

MANIN TRIPLES AND BIALGEBRAS OF LEFT-ALIA ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED TO INVARIANT THEORY

CHUANGCHUANG KANG, GUILAI LIU, ZHUO WANG, AND SHIZHUO YU

ABSTRACT. A left-Alia algebra is a vector space together with a bilinear map satisfying symmetric Jacobi identity. Motivated by invariant theory, we first construct a class of left-Alia algebras induced by twisted derivations. Then, we introduce the notion of Manin triples and bialgebras of left-Alia algebras. Via specific matched pairs of left-Alia algebras, we figure out the equivalence between Manin triples and bialgebras of left-Alia algebras.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Main Statements	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Left-Alia Algebras Associated with Invariant Theory	2
1.3. Manin Triples and Bialgebras of Left-Alia Algebras	3
2. Pseudo-Reflections and Twisted Deviations in Invariant Theory	4
2.1. Preliminary on Invariant Theory	4
2.2. Pseudo-Reflections Induced by Twisted Deviations	5
3. Left-Alia Algebras and Their Representations	6
3.1. Left-Alia Algebras and Twisted Derivations	6
3.2. Examples of Left-Alia Algebras	7
3.3. From Left-Alia Algebras to Anti-Pre-Lie Algebras	9
3.4. From Left-Alia Algebras to Lie Triple Systems	9
3.5. Representations and Matched Pairs of left-Alia Algebras	10
3.6. Quadratic Left-Alia Algebras	12
4. Manin Triples of Left-Alia Algebras and Left-Alia Bialgebras	14
4.1. Manin Triples of Left-Alia Algebras	14
4.2. Left-Alia Bialgebras	15
References	17

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN STATEMENTS

1.1. Introduction. Let G be a finite group and \mathbb{K} an algebraic closed field of characteristic zero. Suppose that V is an n -dimensional faithful representation of G and $S = \mathbb{K}[V] = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the coordinate ring of V .

The goal of invariant theory is to study the structures of the ring of invariants

$$S^G = \{f \in S : a \cdot f = f, \forall a \in G\},$$

*Corresponding Author: Guilai Liu. Email: 1120190007@mail.nankai.edu.cn.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17A36, 17A40, 17B10, 17B40, 17B60, 17B63, 17D25.

Key words and phrases. Left-Alia algebras, bialgebras, invariant theory.

in which the group action is extended from the representation of G (see Section 2.1 for more details). In particular, Hilbert proved that S^G is always a finite generated \mathbb{K} -algebra [17] and Chevalley [10], Shephard and Todd [27] proved that S^G is a polynomial algebra if and only if G is generated by pseudo-reflections (see Section 2.2 for precise definition).

Twisted derivations [14] (also named σ -derivations) play an important role in the study of deformations of Lie algebras. Motivated by the above Chevalley's Theorem, we apply pseudo-reflections to induce a class of twisted derivations on S (see Theorem 2.7 for more details). Based on twisted derivations on commutative associative algebras, we obtain a class of left-Alia (left anti-Lie-admissible) algebras [12], which appears in the study of a special class of algebras with a skew-symmetric identity of degree three. Furthermore, we construct Manin triples and bialgebras of left-Alia algebras. Via specific matched pairs of left-Alia algebras, we figure out the equivalence between Manin triples and bialgebras.

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, all vector spaces are finite-dimensional over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero and all \mathbb{K} -algebras are commutative and associative with the finite Krull dimension, although many results and notions remain valid in the infinite-dimensional case.

1.2. Left-Alia Algebras Associated with Invariant Theory. The notion of a left-Alia algebra was defined for the first time in the table in the Introduction of [12].

Definition 1.1 ([12]). A **left-Alia algebra** (also named a 0-Alia algebra) is a vector space A together with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the **symmetric Jacobi identity**:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = [[y, x], z] + [[z, y], x] + [[x, z], y], \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (1)$$

There are some typical examples of left-Alia algebras. Firstly, when the bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is skew-symmetric, $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra. By contrast, any commutative algebra is a left-Alia algebra and, in particular, a mock-Lie algebra [30] (also known as a Jacobi–Jordan algebra in [8]) with a symmetric bilinear map that satisfies the Jacobi identity is a left-Alia algebra. Secondly, the notion of an anti-pre-Lie algebra [22] was recently studied as a left-Alia algebra with an additional condition. Anti-pre-Lie algebras are the underlying algebra structures of nondegenerate commutative 2-cocycles [13] on Lie algebras and are characterized as Lie-admissible algebras whose negative multiplication operators compose representations of commutator Lie algebras. Condition (1) of the identities of an anti-pre-Lie algebra is just to guarantee $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie-admissible algebra. Additionally, we also studied left-Alia algebras in terms of their relationships with Leibniz algebras [24] and Lie triple systems [15].

Let (A, \cdot) be a commutative associative algebra and $R : A \rightarrow A$ a linear map on A . For brevity, the operation \cdot will be omitted. A linear map $D : A \rightarrow A$ is called a **twisted derivation** with respect to an R (also named a σ -derivation in [14]) if D satisfies the twisted Leibniz rule:

$$D(fg) = D(f)g + R(f)D(g), \quad f, g \in A. \quad (2)$$

Non-trivial examples of twisted derivations can be constructed in invariant theory. In particular, each pseudo-reflection R on a vector space V induces a twisted derivation D_R on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[V]$ (see Section 2.2 for details).

Define

$$[f, g]_R = D(f)g - R(f)D(g).$$

We then obtain a class of left-Alia algebras in Theorem A.

Theorem A (Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.4)

- (a) For each twisted derivation D on A , $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_R)$ is a left-Alia algebra.
- (b) Each pseudo-reflection R on V induces a left-Alia algebra $(\mathbb{K}[V], [\cdot, \cdot]_R)$.

This applies when $R = I$, $[f, g]_R$ is skew-symmetric and $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_R)$ is a Lie algebra of the Witt type [28]. Moreover, Theorem A also provides a class of left-Alia algebras on polynomial rings from invariant theory. As a corollary of Theorem A, we see that when S^G is a polynomial algebra, each generator $g \in G$ corresponds to a left-Alia algebra $(S, [\cdot, \cdot]_{R_g})$. The collection of left-Alia algebras is also an interesting research object for further study.

In addition, if we define that $[f, h] = D_R(f)h - fD_R(h)$ on S , $(S, [\cdot, \cdot])$, then it is not a left-Alia algebra in general. However, when $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is restricted to V^* , we obtain a finite-dimensional Lie algebra, which induces a linear Poisson structure on V , and figure out the entrance to the study of twisted relative Poisson structures on graded algebras. See [19] for reference.

