell membranes at small scales have complex structures [1]. Nonetheless, equilibrium properties of single-component membranes (i.e., those which are made of only one type of lipid molecules) at scales much larger than the typical molecular scales can be described by continuum theories, parametrised by a set of elastic constants [2, 3]. For instance, an arbitrarily large tensionless fluid membrane that has vanishing shear moduli, *cannot* remain orientationally ordered or statistically flat at non-zero temperature T , no matter how low T is [2, 4, 5]. Instead, it is *always crumpled* at any finite T [2, 4, 5], a phenomenon known as *thermal crumpling* of fluid membranes. This can be explained by invoking the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg (MWHHT) theorem [6, 7]. In contrast, a tethered membrane, which has finite shear moduli, *can* display orientational LRO at sufficiently low but finite T [2, 8, 9]. This apparent breakdown of MWHHT is attributed to the phonon-mediated effective long range interactions in a tethered membrane, that take it out of the scope of MWHHT.

Due to the underlying microscopic active processes, e.g., nonequilibrium fluctuations of cell cytoskeletons [11] and active proteins in lipid membranes [12], live cell membranes display unusual dynamical fluctuation properties [13–15]. Similarly, experimental comparisons of fluctuations in healthy, live red blood cell membranes and those in ATP (adenosine triphosphate) depleted red blood cells suggest that active processes should be responsible in live red blood cell membrane fluctuations [16–18]. More recently, by comparing membrane response and fluctuations on single red blood cells, a violation of the Fluctuation–Dissipation-Theorem [25] is reported in Ref. [19], that clearly demonstrates the nonequilibrium process underlying the flickering of live red blood cell [19]. The generality of experimental observations on the manifestations of nonequilibrium nature

of cell membrane dynamics has prompted scientists to look for minimal physical descriptions. Such theoretical attempts have led to theories of active membranes for a various settings [19–21]. We here consider an open, inversion-symmetric, isotropic single-component fluid or tethered membrane, which is tensionless in the equilibrium limit and embedded in a three-dimensional (3D) isotropic active fluid, and construct hydrodynamic equations for the such systems. Our results complement the existing theories. Our theoretical predictions are general and can be tested in artificial *in-vitro* settings.

Our principal results are as follows: (i) The nonequilibrium dynamics of nearly flat symmetric active membranes, fluid or tethered, emerge from their couplings with an embedding active isotropic bath, provided by a 3D active but isotropic fluid. (ii) The linearised hydrodynamic equations predict that such a nearly flat patch can be stable, corresponding to orientational LRO for a fluid membrane, or orientational LRO and in-plane translational quasi long range order (QLRO). The orientational LRO is due to a *positive active tension*, generated due to the interplay between active processes and 3D embedding fluid flows. These results are *exact* in the asymptotic long wave length limit, with all nonlinear effects being irrelevant in that limit. (iii) Although these results are reminiscent of those for an equilibrium fluid or tethered membrane *with a finite tension* at some effective temperature, in this asymptotically exact linear theory the equal-time undulation mode correlation function depends on a dynamic (active, see below) coefficient, whereas the in-plane displacement correlators depend only on thermodynamic or equilibrium coefficients. This indicates the inherent nonequilibrium nature of the system. (iv) Even though the linearised hydrodynamic equations are exact at the largest scales, the undulation modes can be linearly unstable at the intermediate scales, if the membrane is permeable and the associated permeation flow is destabilising. (v) The active tension can also be negative, in which case the undulation modes are linearly unstable, signalling membrane crumpling. Interestingly,

*Electronic address: sudip.bat@gmail.com,aca.sudip@gmail.com

†Electronic address: abhik.123@gmail.com,abhik.basu@saha.ac.in

the in-plane displacement modes are still linearly stable, but should get *nonlinearly* unstable, possibly due to their nonlinear couplings with the undulation modes, which are irrelevant in the linearly stable case.

We now construct the hydrodynamic equations for a permeable active symmetric tethered membrane embedded in a 3D bulk isotropic active fluid containing active orientable particles. The hydrodynamic equation for an active symmetric fluid membrane can be obtained by simply suppressing the in-plane displacement modes. The conformation $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{s})$ of any membrane in 3D is parametrised by a two-dimensional (2D) position vector \mathbf{s} that designates points in the membrane. Imposing that all the points in the membrane labelled by \mathbf{s} retain their coordinates, i.e., a convected coordinate system is used [23, 24], the local membrane velocity $\mathbf{V}_m(\mathbf{s}) \equiv \partial_t \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{s})$, which formally defines the equation of motion of the membrane. For a nearly flat patch of a membrane without any overhangs, it is convenient to use the Monge gauge [2, 25], in which $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{u}, h(\mathbf{r}, t))$, where $h(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the height above points $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ on a reference plane; see Fig. 1 for a schematic diagram of the membrane. Here, \mathbf{u} is the relative in-plane displacement of a point whose location in the undistorted membrane is at $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{r}, h = 0)$. Notice that this reference plane is completely arbitrary; no substrate is present to provide a fixed preferred reference horizontal plane in this problem.




