

ONE-LOOP DOUBLE COPY RELATION FROM TWISTED (CO)HOMOLOGY

Pouria Mazloumi^a and Stephan Stieberger^{b,c}

^a*Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz,
55099 Mainz, Germany*

^b*Max-Planck Institut für Physik
Werner-Heisenberg-Institut, 85748 Garching, Germany*

^c*Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*

Emails: pmazloumi@uni-mainz.de, stieberg@mpp.mpg.de

Abstract

We propose a geometric relation between closed and open string amplitudes at one-loop. After imposing a homological splitting on the world-sheet torus twisted intersection theory is used to establish a one-loop double copy relation. The latter expresses a closed string amplitude by a pair of open string amplitudes and twisted intersection numbers. These inner products on the vector space of allowed differential forms are related to the twisted homology and cohomology groups associated with the Riemann–Wirtinger integral.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Twisted de Rham theory and intersection numbers of differential forms	4
3	Homological splitting on the sphere	5
3.1	Tree-level KLT formula and twisted intersection numbers	6
3.2	One complex sphere integration and n unintegrated points	7
3.3	Multi-dimensional integration on the sphere	9
4	Riemann–Wirtinger integral and twisted intersection numbers	10
5	Homological splitting on the torus	13
5.1	One complex torus integration and n unintegrated points	13
5.2	Multi-dimensional complex integration on the torus	19
5.3	One-loop closed string amplitude on the torus	22
6	Concluding remarks	23
	Appendix A Direct computation of intersection numbers	25
	References	29

1. Introduction

A geometric description of scattering amplitudes is appealing because it incorporates concepts like locality, unitarity and symmetries more naturally than in the conceptual Feynman diagram approach, where these properties are generically imposed by hand. This fact leads to a new understanding of computing amplitudes from first principles. Certainly, a prime example is the geometry of a Riemann surface with genus g as string world-sheet describing the interactions of strings at g -loop order. In this case all Feynman diagrams simply follow from considering certain limits of the underlying Riemann surface. In fact, the geometry of the moduli space of compact Riemann manifolds with punctures is ubiquitous for a geometrical description of scattering amplitudes of particles. This geometry appears for computing intersection numbers of twisted differential forms, for formulating amplitudes in terms of Cachazo–He–Yuan (CHY) description and as world-sheet for closed string amplitudes. The latter are formulated as a multi-dimensional complex integrals over the Riemann manifolds, which in turn can be described by twisted de Rham cohomology. Twisted de Rham cohomology is suitable for considering multi-valued differential forms, as they naturally appear on the string world-sheet. In this context, twisted intersection theory provides a geometric framework to describe the underlying structure of amplitudes by intersection numbers. The latter are inner products on the vector space of allowed differential forms.

The famous Kawai–Lewellen–Tye (KLT) relations express a tree-level closed string amplitude as a weighted sum over squares of tree-level open string amplitudes [1]. Since the lowest mode of the closed superstring is a graviton and that of the open superstring a gluon the aforementioned relation gives rise to a gauge/gravity correspondence linking gravity and gauge amplitudes at the perturbative tree-level. Only recently, a similar relation has been derived at one-loop string theory level [2]. Furthermore, in [3] the underlying double copy structure has been investigated. The relation between gauge and gravity amplitudes was then reformulated as double-copy structure by Bern, Carrasco and Johansson (BCJ) based on a duality between color and kinematics derived from the (conjectural) existence of relations between partial gluon subamplitudes [4]. The general proof of such tree-level BCJ amplitude identities was subsequently presented by using string theory and the power of world-sheet monodromy properties [2, 5]. The formulation of the color–kinematics duality has since then seen a large number of further extensions, we refer to [6] for a comprehensive review.

KLT like relations relate gravity amplitudes to squares of gauge amplitudes involving an intersection matrix (or KLT kernel) [7, 8]. The latter is interesting on its own and constructing it by first principles has been received a lot of interests recently, cf. [9]. In addition, the opposite question has been addressed how a (single-valued) amplitude can be written as a pair of amplitudes with monodromies [10]. Furthermore, at tree-level the KLT kernel has a geometric interpretation in terms of twisted intersection theory [11]. Likewise, tree-level double copy structures of amplitudes have a natural formulation in terms of twisted intersection numbers. In fact, a rich catalogue of twisted forms has been constructed recently to formulate new double copies [12–14].

The one-loop KLT results of [2, 3] derived from contour deformation on the genus-one torus should have an interpretation in terms of twisted (co)homology. So far, not much is known at one-loop by means of formulating amplitudes by twisted differentials on the

elliptic curve. Albeit, at the mathematical level there are some important works dealing with Riemann–Wirtinger integrals first introduced by Mano [15, 16] and then further discussed in [17–19] with a relation between the Riemann–Wirtinger integral and the Felder–Varchenko integral solution of the KZB equation in [17]. In this work we establish a one-loop double copy relation expressing a closed string amplitude by a pair of open string amplitudes and twisted intersection numbers. The latter are related to the twisted homology and cohomology groups associated with the Riemann–Wirtinger integral. From the mathematical side this relation establishes a twisted Riemann’s period relation at genus one. For our setup we need to impose $\Re(\tau) = 0$ in order to establish a factorization between holomorphic and anti-holomorphic sectors and perform multi-dimensional complex torus integrations. On the other hand, a complementary work has recently appeared for the *single* complex integration at generic complex structure [20]. In this case, in order to match to a double copy of doubly periodic Riemann–Wirtinger systems a constraint needs to be imposed relating complex structure, positions and kinematic invariants. At present it is not clear how this constraint influences the remaining position integrations. We shall further comment on this in Section 6.

2. Twisted de Rham theory and intersection numbers of differential forms

Twisted de Rham theory with a covariant derivative

$$\nabla_\omega = d + \omega \wedge , \tag{2.1}$$

involving an exterior differentiation d on a manifold X and a holomorphic closed one-form ω on X , has been pioneered by Aomoto, Deligne, Gelfand and Kita, cf. [21]. Integrals of multi-valued functions are formulated as pairings between integration cycles Δ and corresponding cocycles as their integrands or likewise pairings between twisted homology and twisted cohomology class

$$(\Delta \otimes KN, \varphi) = \int_\Delta KN \varphi , \tag{2.2}$$

with a p -dimensional oriented smooth simplex Δ in X , KN a multi-valued solution to $\nabla_{-\omega}KN = 0$ and φ a single-valued differential form. The twisted homology group $H_1(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega)$ on some manifold X comprises a space of twisted cycles $\Delta_i \otimes KN$ representing certain regions of X subject to some additional information about branches of KN . Likewise, the twisted cohomology group $H^1(X, \nabla_\omega)$ is the space of twisted differential forms (twisted cocycles), which are closed but not exact w.r.t. ∇_ω . Originally, intersection forms on twisted (co)homology groups have been developed for deriving hypergeometric function identities involving twisted intersection numbers and eventually providing a unified description and generalization of hypergeometric integrals. Generalized hypergeometric integrals show up in tree-level string amplitudes as integrals over world-sheet positions of vertex operators, cf. [22]. Generically in this case we are dealing with multi-valued functions defined on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbf{CP})^{n-3} , i \neq j, k, l \mid \forall_{m \neq n} t_m \neq t_n\}$ of Riemann spheres with n punctures and an $(n - 3)$ -simplex Δ referring to an ordering of the $n - 3$ points $t_i, i \neq j, k, l$. Similarly, multi-valued functions on a complex torus with n points describe string one-loop amplitudes. In particular, one basic example is given by the

Riemann–Wirtinger integral defined on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ of n -punctured elliptic curve $E_\tau/\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ with n distinct points t_i on E_τ . At any rate, both at tree- and one-loop level open string amplitudes are formulated as pairings (2.2) between twisted cycles and cocycles. On the other hand, closed string amplitudes are described by pairings between two twisted cocycles.