1.3. Manin Triples and Bialgebras of Left-Alia Algebras. A bialgebra structure is a vector space equipped with both an algebra structure and a coalgebra structure satisfying certain compatible conditions. Some well-known examples of such structures include Lie bialgebras [9, 11], which are closely related to Poisson–Lie groups and play an important role in the infinitesimalization of quantum groups, and antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebras [1, 2, 3, 4, 26] as equivalent structures of double constructions of Frobenius algebras which are widely applied in the 2d topological field and string theory [20, 21]. Recently, the notion of anti-pre-Lie bialgebras was studied in [23], which serves as a preliminary to supply a reasonable bialgebra theory for transposed Poisson algebras [5]. The notions of mock-Lie bialgebras [6] and Leibniz bialgebras [25, 29] were also introduced with different motivations. These bialgebras have a common property in that they can be equivalently characterized by Manin triples which correspond to nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms on the algebra structures. In this paper, we follow such a procedure to study left-Alia bialgebras.

To develop the bialgebra theory of left-Alia algebras, we first define a representation of a left-Alia algebra to be a triple (l, r, V) , where V is a vector space and $l, r : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ are linear maps such that the following equation holds:

$$l([x, y])v - l([y, x])v = r(x)r(y)v - r(y)r(x)v + r(y)l(x)v - r(x)l(y)v, \quad \forall x, y \in A, v \in V.$$

A representation (ρ, V) of a Lie algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ renders representations $(\rho, -\rho, V)$ and $(\rho, 2\rho, V)$ of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ as left-Alia algebras.

Furthermore, we introduce the notion of a quadratic left-Alia algebra, defined as a left-Alia algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form \mathcal{B} which is invariant in the sense that

$$\mathcal{B}([x, y], z) = \mathcal{B}(x, [z, y] - [y, z]), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

A quadratic left-Alia algebra gives rise to the equivalence between the adjoint representation and the coadjoint representation.

Last, we introduce the notions of a matched pair (Definition 3.23) of left-Alia algebras, a Manin triple of left-Alia algebras (Definition 4.1) and a left-Alia bialgebra (Definition

$\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \omega)$, where $\omega \neq 1$ is an m -th primitive root over \mathbb{K} . Denote $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, the dual basis of V^* , such that $x_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Thus, the induced automorphism on V^* , defined by $R(x_i)(e_j) := x_i(R^{-1}(e_j))$, satisfies that $R(x_i) = x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $R(x_n) = (1/\omega)x_n$. Therefore, R is a pseudo-reflection on V^* . \square

2.2. Pseudo-Reflections Induced by Twisted Deviations. Let (A, \cdot) be a commutative associative algebra and $R : A \rightarrow A$ a linear map on A . Recall from [14] the definition of a twisted derivation (also named a σ -derivation).

Definition 2.5. A linear map $D : A \rightarrow A$ is called a **twisted derivation** with respect to R if D satisfies the twisted Leibniz rule:

$$D(fg) = D(f)g + R(f)D(g), \quad f, g \in A. \quad (4)$$

Remark 2.6. When $R = I$, D is a derivation on A .

Recall from Section 2.1 that for a fixed non-zero $v_R \in \text{Im}(I - R) \subset V$, there exists a $\Delta_R \in V^*$ such that

$$(I - R)v = \Delta_R(v)v_R, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 2.4, for a fixed non-zero $l_R \in \text{Im}(I - R) \subset V^*$, there also exists a $\Delta_R \in V$ such that

$$(I - R)x = \Delta_R(x)l_R, \quad \forall x \in V^*. \quad (6)$$

Also, denote $R : S \rightarrow S$ as an extension of $R \in \text{Aut}(V)$ satisfying

$$R(k_1f + k_2h) = k_1R(f) + k_2R(h) \text{ and } R(fh) = R(f)R(h).$$

Theorem 2.7. *For each $f \in S$, there exists a twisted derivation $D_R : S \rightarrow S$ with respect to R such that*

$$R(f) = f - D_R(f)l_R. \quad (7)$$

Proof. First, we prove that $R(f)$ can be uniquely written as $R(f) = f - D_R(f)l_R$ for some $D_R : S \rightarrow S$. It follows from (6) that, for $1 \leq a_i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} R(x_{a_1} \dots x_{a_k}) &= (Rx_{a_1}) \dots (Rx_{a_k}) \\ &= (x_{a_1} - \Delta_R(x_{a_1})l_R) \dots (x_{a_k} - \Delta_R(x_{a_k})l_R) \end{aligned}$$

which can be expressed as

$$x_{a_1} \dots x_{a_k} - D_R(x_{a_1} \dots x_{a_k})l_R,$$

where D_R maps the monomial to a polynomial in S . As a consequence, $R(f)$ can be written as

$$R(f) = f - D_R(f)l_R, \quad (8)$$

where $D_R : S \rightarrow S$ is a linear map. Then, we prove that D_R is a twisted derivation on S with respect to R . On the one hand,

$$R(fh) = fh - D_R(fh)l_R.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} R(f)R(h) &= R(f)(h - D_R(h)l_R) = (f - D_R(f)l_R)h - R(f)D_R(h)l_R \\ &= fh - (D_R(f)h + R(f)D_R(h))l_R. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $R(fh) = D_R(f)h + R(f)D_R(h)$. \square

Remark 2.8. When restricting D_R to V^* , $D_R = \Delta_R$ on V^* . When restricting $(I - D_R)$ to V^* , $(I - D_R)$ is a pseudo-reflection on V^* .

3. LEFT-ALIA ALGEBRAS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

3.1. Left-Alia Algebras and Twisted Derivations.

Definition 3.1 ([12]). A **left-Alia algebra** is a vector space A together with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : A \times A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the symmetric Jacobi property:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = [[y, x], z] + [[z, y], x] + [[x, z], y], \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

Remark 3.2. A left-Alia algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra if and only if the bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is skew-symmetric. On the other hand, any commutative algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ in the sense that $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is symmetric is a left-Alia algebra.

