

Generalized Yang Poisson models on canonical phase space

Tea Martinić Bilac^a, Stjepan Meljanac^b, and Salvatore Mignemi^c

^aFaculty of Science, University of Split, Rudjera Boškovića 33, 21000 Split, Croatia, E-mail: teamar@pmfst.hr

^bRudjer Bošković Institute, Theoretical Physics Division, Bijenička c. 54, HR 10002 Zagreb, Croatia, E-mail: meljanac@irb.hr

^cDipartimento di Matematica, Università di Cagliari via Ospedale 72, 09124 Cagliari, Italy and INFN, Sezione di Cagliari Cittadella Universitaria, 09042 Monserrato, Italy, E-mail: smignemi@unica.it

Abstract

We discuss the generalized Yang-Poisson models. We construct generalizations of the Yang Poisson algebra related to $so(1, 5)$ algebra discussed in [14]. The exact realizations of this generalized algebra on canonical phase space are presented and the corresponding differential equations are solved in simple case. Furthermore, we discuss a Poisson algebras related to $so(3, 3)$ and $so(2, 4)$ algebras.

1 Yang Poisson model

The Yang model of noncommutative geometry on a curved background spacetime was proposed in [16] and is a generalization of noncommutative geometry first introduced by Snyder [15]. This model is defined in terms of a 15-parameter algebra isomorphic to $so(1, 5)$ containing the generators of the Lorentz algebra with the coordinates of phase spaces, and was generalized in [4]. Furthermore, Snyder-de Sitter or triply special relativity model, where the symmetry is realized nonlinearly, was proposed in [1, 3, 5, 10].

Realizations of the Yang model have been discussed in [6, 7, 11, 12, 13]. They cannot be obtained in closed analytic form, but only as power series in coupling constants. In the classical limit, where quantum commutators are replaced by Poisson brackets, the algebra has a simpler structure and no ordering problems arise. The corresponding realizations of this Yang-Poisson model can be obtained in an exact form [14].

The Yang Poisson model is generated with a Poisson algebra containing usual Lorentz algebra of generators $M_{\mu\nu}$, with its standard action on phase space parametrized by \hat{x}_μ and \hat{p}_μ ,

$$\{M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}\} = (\eta_{\mu\rho}M_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}M_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho}M_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma}M_{\mu\rho}), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\{M_{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}_\lambda\} = (\eta_{\mu\lambda}\hat{x}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}\hat{x}_\mu), \quad \{M_{\mu\nu}, \hat{p}_\lambda\} = (\eta_{\mu\lambda}\hat{p}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}\hat{p}_\mu) \quad (1.2)$$

$$\{\hat{x}_\nu, \hat{x}_\nu\} = \beta^2 M_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\hat{p}_\nu, \hat{p}_\nu\} = \alpha^2 M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\{\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu\} = \eta_{\mu\nu}h, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\{h, \hat{x}_\mu\} = \beta^2 \hat{p}_\mu, \quad \{h, \hat{p}_\mu\} = -\alpha^2 \hat{x}_\mu, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\{M_{\mu\nu}, h\} = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\{, \}$ are classical Poisson brackets, $\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, n-1$, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is the Minkowski metric and α and β are real parameters. Generators denoted by A, B, C of this Poisson algebra satisfy Jacobi relations of type

$$\{A, \{B, C\}\} + \{B, \{C, A\}\} + \{C, \{A, B\}\} = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

We look for realizations of \hat{x}_μ and \hat{p}_μ on a phase space with coordinates x_μ and momenta p_μ satisfying the canonical algebra

$$\{x_\mu, x_\nu\} = \{p_\mu, p_\nu\} = 0, \quad \{x_\mu, p_\nu\} = \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (1.8)$$

Realizations of the Yang Poisson algebra on a canonical phase space of coordinates x_μ and p_μ , with the Lorentz algebra generators realized as $M_{\mu\nu} = x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu$ were analyzed and discussed in [14]. Special solution for realizations of \hat{x}_μ and \hat{p}_μ are given as

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu \sqrt{1 - \beta^2 p^2 + \phi_1(z)}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2 x^2 + \phi_2(z)}, \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$\phi_1 \phi_2 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 = z^2, \quad z = \alpha\beta(xp) \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$h = \sqrt{(1 - \beta^2 p^2 + \phi_1(z))(1 - \alpha^2 x^2 + \phi_2(z))}. \quad (1.12)$$

Generally, it holds that in terms of original variables x_μ, p_μ ,

$$h = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2 \hat{x}^2 - \beta^2 \hat{p}^2 - \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2}{2} M^2}. \quad (1.13)$$

This result for h is universal and generally valid in the Yang Poisson model [14]. The most general realizations are obtained using the group of automorphisms applied to the special solution defined with $\phi_2(z) = 0$ [14].