The intersection form $\langle \dots | \dots \rangle$ of twisted cycles on a one-dimensional manifold X is a bilinear form between the twisted homology $H_1(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega^\vee)$ and the associated (locally finite) twisted homology $H_1^{lf}(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega)$ with the local system \mathcal{L}_ω and its dual \mathcal{L}_ω^\vee :

$$\langle \dots | \dots \rangle : H_1(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega^\vee) \times H_1^{lf}(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} . \quad (2.3)$$

The two spaces $H_1(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega^\vee)$ and $H_1^{lf}(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega)$ are dual to each other. Besides, there is an isomorphism $H_1^{lf}(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega) \simeq H_1(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega)$ called the regularization reg_ω . The intersection number $\langle \sigma | \tau \rangle$ between two cycles $\sigma \in H_1(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega^\vee)$ and $\tau \in H_1^{lf}(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega)$ is defined as integral over the corresponding Poincaré dual cohomology classes $\theta_c([\sigma]) \in H_c^1(X, \nabla_{-\omega})$ and $\theta([\tau]) \in H^1(X, \nabla_{+\omega})$ as [23]:

$$\langle \sigma | \tau \rangle = \int_X \theta_c([\sigma]) \wedge \theta([\tau]) . \quad (2.4)$$

The intersection number (2.4) does not receive contributions from the bulk of X and can be computed by summing up the local intersection numbers I_ν at the intersecting points ν . For expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \sum_i c_i \Delta_i \otimes KN , \\ \tau &= \sum_j c_j \square_j \otimes KN^{-1} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

we obtain [23]:

$$\langle \sigma | \tau \rangle = \sum_{\Delta_i \cap \square_j = \{\nu_{ij}\}} = c_i c_j KN(\nu_{ij}) KN^{-1}(\nu_{ij}) I_\nu(\Delta_i, \square_j) . \quad (2.6)$$

Thus, intersection numbers (2.6) are computed by combinatorial rules taking into account how the cycles intersect in the moduli space under consideration. In particular, the formula (2.6) can be applied for both genus zero and one case [18].

Intersection numbers can be used for analytic continuation and basis expansions of integrals. Moreover, they appear in expressing closed string amplitudes in terms of a pair of open string amplitudes. This requires a suitable holomorphic splitting of left- and right-movers in order to establish an orthonormal basis expansion of twisted cycles in both sectors. Hence, in the following we shall be concerned with homological splittings on the sphere and torus closed string world-sheet.

3. Homological splitting on the sphere

On the sphere a splitting of complex integration into holomorphic and anti-holomorphic sectors is achieved by performing an analytic continuation of coordinates. This procedure has been proposed by Kawai, Lewellen and Tye [1] and is related to twisted Riemann's period relations.

3.1. Tree-level KLT formula and twisted intersection numbers

The closed string amplitude on the sphere reads

$$\mathcal{M}_{n;0}^{closed} = V_{CKG}^{-1} \left(\prod_{r=1}^n \int_{\mathbf{C}} d^2 z_r \right) \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^{\alpha' q_i q_j} (z_i - z_j)^{n_{ij}} (\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j)^{\tilde{n}_{ij}}, \quad (3.1)$$

with the inverse string tension α' and some integers $n_{ij}, \tilde{n}_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$. This amplitude describes the scattering of n closed string states of external momenta $q_i \in \mathbf{R}$ subject to momentum conservation $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 0$. The following discussion on monodromies and analytic continuation does not depend on the specific values of the integers n_{ij} . Therefore, in the following we shall assume $n_{ij}, \tilde{n}_{ij} = 0$. Furthermore, we restrict to massless external states, i.e. $q_i^2 = 0$. On the sphere a homological splitting

$$\begin{aligned} z_r &= z_r^1 + i z_r^2 \simeq \xi_r, \\ \bar{z}_r &= z_r^1 - i z_r^2 \simeq \eta_r, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

is realized by analytic continuation of z_i^2 , which gives rise to the KLT relations [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{n;0}^{closed} &= V_{CKG}^{-1} \left(\prod_{r=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi_r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta_r \right) \left(\prod_{i<j} \Pi(\xi_i, \eta_i; \xi_j, \eta_j) (\xi_i - \xi_j)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_i q_j} (\eta_i - \eta_j)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_i q_j} \right) \\ &= \sum'_{\alpha, \beta \in S_{n-1}} e^{i\Phi(\alpha, \beta)} A_{n;0}^{open}(\alpha) \tilde{A}_{n;0}^{open}(\beta), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

with some phase factor Π

$$\Pi(r, s) := \Pi(\xi_s, \xi_r, \eta_s, \eta_r; q_r q_s) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi i \alpha' q_r q_s (1 - \theta[(\xi_r - \xi_s)(\eta_r - \eta_s)])}, \quad (3.4)$$

rendering the correct branch cut structure and the open string subamplitudes

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n;0}^{open}(\alpha) &= V_{CKG}^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{I}_\alpha} \left(\prod_{s=1}^n dz_s \right) \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_i q_j} \\ &\equiv |\Delta(\alpha) \otimes KN\rangle = \int_{\Delta(\alpha)} KN, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

with the integration region \mathcal{I}_α (or cycle $\Delta(\alpha)$)

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha = \{z_i \in \mathbf{R} \mid z_{\alpha(1)} < \dots < z_{\alpha(n)}\} \equiv \Delta(\alpha), \quad (3.6)$$

and similarly for $\tilde{A}_{n;0}^{open}$. In Eq. (3.7) the primed sum runs over all $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)!$ cyclic invariant permutations α, β with $n-1$ to the right of 1. Eq. (3.3) can be further reduced after selecting a basis of $(n-3)!$ independent cycles corresponding to a set of subamplitudes $A_{n;0}^{open}(\alpha)$.

An alternative expression of (3.3) can be given in terms of twisted intersection numbers [11]

$$\mathcal{M}_{n;0}^{closed} = \sum'_{\alpha, \beta \in S_{n-1}} \langle \Delta(\alpha) \otimes KN | \Delta(\beta)^\vee \otimes \overline{KN} \rangle A_{n;0}^{open}(\alpha) \tilde{A}_{n;0}^{open}(\beta), \quad (3.7)$$

with the Koba–Nielsen factor and single–valued one–form:

$$KN = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^{\alpha' q_i q_j} \quad , \quad \omega = d \ln KN \quad . \quad (3.8)$$

Consequently, the intersection numbers (2.6) can be related to the monodromy phases appearing in (3.3) as

$$\langle \Delta(\alpha) \otimes KN | \Delta(\beta)^\vee \otimes \overline{KN} \rangle \simeq e^{i\Phi(\alpha, \beta)} \quad , \quad (3.9)$$

subject to the identification $\overline{KN} \simeq KN^{-1}$ leading to the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{-\omega} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\omega}}$ of local systems for real momenta q_i .