We can obtain a class of left-Alia algebras from twisted derivations.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $D : A \rightarrow A$ be a twisted derivation of the commutative associative algebra (A, \cdot) .*

Then, D satisfies

$$xD(y) - D(x)y = R(x)D(y) - D(x)R(y), \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (9)$$

Proof. By the commutative property of (A, \cdot) and (4), we have

$$D(xy) - D(yx) = D(x)y + R(x)D(y) - D(y)x - R(y)D(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, (9) holds. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let (A, \cdot) be a commutative associative algebra and D be a twisted derivation. For all $x, y \in A$, define the bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]_R : A \times A \rightarrow A$ by*

$$[x, y]_R := [x, y]_D = xD(y) - R(y)D(x). \quad (10)$$

Then, $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_R)$ is a left-Alia algebra.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in A$. By (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y]_R - [y, x]_R &= xD(y) - R(y)D(x) - yD(x) + R(x)D(y) \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{=} 2(xD(y) - yD(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D(xD(y) - yD(x)) &\stackrel{(4)}{=} D(x)D(y) - R(y)D^2(x) - D(y)D(x) + R(x)D^2(y) \\ &= R(x)D^2(y) - R(y)D^2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} [[x, y]_R - [y, x]_R, z]_R \\ &= \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} 2[xD(y) - yD(x), z]_R \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{=} \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} 2(xD(y)D(z) - yD(x)D(z) - R(x)D^2(y)R(z) + R(y)D^2(x)R(z)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the conclusion holds. \square

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.4 can also be verified in the following way. Let (A, \cdot) be a commutative associative algebra with linear maps $f, g : A \rightarrow A$. By [12], there is a left-Alia algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ given by

$$[x, y] = x \cdot f(y) + g(x \cdot y), \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad (11)$$

which is called a **special left-Alia algebra** with respect to (A, \cdot, f, g) . If D is a twisted derivation of (A, \cdot) with respect to R , then we see that $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_R)$ satisfies (11) for

$$f = 2D, g = -D.$$

Hence, $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_R)$ is left-Alia.

3.2. Examples of Left-Alia Algebras.

Example 3.6. Let R be a reflection defined by $R(x_1) = x_2, R(x_2) = x_1, R(x_3) = x_3$ on three-dimensional vector space V^* with a basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. On the coordinate ring $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ of V , R can be also denoted an extension of R satisfying $R(fg) = R(f)R(g)$ and $R(k_1f + k_2h) = k_1R(f) + k_2R(h)$. Let D be the twisted derivation on S induced by the reflection R . It follows from Theorem 2.7 that $R(f) = f - D(f)(x_1 - x_2)$. Take two polynomials, $f = \sum_i k_i f_i, g = \sum_j h_j g_j, k_i, h_j \in \mathbb{K}$, in S , where f_i, g_j are monomials, $f_i = x_1^{n_{i,1}} x_2^{n_{i,2}} x_3^{n_{i,3}}, g_j = x_1^{m_{j,1}} x_2^{m_{j,2}} x_3^{m_{j,3}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_1) &= 1, D(x_2) = -1, D(x_3) = 0, \\ D(x_1^{n_1}) &= x_1^{n_1-1} + x_1^{n_1-2} x_2 + \cdots + x_2^{n_1-1}, \\ D(x_2^{n_2}) &= -x_1^{n_2-1} - x_1^{n_2-2} x_2 - \cdots - x_2^{n_2-1}, \\ D(x_3^{n_3}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\sum_i k_i x_1^{n_{i,1}} x_2^{n_{i,2}} x_3^{n_{i,3}}\right) &= \sum_i k_i (D(x_1^{n_{i,1}} x_2^{n_{i,2}}) x_3^{n_{i,3}} + R(x_1^{n_{i,1}} x_2^{n_{i,2}}) D(x_3^{n_{i,3}})) \\ &= \sum_i k_i (x_1^{n_{i,1}} D(x_2^{n_{i,2}}) + R(x_2^{n_{i,2}}) D(x_1^{n_{i,1}})) x_3^{n_{i,3}} \\ &= \sum_i k_i (x_1^{n_{i,1}+n_{i,2}-1} + x_1^{n_{i,1}+n_{i,2}-2} x_2 + \cdots + x_1^{n_{i,2}} x_2^{n_{i,1}-1} \\ &\quad - x_1^{n_{i,1}+n_{i,2}-1} - \cdots - x_1^{n_{i,1}} x_2^{n_{i,2}-1}) x_3^{n_{i,3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $[\cdot, \cdot]_R : S \times S \rightarrow S$ be the bilinear map defined in Theorem 3.4. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [f, g]_R &= \sum_{i,j} k_i h_j [f_i, g_j]_R \\ &= \sum_{i,j} k_i h_j (f_i D(g_j) - R(g_j) D(f_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} k_i h_j (x_1^{n_{i,1}+m_{j,1}+m_{j,2}-1} x_2^{n_{i,2}} + \cdots + x_1^{n_{i,1}+m_{j,2}} x_2^{n_{i,2}+m_{j,1}-1} \\ &\quad - x_1^{n_{i,1}+m_{j,1}+m_{j,2}-1} x_2^{n_{i,2}} - \cdots - x_1^{m_{j,1}+n_{i,1}} x_2^{n_{i,2}+m_{j,2}-1} \\ &\quad - x_1^{m_{j,2}+n_{i,1}+n_{i,2}-1} x_2^{m_{j,1}} - \cdots - x_1^{n_{i,2}+m_{j,2}} x_2^{n_{i,1}+m_{j,1}-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ x_1^{m_j, 2+n_i, 1+n_i, 2-1} x_2^{m_j, 1} + \cdots + x_1^{n_i, 1+m_j, 2} x_2^{n_i, 2+m_j, 1-1} x_3^{m_j, 3+n_i, 3}.$$

Since (S, \cdot) is a commutative associative algebra, by Theorem 3.4 $(S, [\cdot, \cdot]_R)$ is a left-Alia algebra.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be an n -dimensional ($n \geq 2$) left-Alia algebra and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of A . For all positive integers $1 \leq i, j, t \leq n$ and structural constants $C_{ij}^t \in \mathbb{C}$, set*

$$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_{t=1}^n C_{ij}^t e_t. \quad (12)$$

Then, $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a left-Alia algebra if and only if the structural constants C_{ij}^t satisfy the following equation:

$$\sum_{k,m=1}^n ((C_{ij}^k - C_{ji}^k) C_{kl}^m + (C_{jl}^k - C_{lj}^k) C_{ki}^m + (C_{li}^k - C_{il}^k) C_{kj}^m) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j, l \leq n. \quad (13)$$

Proof. By (1), for all $e_i, e_j, e_l \in \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$,

$$[[e_i, e_j] - [e_j, e_i], e_l] + [[e_j, e_l] - [e_l, e_j], e_i] + [[e_l, e_i] - [e_i, e_l], e_j] = 0. \quad (14)$$

Set

$$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n C_{ij}^k e_k, \quad [e_j, e_l] = \sum_{k=1}^n C_{jl}^k e_k, \quad [e_l, e_i] = \sum_{k=1}^n C_{li}^k e_k, \quad C_{ij}^k, C_{jl}^k, C_{li}^k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore, Equation (13) holds. \square

As a direct consequence, we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.8. *Let A be a two-dimensional vector space over the complex field \mathbb{C} with a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Then, for any bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on A , $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a left-Alia algebra.*

Next, we give some example of three-dimensional left-Alia algebras.