FIG. 1: Schematic model diagram of a membrane depicted in the Monge gauge that is embedded in an active isotropic fluid. Here, $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{u}, h(\mathbf{r}, t))$, where $h(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the height above points $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ on a reference plane. Further, \hat{n} is the local normal to the membrane.

For the ease of notations, let us denote the 3D bulk hydrodynamic flow velocity by v'_α , $\alpha = x, y, z$ and the 2D flow velocity along the membrane by v_i , $i = x, y$. Ignoring any number conservation, h and $\mathbf{u} \equiv u_i$ are the two hydrodynamic variables in the problem along with the total momentum of the system. In the presence of a permeation flow velocity v_{perm} locally normal to the membrane, considered as a permeable fluid film [26], the

equation for h reads

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - v_{\text{perm}} = v'_z|_{z=h}. \quad (1)$$

In general, v_{perm} is a function of h and u_i that is inversion-symmetric, tilt-invariant due to the rotational symmetry [2] and also invariant under $u_i \rightarrow u_i + \text{const.}$. For small fluctuations, expanding in h and u_i , we can phenomenologically write

$$v_{\text{perm}} = \tilde{\mu} \nabla^2 h + \mu_1 \nabla^2 h (\nabla h)^2 + \mu_2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \nabla^2 h + \mu_p \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta h}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mu_p > 0$ is an equilibrium permeation coefficient, $\tilde{\mu}$, μ_1 , μ_2 are all active permeation coefficients, each of which can be positive or negative. Here, \mathcal{F} is the Landau-Ginzburg free energy functional of a tensionless tethered membrane. General symmetry considerations dictate the form of \mathcal{F} :

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 r [K (\nabla^2 h)^2 + \lambda u_{ii} + 2\mu u_{ij} u_{ij}]. \quad (3)$$

Here,

$$u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i + \partial_i h \partial_j h) \quad (4)$$

is the strain tensor [2, 25], $K > 0$ is the bend modulus and λ, μ are the Lamé coefficients. The equation of u_i is

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = v_i. \quad (5)$$

In the extreme Stokesian limit, dropping the fluid inertia, v'_α can be obtained from the force balance condition $\nabla_\beta \sigma'_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, where stress tensor $\sigma'_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$\sigma'_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\eta'}{2} (\partial_\alpha v'_\beta + \partial_\beta v'_\alpha) - P' \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (6)$$

for an incompressible bulk fluid [27], where η' is the shear viscosity and P' is the 3D pressure. The 2D in-plane velocity v_i satisfies the Stokes equation

$$\nabla_j \sigma'_{ij}^{\text{tot}} + f_i = 0. \quad (7)$$

Here, 2D stress tensor $\sigma'_{ij}^{\text{tot}} = \eta (\partial_i v_j + \partial_j v_i) / 2 + \eta_b \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \delta_{ij} - P \delta_{ij} + \sigma'_{ij}$, η and η_b are the 2D shear and bulk viscosities respectively and P is a 2D partial pressure. The last contribution to $\sigma'_{ij}^{\text{tot}}$ comes from the active stress $\sigma'_{\alpha\beta} = A n_\alpha n_\beta$, where $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = (-\hat{z}, \nabla_i h)$ is the local normal to the leading order in h -fluctuations with \hat{z} being the unit vector along the z -direction. This active stress can arise, e.g., in a membrane with actin filaments grafted normally to it. The actin polymerisation or depolymerisation can force the membrane. Now define a polarisation vector \mathbf{p} that is non-vanishing only at the location of the membrane. Elsewhere in the bulk active fluid being in its isotropic phase, we set $\mathbf{p} = 0$. For a nearly flat membrane, the condition of normal anchoring gives

$p_\alpha = -\hat{n}_\alpha$ [28]. A non-zero p_i on the membrane allows us to define an active stress $\sim Ap_\alpha p_\beta$ [29], which with normal anchoring gives σ_{ij}^a as defined above. Lastly, f_i is the force on the 2D membrane that originates from the shear stress of the bulk flow:

$$f_i = \eta' \left(\frac{\partial v'_i}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v'_z}{\partial x_i} \right) \Big|_{z=h_+} - \eta' \left(\frac{\partial v'_i}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v'_z}{\partial x_i} \right) \Big|_{z=h_-}. \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, the condition of normal stress balance at the membrane yields

$$(2\eta' \frac{\partial v'_z}{\partial z} - P') \Big|_{z=h_+} - (2\eta' \frac{\partial v'_z}{\partial z} - P') \Big|_{z=h_-} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta h} + \nabla_j \sigma_{zj}^a. \quad (9)$$

