

LOCAL AND GLOBAL BLOW DOWNS OF TRANSPORT TWISTOR SPACE

JAN BOHR, FRANÇOIS MONARD, AND GABRIEL P. PATERNAIN

ABSTRACT. Transport twistor spaces are degenerate complex 2-dimensional manifolds Z that complexify transport problems on Riemannian surfaces, appearing e.g. in geometric inverse problems. This article considers maps $\beta: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ with a *holomorphic blow-down structure* that resolve the degeneracy of the complex structure and allow to gain insight into the complex geometry of Z . The main theorems provide global β -maps for constant curvature metrics and their perturbations and local β -maps for arbitrary metrics, thereby proving a version of the classical *Newlander–Nirenberg theorem* for degenerate complex structures.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	9
3. Canonical β -maps and their perturbation theory	13
4. Explicit β -maps for constant curvature models	16
5. Metric dependence – Part 1: The Υ -map & consequences	21
6. Metric dependence – Part 2: Inverses of the normal operator	27
7. The holomorphic blow-down structure under perturbations	31
8. Proofs of Theorems 3.8, 1.4 and 1.5	38
Appendix A. Continuity theorems in geometry	41
Appendix B. Continuous dependence of the normal operator	47
References	51

1. INTRODUCTION

Transport twistor spaces are degenerate complex 2-dimensional manifolds that can be associated to any oriented Riemannian surface and whose complex geometry is closely linked to the geodesic flow of the surface. In the last few years, these twistor spaces have become a useful device to organise and reinterpret various questions arising in geometric inverse problems and dynamical systems [2, 1].

This article addresses some fundamental issues pertaining to the complex geometry of transport twistor spaces. In particular, we show that locally the degeneracy of the complex structure can always be resolved and, using this, we derive an identity principle for biholomorphisms between twistor spaces.

Our strategy consists in finding appropriate blow-down maps β from twistor space into \mathbb{C}^2 , first explicitly in constant curvature, then more systematically for simple metrics. We prove that the blow down structure persists for small perturbations of the constant curvature models and derive our local results by comparing small geodesic balls with nearly Euclidean disks.

1.1. Transport twistor spaces. Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian surface, possibly with non-empty boundary ∂M . We denote its unit tangent bundle with $SM = \{(x, v) \in TM : g(v, v) = 1\}$ and write X for the geodesic vector field on SM . The *transport twistor space* of (M, g) is the unit disk bundle

$$Z = \{(x, v) \in TM : g(v, v) \leq 1\},$$

equipped with a natural complex structure that degenerates at $SM \subset \partial Z$. To describe this complex structure, first note that g and the orientation endow the fibre $Z_x \subset Z$ over $x \in M$ with a complex structure, such that the anti-holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{0,1}Z_x$ is well defined. The complex structure on Z can then itself be described in terms of its anti-holomorphic tangent bundle \mathcal{D} :

Theorem. *There exists a unique involutive, orientation compatible 2-plane distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}Z = TZ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that $T^{0,1}Z_x \subset \mathcal{D}$ for all $x \in M$ and such that*

$$\mathcal{D} \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}} = 0 \text{ on } Z \setminus SM \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \text{ on } SM. \quad (1.1)$$

Remark 1.1. An explicit description of \mathcal{D} in the conformally Euclidean case is discussed in §2.5 below. The general construction of \mathcal{D} is carried out in [2], and the uniqueness – which is not needed here – will be addressed elsewhere.

In general Z is a manifold with corners and we write Z° for its interior, where all boundary hypersurfaces have been removed. On Z° , which is a real 4-manifold without boundary, condition (1.1) implies that $\mathcal{D} = \ker(J+i)$ for an almost complex structure J . Involutivity of \mathcal{D} is equivalent to the integrability of J and thus (Z°, J) is a classical complex surface with anti-holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{0,1}Z^\circ = \mathcal{D}|_{Z^\circ}$. Orientation compatibility means that J induces the canonical orientation on Z° .

The distribution \mathcal{D} is an instance of an *involutive structure* as discussed in [33].

1.1.1. *Twistor correspondences.* In the articles [2, 1] several correspondence principles have been set up that link the geodesic flow (in the form of the transport equation $Xu = f$) with the complex geometry of the transport twistor space:

Geodesic flow	Twistor space
Invariant functions ($= \ker X _{C^\infty(SM)}$) that are ‘fibrewise holomorphic’	Holomorphic functions on Z
Invariant distributions ($= \ker X _{\mathcal{D}'(SM)}$) that are ‘fibrewise holomorphic’	Holomorphic functions on Z° with polynomial growth at SM
Connections and matrix potentials on M ($=$ 0th order perturbations of X)	Holomorphic vector bundles on Z

Here holomorphic functions on Z are functions $f: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that are smooth up to the boundary and holomorphic in the interior (equivalently, $df|_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$ on Z). Similarly, holomorphic vector bundles on Z are smooth up to the boundary in a suitable sense.

These correspondence principles have, at least in the view of the authors, become tremendously helpful in organising and reinterpreting various developments in geometric inverse problems and dynamical systems in two dimensions. For example, Pestov–Uhlmann’s proof of boundary rigidity for simple surfaces [27] admits a natural formulation in terms of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(Z)$ of holomorphic functions on Z and a Cartan extension operator $\mathcal{A}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(Z)$; moreover, the influential notion of *holomorphic integrating factors* that was introduced by Salo–Uhlmann [29] is underpinned by an Oka–Grauert principle for holomorphic line bundles on Z —for more examples we refer to [2, 1].

It seems intriguing to further explore this link and possibly use the power of complex geometry to gain insights into the geodesic flow. Doing this requires both a better understanding of the degeneracy of the complex structure and of the complex geometry of twistor space—the present article aims at making a start on both objectives.

1.1.2. *Projective twistor spaces.* Quotienting Z by the antipodal action $(x, v) \mapsto (x, -v)$, one obtains another degenerate complex surface that depends only on the projective class of the geodesic flow. This surface – that we shall refer to as *projective twistor space* and denote $Z_{\mathbb{P}}$ – is in fact the more classical object and has been studied by several other authors [13, 16, 4, 24, 17, 18, 20, 19]. The quotient map

$$Z \rightarrow Z_{\mathbb{P}}$$

is a branched $2 : 1$ covering and thus locally near a boundary point of $Z_{\mathbb{P}}$ the complex structure degenerates in the same way as \mathcal{D} . This degeneracy has been explicitly addressed in the work of LeBrun and Mason [17, 18], who – in the case of Zoll surfaces – construct a holomorphic map $\beta_{\text{LM}}: Z_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ that is an embedding in the interior and maps $\partial Z_{\mathbb{P}}$ onto a totally real surface $P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$, thereby collapsing all geodesics. As observed in [28], there is a diffeomorphism $Z_{\mathbb{P}} \cong [\mathbb{C}P^2; P]$ with the Melrosian blow-up of P under which β_{LM} becomes the usual blow-down map.

This picture has been an inspiration for the maps $\beta: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ in this article, which recover many of the features of β_{LM} , while being constructed by completely different means. By analogy, we refer to them also as blow-down maps, though the geometry of $\beta(Z) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is more complicated and does not readily fit into the setting of Melrosian blow-ups.

1.1.3. *The Euclidean β -map.* If $M = \mathbb{R}^2$, equipped with the Euclidean metric, the transport twistor space is given by

$$Z = \{(z, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |\mu| \leq 1\}, \quad \mathcal{D} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu^2 \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}}, \partial_{\bar{\mu}}). \quad (1.2)$$

The complex geometry of Z can be completely understood by means of the map

$$\beta: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, \quad \beta(z, \mu) = (z - \mu^2 \bar{z}, \mu), \quad (1.3)$$

which is holomorphic and maps the interior Z° diffeomorphically onto the poly-disk $\{(w, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |\xi| < 1\}$, with inverse explicitly given by

$$\beta^{-1}(w, \xi) = ((w + \xi^2 \bar{w}) / (1 - |\xi|^4), \xi), \quad |\xi| < 1. \quad (1.4)$$

The existence of such a map immediately implies that there is a wealth of holomorphic functions (in fact, if $M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is any open domain, then Z° is a Stein surface). Moreover, β can be viewed as desingularisation of the complex structure. Indeed, introducing w and ξ as new coordinates on Z° , we simply have $\mathcal{D} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\partial_{\bar{w}}, \partial_{\bar{\xi}})$.

The Euclidean β -map serves as a prototype for the type of desingularisation that we seek out in this article. To capture the characteristic properties of β , we propose the notion of *holomorphic blow down structure* that we shall discuss after a brief intermezzo regarding Hermitian structures on twistor space.

1.1.4. *Hermitian structure.* One of the characteristic properties of the Euclidean β -map is that it restricts to an embedding on the interior Z° . Unfortunately, the space of embeddings

$$\text{Emb}(Z^\circ, \mathbb{C}^2) \subset C^\infty(Z^\circ, \mathbb{C}^2)$$

is *not open* in the C^∞ -topology, which is problematic for the purpose of perturbation theory¹. This problem can be solved by additionally keeping track of metric data and for this reason, we equip Z° with a Hermitian metric.

Recall that a Hermitian metric on the complex surface Z° is the data of a $(1, 1)$ -form $\Omega \in C^\infty(Z^\circ, \Lambda^{1,1} Z^\circ)$ that, expressed in a frame where $\Lambda^{1,1} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$, corresponds

¹If X is a smooth manifold, the space $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$ can be equipped with either the *weak* or the *strong* topology and if X is non-compact these topologies are genuinely different. In the present article we exclusively use the weak topology, which gives $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$ its standard Fréchet space structure, and simply refer to it as the C^∞ -topology. The strong topology, while being a differential topologist's favourite, is less suitable for us, as the restriction map $C^\infty(Z, \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow C^\infty(Z^\circ, \mathbb{C}^2)$ fails to be continuous in the strong topology. See Chapter 2 in [12] for more details.

To illustrate the failure of openness in C^∞ -topology, one can consider the following toy example: On $X = (0, 2\pi)$ define $f_\epsilon(x) = \exp(i(1 + \epsilon)x)$, then $f_0 \in \text{Emb}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is not an interior point, as it can be approximated by the non-injective immersions $(f_\epsilon : \epsilon > 0)$.

to iH for a Hermitian matrix H . Since $\Lambda^{1,1}Z^\circ$ is globally trivial (irrespective of the topology of M , see §2.4), there is a 1 : 1 correspondence

$$\text{Hermitian metric } \Omega \quad \leftrightarrow \quad H \in C^\infty(Z^\circ, \text{Her}_+^2),$$

where $\text{Her}_+^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is the space of positive definite Hermitian 2×2 matrices. Under this correspondence, we pick out a distinguished metric $\underline{\Omega}$:

$$\underline{\Omega} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \underline{H}(x, v) = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - |v|_g^4)^2 & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in C^\infty(Z^\circ, \text{Her}_+^2) \quad (1.5)$$

In the Euclidean case, where $\Lambda^{0,1}Z^\circ$ is canonically framed by $\Xi^\vee = (d\bar{z} - \bar{\mu}^2 dz)/(1 - |\mu|^4)$ and $d\bar{\mu}$ this metric is given by

$$\underline{\Omega} = i(1 - |\mu|^4)^2 \bar{\Xi}^\vee \wedge \Xi^\vee + id\mu \wedge d\bar{\mu}.$$

The significance of $\underline{\Omega}$ stems from the observation that, at least in the Euclidean case, it degenerates in the same way as the Jacobian of the β -map. Precisely, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1}\underline{\Omega} \leq \beta^*\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \leq C \underline{\Omega}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} = idw \wedge d\bar{w} + id\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}$ is the standard Hermitian structure on \mathbb{C}^2 and ‘ \leq ’ is understood as inequality between the associated Hermitian matrices. The verification of (1.6) is elementary and the curious reader is invited to check the inequalities at once—we will come back to them in §4 and give the required computations alongside the constant curvature case.

1.1.5. *Holomorphic blow-down structure.* Let now (M, g) be a compact oriented Riemannian surface with non-empty boundary ∂M . Let ν be the inward pointing unit normal to ∂M and define influx (+) and outflux (−) boundaries

$$\partial_\pm SM = \{(x, v) \in SM : \pm g(v, \nu(x)) \geq 0\}. \quad (1.7)$$

If ∂M is strictly convex and (M, g) is non-trapping (i.e. geodesics reach ∂M in finite time), then $\partial_+ SM$ can be thought of as parameter space for the geodesics of M .

Definition 1.2. We say that a map $\beta \in C^\infty(Z, \mathbb{C}^2)$ has a *holomorphic blow-down structure* if it has the following properties:

- (i) $\beta|_{\partial_+ SM} : \partial_+ SM \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a totally real C_α^∞ -embedding;
- (ii) the restriction of β to Z° is a biholomorphism onto its image;
- (iii) $\beta^*\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \geq c \underline{\Omega}$ for some constant $c > 0$.

Let us comment on the three properties: The first property implies in particular that β separates geodesics and is the strongest embedding property one can expect. Note that β being holomorphic implies that $X\beta|_{SM} = 0$ and since the geodesic vector field X is tangent to the boundary of $\partial_+ SM$, this enforces the Jacobian of $\beta|_{\partial_+ SM}$ to drop rank. To address this issue, we change the smooth structure near the boundary of $\partial_+ SM$ and refer to embeddings with respect to this new smooth structure as C_α^∞ -embeddings (see also Definition 2.2). Further, if S is a smooth

surface (such as $\partial_+ SM$ equipped with the adjusted smooth structure), then an embedding $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is called *totally real*, if

$$df_x(T_x S) \cap J_{f(x)} df_x(T_x S) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in S.$$

Here J is the complex structure of \mathbb{C}^2 . This aspect parallels LeBrun–Mason’s paper on Zoll surfaces [17], where the space of geodesics (the analogue of $\partial_+ SM$) is realised as a totally real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

The second property is equivalent to $\beta: Z^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ being both holomorphic and injective. In this case the image $\beta(Z^\circ)$ is automatically open and the Jacobian has full rank. To ensure that this property is preserved under small perturbations, it is supplemented by the third property, which corresponds to a uniform lower bound on the singular values of the Jacobian in an $\underline{\Omega}$ -orthonormal frame. If we were merely interested in β being an immersion on Z° , it would suffice to keep track of the determinant of this Jacobian, which is encoded in the 2-form $d\beta_1 \wedge d\beta_2$. In fact,

$$\beta^* \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \geq c \underline{\Omega} \text{ for some } c > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d\beta_1 \wedge d\beta_2 \neq 0 \text{ pointwise on } Z \quad (1.8)$$

(see Remark 7.5) and requiring the stronger property on the left is precisely what ensures that also injectivity of β persists under small perturbations. As will be shown later, the reverse inequality in (iii) holds automatically due to holomorphicity and thus having a holomorphic blow-down structure ensures that $\beta: Z^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a bi-Lipschitz map.

The definition of holomorphic blow down structure has been chosen such that it captures the characteristic behaviour of β -maps in special geometries, while at the same time being an open condition with respect to simultaneous variations of β and g , see Theorem 3.10 below.

1.2. Main theorems. The work on this article started with the question of how to appropriately generalise the Euclidean β -map from §1.1.3 to other geometries and how these maps behave under small perturbations. We discuss our approach to this systematically in §3 below—first, however, we shall present some facets and consequences that can be discussed without further preparation.

1.2.1. Global blow-downs. Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ be the closed unit disk. Our first result concerns constant curvature metrics on \mathbb{D} (see also §4 for a more precise formulation, allowing also curvatures with $|\kappa| \geq 1$).

Theorem 1.3. *Let $M = \mathbb{D}$ and $g = (1 + \kappa|z|^2)^{-2} |dz|^2$ ($-1 < \kappa < 1$). On transport twistor space $Z = \{(z, (1 + \kappa|z|^2)\mu)\} \subset T\mathbb{D}$ with coordinates $z, \mu \in \mathbb{D}$, the map*

$$\beta_\kappa(z, \mu) = \left(\frac{z - \mu^2 \bar{z}}{1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2}, \mu \frac{1 + \kappa|z|^2}{1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2} \right), \quad (z, \mu) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$$

has a holomorphic blow-down structure.

By means of a perturbation argument, we also obtain global blow-downs for nearby metrics. For simplicity we state the following theorem as an existence result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $M = \mathbb{D}$ and suppose that g is a Riemannian metric which is sufficiently close (in C^∞ -topology) to the Euclidean metric (or one of the constant curvature models from the preceding theorem). Then there exists a map $\beta \in C^\infty(Z, \mathbb{C}^2)$ with holomorphic blow-down structure.*

It turns out that the β -map in the theorem arises from a *canonical construction* that works for any simple surface (see Definition 3.1). It is canonical in the sense that it is obtained by an energy minimisation and a subsequent Szegő projection. This energy minimiser can be expressed via the geodesic X-ray transform and the inverse of its normal operator, see Definition 3.5. The underlying invertibility result for the normal operator was proved in [22].

1.2.2. *Local blow-downs.* Applying the preceding theorem to small geodesic disks, we deduce the following:

Theorem 1.5. *(Transport Newlander–Nirenberg theorem) Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian surface with twistor space Z . Then any point $p \in Z$ admits an open neighbourhood $U \subset Z$ and a holomorphic map $\beta: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ such that:*

- (i) $d\beta_1 \wedge d\beta_2 \neq 0$ pointwise on U ;
- (ii) if $q_1, q_2 \in U$ are two distinct points with $\beta(q_1) = \beta(q_2)$, then both points lie on a common geodesic on $U \cap SM$ —in particular, β is injective on $U \setminus SM$.

Consequently $\mathcal{O}(U) = \{f \in C^\infty(U) : f \text{ holomorphic}\}$ separates points in $U \setminus SM$.

In the context of involutive structures (cf. [33]) the existence of local solutions to $d\beta|_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$ with property (i) is referred to as *local integrability*. For $p \in Z \setminus SM$ local integrability is guaranteed by the classical Newlander–Nirenberg theorem (e.g. [15, Theorem 2.6.19]) and in this case property (ii) is a consequence of (i) and the inverse function theorem. The crux of the preceding theorem is that we obtain local integrability together with the separation property (ii) also near points $p \in SM$, where \mathcal{D} degenerates and the inverse function theorem cannot be applied.

Since this is a local result, it is also valid on the projective twistor space $Z_{\mathbb{P}}$ and it appears like this is the first such integrability result beyond LeBrun–Mason’s work in the Zoll setting.

1.2.3. *Application: Identity principles.* Let Z be the twistor space of an oriented Riemannian surface (M, g) and let Σ be a compact connected Riemann surface with non-empty boundary. We consider holomorphic maps

$$f: \Sigma \rightarrow Z$$

and refer to these as *holomorphic curves* parametrised by Σ . Here holomorphic means that f is smooth up to the boundary and satisfies $f_*(T^{0,1}\Sigma) \subset \mathcal{D}$.

An example of a holomorphic curve is the embedding of the closed unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| \leq 1\}$ as fibre of Z . Precisely, if $(x, v) \in SM$, then the fibre over x is parametrised by $f(\mu) = (x, \mu \cdot v)$. The local point separation of Theorem 1.5 has the following consequence:

Corollary 1.6 (Uniqueness of holomorphic curves). *Let $f_1, f_2: \Sigma \rightarrow Z$ be holomorphic curves with $f_1 = f_2$ on $\partial\Sigma$. Then $f_1 = f_2$ on all of Σ .*

Let now (M_i, g_i) ($i = 1, 2$) be two oriented Riemannian surfaces and Z_1 and Z_2 their associated twistor spaces. We say that a map $\Phi: Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ is holomorphic, if it is smooth up to the boundary and satisfies $\Phi_*(\mathcal{D}_1) \subset \mathcal{D}_2$. Such a map Φ is determined by its action on the fibres of Z_1 and hence Corollary 1.6 implies:

Corollary 1.7 (Uniqueness of holomorphic maps). *Suppose $\Phi, \Psi: Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ are two holomorphic maps with $\Phi|_{SM_1} = \Psi|_{SM_1}$. Then $\Phi = \Psi$ on Z_1 .*

We envisage that Corollary 1.7 will be useful to understand biholomorphisms between twistor spaces, in particular their automorphism groups. Note that the restriction of a biholomorphism to the unit tangent bundle induces a smooth orbit equivalence between geodesic flows, thus linking the classification of biholomorphisms to questions such as conjugacy rigidity and lens rigidity (see e.g. [3, 7, 10, 11] for some recent related works). The subject of biholomorphisms between twistor spaces will be addressed in forthcoming work.

1.2.4. *Strategy for the proof of Theorem 1.4.* As already pointed out, the map β_g in Theorem 1.4 is canonically constructed using the geodesic X-ray transform together with the inverse of its normal operator. The first step in the proof consists of showing that this canonical construction agrees with the explicit maps from Theorem 1.3; here we exploit the rotational symmetry to avoid the difficult task of inverting normal operators. Once this is achieved the core idea of the proof is simple—we show that $g \mapsto \beta_g$ is continuous in a suitable sense and ensure that the notion of holomorphic blow-down structure (Definition 1.2) survives small perturbations. Implementing this idea is technically challenging. The continuous dependence involves many moving pieces, such as changes in the C_α^∞ -structure or changes of the inverse of the normal operator in the Grubb–Hörmander framework used in [22]. At the same time, the perturbation theory of the holomorphic blow-down structure takes place on the non-compact manifold Z° and this requires dealing with a metric-dependent degeneracy of the complex structure.

1.3. **Structure of the article.** Section 2 discusses preliminaries, including fibre-wise Fourier analysis, X-ray transforms and their normal operators, the C_α^∞ -structure, the twistor space and the Hermitian metric we will use. Section 3 introduces the canonical β -maps for simple surfaces and sets up the framework for the perturbation theory. Section 4 is devoted to the constant curvature models and proves Theorem 1.3. In Sections 5 and 6 various continuous metric dependencies are carefully tracked. Section 7 contains the central openness statement needed for the perturbation theory. The proofs of the main theorems and corollaries are completed in Section 8. Finally, the paper is supplemented with two appendices providing facts needed for the continuity arguments; some of these facts were not readily available in the literature in the form we required.