Example 3.9. Let A be a three-dimensional vector space over the complex field \mathbb{C} with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. Define a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : A \times A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= e_1, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_2, e_1] = e_2, \quad [e_3, e_1] = e_3, \\ [e_1, e_1] &= [e_2, e_2] = [e_3, e_3] = [e_2, e_3] = [e_3, e_2] = e_1 + e_2 + e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a three-dimensional left-Alia algebra.

Remark 3.10. A right-Leibniz algebra [24] is a vector space A together with a bilinear operation $[\cdot, \cdot] : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$[[x, y], z] = [[x, z], y] + [x, [y, z]], \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

Then, we have

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = [[x, y] - [y, x], z] + [[y, z] - [z, y], x] + [[z, x] - [x, z], y].$$

Therefore, if a right-Leibniz algebra satisfies

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0,$$

then $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a left-Alia algebra.

3.3. From Left-Alia Algebras to Anti-Pre-Lie Algebras.

Definition 3.11 ([22]). Let A be a vector space with a bilinear map $\cdot : A \times A \rightarrow A$. (A, \cdot) is called an **anti-pre-Lie algebra** if the following equations are satisfied:

$$x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z) = [y, x] \cdot z, \quad (15)$$

$$[x, y] \cdot z + [y, z] \cdot x + [z, x] \cdot y = 0, \quad (16)$$

where

$$[x, y] = x \cdot y - y \cdot x, \quad (17)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Remark 3.12. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a left-Alia algebra. If $[\cdot, \cdot] : A \times A \rightarrow A$ satisfies

$$[x, [y, z]] - [y, [x, z]] = [[y, x], z] - [[x, y], z], \quad \forall x, y, z \in A, \quad (18)$$

then $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is an anti-pre-Lie algebra.

3.4. From Left-Alia Algebras to Lie Triple Systems. Lie triple systems originated from Cartan's studies on the Riemannian geometry of totally geodesic submanifolds [15], which can be constructed using twisted derivations and left-Alia algebras.

Definition 3.13 ([16]). A **Lie triple system** is a vector space A together with a trilinear operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot] : A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$ such that the following three equations are satisfied, for all x, y, z, a, b in A :

$$[x, x, y] = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$[x, y, z] + [y, z, x] + [z, x, y] = 0 \quad (20)$$

and

$$[a, b, [x, y, z]] = [[a, b, x], y, z] + [x, [a, b, y], z] + [x, y, [a, b, z]]. \quad (21)$$

Proposition 3.14. Let (A, \cdot) be a commutative associative algebra and D be a twisted derivation.

Define the bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]_R : A \times A \rightarrow A$ by (10). And define the trilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_R : A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$ by

$$[x, y, z]_R := \frac{1}{2}[[x, y]_R - [y, x]_R, z]_R, \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

If $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_R$ satisfies (21), then $(A, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_R)$ is a Lie triple system.

Proof. For all $x, y \in A$, it is obvious that $[x, x, y]_R = 0$. By the proof of Theorem 3.4, Equation (20) holds. If, in addition, $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_R$ satisfies (21), then $(A, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_R)$ is a Lie triple system. \square

Remark 3.15. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a left-Alia algebra. For all $x, y, z \in A$, set a trilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot] : A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$ by $[x, y, z] = [[x, y] - [y, x], z]$. If $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ satisfies (21), then $(A, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie triple system.

3.5. Representations and Matched Pairs of left-Alia Algebras.

Definition 3.16. A **representation** of a left-Alia algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a triple (l, r, V) , where V is a vector space and $l, r : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ are linear maps such that the following equation holds:

$$l([x, y]) - l([y, x]) = r(x)r(y)v - r(y)r(x)v + r(y)l(x)v - r(x)l(y)v, \quad \forall x, y \in A, v \in V. \quad (22)$$

Two representations, (l, r, V) and (l', r', V') , of a left-Alia algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ are called **equivalent** if there is a linear isomorphism $\phi : V \rightarrow V'$ such that

$$\phi(l(x)v) = l'(x)\phi(v), \quad \phi(r(x)v) = r'(x)\phi(v), \quad \forall x \in A, v \in V. \quad (23)$$

Example 3.17. Let (ρ, V) be a representation of a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$, that is, $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a linear map such that

$$\rho([x, y])v = \rho(x)\rho(y)v - \rho(y)\rho(x)v, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V.$$

Then, both $(\rho, -\rho, V)$ and $(\rho, 2\rho, V)$ satisfy (22) and, hence, are representations of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ as a left-Alia algebra.

Proposition 3.18. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a left-Alia algebra, V be a vector space and $l, r : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be linear maps. Then, (l, r, V) is a representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ if and only if there is a left-Alia algebra on the direct sum $d = A \oplus V$ of vector spaces (**the semi-direct product**) given by

$$[x + u, y + v]_d = [x, y] + l(x)v + r(y)u, \quad \forall x, y \in A, u, v \in V. \quad (24)$$

In this case, we denote $(A \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot]_d) = A \ltimes_{l,r} V$.

Proof. This is the special case of matched pairs of left-Alia algebras where $B = V$ is equipped with the zero multiplication in Proposition 3.24. \square

For a vector space A with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : A \times A \rightarrow A$, we set linear maps $\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}, \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]} : A \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ using

$$\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(x)y = [x, y] = \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(y)x, \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

Example 3.19. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a left-Alia algebra. Then, $(\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}, \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}, A)$ is a representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$, which is called an **adjoint representation**. In particular, for a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ with the adjoint representation $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $\text{ad}(x)y = [x, y]$, $\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}, \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}, \mathfrak{g}) = (\text{ad}, -\text{ad}, \mathfrak{g})$$

is a representation of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ as a left-Alia algebra.

Let A and V be vector spaces. For a linear map $l : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$, we set a linear map $l^* : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V^*)$ using

$$\langle l^*(x)u^*, v \rangle = -\langle u^*, l(x)v \rangle, \quad \forall x \in A, u^* \in V^*, v \in V.$$

Proposition 3.20. Let (l, r, V) be a representation of a left-Alia algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Then, $(l^*, l^* - r^*, V^*)$ is also a representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$. In particular, $(\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*, A^*)$ is a representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$, which is called the **coadjoint representation**.