We impose $v'_\alpha(z = \pm\infty) = 0$ as the boundary conditions on v'_α . Lastly, the no-slip boundary condition on the membrane implies $v'_{\alpha=i}(z = h_+) = v'_{\alpha=i}(z = h_-) = v_i$ and the continuity condition $v'_z(z = h_+) = v'_z(z = h_-)$. We now solve for $v'_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, z)$ subject to the above conditions. It is convenient to first define $v'_\alpha(\mathbf{q}, z, t)$ and $v_i(\mathbf{q}, t)$ as the in-plane Fourier transforms of $v_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, z, t)$ and $v_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ [see, e.g., Refs. [30–32]]. We obtain

$$\frac{\partial h(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{Aq}{4\eta'} h(\mathbf{q}, t) + v_{\text{perm}} - \frac{1}{4\eta'q} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta h}. \quad (10)$$

Using (2) and (3) and ignoring nonlinearities, we then get

$$\frac{\partial h(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{Aq}{4\eta'} h(\mathbf{q}, t) - \tilde{\mu}q^2 h(\mathbf{q}, t) - \frac{Kq^3}{4\eta'} h(\mathbf{q}, t), \quad (11)$$

which is the linearised hydrodynamic equation for the undulation modes. The intermediate calculational details, similar to Refs. [21, 32], are straight forward, and are given in Supplemental Material (SM) [33].

To obtain the hydrodynamic equation for \mathbf{u} , we decompose $\mathbf{u} = (u_\parallel, u_\perp)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_\parallel, v_\perp)$, where \parallel and \perp refer to in-plane directions, which are parallel and perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$. To calculate v_\perp and v_\parallel , we use (7) and resolve it perpendicular and parallel to $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ giving $v_\perp(\mathbf{q}, t) = -\mu q / (2\eta') u_\perp(\mathbf{q}, t)$ to the linear order in (small) fluctuations. Then

$$\frac{\partial u_\perp(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\mu q}{2\eta'} u_\perp(\mathbf{q}, t) \quad (12)$$

is the linearised hydrodynamic equation for u_\perp . In the long wavelength limit, the equation for $v_\parallel(\mathbf{q}, t)$ reads

$$4\eta' q v_\parallel(\mathbf{q}, t) = -iqP - (\lambda + 2\mu)q^2 u_\parallel(\mathbf{q}, t). \quad (13)$$

Here, P , the ‘‘2D partial pressure’’ is given by $P = \chi(\rho)$, where ρ is the concentration of the active elements in the 2D layer. Now assume the total number of these active elements $\int d^2r \rho$ is constant only on average due to possible non-number conserving events, e.g., birth-death of the active elements, ρ is not a conserved density and hence is not a hydrodynamic variable. In fact, fluctuations $\delta\rho$ about the mean concentration ρ_0 relaxes fast.

In the spirit of coarse-grained hydrodynamic approaches, we then replace $\chi(\rho)$ by $\chi(\rho_0)$, a constant. This ensures that P drops out of (13). Solving for $v_\parallel(\mathbf{q}, t)$ we get

$$\frac{\partial u_\parallel(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{4\eta'} q u_\parallel(\mathbf{q}, t) \quad (14)$$

to the linear order in (small) fluctuations.

Linear stability:- We now study the linear stability of the hydrodynamic equations (11), (12) and (14). Clearly, Eqs. (12) and (14) are *always* linearly stable, since $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda + 2\mu > 0$ for the thermodynamic stability of \mathcal{F} . In contrast, Eq. (11) is linearly stable or unstable in the long wavelength limit, when the active parameter A is positive or negative. Even when $A > 0$ corresponding to linear stability, if $\tilde{\mu} < 0$ and there is a sufficient scale separation between A and $\tilde{\mu}$ on one hand, and $\tilde{\mu}$ and K on the other, h -dynamics displays linear instability for an intermediate range of wavevectors. This instability is due to the destabilising nature of the active permeation flow. See Fig. 2 for a schematic plot of $\lambda_h \equiv Aq/(4\eta') - \tilde{\mu}q^2 + Kq^3/(4\eta')$ versus q , where λ_h is the effective damping in (11).




FIG. 2: Schematic plot of $\lambda_h(q)$ versus q showing an unstable intermediate band of wavevectors. Within the range $q_1 < q < q_2$, $\lambda_h(q) > 0$, implying linear instability.

Correlation functions in the linearised hydrodynamics:- To calculate the correlation functions, we now must include noises in the governing dynamical equations. Let g_h, g_\perp and g_\parallel be the noises added in Eqs. (11), (12) and (14), all of which are assumed to be Gaussian-distributed with zero mean. The noises have two sources - thermal and active noises. The thermal noises that survive in the equilibrium limit of the dynamics is controlled by the FDT [25], which relates the noise variances to the damping coefficients. This gives [30]

$$\langle g_h(\mathbf{q}, t) g_h(-\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle = \frac{2D_h \delta(t)}{4\eta'q}, \quad (15)$$

$$\langle g_a(\mathbf{q}, t) g_\perp(-\mathbf{q}, 0) \rangle = \frac{2D_a \delta(t)}{2\eta'q}, \quad (16)$$