Acknowledgements. JB would like to thank Gunther Uhlmann for inviting him to the University of Washington, where part of this research was carried out—this also enabled visiting UC Santa Cruz, where he was welcomed with much appreciated hospitality. Further thanks go to Hadrian Quan for several useful conversations about Hermitian structures on twistor space. FM was partially supported by NSF-CAREER grant DMS-1943580.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Fibrewise Fourier analysis. Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian surface. The vertical vector field V on SM is defined as the infinitesimal generator of the circle action $(x, v) \mapsto (x, e^{it}v)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Defining

$$\Omega_k = \{f \in C^\infty(SM) : Vf = ikf\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

any $u \in C^\infty(SM)$ has a unique decomposition $u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} u_k$ into its vertical Fourier modes $u_k \in \Omega_k$. Functions that only have non-trivial Fourier modes in degrees $k \geq 0$ are called *fibrewise holomorphic*. There are natural isomorphisms

$$\Omega_k \cong C^\infty(M, \otimes^k(T^{1,0}M)^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{-k} \cong C^\infty(M, \otimes^k(T^{0,1}M)^*), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where a k -tensor is pulled back to a function in $\Omega_k \subset C^\infty(SM)$ by inserting the velocity variable k -times.

Let now Z be the twistor space of (M, g) and consider the algebra of holomorphic functions on Z , assumed to be smooth up to the boundary:

$$\mathcal{A}(Z) = \{f \in C^\infty(Z) : f \text{ holomorphic}\}$$

As mentioned in §1.1.1, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}(Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ u \in C^\infty(SM) : Xu = 0, u = \sum_{k \geq 0} u_k \right\}$$

sending a holomorphic function on Z to its restriction on SM . For $m \geq 0$ define

$$\mathcal{A}_m(Z) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(Z) : f|_{SM} = \sum_{k \geq m} u_k \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_m := \left\{ a \in C^\infty(M, \otimes^m(T^{1,0}M)^*) : \bar{\partial}a = 0 \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Given an element $f \in \mathcal{A}_m(Z)$, we may select the m th Fourier mode of $f|_{SM}$ and – by means of isomorphism 2.1 – view this as a section $a \in C^\infty(M, (T^{1,0}M)^m)$. We then have $a \in \mathcal{H}_m$, the space of holomorphic m -differentials, that is, tensors that are locally of the form $A(z) dz^m$ for a holomorphic function $A(z)$. Writing $a = \pi_{m*}(f)$, the situation is neatly summarised in the following (not necessarily exact) sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{m+1}(Z) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_m(Z) \xrightarrow{\pi_{m*}} \mathcal{H}_m \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.4)$$

2.2. X-ray transforms and normal operator. Let (M, g) be a dimension $d \geq 2$ that is non-trapping and has a strictly convex boundary. The *geodesic X-ray transform* on (M, g) is defined as map

$$I: C_c^\infty(SM^\circ) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\partial_+ SM^\circ), \quad If(x, v) = \int_0^{\tau(x, v)} f(\varphi_t(x, v)) dt,$$

where (φ_t) is the geodesic flow on SM and $\tau(x, v) \geq 0$ is the hitting time of the orbit $\varphi_t(x, v)$ with ∂SM . Let ρ be a boundary defining function of M (that is, $\rho = 0$ and $d\rho \neq 0$ on ∂M) and consider this as function on SM . Then the X-ray transform extends to a continuous map

$$I: \rho^{-1/2} C^\infty(SM) \rightarrow C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM), \quad (2.5)$$

where $C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$ denotes the space of smooth maps $h: \partial_+ SM \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which the flow-invariant extension h^\sharp is smooth on all of SM (i.e. $h^\sharp \in C^\infty(SM)$, $Xh^\sharp = 0$ and $h^\sharp = h$ on $\partial_+ SM$). If $\pi: SM \rightarrow M$ is the base point projection, then we define $I_0 = I \circ \pi^*$ and the *normal operator* by

$$N_0: \rho^{-1/2} C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M), \quad N_0 f(x) := \pi_*(I_0 f)^\sharp(x) = 2 \int_{S_x M} dv \int_0^{\tau(x, v)} f \circ \varphi_t(x, v) dt.$$

We may view N_0 as $I_0^* I_0$, where I_0^* is the formal adjoint with respect to the *symplectic measure* on $\partial_+ SM$. This is given by $g(\nu(x), v) d\Sigma^{2d-2}$, where $d\Sigma^{2d-2}$ is the volume form for the Sasaki metric. For the corresponding norm, we use the notation

$$\|h\|_{\text{sym}}^2 = \int_{\partial_+ SM} |h(x, v)|^2 \cdot g(v, \nu(x)) d\Sigma^{2d-2}(x, v), \quad h \in C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM).$$

(This is frequently denoted $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ or $\|\cdot\|_{L_\mu^2(\partial_+ SM)}$, but we avoid the μ -notation, as to not cause confusion with the canonical variables on twistor space.)

2.2.1. Two-dimension case. If $d = 2$, we may restrict the X-ray transform to the k th Fourier mode to obtain maps

$$I_k: \rho^{-1/2} \Omega_k \rightarrow C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.6)$$

For $k = 0$ this corresponds to I_0 , as defined above, if we identify Ω_0 with $C^\infty(M)$. Writing $(\cdot)_k$ for the projection onto the k th mode, we define normal operators

$$N_k: \rho^{-1/2} \Omega_k \rightarrow \Omega_k, \quad N_k f = ((I_k f)^\sharp)_k. \quad (2.7)$$

2.3. The C_α^∞ -structure. Let (M, g) be a non-trapping manifold with strictly convex boundary. The space $C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$, defined below (2.5), can be viewed as space of *all* smooth functions with respect to a modified smooth structure on $\partial_+ SM$. To see this, we embed M into the interior of a slightly larger manifold (\hat{M}, g) which is also non-trapping and has a strictly convex boundary. Let $\hat{\tau} \in C^\infty(M, [0, \infty))$ denote the exit time of \hat{M} and consider the smooth map

$$F_g: \partial SM \rightarrow \partial S\hat{M}, \quad F_g(x, v) = \hat{\varphi}_{-\hat{\tau}(x, -v)}(x, v), \quad (2.8)$$

where $(\hat{\varphi}_t)$ is the geodesic flow of (\hat{M}, g) . As discussed in [26, §5.2] this map restricts to an embedding on $\partial_+ SM^\circ$ and has the structure of a *Whitney fold* near every point $(x_0, v_0) \in \partial_0 SM$. The latter property means that there are local coordinates (u_1, \dots, u_{2d-2}) and (v_1, \dots, v_{2d-2}) , centred at (x_0, v_0) and $F_g(x_0, v_0)$, respectively, in which F_g has the following normal form:

$$F_g(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2d-2}) = (u_1^2, u_2, \dots, u_{2d-2}) \quad (2.9)$$

As an immediate consequence, $S_g = F_g(\partial_+ SM) \subset \partial SM$ is a smooth submanifold with boundary and $F_g: \partial_+ SM \rightarrow S_g$ is a homeomorphism.

Definition 2.1. On $\partial_+ SM$ a new smooth structure is defined by transferring the smooth structure of S_g via the homeomorphism $F_g: \partial_+ SM \rightarrow S_g$. The structure will be referred to as C_α^∞ -*structure* and its maximal atlas will be denoted by \mathcal{A}_α .

The space of \mathcal{A}_α -smooth functions $C^\infty(\partial_+ SM, \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ coincides with $C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$, as we can verify by means of the following chain of equalities:

$$C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM) = F_g^* C^\infty(\partial SM) = F_g^* C^\infty(S_g) = C^\infty(\partial_+ SM, \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$$

The first equality is a consequence of Theorem C.4.4 in [14], the second one follows from $S_g \subset \partial SM$ being a smooth submanifold and the last one holds by definition.

Definition 2.2. A map $f: \partial_+ SM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is called C_α^∞ -*embedding*, if it is an injective \mathcal{A}_α -smooth immersion. (Equivalently, if it is a smooth embedding of $(\partial_+ SM, \mathcal{A}_\alpha)$.)

Remark 2.3. In the local coordinate system from (2.9) any $f \in C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM, \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfies $\partial_{u_1}|_{u_1=0} f = 0$ and thus – using the standard smooth structure – it cannot be an immersion up to the boundary. For \mathcal{A}_α -smooth immersions one instead considers rescaled Jacobians, where $\partial_{u_1} f$ is replaced by $u_1^{-1} \partial_{u_1} f$.

2.4. Hermitian manifolds. A Hermitian metric on a complex n -manifold (X, J) is a Riemannian metric G for which J is an isometry. The real $(1, 1)$ -form $\Omega(\cdot, \cdot) = G(J\cdot, \cdot)$ is called the *fundamental 2-form* of G , and with respect to a local frame η_1, \dots, η_n of $\Lambda^{1,0} X$ it is given by

$$\Omega = i \sum_{j,k=1}^n H_{jk} \cdot \eta_j \wedge \bar{\eta}_k \quad (2.10)$$

for a positive definite Hermitian $n \times n$ -matrix $H = (H_{jk})$. We also refer to Ω itself as the Hermitian metric, with the understanding that $G = \Omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ is the actual metric tensor. The associated Riemannian quantities will be labelled with Ω , e.g. d_Ω is the Riemannian distance function and $|\cdot|_\Omega^2$ is the induced inner product on forms. Given two Hermitian metrics Ω and Ω' we write $\Omega \leq \Omega'$ if and only if $G' - G$ is positive semi-definite (or equivalently if $H' - H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is positive semi-definite in any coordinate chart).

2.4.1. *Hermitian metrics on twistor space.* Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian surface with twistor space Z . To study twistor space invariantly, it is convenient to consider Z as the base of the principal circle bundle

$$\mathbf{p}: SM \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow Z, \quad \mathbf{p}(x, v, \omega) = (x, \omega \cdot v),$$

where the product $\omega \cdot v$ is defined by means of the complex structure of $T_x M$. It turns out that $\mathbf{p}^*(\Lambda^{0,1} Z^\circ)$ has a global frame consisting of 1-forms

$$\tau, \gamma \in \Omega^1(SM \times \mathbb{D}^\circ, \mathbb{C}).$$

Let \mathbf{V} be the vector field on $SM \times \mathbb{D}$ that generates the circle action $(x, v, \omega) \triangleleft e^{it} = (x, e^{it}v, e^{-it}\omega)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$) and denote with $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{V}}$ the associated Lie derivative. Then $\tau, \gamma \in \ker(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{V}} - i)$ and thus $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{V}}(\bar{\tau} \wedge \tau) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{V}}(\bar{\gamma} \wedge \gamma) = \dots = 0$. As a consequence, the \mathbf{p} -push-forwards of these 2-forms exist and yields a global frame

$$\{\mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\tau} \wedge \tau), \mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\tau} \wedge \gamma), \mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\gamma} \wedge \tau), \mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\gamma} \wedge \gamma)\} \subset \Lambda^{1,1} Z^\circ.$$

Using this frame, we associate to any $H = (H_{ij}) \in C^\infty(Z^\circ, \text{Her}_2^+)$ a Hermitian metric

$$\Omega = i \{H_{11} \mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\tau} \wedge \tau) + H_{12} \mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\tau} \wedge \gamma) + H_{21} \mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\gamma} \wedge \tau) + H_{22} \mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\gamma} \wedge \gamma)\}$$

on Z° and this gives the 1 : 1-correspondence from §1.1.4. In this article, we only work with the distinguished metric $\underline{\Omega}$ defined in (1.5). For further details on this invariant approach to twistor spaces and the definition of τ, γ , we refer to [2, 1].

2.5. **Twistor space of conformally Euclidean disks.** If $M = \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ is equipped with the conformally Euclidean metric $e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2$, where $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$, then the twistor space is biholomorphic to

$$Z = \{(z, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z|, |\mu| \leq 1\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\sigma = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Xi_\sigma, \partial_{\bar{\mu}}),$$

where

$$\Xi_\sigma = e^{-\sigma} (\mu^2 \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}} + (\mu^2 \partial_z \sigma - \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma)(\bar{\mu} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} - \mu \partial_\mu)) \in C^\infty(Z, T_{\mathbb{C}} Z). \quad (2.11)$$

The biholomorphism of this model (which, by abuse of notation, is also denoted Z), with the transport twistor space of $(\mathbb{D}, e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2)$ is provided by the map

$$Z \rightarrow Z(\mathbb{D}, e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2), \quad (z, \mu) \mapsto (z, e^{-\sigma(z)}(\mu \partial_z + \bar{\mu} \partial_{\bar{z}})) \in T\mathbb{D}. \quad (2.12)$$

We refer to [2, §4.2] for more details and will henceforth understand this identification implicitly. A direct computation shows that the dual frame to $\{\Xi_\sigma, \partial_{\bar{\mu}}\}$ is given by the following 1-forms:

$$\Xi_\sigma^\vee = e^\sigma \frac{d\bar{z} - \bar{\mu}^2 dz}{1 - |\mu|^4}, \quad \partial_{\bar{\mu}}^\vee = d\bar{\mu} + \bar{\mu} \{\partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma d\bar{z} - \partial_z \sigma dz\} \quad (2.13)$$

Further, under the isomorphism in (2.12) it turns out that $\bar{\Xi}_\sigma^\vee \wedge \Xi_\sigma^\vee = \mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\tau} \wedge \tau)$, $\bar{\Xi}_\sigma^\vee \wedge \partial_{\bar{\mu}}^\vee = \mathbf{p}_*(\bar{\tau} \wedge \gamma)$, ... and thus the Hermitian metric encoded by $H \in C^\infty(Z^\circ, \text{Her}_2^+)$ takes the form (2.10), when we choose $\{\eta_1, \eta_2\} = \{\bar{\Xi}_\sigma^\vee, \partial_{\bar{\mu}}^\vee\}$ as frame of $\Lambda^{1,0} Z^\circ$. In particular, the distinguished metric $\underline{\Omega}$ from §1.1.4 is given by

$$\underline{\Omega}_\sigma = i(1 - |\mu|^4)^2 \bar{\Xi}_\sigma^\vee \wedge \Xi_\sigma^\vee + i \partial_{\bar{\mu}}^\vee \wedge \partial_{\bar{\mu}}^\vee. \quad (2.14)$$

3. CANONICAL β -MAPS AND THEIR PERTURBATION THEORY

The Euclidean β -map arises in a rather systematic way. We will demonstrate this in the context of simple surfaces, where we define the notion of β -extensions and study their behaviour under perturbations of the metric.

Definition 3.1. A compact Riemannian surface (M, g) is called *simple* if it is non-trapping, free of conjugate points and has a strictly convex boundary ∂M .

 3.1. Canonical β -maps for simple surfaces.

3.1.1. *Holomorphic extensions.* The Euclidean β -map in (1.3) has the components $\beta^{(0)}(z, \mu) = z - \mu^2 \bar{z}$ and $\beta^{(1)}(z, \mu) = \mu$. In terms of the spaces $\mathcal{A}_m(Z)$ and \mathcal{H}_m and the maps π_{m*} defined in §2.1 we may express this as:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{(0)} &\in \mathcal{A}_0(Z) & \text{and} & & \pi_{0,*}\beta^{(0)} &= z \in \mathcal{H}_0 \\ \beta^{(1)} &\in \mathcal{A}_1(Z) & \text{and} & & \pi_{1,*}\beta^{(1)} &= dz \in \mathcal{H}_1 \end{aligned}$$

That is, β is a holomorphic extension of the tuple $(z, dz) \in \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_1$. Due to a classical result of Pestov-Uhlmann [27] this type of holomorphic extension exists for general simple surfaces (see [2, 1] for a discussion in the context of twistor spaces).

Theorem (Holomorphic extensions). *Let (M, g) be simple and Z its transport twistor space. Then the following sequence is exact:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{m+1}(Z) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_m(Z) \xrightarrow{\pi_{m*}} \mathcal{H}_m \rightarrow 0$$

Of course, the holomorphic extension of (z, dz) is far from unique, but we shall see below that there is a canonical choice that is consistent with the Euclidean β -map.

3.1.2. *Canonical first integrals.* Let us first discuss a canonical choice of first integral on SM , that is based on the following result from [22].

Proposition 3.2 (Isomorphism property). *Let (M, g) be simple and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the normal operator $N_k: \rho^{-1/2}\Omega_k \rightarrow \Omega_k$ (defined in §2.2) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. For $k = 0$ this is part of Theorem 2.2 of [22]. For $k \neq 0$, we introduce the attenuation $a = e^{-ik\theta} X(e^{ik\theta}) \in \Omega_{-1} \oplus \Omega_1$, where the angle θ is chosen with respect to a trivialisation of SM . One can check that N_k fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho^{-1/2}\Omega_0 & \xrightarrow{N_{a,0}} & \Omega_0 \\ \times e^{ik\theta} \downarrow & & \downarrow \times e^{ik\theta} \\ \rho^{-1/2}\Omega_k & \xrightarrow{N_k} & \Omega_k, \end{array}$$

where $N_{a,0} = I_{a,0}^* I_{a,0}$ is the normal operator of the attenuated X-ray transform $I_{a,0}$ considered in §12.2 of [26]. It is then enough to prove the corresponding isomorphism property for $N_{a,0}$ and this can be done by the same methods as in [22]. \square

Proposition 3.3 (Canonical first integrals). *Let (M, g) be simple and $f \in \Omega_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a solution $u \in C^\infty(SM)$ to the problem*

$$Xu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_k = f \quad (3.1)$$

and this solution is unique, if one requires any of the following equivalent conditions:

- (i) $\|u|_{\partial_+ SM}\|_{\text{sym}}$ is minimal amongst all solutions to (3.1);
- (ii) $u|_{\partial_+ SM}$ lies in the range of $I_k: \rho^{-1/2}\Omega_k \rightarrow C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$;
- (iii) $u = [I_k N_k^{-1} f]^\sharp$.

Proof. Let $h = u|_{\partial_+ SM} \in C_\alpha^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$, then $I_k^* h = f$. Suppose that $h = I_k a$ for some $a \in \rho^{-1/2}\Omega_k$, then we must have $N_k a = I_k^* I_k a = f$ and hence $a = N_k^{-1} f$. This shows that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Let $h' = u'|_{\partial_+ SM}$ for any other solution u' to (3.1). Then $\langle h, h - h' \rangle_{\text{sym}} = \langle I_k a, h - h' \rangle_{\text{sym}} = \langle a, I_k^*(h - h') \rangle_{L^2(SM)} = 0$ and hence

$$\|h\|_{\text{sym}}^2 = |\langle h, h' \rangle_{\text{sym}}| \leq \|h\|_{\text{sym}} \cdot \|h'\|_{\text{sym}},$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Hence (ii) implies (i). Vice versa, if h' is also an energy minimiser, then in the previous display we have equality, and thus h and h' have to be linearly dependent, which is only possible if $u = u'$. \square

As discussed in §3.1.1, the Euclidean β -map from (1.3) is a holomorphic extension of (z, dz) . In view of the preceding proposition, we now see that it is singled out amongst all such extensions by having minimal $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sym}}$ -energy.

Proposition 3.4 (Extremality of Euclidean β -map). *Let $M = \mathbb{D}$, equipped with the Euclidean metric. Then the map $\beta(z, \mu) = (z - \mu^2 \bar{z}, \mu)$ satisfies*

$$\beta(z, \mu) = ([I_0 N_0^{-1}(z)]^\sharp, [I_1 N_1^{-1}(dz)]^\sharp), \quad (z, \mu) \in S\mathbb{D}.$$

This proposition is proved together with the constant curvature case in §4 – see also Theorem 4.3, where it is restated in context. When the metric is rotation-invariant, the proof relies on the unique identifiability of certain geodesic first integrals which are also rotation-equivariant, see Lemma 4.4 below.

3.1.3. *Definition of β -maps on simple surfaces.* Let (M, g) be a simple surface. Guided by the preceding considerations, we now describe how to canonically extend a pair $(f, df) \in \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_1$ to a holomorphic map $\beta: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ on twistor space. As the first integrals in Proposition 3.3 might not always be fibrewise holomorphic (even if $k \geq 0$) we introduce the Szegő projectors $\mathbb{S}_{\text{ev}}, \mathbb{S}_{\text{odd}}: C^\infty(SM) \rightarrow C^\infty(SM)$:

$$\mathbb{S}_{\text{ev}} u = \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{2k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{S}_{\text{odd}} u = \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{2k+1} \quad (3.2)$$

The Szegő projections of an invariant function remain invariant if the lowest mode (i.e. u_0 or u_1) is in the kernel if η_- .

Definition 3.5. Let (M, g) be a simple surface and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a holomorphic map. The β -extension of f is defined as the holomorphic map $\beta: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$\beta|_{SM} = (\mathbb{S}_{\text{ev}}(I_0 N_0^{-1} f)^\sharp, \mathbb{S}_{\text{odd}}(I_1 N_1^{-1} df)^\sharp).$$

Remark 3.6. The β -map in Theorem 1.4 is precisely the β -extension of an appropriate holomorphic embedding $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If g is already known to be conformally Euclidean, then one can simply take $f(z) = z$.

This leads to the following question:

Question 3.7. Does every simple surface (M, g) admit an embedding $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the β -extension $\beta: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ has a holomorphic blow-down structure?

3.2. Perturbation theory for β -maps. Instead of addressing Question 3.7 directly, we prove that the canonical β -maps depend continuously on the metric and that the property of having a holomorphic blow-down structure is preserved under perturbations. In view of the Riemann mapping theorem (or rather its version with continuous dependence on the metric, discussed in §A.1) it suffices to consider conformally Euclidean metrics and we shall do this from now on.