In the present paper, we shall consider generalized Yang-Poisson models and their related models, as well as their exact realizations. In section (2) we construct generalizations of the Yang Poisson algebra (1.1)-(1.6). We construct realizations of this algebra and solve the corresponding differential equations, presenting the general results in section (3). Furthermore, we discuss related Poisson algebras in section (4).

2 Generalized Yang Poisson models

The most general new generators linear in \hat{x}_μ , \hat{p}_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$ are

$$\tilde{X}_\mu = A \left(\cos \varphi \hat{x}_\mu + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sin \varphi \hat{p}_\mu \right) + \beta a_\nu M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\tilde{P}_\mu = B \left(\cos \psi \hat{p}_\mu + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sin \psi \hat{x}_\mu \right) + \alpha b_\nu M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $M_{\mu\nu} = x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu$ is unchanged and $A, B, \varphi, \psi, a_\mu, b_\mu$ are dimensionless parameters with $AB \neq 0$.

The inverse transformations are

$$\hat{x}_\mu = \frac{A^{-1} \alpha \cos \psi (\tilde{X}_\mu - \beta a_\nu M_{\mu\nu}) - B^{-1} \beta \sin \varphi (\tilde{P}_\mu - \alpha b_\nu M_{\mu\nu})}{\alpha \cos(\varphi + \psi)}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\hat{p}_\mu = \frac{B^{-1} \beta \cos \varphi (\tilde{P}_\mu - \alpha b_\nu M_{\mu\nu}) - A^{-1} \alpha \sin \psi (\tilde{X}_\mu - \beta a_\nu M_{\mu\nu})}{\beta \cos(\varphi + \psi)}. \quad (2.4)$$

The new generators \tilde{X}_μ and \tilde{P}_μ generate a new class of Poisson algebras isomorphic to the initial Yang Poisson algebra. The new algebra generated by $\tilde{X}_\mu, \tilde{P}_\mu, M_{\mu\nu}$ and \tilde{h} is given by

$$\{\tilde{X}_\mu, \tilde{X}_\nu\} = (\beta^2 \tilde{A} M_{\mu\nu} + \beta (a_\mu \tilde{X}_\nu - a_\nu \tilde{X}_\mu)), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\{\tilde{P}_\mu, \tilde{P}_\nu\} = (\alpha^2 \tilde{B} M_{\mu\nu} + \alpha (b_\mu \tilde{P}_\nu - b_\nu \tilde{P}_\mu)), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\{\tilde{X}_\mu, \tilde{P}_\nu\} = (\eta_{\mu\nu} \tilde{h} + \alpha b_\mu \tilde{X}_\nu - \beta a_\nu \tilde{P}_\mu + \alpha \beta A B \tilde{\rho} M_{\mu\nu}), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\{M_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{X}_\lambda\} = (\eta_{\mu\lambda} \tilde{X}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda} \tilde{X}_\mu + \beta (a_\mu M_{\lambda\nu} - a_\nu M_{\lambda\mu})), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\{M_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{P}_\lambda\} = (\eta_{\mu\lambda} \tilde{P}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda} \tilde{P}_\mu + \alpha (b_\mu M_{\lambda\nu} - b_\nu M_{\lambda\mu})), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\{M_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{h}\} = \alpha (b_\nu \tilde{X}_\mu - b_\mu \tilde{X}_\nu) - \beta (a_\nu \tilde{P}_\mu - a_\mu \tilde{P}_\nu), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\{\tilde{h}, \tilde{X}_\mu\} = \beta^2 \tilde{A} \tilde{P}_\mu - \alpha \beta A B \tilde{\rho} \tilde{X}_\mu - \beta a_\mu \tilde{h}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\{\tilde{h}, \tilde{P}_\mu\} = -(\alpha^2 \tilde{B} \tilde{X}_\mu - \alpha \beta A B \tilde{\rho} \tilde{P}_\mu - \alpha b_\mu \tilde{h}), \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\tilde{h} = AB \cos(\varphi + \psi)h + \beta a \tilde{P} - \alpha b \tilde{X} - \alpha \beta a_\mu b_\nu M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\tilde{\rho} = \sin(\varphi + \psi) + \frac{ab}{AB}, \quad \tilde{A} = A^2 + a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} = B^2 + b^2. \quad (2.14)$$

The algebra (2.5)-(2.14) is invariant under Born duality [2], $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$, $a_i \rightarrow -b_i$, $b_i \rightarrow a_i$, $\tilde{A} \leftrightarrow \tilde{B}$, $\tilde{\rho} \leftrightarrow -\tilde{\rho}$, $\tilde{X}_\mu \rightarrow -\tilde{P}_\mu$, $\tilde{P}_\mu \rightarrow \tilde{X}_\mu$, $M_{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow M_{\mu\nu}$, $\tilde{h} \leftrightarrow \tilde{h}$.

