

ON KOEBE RADIUS AND COEFFICIENTS ESTIMATE FOR UNIVALENT HARMONIC MAPPINGS

MIKHAIL BOROVNIKOV

ABSTRACT. The problem on estimate of the Koebe radius for univalent harmonic mappings of the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ is considered. For a subclass of harmonic mappings with the standard normalization and a certain growth estimate for analytic dilatation, we provide new estimate for the Koebe radius. New estimate for Taylor coefficients of the holomorphic part of a function from the subclass under consideration is obtained as a corollary.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The paper is devoted to the problem on estimate of the Koebe radius for univalent harmonic mappings of the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and for coefficients estimate problem for such mappings. For a certain subclass of the class \mathcal{S}_H^0 of harmonic mappings with the standard normalization we provide new estimate for the Koebe radius. Using this result we present new estimates for Taylor coefficients of the holomorphic part of a function from the subclass under consideration.

Let us explain what we mean by the *Koebe radius* for a class of mappings. Due to the famous Koebe 1/4-theorem, for any $f \in S$, where S stands for the class of univalent holomorphic functions in \mathbb{D} possessing the standard normalization conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$, the set $f(\mathbb{D})$ contains the disk $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1/4\}$, and the radius 1/4 is the best possible. For other classes of univalent mappings of \mathbb{D} preserving the origin (for instance, for the classes of univalent harmonic mappings or univalent mappings by solutions of various elliptic PDE), it is natural to pose the question to determine the best possible radius of the disk centered at the origin, which is contained in the image of \mathbb{D} by functions from the class under consideration. It is reasonable and appropriate to call this radius the Koebe radius for the corresponding class of mappings. Thus, the Koebe radius for the class S is 1/4 and this value is attained on the Koebe function and its rotations

$$K(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}.$$

The author was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, grant no. 22-11-00071 (<https://rscf.ru/en/project/22-11-00071/>).

The concept of the Koebe radius for some classes of harmonic mappings was introduced without the special name by several authors, see, for example, [9].

Let us recall the definition of the class \mathcal{S}_H^0 of univalent harmonic mappings of \mathbb{D} . It is well-known that any complex-valued harmonic function f in \mathbb{D} has the form $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are holomorphic functions in \mathbb{D} (these functions h and g are called holomorphic and anti-holomorphic components of f , respectively). The class \mathcal{S}_H^0 consists of all harmonic functions f in \mathbb{D} which are univalent in \mathbb{D} and possess the normalization conditions $g(0) = h(0) = g'(0) = 0$ and $h'(0) = 1$.

The question on determination the Koebe radius for the class \mathcal{S}_H^0 is related with the coefficients estimate problem for this class that was posed by Clunie and Sheil-Small [4] and that was motivated and inspired by the famous Bieberbach's problem on coefficients estimate for the class S , which was solved by de Branges [2], and which states that the Maclaurin coefficients a_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, of a function $f \in S$ satisfy the estimate $|a_n| \leq n$. This estimate, similar to the case of Koebe radius for the class S , is sharp and it is attained on the Koebe function K .

The problem and conjecture stated by Clunie and Sheil-Small was to prove the following estimates for Maclaurin coefficients a_n and b_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic components of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$, respectively: $|a_n| \leq (n+1)(2n+1)/6$, $|b_n| \leq (n-1)(2n-1)/6$ and $||a_n| - |b_n|| \leq n$, and to show that all these estimates are sharp and attain on the *harmonic Koebe function*

$$K_H(z) = \frac{z - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3}{(1-z)^3} + \overline{\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3}{(1-z)^3}\right)}.$$

This problem was solved for several subclasses of \mathcal{S}_H^0 , see, for example, [4, 10], but for the class \mathcal{S}_H^0 itself it remains unsolved. Let us revert to the question about Koebe radius for the class \mathcal{S}_H^0 . The image of \mathbb{D} under mapping by K_H contains the disk $\{w : |w| < 1/6\}$ and it does not contain any disk of larger radius centered at the origin, so the Koebe radius for \mathcal{S}_H^0 is not greater than $1/6$. At the same time this radius is not less than $1/16$, see [4, Theorem 4.4].