3.2. One complex sphere integration and n unintegrated points

Let us now discuss the case with one complex integration and n unintegrated points $t_i \in \mathbf{C}$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{1;0}^{\text{closed}} = V_{CKG}^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{C}} d^2 z \prod_{r=1}^n |z - t_r|^{2c_r} \quad . \quad (3.10)$$

For the parameterization $z = x + iy$ (with $d^2 z = \frac{i}{2} dx dy$) the integrand

$$I(x, y) = \prod_{r=1}^n (x + iy - t_r)^{c_r} (x - iy - \bar{t}_r)^{c_r} \quad (3.11)$$

becomes an analytic function of y . The latter has n pairs of branch points at $y = i(x - t_k) = i(x - \Re t_k) + \Im t_k$ and $y = -i(x - \bar{t}_k) = -i(x - \Re t_k) + \Im t_k$ with monodromy phases $e^{\pm \pi i c_k}$, where the factors $(z - t_k)^{c_k}$ and $(\bar{z} - \bar{t}_k)^{c_k}$ have zeros, respectively. For a given $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ the location of these points is depicted in Fig. 2. To determine the integral (3.10) along $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ we may perform an analytic continuation in y by considering a contour in the complex y -plane. This contour passes all $2n$ branch points and is comprised by the three pieces C_+ , C_- and C , depicted in Fig. 2.




Figure 1: Branch points and closed contour in the complex y -plane.

While C_+ connects all n holomorphic branch points in the upper half-plane, the path C_- passes all n anti-holomorphic points in the lower half-plane. By Cauchy's theorem we can write for a given $x \in C_0$ with $C_0 = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}\}$:

$$\int_C dy I(x, y) + \int_{C_+} dy \hat{I}(x, y) + \int_{C_-} dy \hat{I}(x, y) = 0 . \quad (3.12)$$

Above, the object \hat{I} refers to the expression (3.11) rendered to be single-valued when moving away from C . The y -integral of (3.10) is described by the edge C and with (3.12) we may express it as:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C dy I(x, y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(x, y) \\ &= - \int_{C_+} dy \hat{I}(x, y) - \int_{C_-} dy \hat{I}(x, y) = - \int_{C_+ \cup C_-} dy \hat{I}(x, y) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

With (3.13) the y -integral of (3.10) can be expressed through the combination of cycles $C_+ \cup C_-$. Eventually, the two cycles C_+ and C_- can be separated by pulling their finite ends to infinity or likewise joined to a single cycle $C_+ \cup C_-$. The two cycles C_+ and C_- are complex conjugate¹ subject to orientation reversal, i.e. $C_- \simeq -(C_+)^*$. Therefore, we also have [2]

$$\int_{C_-} dy \hat{I}(x, y) = - \left(\int_{C_+} dy \hat{I}(x, y) \right)^* , \quad (3.14)$$

which guarantees the integral (3.13) to be pure imaginary. Note, that in the next step, after defining proper real coordinates, the complete integral (3.10) becomes real.

For a given $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ we may introduce the pair of complex coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= x - \tilde{y} , \\ \eta &= x + \tilde{y} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

with $\tilde{y} = -iy$. These coordinates (3.15) become real along the imaginary axis $y \in i\mathbf{R}$, i.e. $\xi, \eta \in (-\infty, \infty)$. We may deform the latter to reach the paths C_+ and C_- with the $2n$ branch points. Combining according to (3.15) the cycles C_+ and C_- with the cycle C_0 for the x -integration we obtain two independent integration paths C_ξ and C_η . Then, the contour $C_\xi = C_+ \cup C_0$ (depicted in red) probes the n zeros of $(\xi - t_i)$, while the contour $C_\eta = C_- \cup C_0$ (depicted in blue) hits the n zeros of $(\eta - \bar{t}_i)$. As a consequence we can write (3.10) in terms of the new coordinates (3.15) and their corresponding cycles

$$\mathcal{M}_{1;0}^{closed} = -V_{CKG}^{-1} \oint_{C_\xi} d\xi \prod_{r=1}^n (\xi - t_r)^{c_r} \oint_{C_\eta} d\eta \prod_{s=1}^n (\eta - \bar{t}_s)^{c_s} \Pi(\xi, \eta) , \quad (3.16)$$

with the phases $\Pi(\xi, \eta)$ introduced in (3.4) rendering the integrand single-valued. In other words, the phase factors Π make sure, that we stay in the correct branch when the coordinates ξ, η are varied along C_+ and C_- between different segments (t_i, t_{i+1}) and $(\bar{t}_k, \bar{t}_{k+1})$, respectively.

¹For real parameterization of a cycle γ with $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \overline{\gamma(t)}$, $t \in (a, b)$ we have: $\left(\int_\gamma f(z) dz \right)^* = \int_{\bar{\gamma}} \overline{f(\bar{z})} dz$.

We can divide the integrations ξ, η into a double sum over n cycles γ_{ij} , which respect the orderings of the unintegrated n points t_r . With γ_{ij} representing the twisted cycle $\gamma_{ij} \simeq \text{reg.}(t_i, t_j)$ from t_i until t_j , with $j = i + 1$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have:

$$\mathcal{M}_{1;0}^{\text{closed}} = -V_{CKG}^{-1} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \int_{\gamma_{i,i+1}} dz \prod_{r=1}^n (z - t_r)^{c_r} \int_{\gamma_{k,k+1}} d\bar{z} \prod_{s=1}^n (\bar{z} - \bar{t}_s)^{c_s} \Pi(i, k) . \quad (3.17)$$

Then, in (3.17) the phase $\Pi(i, k)$ has the interpretation of twisted intersection number

$$\Pi(i, k) = \langle \gamma_{i,i+1} | \gamma_{k,k+1} \rangle , \quad (3.18)$$

in lines of (3.9).

3.3. Multi-dimensional integration on the sphere

Let us now discuss the case of multi-dimensional complex integration on the sphere leading to (3.3) and (3.7). We want to start from the results (3.16) or (3.17) supplemented by the additional factors

$$\prod_{i < j}^n (t_i - t_j)^{c_{ij}} (\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_j)^{c_{ij}} ,$$

with $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$ and systematically perform complex integrations w.r.t. to all n coordinates t_r . Note, that in the end this computes $\mathcal{M}_{n+1;0}^{\text{closed}}$. We start at t_n and consider the relevant integrand

$$(\xi - t_n)^{c_n} (\eta - \bar{t}_n)^{c_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (t_i - t_n)^{c_{in}} (\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_n)^{c_{in}}$$

as analytic function in $\Im t_n$, with $t_n = \Re t_n + i \Im t_n$. In the complex $\Im t_n$ -plane there are n pairs of branch points. One pair at $\Im t_n = -i(\xi - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = i(\eta - \Re t_n)$ with phase $e^{\pi i c_n}$ and $n - 1$ pairs at $\Im t_n = -i(t_l - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = i(\bar{t}_l - \Re t_n)$, $l = 1, \dots, n - 1$ with corresponding phases $e^{\pi i c_{ln}}$. In the complex $\Im t_n$ -plane the locations of these branch points is similar than to the case studied before and depicted in Fig. 1. Only the pair of points $\Im t_n = -i(\xi - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = i(\eta - \Re t_n)$ is not complex conjugate to each other and the latter are already aligned along the imaginary axis of $\Im t_n$. Nevertheless, we may apply the previous steps and consider two cycles C_{\pm} with C_+ connecting all the n branch points $\Im t_n = -i(\xi - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = -i(t_l - \Re t_n)$, while C_- passing through the n points $\Im t_n = i(\eta - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = i(\bar{t}_l - \Re t_n)$. For a given $\Re t_n \in (-\infty, \infty)$ we introduce the two independent new complex coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n &= \Re t_n + i \Im t_n , \\ \eta_n &= \Re t_n - i \Im t_n , \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

which become real along the imaginary axis of $\Im t_n$, i.e. for $\Im t_n \in i\mathbf{R}$. Again, according to (5.31) the cycles C_+ and C_- are combined with the cycle describing the $\Re t_n$ -integration giving rise to two independent integration paths C_{ξ_n} and C_{η_n} , with C_{ξ_n} probing the n zeros of $(\xi - \xi_n)$ and $(t_l - \xi_n)$ and C_{η_n} hitting the zeros of $(\eta - \eta_n)$ and $(\bar{t}_l - \eta_n)$, respectively. In addition to the n cycles $\gamma_{i,i+1}$ for the z -integration we now have the $n - 1$ cycles describing the t_n integration between the $n - 1$ points t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} thus in total there are $n(n - 1)$ terms.