Proof. Let $x, y \in A, u^* \in V^*, v \in V$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (l^*[x, y] - l^*[y, x] + (l^* - r^*)(x)l^*(y) - (l^* - r^*)(x)(l^* - r^*)(y) \\ & + (l^* - r^*)(y)(l^* - r^*)(x) - (l^* - r^*)(y)l^*(x))u^*, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \langle (l^*[x, y] - l^*[y, x] + (l^* - r^*)(x)r^*(y) - (l^* - r^*)(y)r^*(x))u^*, v \rangle \\
 &= \langle u^*, (l[y, x] - l[x, y] + r(y)(l - r)(x) - r(x)(l - r)(y))v \rangle \\
 &\stackrel{(22)}{=} 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion follows. \square

Example 3.21. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebra. Then, the coadjoint representation of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ as a left-Alia algebra is

$$(\text{ad}^*, \text{ad}^* - (-\text{ad}^*), \mathfrak{g}^*) = (\text{ad}^*, 2\text{ad}^*, \mathfrak{g}^*).$$

Hence, there is a left-Alia algebra structure $\mathfrak{g} \times_{\text{ad}^*, 2\text{ad}^*} \mathfrak{g}^*$ on the direct sum $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ of vector spaces.

Remark 3.22. In [12], there is also the notion of a **right-Alia algebra**, defined as a vector space A together with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]': A \times A \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$[x, [y, z]'] + [y, [z, x]'] + [z, [x, y]'] = [x, [z, y]'] + [y, [x, z]'] + [z, [y, x]'], \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (25)$$

It is clear that $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]')$ is a right-Alia algebra if and only if the opposite algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]')$, given by $[x, y] = [y, x]'$, is a left-Alia algebra. Thus, our study on left-Alia algebras can straightforwardly generalize a parallel study on right-Alia algebras. Consequently, if (l, r, V) is a representation of a right-Alia algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]')$, then $(r^* - l^*, r^*, V^*)$ is also a representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Recall [23] that if (l, r, V) is a representation of an anti-pre-Lie algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{anti}})$, then $(r^* - l^*, r^*, V^*)$ is also a representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{anti}})$. Moreover, admissible Novikov algebras [22] are a subclass of anti-pre-Lie algebras. If (l, r, V) is a representation of an admissible Novikov algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{admissible Novikov}})$, then $(r^* - l^*, r^*, V^*)$ is also a representation of the admissible Novikov algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{admissible Novikov}})$. Therefore, we have the following algebras which preserve the form $(r^* - l^*, r^*, V^*)$ of representations on the dual spaces:

$$\{\text{right-Alia algebras}\} \supset \{\text{anti-pre-Lie algebras}\} \supset \{\text{admissible Novikov algebras}\}.$$

Now, we introduce the notion of matched pairs of left-Alia algebras.

Definition 3.23. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ and $(B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B)$ be left-Alia algebras and $l_A, r_A : A \rightarrow \text{End}(B)$ and $l_B, r_B : B \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ be linear maps. If there is a left-Alia algebra structure $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus B}$ on the direct sum $A \oplus B$ of vector spaces given by

$$[x + a, y + b]_{A \oplus B} = [x, y]_A + l_B(a)y + r_B(b)x + [a, b]_B + l_A(x)b + r_A(y)a, \quad \forall x, y \in A, a, b \in B,$$

then we say $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A), (B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B), l_A, r_A, l_B, r_B)$ is a **matched pair of left-Alia algebras**.

Proposition 3.24. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ and $(B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B)$ be left-Alia algebras and $l_A, r_A : A \rightarrow \text{End}(B)$ and $l_B, r_B : B \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ be linear maps. Then, $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A), (B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B), l_A, r_A, l_B, r_B)$ is a matched pair of left-Alia algebras if and only if the triple (l_A, r_A, B) is a representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$, the triple (l_B, r_B, A) is a representation of $(B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B)$ and the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_B(a)([x, y]_A - [y, x]_A) &= (l_B - r_B)(a)[y, x]_A + (r_B - l_B)(a)[x, y]_A \\
 &\quad + l_B((r_A - l_A)(y)a)x + l_B((l_A - r_A)(x)a)y, \\
 r_A(x)([a, b]_B - [b, a]_B) &= (l_A - r_A)(x)[b, a]_B + (r_A - l_A)(x)[a, b]_B
 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$+ l_A((r_B - l_B)(b)x)a + l_A((l_B - r_B)(a)x)b, \quad (27)$$

for all $x, y \in A, a, b \in B$.

Proof. The proof follows from a straightforward computation. \square

3.6. Quadratic Left-Alia Algebras.

Definition 3.25. A **quadratic left-Alia algebra** is a triple $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \mathcal{B})$, where $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a left-Alia algebra and \mathcal{B} is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on A which is invariant in the sense that

$$\mathcal{B}([x, y], z) = \mathcal{B}(x, [z, y] - [y, z]), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (28)$$

Remark 3.26. Since \mathcal{B} is symmetric, it follows from Definition 3.25 that

$$\mathcal{B}([x, y], z) + \mathcal{B}(y, [x, z]) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (29)$$

Lemma 3.27. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \mathcal{B})$ be a quadratic left-Alia algebra. Then, $(\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}, \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}, A)$ and $(\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*, A^*)$ are equivalent as representations of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$.

Proof. We set a linear isomorphism $\mathcal{B}^\natural : A \rightarrow A^*$ using

$$\langle \mathcal{B}^\natural(x), y \rangle = \mathcal{B}(x, y). \quad (30)$$

Then, by (29) we have

$$\langle \mathcal{B}^\natural(\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(x)y), z \rangle = \mathcal{B}([x, y], z) = -\mathcal{B}(y, [x, z]) = -\langle \mathcal{B}^\natural(y), [x, z] \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*(x)\mathcal{B}^\natural(y), z \rangle,$$

that is, $\mathcal{B}^\natural(\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(x)y) = \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*(x)\mathcal{B}^\natural(y)$. Similarly, by (28), we have $\mathcal{B}^\natural(\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(x)y) = (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*)(x)\mathcal{B}^\natural(y)$. Hence, the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 3.28. Let (A, \cdot) be a commutative associative algebra and $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a linear map. Let \mathcal{B} be a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on (A, \cdot) and $\hat{f} : A \rightarrow A$ be the adjoint map of f with respect to \mathcal{B} , given by

$$\mathcal{B}(\hat{f}(x), y) = \mathcal{B}(x, f(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

Then, there is a quadratic left-Alia algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \mathcal{B})$, where $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is the special left-Alia algebra with respect to $(A, \cdot, f, -\hat{f})$, that is,

$$[x, y] = x \cdot f(y) - \hat{f}(x \cdot y). \quad (31)$$

Proof. For all $x, y, z \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}([x, y], z) &= \mathcal{B}(x \cdot f(y) - \hat{f}(x \cdot y), z) \\ &= \mathcal{B}(x, z \cdot f(y) - y \cdot f(z)) \\ &= \mathcal{B}\left(x, z \cdot f(y) - \hat{f}(z \cdot y) - (y \cdot f(z) - \hat{f}(y \cdot z))\right) \\ &= \mathcal{B}(x, [z, y] - [y, z]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion follows. \square