We turn now to state the main result of the present paper. First of all we need to define the subclass of \mathcal{S}_H^0 we are dealing with. One of the principal characteristics of the harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$ is its analytic dilatation

$$w_f(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)}.$$

Analytic dilatation satisfies the conditions of the Schwarz lemma if $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ (see [5, p. 79]), so for any $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ there exists such nonnegative $k \leq 1$ and $m \geq 1$ that

$$|w_f(z)| \leq k|z|^m, \quad |z| < 1.$$

This estimate allow us to define, for a given numbers $k \leq 1$ and $m \geq 1$, the class

$$\mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0 : |w_f(z)| \leq k|z|^m, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

Our main result gives new estimate from below of the Koebe radius for the class $\mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$ (the estimate (2.1) in Theorem 1). Using this estimate we obtain new estimate for the first nonzero Maclaurin coefficient of the holomorphic part of function from the class $\mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$. We refer the reader to [1] where the best known estimate is obtained for this coefficient for the whole class \mathcal{S}_H^0 .

Notice that we will not touch the problem about estimate of remaining coefficients and refer the interested reader to [11], where it is possible to find a lot of information about state-of-the-art of this problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we formulate the main result of the paper (Theorem 1) and several its corollaries, while in Section 3 we present the proofs.

2. MAIN RESULTS AND THEIR COROLLARIES

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad |f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|}{4(1+k|z|^m)^{2/m}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In particular, the set $f(\mathbb{D})$ for any function f from $\mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$ contains the disk $\{w : |w| < 1/(4(1+k)^{2/m})\}$.

Notice that the class $\mathcal{S}_H^0(1, 1)$ coincides with the class \mathcal{S}_H^0 , so in this case theorem is equal to [4, Theorem 4.4] and the class $\mathcal{S}_H^0(0, m)$ coincides with the class \mathcal{S} and in this case we arrive to the Koebe 1/4–theorem (so the estimate is sharp). The proof is similar to one presented in [9]. Moreover, the class $\mathcal{S}_H^0(k, 1)$ defined above coincides with the class $\mathcal{S}_H^0((1+k)/(1-k))$ introduced in [9], and Theorem 1.2 from [9] may be treated is the special case of Theorem 1. The proof will appeal to the method of extremal length. Let us recall some useful definitions.

Let Ω be a domain in the complex plane and let Γ be a family of locally rectifiable arcs γ in Ω . A metric is a Borel measurable function $\rho(z) \geq 0$ on Ω . The ρ -length of an arc

$\gamma \in \Gamma$ is

$$L(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \rho(z) |dz|.$$

A metric ρ is said to be admissible for the curve family Γ if $L(\gamma) \geq 1$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The extremal length of Γ is the quantity $\lambda(\Gamma)$ defined by

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(\Gamma)} = \inf \iint_{\Omega} \rho(z)^2 dx dy, \quad z = x + iy,$$

where the infimum is taken over all admissible metrics ρ .

The module of a ring domain Ω is defined as $\mu(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{b}{a}$ if Ω can be mapped conformally onto an annulus $a < |z| < b$. The proof of the following proposition can be found, for example, in [5, Appendix].

Proposition 1. *The module of a doubly connected domain Ω coincides with the extremal length of the family of curves joining the two boundary components of Ω .*

The following two corollaries immediately follow from Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *Let $k \leq 1/2$. Then the image of \mathbb{D} under the mapping by $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$ contains the disk $\{w : |w| < 1/6\}$.*

Corollary 2. *Let $m \geq 2/(\log_2 3 - 1)$. Then the image of \mathbb{D} under the mapping by $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$ contains the disk $\{w : |w| < 1/6\}$.*

When m tends to infinity or k tends to zero, the estimate in Theorem 1 tends to $1/4$, what is natural, because the module of $w_f(z)$ tends to zero, and so the class $\mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$ "tends" to the class S , for which the corresponding estimate is $1/4$ by the Koebe theorem. Going further let $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and $f = h + \bar{g}$, where

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

We consider the class $\mathcal{S}_{H;p,q}^0$ of harmonic mappings, for which p is the index of the first nonzero coefficient a_p , and q is the index of the first nonzero coefficient b_q . Then the following proposition is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1:

Proposition 2. *The image of \mathbb{D} under the mapping by a function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H;p,q}^0$ contains the disk $\{w : |w| < R_q\}$, where $R_q = 2^{-2q/(q-1)}$.*

Moreover, for a function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H;p,q}^0$ the estimate for the coefficient $|a_p|$ may be obtained using the methods elaborated in [12].