In the following we consider a case where $a_\mu = b_\mu = 0$. In this case the Poisson algebra (2.5)-(2.14) corresponds to classical limit of Khrushev Leznov algebra [4], where quantum commutators are replaced by Poisson brackets. Let us denote $\tilde{\alpha}^2 = \alpha^2 \tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{\beta}^2 = \beta^2 \tilde{A}$. Then we have

$$\tilde{h} = AB \cos(\varphi + \psi) \sqrt{1 - \tilde{\alpha}^2 \tilde{X}^2 - \tilde{\beta}^2 \tilde{P}^2 + 2\tilde{\rho} \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta} \tilde{X} \tilde{P} - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2 \tilde{\beta}^2}{2A^2 B^2} M^2}, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\tilde{\rho} = \sin(\varphi + \psi), \quad \tilde{A} = A^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} = B^2.$$

Using realizations of \hat{x}_μ and \hat{p}_μ from section (1) ((1.9) and (1.10)) we can write realization of \tilde{X}_μ , \tilde{P}_μ in terms of x_μ and p_μ

$$\tilde{X}_\mu = x_\mu A \cos(\varphi) \sqrt{1 - \frac{\tilde{\beta}^2}{A^2} p^2 + \varphi_1(z)} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} p_\mu B \sin(\varphi) \sqrt{1 - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{B^2} x^2 + \varphi_2(z)}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\tilde{P}_\mu = p_\mu B \cos(\psi) \sqrt{1 - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{B^2} x^2 + \varphi_2(z)} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} x_\mu A \sin(\psi) \sqrt{1 - \frac{\tilde{\beta}^2}{A^2} p^2 + \varphi_1(z)}. \quad (2.17)$$

Generalizing (2.16) and (2.17), we get the general ansatz for \tilde{X}_μ and \tilde{P}_μ

$$\tilde{X}_\mu = x_\mu f + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} p_\mu g \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\tilde{P}_\mu = p_\mu \tilde{f} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} x_\mu \tilde{g}, \quad (2.19)$$

where $f, g, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ are functions of u, v, z and $u = \tilde{\beta}^2 p^2$, $v = \tilde{\alpha}^2 x^2$, $z = \frac{\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta}}{AB}(xp)$.

From $\{\tilde{X}_\mu, \tilde{X}_\nu\} = \tilde{\beta}^2 M_{\mu\nu}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -2f \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} - 2g \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} + 4z \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \right) + 2v \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \right) \\ & + 2u \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right) + f \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} + g \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

and from $\{\tilde{P}_\mu, \tilde{P}_\nu\} = \tilde{\alpha}^2 M_{\mu\nu}$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\tilde{f}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial v} - 2\tilde{g}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u} + 4z\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial u}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial v}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u}\right) + 2v\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial v}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial z}\right) \\ & + 2u\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial u}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u}\right) + \tilde{f}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial z} + \tilde{g}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial z} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

The relation $\{\tilde{X}_\mu, \tilde{P}_\nu\} = \eta_{\mu\nu}\tilde{h} + \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}\tilde{\rho}M_{\mu\nu}$ yields following five equations:

$$f\tilde{f} - g\tilde{g} = \tilde{h}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\tilde{f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} - 2g\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial v} + 4z\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial v}\right) + 2v\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial v}\right) \\ & + 2u\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial z}\right) + f\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \tilde{g}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -2f\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial u} - 2\tilde{g}\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} + 4z\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial u}\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial v}\frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\right) + 2v\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial v}\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}\right) \\ & + 2u\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial u}\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\right) + \tilde{f}\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - g\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial z} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\tilde{g}\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} - 2g\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial v} + 4z\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial v}\right) + 2v\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial v}\right) \\ & + 2u\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial z}\right) + f\frac{\partial\tilde{f}}{\partial z} + \tilde{f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \tilde{\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2f\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u} + 2\tilde{f}\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} + 4z\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial v}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial v}\right) + 2v\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial v}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial v}\right) \\ & + 2u\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial z}\right) - g\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \tilde{g}\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = -\tilde{\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Note that from (2.16) and (2.17) and comparing them with (2.18) and (2.19) it follows that