Example 3.29. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a left-Alia algebra and $(\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}, \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}, A)$ be the adjoint representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$. By Propositions 3.18 and 3.20, there is a left-Alia algebra $A \times_{\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*} A^*$ on $d = A \oplus A^*$, given by (24). There is a natural nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form \mathcal{B}_d on $A \oplus A^*$, given by

$$\mathcal{B}_d(x + a^*, y + b^*) = \langle x, b^* \rangle + \langle a^*, y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*. \quad (32)$$

For all $x, y, z \in A, a^*, b^*, c^* \in A^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_d([x + a^*, y + b^*]_d, z + c^*) &= \mathcal{B}_d([x, y] + \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*(x)b^* + (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*)(y)a^*, z + c^*) \\ &= \langle [x, y], c^* \rangle + \langle \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*(x)b^* + (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*)(y)a^*, z \rangle \\ &= \langle [x, y], c^* \rangle - \langle [x, z], b^* \rangle + \langle a^*, [z, y] - [y, z] \rangle, \\ \mathcal{B}_d(x + a^*, [z + c^*, x + b^*]_d) &= \langle [z, y], a^* \rangle - \langle [z, x], b^* \rangle + \langle c^*, [y, x] - [x, y] \rangle, \\ \mathcal{B}_d(x + a^*, [y + b^*, z + c^*]_d) &= \langle [y, z], a^* \rangle - \langle [y, x], c^* \rangle + \langle b^*, [z, x] - [x, z] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_d([x + a^*, y + b^*]_d, z + c^*) = \mathcal{B}_d(x + a^*, [z + c^*, y + b^*]_d - [y + b^*, z + c^*]_d),$$

and, thus, $(A \times_{\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*} A^*, \mathcal{B}_d)$ is a quadratic left-Alia algebra.

Remark 3.30. By Example 3.29, an arbitrary Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ renders a quadratic left-Alia algebra $(\mathfrak{g} \times_{\text{ad}^*, 2\text{ad}^*} \mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{B}_d)$, where $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the adjoint representation of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$.

We study the tensor forms of nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear forms on left-Alia algebras.

Definition 3.31. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a left-Alia algebra and $h : A \rightarrow \text{End}(A \otimes A)$ be a linear map given by

$$h(x) = (\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]} - \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]})(x) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(x), \quad \forall x \in A. \quad (33)$$

An element $r \in A \otimes A$ is called **invariant** on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ if $h(x)r = 0$ for all $x \in A$.

Proposition 3.32. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a left-Alia algebra. Suppose that \mathcal{B} is a nondegenerate bilinear form on A and $\mathcal{B}^\natural : A \rightarrow A^*$ is the corresponding map given by (30). Set $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \in A \otimes A$ using

$$\langle \tilde{\mathcal{B}}, a^* \otimes b^* \rangle = \langle \mathcal{B}^{\natural^{-1}}(a^*), b^* \rangle, \quad \forall a^*, b^* \in A^*. \quad (34)$$

Then, $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \mathcal{B})$ is a quadratic left-Alia algebra if and only if $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is symmetric and invariant on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$.

Proof. It is clear that \mathcal{B} is symmetric if and only if $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is symmetric. Let $x, y, z \in A$ and $a^* = \mathcal{B}^\natural(x), c^* = \mathcal{B}^\natural(z)$. Under the symmetric assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}([x, y], z) &= \langle [x, y], \mathcal{B}^\natural(z) \rangle = \langle [\mathcal{B}^{\natural^{-1}}(a^*), y], c^* \rangle \\ &= -\langle \mathcal{B}^{\natural^{-1}}(a^*), \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*(y)c^* \rangle = -\langle \tilde{\mathcal{B}}, a^* \otimes \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*(y)c^* \rangle = \langle (\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(y))\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, a^* \otimes c^* \rangle, \\ \mathcal{B}(x, [z, y] - [y, z]) &= \langle \mathcal{B}^\natural(x), [z, y] - [y, z] \rangle = \langle a^*, [\mathcal{B}^{\natural^{-1}}(c^*), y] - [y, \mathcal{B}^{\natural^{-1}}(c^*)] \rangle \\ &= \langle (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*)(y)a^*, \mathcal{B}^{\natural^{-1}}(c^*) \rangle = \langle \tilde{\mathcal{B}}, c^* \otimes (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^*)(y)a^* \rangle \\ &= \langle ((\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]} - \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]})(y) \otimes \text{id})\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, a^* \otimes c^* \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

that is, (28) holds if and only if $h(y)\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = 0$ for all $y \in A$. Hence, the conclusion follows. \square

4. MANIN TRIPLES OF LEFT-ALIA ALGEBRAS AND LEFT-ALIA BIALGEBRAS

In this section, we introduce the notions of Manin triples of left-Alia algebras and left-Alia bialgebras. We show that they are equivalent structures via specific matched pairs of left-Alia algebras.

4.1. Manin Triples of Left-Alia Algebras.

Definition 4.1. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ and $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*})$ be left-Alia algebras. Assume that there is a left-Alia algebra structure $(d = A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_d)$ on $A \oplus A^*$ which contains $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ and $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*})$ as left-Alia subalgebras. Suppose that the natural nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form \mathcal{B}_d , given by (32), is invariant on $(A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_d)$, that is, $(A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_d, \mathcal{B}_d)$ is a quadratic left-Alia algebra. Then, we say that $((A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_d, \mathcal{B}_d), A, A^*)$ is a **Manin triple of left-Alia algebras**.

Recall [4] that a **double construction of commutative Frobenius algebras** $((A \oplus A^*, \cdot_d, \mathcal{B}_d), A, A^*)$ is a commutative associative algebra $(A \oplus A^*, \cdot_d)$ containing (A, \cdot_A) and (A^*, \cdot_{A^*}) as commutative associative subalgebras, such that the natural nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form \mathcal{B}_d given by (32) is invariant on $(A \oplus A^*, \cdot_d)$. Now, we show that double constructions of commutative Frobenius algebras with linear maps naturally give rise to Manin triples of left-Alia algebras.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $((A \oplus A^*, \cdot_d, \mathcal{B}_d), A, A^*)$ be a double construction of commutative Frobenius algebras. Suppose that $P : A \rightarrow A$ and $Q^* : A^* \rightarrow A^*$ are linear maps. Then, there is a Manin triple of left-Alia algebras $((A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_d, \mathcal{B}_d), A, A^*)$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned} [x + a^*, y + b^*]_d &= (x + a^*) \cdot_d (P(y) + Q^*(b^*)) - (Q + P^*)((x + a^*) \cdot_d (y + b^*)), \\ [x, y]_A &= x \cdot_A P(y) - Q(x \cdot_A y), \quad [a^*, b^*]_{A^*} = a^* \cdot_{A^*} Q^*(b^*) - P^*(a^* \cdot_{A^*} b^*), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*$.