3.2.1. Continuous dependence on the conformal factor. We now consider the β -extensions from Definition 3.5 in the conformally Euclidean case. For this, write

$$\Sigma_s = \{\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R}) : e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2 \text{ is simple}\}$$

and note that this is an open set in the C^∞ -topology. As perturbing σ does not change the complex structure of M , the spaces \mathcal{H}_m of holomorphic m -differentials remain unchanged and $(z, dz) \in \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_1$. Further, via the isomorphism in (2.12), the β -extensions of $f(z) = z$ for varying σ 's can all be considered as maps on the same space $Z = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$:

$$\beta_\sigma: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_s$$

We collect the relevant properties of these maps in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.8. *Let $Z = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_s$. Then:*

- (i) $\beta_\sigma: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is \mathcal{D}_σ -holomorphic, i.e. $\Xi_\sigma \beta_\sigma = \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \beta_\sigma = 0$;
- (ii) if $\sigma = -\log(1 + \kappa|z|^2)$, then β_σ equals the map β_κ from Theorem 1.3;
- (iii) the following map is continuous:

$$\sigma \mapsto \beta_\sigma, \quad \Sigma_s \rightarrow C^\infty(Z, \mathbb{C}^2).$$

Of course, (i) follows directly by construction and (ii) is a consequence of Proposition 3.4 (or rather, its extension to constant curvature). The novel part of the theorem is thus the continuity claim and this is proved by keeping track of the metric dependencies at each step in the construction in §3.1.

Remark 3.9. There is an alternative way to obtain a continuous family as in Theorem 3.8(iii), at least in the vicinity of a fixed background map, say β_0 . Consider the vector field $\mathbb{X}_\sigma = \bar{\mu} \Xi_\sigma|_{S\mathbb{D}} = e^{-\sigma}(X + \lambda_\sigma V)$ on $S\mathbb{D}$. This generates the geodesic flow of $e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2$ when projecting it onto $S\mathbb{D}$ (see Remark 5.1). Similar to Proposition 3.1 in [2] one can show for $\sigma \in \Sigma_s$ that

$$\mathbb{X}_\sigma: \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega_k \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \geq -1} \Omega_k \quad \text{is onto,}$$

with a right inverse R_σ obeying estimates $\|R_\sigma(f)\|_{H^s} \leq C_s(\sigma) \cdot \|f\|_{H^{s+1}}$ in a Sobolev scale ($H^s(S\mathbb{D}) : s \geq 0$). Viewing β_0 as \mathbb{C}^2 -valued function in $\oplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega_k \cap \ker X$, we set

$$\beta'_\sigma := \beta_0 - R_\sigma(e^{-\sigma} \lambda_\sigma V \beta_0), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_s.$$

This is automatically fibrewise holomorphic and lies in the kernel of \mathbb{X}_σ , hence it extends to a holomorphic function on (Z, \mathcal{D}_σ) . Moreover,

$$\|\beta'_\sigma - \beta_0\|_{H^s(S\mathbb{D})} \lesssim C_s(\sigma) \|e^{-\sigma} \lambda_\sigma\|_{H^{s+1}(S\mathbb{D})} = C_s(\sigma) \times o(1), \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Showing continuity of the family $(\beta'_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma_s)$ at $\sigma = 0$ thus requires control of the constants $C_s(\sigma)$ in σ . Getting this control is possible by slightly softer methods than used in the proof of Theorem 3.8(iii). However, the so-obtained β -maps are not canonical in any sense.

3.2.2. Openness of the holomorphic blow-down structure. Define the set

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(\beta, \sigma) \in C^\infty(Z, \mathbb{C}^2) \times \Sigma_s : \Xi_\sigma \beta = \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \beta = 0\}.$$

This is closed with respect to the ambient C^∞ -topology and contains as subset the collection of tuples with holomorphic blow-down structure:

$$\mathcal{I}_+ = \{(\beta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I} : \beta \text{ has holomorphic blow-down structure w.r.t. } \mathcal{D}_\sigma \text{ and } \underline{\Omega}_\sigma\}.$$

Theorem 3.10. $\mathcal{I}_+ \subset \mathcal{I}$ is open.

The two preceding results (Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.10) immediately imply Theorem 1.4 for conformal perturbation. For details on this, we refer the reader to the discussion in §8.2, where also non-conformal perturbations are addressed.

4. EXPLICIT β -MAPS FOR CONSTANT CURVATURE MODELS

In this section, we show that for simple geodesic discs in constant curvature, explicit maps with holomorphic blow-down structure can be constructed (see Theorem 4.1 below), and that this map is canonical in the sense of definition 3.5 (see Theorem 4.3 below). We first state the main results in Section 4.1 before covering the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 in Sections 4.2 and 4.3, respectively.

4.1. Main results. Fix $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $g_\kappa = e^{2\sigma} |dz|^2$ on $M = \mathbb{D}_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z|^2 \leq R^2\}$, where $e^{2\sigma} = (1 + \kappa z \bar{z})^{-2}$. When $|\kappa R^2| < 1$, (\mathbb{D}_R, g_κ) is a simple geodesic disk of constant curvature 4κ . The first main result of this section is an explicit construction of a blowdown map for any such surface.

Theorem 4.1. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R > 0$ such that $|\kappa R^2| < 1$, the map $\beta \equiv \beta^\kappa$ given by

$$\beta(z, \mu) = (w, \xi) = \left(\frac{z - \mu^2 \bar{z}}{1 + \kappa z \bar{z} \mu^2}, \mu \frac{1 + \kappa z \bar{z}}{1 + \kappa z \bar{z} \mu^2} \right), \quad (z, \mu) \in Z_{\kappa, R} \quad (4.1)$$

has a holomorphic blow-down structure.

Remark 4.2. One can arrive at expression (4.1) by exploiting the rotation-invariance of g_κ . In this case, one seeks $\beta|_{SM}$ as an \mathbb{S}^1 -equivariant (in the sense that $\beta(e^{it}z, e^{it}\mu) = e^{it}\beta(z, \mu)$), fiberwise holomorphic first integral, whose Fourier modes can be solved one-by-one by solving ordinary differential equations in the radial base coordinate.

The second main result of this section shows that for the surfaces considered in this section, the β map of Theorem 4.1 arises precisely as the β -extension of the map $f(z) = z$ (in the sense of definition 3.5), in this case without the need for the Szegő projectors $\mathbb{S}_{\text{ev}}, \mathbb{S}_{\text{odd}}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Fix $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R > 0$ such that $|\kappa|R^2 < 1$. Then the β map defined in Theorem 4.1 satisfies*

$$\beta|_{SM} = ((I_0 N_0^{-1} z)^\sharp, (I_1 N_1^{-1} dz)^\sharp).$$

Such a result exploits the fact that in the case of rotationally symmetric metrics, more can be said about the Fourier modes of invariant distributions satisfying certain equivariance properties, see Lemma 4.4 below.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1. As a preliminary, we will denote by \mathfrak{m} a distinguished function that will appear several times throughout. Namely, the function $x \mapsto \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$ has positive derivative on $(-1, 1)$ and as such induces a bijection from $(-1, 1)$ onto $(-1/4, \infty)$. This implies that $y = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$ for some $x \in (-1, 1)$ if and only if

$$1 - x = \mathfrak{m}(y) := \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{y + \frac{1}{4}} \right)^{-1} \in (0, 2), \quad y \in (-1/4, \infty). \quad (4.2)$$

Since the metric is conformally Euclidean as in Section 2.5, the twistor space $Z_{\kappa, R}$ of (\mathbb{D}_R, g_κ) has involutive distribution spanned by $\partial_{\bar{\mu}}$ and $\Xi = \Xi_\sigma$ as defined Eq. (2.11). With $e^{-\sigma} = 1 + \kappa z \bar{z}$ here, this gives

$$\Xi = (1 + \kappa z \bar{z}) \left(\mu^2 \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}} - \kappa \frac{\bar{z} \mu^2 - z}{1 + \kappa z \bar{z}} (\bar{\mu} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} - \mu \partial_\mu) \right). \quad (4.3)$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We verify the three conditions in Definition 1.2.

(i) $\beta|_{\partial_+ S\mathbb{D}_R}$ is a totally real C_α^∞ -embedding. We first show that $\beta|_{\partial_+ S\mathbb{D}_R^{g_\kappa}}$ is injective. Evaluating the map $\beta = (w, \xi)$ at a point $(z, \mu) = (Re^{i\omega}, e^{i(\omega+\alpha+\pi)})$ in $\partial_+ S\mathbb{D}_R^{g_\kappa}$ (i.e., with $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\alpha \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$), a direct calculation gives

$$(w, \xi)(Re^{i\omega}, e^{i(\omega+\alpha+\pi)}) = \left(Re^{i\omega} \frac{1 - e^{2i\alpha}}{1 + \kappa R^2 e^{2i\alpha}}, e^{i(\omega+\alpha+\pi)} \frac{1 + \kappa R^2}{1 + \kappa R^2 e^{2i\alpha}} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

Thus $w/\xi = \frac{2iR \sin \alpha}{1 + \kappa R^2}$ uniquely determines α , and then ω is determined by either relation in (4.4).

Next we verify that $\beta|_{\partial_+ S\mathbb{D}_R^{g_\kappa}}$ is a totally real C_α^∞ -embedding, and we first discuss the smooth structure near the glancing. Observe that the scattering relation is the map $(e^{i\omega}, e^{i\alpha}) \mapsto (e^{i(\omega+\pi+2\mathfrak{s}(\alpha))}, e^{i(\pi-\alpha)})$ where $\mathfrak{s}(\alpha) := \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1 - \kappa R^2}{1 + \kappa R^2} \tan \alpha \right)$, see e.g.

[21, Sec. 4.1]. Then two coordinates which are respectively odd and even with respect to the scattering relation in a neighborhood of the glancing are $u_1 = \cos \alpha$ and $u_2 = \omega + \mathfrak{s}(\alpha)$, and hence the C_α^∞ smooth structure is precisely made of functions that are smooth in u_1^2 and u_2 in a neighbourhood of $\partial_0 S\mathbb{D}_R^{g_\kappa}$. Then the totally real C_α^∞ -embedding property is fulfilled by checking that

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial (u_1)^2}, \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u_2}, J \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial (u_1)^2}, J \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u_2} \right) = \frac{1}{4u_1^2} \det \left(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u_1}, \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u_2}, J \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u_1}, J \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u_2} \right)$$

is nowhere vanishing near $\partial_0 S\mathbb{D}_R^{g_\kappa}$ (along with β having a non-vanishing Jacobian at interior points). With $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} = \frac{-1}{\sin \alpha} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \mathfrak{s}'(\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \right)$, this amounts to showing that

$$\frac{1}{(\cos \alpha)^2} \det \left(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \omega}, \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha}, J \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \omega}, J \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} \right) (\omega, \alpha) \neq 0, \quad \text{near } \partial_0 S\mathbb{D}_R^{g_\kappa}.$$

To proceed, we write $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \omega} = V_1 + \overline{V_1}$ and $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} = V_2 + \overline{V_2}$, where

$$V_1 := \frac{\partial w}{\partial \omega} \partial_w + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \omega} \partial_\xi = \frac{1}{1 + \kappa R^2 e^{2i\alpha}} (Rie^{i\omega}(1 - e^{2i\alpha})\partial_w + ie^{i(\omega+\alpha+\pi)}(1 + \kappa R^2)\partial_\xi),$$

$$V_2 := \frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha} \partial_w + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \alpha} \partial_\xi = \frac{1 + \kappa R^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2 e^{2i\alpha})^2} (-2iRe^{i(\omega+2\alpha)}\partial_w + ie^{i(\omega+\alpha+\pi)}(1 - \kappa R^2 e^{2i\alpha})\partial_\xi).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \omega}, \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha}, J \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \omega}, J \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \alpha} \right) &= \det(V_1 + \overline{V_1}, V_2 + \overline{V_2}, i(V_1 - \overline{V_1}), i(V_2 - \overline{V_2})) \\ &= -4 \det(V_1, V_2, \overline{V_1}, \overline{V_2}) \\ &= -4 \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial \omega} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \omega} \right|^2 \\ &= -4 \frac{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2}{|1 + \kappa R^2 e^{2i\alpha}|^4} R^2 |1 + e^{2i\alpha}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Upon dividing both sides by $(\cos \alpha)^2$, we arrive at the result. The same calculation shows that $\beta|_{(\partial_+ S\mathbb{D}_R^{g_\kappa})^\circ}$ is a local embedding at interior points.

(ii) $\beta|_{Z_{\kappa,R}^\circ}$ is a biholomorphism onto its image. That $\partial_{\bar{\mu}} w = \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \xi = 0$ is immediate, while $\Xi w = \Xi \xi = 0$ is a tedious, yet direct, calculation. Hence $\beta|_{Z_{\kappa,R}^\circ}$ is holomorphic. We now show that $\beta|_{Z_{\kappa,R}^\circ}$ is a bijection of $Z_{\kappa,R}^\circ$ onto

$$\left\{ (w, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |\kappa w^2 + \xi^2| < 1, \left| \frac{w + \bar{w}(\kappa w^2 + \xi^2)}{1 - |\kappa w^2 + \xi^2|^2} \right| < \frac{R}{1 - \kappa R^2} \right\}. \quad (4.5)$$

To first clarify the above range, we introduce the two intermediate variables

$$a := \frac{w + \bar{w}(\kappa w^2 + \xi^2)}{1 - |\kappa w^2 + \xi^2|^2}, \quad \tau^2 := -(\kappa w^2 + \xi^2). \quad (4.6)$$

Combined with (4.1), they take the following form in terms of (z, μ) :

$$a = \frac{z}{1 - \kappa|z|^2}, \quad \tau^2 = -\frac{\kappa z^2 + \mu^2}{1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2}. \quad (4.7)$$

The map $z \mapsto a$ implies $\arg(z) = \arg(a)$ and $|a|^2 = \frac{|z|^2}{(1 - \kappa|z|^2)^2}$, and the function $y = \frac{x}{(1 - \kappa x)^2}$ is an increasing bijection from $[0, R^2]_x$ to $[0, R^2/(1 - \kappa R^2)^2]_y$. Then with z (or equivalently a) fixed, the map $\mu^2 \rightarrow \tau^2$ is a bijection of \mathbb{D}° as the action of the Möbius map $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\kappa z^2 \\ \kappa \bar{z}^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (an automorphism of \mathbb{D}° since $|\kappa z^2| < 1$). Hence, the map $(z, \mu^2) \mapsto (a, \tau^2)$ is a bijection from $\mathbb{D}_R \times \mathbb{D}^\circ$ to $\mathbb{D}_{R/(1 - \kappa R^2)} \times \mathbb{D}^\circ$, with inverse

$$z = a \mathbf{m}(\kappa|a|^2), \quad \mu^2 = -\frac{\tau^2 + \kappa z^2}{1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \tau^2} = -\frac{\tau^2 + \kappa a^2 \mathbf{m}(\kappa|a|^2)^2}{1 + \tau^2 \kappa \bar{a}^2 \mathbf{m}(\kappa|a|^2)^2}.$$

The bi-disk $\mathbb{D}_{R/(1 - \kappa R^2)}^\circ \times \mathbb{D}^\circ$ together with relations (4.6) make up the description (4.5) of the image of β .

Finally, we invert β . For (w, ξ) in the set (4.5), the quantity τ^2 defined in (4.6) belongs to the open unit disk, so the quantity a defined in (4.6) is well-defined and with $|a| < \frac{R}{1 - \kappa R^2}$. From (4.7), $a(w, \xi)$ determines a unique $z \in \mathbb{D}_R^\circ$ and τ^2 uniquely determines $\mu^2 = -\frac{\tau^2 + \kappa z^2}{1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \tau^2} \in \mathbb{D}^\circ$. Finally to determine μ , note that

$$1 + \kappa w \bar{z} \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \frac{1 + \kappa|z|^2}{1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2} \stackrel{(4.7)}{=} \frac{1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \tau^2}{1 - \kappa|z|^2} \neq 0,$$

so that the expression $\mu = \frac{\xi}{1 + \kappa w \bar{z}}$, which follows directly from (4.1), is well-defined and uniquely determines μ .

(iii) $\beta^* \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \geq c \underline{\Omega}$ for some constant $c > 0$. Recall that $\underline{\Omega} = i(\eta_1 \wedge \bar{\eta}_1 + \eta_2 \wedge \bar{\eta}_2)$, where

$$\eta_1 = (1 - |\mu|^4) \bar{\Xi}^\vee = \frac{dz - \mu^2 d\bar{z}}{1 + \kappa z \bar{z}}, \quad \eta_2 = \partial_\mu^\vee = d\mu + \frac{\kappa \mu}{1 + \kappa z \bar{z}} (z d\bar{z} - \bar{z} dz).$$

Denoting $(w, \xi) = \beta(z, \mu)$ complex coordinates on the image of β , for which $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} = i(dw \wedge d\bar{w} + d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^* dw &= \frac{1 + \kappa z \bar{z}}{(1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2)^2} ((1 - \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2) \eta_1 - 2\mu \bar{z} \eta_2) \\ \beta^* d\xi &= \frac{1 + \kappa z \bar{z}}{(1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2)^2} (2\kappa \mu \bar{z} \eta_1 + (1 - \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2) \eta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Writing the above succinctly as

$$\beta^* dw = a \eta_1 + b \eta_2, \quad \beta^* d\xi = c \eta_1 + d \eta_2,$$

we have $\beta^* \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} = i \sum_{j,k=1}^2 H_{jk} \eta_j \wedge \bar{\eta}_k$, where

$$H_{11} = |a|^2 + |c|^2, \quad H_{12} = \overline{H_{21}} = a\bar{b} + c\bar{d}, \quad H_{22} = |b|^2 + |d|^2.$$

Then minorizing $\beta^*\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ by $\underline{\Omega}$ is done by minorizing the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix $H = \{H_{jk}\}$, given by

$$\lambda_{\min} = \frac{\operatorname{tr} H}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr} H}{2}\right)^2 - \det H} = \frac{\det H}{\frac{\operatorname{tr} H}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr} H}{2}\right)^2 - \det H}} \geq \frac{\det H}{\operatorname{tr} H}.$$

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \det H &= |ad - bc|^2 = \frac{(1 + \kappa z \bar{z})^4}{|1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2|^8} |(1 - \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2)^2 + 4\kappa \mu^2 \bar{z}^2|^2 = \frac{(1 + \kappa z \bar{z})^4}{|1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2|^4}, \\ \operatorname{tr} H &= |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 = 2 \frac{(1 + \kappa z \bar{z})^2}{|1 + \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2|^4} [|1 - \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2|^2 + 2|\bar{z} \mu|^2(1 + \kappa)], \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\lambda_{\min} \geq \frac{\det H}{\operatorname{tr} H} = \frac{(1 + \kappa z \bar{z})^2}{2|1 - \kappa \bar{z}^2 \mu^2|^2 + 4|\bar{z} \mu|^2(1 + \kappa)} \geq \frac{(1 - |\kappa|R^2)^2}{10 + 4R^2},$$

where we have used that $|z| \leq R$, $|\mu| \leq 1$ and $|\kappa|R^2 < 1$. The last right-hand side is a suitable constant c and item (iii) is proved. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.3. Suppose $(M, g) = (\mathbb{D}, e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2)$, where the conformal factor $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is rotationally invariant in the sense that $\sigma(e^{it}z) = \sigma(z)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This \mathbb{S}^1 -action lifts to $Z \cong \mathbb{D}_z \times \mathbb{D}_\mu$ and we consider smooth first integrals on $SM \cong \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ that satisfy an equivariance property of the form

$$u(e^{it}z, e^{it}\mu) = e^{ipt}u(z, \mu), \quad (z, \mu) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{S}^1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.8)$$

for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. This property corresponds to an equivariance of the Fourier modes. Indeed, if $u(z, e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{u}_k(z) e^{ik\theta}$ satisfies (4.8), then

$$0 = u(e^{it}z, e^{i(t+\theta)}) - e^{ipt}u(z, e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\tilde{u}_k(e^{it}z) e^{ikt} - e^{ipt} \tilde{u}_k(z)) e^{ik\theta},$$

which by uniqueness of Fourier series gives

$$\tilde{u}_k(e^{it}z) = e^{i(p-k)t} \tilde{u}_k(z), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.9)$$

For equivariant first integrals this implies the following vanishing result.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose $(M, g) = (\mathbb{D}, e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2)$ is rotationally invariant, and suppose that $u \in C^\infty(SM)$ is a first integral satisfying (4.8) for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\tilde{u}_k = 0$ for all $k \leq p$, then $u \equiv 0$.*

Proof. By contradiction, if $u \neq 0$, let $\ell := \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z}, u_k \neq 0\} > p$. Then by (4.9), $\tilde{u}_\ell(e^{it}z) = e^{i(p-\ell)t} \tilde{u}_\ell(z)$, and the Fourier mode of degree $\ell - 1$ of the equation $Xu = 0$ gives $\eta_-(\tilde{u}_\ell(z) e^{i\ell\theta}) = 0$. Setting $h = e^{\ell\sigma} \tilde{u}_\ell$, the last equation is equivalent to $\partial_{\bar{z}} h = 0$, hence h has a convergent power series in z in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$. Since $e^{\ell\sigma}$ is \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant, h also satisfies $h(e^{it}z) = e^{i(p-\ell)t} h(z)$. Upon differentiating with respect to t and setting $t = 0$, we obtain

$$izh'(z) = i(p - \ell)h(z) \quad \implies \quad \partial_z(z^{\ell-p}h(z)) = 0.$$

Hence $z^{\ell-p}h(z)$ is constant, and since $\ell > p$, this constant must be zero in order for h to be well-defined at $z = 0$. Hence $h \equiv 0$ and $\tilde{u}_\ell = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 4.5. *If $(M, g) = (\mathbb{D}, e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2)$ is rotationally symmetric and simple, then the β -extension of the holomorphic embedding $f(z) = z$ satisfies*

$$(I_0N_0^{-1}z)^\sharp = \mathbb{S}_{\text{ev}}((I_0N_0^{-1}z)^\sharp), \quad \text{and} \quad (I_1N_1^{-1}dz)^\sharp = \mathbb{S}_{\text{odd}}((I_1N_1^{-1}dz)^\sharp).$$

This tells us that in rotationally symmetric situations, introducing the Szegő projectors for the definition of the β -extension is not needed—it is unclear, whether this is generally true for simple surface.