where $a = \parallel, \perp$; D_h, D_\perp and D_\parallel are all positive and scale with T . Unsurprisingly, all the noises are long range due to the long range hydrodynamic damping present even in the equilibrium limit of the dynamics. The active noises are expected to be short range [34–36], and hence subdominant to the thermal noises in the long wavelength limit. We thus conclude that although the model is *active*, the noises are essentially *thermal*. This forms a major conclusion of this work. Do we then have the FDT

being valid in the linearised hydrodynamics? To know this, we must calculate the correlation function of the fields, which can be done by using (15)-(16). We consider the linearly stable case with no intermediate wavevector instabilities. In the long wavelength limit, we get

$$\langle |h(\mathbf{q}, t)|^2 \rangle = \frac{D_h}{Aq^2}, \langle |u_a(\mathbf{q}, t)|^2 \rangle = \frac{D_a}{\tilde{a}q^2}. \quad (17)$$

Here, $a = \parallel, \perp$ and correspondingly $\tilde{a} = \mu, \lambda + 2\mu$, respectively. Now if we compare (17) with the known equilibrium results for a fluid membrane with a finite tension to be identified with A , and a 2D crystal, we identify $D_h = D_\perp = D_\parallel = k_B T$, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Nonetheless, we must notice that A is a dynamical coefficient (an active coefficient actually) and not a thermodynamic coefficient, unlike μ and λ . The fact that A appears in a static or time-independent quantity $\langle |h(\mathbf{q}, t)|^2 \rangle$ [see Eq. (17) above], is a reminder to us that in spite of the apparent equilibrium-like behaviour the underlying dynamics is actually a nonequilibrium dynamics. Lastly, the forms of (17) reveal that all the fields have dynamic exponent $z = 1$ and roughness or spatial scaling exponent $\chi = 0$ in 2D, the physically relevant dimension. Here, $z = 1$ means the fluctuations relax much faster than that in diffusive relaxations.

In the linearly stable case, all of $\langle h^2(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$, $\langle u_\perp^2(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$, $\langle u_\parallel^2(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$ scale as $\ln(L/a_0)$, giving positional QLRO, where L is the linear system size and a_0 is a microscopic cutoff. Likewise, equal-time correlation functions $\langle h(\mathbf{r}, t)h(\mathbf{0}, t) \rangle$, $\langle u_\perp(\mathbf{r}, t)u_\perp(\mathbf{0}, t) \rangle$, $\langle u_\parallel(\mathbf{r}, t)u_\parallel(\mathbf{0}, t) \rangle$ all behave as $\ln(r/a_0)$, growing logarithmically with $r \equiv |\mathbf{r}|$, another hallmark of QLRO. Furthermore, $\langle (\nabla h)^2 \rangle$ is independent of L for large L , giving orientational LRO.

In the unstable case ($A < 0$), clearly both $\langle h^2(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$ and $\langle (\nabla h)^2 \rangle$ diverge as soon as L exceeds a threshold determined by the zero of λ_h for a finite q . This implies crumpling of the membrane. This is different from the well-known thermal crumpling phenomenon of equilibrium fluid membranes at any finite T . Thermal crumpling occurs in tensionless fluid membranes, whereas in the present case it is due to a negative ‘‘active tension’’ $A < 0$. This brings us to another question: what happens if $A = 0$? Since A can be varied by varying the strength of the active stress, let us assume it has been tuned to zero. Even in this case, there would be no thermal crumpling, assuming $\tilde{\mu} > 0$ giving

$$\langle h^2(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \sim L, \langle (\nabla h)^2 \rangle \sim \text{independent of } L, \quad (18)$$

corresponding to positional short range order and orientational long range order. While with $A = 0$, there is no active tension and the membrane is tensionless, still $\tilde{\mu} > 0$ means the effective wavevector-dependent bend modulus $K_e \sim 1/q$, diverging strongly for small q . This renders thermal crumpling ineffective.

Nonlinear effects:- We now discuss the nonlinear effects so far neglected in our studies above. There are two distinct sources of nonlinearities in the present model - those

that exist in equilibrium and appear from \mathcal{F} and another class which appear in the nonequilibrium dynamics and have their origin in the underlying active processes. Some of these nonlinear terms have a geometric origin arising from the definition of the strain tensor (4), while some others have purely dynamical (active) origin. These nonlinear terms appear both in the dynamics of h and \mathbf{u} ; see SM for details. However, scaling and renormalisation arguments can be used to show that all these nonlinear terms are *irrelevant* in the linearly stable states. This means the scaling of the correlation functions in the linearly stable case as reported above are in fact *exact* in the asymptotic long wavelength limit.