$$f = A \cos(\varphi) \sqrt{1 - \frac{u}{A^2} + \varphi_1(z)}, \quad g = B \sin(\varphi) \sqrt{1 - \frac{v}{B^2} + \varphi_2(z)} \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$\tilde{f} = B \cos(\psi) \sqrt{1 - \frac{v}{B^2} + \varphi_2(z)}, \quad \tilde{g} = A \sin(\varphi) \sqrt{1 - \frac{u}{A^2} + \varphi_1(z)}. \quad (2.28)$$

We have checked that functions $f, g, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ in (2.27) and (2.28) are solutions of seven differential equations (2.20)-(2.26). Also, it is easy to verify that special solutions from section (1) ((1.9) and (1.10)) satisfy differential equations (2.20)-(2.26).

In particular, for $\tilde{f} = \sqrt{1-v}$, $\tilde{g} = 0$ and $g \equiv g(v)$ from equations (2.20)-(2.26) we find that

$$f = \sqrt{(1-\tilde{\rho}^2)(1-u+z^2)}, \quad g = \tilde{\rho} \sqrt{1-v}$$

and

$$h = \sqrt{(1-\tilde{\rho}^2)(1-u-v+uv+z^2-vz^2)}.$$

In case where $h = \tilde{h}$ we have

$$AB \cos(\varphi + \psi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \tilde{\rho}^2 = \frac{1}{A^2 B^2}$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{h} = \sqrt{1 - \tilde{\alpha}^2 \tilde{X}^2 - \tilde{\beta}^2 \tilde{P}^2 + 2\tilde{\rho} \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta} \tilde{X} \tilde{P} - \frac{1 - \tilde{\rho}^2}{2} \tilde{\alpha}^2 \tilde{\beta}^2 M^2}.$$

In the case when $\tilde{\rho} = 1$ we find that $\cos(\varphi + \psi) = 0$ which implies $\tilde{h} = 0$ and then from (2.11) and (2.12) we have $\tilde{X}_\mu = \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} \tilde{P}_\mu$. Similarly, in the case when $\tilde{\rho} = -1$, we have $\tilde{h} = 0$ and $\tilde{X}_\mu = -\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} \tilde{P}_\mu$.

3 General solution

Proposition 3.1 *If $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ is an operator acting on the deformed phase space defined on \hat{x}_μ and \hat{p}_μ as*

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{x}_\mu) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} h, \hat{x}_\mu \right\} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \hat{p}_\mu, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{p}_\mu) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} h, \hat{p}_\mu \right\} = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \hat{x}_\mu, \quad (3.2)$$

where h is defined in (1.12) and (1.13), then it holds

$$\left(e^{\varphi \hat{\mathcal{L}}} \right) (\hat{x}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu \cos \varphi + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \hat{p}_\mu \sin \varphi \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\left(e^{-\psi \hat{\mathcal{L}}} \right) (\hat{p}_\mu) = \hat{p}_\mu \cos \psi + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \hat{x}_\mu \sin \psi \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. First, we have that

$$\left(e^{\varphi\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\right)(\hat{x}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu + \frac{\varphi}{\alpha\beta} \{h, \hat{x}_\mu\} + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{\varphi}{\alpha\beta}\right)^2 \{h, \{h, \hat{x}_\mu\}\} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\varphi}{\alpha\beta}\right)^n \underbrace{\{h, \dots, \{h, \{h, \hat{x}_\mu\}\} \dots\}}_{n \text{ times}} + \cdots \quad (3.5)$$

By induction on n and using (1.5) we prove relations

$$\underbrace{\{h, \dots, \{h, \{h, \hat{x}_\mu\}\} \dots\}}_{n=2k \text{ times}} = (-1)^k \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2k} \hat{x}_\mu, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\underbrace{\{h, \dots, \{h, \{h, \hat{x}_\mu\}\} \dots\}}_{n=2k+1 \text{ times}} = (-1)^k \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2k+2} \hat{p}_\mu, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (3.7)$$