Proof of Corollary 4.5. The functions $w = (I_0N_0^{-1}z)^\sharp$ and $\xi = (I_1N_1^{-1}dz)^\sharp$ satisfy (4.8) with $p = 1$. On to the study of w , w is additionally fiberwise even, and since $\eta_-w_0 = 0$, then $\eta_+w_{-2} = 0$ as well, and w splits into the sum of two smooth first integrals $f = \mathbb{S}_{\text{ev}}w$ and $h = w_{-2} + w_{-4} + \dots$. To show that $h \equiv 0$, notice that \bar{h} is a smooth first integral satisfying (4.8) with $p = -1$, and such that $(\bar{h})_k = 0$ for all $k \leq 1$ (in particular for all $k \leq -1$). By Lemma (4.4), this forces $h = 0$. Similarly for ξ , ξ is fiberwise odd, with $\eta_- \xi_1 = 0$, and hence $\xi = \mathbb{S}_{\text{odd}}\xi + h$, where \bar{h} is a smooth first integral satisfying (4.8) with $p = -1$, and such that $(\bar{h})_k = 0$ for all $k \leq 0$ (in particular for all $k \leq -1$). By Lemma (4.4), this again forces $h = 0$. \square

Finally we prove Theorem 4.3.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. To show that the restriction to SM of (4.1) agrees with $((I_0N_0^{-1}z)^\sharp, (I_1N_1^{-1}dz)^\sharp)$ (the Szegő projectors are not needed in the definition by Corollary 4.5), notice that both maps satisfy (4.8) with $p = 1$ (the latter, using \mathbb{S}^1 -equivariance of the geodesic flow), and they agree up to Fourier modes $k = 1$. Thus their difference is a smooth first integral satisfying (4.8) with $p = 1$, and with vanishing modes up to $k = p = 1$. By Lemma 4.4, this difference is identically zero. \square

5. METRIC DEPENDENCE – PART 1: THE Υ -MAP & CONSEQUENCES

Let (M, g) be a compact d -dimensional Riemannian manifold ($d \geq 2$) that is non-trapping and has strictly convex boundary. In order to analyse the geodesic X-ray transform on functions with boundary blow-up as well as conjugacies between geodesic flows, it is convenient to consider the following map, introduced in [23]:

$$\Upsilon: \partial_+SM \times [0, 1] \rightarrow SM, \quad \Upsilon(x, v, u) = \varphi_{u\tau(x,v)}(x, v),$$

The Υ -map sends the fibre $\{(x, v)\} \times [0, 1]$ either to an orbit of the geodesic flow (if $(x, v) \notin \partial_0SM$) or collapses it to a point (if $(x, v) \in \partial_0SM$). The purpose of this section is to show that the Υ -map depends continuously on the underlying metric. From this, further continuity statements about the geodesic X-ray transform and conjugacies between geodesic flows are derived—these are used both in §6 and §7.

5.1. **Set-up for perturbation theory.** Let us define

$$\mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} \subset C^\infty(M, \otimes_S^2 T^*M)$$

as the space of all Riemannian metrics g such that (M, g) is non-trapping and ∂M is strictly convex. This is an open set of the ambient C^∞ -space and we equip it with the subspace topology. It will be useful to embed M into a closed manifold N of the same dimension and extend all metrics to N . By Lemma A.5 (and Remark A.5), this extension can be implemented by a continuous map

$$E: \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} \rightarrow C^\infty(N, \otimes_S^2 T^*N), \quad Eg|_M = g. \quad (5.1)$$

To simplify notation, the extended metric is also written as g . Fix a background metric $g_0 \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}$ and denote with $SM \equiv SM_{g_0}$ (resp. $SN \equiv SN_{g_0}$) the corresponding unit tangent bundle. The rescaling map

$$\phi_g: SN \xrightarrow{\sim} SN_g, \quad (x, v) \mapsto (x, v/|v|_g), \quad g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}$$

can be used to transfer all objects of interest to a fixed manifold. For instance, if (φ_t^g) denotes the g -geodesic flow on SN_g , we define a rescaled flow by

$$\psi^g \in C^\infty(SN \times \mathbb{R}, SN), \quad \psi_t^g = \phi_g^{-1} \circ \varphi_t^g \circ \phi_g.$$

The infinitesimal generator of ψ_t^g will be denoted with $\mathbb{X}_g \in C^\infty(SN, T(SN))$.

Remark 5.1. If $d = 2$, then one can show that $\mathbb{X}_g = a_g(X + \lambda_g V)$ for smooth functions $a_g, \lambda_g \in C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{R})$, with λ_g having non-zero Fourier modes only in the degrees ± 1 and ± 3 (see Section 3.1 in [20]). For us this is only relevant for $g_0 = |dz|^2$ and $g = e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2$ on \mathbb{D} , where in the standard coordinate representation (Lemma 3.5.6 in [26]) one reads off that $a_g = e^{-\sigma}$ and $\lambda_g = \mu \partial_z \sigma - \bar{\mu} \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma$ on $S\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

For $(x, v) \in SM_g$ the *exit times* of the g -geodesic flow are $\tau_\pm^g(x, v) = \pm \sup\{t \geq 0 : \varphi_{\pm s}^g(x, v) \in SM_g \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Their sum defines a smooth function on SM_g and we write its pull-back to SM as

$$\tilde{\tau}_g = (\tau_+^g + \tau_-^g) \circ \phi_g \in C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{R}).$$

Additionally define $m_g \in C^\infty(\partial SM, \mathbb{R})$ by $m_g(x, v) = g(\nu(x), v)$, where ν is the inward pointing unit normal for g .

5.1.1. Υ -map revisited. We can now re-define the Υ -map:

$$\Upsilon_g: \partial_+ SM \times [0, 1] \rightarrow SM, \quad \Upsilon_g(x, v, u) = \psi_{u\tilde{\tau}_g(x, v)}^g(x, v)$$

and recall from [23] the following result:

Proposition 5.2 (Properties of the Υ -map). *For all $g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}$ we have:*

- (i) Υ_g is smooth and satisfies $(\Upsilon_g)_*(\tilde{\tau}_g^{-1} \partial_u) = \mathbb{X}_g$;

- (ii) Υ_g defines a diffeomorphism from $\partial_+SM \setminus \partial_0SM$ onto $SM \setminus \partial_0SM$ and descends to a homeomorphism

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_g: \partial_+SM \times [0, 1] / \sim \rightarrow SM,$$

where the equivalence relation \sim identifies two points (x, v, u) and (x', v', u') if and only if $(x, v) = (x', v') \in \partial_0SM$;

- (iii) if $\rho \in C^\infty(M)$ is a boundary definition function (with lift to SM denoted by the same symbol), then there is a smooth, positive function $F_g: \partial_+SM \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\rho \circ \Upsilon_g = F_g \cdot u(1-u)\tilde{\tau}_g^2 \quad \text{on } \partial_+SM \times [0, 1].$$

Proof. This immediately follows from the corresponding assertions for $\Upsilon'_g = \phi_g \circ \Upsilon_g \circ (\phi_g^{-1} \times \text{Id})$ and $F'_g = F_g \circ (\phi_g^{-1} \times \text{Id})$, which were proved in [23]. \square

5.1.2. *X-ray transform revisited.* The X-ray transform of the (ψ_t^g) -flow is given by:

$$I_g: \rho^{-1/2}C^\infty(SM) \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial_+SM), \quad I_g f(x, v) = \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}_g(x, v)} f \circ \psi_t(x, v) dt.$$

This is well-defined in view of the following representation, which is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.2:

$$I_g(\rho^{-1/2}h) = \int_0^1 \frac{\Upsilon_g^* h}{F_g^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{du}{\sqrt{u(1-u)}}, \quad h \in C^\infty(SM) \quad (5.2)$$

Further, the extension to (ψ_t) -invariant functions is defined by

$$\sharp_g: C^\infty(\partial_+SM) \rightarrow C(SM), \quad h^\sharp(x, v) = h \circ \tilde{\Upsilon}_g^{-1}(x, v),$$

where h is viewed as u -independent function $C^\infty(\partial_+SM \times [0, 1])$.

5.2. Collection of continuous dependences. In the just described setting, all objects depend continuously on the metric.

Proposition 5.3. *All of the following maps are well-defined and continuous:*

$$\begin{aligned} g &\mapsto \psi^g, & \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} &\rightarrow C^\infty(SN \times \mathbb{R}, SN); & \text{(i)} \\ g &\mapsto \tilde{\tau}_g, & \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} &\rightarrow C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{R}); & \text{(ii)} \\ g &\mapsto \tilde{\tau}_g/m_g, & \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} &\rightarrow C^\infty(\partial SM, \mathbb{R}); & \text{(iii)} \\ g &\mapsto \Upsilon_g, & \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} &\rightarrow C^\infty(\partial_+SM \times [0, 1], SM); & \text{(iv)} \\ g &\mapsto F_g, & \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} &\rightarrow C^\infty(\partial_+SM \times [0, 1], \mathbb{R}_{>0}); & \text{(v)} \\ g &\mapsto I_g, & \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\rho^{-1/2}C^\infty(SM), C^\infty(\partial_+SM)); & \text{(vi)} \\ g &\mapsto \sharp_g \circ I_g, & \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\rho^{-1/2}C^\infty(SM), C^\infty(SM)) & \text{(vii)} \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathcal{L}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the space of continuous linear operators, equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence.

Checking continuity in each case is a tedious exercise that offers only little insight, so we confine ourselves to a brief sketch.

Proof (Sketch). The local coordinate expression of $\mathbb{X}_g \in C^\infty(N, TN)$ is a rational function in the components of g and their derivatives and as a consequence the map $g \mapsto \mathbb{X}_g$ is continuous from \mathbb{M}_{ntc} into $C^\infty(N, TN)$. Combining this with Theorem A.3 thus yields item (i). The arguments in Section 3.2 of [26] carry over to show that $\tilde{\tau}_g$ and $\tilde{\tau}_g/m_g$ lie in the claimed C^∞ -spaces, and closer inspection reveals that as such they depend continuously on the flow (ψ^g) . From this also (ii), (iii) and (iv) follow.

Statement (v) follows from the expression of F_g in terms of the g -Hessian of ρ , the geodesic flow and $\tilde{\tau}_g$ that is discussed in the proof of Proposition 6.12 in [23]. By means of (5.2) this can then be leveraged to (vi).

Finally, to see continuity in (vii) we need to consider also the scattering relation $\alpha_g \in \text{Diff}_+(\partial SM)$, defined by $\alpha_g(x, v) = \Upsilon_g(x, v, 1)$ for $(x, v) \in \partial_+ SM$ and otherwise through $\alpha_g \circ \alpha_g = \text{Id}$. For $h \in C^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$ we define $A^+h \in C^0(\partial SM)$ by $A^+_+h = h$ on $\partial_+ SM$ and $A^+_+h = h \circ \alpha_g$ on $\partial_- SM$. Let

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(g, h) \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} \times C^\infty(\partial_+ SM) : A^+_+h \in C^\infty(\partial SM)\}$$

and note that this is a closed subspace of $\mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} \times C^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$ (smoothness of A^+_+h is checked by compatibility conditions on $\partial_0 SM$ and hence is a closed property). Given $f \in \rho^{-1/2}C^\infty(SM)$, the map in (vii) can then be factored into

$$g \mapsto (g, I_g f), \quad \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \quad \text{and} \quad (g, h) \mapsto h^{\sharp g}, \quad \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C^\infty(SM).$$

By Proposition 6.13 in [23], the first map indeed lands in \mathcal{X} and as this space is closed, continuity follows immediately from (vi). The fact that $h^{\sharp g}$ is smooth is a classical result (see e.g. Theorem 5.1 in [26]) and continuity of the map $(g, h) \mapsto h^{\sharp g}$ is readily verified by an inspection of its proof. \square

5.3. Conjugacies. The geodesic flows of any two metrics in \mathbb{M}_{ntc} are smoothly conjugate up to a time change. We first show this for metrics in the closed subset

$$\mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}(K) = \{g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} : \text{supp}(g - g_0) \subset K\}, \quad K \subset M^\circ \text{ compact.} \quad (5.3)$$

Here the canonical choice of conjugacy (flow back along the orbits of g_0 and then forward along the orbits of g) is smooth and can be written in terms of Υ -maps.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $K \subset M^\circ$ be compact and $g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}(K)$. Then there exists a unique solution $(\Phi_g, a_g) \in \text{Diff}_+(SM) \times C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{R})$ to*

$$\Phi_* \mathbb{X}_{g_0} = a \mathbb{X}_g, \quad \mathbb{X}_g a = 0 \text{ on } SM \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi = \text{Id on } \partial_+ SM. \quad (5.4)$$

For $g = g_0$ we have $(\Phi, a) = (\text{Id}, 1)$ and moreover, the following map is continuous:

$$g \mapsto (\Phi_g, a_g), \quad \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}(K) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(SM) \times C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{R})$$

Proof. Suppose (Φ, a) is a solution to (5.4) and $(x, v) \in \partial_+ SM$. Then Φ necessarily maps the g_0 -orbit emerging from (x, v) to the corresponding g -orbit, with a constant time change $a(x, v) = \tilde{\tau}_g(x, v)/\tau_{g_0}(x, v)$. Hence there is the representation

$$\Phi = \tilde{\Upsilon}_g \circ \tilde{\Upsilon}_{g_0}^{-1}, \quad a = [\tilde{\tau}_g/\tilde{\tau}_{g_0}]^{\sharp_g},$$

which at once implies uniqueness and that Φ is a homeomorphism. To show that this is a valid solution, we need to verify smoothness. Since $g = g_0$ on $M \setminus K$ there is a neighbourhood $U \subset \partial_+ SM$ of $\partial_0 SM$ such that $\Upsilon_g = \Upsilon_{g_0}$ on $U \times [0, 1]$. Hence

$$\Phi = \text{Id on } \Upsilon_{g_0}(U \times [0, 1]) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi = \Upsilon_g \circ \Upsilon_{g_0}^{-1}, \quad \text{on } SM \setminus \partial_0 SM$$

and Φ and Φ^{-1} must be smooth. Consider the spaces

$$C_{\alpha, \pm}^\infty(\partial_+ SM) = \{h \in C^\infty(\partial_+ SM) : A_\pm^g h \in C^\infty(\partial SM)\},$$

where $u = A_\pm^g h \in L^\infty(\partial SM)$ is the unique function with $u \circ \alpha_g = \pm u$ and $\alpha_g(x, v) = \Upsilon_g(x, v, 1)$ is the scattering relation of g . Since $g = g_0$ on $M \setminus K$, we have $\alpha_g = \alpha_{g_0}$ in a neighbourhood of $\partial_0 SM$. This makes the spaces $C_{\alpha, \pm}^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$ independent of the choice $g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}(K)$ used in their definition. Moreover, $m(x, v) = g(\nu(x), v)$ is a smooth function on ∂SM that is independent of $g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}(K)$. By [26, Section 3.2],

$$\tilde{\tau}_g, \tilde{\tau}_{g_0} \in C_{\alpha, -}^\infty(\partial_+ SM), \quad \tilde{\tau}_g/m, \tilde{\tau}_{g_0}/m \in C^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$$

and as a consequence $\tilde{\tau}_g/\tilde{\tau}_{g_0} \in C_{\alpha, +}^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$. Finally, since \sharp_g maps $C_{\alpha, +}^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$ into $C^\infty(SM)$, also a must be smooth.

To verify that $\Phi = \Phi_g \in \text{Diff}_+(SM)$ depends continuously on the metric, it suffices to verify continuity of the restrictions

$$g \mapsto \Phi_g|_V, \quad \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}(K) \rightarrow C^\infty(V, SM), \quad V \subset SM \text{ open.}$$

For $V = \Upsilon_{g_0}(U \times [0, 1])$ this restriction is equals the identity and so there is nothing to prove. For $V = SM \setminus \partial_0 SM$ continuity follows from Proposition 5.3(iv). Next, by Proposition 5.3(iii), the map

$$g \mapsto a_g|_{\partial_+ SM}, \quad \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}(K) \rightarrow C_{\alpha, +}^\infty(\partial_+ SM)$$

is continuous and hence also $\tilde{a}_g = (a_g|_{\partial_+ SM})^{\sharp_{g_0}}$, as a function in $C^\infty(SM)$, depends continuously on $g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}(K)$. Since $a_g = \tilde{a}_g \circ \Phi_g^{-1}$, the proof is complete. \square

For metrics without compact support condition, smoothness might be lost at the glancing region. This can be dealt with by moving the boundary condition to a slightly larger manifold.

Proposition 5.5. *There is a neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}$ of g_0 and a continuous map*

$$g \mapsto (\Phi_g, a_g), \quad \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(SM) \times C^\infty(SM, \mathbb{R})$$

such that (Φ_g, a_g) solves $\Phi_ \mathbb{X}_{g_0} = a \mathbb{X}_g$ and $\mathbb{X}_g a = 0$. Moreover, $(\Phi_{g_0}, a_{g_0}) = (\text{Id}, 1)$.*

Proof. Recall that M is embedded into a closed manifold N of the same dimension. Let $M' \subset N$ be a slightly larger manifold with boundary, containing M in its interior and such that (M', g_0) remains non-trapping with strictly convex boundary. By modifying the extension map E from (5.1) if necessary, we may assume that $\text{supp}(Eg - g_0) \subset K$ for a compact set $M \subset K \subset (M')^\circ$ and all $g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}$. Let $\mathbb{M}'_{\text{ntc}}(K) \subset C^\infty(M', \otimes_{\mathbb{S}}^2 T^*M')$ be defined analogously to (5.3), then $\mathcal{U} = \{g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} : Eg|_{M'} \in \mathbb{M}'_{\text{ntc}}(K)\}$ is an open neighbourhood of g_0 . We obtain (Φ_g, a_g) by applying the preceding proposition on M' and then restricting to M . \square

5.4. Parametrising the C_α^∞ -structure. The rescaling map restricts to a homeomorphism of the influx boundaries:

$$\phi_g|_{\partial_+ SM} : \partial_+ SM \rightarrow \partial_+ SM^g, \quad g \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}$$

This is automatically a diffeomorphism with respect to the standard C^∞ -structures, but in general it does not respect the C_α^∞ -structures from §2.3. Instead, transferring the maximal atlas \mathcal{A}_α to $\partial_+ SM$ yields a smooth structure

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha^g = (\phi_g|_{\partial_+ SM})^* \mathcal{A}_\alpha,$$

that is sensitive to the boundary behaviour of g . Equivalently, this smooth structure can be obtained by first rescaling the fold map from (2.8),

$$f_g : \partial_+ SM \rightarrow \partial SM^\hat{M}, \quad f_g = \phi_g^{-1} \circ F_g \circ \phi_g$$

and noting that \mathcal{A}_α^g is uniquely characterised by $f_g : (\partial_+ SM, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^g) \rightarrow f_g(\partial_+ SM) \subset \partial SM^\hat{M}$ being a smooth embedding. Here we focus our attention on metrics $g \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}}$ in some open set, for which we can choose a common extension $\hat{M} \subset N$ of M such that (\hat{M}, g) is non-trapping and with strictly convex boundary. Let us assume that $g_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ and write

$$\mathcal{G} = (\partial_+ SM, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{g_0}).$$

This serves as a model to parametrise all smooth structures \mathcal{A}_α^g ($g \in \mathcal{U}$) in a g -continuous manner.

Proposition 5.6. *There exists a family $(\Phi_g : g \in \mathcal{U})$ of diffeomorphisms $\Phi_g : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow (\partial_+ SM, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^g)$ such that the following map is continuous:*

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(g, h) \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{ntc}} \times C^\infty(\partial_+ SM) : \alpha_g^* h = h\} \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{G}), \quad (g, h) \mapsto \Phi_g^* h$$

Proof. The map $f_g : \partial SM \rightarrow \partial SM^\hat{M}$ lies in the space $\mathcal{W}^{\partial_0 SM}(\partial SM, \partial SM^\hat{M})$ of global Whitney folds as considered in §A.5 (cf. [26, §5.2]). Using Proposition 5.3 one checks that the following map is continuous:

$$\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\partial_0 SM}(\partial SM, \partial SM^\hat{M}), \quad g \mapsto f_g$$

Hence, by Theorem A.10, there is a continuous map as follows:

$$T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial SM), \quad T(g, h) = w_g, \quad f_g^* w_g = h$$

Note that $\partial\mathcal{G} = \partial_0 SM$ carries its standard smooth structure, because the restriction $f_g|_{\partial_0 SM}: \partial_0 SM \rightarrow \partial S\hat{M}$ is a smooth embedding for all $g \in \mathcal{U}$. Let now \tilde{f}_g be an $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^{g_0}$ -smooth embedding that extends this boundary datum, that is,

$$\tilde{f}_g \in \text{Emb}(\mathcal{G}, \partial S\hat{M}), \quad \tilde{f}_g = f_g \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{G}.$$

By Theorem A.7, this extension can be implemented by a continuous map:

$$\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Emb}(\mathcal{G}, \partial S\hat{M}), \quad g \mapsto \tilde{f}_g$$

We may further assume that $\tilde{f}_g(\mathcal{G}) = f_g(\partial_+ SM)$, such that the map $\Phi_g := f_g^{-1} \circ \tilde{f}_g: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \partial SM$ is well-defined and smooth. If $(g, h) \in \mathcal{X}$, then

$$\Phi_g^* h = \tilde{f}_g^*(f_g^{-1})^* f_g^* w_g = \tilde{f}_g^* w_g \in C^\infty(\mathcal{G})$$

and this depends continuously on (g, h) by the above. \square

6. METRIC DEPENDENCE – PART 2: INVERSES OF THE NORMAL OPERATOR

Let (M, g) be a simple manifold of dimension $d \geq 2$, let $\rho \in C^\infty(M)$ be a boundary defining function and consider the normal operator of the geodesic X-ray transform

$$N_0^g: \rho^{-1/2} C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M),$$

as it has been defined in §2.2. The purpose of this section is to show that the inverses of N_0^g , whose existence was established in [22], depend continuously on the metric. We denote with

$$\mathbb{M}_s = \{g \in C^\infty(M, \otimes_S^2 T^*M) : (M, g) \text{ is simple}\} \quad (6.1)$$

the set of simple metrics on M . This is a C^2 -open subset of the ambient space of smooth, symmetric 2-tensors and will be equipped with the C^∞ -topology.

