
SCALABLE SOFTWARE AS A SERVICE ARCHITECTURE

A PREPRINT

Ardy Dedase*
ardy@u.nus.edu
ardy.me

March 11, 2024

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the architecture of Software as a Service (SaaS) platforms, emphasizing scalability and maintainability. SaaS, a flexible software distribution model suitable for individuals and organizations, has become prevalent with the advent of Cloud services. This paper aims to provide a high-level design reference for establishing a scalable and maintainable SaaS architecture.

Keywords Software as a Service · SaaS · Architecture · Scalability · Maintainability

1 Introduction

1.1 Why Software as a Service?

Software as a Service (SaaS) represents a versatile software distribution model suitable for individuals or solo entrepreneurs and organizations alike. Cloud services have empowered the operation of independent SaaS platforms, enabling businesses to build freemium models successfully. However, the simplicity of SaaS system design can lead to challenges without a well-thought-out architecture, resulting in monolithic applications with redundancy.

1.2 Requirements of a SaaS Platform

To ensure the success of a SaaS platform, certain requirements must be addressed:

- Access control for users and user groups
- Subscription tier management
- Hosting internal admin tools
- Extensible features for easy addition of new products
- Design goals for isolated services, reducing the blast radius of changes, and running web applications in isolation.

2 The Solution: Isolate and Reuse

2.1 Isolate

Isolated web applications are formed by grouping related features together to form one web application or group of web applications that represents a product. For specificity, I would call these as *Product Web Apps* which will be covered more in detail.

*Use footnote for providing further information about author (webpage, alternative address)—*not* for acknowledging funding agencies.

2.1.1 Group related features in a web application

For example, all *analytics reporting features* can be grouped together as an independent web application that will be built and maintained by one dedicated team that has domain knowledge in building analytics reporting products. In large companies, every product web app has its own dedicated team building and running it.

2.1.2 Internal vs public (optional)

Your product web apps can also be grouped into two: internal and public. Having a clear separation between internal and public will allow you to have a dedicated set of secured administration tools routed within your own private network.

Proxying these services internally and publicly is handled by the Routing Service which will be covered in one of the sections below.

2.2 Reuse

These are the common functionalities that are shared across the feature web apps with their corresponding services. Each feature web app will need to utilise each of the functionality listed below.

Functionality	Service
Routing. Proxying to requested feature web applications.	Routing service
Content management	Content repository
Authentication	Authentication service
Access management	Role-based access control (RBAC) service

3 Components of a Scalable SaaS Platform

These are the logical components that make up our SaaS.

3.1 Routing Service

The Routing Service directs user requests (see figure 1) to the appropriate page or feature web app.

It manages mappings between paths, web application URLs, and required permissions, with the ability to update them dynamically. The service also handles the exposure of product web apps, both internally and publicly (see figure 2).

Implementation Tip: Options such as Node.js’s http-proxy-middleware or Nginx’s Reverse proxy can be considered.




Figure 1: Routing service

3.2 Product Web App

Every SaaS product or feature can have its own web app that is exposed through its own URL, and these apps are grouped into internal and public categories. Each product web app comprises a group of related features, owned and maintained by dedicated teams (See figure 3). The use of design systems Vendramini et al. [2021] and reusable micro frontends Pavlenko et al. [2020] ensures consistent user experience across products.

Implementation Tip: Product web apps can use standard web application stacks like Express, Node.js, and React, enhanced by design systems like Mosaic or Open Components.




Figure 2: Routing service high-level design




Figure 3: Product web apps high-level design

3.3 Web App Repository

This service maintains a record of web applications owned by multiple teams. The Routing Service queries the Web App Repository to retrieve the corresponding web app URL for a requested path. Permissions information is obtained from the RBAC service to validate user access (See figure 4).

Below is an example Web app repository table to illustrate its functionality.

Path	Product Web App URL	Owning Team	Description
/my-product-1	https://product-webapp-1.mydomain.local	Team 1	Allows internal users to check the revenue data
/my-product-2	https://product-webapp-1.mydomain.local	Team 2	Allows users to set up reporting dashboards

Implementation Tip: The Web App Repository can be implemented as a RESTful service with a web admin UI or as an infrastructure as code configuration file within the Routing Service.




Figure 4: Web App Repository high-level design

3.4 Authentication

Authentication is handled by a dedicated service, allowing seamless passage of JSON web tokens and user information across services. The flexibility exists for authentication to be invoked either in the product web app 5 or the Routing Service6, with different trade-offs.