Eventually, after successively applying the above steps to all (except three) coordinates t_l we arrive at (3.7) with $(\frac{1}{2}n!)^2$ terms.

Twisted cycles and cocycles for configuration space integrals over punctured Riemann spheres (with p integrated points and $n - p$ punctures) have also been discussed in [24]. Let us conclude by pointing out that the holomorphic splitting (3.3) of the complex sphere integrations (3.1) may be stated by inserting [25]

$$\mathbf{1} = \sum_{\sigma, \rho \in S_{n-1}} e^{i\Phi(\sigma, \rho)} |\Delta(\sigma) \otimes \overline{KN}\rangle \langle \Delta(\rho) \otimes KN| \quad (3.20)$$

to arrive at (3.7) with the local system (3.8) and the subamplitudes (3.5).

4. Riemann–Wirtinger integral and twisted intersection numbers

The Riemann–Wirtinger integral is defined as an integral on a one–dimensional complex torus $E_\tau = \mathbf{C}/\Lambda$ with the lattice Λ generated by 1 and τ [15, 16], cf. also [17–19]. In this Section we study the intersection forms on the twisted homology and cohomology groups associated with the Riemann–Wirtinger integral. For a given $z \in \mathbf{C}$ we consider the local system with the multi–valued holomorphic function ($z_k = t_k$)

$$T(z) = e^{2\pi i c_0 z} \prod_{k=1}^n \theta_1(z - z_k; \tau)^{c_k} \equiv KN_z, \quad (4.1)$$

with $c_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ and $c_i \in \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{Z}$ subject to:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

The parameter c_∞ arises from

$$T(z + \tau) = e^{2\pi i c_\infty} T(z), \quad (4.3)$$

$$c_\infty = c_0 \tau + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i z_i. \quad (4.4)$$

Furthermore, we have:

$$T(z \pm 1) = e^{\pm 2\pi i c_0} T(z). \quad (4.5)$$

Later we will set

$$c_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha' \ell q_{n+1}, \quad c_k = \frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_{n+1} q_k \quad (4.6)$$

to describe the z –integrand of an $n + 1$ –point amplitude, cf. Subsection 5.3.

There are the local system and dual local system

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega := \mathcal{L}_\omega(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n) = \mathbf{C} KN_z, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{-\omega}^\vee := \mathcal{L}_{-\omega}^\vee(-c_0, -c_1, \dots, -c_n) = \mathbf{C} KN_z^{-1}, \quad (4.8)$$

associated to the multi-validness of the twist

$$\omega = d \ln K N_z \quad (4.9)$$

and dual twist, respectively. These local systems are related by the involution $c_i \rightarrow -c_i$, which lead to the construction of the twisted cohomology group $H^1(M, \mathcal{L}_\omega)$ and homology group $H_1(M, \mathcal{L}_\omega^\vee)$ of the Riemann–Wirtinger integral and likewise their duals $H^1(M, \mathcal{L}_{-\omega})$ and $H_1(M, \mathcal{L}_{-\omega}^\vee)$, respectively. Furthermore, (4.7) and (4.8) are line bundles, which capture the monodromy properties of the twist and dual twist, respectively.

In the following we shall assume the gauge choice $z_1 = 0$. On the torus there are $n+1$ cycles $\gamma_{1\infty}, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{12}, \dots, \gamma_{1n}$ with the natural map (Veech’s full holonomy map) or pairing

$$a_{n;1}^{open}(\gamma_i) = \int_{\gamma_i} dz T(z) \quad (4.10)$$

giving rise to elliptic hypergeometric integrals. Note, that there exist other versions of Riemann–Wirtinger integral representations involving the quasi-periodic Kronecker–Eisenstein series and (4.10) can be obtained as natural limit thereof [19]. Again, the set of $n+1$ cycles γ satisfies a single \mathbf{C} –linear monodromy relation [15]:

$$\sum_{j=2}^n e^{-2\pi i(c_1+\dots+c_j)} (1 - e^{2\pi i c_j}) \gamma_{1j} + (1 - e^{2\pi i c_0}) \gamma_{1\infty} = (1 - e^{-2\pi i c_\infty}) \gamma_{10} . \quad (4.11)$$

We can choose a basis of H_1 comprising n cycles $\gamma_j \equiv \gamma_{1j} = \text{reg}(t_1, t_j)$ $j = 2, \dots, n$ and $\gamma_0 \equiv \gamma_{10} = \text{reg}(t_1, t_1 + 1)$. Then the relation (4.11) allows to eliminate e.g. the cycle $\gamma_{1\infty}$ by expressing it in terms of the remaining n cycles $\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{12}, \dots, \gamma_{1n}$. Note, that for convenience we may use $\gamma_{jk} = \text{reg}(t_j, t_k) = \gamma_{1k} - \gamma_{1j} \equiv \gamma_k - \gamma_j$. Furthermore, with (4.10) the relation (4.11) gives rise to an identity between periods. From the physical point of view the monodromy relation (4.11) appears as world-sheet string monodromy relating relating open string one-loop cylinder subamplitudes [26, 27]. Likewise, the corresponding monodromy relation on the doubled surface has been established in [2].

We consider the following chain of n twisted cycles

$$\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{23}, \dots, \gamma_{n-1,n}, \gamma_{n,0} , \quad (4.12)$$

with

$$\gamma_{n,0} = \gamma_n - \gamma_0 \equiv \gamma_{10} - \gamma_{1n} \quad (4.13)$$

depicted in the next figure




Figure 2: Chain of n cycles

and subject to the following monodromy relation:

$$\gamma_n - \sum_{i=2}^n e^{-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j} \gamma_{i-1,i} + (1 - e^{2\pi i c_0}) \gamma_{1\infty} = (1 - e^{-2\pi i c_\infty}) \gamma_{10} . \quad (4.14)$$

With

$$\gamma_n \equiv \gamma_{1n} = \sum_{i=2}^n \gamma_{i-1,i} \quad (4.15)$$

the relation (4.14) allows to express $\gamma_{1\infty}$ in terms of the n cycles $\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{12}, \dots, \gamma_{n-1,n}$ or likewise with (4.13) in terms of the n cycles $\gamma_{12}, \dots, \gamma_{n-1,n}, \gamma_{n,0}$. Hence, (4.12) represents a basis of cycles. The intersection properties of the $n - 1$ cycles $\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{23}, \dots, \gamma_{n-1,n}$ coincides with those (3.7) stemming from the function $(z - x_1)^{c_1} (z - x_2)^{c_2} \dots (z - x_n)^{c_n}$ on $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{x_1 = \infty, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ [23]. Furthermore, we have the self-intersection number

$$I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{12}^\vee]) = \frac{d_{12}}{d_1 d_2} , \quad (4.16)$$

with $d_{ij} = e^{2\pi i(c_i + c_j)} - 1$. In addition, we can verify and compute:

$$I_h([\gamma_{n,0}], [\gamma_{n,0}^\vee]) = I_h([\gamma_{1n}], [\gamma_{1n}^\vee]) = \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i(c_1 + c_n)}}{(1 - e^{2\pi i c_1})(1 - e^{2\pi i c_n})} \equiv \frac{d_{1n}}{d_1 d_n} . \quad (4.17)$$