Theorem 6.1. *For every $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the following map is continuous:*

$$g \mapsto (N_0^g)^{-1} f, \quad \mathbb{M}_s \rightarrow \rho^{-1/2} C^\infty(M)$$

Remark 6.2. The theorem generalises to the *attenuated* setting considered in §12.2 of [26]. If $a \in \Omega^1(M)$ is a 1-form on M , then the normal operator $N_{a,0}^g = (I_{a,0}^g)^* I_{a,0}^g$ associated with the a -attenuated X-ray transform is an isomorphism $\rho^{-1/2} C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$. The arguments of this section then also establish continuity of the map

$$(g, a) \mapsto (N_{a,0}^g)^{-1} f, \quad \mathbb{M}_s \times \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \rho^{-1} C^\infty(M), \quad f \in C^\infty(M).$$

As in [22] we use that N_0^g is an elliptic classical pseudodifferential of order -1 that satisfies a Grubb–Hörmander transmission condition. As a classical pseudodifferential operator, N_0^g depends continuously on the metric (see Appendix B) and as it has an even symbol, it automatically fits into the Grubb–Hörmander framework. Using these facts, we will derive Theorem 6.1 by successively leveraging the continuous dependence to stronger topologies with help of the open mapping theorem. This requires revisiting some arguments of [22], but no ‘hard analysis’ is needed.

6.1. Extension of the normal operator. Let N be a closed d -dimensional manifold engulfing M . Denote with $\Psi_{\text{ev}}^m(N)$ the Fréchet space of classical pseudodifferential operators of order m , having an *even* symbol—see Appendix B, where this is recalled. Further, write

$$e_M: L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(N), \quad r_M: L^2(N) \rightarrow L^2(M)$$

for the extension by zero and the restriction to M .

Lemma 6.3. *Let $g_0 \in \mathbb{M}_s$. Then there exists a neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{M}_s$ of g_0 as follows: For every $g \in \mathcal{U}$ there exist an operator $P^g \in \Psi_{\text{ev}}^{-1}(N)$ such that*

$$r_M P^g e_M = N_0^g \quad \text{on } L^2(M)$$

Further, the following map is continuous:

$$g \mapsto P^g, \quad \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \Psi_{\text{ev}}^{-1}(N),$$

Proof. First, by Lemma A.5 there is a continuous extension map

$$E: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow C^\infty(N, \otimes_S^2 T^* N), \quad Eg|_M = g$$

such that Eg is a Riemannian metric on N . Let $M_1, \dots, M_D \subset N$ be d -dimensional submanifolds with boundary, such that $M \subset M_1^\circ$, $N = M_1^\circ \cup \dots \cup M_D^\circ$, $M \cap M_2 = \dots = M \cap M_D = \emptyset$ and

$$(M_k, Eg_0|_{M_k}) \text{ is simple for all } 1 \leq k \leq D. \quad (6.2)$$

As simpleness is an open condition, and after shrinking \mathcal{U} if necessary, we may assume that (6.2) also holds true with g_0 replaced by $g \in \mathcal{U}$. Consider now a partition of unity $\sum_{k=1}^D \varphi_k^2 = 1$, where $\varphi_k \in C^\infty(S, [0, 1])$ with $\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset M_k^\circ$ for all $k = 1, \dots, D$ and $\varphi_1 \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of M . Let

$$P_k^g := N_0^{Eg|_{M_k}} \in \Psi_{\text{cl}}^{-1}(M_k^\circ)$$

be the normal operator of the simple manifold $(M_k, Eg|_{M_k})$. Then by Proposition B.3, the map $g \mapsto P_k^g$ is continuous from \mathcal{U} into $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^{-1}(M_k^\circ)$. Define

$$P^g = \sum_{k=1}^D \varphi_k P_k^g \varphi_k \in \Psi_{\text{ev}}^{-1}(N). \quad (6.3)$$

Then the continuity of $g \mapsto P^g$ follows from Proposition B.4 and the continuity of all natural operations in Ψ_{cl}^m (such as multiplication by C^∞ -functions or extension of operators with compactly supported Schwartz kernels from M_k° to N). That P^g has the claimed extension property is proved in §4.1 of [22]. There it is also shown that P^g has an even symbol and as $\Psi_{\text{ev}}^{-1}(N) \subset \Psi_{\text{cl}}^{-1}(N)$ is a closed subspace, we may replace the latter by the former in the continuity statement. \square

6.2. The Grubb–Hörmander framework. Consider the space

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu(M) = \{e_M \rho^\mu u : u \in C^\infty(M)\} \subset \mathcal{D}'(N), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \mu > -1,$$

which is isomorphic to $C^\infty(M)$ and becomes a Fréchet space in this way. An operator $A \in \Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(N)$ is said to satisfy the μ -transmission condition, if

$$r_M A u \in C^\infty(M) \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{E}_\mu(M). \quad (6.4)$$

This property has been studied extensively by Grubb and Hörmander (see [8]), and is equivalent to a certain symmetry of the local symbol of A at ∂M —we refer to the discussion in [22, pp.27] for more details. As observed in [22, Lemma 4.2], the operators P^g from the preceding section do satisfy the μ -transmission condition for $\mu = -1/2$. This leads to a number of mapping properties, which ultimately allow to establish the isomorphism property.

We retrace the argument from [22] with a view on continuous g -dependence. To this end it is useful to introduce a scale of Hilbert spaces $H^{\mu(s)}(M)$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$), referred to as *Hörmander spaces*. For their definition, which is intricate, we refer to [22, p.29] and the references therein. For us it suffices to know the following properties:

- (i) $H^{\mu(0)}(M) = L^2(M)$ and $H^{\mu(s+t)}(M) \subset H^{\mu(s)}(M)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$;
- (ii) $\bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{R}} H^{\mu(s)}(M) = \mathcal{E}_\mu(M)$ and $\mathcal{E}_\mu(M)$ carries the initial topology with respect to the inclusions $\mathcal{E}_\mu(M) \hookrightarrow H^{\mu(s)}(M)$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{E}_\mu(M) \subset H^{\mu(s)}(M)$ is a dense subspace for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$; see [8, Proposition 4.1];
- (iv) if $A \in \Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(N)$ satisfies the μ -transmission condition, then it has the continuous mapping property

$$r_M A : H^{\mu(s)}(M) \rightarrow H^{s-m}(M), \quad s > \operatorname{Re} \mu - 1/2;$$

see [8, Theorem 4.2].

Proposition 6.4. *Any $A \in \Psi_{\text{ev}}^m(N)$ ($m \geq -2$) automatically satisfies the μ -transmission condition for $\mu = m/2$ and hence $r_M A \in \mathcal{L}(H^{\mu(s)}(M), H^{s-m}(M))$ for every $s > \operatorname{Re} \mu - 1/2$. Moreover, the following map is continuous:*

$$A \mapsto r_M A, \quad \Psi_{\text{ev}}^m(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H^{\mu(s)}(M), H^{s-m}(M)) \quad (6.5)$$

The novelty of this proposition is the continuity claim, which is proved by means of the following application of the closed graph theorem.

Lemma 6.5 (Continuity upgrade). *Suppose E and F are Fréchet spaces and $T : E \rightarrow F$ is a linear map. Let $\iota : F \rightarrow G$ be a continuous linear injection into another topological vector space. Then*

$$\iota \circ T : E \rightarrow G \text{ continuous} \quad \Rightarrow \quad T : E \rightarrow F \text{ continuous.}$$

Proof of Lemma 6.5. As $T' = \iota \circ T$ is continuous, $\text{Graph}(T') = \{(x, T'x) : x \in E\}$ is a closed subset of $E \times G$. Define $j: E \times F \rightarrow E \times G$ by $j(x, y) = (x, \iota(y))$. Let $(x, y) \in E \times F$, then due to the injectivity of ι it holds that

$$j(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(T') \Leftrightarrow \iota(y) = \iota(Tx) \Leftrightarrow y = Tx \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in \text{Graph}(T).$$

This means that $\text{Graph}(T) = j^{-1}(\text{Graph}(T'))$ and as j is continuous, the graph of T must be closed in $E \times F$. The continuity of T then follows from the closed graph theorem for Fréchet spaces. \square

We wish to apply the preceding lemma with G given by a space of continuous linear operators between non-normed spaces. For two topological vector spaces E and F we may equip the space $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ of continuous linear maps $T: E \rightarrow F$ with the *topology of pointwise convergence* and denote the resulting topological vector space with $\mathcal{L}_{\text{pw}}(E, F)$.

Proof of Proposition 6.4. The fact that operators in $\Psi_{\text{ev}}^m(N)$ ($m \geq -2$) satisfy the $m/2$ -transmission condition is discussed in [22], and the map in (6.5) is thus well defined in view of property (iv) above.

In order to show continuity of the map (6.5), we wish to apply Lemma 6.5 with $E = \Psi_{\text{ev}}^m(N)$ and $F = \mathcal{L}(H^{\mu(s)}(M), H^{s-m}(M))$, both of which are Fréchet spaces, as well as

$$G = \mathcal{L}_{\text{pw}}(\mathcal{E}_\mu(M), H^{s-m}(M)).$$

We need to check two things:

- (1) $A \mapsto r_M A$ is continuous as a map $E \rightarrow G$;
- (2) the map $\iota: F \rightarrow G$, which restricts the domain of an operator from $H^{\mu(s)}(M)$ to $\mathcal{E}_\mu(M)$, is continuous and injective.

For (1), we consider $G' = \mathcal{L}(L^2(N), H^{s-m-L}(M))$ (equipped with the operator norm topology) for some $L \gg 1$ to be chosen later. Then the natural restriction/extension map $G' \rightarrow G$ is continuous and thus it suffices to show that $A \mapsto r_M A$ is continuous as map $E \rightarrow G'$. For this, let $B \in \Psi_{\text{cl}}^{s-m-L}(N)$ be such that $B: L^2(N) \rightarrow H^{s-m-L}(N)$ is an isomorphism. If L is chosen large enough, then the Schwartz kernel K_{BA} of $BA \in \Psi_{\text{cl}}^{s-L}(N)$ is continuous and hence

$$\|r_M A\|_{G'} \lesssim \|BA\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(N), L^2(N))} \leq \|K_{BA}\|_{L^\infty(N \times N)}.$$

Note that $A \mapsto \|K_{BA}\|_{L^\infty(N \times N)}$ is a continuous seminorm on $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(N)$, so the preceding display implies that $A \mapsto r_M A$ is continuous as map f

For (2), continuity is clear and it remains to show injectivity. This however follows from the density $\mathcal{E}_\mu(M) \subset H^{\mu(s)}(M)$ (see property (iii) above). \square

6.3. Proof of Theorem 6.1. We fix a metric $g_0 \in \mathbb{M}_s$ and restrict our attention to the open neighbourhood \mathcal{U} and the continuous family $(P^g : g \in \mathcal{U})$ of operators from Lemma 6.3. By Proposition 6.4 the following map is continuous:

$$g \mapsto r_M P^g, \quad \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H^{-1/2(s)}(M), H^{s+1}(M)), \quad s > -1.$$

By Theorem 4.4 in [22] the operator $r_M P^g: H^{-1/2(s)}(M) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(M)$ ($g \in \mathcal{U}$) is an isomorphism. Hence the nonlinear map from the preceding display takes values in the set of invertible operators, which we denote

$$\mathcal{L}^\times(H^{-1/2(s)}(M), H^{s+1}(M)).$$

Recall the following elementary result:

Lemma 6.6. *Let E and F be normed vector spaces. Then the subset $\mathcal{L}^\times(E, F) \subset \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ of invertible operators is open and inversion is continuous as map*

$$T \mapsto T^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{L}^\times(E, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F, E).$$

Remark 6.7. In general the lemma fails for non-normed topological vector spaces. Consider e.g. $E = F = C^\infty([0, 1])$ and $T_n = \text{Id} - \frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dx} \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$. This sequence converges to Id in $\mathcal{L}_\beta(E, E)$, despite T_n not being invertible for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This necessitates the usage of the Hilbert spaces $H^{\mu(s)}(M)$ instead of $\mathcal{E}_\mu(M)$.

Proof of Lemma 6.6. If $\mathcal{L}^\times(E, F)$ is non-empty, we may assume without loss of generality that $E = F$. Let $T_0 \in \mathcal{L}^\times(E, E)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$ for which $H = (T_0 - T)T_0^{-1}$ has operator norm < 1 , the inverse T^{-1} exists and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1} &= T_0^{-1}(\text{Id} - H)^{-1} = T_0^{-1} \sum_{k \geq 0} H^k, \\ \|T^{-1} - T_0^{-1}\| &\leq \|T_0^{-1}\| \cdot \sum_{k \geq 1} \|H\|^k \leq \|T_0^{-1}\| ((1 - \|H\|)^{-1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

The last expression vanishes in the limit $\|H\| \rightarrow 0$, which proves that the map $T \mapsto T^{-1}$ is continuous at T_0 . \square

Consequently, also the following map is continuous:

$$g \mapsto (r_M P^g)^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H^{s+1}(M), H^{s(\mu)}), \quad s > -1.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 6.1, let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then by the preceding display, $g \mapsto (r_M P^g)^{-1}f$ is continuous as a map from \mathcal{U} into $H^{-1/2(s)}(M)$ for every $s > -1$. By property (ii) above, the map is also continuous from \mathcal{U} into $\mathcal{E}_{-1/2}(M)$. Further, $r_M: \mathcal{E}_{-1/2}(M) \rightarrow \rho^{-1/2}C^\infty(M)$ is continuous and hence

$$g \mapsto r_M(r_M P^g)^{-1}f, \quad \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \rho^{-1/2}C^\infty(M)$$

is continuous. For $g \in \mathcal{U}$, let $h = r_M(r_M P^g)^{-1}f$, then due to the extension property in Lemma 6.3, we have

$$N_0^g h = r_M P^g e_M h = (r_M P^g)(r_M P^g)^{-1}f = f$$

and thus the theorem is proved. \square

7. THE HOLOMORPHIC BLOW-DOWN STRUCTURE UNDER PERTURBATIONS

In this section we prove Theorem 3.10, starting with some considerations regarding metric geometry of the Hermitian manifold $(Z^\circ, \underline{\Omega})$.

7.1. Lipschitz maps on Hermitian manifolds. Let (X, Ω_X) and (Y, Ω_Y) be complex manifolds of dimension n and m , respectively, both equipped with Hermitian metrics. We assume for simplicity that the bundles $\Lambda^{1,0}X$ and $\Lambda^{1,0}Y$ are smoothly trivial, such that there exist global orthonormal frames $\{\eta_X^1, \dots, \eta_X^n\}$ and $\{\eta_Y^1, \dots, \eta_Y^m\}$. In these frames, the differential of a smooth map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ can be expressed in terms of two complex $n \times m$ matrices

$$S, T \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}), \quad S = (s_{jk}), \quad T = (t_{jk}),$$

defined through the following equation:

$$f^* \eta_Y^k = \sum_{j=1}^n s_{jk} \eta_X^j + t_{jk} \bar{\eta}_X^j, \quad k = 1, \dots, m \quad (7.1)$$

Remark 7.1. Equivalently, $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ are defined through the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Lambda^{1,0}Y \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}Y)_{f(x)} & \xrightarrow{df_x^T} & (\Lambda^{1,0}X \oplus \Lambda^{0,1}X)_x \\ \downarrow & \begin{array}{c} [S \quad \bar{T}] \\ [T \quad \bar{S}] \end{array} (x) & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^m & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n, \end{array} \quad (7.2)$$

Here df_x^T is extended \mathbb{C} -linearly and the vertical arrows map a vector in $(T_{\mathbb{C}}X)_x$ to its expression in the basis $\eta_X^1, \dots, \eta_X^n, \bar{\eta}_X^1, \dots, \bar{\eta}_X^n$ (similarly for Y).

We now consider X and Y as a metric spaces, where the metric is given by the geodesic distance functions d_{Ω_X} and d_{Ω_Y} , respectively. Further, $|\cdot|: \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is the operator norm on Euclidean \mathbb{C}^n .

Proposition 7.2. *Suppose $f: (X, \Omega_X) \rightarrow (Y, \Omega_Y)$ is a smooth map whose differential is given with respect to orthonormal frames as $S, T \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^{n \times m})$.*

- (i) *If $|S|, |T| \in L^\infty(X)$, then f is globally Lipschitz from (X, d_{Ω_X}) into (Y, d_{Ω_Y}) and its Lipschitz constant satisfies*

$$\text{Lip}(f) \leq \|S\|_{L^\infty(X)} + \|T\|_{L^\infty(X)}.$$

- (ii) *If additionally f is a diffeomorphism and for some $\epsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\|S - \text{Id}\|_\infty, \|T\|_\infty < \epsilon/3,$$

then f is globally bi-Lipschitz and

$$\text{Lip}(f) \leq 1 + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Lip}(f^{-1}) \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1}, \quad (7.3)$$

or equivalently,

$$\sup_{\substack{x, x' \in X \\ x \neq x'}} \left| 1 - \frac{d_{\Omega_Y}(f(x), f(x'))}{d_{\Omega_X}(x, x')} \right| \leq \epsilon. \quad (7.4)$$

Proof. For (i) it suffices to uniformly bound the operator norm of $df_x: T_x X \rightarrow T_y Y$, which satisfies (writing $T = T(x)$ and $S = S(x)$)

$$|df_x|^2 = |df_x^T|^2 \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} S & \bar{T} \\ T & \bar{S} \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 = \sup \{ |Sv + \bar{T}w|^2 + |Tv + \bar{S}w|^2 \}, \quad (7.5)$$

where the supremum is taken over all $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^m$ with $|v|^2 + |w|^2 \leq 1$. The desired norm estimate then follows from Young's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |Sv + \bar{T}w|^2 + |Tv + \bar{S}w|^2 &\leq |S|^2(|v|^2 + |w|^2) + 4|S||T||v||w| + |T|^2(|v|^2 + |w|^2) \\ &\leq (|S| + |T|)^2(|v|^2 + |w|^2), \end{aligned}$$

For (ii), the first bound in (7.3) follows immediately from (i). The differential of f^{-1} at $f(x)$ is given as

$$\begin{bmatrix} S & \bar{T} \\ T & \bar{S} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} F & F\bar{G} \\ \bar{F}G & \bar{F} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } F = (S - \bar{T}\bar{S}^{-1}T)^{-1}, G = -TS^{-1}.$$

Writing $P = \text{Id} - S$ and $Q = P + \sum_{j \geq 0} \bar{T}\bar{P}^j T$, we have

$$|Q| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon/3} = \frac{\epsilon}{3 - \epsilon}, \quad |F| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} |Q|^k \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon}{3 - \epsilon}} = \frac{3 - \epsilon}{3 - 2\epsilon} \quad (7.6)$$

$$|G| \leq |T| \sum_{j \geq 0} |P|^j \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon/3} = \frac{\epsilon}{3 - \epsilon}, \quad 1 + |G| \leq \frac{3}{3 - \epsilon}. \quad (7.7)$$

Combining these estimates we see that $|F|(1 + |G|) \leq \frac{3}{3 - 2\epsilon} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon}$ and the estimate on $\text{Lip}(f^{-1})$ follows again from (i). Finally note that

$$\begin{aligned} (7.3) &\Leftrightarrow f^* d_{\Omega_Y} \leq (1 + \epsilon) d_{\Omega_X} \text{ and } d_{\Omega_X} \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} f^* d_{\Omega_Y} \\ &\Leftrightarrow -\epsilon d_{\Omega_X} \leq f^* d_{\Omega_Y} - d_{\Omega_X} \leq \epsilon d_{\Omega_X} \quad \Leftrightarrow (7.4), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

7.2. Jacobian and difference quotient of β -maps. Recalling the set-up from §3.2, let $Z = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ and consider for $\sigma \in \Sigma_s$ the following vector fields:

$$\Xi_\sigma = e^{-\sigma} (\mu^2 \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}} + (\mu^2 \partial_z \sigma - \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma) (\bar{\mu} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} - \mu \partial_\mu)) \in C^\infty(Z, T_{\mathbb{C}} Z)$$

Further, recall that $\mathcal{I} = \{(\beta, \sigma) \in C^\infty(Z, \mathbb{C}^2) \times \Sigma_s : \Xi_\sigma \beta = \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \beta = 0\}$. If $(\beta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}$, then the Jacobian of β with respect to the frame $\{(1 - |\mu|^4) \bar{\Xi}_\sigma^\vee, \partial_\mu^\vee\} \subset \Lambda^{1,0} Z^\circ$ is given by the following 2×2 -matrix:

$$S_\beta = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - |\mu|^4)^{-1} \bar{\Xi}_\sigma \beta_1 & (1 - |\mu|^4)^{-1} \bar{\Xi}_\sigma \beta_2 \\ \partial_\mu \beta_1 & \partial_\mu \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7.8)$$

As this frame is orthonormal for the Hermitian metric $\underline{\Omega}_\sigma$ introduced in §2.5, this is precisely one of the Jacobian matrices considered above (the anti-holomorphic part T_β is zero, because β is holomorphic).