The nonlinearities are however expected to be important in two other situations: (i) With $A < 0$, the linearised h -dynamics given by (11) is linearly unstable. However, the dynamics of u_\perp, u_\parallel remain linearly stable, as both μ and $\lambda + 2\mu$ are assumed to be always positive. Thus the undulation modes get linearly unstable, but the in-plane displacements remain linearly stable, a situation that is physically unacceptable. After all, with the undulation modes getting bigger in the linearly unstable regime, the strain (4) must also get bigger, eventually affect the in-plane displacements. This apparent contradiction between this physically acceptable expectation and the linear stability of (12) and (14) even when $A < 0$ can be reconciled by the nonlinear effects. As shown in SM, these nonlinearities in (12) and (14) involve $\nabla_i h$, which also gets bigger with $A < 0$, which in turn makes fluctuations in \mathbf{u} growing leading to *nonlinear instabilities*. (ii) The exponential growth of h in the unstable case with $A < 0$ ultimately must saturate due to the nonlinear effects. Studies of this is outside the scope of the present work.

Bulk velocity solutions:- As the membrane fluctuates, it stirs the embedding bulk fluid, giving bulk flows. This can be measured, which calls for evaluation of v_α . Solving the 3D Stokes equation for $z > 0, z < 0$, we find [33]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |v'_\perp(\mathbf{q}, z, t)|^2 \rangle &= \frac{\mu^2}{4\eta^2} q^2 \langle |u_\perp(\mathbf{q}, t)|^2 \rangle \exp(-2qz), \quad (19) \\ \langle |v'_z(\mathbf{q}, z, t)|^2 \rangle &= \frac{A^2 q^2}{16\eta^2} (1 - qz)^2 \langle |h(\mathbf{q}, t)|^2 \rangle \\ &\quad + q^2 z^2 \frac{(\lambda + 2\mu)^2}{16\eta^2} \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

for $z > 0$. Correlation of $v'_\parallel(\mathbf{q}, z, t)$ can be calculated from those of $v'_z(\mathbf{q}, z, t)$. Thus the correlations of v_α are expressed in terms of the correlations of h and \mathbf{u} . Since the latter correlations diverge in the unstable case, the bulk flow should also accordingly stirred strongly. This can be measured by tracking passive tracer particles, which in turn should give valuable information about the membrane itself.

Summary and outlook:- We have presented a comprehensive hydrodynamic theory of a nearly flat active tethered membrane immersed in a bulk isotropic active fluid. The activity can make the system linearly stable or un-

stable. We make quantitative, experimentally testable predictions on the nature of order and scaling of the in-plane and out of plane fluctuations by using the linearised hydrodynamic theory, which we argue to be exact in the asymptotic long wavelength limit. In the unstable case, the membrane is shown to be crumpled. Our theory can be tested in *in-vitro* set up involving, say, a

graphene sheet coated with identical lipid layers on both sides and immersed in an active fluid bath (e.g., a solution of live orientable bacteria or actin filaments) in its isotropic phase.

Acknowledgement:- S.M. and A.B. thank the SERB, DST (India) for partial financial support through the TARE scheme [file no.: TAR/2021/000170].