Note, that the self-intersection numbers (4.16) and (4.17) are the same as on the sphere. The same is true for the following intersection numbers:

$$I_h([\gamma_{n,0}], [\gamma_{n-1,n}^\vee]) = I_h([\gamma_{10}], [\gamma_{n-1,n}^\vee]) - I_h([\gamma_{1n}], [\gamma_{n-1,n}^\vee]) = \frac{e^{2\pi i c_n}}{d_n} , \quad (4.18)$$

$$I_h([\gamma_{n-1,n}], [\gamma_{n,0}^\vee]) = I_h([\gamma_{n-1,n}], [\gamma_{10}^\vee]) - I_h([\gamma_{n-1,n}], [\gamma_{1n}^\vee]) = \frac{1}{d_n} , \quad (4.19)$$

$$I_h([\gamma_{n,0}], [\gamma_{jk}^\vee]) = 0 , \quad jk \neq 12 \text{ and: } jk \neq n - 1, n . \quad (4.20)$$

On the other hand, since we have

$$I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{10}^\vee]) = \frac{e^{2\pi i c_1} (1 - e^{-2\pi i c_0})}{1 - e^{2\pi i c_1}} , \quad (4.21)$$

and γ_{n0} is composed of γ_{10} we have the non-standard intersection number with an additional second term, which is absent on the sphere:

$$\begin{aligned} I_h([\gamma_{n,0}], [\gamma_{12}^\vee]) &= -I_h([\gamma_{1n}], [\gamma_{12}^\vee]) + I_h([\gamma_{10}], [\gamma_{12}^\vee]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{1 - e^{2\pi i c_1}} + \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i c_0}}{1 - e^{2\pi i c_1}} = -e^{2\pi i c_0} \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\pi i c_1}} = e^{2\pi i c_0} \frac{1}{d_1} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{n,0}^\vee]) &= -I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{1n}^\vee]) + I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{10}^\vee]) \\ &= -\frac{e^{2\pi i c_1}}{1 - e^{2\pi i c_1}} + \frac{e^{2\pi i c_1} (1 - e^{-2\pi i c_0})}{1 - e^{2\pi i c_1}} = -e^{-2\pi i c_0} \frac{e^{2\pi i c_1}}{1 - e^{2\pi i c_1}} = e^{-2\pi i c_0} \frac{e^{2\pi i c_1}}{d_1} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Hence, the intersection numbers (4.22) and (4.23) develop the additional factors $e^{2\pi ic_0}$ and $e^{-2\pi ic_0}$, respectively in contrast to the sphere case. In other words, passing the cycle γ_{10} from left amounts to the additional phase factor $e^{-2\pi ic_0}$. Note, that we may redefine $\gamma_{n,0}$ (and $\gamma_{n,0}^\vee$) by $\tilde{\gamma}_{n,0} := e^{2\pi ic_0} \gamma_{n,0}$ (and $\tilde{\gamma}_{n,0}^\vee := e^{-2\pi ic_0} \gamma_{n,0}^\vee$) to cast (4.22) and (4.23) into the form on the sphere albeit this changes (4.18) and (4.19). Finally, we have:

$$I_h([\gamma_{n,0}], [\gamma_{10}^\vee]) = \frac{1 - e^{2\pi ic_0}}{1 - e^{2\pi ic_1}} . \quad (4.24)$$

Actually, in [28] (cf. Section 3.3) it has been argued that the corner contributions of the two cycles γ_{10} and $\gamma_{n,0}$ should be paired up into to the single twisted cycle

$$\gamma_{1n} = \gamma_{10} - \gamma_{n,0} , \quad (4.25)$$

with standard intersection numbers with the cycles $\gamma_{12}, \dots, \gamma_{n-1,n}$. Finally, with (4.15) we also have the intersection number ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$):

$$I_h([\gamma_{1n}], [\gamma_{i,i+1}^\vee]) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I_h([\gamma_{j,j+1}], [\gamma_{i,i+1}^\vee]) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{d_1}, & i = 1, \\ -\frac{c_n}{d_n}, & i = n-1, \\ 0, & i \neq 1, n-1, \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

$$I_h([\gamma_{i,i+1}], [\gamma_{1n}^\vee]) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I_h([\gamma_{i,i+1}], [\gamma_{j,j+1}^\vee]) = \begin{cases} -\frac{c_1}{d_1}, & i = 1, \\ -\frac{1}{d_n}, & i = n-1, \\ 0, & i \neq 1, n-1, \end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$

$$I_h([\gamma_{1n}], [\gamma_{1n}^\vee]) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} I_h([\gamma_{i,i+1}], [\gamma_{j,j+1}^\vee]) = -\frac{d_{1n}}{d_1 d_n} , \quad (4.28)$$

which share the same pattern as on the complex sphere. The results (4.26)–(4.28) can also be anticipated by using the direct definition of γ_{1n} , cf. Appendix A.

5. Homological splitting on the torus

On the torus a splitting of complex integration into holomorphic and anti-holomorphic sectors can also be achieved by performing an analytic continuation of coordinates. This procedure albeit restricted to the case $\Re\tau = 0$ has been proposed in [2].

5.1. One complex torus integration and n unintegrated points

We are interested in the complex integral:

$$M = \int_T d^2z T(z) \bar{T}(\bar{z}) , \quad (5.1)$$

with the function (4.1). In order for the integrand to be invariant under the B -cycle shift $z \rightarrow \tau$ according to (4.3) we obtain the following constraint for (4.4) [19]:

$$\Im c_\infty = 0 \quad \implies \quad c_0 = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \Im z_i}{\tau_2} . \quad (5.2)$$

On the other hand, relaxing the constraint (5.2) can be achieved by introducing the loop momentum (4.6). This is what in the following we shall be interested in, i.e. assuming c_0 not to depend on the positions z_k as in (5.2), but as in (4.6) on a loop momentum ℓ to be integrated over, cf. also Subsection 5.3 for further explicit details.

Note, that the two cycles $\gamma_{1\infty}, \gamma_{10}$ can be identified with a symplectic basis of the homology group of the torus with $\gamma_{1\infty}$ corresponding to the B -cycle, while γ_{10} to the A -cycle of the torus, respectively. Therefore, after introducing the torus coordinates (with $d^2z = \tau_2 dx dy$ and $\tau_2 = \Im\tau$) as

$$z = x + \tau y \quad , \quad x, y \in (0, 1) \quad , \quad (5.3)$$

we have:

$$M = \tau_2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy T(x + \tau y) \bar{T}(x + \bar{\tau} y) \quad . \quad (5.4)$$

Ultimately, we aim to convert the B -cycle integration into A -cycle integrations by means of analytic continuation. For a homological splitting we can perform an expansion in the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic sector leading to

$$M = \sum \int_{\gamma_{ij}} d\xi \int_{\gamma_{kl}} d\eta \Omega(\xi, \eta) T(\xi) \bar{T}(\eta) \quad , \quad (5.5)$$

with some cycles to be specified below and a phase factor Ω .