Lemma 7.3. *Let $(\beta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}$, then $|S_\beta| \in L^\infty(Z^\circ)$ and consequently $\beta^*\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \leq C\underline{\Omega}_\sigma$ for some $C > 0$. Further, the following map is continuous:*

$$(\beta, \sigma) \mapsto S_\beta, \quad \mathcal{I} \rightarrow L^\infty(Z^\circ, \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2})$$

Proof. For any $f \in C^\infty(Z)$ we have

$$(\bar{\Xi}_\sigma - \bar{\mu}^2 \Xi_\sigma) f = e^{-\sigma} (1 - |\mu|^4) [\partial_z f + \partial_z \sigma \cdot (\bar{\mu} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} - \mu \partial_\mu) f].$$

If f is holomorphic ($\Xi_\sigma f = \partial_{\bar{\mu}} f = 0$), this implies that

$$(1 - |\mu|^4)^{-1} \bar{\Xi}_\sigma f = e^{-\sigma} [\partial_z f - (\mu \partial_z \sigma) \partial_\mu f] \in C^\infty(Z).$$

Applying this to the components of β , we see that $S_\beta \in C^\infty(Z, \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2})$ and in particular, $|S_\beta|$ is bounded on Z° , with the continuous dependence being obvious. Moreover, the matrix representation of $\beta^*\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ in the frame $\{(1 - |\mu|^4)^{-1} \bar{\Xi}_\sigma^\vee, \partial_\mu^\vee\}$ is $S_\beta S_\beta^*$. Hence:

$$\beta^*\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \leq C \underline{\Omega}_\sigma \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S_\beta S_\beta^* \leq C \text{Id} \quad (7.9)$$

This is satisfied upon setting $C = \sup_{Z^\circ} |S_\beta|^2$. \square

In view of Proposition 7.2 and the preceding lemma, the map β is automatically Lipschitz with respect to the geodesic distance d_σ on $(Z^\circ, \underline{\Omega}_\sigma)$. That is, the following difference quotient yields a well-defined element of $L^\infty(Z^\circ \times Z^\circ, \mathbb{C}^2)$:

$$B(z, \mu, z', \mu') = \frac{\beta(z, \mu) - \beta(z', \mu')}{d_\sigma((z, \mu), (z', \mu'))}, \quad (z, \mu, z', \mu') \in Z^\circ \times Z^\circ. \quad (7.10)$$

We can now reformulate the holomorphic blow-down structure in terms of the L^∞ -functions S_β and B . (Note that both quantities depend on the tuple $(\beta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}$, though this is suppressed in the notation.)

Proposition 7.4 (Characterisation of \mathcal{I}_+). *Let $(\beta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $(\beta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}_+$ (that is, β has a holomorphic blow-down structure with respect to \mathcal{D}_σ and $\underline{\Omega}_\sigma$), if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\Phi_{g_\sigma}^* \beta: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a totally real embedding (with \mathcal{G} and Φ_{g_σ} as in §5.4);
- (ii) $|\det S_\beta| \geq c_1$ on Z° for some constant $c_1 > 0$;
- (iii) $|B| \geq c_2$ almost everywhere on Z° for some constant $c_2 > 0$.

Proof. In view of §5.4 property (i) and part (iii) of Definition 1.2 are identical, so it remains to show that the other items are equivalent. Let $0 \leq \lambda_\beta \leq \Lambda_\beta$ be the eigenvalues of $S_\beta S_\beta^*$. Then $\Lambda_\beta = |S_\beta|^2$, $|\det S_\beta|^2 = \lambda_\beta \Lambda_\beta$ and similarly to (7.9) one shows that:

$$\beta^*\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \geq c \underline{\Omega}_\sigma \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S_\beta S_\beta^* \geq c \text{Id} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \lambda_\beta \geq c \quad (7.11)$$

If additionally $\beta: Z^\circ \rightarrow \beta(Z^\circ)$ is known to be a biholomorphism, then

$$\beta^*\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \geq c \underline{\Omega}_\sigma \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \geq c (\beta^{-1})^* \underline{\Omega}_\sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta^{-1} \text{ is Lipschitz} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |B| \geq c,$$

where β^{-1} being Lipschitz is understood with respect to the Euclidean metric on $\beta(Z^\circ)$ and the geodesic distance d_σ on Z° . The implication in the middle follows then as in the preceding lemma, using Proposition 7.2.

Let now $(\beta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}_+$, then the preceding consideration immediately imply (ii) and (iii). Vice versa, if $(\beta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}$ satisfies (ii), then

$$\lambda_\beta = |\det S_\beta|^2 / |S_\beta|^2 \geq c_1^2 / \sup_{Z^\circ} |S_\beta|^2 =: c \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta^* \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} \geq c \underline{\Omega}_\sigma$$

and as a further consequence $\beta: Z^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a local diffeomorphism. If also (iii) is satisfied, then $\beta: Z^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ must be injective and we conclude that it is a biholomorphism onto its image. \square

Remark 7.5. The preceding proof also justifies (1.8). Indeed, $d\beta_1 \wedge d\beta_2 = (\det S_\beta) \cdot \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2$, where $\eta_1 = (1 - |\mu|^4) \bar{\Xi}_\sigma^\vee = e^\sigma (d\bar{z} - \bar{\mu}^2 dz)$ and $\eta_2 = (d\bar{\mu} + \bar{\mu}(\partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma d\bar{z} - \partial_z \sigma dz))$, such that $\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2$ is everywhere non-zero.

7.2.1. *Openness of the first two conditions.* The first two conditions in Proposition 7.4 are easily seen to be open in the C^∞ -topology. Precisely, the map

$$(\beta, \sigma) \mapsto (\Phi_{g_\sigma}^* \beta, \det S_\beta), \quad \mathcal{I} \mapsto C^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^2) \times L^\infty(Z^\circ)$$

is continuous and the two sets encoding conditions (i) and (ii), respectively,

$$\text{Emb}_{\text{TR}}(\partial_+ S\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \subset C^\infty(\partial_+ S\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \{f \in L^\infty(Z^\circ) : \text{ess inf } |f| > 0\} \subset L^\infty(Z^\circ)$$

are both open. Here $\text{Emb}_{\text{TR}}(\partial_+ S\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ is the set of totally real embeddings and this is open in the C^∞ -topology, because $\partial_+ S\mathbb{D}$ is compact (Theorem 1.4 in [12, Chapter 2]²). Condition (iii) is trickier, because the map

$$(\beta, \sigma) \mapsto B, \quad \mathcal{I} \rightarrow L^\infty(Z^\circ \times Z^\circ, \mathbb{C}^2)$$

is *not* continuous. Indeed, if $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, then the corresponding difference quotients cannot directly be compared because $1 - d_{\sigma_1}/d_{\sigma_2} \notin L^\infty(Z^\circ \times Z^\circ)$. This is due to the fact that $\text{Id}: (Z^\circ, d_{\sigma_1}) \rightarrow (Z^\circ, d_{\sigma_2})$ is not bi-Lipschitz. To overcome this problem, we seek out adequate bi-Lipschitz maps with small distortion and for this we restrict ourselves to compact perturbations of a fixed background conformal factor.

7.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.10.** We fix a tuple $(\beta_0, \sigma_0) \in \mathcal{I}_+$ and claim that this is an interior point. Recall from Remark 5.1 that the geodesic flow of $e^{2\sigma} |dz|^2$, projected to $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \partial Z$, is generated by the following vector field:

$$\mathbb{X}_\sigma = \mu \bar{\Xi}_\sigma|_{S\mathbb{D}} \in C^\infty(S\mathbb{D}, T(S\mathbb{D})), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_s$$

Let us restate Proposition 5.5 in the present context:

Proposition 7.6. *There is a neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of σ_0 in Σ_s and a continuous map*

$$\sigma \mapsto (\psi_\sigma, a_\sigma), \quad \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(S\mathbb{D}) \times C^\infty(S\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$$

such that $(\psi_\sigma)_(\mathbb{X}_{\sigma_0}) = a_\sigma \mathbb{X}_\sigma$ and $(\psi_{\sigma_0}, a_{\sigma_0}) = (\text{Id}, 1)$.*

²See also the discussion in §1.1.4. Totally realness is clearly a C^1 -open condition.

Any diffeomorphism Ψ of Z induces a smooth map $(Z^\circ, \mathcal{D}_{\sigma_0}) \rightarrow (Z^\circ, \mathcal{D}_\sigma)$ between complex surfaces. As explained in §7.1, we may express the differential of this map in terms of the orthonormal frames $\{(1 - |\mu|^4)\Xi_{\sigma_0}^\vee, \partial_\mu^\vee\}$ and $\{(1 - |\mu|^4)\Xi_\sigma^\vee, \partial_\mu^\vee\}$. This yields two matrix-valued maps

$$S_\Psi, T_\Psi \in C^\infty(Z^\circ, \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}), \quad (7.12)$$

defined as in (7.1). If $\Psi = \Psi_\sigma$ extends the diffeomorphism ψ_σ from the preceding proposition, we can estimate these matrices as follows:

Proposition 7.7. *Suppose $(\Psi_\sigma : \sigma \in \mathcal{U}) \subset \text{Diff}_+(Z)$ is a continuous family of diffeomorphisms with $\Psi_0 \equiv \text{Id}$ and $\Psi_\sigma = \psi_\sigma$ on $S\mathbb{D}$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a neighbourhood Σ_0 of $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma_s(K)$ such that the matrices (7.12) satisfy*

$$\|S_{\Psi_\sigma} - \text{Id}\|_{L^\infty(Z^\circ)}, \|T_{\Psi_\sigma}\|_{L^\infty(Z^\circ)} < \epsilon/3, \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \Sigma_0.$$

Proof. We extend the functions a_σ from Proposition 7.6 to all of Z , such that $\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma$ is continuous from $\Sigma_s(K)$ to $C^\infty(Z)$ and such that $a_0 \equiv 1$. By this proposition, the vector fields

$$\Theta'_\sigma := \Psi_{\sigma*}\Xi_0 - a_\sigma\Xi_\sigma \in C^\infty(Z, T_{\mathbb{C}}Z), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_s(K)$$

satisfy $\Theta'_\sigma = 0$ on the boundary hypersurface $S\mathbb{D}$. As $(1 - |\mu|^4)$ is a boundary defining function for this hypersurface, there is a split exact sequence of Fréchet spaces:

$$0 \rightarrow C^\infty(Z, T_{\mathbb{C}}Z) \xrightarrow{(1-|\mu|^4)^\times} C^\infty(Z, T_{\mathbb{C}}Z) \xrightarrow{\Theta'_\sigma \mapsto \Theta'_\sigma|_{S\mathbb{D}}} C^\infty(S\mathbb{D}, T_{\mathbb{C}}Z|_{S\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow 0$$

As a consequence, $\Theta_\sigma = \Theta'_\sigma / (1 - |\mu|^4)$ is defined smoothly up to the boundary of Z and moreover, the following map is continuous:

$$\sigma \mapsto \Theta_\sigma, \quad \Sigma_s(K) \rightarrow C^\infty(Z, T_{\mathbb{C}}Z)$$

Further, we define $H_\sigma = \Psi_{\sigma*}\partial_\mu - \partial_\mu$. Then also $\sigma \mapsto H_\sigma$ is continuous as map $\Sigma_s(K) \rightarrow C^\infty(Z, T_{\mathbb{C}}Z)$. Clearly, $\Theta_{\sigma_0} \equiv H_{\sigma_0} \equiv 0$.

The matrix $S = S_{\Psi_\sigma}$ has entries $s_{jk} \in C^\infty(Z)$ ($1 \leq j, k \leq 2$), given by:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{11} &= \langle \Psi_{\sigma*}\Xi_{\sigma_0}, \Xi_\sigma^\vee \rangle = \langle \Theta_\sigma, (1 - |\mu|^4)\Xi_\sigma^\vee \rangle + a_\sigma \\ s_{12} &= \langle \Psi_{\sigma*}[(1 - |\mu|^4)^{-1}\Xi_{\sigma_0}^\vee], \partial_\mu^\vee \rangle = \langle \Theta_\sigma, \partial_\mu^\vee \rangle \\ s_{21} &= \langle \Psi_{\sigma*}\partial_\mu, (1 - |\mu|^4)\Xi_\sigma^\vee \rangle = \langle H_\sigma, (1 - |\mu|^4)\Xi_\sigma^\vee \rangle \\ s_{22} &= \langle \Psi_{\sigma*}\partial_\mu, \partial_\mu^\vee \rangle = \langle H_\sigma, \partial_\mu^\vee \rangle + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Both $(1 - |\mu|^4)\Xi_\sigma^\vee$ and ∂_μ^\vee extend to smooth 1-forms on Z and, as elements of $C^\infty(Z, T_{\mathbb{C}}^*Z)$, depend continuously on σ —this is apparent in the explicit expression in 2.14. Hence their L^∞ -norm can be bounded uniformly in σ , in a neighbourhood of $\sigma = \sigma_0$. Further, all of $\|\Theta_\sigma\|_\infty$, $\|H_\sigma\|_\infty$ and $\|a_\sigma - 1\|_\infty$ are arbitrarily small in a neighbourhood of $\sigma = \sigma_0$. This yields the desired bound on $\|S - \text{Id}\|_\infty$. For $\|T\|_\infty$ one proceeds analogously. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.10. We have to show that the given tuple $(\beta_0, \sigma_0) \in \mathcal{I}_+$ is an interior point. Denote with $B_0 \in L^\infty(Z^\circ \times Z^\circ, \mathbb{C}^2)$ the associated difference quotient. Let us introduce the notation

$$\Delta\beta(z, \mu, z', \mu') = \beta(z, \mu) - \beta(z', \mu') \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(z, \mu, z', \mu') = (\Psi_\sigma(z, \mu), \Psi_\sigma(z', \mu')),$$

where $(\Psi_\sigma : \sigma \in \mathcal{U})$ is a family of diffeomorphisms as in the preceding proposition. The difference quotient $B = \Delta\beta/d_\sigma$ associated to another tuple (β, σ) can then be compared to B_0 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\Psi}_\sigma^* B - B_0\|_\infty &= \left\| \frac{\hat{\Psi}_\sigma^* \Delta\beta}{\hat{\Psi}_\sigma^* d_\sigma} \times \left[1 - \frac{\hat{\Psi}_\sigma^* d_\sigma}{d_{\sigma_0}} \right] + \frac{\hat{\Psi}_\sigma^* \Delta\beta - \Delta\beta_0}{d_{\sigma_0}} \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \underbrace{\|B\|_\infty}_{(a)} \times \underbrace{\left\| 1 - \frac{\hat{\Psi}_\sigma^* d_\sigma}{d_{\sigma_0}} \right\|_\infty}_{(b)} + \underbrace{\left\| \frac{\hat{\Psi}_\sigma^* \Delta\beta - \Delta\beta_0}{d_0} \right\|_\infty}_{(c)} \end{aligned}$$

For (β, σ) in a small neighbourhood of (β_0, σ_0) inside \mathcal{I} we will estimate the terms (a),(b) and (c) to the effect that $\|\hat{\Psi}_\sigma^* B - B_0\|_\infty \leq \|B_0\|_\infty/2$. As a consequence,

$$|B| \geq |B_0| - \|\hat{\Psi}_\sigma^* B - B_0\|_\infty \geq |B_0|/2 > 0 \quad \text{a.e.},$$

such that $(\beta, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}_+$, as desired. Let us now consider the three terms separately:

- (a) By Proposition 7.2, we have $\|B\|_\infty \leq \|S_\beta\|_\infty$ and by Lemma 7.3 this upper bound depends continuously on (β, σ) . In particular it stays uniformly bounded for small perturbations inside \mathcal{I} .
- (b) Let $\epsilon > 0$ and Σ_0 as in Proposition 7.7. Then for $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$, this factor is bounded by ϵ due to Proposition 7.2.
- (c) This factor equals the Lipschitz constant of $f = \Psi_\sigma^* \beta - \beta_0$, considered as map $f: (Z^\circ, d_{\sigma_0}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ and will be estimated as in Proposition 7.2(i). To this end, we write S_\bullet and T_\bullet (with $\bullet \in \{f, \Psi_\sigma, \beta, \beta_0, \}$) for the Jacobian matrices with respect to the orthonormal frames

$$\{(1 - |\mu|^4)\bar{\Xi}_\sigma^\vee, \partial_\mu^\vee\}, \{(1 - |\mu|^4)\bar{\Xi}_0^\vee, \partial_\mu^\vee\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{dw, d\xi\},$$

respectively. Then, by (7.2),

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_f & \bar{T}_f \\ T_f & \bar{S}_f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{\Psi_\sigma} & \bar{T}_{\Psi_\sigma} \\ T_{\Psi_\sigma} & \bar{S}_{\Psi_\sigma} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{S}_\beta \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} S_{\beta_0} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{S}_{\beta_0} \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_f\|_\infty &= \|S_{\Psi_\sigma} S_\beta - S_{\beta_0}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|(S_{\Psi_\sigma} - \text{Id})\|_\infty \cdot \|S_\beta\|_\infty + \|(S_\beta - S_{\beta_0})\|_\infty \\ \|T_f\|_\infty &= \|T_{\Psi_\sigma} S_\beta\|_\infty \leq \|T_{\Psi_\sigma}\|_\infty \cdot \|S_\beta\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

For (β, σ) in a small neighbourhood of $(\beta_0, \sigma_0) \in \mathcal{I}$, both norms can be made arbitrarily small. This follows from the estimates in Proposition 7.7 and Lemma 7.3. Using Proposition 7.2(i), we thus arrange that $\text{Lip}(f) \leq \epsilon$. \square

8. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 3.8, 1.4 AND 1.5

In this section we conclude the proofs of the main theorems and their corollaries.

8.1. Proof of Theorem 3.8. As discussed below the theorem, it only remains to prove the continuous dependence on σ . Recall that

$$\beta_\sigma|_{S\mathbb{D}} = \left(\underbrace{\mathbb{S}_{\text{ev}}(I_0^{g_\sigma}(N_0^{g_\sigma})^{-1}z)^{\sharp_{g_\sigma}}}_{\beta_\sigma^{(0)}|_{S\mathbb{D}}}, \underbrace{\mathbb{S}_{\text{odd}}(I_1^{g_\sigma}(N_1^{g_\sigma})^{-1}dz)^{\sharp_{g_\sigma}}}_{\beta_\sigma^{(1)}|_{S\mathbb{D}}} \right) \in C^\infty(S\mathbb{D}), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_s,$$

where the X-ray transform and the \sharp -operator are defined with respect to the g_σ -geodesic flow projected onto the fixed manifold $S\mathbb{D}$, as described in §5. Combining Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 6.1 then shows that $\sigma \mapsto \beta_\sigma^{(0)}$ is continuous from Σ_s into $C^\infty(S\mathbb{D})$. For $\beta_\sigma^{(1)}$ one argues analogously, using instead of Theorem 6.1 that also

$$\sigma \mapsto N_1^{g_\sigma}(dz), \quad \Sigma_s \rightarrow \rho^{-1/2}\Omega_1.$$

is a continuous map. To see this, one reduces to the attenuated setting as in the proof of Proposition 3.2 and then uses the continuity statement from Remark 6.2. The extension of $\beta_\sigma|_{S\mathbb{D}}$ to $\beta_\sigma \in C^\infty(Z)$ is clearly continuous and thus the proof is complete. \square

8.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4. On the disk $M = \mathbb{D}$ we consider perturbations of a fixed conformally Euclidean metric

$$g_\kappa = e^{2\sigma_\kappa}|dz|^2, \quad \sigma_\kappa = -\log(1 + \kappa|z|^2), \quad |\kappa| < 1.$$

Let $(\beta_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma_s)$ be the family of canonical β -maps from Theorem 3.8. For $\sigma = \sigma_\kappa$ we know from Theorem 1.3 that β_κ has a holomorphic blow-down structure, that is, the tuple $(\beta_\kappa, \sigma_\kappa)$ lies in the set \mathcal{I}_+ from §3.2.2. As explained below Theorem 3.10, the openness of \mathcal{I}_+ implies the existence of a neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \Sigma_s$ of σ_κ , such that the family of β -maps satisfies $(\beta_\sigma, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}_+$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$.