Proposition 3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H;p,q}^0$. Then*

$$|a_p| \leq d_q^{p-1} \left(\frac{d_q R_q}{p} + p \right),$$

where

$$d_q = \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}R_q}.$$

Moreover,

$$|a_2| \leq d_q \left(\frac{d_q R_q}{2} + 2 \right) - 2.$$

It is worth to apply Propositions 2 and 3 to the class $\mathcal{S}_{H,\text{odd}}^0$ of odd harmonic mappings. The class of odd (holomorphic) univalent functions has been studied in details (see, for example, [6, § 2.11]), but at the best of our knowledge odd harmonic mappings are poor studied up to now. With respect to this class let us state two following results, which follow immediately from Propositions 2 and 3 since $\mathcal{S}_{H,\text{odd}}^0 \subset \mathcal{S}_{H;3,3}^0$.

Corollary 3. *The image of \mathbb{D} under the mapping by a function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H,\text{odd}}^0$ contains the disk $\{w : |w| < 1/8\}$.*

Corollary 4. *For every $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H,\text{odd}}^0$ it holds $|a_3| \leq 319$.*

Finally, in the class $\mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$ yet another extremal problem may be solved.

Theorem 2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For each function $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$ we have*

$$\text{Area}(f(\mathbb{D})) \geq \pi \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{m+1} \right),$$

where $\text{Area}(\cdot)$, as usual, stands for the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure. This inequality is sharp and the minimum is attained only at the function $f(z) = z + \frac{k}{m+1} \bar{z}^{m+1}$ and its rotations.

3. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1. Consider the function $f(z) := \frac{F(az)}{a}$ for the function $F \in \mathcal{S}_H^0(k, m)$ and for some positive $a < 1$ and let $\Omega = f(\mathbb{D})$ be the range of f . Then $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0$ and f is the homeomorphism of the closed disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ to $\overline{\Omega}$. Let δ be the distance from the origin to the boundary curve $\partial\Omega$.

Fix a parameter $\beta > 1$ and observe that $|f(z)| > \varepsilon$ on the circle $|z| = \beta\varepsilon$ for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta)$. Suppose without loss of generality that $\delta \in \partial\Omega$ (this can be achieved by rotating the function f).

Consider the following domains:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_\varepsilon &= \Omega \setminus \{w : |w| \leq \varepsilon\}, \\ \Delta &= \mathbb{C} \setminus \{w : -\infty < w \leq -\delta\}, \\ \Delta_\varepsilon &= \Delta \setminus \{w : |w| \leq \varepsilon\}.\end{aligned}$$

The first step is to note the following inequality (proof may be found in [7, p. 93])

$$\mu(\Omega_\varepsilon) \leq \mu(\Delta_\varepsilon) \quad (3.1).$$

The next step is to estimate $\mu(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ from below. Let $\mathbb{D}_{\beta\varepsilon}$ be the ring $\{z : \beta\varepsilon < |z| < 1\}$. Consider the metric ρ , admissible for a family of crosscuts in $\mathbb{D}_{\beta\varepsilon}$ and define $\rho(z) = 0$ outside $\mathbb{D}_{\beta\varepsilon}$. Define a metric $\tilde{\rho}$ on Ω_ε by

$$\tilde{\rho}(f(z)) = \frac{\rho(z)}{|h'(z)| - |g'(z)|},$$

where $f = h + \bar{g}$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a crosscut in Ω_ε , and let γ be the restriction of its preimage $f^{-1}(\gamma)$ to a crosscut in $\mathbb{D}_{\beta\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\int_{\tilde{\gamma}} \tilde{\rho}(z) |dz| = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\rho(z) \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(z) \right|}{|h'(z)| - |g'(z)|} |dz|,$$

where $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(z) \right|$ denotes directional derivative of f along γ .

But $\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(z) \right| \geq |h'(z)| - |g'(z)|$, so $\tilde{\rho}$ is an admissible metric for the family of crosscuts in Ω_ε .