Let us now specify the cycles contributing to the formula (5.5). For the parameterization

$$z = \sigma^1 + i\sigma^2 \quad , \quad \sigma^1 \in (0, 1) \quad , \quad \sigma^2 \in (0, \tau_2) \quad , \quad (5.6)$$

with $d^2z = d\sigma^1 d\sigma^2$, we have the integral:

$$M = \int_0^1 d\sigma^1 \int_0^{\tau_2} d\sigma^2 T(\sigma^1 + i\sigma^2) \bar{T}(\sigma^1 - i\sigma^2) \quad . \quad (5.7)$$

According to [2] on the torus for $\Re\tau = 0$ we can specify contours and apply Cauchy's theorem to determine the integral (5.7) along $\sigma^2 \in (0, \tau_2)$. Therefore, we shall consider the single-valued integrand of (5.7)

$$I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) := T(\sigma^1 + i\sigma^2) \bar{T}(\sigma^1 - i\sigma^2) \quad (5.8)$$

as holomorphic function in the complex σ^2 -plane. This function has n pairs of branch points at $\sigma^2 = i(\sigma^1 - t_k) = i(\sigma^1 - \Re t_k) + \Im t_k$ and $\sigma^2 = -i(\sigma^1 - \bar{t}_k) = -i(\sigma^1 - \Re t_k) + \Im t_k$ with monodromy phases $e^{\pm\pi i c_k}$, where the factors $\theta_1(z - t_k)^{c_k}$ and $\theta_1(\bar{z} - \bar{t}_k)^{c_k}$ have zeros, respectively. For a given $\sigma^1 \in (0, 1)$ the location of these points is depicted in Fig. 3. Incidentally, we can write the c_0 dependent factor of (5.1) as

$$e^{2\pi i c_0(z - \bar{z})} = e^{-4\pi c_0 \sigma^2} \sim \left(\frac{\theta_1(i\sigma^2 - \tau)}{\theta_1(i\sigma^2)} \right)^{2c_0} \quad (5.9)$$

which behaves as $(\sigma^2)^{-2c_0}$ at $\sigma^2 = 0$ and as $(\sigma^2 - \tau_2)^{2c_0}$ at $\sigma^2 = -i\tau = \tau_2$. Hence, we evidence an additional pair of branch points with phases φ^2 and φ^{-2} , respectively, with:

$$\varphi := e^{-\pi i c_0} \quad . \quad (5.10)$$

Likewise, we have

$$e^{2\pi i c_0(z-\bar{z})} = e^{-4\pi c_0 \sigma^2} \sim \left(\frac{\theta_1(i\sigma^2)}{\theta_4(i\sigma^2 - \frac{\tau}{2})} \right)^{-4c_0} = \left(\frac{\theta_1(i\sigma^2 + 1)}{\theta_4(i\sigma^2 + 1 - \frac{\tau}{2})} \right)^{-4c_0}, \quad (5.11)$$

exhibiting an other pair of branch points along $0 \leq \Im(\sigma^2) \leq 1$ at $\sigma^2 = 0$ with the behaviour $(\sigma^2)^{-4c_0}$ and at $\sigma^2 = i$ with the behaviour $(\sigma^2 - i)^{-4c_0}$ each with phase φ^4 . The latter may also be anticipated by noting the shift symmetry:

$$I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2 \pm i) = \varphi^{\pm 4} I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2 \pm i). \quad (5.12)$$




Figure 3: Complex σ^2 -plane and closed contour for fixed $\sigma^1 \in (0, 1)$.

To compute the integral (5.7) by following [2] we may perform an analytic continuation in σ^2 by considering a closed contour in the complex σ^2 -plane and apply Cauchy's theorem. This contour passes all $2n + 4$ branch points (including $0, \tau_2, +i, -i$) and is composed by the three pieces C_+, C_- and C , depicted in Fig. 3. The phase factor for the integrand of C is now given by φ^2 and φ^{-2} for the upper and lower contour respectively. While C_+ connects all n holomorphic branch points in the upper half-plane, the path C_- collects all n anti-holomorphic points in the lower half-plane. By Cauchy theorem we can write for a given $\sigma^1 \in (0, 1)$:

$$\int_C d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \varphi^2 \int_{C_+} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \varphi^{-2} \int_{C_-} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

Above, the object \hat{I} refers to the expression (5.8) rendered to be single-valued when moving away from C . The σ^2 -integral of (5.7) is described by the edge C and with (5.13) we may express it as:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) &= (-\varphi^2 + \varphi^{-2}) \int_0^{\tau_2} d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) \\ &= -\varphi^2 \int_{C_+} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) - \varphi^{-2} \int_{C_-} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

We have the relation between the integrals over the two cycles C_+ and C_-

$$\int_{C_-} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) = - \left(\int_{C_+} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) \right)^* , \quad (5.15)$$

which is the one-loop analog of (3.14). In addition, we can consider the two relations:

$$(1 - \varphi^2) \int_0^{\tau_2} d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \varphi^2 \int_{C_+} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) = 0 , \quad (5.16)$$

$$(-1 + \varphi^{-2}) \int_0^{\tau_2} d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \varphi^{-2} \int_{C_-} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) = 0 . \quad (5.17)$$

The two equations (5.16) and (5.17), which thanks to (5.15) are complex conjugate to each other, can be combined to provide (5.14). Likewise, we find:

$$\int_0^{\tau_2} d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) = (1 - \varphi^{-2})^{-1} \int_{C_+} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) . \quad (5.18)$$

Note, that due to this relation considering only C_+ for the analytic continuation is enough, cf. also [2].

For a given $\sigma^1 \in C_0$, with $C_0 = \{\sigma^1 \mid \sigma^1 \in (0, 1)\}$ we may introduce the pair of complex coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \sigma^1 - \tilde{\sigma}^2 , \\ \eta &= \sigma^1 + \tilde{\sigma}^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

with $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = -i\sigma^2$. These coordinates (5.19) become real along the imaginary axis $\sigma^2 \in i\mathbf{R}$, i.e. $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}$. We may deform the latter to reach the paths C_+ and C_- with the $2n$ branch points. Combining the cycles C_+ and C_- with the cycle C_0 for the σ^1 -integration according to (5.19) we obtain two independent integration paths C_ξ and C_η . Then, the contour $C_\xi = C_+ \cup C_0$ (depicted in red) probes the n zeros of $\theta_1(\xi - t_i)$, while the contour $C_\eta = C_- \cup C_0$ (depicted in blue) hits the n zeros of $\theta_1(\eta - \bar{t}_i)$. Recall, that in the case of the complex plane the two contours C_+ and C_- can be decoupled by pulling their finite ends to infinity. This is not the case here, where the two contours C_η and C_ξ are connected at $\sigma^2 = 0$, i.e. $\xi = \eta$ resulting in an additional coordinate dependent (splitting) function $\Psi'(\xi, \eta)$. This function originates from the change of coordinates (5.19) and will be specified below. Eventually, with (5.14) and (5.18) we obtain

$$M = (1 - e^{2\pi ic_0})^{-1} \oint_{C_\xi} d\xi T(\xi) \oint_{C_\eta} d\eta \bar{T}(\eta) \Psi'(\xi, \eta) \Pi(\xi, \eta) ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (1 - e^{2\pi ic_0})^{-1} \oint_{C_\xi} d\xi \prod_{r=1}^n \theta_1(\xi - t_r; \tau)^{c_r} \\
&\times \oint_{C_\eta} d\eta e^{-2\pi ic_0(\eta - \xi)} \Psi'(\xi, \eta) \prod_{s=1}^n \theta_1(\eta - \bar{t}_s; \bar{\tau})^{c_s} \Pi(\xi, \eta) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

with the phase $\Pi(\xi, \eta)$ rendering the integrand single-valued. The latter is identical to the phase $\Pi(\xi, \eta)$ introduced in (3.16), which in turn has an interpretation of twisted intersection numbers on the torus.