For the case when $n = 2k$, it is easy to see, using (1.5) that for $k = 1$ we get

$$\{h, \{h, \hat{x}_\mu\}\} = -\alpha^2 \beta^2 \hat{x}_\mu. \quad (3.8)$$

Assume that the relation (3.6) holds for some $k > 1$. Then by the induction assumption and using (1.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\{h, \dots, \{h, \{h, \hat{x}_\mu\}\} \dots\}}_{n=2k+2 \text{ times}} &= (-1)^k \alpha^{2k} \beta^{2k} \{h, \{h, \hat{x}_\mu\}\} \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \alpha^{2(k+1)} \beta^{2(k+1)} \hat{x}_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by induction on n and using (1.5) we prove that relation (3.7) holds. Now, inserting relations (3.6) and (3.7) in (3.5) we finally prove that (3.3) holds. Also, in a similar way we prove (3.4). ■

Let us define an operator \mathcal{L}_G acting on a classical phase space defined on x_μ and p_μ as

$$\mathcal{L}_G(f) = \{G, f\}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $G(x, p)$ and $f(x, p)$ are functions on classical phase space. Furthermore, we define

$$\hat{x}_\mu^{(0)} = \sqrt{1 - \beta^2 p^2} x_\mu, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\hat{p}_\mu^{(0)} = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2 x^2} p_\mu \quad (3.11)$$

and then construct an operator \mathcal{O} such that

$$\{\mathcal{O}(\hat{x}_\mu^{(0)}), \hat{p}_\nu^{(0)}\} = \eta_{\mu\nu} h, \quad (3.12)$$

where h is given in (1.12). The general structure of an operator \mathcal{O} acting on $\hat{x}_\mu^{(0)}$ is

$$\mathcal{O}(\hat{x}_\mu^{(0)}) = (e^{\mathcal{L}_G})(\hat{x}_\mu^{(0)}) = \hat{x}_\mu^{(0)} + \{G, \hat{x}_\mu^{(0)}\} + \frac{1}{2!} \{G, \{G, \hat{x}_\mu^{(0)}\}\} + \frac{1}{3!} \{G, \{G, \{G, \hat{x}_\mu^{(0)}\}\}\} + \cdots \quad (3.13)$$

Solving perturbatively (3.12) a unique solution was found for G in [14],

$$G = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \beta^{2n} g_{2n}, \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$g_{2n} = \frac{(-1)^n \cdot (xp)^{2n+1}}{2n \cdot (2n+1)}. \quad (3.15)$$

The summation of the equation (3.14) for G gives an exact result,

$$G = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \left(z \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1+z^2) \right) - \arctan z \right). \quad (3.16)$$

If we fix $\tilde{P}_\mu^{(0)} = \hat{p}_\mu^{(0)} = \sqrt{1-\alpha^2 x^2} p_\mu$ then we obtain the corresponding

$$\tilde{X}_\mu^{(1)} = A \left(e^{\varphi \hat{\mathcal{L}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_G} \right) \left(\hat{x}_\mu^{(0)} \right) = A \left(e^{\varphi \hat{\mathcal{L}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_G} \right) \left(\sqrt{1-\beta^2 p^2} x_\mu \right) \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$h^{(1,0)} = \sqrt{(1-\beta^2 p^2 + z^2)(1-\alpha^2 x^2)} \quad (3.18)$$

with the property

$$\left\{ \tilde{X}_\mu^{(1)}, \tilde{P}_\mu^{(0)} \right\} = \eta_{\mu\nu} A \cos(\varphi) h^{(1,0)} + A \sin(\varphi) \alpha\beta M_{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.19)$$

The composition of mappings $e^{\varphi \hat{\mathcal{L}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_G}$ can be calculated perturbatively applying the BCH formula.

Proposition 3.2 *Application of the BCH formula to a Poisson algebra with the classical Poisson brackets is*

$$e^{\mathcal{L}_A} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_B} = e^{\mathcal{L}_C}, \quad (3.20)$$

where $C = A + B + \frac{1}{2} \{A, B\} + \frac{1}{12} \{A, \{A, B\}\} - \frac{1}{12} \{B, \{A, B\}\} + \dots$

Proof. From the BCH formula we have

$$e^{\mathcal{L}_A} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_B} = e^{(\mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_B + \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{L}_A, \mathcal{L}_B] + \frac{1}{12} [\mathcal{L}_A, [\mathcal{L}_A, \mathcal{L}_B]] - \frac{1}{12} [\mathcal{L}_B, [\mathcal{L}_A, \mathcal{L}_B]] + \dots)} \quad (3.21)$$

which implies that in order to prove (3.20) is sufficient to prove that the relation

$$[\mathcal{L}_{A_1}, \dots, [\mathcal{L}_{A_{n-1}}, [\mathcal{L}_{A_n}, \mathcal{L}_B]] \dots] = \mathcal{L}_{(\{A_1, \dots, \{A_{n-1}, \{A_n, B\}\} \dots})} \quad (3.22)$$

