

Reply with Sticker: New Dataset and Model for Sticker Retrieval

Bin Liang^{1,3*}, Bingbing Wang¹, Zhixin Bai², Qiwei Lang⁴, Mingwei Sun²,
Kaiheng Hou², Kam-Fai Wong³, Ruifeng Xu^{1*}

¹ Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen, China

² Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China

³ The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

⁴ Jilin University, Jilin, China

{bin.liang, bingbing.wang, 2021113309, 7203610716, 220110506}@stu.hit.edu.cn,
langqw5520@mails.jlu.edu.cn, kfwong@se.cuhk.edu.hk, xurufeng@hit.edu.cn

Abstract

Using stickers in online chatting is very prevalent on social media platforms, where the stickers used in the conversation can express someone’s intention/emotion/attitude in a vivid, tactful, and intuitive way. Existing sticker retrieval research typically retrieves stickers based on context and the current utterance delivered by the user. That is, the stickers serve as a supplement to the current utterance. However, in the real-world scenario, using stickers to express what we want to say rather than as a supplement to our words only is also important. Therefore, in this paper, we create a new dataset for sticker retrieval in conversation, called **StickerInt**, where stickers are used to reply to previous conversations or supplement our words¹. Based on the created dataset, we present a simple yet effective framework for sticker retrieval in conversation based on the learning of intention and the cross-modal relationships between conversation context and stickers, coined as **Int-RA**. Specifically, we first devise a knowledge-enhanced intention predictor to introduce the intention information into the conversation representations. Subsequently, a relation-aware sticker selector is devised to retrieve the response sticker via cross-modal relationships. Extensive experiments on the created dataset show that the proposed model achieves state-of-the-art performance in sticker retrieval.

1 Introduction

With the advent of instant messaging applications, online chatting has become integral to people’s daily lives. In existing social platforms, stickers, as visual elements, assume a multifaceted role, infusing conversations with a dynamic dimension. They empower users to transcend the constraints




Figure 1: Two examples of stickers used in an online conversation.

of traditional linguistic expression, fostering a vibrant and innovative atmosphere within the realm of conversation. Therefore, empowering the ability to automatically use stickers for replies based on previous conversations into intelligent dialogue systems will make the dialogue process more vivid and interesting. Due to the diversity and rich expressive power of stickers, retrieving a suitable sticker as a reply for a conversation is an important challenge in using stickers in conversations.

Recent research endeavors of sticker retrieval have been dedicated to using stickers to supplement the current response, in order to strengthen the expression of emotion, attitude, or opinion (Fei et al., 2021a; Gao et al., 2020a). However, in the real-world scenario, we may also use stickers to reply to the previous conversation directly, rather than merely supplementing our words with stickers. One expected scenario of the sticker retrieval task is that suitable stickers can be retrieved for replies whether or not one has made a textual response. To illustrate our idea, we give examples shown in Figure 1. Figure 1 (a) shows the sticker retrieval scenario of using a sticker to supplement one’s current

*Corresponding Authors

¹We believe that the release of this dataset will provide a more complete paradigm for the research of sticker retrieval in the open-domain online conversation.

utterance. Figure 1 (b) is another sticker retrieval scenario, in which the user uses a sticker to directly reply to previous conversations. Therefore, in our work, we create a new sticker retrieval dataset to cover these two scenarios, called **StickerInt**, which is a comprehensive consideration for using stickers in social media conversation. Our **StickerInt** dataset contains 1,578 Chinese conversations with 12,644 utterances.