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\mu(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \iint_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \tilde{\rho}(z)^2 dx dy = \iint_{\mathbb{D}_{\beta\varepsilon}} \frac{\rho(z)^2 J_f(z)}{(|h'(z)| - |g'(z)|)^2} dx dy,$$

where $J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2$ is the Jacobian of f . But since $|w_f(z)| = |W_F(az)| \leq ka^m |z|^m$, we get an estimate:

$$\frac{J_f(z)}{(|h'(z)| - |g'(z)|)^2} \leq \frac{|h'(z)| + |g'(z)|}{|h'(z)| - |g'(z)|} \leq \frac{1 + |w_f(z)|}{1 - |w_f(z)|} \leq \frac{1 + ka^m |z|^m}{1 - ka^m |z|^m}$$

Thus, the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\mu(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \iint_{\mathbb{D}_{\beta\varepsilon}} \frac{1 + ka^m |z|^m}{1 - ka^m |z|^m} \rho(z)^2 dx dy \quad (3.2)$$

holds for any metric ρ that is admissible for the family of crosscuts in $\mathbb{D}_{\beta\varepsilon}$.

Now choose the admissible metric

$$\rho(z) = \frac{1 - ka^m r^m}{1 + ka^m r^m} \frac{1}{r} \left\{ \int_{\beta\varepsilon}^1 \frac{1 - ka^m t^m}{1 + ka^m t^m} \frac{1}{t} dt \right\}^{-1}$$

Then, using the formula (3.2), we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq 2\pi \left\{ \int_{\beta\varepsilon}^1 \frac{1 - ka^m t^m}{1 + ka^m t^m} \frac{1}{t} dt \right\}^{-1}$$

Straightforward integration leads to the following

$$\int_{\beta\varepsilon}^1 \frac{1 - ka^m t^m}{1 + ka^m t^m} \frac{1}{t} dt = -\frac{2}{m} \ln(1 + ka^m t^m) \Big|_{t=\beta\varepsilon}^{t=1} + \ln(t) \Big|_{t=\beta\varepsilon}^{t=1} = \frac{2}{m} \ln \frac{1 + ka^m \beta^m \varepsilon^m}{1 + ka^m} - \ln \beta\varepsilon$$

So,

$$\mu(\Omega_\varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2}{m} \ln \frac{1 + ka^m \beta^m \varepsilon^m}{1 + ka^m} - \ln \beta\varepsilon \right) \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, the function $\psi(z) = 4\delta K(z)$ maps \mathbb{D} onto Δ , and so $\psi(\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon(4\delta)^{-1}})$ agrees approximately with Δ_ε when ε is small. Since the module is a conformal invariant, this gives the approximate formula

$$\mu(\Delta_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{4\delta}{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Comparing (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), we arrive at the following inequality

$$\frac{2}{m} \ln \frac{1 + ka^m \beta^m \varepsilon^m}{1 + ka^m} - \ln \beta\varepsilon \leq \ln \frac{4\delta}{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

Letting ε tend to zero, and β tend to 1, we deduce finally that

$$\delta \geq \frac{1}{4(1 + ka^m)^{\frac{2}{m}}}.$$

However, $|f(z)| \geq \delta$ when $|z| = 1$. Thus, because $f(z) = \frac{F(az)}{a}$, it follows that

$$|F(z)| \geq \frac{|z|}{4(1 + k|z|^m)^{\frac{2}{m}}}.$$

Since a was chosen arbitrarily, the theorem is proved. \square

Proof of Proposition 2. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H;p,q}^0$, then the order of the zero at the origin of $g'(z)$ is equal to $q - 1$. But the order of the zero at the origin of the analytic dilatation $w_f(z)$ coincides with the order of the zero of the function $g'(z)$. So, due to the Schwarz lemma $|w_f(z)| \leq |z|^{q-1}$. Thus, f belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_H^0(1, q - 1)$ and Theorem 1 gives the proof of the proposition. \square

Proof of Proposition 3. Let Δ be a preimage of the disk $|z| < R_q$ under f . Let ϕ be the conformal mapping of the unit disk onto Δ with the condition $\phi(0) = 0, \phi'(0) > 0$. Then $F(z) = R_q^{-1}f(\phi(z))$ is a harmonic mapping of the unit disk onto itself due to the Proposition 2. On the other hand, if $\phi(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$, then

$$f(\phi(z)) = \phi(z) + a_p\phi(z)^p + \dots + \overline{b_q\phi(z)^q} + \dots = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots + (c_p + c_1^p a_p)z^p + \dots$$