Proof. The adjoint map of $P + Q^*$ with respect to \mathcal{B}_d is $Q + P^*$. Hence, the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.28 by taking $f = P + Q^*$. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ and $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*})$ be left-Alia algebras. Then, there is a Manin triple of left-Alia algebras $((A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_d, \mathcal{B}_d), A, A^*)$ if and only if*

$$((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}), \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)$$

is a matched pair of left-Alia algebras.

Proof. Let $((A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_d, \mathcal{B}_d), A, A^*)$ be a Manin triple of left-Alia algebras. For all $x, y \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_d([x, b^*]_d, y) &\stackrel{(28)}{=} -\mathcal{B}(b^*, [x, y]_A) = -\langle b^*, [x, y]_A \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(x)b^*, y \rangle = \mathcal{B}_d(\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(x)b^*, y), \\ \mathcal{B}_d([x, b^*]_d, a^*) &\stackrel{(28)}{=} \mathcal{B}_d(x, [a^*, b^*]_{A^*} - [b^*, a^*]_{A^*}) = \langle x, [a^*, b^*]_{A^*} - [b^*, a^*]_{A^*} \rangle \\ &= \langle (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)(b^*)x, a^* \rangle = \mathcal{B}_d((\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)(b^*)x, a^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{B}_d([x, b^*]_d, y + a^*) = \mathcal{B}_d((\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)(b^*)x + \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(x)b^*, y + a^*)$$

and, by the nondegeneracy of \mathcal{B}_d , we have

$$[x, b^*]_d = (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)(b^*)x + \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(x)b^*.$$

Similarly,

$$[y, a^*]_d = (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*)(y)a^* + \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*(a^*)y.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x + a^*, y + b^*]_d &= [x, y]_A + \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*(a^*)y + (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)(b^*)x \\ &\quad + [a^*, b^*]_{A^*} + \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(x)b^* + (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*)(y)a^*. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Hence, $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}), \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of left-Alia algebras.

Conversely, if $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}), \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of left-Alia algebras, then it is straightforward to check that \mathcal{B}_d is invariant on the left-Alia algebra $(A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_d)$ given by (35). \square

4.2. Left-Alia Bialgebras.

Definition 4.4. A **left-Alia coalgebra** is a pair, (A, δ) , such that A is a vector space and $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is a co-multiplication satisfying

$$(\text{id}^{\otimes 3} + \xi + \xi^2)(\tau \otimes \text{id} - \text{id}^{\otimes 3})(\delta \otimes \text{id})\delta = 0, \quad (36)$$

where $\tau(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$ and $\xi(x \otimes y \otimes z) = y \otimes z \otimes x$ for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let A be a vector space and $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be a co-multiplication. Let $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*} : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be the linear dual of δ , that is,*

$$\langle [a^*, b^*]_{A^*}, x \rangle = \langle \delta^*(a^* \otimes b^*), x \rangle = \langle a^* \otimes b^*, \delta(x) \rangle, \quad \forall a^*, b^* \in A^*, x \in A. \quad (37)$$

Then, (A, δ) is a left-Alia coalgebra if and only if $(A^, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*})$ is a left-Alia algebra.*

Proof. For all $x \in A, a^*, b^*, c^* \in A^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [[a^*, b^*]_{A^*}, c^*]_{A^*} - [[b^*, a^*]_{A^*}, c^*]_{A^*}, x \rangle &= \langle \delta^*(\delta^* \otimes \text{id})(\text{id}^{\otimes 3} - \tau \otimes \text{id})a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, x \rangle \\ &= \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, (\text{id}^{\otimes 3} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(\delta \otimes \text{id})\delta(x) \rangle, \\ \langle [[b^*, c^*]_{A^*}, a^*]_{A^*} - [[c^*, b^*]_{A^*}, a^*]_{A^*}, x \rangle &= \langle b^* \otimes c^* \otimes a^*, (\text{id}^{\otimes 3} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(\delta \otimes \text{id})\delta(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, \xi^2(\text{id}^{\otimes 3} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(\delta \otimes \text{id})\delta(x) \rangle, \\ \langle [[c^*, a^*]_{A^*}, b^*]_{A^*} - [[a^*, c^*]_{A^*}, b^*]_{A^*}, x \rangle &= \langle c^* \otimes a^* \otimes b^*, (\text{id}^{\otimes 3} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(\delta \otimes \text{id})\delta(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^*, \xi(\text{id}^{\otimes 3} - \tau \otimes \text{id})(\delta \otimes \text{id})\delta(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (1) holds for $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*})$ if and only if (36) holds. \square

Definition 4.6. A **left-Alia bialgebra** is a triple $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \delta)$, such that $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a left-Alia algebra, (A, δ) is a left-Alia coalgebra and the following equation holds:

$$(\tau - \text{id}^2)(\delta([x, y] - [y, x]) + (\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(x) \otimes \text{id})\delta(y) - (\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]}(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta(x)) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (38)$$

Theorem 4.7. *Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ be a left-Alia algebra. Suppose that there is a left-Alia algebra structure $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*})$ on the dual space A^* , and $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is the linear dual of $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$. Then, $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}), \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of left-Alia algebras if and only if $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \delta)$ is a left-Alia bialgebra.*

Proof. For all $x, y \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (\mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)(a^*)([x, y]_A - [y, x]_A), b^* \rangle &= \langle [x, y]_A - [y, x]_A, [b^*, a^*]_{A^*} - [a^*, b^*]_{A^*} \rangle \\
&= \langle (\tau - \text{id}^{\otimes 2})\delta([x, y]_A - [y, x]_A), a^* \otimes b^* \rangle, \\
\langle [\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*(a^*)y, x]_A, b^* \rangle &= -\langle \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*(a^*)y, \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(x)b^* \rangle \\
&= \langle y, [\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(x)b^*, a^*]_{A^*} \rangle \\
&= -\langle (\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}(x) \otimes \text{id})\delta(y), b^* \otimes a^* \rangle \\
&= -\langle \tau(\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}(x) \otimes \text{id})\delta(y), a^* \otimes b^* \rangle, \\
-\langle [\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*(a^*)y, x]_A, b^* \rangle &= \langle \tau(\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta(x), a^* \otimes b^* \rangle, \\
-\langle \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*(\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(y)a^*)x, b^* \rangle &= \langle x, [\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(y)a^*, b^*]_{A^*} \rangle \\
&= -\langle (\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}(y) \otimes \text{id})\delta(x), a^* \otimes b^* \rangle, \\
\langle \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*(\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*(x)a^*)y, b^* \rangle &= \langle (\mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}(x) \otimes \text{id})\delta(y), a^* \otimes b^* \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (38) holds if and only if (26) holds for $l_A = \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*$, $r_A = \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*$, $l_B = \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*$, $r_B = \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*$. Similarly, (38) holds if and only if (27) holds for $l_A = \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*$, $r_A = \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*$, $l_B = \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*$, $r_B = \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*$. Hence, the conclusion follows. \square

Summarizing Theorems 4.3 and 4.7, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.8. *Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ be a left-Alia algebra. Suppose that there is a left-Alia algebra structure $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*})$ on the dual space A^* , and $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is the linear dual of $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *There is a Manin triple of left-Alia algebras $((d = A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_d, \mathcal{B}_d), A, A^*)$.*
- (b) *$((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}), \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of left-Alia algebras.*
- (c) *$(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \delta)$ is a left-Alia bialgebra.*

Example 4.9. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ be the three-dimensional left-Alia algebra given in Example 3.9.