Based on our **StickerInt** dataset, we propose a pipeline framework, called **Int-RA**, which comprises a knowledge-enhanced intention predictor and a relation-aware selector to retrieve the sticker for responding to the conversation. Specifically, for the text modality, we feed the conversation context into the BART version of COMET (Bosselut et al., 2019) to generate commonsense inferences of five relations ($xIntent$, $xNeed$, $xWant$, $xReact$, $xEffect$) based on the commonsense knowledge base ATOMIC₂₀ (Hwang et al., 2021), which are concatenated with conversation contexts through a text encoder to derive the textual representation. Here, the textual representation is fed into a classifier to infer the intention of the user towards the conversation with the help of supplemental tags, deriving intention-fused textual representation. For visual modality, we feed the sticker into the visual encoder to get the visual representation of the sticker’s regions. Further, to better learn the properties of stickers, we define four attributes *gesture*, *posture*, *facial expression*, and *verbal*, which are used as prompts in the multi-modal large language model (MLLM) to derive attribute-aware sticker descriptions. Afterward, we use cross-modal attention to learn the relationship between the visual representation of regions and descriptions for each sticker, deriving the relation-aware visual representation. Finally, we calculate the similarity between the intention-fused textual representation and each relation-aware visual representation to determine the result of sticker retrieval.

The main contributions of our work can be summarized as follows:

- To facilitate the research of sticker retrieval, we create **StickerInt**, a novel and more comprehensive sticker retrieval dataset for sticker usage in conversation. Further, our **StickerInt** dataset provides an intention tag for each sticker towards the conversation, aiming at empowering models with more in-depth learning on sticker retrieval.

- We propose a novel pipeline framework for sticker retrieval, in which a knowledge-enhanced intention predictor and relation-aware selector are devised to leverage the intention information and fine-grained sticker attributes to retrieve stickers for response.
- Experiments conducted on our **StickerInt** dataset demonstrate that our proposed framework outperforms the baseline models.

2 Related Work

2.1 Sticker Dataset

Sticker analysis has attracted more and more attention in recent years. Numerous works fix their eyes on sticker-based multi-modal sentiment analysis and have proposed a wide variety of multi-modal sentiment analysis datasets (Liu et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2023). However, stickers, being visual images, demonstrate significant effectiveness within conversations beyond mere research into the stickers themselves. Consequently, several researchers have shifted their focus towards retrieving stickers based on the context of the conversation. Fei et al. (2021a) introduced a novel task termed meme incorporated open-domain conversation and further built a large dataset of 45k Chinese conversations with 606k utterances. A Chinese sticker-based multi-modal dataset for the sentiment analysis task (CSMSA) (Ge et al., 2022) was presented by collecting from eight public open chat groups with 28k text-sticker pairs and 1.5k annotated data. In these datasets, the stickers serve as a supplement to the current utterance. Nevertheless, in real-world scenarios, stickers are used not just as supplements to words but also as a means to directly express the user’s intentions. Therefore, we create a comprehensive dataset for sticker retrieval in conversation.

2.2 Multi-modal Conversation Method

Several multi-modal studies have sought to improve the efficacy of conversational agents by enriching textual expressions of generated dialog responses through associative vision scenes (Zang et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021). Nowadays, using stickers for replies has become commonplace in social media interactions. There has been a growing number of works on sticker retrieval, which assists users in selecting the appropriate sticker for response. Gao et al. (2020a) proposed a sticker response selector model that

employs a convolutional-based sticker image encoder and a self-attention-based multi-turn dialog encoder to obtain the representation of stickers and utterances. Then a deep interaction network is designed for obtaining deep matching and a fusion network is employed to output the final matching score. Fei et al. (2021a) presented a Meme incorporated Open-domain Dialogue (MOD) task and utilized pooling all sub-tasks like text generation and internet meme prediction into a unified sequence generation procedure to solve it. These methods which directly match conversation context and stickers, overlook the expressive role of stickers in conversation, where emotions and intentions are conveyed in a visually engaging manner.

3 StickerInt Dataset

This section introduces our new dataset **StickerInt** for sticker retrieval in detail. Specifically, Section 3.1 presents the construction of our **StickerInt** dataset, Section 3.2 introduces the annotation process of **StickerInt**, and Section 3.3 analyzes the created **StickerInt** by data statistics.