-
- [1] B Alberts, D Bray, J Lewis, M Raff, K Roberts and J D Watson, *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 3rd edn (New York: Garland, 1994).
- [2] *Statistical Mechanics of Membranes and Surfaces*, edited by D. Nelson, T. Piran, and S. Weinberg (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
- [3] S. A. Safran, *Statistical Thermodynamics of Surfaces, Interfaces, and Membranes* (Westview, Boulder, 2003).
- [4] L. Peliti and S. Leibler, Effects of Thermal Fluctuations on Systems with Small Surface Tension, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 1690 (1985).
- [5] T. Banerjee and A. Basu, Thermal fluctuations and stiffening of symmetric heterogeneous fluid membranes, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 012119 (2015).
- [6] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Absence of Ferromagnetism or Antiferromagnetism in One- or Two-Dimensional Isotropic Heisenberg Models, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1133 (1966).
- [7] P. C. Hohenberg, Existence of long-range order in one and two dimensions, *Phys. Rev.* **158**, 383 (1967).
- [8] Y. Kantor, M. Kardar, and D. R. Nelson, Tethered surfaces: Statics and dynamics, *Phys. Rev. A* **35**, 3056 (1987).
- [9] J. A. Aronovitz and T. C. Lubensky, Fluctuations of Solid Membranes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 2634 (1988).
- [10] E. Frey and D. R. Nelson, Dynamics of flat membranes and flickering of red blood cells, *J. Phys. I France* **1**, 1715 (1991).
- [11] D. Mizuno, C. Tardin, C., C. F. Schmidt and F. C. MacKintosh, Nonequilibrium mechanics of active cytoskeletal networks, *Science* **315** 370 (2007); Stuhmann B et al, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 020901 (2012).
- [12] M-J Huang, H-Y Chen and A S Mikhailov 2012 *Eur. Phys. J. E* **35** 119 (2012); M-J Huang, R. Kapral, A. S. Mikhailov and H-Y Chen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **138** 195101 (2013).
- [13] H. G. Döbereiner, B. J. Dubin-Thaler, J. M. Hofman, H. S. Xenias, T. N. Sims, G. Giannone, M. L. Dustin, C. H. Wiggins, and M. P. Sheetz, Lateral Membrane Waves Constitute a Universal Dynamic Pattern of Motile Cells, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 038102 (2006).
- [14] C. H. Chen, F. C. Tsai, C. C. Wang, and C. H. Lee, Three-Dimensional Characterization of Active Membrane Waves on Living Cells, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 238101 (2009).
- [15] J. Allard and A. Mogilner, Traveling waves in actin dynamics and cell motility, *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **25**, 107 (2013).
- [16] T. Betz, M. Lenz, J.-F. Joanny and C. Sykes, ATP-dependent mechanics of red blood cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 15320 (2009).
- [17] Y. Park et al, Metabolic remodeling of the human red blood cell membrane, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 1289 (2010).
- [18] R. Rodríguez-García et al, Direct cytoskeleton forces cause membrane softening in red blood cells *Biophys. J.* **108**, 2794 (2015).
- [19] H. Turlier, D. A. Fedosov, B. Audoly, T. Auth, N. S. Gov, C. Sykes, J.-F. Joanny, G. Gompper and T. Betz, Equilibrium physics breakdown reveals the active nature of red blood cell flickering, *Nature Phys.* **12**, 513 (2016).
- [20] R. Shlomovitz and N. S. Gov, Membrane Waves Driven by Actin and Myosin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 168103 (2007).
- [21] A. Maitra, P. Srivastava, M. Rao and S. Ramawasmy, Activating Membranes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 258101 (2014).
- [22] T. banerjee and A. Basu, Active processes make mixed lipid membranes either flat or crumpled, *New J. Phys.* **20**, 013028 (2018).
- [23] R. Aris, *Vectors, Tensors, and the Basic Equations of Fluid Mechanics* (Dover, USA, 1989).
- [24] D. Hu, P. Zhang and Weinan E, Continuum theory of a moving membrane, *Phys. Rev. E* **75**, 041605 (2007).
- [25] P. M. Chaikin and Tom C Lubensky, *Principles of condensed matter physics*, (Cambridge university press Cambridge, 2000).
- [26] W. Cai and T. C. Lubensky, Hydrodynamics and dynamic fluctuations of fluid membranes, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 4251 (1995).
- [27] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Butterworth and Heinemann, Oxford, 1998).
- [28] N. Sarkar and A. Basu, Generic instabilities in a fluid membrane coupled to a thin layer of ordered active polar fluid, *Eur. Phys. J E* **36**, 86 (2013).
- [29] M. C. Marchetti, J. F. Joanny, S. Ramaswamy, T. B. Liverpool, J. Prost, M. Rao, and R. A. Simha, Hydrodynamics of soft active matter, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 1143 (2013).
- [30] F. Brochard and J. F. Lennon, Frequency spectrum of the flicker phenomenon in erythrocytes, *J. Phys. (Paris)* **36**, 1035 (1975).
- [31] L. Kramer, Theory of Light Scattering from Fluctuations of Membranes and Monolayers, *J. Chem. Phys.* **55**, 2097 (1971).
- [32] N. Sarkar and A. Basu, Role of interfacial friction for flow instabilities in a thin polar-ordered active fluid layer, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 052306 (2015).
- [33] Supplemental Material.
- [34] F. Jülicher, A. Ajdari and J. Prost, Modeling molecular motors, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **69**, 1269 (1997).
- [35] A. Basu, J.-F. Joanny, F. Jülicher and J. Prost, Casimir stresses in active nematic films, *New J. Phys.* **21** 123046 (2019).
- [36] J.-F. Rupprecht, A. Singh Vishen, G. V. Shivashankar,

Appendix A: Derivation of the hydrodynamic equations

Assume a nearly flat membrane to be spread out along the XY plane and for concreteness $z = 0$ be its average position. We consider small fluctuations. The three-dimensional (3D) flow field v'_α satisfies the 3D Stokes equation $\nabla_\beta \sigma'_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ giving

$$\eta' \nabla^2 v'_\alpha - \nabla_\alpha P' = 0, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $\nabla_\beta \sigma'_{\alpha\beta}$ is given in the main text. Similarly, in the Stokesian limit, the 2D flow field v_i satisfies $\nabla_j \sigma_{ij}^{\text{tot}} + f_i = 0$, where f_i is the shear force due to the bulk flow on the 2D flow in the membrane.

We solve v'_α in the Fourier space. It is convenient to resolve v'_α into three components $v'_z, v'_\perp = (\hat{z} \times \hat{\mathbf{q}}) \cdot \mathbf{v}'$ and $v'_\parallel = \hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{v}'$, where \mathbf{q} is an in-plane Fourier wavevector. These three components then satisfy

$$\eta'(-q^2 + \partial_z^2)v'_\perp = 0, \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\eta'(-q^2 + \partial_z^2)v'_\parallel = iqP', \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\eta'(-q^2 + \partial_z^2)v'_z = \frac{\partial P'}{\partial z}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Here \mathbf{q} is an in-plane Fourier wavevector. Now impose incompressibility condition on the 3D bulk velocity: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}' = 0$. This in turn gives $\nabla^2 P' = 0$ as the equation for pressure P' .