holds. We prove (3.22) by induction on n . For $n = 1$, using the Jacobi identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} ([\mathcal{L}_{A_1}, \mathcal{L}_B])(F(x, p)) &= (\mathcal{L}_{A_1}\mathcal{L}_B - \mathcal{L}_B\mathcal{L}_{A_1})(F(x, p)) \\ &= \{A_1, \{B, F\}\} - \{B, \{A_1, F\}\} \\ &= \{\{A_1, B\}, F\} = \mathcal{L}_{\{A_1, B\}}(F(x, p)). \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that the relation (3.22) holds for some $n > 1$. Then by the induction assumption and using the Jacobi identity we have

$$\begin{aligned} &([\mathcal{L}_{A_1}, \dots [\mathcal{L}_{A_n}, [\mathcal{L}_{A_{n+1}}, \mathcal{L}_B]] \dots])(F(x, p)) \\ &= (A_1 [\mathcal{L}_{A_2}, \dots [\mathcal{L}_{A_n}, [\mathcal{L}_{A_{n+1}}, \mathcal{L}_B]] \dots] - [\mathcal{L}_{A_2}, \dots [\mathcal{L}_{A_n}, [\mathcal{L}_{A_{n+1}}, \mathcal{L}_B]] \dots] A_1)(F(x, p)) \\ &= \{A_1, \{\{A_2, \dots \{A_n, \{A_{n+1}, B\}\} \dots\}, F\}\} - \{\{A_2, \dots \{A_n, \{A_{n+1}, B\}\} \dots\}, \{A_1, F\}\} \\ &= \{\{A_1, \{A_2, \dots \{A_n, \{A_{n+1}, B\}\} \dots\}\}, F\} = \mathcal{L}_{\{A_1, \dots, \{A_n, \{A_{n+1}, B\}\} \dots\}}(F(x, p)). \end{aligned}$$

■

Let us denote $e^{\mathcal{L}_{\hat{G}}} = e^{\varphi\hat{\mathcal{L}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_G}$. Then in the first order we get $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{G}} = \varphi\hat{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{L}_G + \frac{1}{2}\varphi\{\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{L}_G\} \pm \dots$. The most general realizations of $\tilde{X}_\mu, \tilde{P}_\mu$ and h are obtained using the group of automorphisms applied to the special solution $\tilde{X}_\mu^{(1)}, \tilde{P}_\mu^{(0)}$ and $h^{(1,0)}$, namely $\tilde{X}_\mu = O_F(\tilde{X}_\mu^{(1)})$, $\tilde{P}_\mu = O_F(\tilde{P}_\mu^{(0)})$ and $h = O_F(h^{(1,0)})$, where $O_F = e^{\mathcal{L}_F}$ and F is arbitrary function of $\alpha^2 x^2$, $\beta^2 p^2$ and z . Alternatively we can write

$$\tilde{X}_\mu = A \left(e^{\varphi\hat{\mathcal{L}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_F} \right) (\hat{x}_\mu^{(1)}) = A \left(e^{\varphi\hat{\mathcal{L}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_F} \right) \left(\sqrt{1 - \beta^2 p^2 + z^2 x_\mu} \right) \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\tilde{P}_\mu = B \left(e^{-\psi\hat{\mathcal{L}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_F} \right) (\hat{p}_\mu^{(0)}) = B \left(e^{-\psi\hat{\mathcal{L}}} \circ e^{\mathcal{L}_F} \right) \left(\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2 x^2} p_\mu \right). \quad (3.24)$$

These solutions satisfy the above seven differential equations (2.20)-(2.26).

Remark 3.1 Note that the Poisson brackets are covariant under action of $e^{\mathcal{L}_F}$ i.e they satisfy

$$\{(e^{\mathcal{L}_F}(f), (e^{\mathcal{L}_F}(g))\} = (e^{\mathcal{L}_F}(\{f, g\})) \quad (3.25)$$

for any function F, f, g . Also $(e^{\mathcal{L}_F})(M_{\mu\nu}) = M_{\mu\nu}$ if $\{F, M_{\mu\nu}\} = 0$ and $(e^{\mathcal{L}_F})(\eta_{\mu\nu}) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$.