3.1 Data Construction

We collected our dataset from a widely used social platform (WeChat²), which boasts a vast of conversations with stickers accessible for both individual and group chats. We select four open chat groups with active participants and collect their conversations. Among them, each group engages in open-domain online conversation, making the use of stickers more diverse. Note that we eliminate extraneous image elements like screenshots and photos.

We formulate stringent guidelines and policies for data preprocessing. To protect user privacy, users’ personal information (including real name, age, address, etc.) is deleted, and user IDs are anonymized in the data. Additionally, we exclude any content that may contain inappropriate, offensive, or insulting expressions. Furthermore, we segment the whole chat content within the dataset into multiple conversations to ensure the integrity and independence of each conversation. Based on this, we traverse each sticker in the chat history, capturing its associated context to derive conversations with stickers, which ensures that each sticker has a corresponding conversation context.

²<https://weixin.qq.com/>

Dataset Statistics	Train	Valid	Test
# con.	1,269	155	154
# utterances	8,745	1,105	1,216
# tokens	47,895	5,950	5,778
# stickers	1,025	1,025	1,025
# users	48	41	40
Avg. utterances in a con.	6.89	7.13	7.90
Avg. users in a con.	2.91	2.71	2.78
Avg. tokens in an utterance	5.48	5.39	4.75

Table 1: Statistics of StickerInt dataset. con. = conversation, Avg. = average.



Figure 2: Visualization depicting the distribution of intention labels.

3.2 Data Annotation

We recruited 5 experienced researchers with over 3 years of research experience in the field of multimodal learning as annotators to check and label the golden sticker for each conversation, aiming at eliminating the impact of noise stickers on the research of sticker retrieval.

In addition, recognizing the sentiment, emotion, or feeling can help us better select stickers for replies. Therefore, considering the diversity and complexity of intentional expression in conversation, we get inspiration from (Aman and Szpakowicz, 2008) and use GoEmotions to request annotators to supplement an intention tag for each sticker towards the conversation.

3.3 Dataset Analysis

The detailed statistics of our dataset are shown in Table 1. In total, there are 1,578 conversations which contain 12,644 utterances, 59,623 tokens, and 1,025 stickers. Each conversation includes 8.01 utterances on average. The average number of




Figure 3: Illustration of the proposed Int-RA framework comprising intention-fused conversation context representation, attribute-aware sticker representation, and relation-aware sticker selector.

users who participate in a conversation is 2.80. The average number of tokens in an utterance is 5.21. Furthermore, we also visualize the distribution of intention tags in Figure 2. We can see that the proportion of stickers used in different labels varies, which also demonstrates the diversity of stickers’ intention expressions in our dataset.

4 Methodology

In this section, we introduce our proposed **Int-RA** framework for sticker retrieval in detail. Assume that there is a conversation context $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_{N_t}, s_j\}$ and a sticker set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_{N_v}\}$, where $t_i = (s_i, u_i)$, s_i and u_i represent the i -th user and utterance. N_t and N_v represent the number of utterances and stickers, respectively. The sticker retrieval task aims to select a suitable sticker from the sticker set V based on the conversation context T for the user s_j . Additionally, our dataset presents an annotated intention tag y_{int} as supplemental information in each conversation T .

Therefore, by leveraging the intention tags, we propose a pipeline framework (**Int-RA**) to deal with the sticker retrieval task. The architecture of our **Int-RA** is illustrated in Figure 3, which mainly comprises three components: 1) **Intention-fused Conversation Context Representation**, which introduces intention information to the learning of conversation context representation based on commonsense; 2) **Attribute-aware Sticker Representation**, which uses the multi-modal large language model (MLLM) to derive the representation of a sticker based on the attribute-based prompts; 3) **Relation-aware Sticker Selector**, which facilitates

the retrieval of the relationships between conversation context and stickers by cross-modal attention.

4.1 Intention-fused Conversation Context Representation

For online chatting, we generally use stickers to express our sentiments, status, feelings, etc. Therefore, to explore the potential sentiment, status, or feeling expressed by the user towards a conversation context for sticker retrieval, we devise a knowledge-enhanced intention predictor, aiming to introduce intention information into the conversation context representation.