We write the general solutions for v'_α and P' as

$$\begin{aligned} v'_\perp(\mathbf{q}, z, t) &= C_1(\mathbf{q}, t) \exp(-qz), \quad z > 0, \\ &= C_2(\mathbf{q}, t) \exp(qz), \quad z < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} v'_z(\mathbf{q}, z, t) &= [A_1(\mathbf{q}, t) + zB_1(\mathbf{q}, t)] \exp(-qz), \quad z > 0, \\ &= [A_2(\mathbf{q}, t) + zB_2(\mathbf{q}, t)] \exp(qz), \quad z < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} P'(\mathbf{q}, z, t) &= D_1(\mathbf{q}, t) \exp(-qz), \quad z > 0, \\ &= D_2(\mathbf{q}, t) \exp(qz), \quad z < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

Lastly, v'_\parallel can be solved by using the 3D incompressibility condition giving

$$v'_\parallel = \frac{i}{q} \frac{\partial v'_z}{\partial z}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

Using the continuity of the 3D bulk flow velocity at $z = h \approx 0$, we get

$$C_1 = C_2, \quad A_1 = A_2, \quad \text{and} \quad -qA_1 + B_1 = qA_2 + B_2. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Then using the z -component of the 3D Stokes equation, we find

$$D_1 = -2\eta' B_1, \quad D_2 = -2\eta' B_2. \quad (\text{A10})$$

Next, we find

$$(2\eta' \frac{\partial v'_z}{\partial z} - P')|_{z=0^+} - (2\eta' \frac{\partial v'_z}{\partial z} - P')|_{z=h^-} - 4\eta' q A_1 = -4\eta' q v_z(z=h). \quad (\text{A11})$$

Then, $\nabla_j \sigma_{zj}^a = A \nabla_j n_z n_j = -A \nabla^2 h$ to the lowest order in ∇h . Combining everything, the normal stress balance condition can be used to obtain

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = v_z(z=h) = \frac{Aq}{4\eta'} h(\mathbf{q}, t) - \frac{1}{4\eta' q} \frac{\delta F}{\delta h}, \quad (\text{A12})$$

which is the linearised hydrodynamic equation for the undulation mode to the lowest order in h , as already obtained in the main text.

We now derive the hydrodynamic equations for the in-plane displacements u_i to the linear order in ∇h . It is convenient to resolve \mathbf{u} in components parallel and perpendicular to \mathbf{q} in the XY plane: $\mathbf{u} \equiv (u_{\parallel}, u_{\perp})$. Resolving the 2D Stokes equation parallel and perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$, we get to the linear order in ∇h

$$-(\eta + \eta_b)q^2 v_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t) - iqP - (\lambda + 2\mu)q^2 u_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t) = -f_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, z = 0, t), \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$-\eta q^2 v_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t) - \mu q^2 u_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t) = -f_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, z = h, t). \quad (\text{A14})$$

We find

$$f_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, z = h, t) = \eta' \left[\frac{\partial v'_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=h+} - \frac{\partial v'_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=h-} \right] = -\eta'(C_1 + C_2)q = -2\eta' q v'_{\perp} = -2\eta' q v_{\perp}. \quad (\text{A15})$$

Combining, we find in the long wavelength limit

$$\frac{\partial u_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial t} = v_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t) = -\frac{\mu q_{\perp}}{2\eta'} u_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t) \quad (\text{A16})$$

to the linear order in (assumed small) fluctuations, as obtained in the main text.

We proceed in the same way to obtain the hydrodynamic equation for $v_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t)$. We find

$$f_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, z = 0, t) = \eta' \left[\frac{\partial v'_{\parallel}}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0+} - \frac{\partial v'_{\parallel}}{\partial z} \Big|_{z=0-} \right] = -4\eta' q v_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, z = 0, t). \quad (\text{A17})$$

This gives in the long wavelength limit

$$4\eta' q v_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t) + iqP = -(\lambda + 2\mu)q^2 u_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t). \quad (\text{A18})$$

Here, the 2D partial pressure of the active particles $P = \chi(\rho)$, where ρ is the active particle concentration. If there are birth-death processes, then ρ is nont conserved, but there is a mean density ρ_0 . Number density fluctuations $\delta\rho$ about ρ_0 are *fast* variables, i.e., its relaxation time remains finite even in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, and hence is non-hydrodynamic. Therefore, in the spirit of hydrodynamics, we drop $\delta\rho$ from our theory in the large time, long wavelength limit and get

$$\frac{\partial u_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t)}{\partial t} \equiv v_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t) = -\frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{4\eta'} q u_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t), \quad (\text{A19})$$

as obtained in the main text.