Then, there is a left-Alia bialgebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \delta)$ with a non-zero co-multiplication δ on A , given by

$$\delta(e_1) = e_1 \otimes e_1. \quad (39)$$

Then, by Corollary 4.8, there is a Manin triple $((A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot], \mathcal{B}_d), A, A^*)$. Here, the multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$ on A^* is given through δ by (39), that is,

$$[e_1^*, e_1^*]_{A^*} = e_1^*,$$

and the multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $A \oplus A^*$ is given by (35). Moreover, $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}), \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_A}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*, \mathcal{L}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^* - \mathcal{R}_{[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of left-Alia algebras.

Acknowledgements. The fourth author would like to thank Prof.Lam Siu-Por, Dr.Li Yu and Dr.Wang Chuijia for helpful discussion on invariant theory. The fourth author acknowledges support from the NSF China (12101328) and NSF China (12371039).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aguiar, Infinitesimal Hopf algebras, in: *New Trends in Hopf Algebra Theory*, La Falda, 1999, in: *Contemp. Math.* Vol. 267(Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000), pp.1-29. [3](#)
- [2] M. Aguiar, On the associative analog of Lie bialgebras. *J. Algebra* **244** (2001), 492-532. [3](#)
- [3] M. Aguiar, Infinitesimal bialgebras, pre-Lie and dendriform algebras, in: *Hopf algebras*, in *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.* Vol. 237, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2004, pp. 1-33. [3](#)
- [4] C. Bai, Double constructions of Frobenius algebras, Connes cocycles and their duality. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **4** (2010), 475-530. [3](#), [14](#)
- [5] C. Bai, R. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Wu, Transposed Poisson algebras, Novikov-Poisson algebras and 3-Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **632** (2023) 535-566. [3](#)
- [6] K. Benali, T. Chtioui, A. Hajjaji and S. Mabrouk, Bialgebras, the Yang-Baxter equation and Manin triples for mock-Lie algebras, *Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math.* **27** (2023), 211-233. [3](#)
- [7] D. Beson, *Polynomial Invariants of Finite Groups*, Cambridge University Press (1993). [4](#)
- [8] D. Burde and A. Fialowski, Jacobi-Jordan algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **459** (2014), 586-594. [2](#)
- [9] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994). [3](#)
- [10] C. Chevalley, Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections, *Amer. J. Math.* **77** (1955), 778-782. [2](#), [4](#)
- [11] V. Drinfeld, Halmiltonian structure on the Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric sense of the classical Yang-Baxter equations, *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **27** (1983), 68-71. [3](#)
- [12] A. Dzhumadil'daev, Algebras with skew-symmetric identity of degree 3, *J. Math. Sci.* **161** (2009) 11-30. [2](#), [6](#), [7](#), [11](#)
- [13] A. Dzhumadil'daev and P. Zusmanovich, Commutative 2-cocycles on Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **324** (2010), 732-748. [2](#)
- [14] J. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations, *J. Algebra* **292** (2016), no.2, 314-361. [2](#), [5](#)
- [15] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, *Pure and Applied Math.* 80, Academic Press (1978). [2](#), [9](#)
- [16] T.L. Hodge, Lie Triple Systems, Restricted Lie Triple Systems, and Algebraic Groups, *J. Algebra* **244** (2001), no.2, 533-580. [9](#)
- [17] D. Hilbert, Ueber die Theorie der algebraischen Formen, *Math. Ann.* **36** (1890), no.4, 473-534. [2](#), [4](#)
- [18] C. Kang and G. Liu, Yang-Baxter equations and relative Rota-Baxter operators for left-Alia algebras, in prepration. [4](#)
- [19] C. Kang, S. Yu and H. Zhang, Twisted relative Poisson structures on graded algebras associated to invariant theory, in preparation. [3](#)
- [20] J. Kock, *Frobenius Algebras and 2d Topological Quantum Field Theories*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004). [3](#)
- [21] A. Lauda and H. Pfeiffer, Open-closed strings: two-dimensional extended TQFTs and Frobenius algebras, *Topology Appl.* **155** (2005) 623-666. [3](#)
- [22] G. Liu and C. Bai, Anti-pre-Lie algebras, Novikov algebras and commutative 2-cocycles on Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **609** (2022) 337-379. [2](#), [9](#), [11](#)
- [23] G. Liu and C. Bai, A bialgebra theory for transposed Poisson algebras via anti-pre-Lie bialgebras and anti-pre-Lie Poisson bialgebras, arxiv:2309.16174. [3](#), [11](#)
- [24] J.-L. Loday, and T. Pirashvili, Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology, *Math. Ann.* **296** (1993), 139-158. [2](#), [8](#)
- [25] A. Rezaei-Aghdam, L. Sedghi-Ghadim and G. Haghghatdoost, Leibniz bialgebras, classical Yang-Baxter equations and dynamical systems, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **31** (2021), 77. [3](#)
- [26] Y. Sheng and Y. Wang, Quasi-triangular and factorizable antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebras, *J. Algebra* **628** (2023), 415-433. [3](#)
- [27] G. Shephard and J. Todd, Finite unitary reflection groups, *Canadian J. Math.* **6**(1954) 274-304. [2](#), [4](#)
- [28] Y. Su, W. Xu and H. Zhang, Derivation-simple algebras and the structures of Lie algebras of Witt type, *J. Algebra* **233** (2000), no.2, 642-662. [3](#)

- [29] R. Tang and Y. Sheng, Leibniz bialgebras, relative Rota-Baxter operators and the classical Leibniz Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **16** (2022), 1179-1211. [3](#)
- [30] P. Zusmanovich, Special and exceptional mock-Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **518** (2017), 79-96. [2](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, JINHUA 321004, CHINA
Email address: kangcc@zjnu.edu.cn

CHERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS & LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, CHINA
Email address: 1120190007@mail.nankai.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES & LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300317, CHINA
Email address: 2013270@nankai.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES & LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300317, CHINA
Email address: yusz@nankai.edu.cn