Inspired by recent works (Sabour et al., 2022; Qian et al., 2023), we adopt the commonsense knowledge base ATOMIC₂₀ (Hwang et al., 2021), which contains knowledge not readily available in pre-trained language models and can generate accurate and representative knowledge for unseen entities and events. Specifically, we utilize the BART version of COMET (Hwang et al., 2021) trained on this knowledge base to generate commonsense inferences of five relations including $xIntent$, $xNeed$, $xWant$, $xEffect$, $xReact$.

$$C_r = \text{COMET}(T, r), \quad (1)$$

$$C_{know} = \bigoplus_R C_r, \quad (2)$$

where $r \in R$ denotes the relation type, $R \in \{xIntent, xNeed, xWant, xEffect, xReact\}$. \bigoplus is the concatenation operation. Then these five relations are concatenated with conversation contexts through a pre-trained Multi-lingual BERT (M-BERT) (Devlin et al., 2018) to derive the textual

representation \mathbf{H}^T :

$$\mathbf{H}^T = \text{M-BERT}(T \oplus C_{know}), \quad (3)$$

Afterward, \mathbf{H}^T is input to a softmax classifier to infer the user’s intention \hat{y}_{int} and optimized with cross-entropy loss, which can be described as:

$$\rho = \text{softmax}(W\mathbf{H}^T + b), \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{y}_{int} = \text{argmax}(\rho), \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{int} \log \rho. \quad (6)$$

where W and b are the weight matrix and bias term, respectively. n represents the number of samples, and y_{int} is the ground-truth intention tag. In this way, we can obtain a deeper understanding of the intention that may be expressed towards the conversation context, and introduce intention information into the textual representation. The intention \hat{y}_{int} is fed into M-BERT to obtain intention-fused textual representation $\mathbf{H}^Y = \text{M-BERT}(\hat{y}_{int})$.

4.2 Attribute-aware Sticker Representation

To extract the key expression information and reduce unnecessary interference from irrelevant information, for the learning of stickers, we first devise four visual attributes, i.e. *gesture* L_G , *posture* L_P , *facial expression* L_F , and *verbal* L_V , to construct prompts for the strikers. Based on this, we use Qwen-VL (Bai et al., 2023) as the MLLM to produce attribute-aware sticker descriptions based on the above four attributes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{A_G, A_P, A_F, A_V\} \\ & = \text{MLLM}(\{L_G, L_P, L_F, L_V\}), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Note that we use several turns of interactions, including the system prompt like “This is a sticker used in conversation, please provide several keywords to describe the gesture/posture/facial expression/verbal.” to simulate the utterance generation ability of MLLM. Then, each attribute-aware sticker description is transformed into an attribute-aware sticker representation using M-BERT:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^A & = \{\mathbf{H}_G^A, \mathbf{H}_P^A, \mathbf{H}_F^A, \mathbf{H}_V^A\} \\ & = \text{M-BERT}(\{A_G, A_P, A_F, A_V\}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Further, to learn the visual information of stickers, sticker v_i first undergoes CLIP pre-trained ViT

model (Dosovitskiy et al., 2020) as a visual encoder to obtain visual representation \mathbf{H}^I .

$$\mathbf{H}^I = \text{ViT}(v), \quad (9)$$

Afterward, we adopt cross-modal attention between the visual representation and each attribute-aware sticker representation of the sticker to highlight the important regions in the sticker. In detail, we use two fully connected layers f_{vis} and f_{des} to project the visual representation and description representation into the same dimension d :

$$\mathbf{h}_j^A = f_{des}(\mathbf{H}_j^A), \mathbf{h}^I = f_{vis}(\mathbf{H}^I), \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{H}_j^A, j \in \{G, P, F, V\}$. $M_j \in \mathbb{R}$ indicates the relation between \mathbf{h}_j^A and the visual representation \mathbf{h}^I , and can be expressed as:

$$M_j = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{(\mathbf{h}_j^A W^Q)(\mathbf{h}^I W^K)^\top}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)(\mathbf{h}^I W^V). \quad (11)$$

where $W^Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d_q}, W^K \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d_k}, W^V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d_v}$ are randomly initialized projection matrices. We set $d_k, d_v, d_q = d/h$ for each of these parallel attention layers. h is the number of heads in each multi-head attention layer.