Appendix B: Solutions of the bulk flow fields

We use the general solutions of the 3D Stokes equation to express $v'_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}, z, t)$ in terms of $h(\mathbf{q}, t)$, $u_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t)$ and $u_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t)$. We separately solve in the upper ($z > 0$) and lower ($z < 0$) half planes. First consider $z > 0$. We find

$$v'_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, z, t) = C_1(\mathbf{q}, t) \exp(-qz) = v_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t) \exp(-qz) - \frac{\mu}{2\eta'} q u_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}, t) \exp(-qz), \quad (\text{B1})$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} v'_z(q, z, t) &= [v'_z(q, z = 0, t) + z(-qv'_z(q, z = 0, t) - iqv_{\parallel}(q, t))] \exp(-qz) \\ &= \frac{A}{4\eta'} h(\mathbf{q}, t)(1 + qz) \exp(-qz) + iqz \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{4\eta'} q u_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t) \exp(-qz). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

Finally, $v'_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, z, t) = (i/q)\partial v'_z(\mathbf{q}, z, t)/\partial z$ due to the incompressibility of the embedding bulk fluid. This gives

$$v'_{\parallel}(q, z, t) = -iqz \frac{A}{4\eta'} h(\mathbf{q}, t) - (q - q^2 z) \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{4\eta'} u_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}, t) \exp(-qz). \quad (\text{B3})$$

To get the solutions of v_{α} in the lower half plane $z < 0$, replace z by $-z$ in the above solutions.

Appendix C: Nonlinear terms

We now systematically derive the nonlinear terms which are to be added in the dynamical equations for h , u_{\parallel} and u_{\perp} . There are several sources of possible nonlinearities. We first consider those which are spatially local. These can come from (i) v_{perm} as given in the main text, which has both active and equilibrium contributions, and (ii) equilibrium relaxation term $1/(4\eta'q)$ ($\delta\mathcal{F}/\delta h$). To proceed further, we start from the form of the nonlinear stress [2]:

$$u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i + \partial_i h \partial_j h), \quad (\text{C1})$$

still neglecting the the contributions quadratic in \mathbf{u} [2]. This gives

$$u_{mm}^2 = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2 + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\nabla h)^4, \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$u_{ij} u_{ij} = (\partial_i u_j)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\nabla h)^4 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i) \partial_i h \partial_j h, \quad (\text{C3})$$

where we have ignored total derivative terms. The terms listed in (C3) appear in the free energy \mathcal{F} . Then

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta h}|_{\text{nonlinear}} = -(\mu + \frac{\lambda}{2})\nabla_j [(\nabla h)^2 \nabla_j h] - \mu \nabla_j [(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i) \partial_i h] - \lambda \nabla [(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) \nabla h]. \quad (\text{C4})$$

The terms in (C4) contribute to the hydrodynamic equation of h through v_{perm} as given in the main text and the relaxational term $1/(4\eta'q)$ ($\delta\mathcal{F}/\delta h$).

Next, we consider the nonlocal nonlinear terms. These will originate from the solutions of the 3D bulk flow fields beyond the linear order in h . See, e.g., Eq. (A11), which we have evaluated by setting $z = h \approx 0$ that produces the correct results to the linear order in h . Going beyond the linear order results would produce factors of qh and its higher powers appearing multiplicatively with the various terms in the linear theory.

We now consider the possible nonlinear terms in the \mathbf{u} -equation. As for the undulation modes, the nonlinearities can be local or nonlocal. We first consider the local nonlinear terms. These originate from anharmonic contributions in the free energy \mathcal{F} . We find

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta u_i}|_{\text{nonlinear}} = -\mu \nabla_j [\nabla_i h \nabla_j h] - \frac{\lambda}{2} \nabla_i (\nabla h)^2. \quad (\text{C5})$$

Projecting (C5) parallel and perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ in the XY plane produces the local nonlinear contributions to the hydrodynamic equations for u_{\parallel} and u_{\perp} . Finally, similar to the undulation modes, there are nonlocal nonlinear contributions to the equations for u_{\parallel} and u_{\perp} , which originate from the solutions of the 3D ambient flow fields. See, e.g., Eq. (A15) above. Going beyond the linear order in fluctuations produce factors of qh and its higher powers appearing multiplicatively with the terms in the linear hydrodynamic equations for u_{\parallel} and u_{\perp} . We now find out whether or not these nonlinear terms can change the predictions from the linear hydrodynamic equations in the long wavelength limit.

To asses the renormalisation group (RG) relevance of these above nonlinear terms, we rescale space, time and the fields as

$$\mathbf{r}' = b\mathbf{r}, \quad t' = b^z t, \quad h(\mathbf{r}, t) = b^{\chi_h} h(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad u_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}, t) = b^{\chi_{\perp}} u_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad u_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}, t) = b^{\chi_{\parallel}} u_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (\text{C6})$$

In the linear theory, $z = 1$, $\chi_h = \chi_{\perp} = \chi_{\parallel} = 0$ in 2D, such that with these choices for the scaling exponents, the parameters in the linearised hydrodynamic equations for h , u_{\perp} and u_{\parallel} and the corresponding noise strengths remain unchanged under rescaling. Simple power counting however shows that under such a rescaling procedure, all the nonlinear terms acquire scale factor of b raised to negative powers, rendering them irrelevant in the long wavelength limit.