In fact, the monodromies of $e^{2\pi ic_0 \xi} \prod_{r=1}^n \theta_1(\xi - t_r; \tau)^{c_r}$ are related to the local system $\mathcal{L}_\omega(c_i)$ given in (4.7). Likewise, the monodromies of $e^{-2\pi ic_0 \eta} \prod_{s=1}^n \theta_1(\eta - \bar{t}_s; \bar{\tau})^{c_s}$ agree with those of $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\omega(c_i)}$, which in turn can be related to (4.8) for real coefficients c_i by the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{-\omega} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{L}_\omega}$ through complex conjugation. The two cycles C_ξ and C_η can be decomposed w.r.t. the basis of n cycles (4.12) together with γ_{10} . Furthermore, by applying (4.25) it is convenient to replace the cycles γ_{10} and $\gamma_{n,0}$ by γ_{1n} (dashed line in Fig. 3) and stay for both C_ξ and C_η on the branches $\varphi^{\pm 2}$ without passing $\sigma^2 = \pm i$, respectively. This way the cycles C_ξ and C_η attain the topology of closed cycles passing through all $2n$ points t_i, \bar{t}_i subject to $t_1, \bar{t}_1 = 0$, respectively. Consequently, we may consider the set of n relevant cycles

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{23}, \dots, \gamma_{n-1,n}, \gamma_{1n}\} \tag{5.21}$$

and their corresponding intersection numbers discussed in the previous Section 4. Accordingly, the set of cycles (5.21) shares the same intersection properties than on the complex plane $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$. Then, in (5.20) the phase $\Pi(\xi, \eta)$ can be expressed in terms of these twisted intersection numbers. Eventually, the expression (5.20) assumes the form of (5.5) subject to

$$\Omega(\xi, \eta) \simeq \Psi(\xi, \eta) \Pi(\xi, \eta) , \tag{5.22}$$

with the usual KLT phase factor $\Pi(\xi, \eta)$ together with the splitting function $\Psi(\xi, \eta) := (1 - e^{2\pi ic_0})^{-1} \Psi'(\xi, \eta)$ stemming from the change of coordinates (5.19). Note, that the n points t_i and \bar{t}_i are ordered along the paths C_ξ and C_η , respectively. For both sectors $\xi \in C_\xi$ and $\eta \in C_\eta$ this ordering gives rise to the configuration of n cycles $\gamma_{i,i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ depicted in Fig. 2. Their intersection properties have been studied in Section 4. Consequently, we have the relationship:

$$\Pi(\xi, \eta) \simeq \langle \gamma_{ij} \otimes KN_z | \tilde{\gamma}_{kl}^\vee \otimes \overline{KN_{\bar{z}}} \rangle . \tag{5.23}$$

More concretely with this identification Eq. (5.20) can be cast into

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma} \langle \gamma \otimes KN_z | \tilde{\gamma}^\vee \otimes \overline{KN_{\bar{z}}} \rangle \int_{\gamma} dz \prod_{r=1}^n \theta_1(z - t_r; \tau)^{c_r} \\
&\times \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} d\bar{z} e^{-2\pi ic_0(\bar{z} - z)} \Psi(z, \bar{z}) \prod_{s=1}^n \theta_1(\bar{z} - \bar{t}_s; \bar{\tau})^{c_s} .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

According to (2.4) the intersection numbers Π are computed as complex (bulk) integrals over the elliptic curve X and localize near the boundary of the moduli space describing configurations in which two or more punctures coalesce [23]. For this description we require

the local systems (4.7) and (4.8) to be related as $\overline{KN}_{\bar{z}} \simeq KN_z^{-1}$, which is true for real coefficients c_i . Likewise, the intersection numbers supply local properties stemming from the branching $\Pi(r, s)$, which appear after transforming to the coordinates (5.19). On the other hand, the splitting function $\Psi(\xi, \eta)$ describes the effects related to the cutting of the torus X and thus are not captured by (5.23). Note, that in order to deal in (5.1) with an integrand invariant under B -cycle shifts one may take the choice (4.6) (with $\sum_{k=1}^n q_{n+1}q_k = 0$) and integrate M over the loop momentum ℓ , cf. also Subsection 5.3.

If all remaining unintegrated points t_i were located along the imaginary axis, i.e. $\Re t_i = 0$ there is an explicit expression for the splitting function [2]:

$$\Psi(\xi, \eta) = \frac{(1 + e^{2\pi i c_0})}{(1 - e^{2\pi i c_0})} e^{-2\pi i c_0 \theta(\eta - \xi)}. \quad (5.25)$$

For this case the contours C_ξ and C_η can be located along the unit segment $\xi, \eta \in (0, 1)$ [2].

Note, that instead of the contours depicted in Fig. 3 we could also discuss the alternative closed contours shown in Fig. 4.




Figure 4: Complex σ^2 -plane and alternative closed contour for fixed $\sigma^1 \in (0, 1)$.

These contours follows from the discussion in Appendix A of [2]. The branch cut structure at $\sigma^2 = \pm \frac{\tau_2}{2}$ with phases $\varphi^{\mp 2}$ can be anticipated from

$$e^{2\pi i c_0(z - \bar{z})} = e^{-4\pi c_0 \sigma^2} = \left(\frac{\theta_1(i\sigma^2 - \frac{\tau}{2})}{\theta_1(i\sigma^2 + \frac{\tau}{2})} \right)^{2c_0}, \quad (5.26)$$

replacing (5.9) and at $\sigma^2 = \pm i$ from (5.12). The contour C_+ encircles the points $\sigma^2 = \pm \frac{\tau_2}{2}$ by a semi-arc giving rise to the phase factors $\varphi^{\pm 2}$, respectively. On the other hand, the point

$\sigma^2 = i$ is passed by a quarter arc giving rise to the additional phase factors $\varphi^{\pm 2}$, respectively. In total we obtain:

$$(-\varphi^2 + \varphi^{-2}) \int_{-\tau_2/2}^{\tau_2/2} d\sigma^2 I(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \varphi^2 \int_{C_+} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) + \varphi^{-2} \int_{C_-} d\sigma^2 \hat{I}(\sigma^1, \sigma^2) = 0 . \quad (5.27)$$

However, to account for the correct monodromy phases in (5.5) the cycle $\gamma_{1\infty}$ is also used. An other note is, that instead performing an analytic continuation in the variable σ^2 we could also consider contours in the complex σ^1 -plane. Then, the final result would assume a similar form than (5.20), in particular with c_0 replaced by c_∞ .

5.2. Multi-dimensional complex integration on the torus

Let us now discuss the case of multi-dimensional complex integration on the torus by starting at (5.1) and integrate w.r.t. the remaining n unintegrated points t_i . We shall consider

$$\begin{aligned} M &= V_{CKG}^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \int_T d^2 t_i \right) \int_T d^2 z T(z) \bar{T}(\bar{z}) \\ &\times e^{2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^n c_{0l}(t_i - \bar{t}_i)} \prod_{i < j}^n \theta_1(t_i - t_j)^{c_{ij}} \theta_1(\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_j)^{c_{ij}} , \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

with $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij} = 0$. This is the relevant case to write the one-loop KLT relation [2] as twisted period relation after allowing for a loop momentum dependence of the parameters c_{0l} and integrating over the loop momentum to guarantee invariance of the integrand under B -cycle shifts. In fact, only $n-1$ points need to be integrated over the torus due to the fixing $t_1 = 0$. This procedure cancels the volume $V_{CKG} = \tau_2$ of the conformal Killing group on the torus.