Remark 3.2 Using realizations of the Snyder model [8] we can write the corresponding realizations of the Snyder Poisson model. From the results that were found in [8] we get

$$\hat{x}_\mu = (e^{\mathcal{L}_G})(x_\mu + \beta^2(x \cdot p)p_\mu) = x_\mu\varphi_1(u) + \beta^2(x \cdot p)p_\mu\varphi_2(u)$$

and

$$\hat{p}_\mu = (e^{\mathcal{L}G})(p_\mu) = p_\mu \frac{1}{\varphi_1(u)},$$

where

$$G = (x \cdot p)F(u), \quad \varphi_2(u) = \frac{1 + \dot{\varphi}_1(u)\varphi_1(u)}{\varphi_1(u) - 2u\dot{\varphi}_1(u)}, \quad \dot{\varphi}_1 = \frac{d\varphi_1(u)}{du} \quad \text{and} \quad u = \beta^2 p^2.$$

4 Related Poisson models

Here we introduce related Poisson algebras generalizing (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5):

$$\{\hat{x}_\nu, \hat{x}_\nu\} = \epsilon_1 \beta^2 M_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\hat{p}_\nu, \hat{p}_\nu\} = \epsilon_2 \alpha^2 M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\{\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu\} = \eta_{\mu\nu} h, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\{h, \hat{x}_\mu\} = \epsilon_1 \beta^2 \hat{p}_\mu, \quad \{h, \hat{p}_\mu\} = -\epsilon_2 \alpha^2 \hat{x}_\mu, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\epsilon_1^2 = \epsilon_2^2 = 1$. The Yang-Poisson model in section (1) corresponds to the $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 1$ case.

Now, let us consider the **case when $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = -1$** . A special solution for realizations of \hat{x}_μ and \hat{p}_μ in this case is given as

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu \sqrt{1 + \beta^2 p^2 + \phi_1(z)}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu \sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 x^2 + \phi_2(z)}, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\phi_1 \phi_2 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 = z^2, \quad z = \alpha\beta(xp) \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$h = \sqrt{(1 + \beta^2 p^2 + \phi_1(z))(1 + \alpha^2 x^2 + \phi_2(z))}. \quad (4.7)$$

The new generators \tilde{X}_μ and \tilde{P}_μ linear in \hat{x}_μ , \hat{p}_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$ are given in (2.1) and (2.2). Also, a new algebra generated by \tilde{X}_μ , \tilde{P}_μ , $M_{\mu\nu}$ and \tilde{h} is given in (2.5)-(2.13), where

$$\tilde{\rho} = -\sin(\varphi + \psi) + \frac{ab}{AB}, \quad \tilde{A} = -A^2 + a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} = -B^2 + b^2. \quad (4.8)$$

In the **case when $\epsilon_1 = 1$ and $\epsilon_2 = -1$** special solution is given by

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu \sqrt{1 - \beta^2 p^2 + \phi_1(z)}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu \sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 x^2 + \phi_2(z)}, \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\phi_1\phi_2 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 = -z^2, \quad z = \alpha\beta(xp) \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$h = \sqrt{(1 - \beta^2 p^2 + \phi_1(z))(1 + \alpha^2 x^2 + \phi_2(z))}. \quad (4.12)$$

The new generators \tilde{X}_μ and \tilde{P}_μ are given by

$$\tilde{X}_\mu = A \left(\cosh \varphi \hat{x}_\mu + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sinh \varphi \hat{p}_\mu \right) + \beta a_\nu M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\tilde{P}_\mu = B \left(\cosh \psi \hat{p}_\mu + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \sinh \psi \hat{x}_\mu \right) + \alpha b_\nu M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.14)$$

where $M_{\mu\nu} = x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu$ and $A, B, \varphi, \psi, a_\mu, b_\mu$ are dimensionless parameters with $AB \neq 0$. New generators \tilde{X}_μ and \tilde{P}_μ together with $M_{\mu\nu}$ and \tilde{h} generate a new algebra, isomorphic to the initial Yang Poisson algebra, which is given in (2.5)-(2.12), where

$$\tilde{h} = AB \cosh(\psi - \varphi)h + \beta a \tilde{P} - \alpha b \tilde{X} - \alpha\beta a_\mu b_\nu M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\tilde{\rho} = \sinh(\psi - \varphi) + \frac{ab}{AB}, \quad \tilde{A} = A^2 + a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} = -B^2 + b^2. \quad (4.16)$$

Finally, in the last **case when $\epsilon_1 = -1$ and $\epsilon_2 = 1$** a special solution is given by

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu \sqrt{1 + \beta^2 p^2 + \phi_1(z)}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2 x^2 + \phi_2(z)}, \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\phi_1\phi_2 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 = -z^2, \quad z = \alpha\beta(xp) \quad (4.19)$$

and

$$h = \sqrt{(1 + \beta^2 p^2 + \phi_1(z))(1 - \alpha^2 x^2 + \phi_2(z))}. \quad (4.20)$$