Next, a max pooling operation is conducted on M , i.e., let $\mathcal{M} = \max(M_j) \in \mathbb{R}$ represent the relation score on the sticker by attribute-aware sticker descriptions. This attention learns to assign high weights to the important regions of the sticker that are closely related to each attribute-aware sticker description. We finally conduct a multiplication operation of each visual representation and relation score to obtain relation-aware visual representation \mathbf{H}^R for the sticker.

$$\mathbf{H}^R = \mathcal{M} \times \mathbf{h}^I. \quad (12)$$

4.3 Relation-aware Sticker Selector

Ultimately, we leverage the relation-aware sticker representations to perform cross-modal retrieval. We primarily implement the matching function using cosine similarity as cross-modal attention, which is defined as:

$$CA = \text{cos}(\mathbf{H}^Y, \mathbf{H}^R). \quad (13)$$

We optimize our method to minimize a learning objective: $\mathcal{L} = \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{ret} + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{int}$, where L_{ret} is the loss for retrieval and L_{int} for intention prediction.

λ_1 and λ_2 are hyper-parameters that work as scale factors.

$$\mathcal{L}_{ret} = \sum_N \max(\rho_{neg} - (1 - \rho_{pos}) + \text{margin}). \quad (14)$$

where ρ_{neg} and ρ_{pos} correspond to the cosine similarity of non-true (negative) stickers and true (positive) stickers. The margin is the margin rescaling.

5 Experiment

This section details the experimental settings and experimental results of our proposed **Int-RA** framework conducted on the created **StickerInt** dataset.

5.1 Experimental Settings

Implement details. We adopt Multi-lingual BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) as the text encoder to derive the textual representation. The CLIP pre-trained ViT model (Dosovitskiy et al., 2020) is employed as the image encoder to derive the visual representation. we adopt Qwen-VL (Bai et al., 2023) to generate sticker descriptions³. We set the batch size to 4 and use Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2014) as our optimizing algorithm. The learning rate is set to 1×10^{-4} . Both λ_1 and λ_2 are set to 1.

Evaluation metrics. Three widely used evaluation metrics are applied in our experiments: mean of average precision (mAP), top N-precision (P@N), and precision-recall curves (PR curve). mAP is a widely accepted criterion for assessing retrieval accuracy (Lin et al., 2014). P@N evaluates the precision of the top N predictions. Here, we mainly present the results for P@1, P@3, and P@5. Moreover, the PR curve visually illustrates the trade-off between precision and recall at various thresholds. Notably, if the retrieved sticker matches the intention label of the ground truth sticker, we consider the result correct, as multiple stickers can serve as responses to the same conversation.

5.2 Compared Methods

To evaluate the performance of our model, we compare the proposed **Int-RA** with several baseline methods, including existing sticker retrieval methods and recent text-to-image retrieval approaches. (1) *Sticker retrieval methods*: **MOD** (Fei et al., 2021b), **SRS** (Gao et al., 2020b). (2) *Text-to-image retrieval methods*: **LGUR** (Shao et al., 2022),

³In the preliminary experiment, we also try other MLLMs such as mini-GPT4 (Zhu et al., 2023) and Llava (Liu et al., 2023). We found that Qwen-VL performed slightly better.