Thus, with the notation $F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n}$, we arrive at the formulas

$$A_1 = R_q^{-1}c_1, A_p = R_q^{-1}(c_p + c_1^p a_p)$$

Since F maps the unit disk harmonically onto itself, it follows [5, § 4.5] that $|A_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}$, or

$$|c_p + c_1^p a_p| \leq \frac{R_q}{p}.$$

Thus, we have

$$|a_p| \leq c_1^{-p} \left(\frac{R_q}{p} + |c_p| \right). \quad (3.5)$$

De Brange's theorem [2] states, that

$$|c_p| \leq c_1 p. \quad (3.6)$$

Also, the exact form of the Heinz inequality, which is proved in [8], gives the estimate $|A_1|^2 \geq \frac{27}{4}\pi^{-2}$, or

$$c_1 \geq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} R_q \pi^{-1} = d_q^{-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we arrive at the estimate, stated in the proposition.

If $p = 2$, then the estimate could be improved by using Pick's inequality for bounded functions [6, p. 74, ex. 33] instead of de Brange's theorem. In this case we have

$$|c_2| \leq 2c_1(1 - c_1)$$

and with (3.5) and (3.7) the second part of the proposition is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. The area A of $f(\mathbb{D})$ is

$$A = \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2 dx dy = \iint_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |w(z)|^2) |h'(z)|^2 dx dy \geq$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \iint_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |kz^m|^2) |h'(z)|^2 dx dy = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2 - \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k n a_n z^{n+m-1} \right|^2 dx dy = \\ &= \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left(1 - k^2 \frac{n}{n+m} \right) |a_n|^2 = \pi \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{m+1} \right) + \pi \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \left(1 - \frac{k^2 n}{n+m} \right) |a_n|^2, \end{aligned}$$

as shown by a standard calculation in polar coordinates. The last sum is clearly minimized by choosing $a_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. The minimum area is attained only if $|w(z)| \equiv k|z|^m$, or $w(z) = e^{i\alpha} k z^m$. Hence, $g'(z) = e^{i\alpha} k z^m$, which implies $|b_{m+1}| = \frac{k}{m+1}$ and $b_n = 0$ for all $n \neq m+1$. But the function $f(z) = z + e^{i\alpha} \frac{k}{m+1} \bar{z}^{m+1}$ is univalent, so the theorem is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Abu-Muhanna, R. Ali and S. Ponnusamy, The spherical metric and univalent harmonic mappings, *Monatsh. Math.*, 188 (2019) 703–716.
- [2] L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, *Acta Math.* 154(1-2)(1985), 137–152.
- [3] D. Bshouty and A. Lyzzaik. Problems and conjectures in planar harmonic mappings. *J. Anal.*, 18:69-81,2010.
- [4] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic Univalent Functions, *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae, Series A I, Mathematica*, Vol. 9, 1984, pp. 3-25.
- [5] P. Duren, Harmonic mappings in the plane. Cambridge University, Cambridge (2004)
- [6] P. Duren, Univalent functions, In: *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [7] W. H. J. Fuchs, Topics in the Theory of Functions of One Complex Variable (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1967).
- [8] R. Hall, On an inequality of E. Heinz, *J. Analyse Math.* 42 (1982/83), 185–198.
- [9] S. Ponnusamy, S. Chen, Koebe Type Theorems and Pre-Schwarzian of Harmonic K-quasiconformal Mappings, and Their Applications, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 38(11) (2022)
- [10] S. Ponnusamy and A. Sairam Kaliraj, On the coefficient conjecture of Clunie and Sheil-Small on univalent harmonic mappings, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* 125(3) (2015), 277–290.
- [11] S. Ponnusamy, A. Sairam Kaliraj, and V. V. Starkov, Coefficients of univalent harmonic mappings, *Monatsh. Math.* 186(3):453–470, (2017)
- [12] T. Sheil-Small, Constants for planar harmonic mappings, *J. London Math. Soc.* 42 (1990), 237–248.

MIKHAIL BOROVIKOV^{1,2,3}:

- 1) FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS,
LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, MOSCOW, RUSSIA;
 - 2) MOSCOW CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS,
LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, MOSCOW, RUSSIA;
 - 3) ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA;
- `misha.borovikov@gmail.com`