Let us now view $g_\kappa = e^{2\sigma_\kappa}|dz|^2$ as an element of $\text{Riem}(\mathbb{D})$, the space of all Riemannian metrics on \mathbb{D} , and apply the Riemann mapping theorem with continuous dependence (Theorem A.1). Let $P : \text{Riem}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$ be the continuous map from Corollary A.2. Then $P(g_\kappa) = \sigma_\kappa$ and $\mathcal{V} = P^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \text{Riem}(\mathbb{D})$ is an open neighbourhood of g_κ . Then, for $\sigma = P(g)$ there are maps as follows:

$$Z(\mathbb{D}, g) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z(\mathbb{D}, e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2) \xrightarrow{\beta_\sigma} \mathbb{C}^2$$

Here the first map is the biholomorphism that lifts the isometry $(\mathbb{D}, g) \cong (\mathbb{D}, e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2)$ provided by Corollary A.2. The concatenation is then a holomorphic map from twistor space $Z = Z(\mathbb{D}, g)$ into \mathbb{C}^2 with holomorphic blow-down structure. \square

8.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** As preparation for the theorem we first prove:

Proposition 8.1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian surface and $x_0 \in M$. Write*

$$\bar{B}_\epsilon(x_0) = \{x \in M : d_g(x, x_0) \leq \epsilon\}$$

for the closed geodesic ball around x_0 with radius $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a family of smooth functions $\sigma_\epsilon: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($\epsilon > 0$) such that:

(i) *there is an orientation preserving isometry*

$$(\bar{B}_\epsilon(x), g) \cong (\mathbb{D}, e^{2(\sigma_\epsilon + \log \epsilon)} |dz|^2);$$

(ii) *as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have $\sigma_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Since this is a local result, we may assume without loss of generality that M is complete. The desired isometry comes from first using geodesic normal coordinates and then the Riemann mapping theorem. Let $\{v, v^\perp\} \subset T_{x_0}M$ be an oriented g -orthonormal basis and define:

$$\psi_\epsilon: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{B}_\epsilon(x_0), \quad \psi(z) = \exp_x(\epsilon \operatorname{Re} z \cdot v + \epsilon \operatorname{Im} z \cdot v^\perp)$$

Lemma 8.2. *As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have $\epsilon^{-2}\psi_\epsilon^*g \rightarrow |dz|^2$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \otimes_S^2 T^*\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. We first consider the case $\epsilon = 1$, in which case the pull-back ψ_1^*g can be expressed in polar coordinates (r, θ) as

$$\psi_1^*g = dr^2 + f(r, \theta)^2 \cdot d\theta^2,$$

where $f \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ is determined through the Jacobi equation in r :

$$\partial_r^2 f + K_1 \cdot f = 0 \text{ on } [0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1, \quad f(0, \cdot) = 0, \quad \partial_r f(0, \cdot) \equiv 1$$

Here $K_1(r, \theta) = \psi^*K(re^{i\theta})$ is the Gauss curvature of (M, g) in polar coordinates, which can be viewed as a function $K_1 \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1)$. For $\epsilon > 0$ we now introduce rescaled polar coordinates (s, θ) , where $r = \epsilon s$. If we define

$$f_\epsilon \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1) \quad \text{by } f_\epsilon(s, \theta) = \epsilon^{-1}f(\epsilon s, \theta),$$

then

$$dr^2 + f(r, \theta)^2 d\theta^2 = \epsilon^2 ds^2 + f(\epsilon s, \theta)^2 d\theta^2 = \epsilon^2 (ds^2 + f_\epsilon(s, \theta)^2 d\theta^2),$$

which is to say that $\epsilon^{-2}\psi_\epsilon^*g = ds^2 + f_\epsilon^2 d\theta^2$. Let $f_0(s, \theta) = s$, then the lemma is equivalent to:

$$f_\epsilon \rightarrow f_0 \quad \text{in } C^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1).$$

To prove the latter convergence result we derive an ODE for f_ϵ and conclude by its continuous dependence on the parameters. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s f_\epsilon(s, \theta) &= \partial_r f(\epsilon s, \theta) \\ \partial_s^2 f_\epsilon(s, \theta) &= \epsilon \partial_r^2 f(\epsilon s, \theta) = -\epsilon K_1(\epsilon s, \theta) f(\epsilon s, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we define $K_\epsilon \in C^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ by $K_\epsilon(s, \theta) = \epsilon^2 K_1(\epsilon s, \theta)$, then

$$\partial_s^2 f_\epsilon + K_\epsilon f_\epsilon = 0 \text{ on } [0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1, \quad f_\epsilon(0, \cdot) = 0, \quad \partial_s f_\epsilon(0, \cdot) \equiv 1.$$

As $K_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $C^\infty([0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we can apply Corollary A.4 to conclude. \square

Proof of Proposition 8.1. Let $P: \text{Riem}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$ the map from Corollary A.2, where $\text{Riem}(\mathbb{D}) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \otimes_{\mathbb{S}}^2 T^*\mathbb{D})$ is the open cone of Riemannian metrics on \mathbb{D} . With $\psi_\epsilon: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ as above, we define

$$\sigma_\epsilon = P(\epsilon^{-2}\psi_\epsilon^*g), \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

The preceding lemma, together with the continuity of P , imply that $\sigma_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Further, there are isometries

$$(\bar{B}_\epsilon(0), g) \cong (\mathbb{D}, \psi_\epsilon^*g) \cong (\mathbb{D}, e^{2\sigma_\epsilon+2\log\epsilon}|dz|^2),$$

provided by ψ_ϵ and the isometry from Corollary A.2, respectively. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $B_\epsilon(x_0)$ be the open geodesic ball of radius ϵ about a point $x_0 \in M$. For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we will obtain a local β -map on the open subset $U = Z(B_\epsilon(0), g)$ of twistor space Z . As any point in Z is contained in such an open set U , this will prove the theorem.

Let $(\sigma_\epsilon : \epsilon > 0)$ be the family of conformal factors from Proposition 8.1 and let $(\beta_{\sigma_\epsilon} : \epsilon > 0)$ be the associated β -maps from Theorem 3.8. We define a local β -map through the following concatenation:

$$\beta: U \cong Z(\mathbb{D}^\circ, e^{2\sigma_\epsilon+2\log\epsilon}|dz|^2) \cong Z(\mathbb{D}^\circ, e^{2\sigma_\epsilon}|dz|^2) \xrightarrow{\beta_{\sigma_\epsilon}} \mathbb{C}^2$$

Here the first biholomorphism is the lift of the isometry in Proposition 8.1 and the second one equals, in the model from §2.5, simply the identity – this is also a biholomorphism, because the complex structure of twistor space is invariant under constant rescalings of the metric (that is, $\mathcal{D}_\sigma = \mathcal{D}_{\sigma+C}$ for any constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$). For sufficiently small ϵ the map β_{σ_ϵ} has a holomorphic blow-down structure by Theorem 3.10 and in view of the discussion below Definition 1.2 this implies properties (i) and (ii). The statement about point separation is immediate: If $q_1, q_2 \in U \setminus SM$ are two distinct points, then $\beta(q_1) \neq \beta(q_2)$ and thus there is a holomorphic map $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f(\beta(q_1)) \neq f(\beta(q_2))$. Since β is holomorphic, we have $\beta^*f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, as desired. \square

8.4. Proofs of Corollaries 1.6 and 1.7.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. We consider the set

$$\Sigma' = \{\mu \in \Sigma : f_1 = f_2 \text{ in a neighbourhood of } \mu\},$$

which is obviously open in Σ . We are done once we have shown that it is also non-empty and closed.

Non-empty: Let $\mu_* \in \partial\Sigma$ and $p = f_1(\mu_*) = f_2(\mu_*) \in Z$. Let U be as in Theorem 1.5 and $V = f_1^{-1}(U) \cap f_2^{-1}(U)$. This is an open neighbourhood of μ_* in \mathbb{D} . If $g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, then also the functions $g \circ f_1$ and $g \circ f_2$ are holomorphic and agree on $V \cap \partial\Sigma$ (which is non-empty, as it contains μ_*), hence $g \circ f_1 = g \circ f_2$ on all of V by the identity principle. By Theorem 1.5 the holomorphic functions on U separate points and thus we must have $f_1 = f_2$ on V , which shows that $\mu_* \in \Sigma$.

Closed: Let $(\mu_n) \subset \Sigma'$ be a sequence with limit $\mu_* \in \Sigma$. Since $f_1(\mu_n) = f_2(\mu_n)$, we also have $f_1(\mu_*) = f_2(\mu_*) \in Z$ and call this point p . Again, let U be a neighbourhood

of p as in the Theorem 1.5 and let $V = f_1^{-1}(U) \cap f_2^{-1}(U)$. If $g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, then $h = g \circ f_1 - g \circ f_2$ is holomorphic on V and satisfies $h(\mu_n) = 0$ for infinitely many n . By the identity principle $h \equiv 0$ on V and, again using point separation, $f_1 = f_2$ on V . This shows that $\mu_* \in \Sigma$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.7. Let $x \in M_1$ and let $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow Z_{1,x} \subset Z$ be a holomorphic curve whose image is the fibre $Z_{1,x}$ over x . Then both $\Phi \circ f$ and $\Psi \circ f$ are holomorphic curves and by assumption they have the same boundary values. Hence $\Phi \circ f = \Psi \circ f$, which means that Φ and Ψ agree on the fibre $Z_{1,x}$. This holds for all $x \in M_1$ and thus $\Phi = \Psi$. \square

APPENDIX A. CONTINUITY THEOREMS IN GEOMETRY

We recapitulate some aspects from geometric analysis with a focus on continuous dependence on the underlying geometry.

A.1. Riemann mapping theorem. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Riem}(\mathbb{D}) &= \{g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \otimes_S^2 T^*\mathbb{D}) : g \text{ is a Riemannian metric}\} \\ \text{Bel}(\mathbb{D}) &= \{m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}) : |m| < 1\} \end{aligned}$$

These are the spaces of *Riemannian metrics* and *Beltrami coefficients* on \mathbb{D} , respectively, and they both lie open in the ambient C^∞ -spaces and as such they are Fréchet manifolds. Each $m \in \text{Bel}(\mathbb{D})$ encodes an orientation compatible complex structure on \mathbb{D} with anti-holomorphic tangent bundle

$$\mathcal{D}_m = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\partial_{\bar{z}} + m\partial_z) \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{D}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and vice versa, every complex structure on \mathbb{D} that is compatible with the standard orientation is given in terms of such a Beltrami coefficient. In particular, the complex structure determined by $g \in \text{Riem}(\mathbb{D})$ is given by

$$m_g(z) = \frac{\langle dz, v + iv^\perp \rangle}{\langle d\bar{z}, v + iv^\perp \rangle}, \quad \text{where } \{v, v^\perp\} \subset T_z\mathbb{D} \text{ is an oriented } g\text{-ONB}.$$

Let $\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{D})$ be the orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{D} , equipped with the C^∞ -topology. Moreover, let $\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{D}, \{0, 1\}) \subset \text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{D})$ be the closed subset of diffeomorphisms with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(1) = 1$. Then the following version of the Riemann Mapping Theorem was proved by Earle–Schatz in [5, Theorem on p.171]:

Theorem A.1 (RMT with continuous dependence). *For any $m \in \text{Bel}(\mathbb{D})$ there exists a unique $\psi \in \text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{D}, \{0, 1\})$ such that $\psi: (\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{D}_m) \rightarrow (\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{D}_0)$ is holomorphic ($\psi_*\mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{D}_0$). The map $m \mapsto \psi$ is a homeomorphism $\text{Bel}(\mathbb{D}) \cong \text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{D}, \{0, 1\})$.*

Corollary A.2. *There is a continuous map $P: \text{Riem}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$ such that:*

- (i) $P(e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2) = \sigma$ for all $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$;
- (ii) $(\mathbb{D}, g) \cong (\mathbb{D}, e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2)$ isometric for $\sigma = P(g)$ and $g \in \text{Riem}(\mathbb{D})$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in \text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{D}, \{0, 1\})$ the image of m_g under the homeomorphism in Theorem A.1. Write $\phi = \psi^{-1}$, let $\mathbf{1} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, T\mathbb{D})$ be a vector field with $|\mathbf{1}|_{|dz|^2} \equiv 1$ and define $P(g) := \log |\mathbf{1}|_{\phi^*g}$. Using that $\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{D}, \{0, 1\})$ is a topological group that acts continuously on $\text{Riem}(\mathbb{D})$ by pull-backs, one readily verifies that P is continuous. Let us verify the two properties: If $g = e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2$, then $m_g = 0$ and $\psi = \phi = \text{Id}$, such that $P(g) = \log(e^\sigma |\mathbf{1}|_{|dz|^2}) = \sigma$. For general $g \in \text{Riem}(\mathbb{D})$, the map $\phi = \psi^{-1}: (\mathbb{D}, |dz|^2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{D}, g)$ is conformal, which is to say that $\phi^*g = e^{2\sigma}|dz|^2$ for *some* $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$. Clearly $P(g) = \sigma$, and the proof is complete. \square

A.2. Integration of vector fields. Let \mathcal{M} be a closed d -dimensional manifold and $T \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{M}_T = \mathcal{M} \times [0, T]$. Then an *isotopy* on \mathcal{M} is a smooth map $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $\Phi_t(x) = \Phi(x, t)$ defines a diffeomorphism of \mathcal{M} for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, with $\Phi_0 = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}$. We denote the set of all isotopies on \mathcal{M} by

$$\text{Iso}_T(\mathcal{M}) \subset \{\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_T, \mathcal{M}) : \Phi(\cdot, 0) = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}\}.$$

The set on the right lies closed inside the ambient C^∞ -space and contains $\text{Iso}_T(\mathcal{M})$ as an open subset. To every isotopy Φ we can associate a time-dependent vector field X , i.e., an element of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_T, T\mathcal{M})$, via the relation

$$\partial_t \Phi(x, t) = X(\Phi_t(x), t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathcal{M}_T.$$

Vice versa, every such vector field X can be integrated to an isotopy Φ^X by solving this ordinary differential equation. We record here the – certainly well-known – observation that the map $X \mapsto \Phi^X$ is continuous in the C^∞ -topology.

Theorem A.3. *The map $X \mapsto \Phi^X$ is a homeomorphism $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_T, T\mathcal{M}) \cong \text{Iso}_T(\mathcal{M})$.*

Proof (Sketch). The result can be reduced to the continuity of the exponential map

$$X \mapsto \Phi_1^X, \quad C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$$

for time-independent vector fields, which is proved by Ebin–Marsden in [6, Theorem 3.1]. Precisely, the authors prove continuity into $\text{Diff}^s(\mathcal{M})$, the space of diffeomorphisms of Sobolev H^s -regularity, for all $s > d/2 + 2$. Since $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ carries the initial topology with respect to the inclusions into $\text{Diff}^s(\mathcal{M})$, this gives the desired continuity.

To obtain continuity of the full isotopy, one can embed \mathcal{M}_T into a closed manifold \mathcal{N} of dimension $d+1$ and consider a smooth vector field Y on \mathcal{N} with $Y(x, t) = tX(x)$ for $(x, t) \in \mathcal{M}_T \subset \mathcal{N}$. The isotopy $\Psi^Y \in \text{Iso}_1(\mathcal{N})$ leaves \mathcal{M}_T invariant and satisfies

$$\Psi_s^Y(x, t) = (\Phi_s^{tX}(x), t) = (\Phi_{st}^X(x), t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathcal{M}_T \subset \mathcal{N}, 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

The full isotopy $\Phi^X \in \text{Iso}_T(\mathcal{M})$ can be recovered from Ψ_1^Y , and after constructing a continuous extension map $X \mapsto Y$, this allows to derive continuity of the map

$$X \mapsto \Phi^X, \quad C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Iso}_T(\mathcal{M}).$$

The passage to time-dependent vector fields is done similarly, by extending X to a time-independent vector field on \mathcal{N} and then applying the preceding result. This

proves that also the following map is continuous:

$$X \mapsto \Phi^X, \quad C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_T, T\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Iso}_T(\mathcal{M}).$$

It is clear that this is a bijection, with inverse provided by differentiating a given isotopy. This is clearly continuous and so we indeed obtain a homeomorphism. \square

For convenience, we record the following result as a corollary (it could also be derived using variation of constants):

Corollary A.4. *Let M be a closed manifold and $M_T = M \times [0, T]$. For $A \in C^\infty(M_T)$ and $a_0, b_0 \in C^\infty(M)$, denote with $f_A \in C^\infty(M_T)$ the unique solution to the initial value problem*

$$\partial_t^2 f + Af = 0 \text{ on } M_T, \quad (f, \partial_t f)|_{t=0} = (a_0, b_0).$$

Then the map $A \mapsto f_A$ is continuous from $C^\infty(M_T)$ into $C^\infty(M_T)$.

Proof. On $\mathcal{M} = M_x \times \mathbb{R}_a \times \mathbb{R}_b$ consider the time-dependent vector field $X(x, a, b, t) = b \partial_a - A(x, t) a \partial_b$, whose isotopy satisfies $\Phi^X(x, a_0(x), b_0(x), t) = (x, f_A(x, t), \partial_t f_A(x, t))$ for all $(x, t) \in M_T$. Projecting onto the second component, we retrieve f_A and the corollary follows from the preceding theorem. \square

A.3. Riemannian extensions.

Lemma A.5. *Let M be a compact manifold with boundary, embedded into a closed manifold N of the same dimension. Then for any Riemannian metric g_0 there is a neighbourhood \mathcal{U} inside $C^\infty(M, \otimes_S^2 T^*M)$ and a continuous map*

$$E: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow C^\infty(N, \otimes_S^2 T^*N), \quad Eg|_M = g$$

such that Eg is a Riemannian metric on N for all $g \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. First, there is a continuous linear extension operator

$$E_0: C^\infty(M, \otimes_S^2 T^*M) \rightarrow C^\infty(S, \otimes_S^2 T^*N), \quad E_0 f|_M = f,$$

this is proved e.g. by Seeley in [30]. Let h be an arbitrary metric on N and let $\epsilon > 0$ be so small that the symmetric 2-tensor $g_0 - 2\epsilon h$ is positive definite on M . For $f \in C^\infty(M, \otimes_S^2 T^*M)$, define

$$U(f, \delta) = \{x \in N : (E_0 f - \delta h)_x \text{ is positive definite}\}, \quad \delta > 0.$$

Then $U_0 = U(g_0, 2\epsilon)$ is an open neighbourhood of M in N . Let $\chi \in C^\infty(S, [0, 1])$ be $\equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of M and $\equiv 0$ on $N \setminus U_0$. We claim that

$$\mathcal{U} := \{g \in C^\infty(M, \otimes_S^2 T^*M) : U(g, \epsilon) \supset \bar{U}_0\}, \quad Eg := \chi E_0 g + (1 - \chi)h$$

have the desired properties. Clearly, \mathcal{U} is open and contains g_0 . It remains to show that $(Eg)_x$ is positive definite for all $x \in N$. If $\chi(x) = 0$, this is obvious. If $\chi(x) > 0$, then $x \in \bar{U}_0 \subset U(g, \epsilon)$, and hence each term in

$$(Eg)_x = \chi(x)(E_0 g - \epsilon h)_x + (1 + \epsilon\chi(x) - \chi(x))h_x$$

is positive definite. \square

Remark A.6. It is sometimes convenient to define an extension operator on an arbitrary open subset $\mathcal{V} \subset C^\infty(M, \otimes_S^2 T^*M)$ of a Riemannian metric. This is always possible by means of a continuous partition of unity on \mathcal{V} . (The C^∞ -topology being metrisable implies that \mathcal{V} is paracompact.)

A.4. Extension of embeddings. Let Y be a compact manifold with boundary and let X be a closed manifold. The boundary restriction of C^∞ -embeddings,

$$\text{Emb}(Y, X) \rightarrow \text{Emb}(\partial Y, X), \quad f \mapsto f|_{\partial Y},$$

is a continuous map with respect to the C^∞ -topologies. Palais showed that this map is a locally trivial fibration (see [25], the case with boundary is discussed in Section 6). We record a simple consequence of this:

Proposition A.7. *The image $\mathcal{U} \subset \text{Emb}(\partial Y, X)$ of the restriction map is open and there exists a continuous extension map*

$$E: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Emb}(Y, X), \quad Ef|_{\partial Y} = f.$$

A.5. Whitney folds. Let X and Y be closed orientable n -manifolds and $S \subset Y$ a closed embedded hypersurface. We wish to consider smooth maps and involutions

$$(f, \alpha) \in C^\infty(Y, X) \times \text{Diff}(Y) \quad \text{with } f \circ \alpha = f \text{ and } \alpha \circ \alpha = \text{Id},$$

such that f has a *Whitney fold* at every point in S (see also §2.3), having α as its non-trivial involution. The purpose of this section is to describe a setting where the local theory from [14, Section C.4] can be globalised and to supplement the theory with adequate continuity theorems.

To ensure that Y supports global involutions with fixed point set S , we will from now on impose the following condition: *Y is the union of two connected submanifolds $Y_1, Y_2 \subset Y$ having disjoint interiors and a common boundary $\partial Y_1 = \partial Y_2 = S$.* To further ease discussion we fix two volume forms ω_X and ω_Y and, given a smooth map $f: Y \rightarrow X$, write $\lambda_f \in C^\infty(Y, \mathbb{R})$ for the function defined by $f^*\omega_X = \lambda_f \omega_Y$.

Definition A.8. Define the following sets:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{loc}}^S(Y, X) = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(Y, X) : \begin{array}{l} (1) \lambda_f = 0 \text{ on } S \\ (2) |d\lambda_f| + |df| > 0 \text{ on } TY|_S \\ (3) f|_S \in \text{Emb}(S, X) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{W}^S(Y, X) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{loc}}^S(Y, X) : \begin{array}{l} (4) |\lambda_f| > 0 \text{ on } Y \setminus S \\ (5) f|_{Y_k}: Y_k \rightarrow X \text{ is a topological} \\ \text{embedding for } k = 1, 2 \\ (6) f(Y_1) = f(Y_2) \end{array} \right\}$$

Proposition A.9 (Local and global folds and their involutions).

- (i) $\mathcal{W}_{\text{loc}}^S(Y, X)$ is an open subset of $\{f \in C^\infty(Y, X) : \lambda_f = 0 \text{ on } S\}$;
- (ii) for all $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{loc}}^S(Y, X)$ there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and embeddings

$$\varphi_X^f: S \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \rightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_Y^f: S \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \rightarrow Y$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S & \xrightarrow{y \mapsto (y,0)} & S \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] & \xrightarrow{(y,t) \mapsto (y,t^2)} & S \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \varphi_Y^f & & \downarrow \varphi_X^f \\
 & & Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X
 \end{array}$$

(iii) every $f_0 \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{loc}}^S(X, X)$ has an open neighbourhood \mathcal{U} such that for $f \in \mathcal{U}$ one can choose ϵ to be uniform and $f \mapsto (\varphi_X^f, \varphi_Y^f)$ to be continuous as a map

$$\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Emb}(S \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon], X) \times \text{Emb}(S \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon], Y).$$

(iv) $\mathcal{W}^S(Y, X) \subset \mathcal{W}_{\text{loc}}^S(Y, X)$ is open;

(v) for every $f \in \mathcal{W}^S(Y, X)$ there exists a unique $\alpha \in \text{Diff}(Y) \setminus \{\text{Id}\}$ with $f \circ \alpha = f$ and $\alpha^2 = \text{Id}$. The following map is continuous:

$$f \mapsto \alpha, \quad \mathcal{W}^S(Y, X) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(Y)$$

Proof. Part (i) is obvious. For (ii) we may choose $\varphi_X: S \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$ to be any embedding that extends f in the sense that $\varphi_X(y, 0) = f(y)$ for all $y \in S$. Then in a neighbourhood U of $S \subset Y$ the map

$$\pi := \text{pr}_1 \circ (\varphi_X)^{-1} \circ f: U \rightarrow S,$$

is a submersion with $\ker d\pi|_S = \ker df|_S$ being transversal to S . Hence, after shrinking U if necessary, $\pi: U \rightarrow S$ is a fibre bundle with fibre \mathbb{R} . As $S \subset U$ is two-sided, there exists a trivialisation $\tau: S \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ with $\tau(y, 0) = y$ for all $y \in S$. Define $F: S \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F(y, s) = \text{pr}_2 \circ \varphi_X^{-1} \circ f \circ \tau(y, s)$. Then for all $y \in S$ we have $F(y, 0) = \partial_s F(y, 0) = 0 \neq \partial_s^2 F(y, 0)$, the last ' \neq ' being due to property (2). For sufficiently small $c, \delta > 0$ we have $\partial_s^2 F(y, s) \geq c$ ($(y, s) \in S \times [-\delta, \delta]$), possibly after flipping a sign in the definition of φ_X . Taylor expanding $F(y, \cdot)$ about $s = 0$ yields

$$F(y, t) = s^2 R(y, t), \quad R(y, s) = \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \partial_s^2 F(y, s\sigma) d\sigma \geq c/2$$

Consequently $\sqrt{R(y, s)}$ defines a smooth function on $S \times [-\delta, \delta]$ and $s \mapsto s\sqrt{R(y, s)}$ has a positive derivative for $|s| < c/|\partial_s R|_\infty$. Decreasing δ if necessary, the map

$$\kappa: S \times [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \kappa(y, s) = \left(y, s\sqrt{R(y, s)} \right)$$

thus becomes a diffeomorphism onto its image. If $\epsilon > 0$ is so small that $S \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ lies in the image, then $\varphi_Y = \tau \circ \kappa^{-1}: S \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \rightarrow Y$ is the desired embedding.