Model	P@1	P@3	P@5	mAP
<i>Sticker retrieval methods</i>				
SRS	1.33	3.33	6.67	3.66
MOD	5.71	9.52	14.28	9.72
<i>Text-to-image retrieval</i>				
IRRA	2.24	6.53	9.78	6.10
PCME	3.84	11.53	19.23	11.40
LGUR	9.05	11.74	15.85	12.10
CLIP	5.12	12.13	19.81	12.89
<i>Ablation study</i>				
Int-RA (ours)	10.97*	13.55*	21.48*	15.24*
w/o attribute	8.41	12.48	19.25	13.29
w/o knowledge	8.58	12.25	18.19	12.98
w/o intention	6.52	11.98	16.23	11.57

Table 2: Experimental results (%) of various methods in sticker retrieval and text-to-image retrieval methods. **Bold** indicates that our method surpasses other models. We assert significance * if p-value < 0.05 under a t-test with the baseline models. w/o means without.




Figure 4: Precision-recall curves of different methods on our proposed dataset.

IRRA (Jiang and Ye, 2023), **PCME** (Chun et al., 2021), **CLIP** (Radford et al., 2021).

5.3 Main Results

We examine the performance of our **Int-RA** framework in comparison with baselines across each evaluation metric and report the results in Table 2. It can be observed that our **Int-RA** consistently outperforms all baselines, demonstrating the effectiveness of the proposed **Int-RA** in sticker retrieval. More concretely, the sticker retrieval methods MOD and SRS perform significantly poorer compared to our **Int-RA**. This further highlights the efficacy of our approach in first predicting intention before conducting matching, emphasizing the crucial role of intention as a bridging component in the process. We can also notice an improvement

in results as the value of N increases in Top N -precision, as more results can be utilized to expand the scope of potential matches with relevant labels.

On the other hand, text-to-image retrieval methods prioritize capturing semantic relationships between text and image content. However, since they are not explicitly designed for sticker retrieval scenarios, exhibiting inferior performance compared to our framework. We also illustrate the precision-recall curves in Figure 4. It can be seen that the curve of our method consistently outperforms all other baselines. These findings are in line with the mAP evaluation results.

In addition, an interesting observation is the overall superior performance of text-to-image retrieval methods compared to sticker retrieval baseline methods. This disparity can be attributed in part to the model design of SRS and MOD, where both models are devised to retrieve suitable stickers from a limited set of similar sticker candidates. Consequently, more attention is devoted to distinguishing local information among similar sticker expressions. In contrast, our dataset encompasses a more diverse range of stickers in real-world conversations, requiring the model to analyze and recognize more complex visual features. This demonstrates the advantage of the new dataset and the proposed framework in this work.

5.4 Ablation Study

We also conduct an ablation study on the use of knowledge and attributes. The evaluation results are shown in Table 2. The performances of all ablation models are worse than those of the complete model under all metrics, which demonstrates the necessity of each component in our approach. Note that the removal of attributes ("w/o attribute") results in considerable performance degradation, indicating that utilizing attributes can make better learning of sticker representation in different sticker properties. In addition, the removal of commonsense knowledge ("w/o knowledge") sharply degrades performance, which verifies the importance of knowledge in understanding conversation context. It is worth noting that the absence of the intention tag ("w/o intention") in a more significant decline in performance, with the mAP and P@5 scores decreasing by 3.67% and 5.25%, respectively. This demonstrates the crucial role of the intention tag in improving the accuracy and relevance of sticker retrieval tasks, highlighting the importance of considering users' intentions in




Figure 5: Performance of our approach on all metrics when reading different numbers of utterances.

retrieving appropriate stickers.

5.5 Effect of Number of Utterances

To examine and analyze the impact of the number of utterances over the performance of our proposed **Int-RA** framework, we conduct experiments by varying the number from 2 to 10 and demonstrate the results in Figure 5. We observe a similar trend across all evaluation metrics: mAP, P@1, P@3, and P@5. The results initially increase until the number of utterances reaches 6, after which they decrease as the number of utterances continues to increase. Two potential reasons may explain this phenomenon. Firstly, in limited contexts, the model can effectively capture features, resulting in improved performance as the amount of information increases. Secondly, the utility of utterance context may play a role. Utterances appearing too early before the sticker response may be irrelevant to the sticker and introduce unnecessary noise. In this dataset, it appears that 6 utterances are optimal.