The new generators \tilde{X}_μ and \tilde{P}_μ are given in (4.13) and (4.14) and a new algebra generated by them together with $M_{\mu\nu}$ and \tilde{h} is given in (2.5)-(2.12), where

$$\tilde{h} = AB \cosh(\psi - \varphi)h + \beta a \tilde{P} - \alpha b \tilde{X} - \alpha\beta a_\mu b_\nu M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$\tilde{\rho} = -\sinh(\psi - \varphi) + \frac{ab}{AB}, \quad \tilde{A} = -A^2 + a^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} = B^2 + b^2. \quad (4.22)$$

5 Discussion

We have obtained the exact realizations of the generalized Yang-Poisson algebra on a canonical phase space related to the $so(1,5)$ algebra. These realizations are simpler than in the quantum case. The results obtained in this paper can be considered as a limit of the quantum formalism for $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, presenting a classical approximation of quantum realizations. Furthermore, we have discussed the Poisson algebras related to $so(3,3)$ and $so(2,4)$ algebras. The generalized Yang models are examples of noncommutative geometry on a background spacetime of constant curvature and possess duality between position and momentum manifolds.

In the present paper a canonical phase space is defined with coordinates x_μ , momenta p_μ and Lorentz generators $M_{\mu\nu} = x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu$. However it is possible to define the extended coordinates $x_{\mu\nu}$ with corresponding momenta $p_{\mu\nu}$ and to interpret Lorentz generators $M_{\mu\nu}$ as extended coordinates $x_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{\hbar}$ as additional scalar coordinate. Then algebra (2.5) – (2.14) is generated with 15 coordinates. The corresponding realizations can be obtained from quantum realizations of the generalized Yang models, presented in [6, 9].

Acknowledgement

S.Mignemi thanks Gruppo Nazionale di Fisica Matematica for support.

References

- [1] Banerjee R., Kumar K., Roychowdhury D., Symmetries of Snyder-de Sitter space and relativistic particle dynamics, *JHEP* **03** 060 (2011), arXiv:1101.2021.
- [2] Born M., Reciprocity Theory of Elementary particles, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21** 463 (1949).
- [3] Guo H.G., Huang C.G., Wu H.T., Yang's Model as Triply Special Relativity and the Snyder's Model–de Sitter Special Relativity Duality, *Phys. Lett.* **B 663** 270 (2008), arXiv:0801.1146.
- [4] Khrushchev V.V., Lenzov A.N., Relativistic invariant Lie algebras for kinematical observables in quantum space time, *Grav. Cosmol.* **9** 159 (2003), arXiv:020782v5
- [5] Kowalski-Glikman J., Smolin L., Triply Special Relativity, *Phys. Rev.* **D 70** (2004) 065020, arXiv:hep-th/0406276.
- [6] Lukierski J., Meljanac S., Mignemi S. and Pachoł A., Quantum perturbative solutions of extended Snyder and Yang models with spontaneous symmetry breaking, *Phys. Lett.* **B 847** 138261 (2023), arXiv:2212.02316.

- [7] Martinić Bilac T., Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Hermitian realizations of the Yang model, *J. Math. Phys.* **64** 122302 (2023), arXiv:2305.04013.
- [8] Martinić Bilac T., Meljanac S., Realizations of the Extended Snyder model, *SIGMA* **19** (2023) 065, arXiv:2306.10609.
- [9] Martinić Bilac T., Meljanac S., Mignemi S., in preparation, .
- [10] Mignemi S., The Snyder-de Sitter model from six dimensions, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **26** 245020 (2009).
- [11] Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Generalizations of Snyder model to curved spaces, *Phys. Lett. B* **833** (2022) 137289, arXiv:2206.04772.
- [12] Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Noncommutative Yang model and its generalizations, *J. Math. Phys.* **64** (2023) 023505, arXiv:2211.11755
- [13] Meljanac S., Štrajn R, Deformed Quantum Phase Spaces, Realizations, Star Products and Twists, *SIGMA* **18** (2022) 022, arXiv:2112.12038.
- [14] Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Realizations of the Yang-Poisson model on canonical phase space, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **38** 2350182 (2023), arXiv:2307.09828
- [15] Snyder H.S., Quantized Space-Time, *Phys. Rev.* **71** 38 (1947).
- [16] Yang C.N., On Quantized Space-Time, *Phys. Rev.* **72** 874 (1947).