For (iii) let us revisit the choices made in the construction of the embeddings φ_X and φ_Y . The definition of φ_X relies on extending the smooth embedding $f: S \rightarrow X$ and this can be made continuous in f due to Proposition A.7. Next, for f near f_0 the choice of trivialisation τ can be fixed as follows: Upon choosing a Riemannian metric on Y , there is a unique unit vector field ξ_0 spanning $\ker d\pi$ near S and pointing inside Y_1 along S . We may then define $\tau_0(\cdot, s)$ as the time- s -map of its flow, at least if $|s|$ is sufficiently small. The trivialisation τ is then obtained from τ_0 by reparametrising

the s -parameter, which can be done uniformly for f in a neighbourhood of f_0 . With all choices fixed, the remaining construction of φ_Y relies only on elementary calculus and as such is continuous in f .

For (iv) we fix $f_0 \in \mathcal{W}^S(Y, X)$ and let \mathcal{U} and $\epsilon > 0$ be as in (iii). Then the sets

$$K_k = Y_k \setminus \varphi_Y^{f_0}(S \times (-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2)), \quad k \in \{1, 2\}$$

are compact and connected. Consider the following conditions on $f \in \mathcal{U}$:

- (a) $Y_k \setminus K_k^\circ \subset \varphi_Y^f(S \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$, (b) $\inf_{K_k} |\lambda_f| > 0$,
(c) $f(K_k) \cap f(S) = \emptyset$, (d) $f(K_1^\circ) \cap f(K_2^\circ) \neq \emptyset$.

These conditions are all satisfied for f_0 and further they define an open subset of \mathcal{U} . We claim that they imply that $f \in \mathcal{W}^S(Y, X)$, proving that f_0 is an interior point.

To prove the claim suppose that $f \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfies conditions (a)–(d). Then property (4) can be checked pointwise on the sets K_1, K_2 and a tubular neighbourhood of S , where it follows from (b) or the normal form of f , respectively. Due to (c) and (d) there is a unique connected component W of $X \setminus f(S)$ that contains both $f(K_1)$ and $f(K_2)$. In order to verify conditions (5) and (6) it suffices to show that

$$f: Y_k \rightarrow \bar{W} \text{ is a homeomorphism } (k = 1, 2).$$

To see this, we first observe that f is a *local* homeomorphism in this setting. At points in K_k this follows from (4) and (c) and at points in $Y_k \setminus K_k$ this can be verified in the local normal form. As both Y_k and \bar{W} are compact and connected, it follows that $f: Y_k \rightarrow \bar{W}$ is a covering map and due to (3) the degree has to be 1.

Finally, for (v) the involution α can be defined by $\alpha|_{Y_k} = f|_{Y_k}^{-1} \circ f|_{Y_k}$ ($\{j, k\} = \{1, 2\}$) and is clearly unique. Moreover, α leaves $Y \setminus S$ invariant and as an element $\alpha|_{Y \setminus S} \in \text{Diff}(Y \setminus S)$ it depends continuously on $f \in \mathcal{W}^S(Y, X)$, because it is explicitly given in terms of f and its inverse. For $(x, t) \in S \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ we have $\alpha \circ \varphi_X^f(y, t) = \varphi_X^f(y, -t)$ and thus using φ_X^f as a local chart we see that also near S the involution α is smooth and depends continuously on f . \square

The main theorem about pull-backs by Whitney folds – stated here in its global form – asserts that the following non-linear sequence is exact:

$$\mathcal{W}^S(Y, X) \times C^\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^S(Y, X) \times C^\infty(Y) \rightarrow C^\infty(Y) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Here we first map $(f, w) \mapsto (f, f^*w)$ and then $(f, h) \mapsto h \circ \alpha - h$, where α is the involution associated to f . Exactness means that the image of the first map equals the 0-preimage of the second map. For the latter we also write

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(f, h) \in \mathcal{W}^S(Y, X) \times C^\infty(Y) : h = h \circ \alpha\}.$$

Theorem A.10. *The non-linear sequence (A.2) admits a continuous left-split. Precisely, there exists a continuous map*

$$(f, h) \mapsto w, \quad \mathcal{X} \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$$

such that $f^*w = h$.

Proof (Sketch). The assertion is non-trivial only near the fold, where one may pass to the normal form provided in the preceding proposition. Writing

$$\mathcal{X}_0 = \{h \in C^\infty(S \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)) : h(y, t) = w(y, -t)\}$$

it then suffices to find a continuous *linear* map

$$h \mapsto w, \quad \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow C^\infty(S \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$$

such that $w(y, t^2) = h(y, t)$ for all $(y, t) \in S \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. This however can be achieved by the same methods as in Theorem C.4.4 of [14], using the open mapping theorem to establish continuity. \square

APPENDIX B. CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE OF THE NORMAL OPERATOR

We recapitulate the proof that the *normal operator* of a simple manifold (defined in §2.2) is a classical pseudodifferential operator and show that as such it depends continuously on the metric.

B.1. Classical ψ do and their topology. A smooth function $a: \mathbb{R}_x^d \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *classical symbol* of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$, if

$$a(x, \xi) = |\xi|^m \hat{a}(x, \xi/|\xi|, 1/|\xi|), \quad |\xi| > 0, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

for some $\hat{a} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times [0, \infty))$. The space of all such symbols is denoted with $S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ and it is turned into a Fréchet space by the identification with

$$\{(a, \hat{a}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times [0, \infty)) : (\text{B.1}) \text{ holds}\},$$

which is closed in the C^∞ -topology. A symbol $a \in S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called *even*, if the function \hat{a} from (B.1) has a smooth extension to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the property

$$\hat{a}(x, -\omega, h) = \hat{a}(x, \omega, -h), \quad (x, \omega, h) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

The set of even symbols defines a closed subspace $S_{\text{ev}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ of $S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark B.1. Taylor expanding $\hat{a}(x, \omega, h)$ in $h = 0$ yields an asymptotic expansion

$$a(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k(x, \xi), \quad a_k(x, \xi) = |\xi|^{m-k} \partial_h^k \hat{a}(x, \xi/|\xi|, 0)/k!, \quad |\xi| > 0,$$

which is frequently taken as equivalent definition of classicality (cf. [34, 31]). From this point of view, evenness of a is the assertion that $a_k(x, -\xi) = (-1)^k a_k(x, \xi)$ for all $k \geq 0$ (cf. [22, Definition 4.6]).

For $a \in S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ the *quantisation map*

$$\text{Op}: S_{\text{cl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{Op}(a)(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, \xi) d\xi,$$

interpreted as oscillatory integral, is well-defined, continuous and injective. Identifying operators with their Schwartz kernels, the space of classical pseudodifferential operators on \mathbb{R}^d can then be defined as

$$\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^d) = \text{Op}(S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)) + C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

To topologise this we give an alternative definition, valid on any open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We define $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(U) \subset \mathcal{D}'(U \times U)$ to consist of those Schwartz kernels $A(x, y)$ for which

- (a) A is smooth away from the diagonal $\Delta_U = \{(x, y) \in U \times U : x = y\}$;
- (b) if $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U)$, then $A_\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(x)A(x, y)\varphi(y)$ lies in $\text{Op}(S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d))$.

For $U = \mathbb{R}^d$ this is equivalent to the preceding definition. Writing $A_\varphi = \text{Op}(a_\varphi)$, we equip $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(U)$ with the initial topology for the collection of maps

$$\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(U) \xrightarrow{A \mapsto A|_{U \times U \setminus \Delta_U}} C^\infty(U \times U \setminus \Delta_U), \quad \Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(U) \xrightarrow{A \mapsto a_\varphi} S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where φ runs through all elements of $C_c^\infty(U)$. In other words, a sequence of operators in $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(U)$ converges if and only if their kernels converge in the C^∞ -topology away from the diagonal, and all local symbols converge in $S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$. With this topology, $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(U)$ is a Fréchet space—as the completeness is crucial in this article, we include a brief sketch of this well-known fact.

Lemma B.2. $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(U)$ is a Fréchet space.

Proof (Sketch). Let $U = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty V_k$ be a locally finite open cover with $\bar{V}_k \subset U$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Define $W_0 = U \times U \setminus \Delta_U$, $W_k = V_k \times V_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, as well as

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\text{cl}}^m(U) \subset \{(A_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) \in C^\infty(W_0) \times \prod_{k \geq 1} S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d) : (\text{B.3}) \text{ holds}\},$$

where the tuples are subjected to the constraint

$$A_j = A_k \text{ on } W_j \cap W_k \quad (j, k \geq 0), \quad \text{where } A_k = \text{Op}(a_k) \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

If (B.3) is satisfied, then there is a Schwartz kernel $A \in \mathcal{D}'(U \times U)$ with $A = A_k$ on W_k ($k \geq 0$) and sending a given tuple to this kernel yields a map

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\text{cl}}^m(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(U \times U).$$

One checks that this map induces a homeomorphism $\tilde{\Psi}_{\text{cl}}^m(U) \cong \Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(U)$ and as the former space is a closed subspace of a countably infinite product of Fréchet spaces, also $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(U)$ is a Fréchet space—we leave the details to the reader. \square

On a manifold X of dimension d , the space $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(X) \subset \mathcal{D}'(X \times X)$ is defined by replacing condition (b) above with:

- (b') if $\psi: V \xrightarrow{\sim} U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a local chart and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U)$, then $A_{\varphi, \psi}(x, y) = \varphi(x)A(\psi^{-1}(x), \psi^{-1}(y))\varphi(y)$ lies in $\text{Op}(S_{\text{cl}}^m(T^*\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Analogous considerations then give a natural Fréchet space structure on $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(X)$ that coincides with the one above when $X = U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. By replacing classical symbols at each step with even symbols we also obtain the closed subspace $\Psi_{\text{ev}}^m(X) \subset \Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(X)$ of *even pseudodifferential operators*.

B.2. Fourier transform of homogeneous functions. Suppose $Q: \mathbb{R}_x^d \times (\mathbb{R}_z^d \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{R}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is smooth and satisfies, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the homogeneity property

$$Q(x, tz, h) = t^\lambda Q(x, z, th), \quad t > 0.$$

For later use, we wish to consider the inverse Fourier transform of Q in the z -variable, restricted to frequencies $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Formally this yields a function

$$TQ(x, \omega, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{iz\omega} Q(x, z, h) dz, \quad (x, \omega, h) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Due to the homogeneity, Q may be recovered from its restriction to $|z| = 1$ as $Q = E_\lambda(Q|_{|z|=1})$, where the extension operator E_λ is defined by

$$E_\lambda q(x, z, h) = |z|^\lambda q(x, z/|z|, |z|h), \quad q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Lemma B.3. *If $\lambda > -d$, then $T_\lambda = TE_\lambda$ defines a continuous linear map*

$$T_\lambda: C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Proof (Sketch). It is enough to show continuity $T_\lambda: C_K^\infty \rightarrow C^\infty$ for all compacts $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, where C_K^∞ stands for smooth functions supported in K . Showing continuity into $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d+1})$ is straightforward and, by virtue of Lemma 6.5, this can be upgraded to continuity into a C^∞ -space, if one shows that $T_\lambda Q$ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{R}$. The latter assertion is standard if Q is independent of the parameters x and h (see e.g. §7.4 in [32]) and the arguments carry over to the present setting. \square

B.3. A continuous family of pseudodifferential operators. Let $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^m(M^\circ)$ denote the space of classical pseudodifferential operators of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$ on the interior M° of M . It is well known (see e.g. Theorem 8.1.1 in [26]) that

$$N_0^g \in \Psi_{\text{cl}}^{-1}(M^\circ),$$

provided g is simple. Here we show that *as classical pseudodifferential operator*, it depends continuously on the metric g .

Proposition B.4. *The following map is continuous:*

$$g \mapsto N_0^g, \quad \mathbb{M}_s \rightarrow \Psi_{\text{cl}}^{-1}(M^\circ)$$

Here $\Psi_{\text{cl}}^{-1}(M^\circ)$ carries its natural Fréchet space topology.

In the setting of closed Anosov manifolds a similar continuity statement was proved in [9, Proposition 4.1]. Near the diagonal of $M^\circ \times M^\circ$ their analysis essentially carries over to the present setting and yields continuity into $\Psi^{-1}(M^\circ)$, that is, into the weaker topology of non-classical pseudodifferential operators. Keeping this in mind, we will keep the following discussion brief and focus on classicality.

Proof of Proposition B.4. Simple manifolds are diffeomorphic to a compact ball in \mathbb{R}^d , so without loss of generality we assume that $M = \overline{U}$, where $U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < 1\}$, with metric $g = (g_{ij})$ given in terms of Euclidean coordinates. By [26, Lemma 8.1.10], the Schwartz kernel of N_0^g equals

$$N_0^g(x, y) = \frac{1}{d_g(x, y)^{d-1}} \times 2 J_g(x, y) \sqrt{g(y)}, \quad (x, y) \in U \times U,$$

where d_g is the geodesic distance and J_g is a Jacobian factor. They depend continuously on the metric in the sense that the following map is continuous:

$$g \mapsto (d_g^2, J_g), \quad \mathbb{M}_s \rightarrow C^\infty(U \times U)^2 \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Proving this fact requires revisiting some fairly standard constructions in Riemannian geometry—this tedious but elementary exercise is omitted. Further:

Lemma B.5. *For every $g \in \mathbb{M}_s$ there exists a smooth function $G^g : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, taking values in symmetric matrices, such that:*

- (i) $G^g(x, x) = g(x)$ for $x \in U$;
- (ii) $d_g(x, y)^2 = (x - y)^j G_{jk}^g(x, y) (x - y)^k$ for $(x, y) \in U \times U$;
- (iii) $g \mapsto G^g, \mathbb{M}_s \rightarrow C^\infty(U \times U, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $H_{ij}^g(x, y) = \partial_{y_i} \partial_{y_j} d_g^2(x, y)$, then Taylor expanding $d_g^2(x, \cdot)$ about $y = x$ yields

$$d_g^2(x, y) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)}{2} H_{ij}^g(x, x + t(y-x)) dt \cdot (x-y)^i (x-y)^j$$

and defining G_{ij}^g as this integral expression, the continuity claim (iii) follows directly from (B.4). The other two properties are well known (see e.g. [26, Lemma 8.1.12]). \square

We now proceed with the proof that $N_0^g \in \Psi_{\text{cl}}^{-1}(U)$ depends continuously on $g \in \mathbb{M}_s$, using the characterisation of the topology in (B.2). Clearly, the kernel N_0^g is smooth away from the diagonal and due to (B.4) the map

$$g \mapsto N_0^g|_{U \times U \setminus \Delta_U}, \quad \mathbb{M}_s \rightarrow C^\infty(U \times U \setminus \Delta_U)$$

is continuous. Next, if $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U)$ then following [26, Chapter 8.1],

$$N_{0,\varphi}^g(x, y) := \varphi(x) N_0^g(x, y) \varphi(y) = \text{Op}(a_\varphi^g), \quad a_\varphi^g(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{iz\xi} N_{0,\varphi}^g(x, x-z) dz.$$

As the integrand in the definition of a_φ^g is supported in the compact set $|z| \leq 2$ and depends continuously on the metric, one can derive continuity of the following map

$$g \mapsto a_\varphi^g, \quad \mathbb{M}_s \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Classicality is the assertion that the symbol at infinity, defined by

$$\hat{a}_\varphi^g(x, \omega, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{iz\omega} q_\varphi^g(x, x-hz) dz}{[z^i G_{ij}(x, x-hz) z^j]^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}, \quad q_\varphi^g(x, y) = 2J_g(x, y) \varphi(x) \sqrt{g(y)} \varphi(y),$$

is smooth up to $h = 0$. This, however, together with the continuity of the map

$$g \mapsto \hat{a}_\varphi^g, \quad \mathbb{M}_s \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times [0, \infty)),$$

follows from Lemma B.3. This completes the proof of Proposition B.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bohr, T. Lefeuvre, and G. P. Paternain. Invariant distributions and the transport twistor space of closed surfaces, 2023. arXiv:2301.07391. To appear in *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*
- [2] J. Bohr and G. P. Paternain. The transport Oka-Grauert principle for simple surfaces. *J. Éc. Polytech., Math.*, 10:727–769, 2023.
- [3] M. Cekić, C. Guillarmou, and T. Lefeuvre. Local lens rigidity for manifolds of Anosov type, 2023. To appear in *Anal. PDE*.
- [4] M. Dubois-Violette. Structures complexes au-dessus des variétés, applications. In *Mathematics and physics (Paris, 1979/1982)*, volume 37 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–42. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [5] C. J. Earle and A. Schatz. Teichmüller theory for surfaces with boundary. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 4:169–185, 1970.
- [6] D. G. Ebin and J. Marsden. Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 92:102–163, 1970.
- [7] A. Gogolev and F. Rodriguez Hertz. Smooth rigidity for 3-dimensional volume preserving Anosov flows and weighted marked length spectrum rigidity, 2023.
- [8] G. Grubb. Fractional Laplacians on domains, a development of Hörmander’s theory of μ -transmission pseudodifferential operators. *Adv. Math.*, 268:478–528, 2015.
- [9] C. Guillarmou, G. Knieper, and T. Lefeuvre. Geodesic stretch, pressure metric and marked length spectrum rigidity. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 42(3):974–1022, 2022.
- [10] C. Guillarmou, T. Lefeuvre, and G. P. Paternain. Marked length spectrum rigidity for Anosov surfaces, 2023.
- [11] C. Guillarmou and M. Mazzucchelli. Marked boundary rigidity for surfaces. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 38(4):1459–1478, 2018.
- [12] M. W. Hirsch. *Differential topology*, volume 33 of *Grad. Texts Math.* Springer, Cham, 1976.
- [13] N. J. Hitchin. Complex manifolds and Einstein’s equations. In *Twistor geometry and nonlinear systems (Primorsko, 1980)*, volume 970 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 73–99. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [14] L. Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007. Pseudo-differential operators, Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [15] D. Huybrechts. *Complex geometry. An introduction*. Universitext. Berlin: Springer, 2005.
- [16] C. LeBrun. Spaces of complex geodesics and related structures. *PhD thesis, University of Oxford, 1980*.
- [17] C. LeBrun and L. J. Mason. Zoll manifolds and complex surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 61(3):453–535, 2002.
- [18] C. LeBrun and L. J. Mason. Zoll metrics, branched covers, and holomorphic disks. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 18(3):475–502, 2010.
- [19] T. Mettler. Metrisability of projective surfaces and pseudo-holomorphic curves. *Math. Z.*, 298(1-2):69–78, 2021.
- [20] T. Mettler and G. P. Paternain. Convex projective surfaces with compatible Weyl connection are hyperbolic. *Anal. PDE*, 13(4):1073–1097, 2020.
- [21] F. Monard. Functional relations, sharp mapping properties, and regularization of the x-ray transform on disks of constant curvature. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 52(6):5675–5702, 2020.

- [22] F. Monard, R. Nickl, and G. P. Paternain. Efficient nonparametric Bayesian inference for X -ray transforms. *Ann. Statist.*, 47(2):1113–1147, 2019.
- [23] F. Monard, R. Nickl, and G. P. Paternain. Statistical guarantees for Bayesian uncertainty quantification in nonlinear inverse problems with Gaussian process priors. *Ann. Statist.*, 49(6):3255–3298, 2021.
- [24] N. R. O’Brian and J. H. Rawnsley. Twistor spaces. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 3(1):29–58, 1985.
- [25] R. S. Palais. Local triviality of the restriction map for embeddings. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 34:305–312, 1960.
- [26] G. P. Paternain, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann. *Geometric inverse problems—with emphasis on two dimensions*, volume 204 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023. With a foreword by András Vasy.
- [27] L. Pestov and G. Uhlmann. Two dimensional compact simple Riemannian manifolds are boundary distance rigid. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(2):1093–1110, 2005.
- [28] F. Rochon. On the uniqueness of certain families of holomorphic disks. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(2):633–657, 2011.
- [29] M. Salo and G. Uhlmann. The attenuated ray transform on simple surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 88(1):161–187, 2011.
- [30] R. T. Seeley. Extension of C^∞ functions defined in a half space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15:625–626, 1964.
- [31] M. A. Shubin. *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory. Transl. from the Russian by Stig I. Andersson*. Berlin: Springer, 2nd ed. edition, 2001.
- [32] E. M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, volume 43 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.
- [33] F. Trèves. *Hypo-analytic structures*, volume 40 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992. Local theory.
- [34] J. Wunsch. Microlocal analysis and evolution equations: lecture notes from 2008 CMI/ETH summer school April 25, 2013. In *Evolution equations. Proceedings of the Clay Mathematics Institute summer school, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland, June 23–July 18, 2008*, pages 1–72. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS); Cambridge, MA: Clay Mathematics Institute, 2013.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF BONN, ENDENICHER ALLEE 60, 53115 BONN, GERMANY

Email address: bohr@math.uni-bonn.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064

Email address: fmonard@ucsc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, UK, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195, USA.

Email address: g.p.paternain@dpms.cam.ac.uk