5.6 Case Study

Several interactive cases retried by our approach are provided in Figure 6. These conversation samples suggest that our pipeline framework holds the capacity to provide sticker-incorporated expressive communication. From examples (a) and (b), we can observe that our approach tends to favor stickers with similar actions and facial expressions, with the characteristics of emoji stickers often being manifested through detailed features such as gestures and facial expressions. This demonstrates the effectiveness of using visual attributes to enhance the learning of stickers. However, in example (c), the




Figure 6: Examples of conversation context and top-3 stickers retrieved by our method.




Figure 7: Visualization of the relation score on stickers. The darker the color, the higher the relation score.

final prediction is incorrect, likely due to the diverse styles of stickers, which remains a challenge in the current state of sticker recognition.

Furthermore, we observe a distinction between example (a) and examples (b) and (c). In example (a), User 9’s response is not a direct reply to the preceding utterance but rather addresses the emotional expression in the historical conversation “I lost campus card. No money for meals.”. This shows the difficulty of understanding conversation context in multi-user conversation, resulting in the increased challenge of sticker retrieval. Consequently, in future research, user information can be combined to further improve the performance of sticker retrieval.

5.7 Visualization

To analyze how our **Int-RA** learns the important information about stickers, we visualize the relation score \mathcal{M} (Equation 12) of three stickers in Figure 7. For example (a), where the character appears very angry. This indicates that the representation of this sticker heavily relies on this facial expression. Our **Int-RA** can effectively catch the important information in the sticker by the relation score placement on the character’s face. Moreover, the relation score also attends to the character’s gestures. For instance, in Case (b), where the character is depicted as holding chopsticks with one hand and supporting the face with the other, we observe attention focused on his hand, suggesting that our **Int-RA** learns key points of body language. Furthermore, considering that the relation score comprehensively considers four properties of stickers, as illustrated in Case (c), we observe that our **Int-RA** pays attention to both facial expressions and gestures simultaneously, thereby learning accurate visual information of the sticker.

6 Conclusion

We create a new dataset for sticker retrieval, called **StickerInt**. Unlike previous studies that view stickers merely as a supplement to the current utterance, our new dataset can cover two real-world scenarios of using stickers in online conversation: using stickers to reply to previous conversations or supplement our words. Based on the new dataset, we propose **Int-RA**, a framework for sticker retrieval in conversation. In which, the intention information

is leveraged in the learning of conversation context. Further, we devise four novel visual attributes, i.e. *gesture*, *posture*, *facial expression*, and *verbal*, to improve the learning of stickers. Based on this, a relation-aware sticker selector is explored to retrieve the sticker for the conversation. Extensive experiments conducted on our **StickerInt** dataset demonstrate that our proposed approach achieves outstanding performance in sticker retrieval.

Limitations

The limitations of this work are mainly twofold. Firstly, stickers have diverse styles (e.g. cartoon, animal, etc.) in real-world conversations, which might affect the performance of the sticker retrieval task. Additionally, real-world conversations often involve multiple users engaging in multi-turn conversations. In such scenarios, our method may not fully capture the complexities of interactions among multiple users. Future research could focus on addressing these limitations by exploring more sophisticated models or incorporating additional contextual information to improve the performance of the sticker retrieval task.

Ethics Statement

The original copyrights for all stickers belong to their respective owners, and they are publicly available for academic use. The sticker sets are freely accessible online. Our group holds the copyright for the annotations, and they will be released to the public at no cost. Following consultation with legal advisors, the StickerInt dataset is available online for academic purposes. However, any commercial use or distribution to others without permission is strictly prohibited.

Our data construction process involves manual annotation. The annotated conversation corpus and sticker set do not contain any personally sensitive information. The annotators received fair compensation for their annotation work.

References

Saima Aman and Stan Szpakowicz. 2008. Using roget’s thesaurus for fine-grained emotion recognition. In *Proceedings of the Third International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing: Volume-1*.

Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Shusheng Yang, Shijie Wang, Sinan Tan, Peng Wang, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. 2023. Qwen-vl: A frontier large

vision-language model with versatile abilities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12966*.

Antoine Bosselut, Hannah Rashkin, Maarten Sap, Chaitanya Malaviya, Asli Celikyilmaz, and Yejin Choi. 2019. Comet: Commonsense transformers for automatic knowledge graph construction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.05317*.

Feilong Chen, Xiuyi Chen, Can Xu, and Daxin Jiang. 2021. Learning to ground visual objects for visual dialog. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, pages 1081–1091.

Sanghyuk Chun, Seong Joon Oh, Rafael Sampaio De Rezende, Yannis Kalantidis, and Diane Larlus. 2021. Probabilistic embeddings for cross-modal retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8415–8424.

Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.

Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. 2020. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*.

Zhengcong Fei, Zekang Li, Jinchao Zhang, Yang Feng, and Jie Zhou. 2021a. Towards expressive communication with internet memes: A new multimodal conversation dataset and benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.01839*.

Zhengcong Fei, Zekang Li, Jinchao Zhang, Yang Feng, and Jie Zhou. 2021b. Towards expressive communication with internet memes: A new multimodal conversation dataset and benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.01839*.

Shen Gao, Xiuying Chen, Chang Liu, Li Liu, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2020a. Learning to respond with stickers: A framework of unifying multi-modality in multi-turn dialog. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2020*, pages 1138–1148.

Shen Gao, Xiuying Chen, Chang Liu, Li Liu, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2020b. Learning to respond with stickers: A framework of unifying multi-modality in multi-turn dialog. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2020*, pages 1138–1148.

Feng Ge, Weizhao Li, Haopeng Ren, and Yi Cai. 2022. Towards exploiting sticker for multimodal sentiment analysis in social media: A new dataset and baseline. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 6795–6804.

- Jena D Hwang, Chandra Bhagavatula, Ronan Le Bras, Jeff Da, Keisuke Sakaguchi, Antoine Bosselut, and Yejin Choi. 2021. (comet-) atomic 2020: on symbolic and neural commonsense knowledge graphs. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 6384–6392.
- Ding Jiang and Mang Ye. 2023. Cross-modal implicit relation reasoning and aligning for text-to-image person retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2787–2797.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*.
- Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. 2014. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6–12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. 2023. Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.03744*.
- Shengzhe Liu, Xin Zhang, and Jufeng Yang. 2022. Ser30k: A large-scale dataset for sticker emotion recognition. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 33–41.
- Yushan Qian, Wei-Nan Zhang, and Ting Liu. 2023. Harnessing the power of large language models for empathetic response generation: Empirical investigations and improvements. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05140*.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR.
- Sahand Sabour, Chujie Zheng, and Minlie Huang. 2022. Cem: Commonsense-aware empathetic response generation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, pages 11229–11237.
- Zhiyin Shao, Xinyu Zhang, Meng Fang, Zhifeng Lin, Jian Wang, and Changxing Ding. 2022. Learning granularity-unified representations for text-to-image person re-identification. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 5566–5574.
- Ze Yang, Wei Wu, Huang Hu, Can Xu, Wei Wang, and Zhoujun Li. 2021. Open domain dialogue generation with latent images. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 14239–14247.
- Xiaoxue Zang, Lijuan Liu, Maria Wang, Yang Song, Hao Zhang, and Jindong Chen. 2021. Photochat: A human-human dialogue dataset with photo sharing behavior for joint image-text modeling. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 6142–6152.
- Sijie Zhao, Yixiao Ge, Zhongang Qi, Lin Song, Xiaohan Ding, Zehua Xie, and Ying Shan. 2023. Sticker820k: Empowering interactive retrieval with stickers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.06870*.
- Deyao Zhu, Jun Chen, Xiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. 2023. Minigt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10592*.