Figure 5: Authentication invoked by the Product Web Apps




Figure 6: Authentication invoked by the Routing Service

Implementation Tip: Services such as AWS Cognito, Auth0, or any JWT authentication service can be employed.

3.5 Role-based Access Control (RBAC)

After authentication, RBAC Sandhu [1998] determines whether a user has permission to access specific pages or perform certain actions. This service is crucial for managing user permissions and ensuring controlled access.

We need to be able to answer questions like the following:

Is the logged-in user allowed to access this page or information that they are trying to access?

Possible answers are:

- Yes, the user Alice belongs to Organisation A which has permission to access Content A.
- No, the user Bob belongs to Organisation B which has no permission to access Content B.

Is the logged-in user allowed to perform this action?

Possible answers are:

- Yes, the user Alice has Admin Role which has permission to remove a registered user.
- No, the user Bob has Member Role which has read-only permission to all content.

RBAC helps us answer the questions above, hence making it possible for our web apps to permit or revoke users in doing specific actions (see figure 7).

Figure 8 shows the flow of events that occur when a user is authenticated and has its permissions checked with RBAC. Pseudo-code below illustrates how RBAC service can be used in specific use cases.

Check if user has permission to view page:

```
if (userHasPermissionToViewPage(userId)) {
  showPage();
} else {
  showNoPermissionError();
}
```

Check if user has permission to perform an action:

```
if (userHasPermissionToPerformAction(userId)) {
  showButton();
} else {
  doNothing();
}
```




Figure 7: Role-based Access Control high-level design




Figure 8: Role-based Access Control flow diagram

Implementation Tip: Consideration for implementation choices similar to the Web App Repository, using Java, Go, or C# with an RDBMS and a caching system.

4 High-Level Design

The high-level design in Figure 9 emphasizes clear ownership, reuse of common functionalities, and separation of concerns. The components work in harmony to create a scalable and maintainable SaaS platform.

5 High-Level Sequence Diagram

Figures 10 and 11 below show our options of how a user gets routed to the requested page by the routing service. These events happen after the Product Web App details are retrieved from the Web App Repository.




Figure 9: High-level design




Figure 10: Invoke Authentication in the Product Web App

6 Web App Repository, RBAC and Authentication page as a Web App

Web App Repository, RBAC, and Authentication need their own Web User Interface to manage data or at a minimum some way to receive user input (See figure 12).

Web App Repository UI allows us to manage Paths and Web App URLs with their required permissions.

RBAC UI is used to manage users, organisations, roles and permissions.

Authentication service’s frontend UI which is the login page is deployed as a Web App.

7 Conclusion

7.1 Treat technical documentation as part of the product

Enabling teams that own the Product Web Apps through good documentation whilst taking advantage of the tools that are already available is crucial. Good documentation minimises the need for your teams to go back and forth asking questions about your SaaS platform.




Figure 11: Invoke Authentication in the Routing Service




Figure 12: Some web apps are used as UIs to other components

7.2 Enable your fellow engineers

On top of the web UI management tools for RBAC and Web App Repository, you can develop a NodeJS / React template that includes the clients to RBAC and Authentication out of the box, to help minimise the work needed to add a Web App to your SaaS Platform. This makes it convenient for your engineers or yourself to create a new Web App out of this template without having to spend much effort integrating it to the platform.

7.3 Engineering design reviews

Badly written code can cost days to weeks of developer’s time, but bad architectural decisions can cost months to years in comparison. Engineering design reviews are probably more feasible for larger companies; however if you’re a developer on your own, it doesn’t hurt to get feedback from other engineers you know in your community. Spending a reasonable amount of time writing the engineering design document upfront and getting feedback during engineering design reviews will save you more time in the future. Validate software design assumptions and get other engineers to poke as many holes as possible to your intended software architecture (no matter how tempting it might be to take some shortcuts!).

References

- Sara Vendramini, Luana Belusso, Francisco Carlos Souza, and Alinne Souza. Towards comprise the design system applicability: a multivocal literature mapping. In *Proceedings of the XX Brazilian Symposium on Software Quality*, pages 1–10, 2021.
- Andrey Pavlenko, Nursultan Askarbekuly, Swati Megha, and Manuel Mazzara. Micro-frontends: application of microservices to web front-ends. *J. Internet Serv. Inf. Secur.*, 10(2):49–66, 2020.
- Ravi S Sandhu. Role-based access control. In *Advances in computers*, volume 46, pages 237–286. Elsevier, 1998.