The result of the z -integration can be found in (5.20) supplemented by the additional z -independent factors. Now we systematically perform complex integrations w.r.t. to all n coordinates t_r . We start at t_n and consider the holomorphic functions

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(t_n) &= e^{2\pi i c_{0n} t_n} \theta_1(\xi - t_n)^{c_n} \prod_{i < n} \theta_1(t_i - t_n)^{c_{in}} , \\ \bar{T}_n(\bar{t}_n) &= e^{-2\pi i c_{0n} \bar{t}_n} \theta_1(\eta - \bar{t}_n)^{c_n} \prod_{i < n} \theta_1(\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_n)^{c_{in}} , \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

each depending on the set of n marked points ξ and t_i and η and \bar{t}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, respectively. To perform the relevant complex integral

$$\int_T d^2 t_n T_n(t_n) \bar{T}_n(\bar{t}_n) \quad (5.30)$$

we proceed as in the previous Subsection 5.1 and regard the integrand of (5.30) as analytic function in $\Im t_n$, with $t_n = \Re t_n + i \Im t_n$. In the complex $\Im t_n$ -plane there are n pairs of branch points. One pair at $\Im t_n = -i(\xi - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = i(\eta - \Re t_n)$ with phase $e^{\pi i c_n}$ and $n-1$ pairs at $\Im t_n = -i(t_l - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = i(\bar{t}_l - \Re t_n)$, $l = 1, \dots, n-1$ with corresponding

phases $e^{\pi i c_n}$. In the complex $\Im t_n$ -plane the locations of these branch points is similar than to the case studied before and depicted in Fig. 3. Only the pair of points $\Im t_n = -i(\xi - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = i(\eta - \Re t_n)$ is not complex conjugate to each other and the latter are already aligned along the imaginary axis of $\Im t_n$. Nevertheless, we may apply the previous steps and consider two cycles C_{\pm} with C_+ connecting all the n branch points $\Im t_n = -i(\xi - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = -i(t_l - \Re t_n)$, while C_- passing through the n points $\Im t_n = i(\eta - \Re t_n)$ and $\Im t_n = i(\bar{t}_l - \Re t_n)$. For a given $\Re t_n \in (-\infty, \infty)$ we introduce the two independent new complex coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_n &= \Re t_n + i \Im t_n, \\ \eta_n &= \Re t_n - i \Im t_n,\end{aligned}\tag{5.31}$$

which become real along the imaginary axis of $\Im t_n$, i.e. for $\Im t_n \in i\mathbf{R}$. Again, according to (5.31) the cycles C_+ and C_- are combined with the cycle describing the $\Re t_n$ -integration giving rise to two independent integration paths C_{ξ_n} and C_{η_n} in the $\Im t_n$ -plane, with C_{ξ_n} probing the n zeros of $\theta_1(\xi - \xi_n)$ and $\theta_1(t_l - \xi_n)$ and C_{η_n} hitting the zeros of $\theta_1(\eta - \eta_n)$ and $\theta_1(\bar{t}_l - \eta_n)$, respectively. The ξ_n and η_n integrations along the two cycles C_{ξ_n} and C_{η_n} are subject to a phase factor $\Pi(\eta_n, \xi_n)$ rendering the correct branch cut structure and a splitting function $\Psi(\eta_n, \xi_n)$ carrying the information about the change of coordinates (5.31). The path C_{ξ_n} may be described by $n - 1$ cycles $\gamma_{k,k+1}$ connecting the $n - 1$ remaining pairs of (unintegrated) points t_k, t_{k+1} , with $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and similarly for C_{η_n} . As above intersection numbers (5.23) can be used to specify the phase $\Pi(\eta_n, \xi_n)$. Since the intersection numbers (2.6) are computed locally at points $\nu_{ij} \in \Delta_i \cap \square_i$ the intersection properties of the cycles C_{ξ}, C_{η} and C_{ξ_n}, C_{η_n} can be computed independently and give rise to a product structure:

$$\Pi(\xi, \eta) \Pi(\eta_n, \xi_n) \simeq \langle \gamma_{i,i+1} \otimes KN_z | \gamma_{j,j+1}^{\vee} \otimes \overline{KN}_{\bar{z}} \rangle \langle \gamma_{k,k+1} \otimes KN_{t_n} | \gamma_{l,l+1}^{\vee} \otimes \overline{KN}_{\bar{t}_n} \rangle.\tag{5.32}$$

Here, KN_{t_n} and $\overline{KN}_{\bar{t}_n}$ describe the relevant local systems

$$\begin{aligned}KN_{t_n} &= e^{2\pi i c_0 n t_n} \theta_1(z - t_n)^{c_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_1(t_i - t_n)^{c_{in}}, \\ \overline{KN}_{\bar{t}_n} &= e^{-2\pi i c_0 n \bar{t}_n} \theta_1(\bar{z} - \bar{t}_n)^{c_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_1(\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_n)^{c_{in}},\end{aligned}\tag{5.33}$$

anticipated from (5.29) with z, \bar{z} accounting for the (real) parameters ξ, η , respectively. Since these local systems assume the form of the integrand (4.1) of the Riemann–Wirtinger integral we can borrow all intersection results from Section 4, subject to the identifications $c_0 \simeq c_{0n}$ and $c_i \simeq c_{in}$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

The above steps are successively applied to all coordinates t_k, \bar{t}_k , $k < n$ with the holomorphic functions

$$\begin{aligned}T_k(t_k) &= e^{2\pi i c_0 k t_k} \prod_{j>k}^{n+1} \theta_1(\xi_j - t_k)^{c_{jk}} \prod_{i<k} \theta_1(t_i - t_k)^{c_{ik}}, \\ \bar{T}_k(\bar{t}_k) &= e^{-2\pi i c_0 k \bar{t}_k} \prod_{j>k}^{n+1} \theta_1(\eta_j - \bar{t}_k)^{c_{jk}} \prod_{i<k} \theta_1(\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_k)^{c_{ik}},\end{aligned}\tag{5.34}$$

each depending on the set of n marked points $\cup_{j>k}\xi_j$, $\cup_{i<k}t_i$ and $\cup_{j>k}\eta_j$, $\cup_{i<k}\bar{t}_i$, respectively, with $\xi_{n+1} = \xi$, $\eta_{n+1} = \eta$ and $c_{n+1,j} = c_j$. The corresponding local systems can be cast into the forms (4.7) and (4.8), which provide the underlying intersection numbers. This way all n complex coordinates z, t_2, \dots, t_n are integrated by mapping the respective integrand to (5.1), subject to the fixing $t_1 = 0$. Again, each complex t_k -integration is split into two real integrations ξ_k, η_k subject to a phase factor of the form (5.22) accounting for the effects from the intersection properties of cycles $\Pi(\xi_k, \eta_k)$ and from the splitting process $\Psi(\xi_k, \eta_k)$.

The intersection properties are described locally for each sector k by the twisted intersection number (5.23) and give rise to a product structure as (5.32). In total, with $\sigma, \rho \in S_n$ denoting the ordering of $n + 1$ points we obtain the intersection matrix, cf. (3.9)

$$\Pi_{\sigma\rho} = \langle \Delta(\sigma) \otimes KN | \Delta(\rho)^\vee \otimes \overline{KN} \rangle \simeq e^{i\Phi(\sigma,\rho)} \quad (5.35)$$

with the pairing

$$| \Delta(\rho) \otimes KN \rangle = \int_{\Delta(\rho)} KN , \quad (5.36)$$

referring to the cycle (with $z_1, \bar{z}_1 \equiv 0$ and $z_{n+1} = z, \bar{z}_{n+1} = \bar{z}$)

$$\Delta(\sigma) = \{ (z_2, \dots, z_n, z) \in (0, 1) \mid 0 < z_{\sigma(2)} < \dots < z_{\sigma(n)} < z_{\sigma(n+1)} \} , \quad (5.37)$$

and the local systems extracted from the integrand of (5.28):

$$\begin{aligned} KN &= e^{2\pi i c_0 z} \prod_{l=1}^n e^{2\pi i c_{0l} z_l} \theta_1(z - z_l)^{c_l} \prod_{i<j}^n \theta_1(z_i - z_j)^{c_{ij}} , \\ \overline{KN} &= e^{-2\pi i c_0 \bar{z}} \prod_{l=1}^n e^{-2\pi i c_{0l} \bar{z}_l} \theta_1(\bar{z} - \bar{z}_l)^{c_l} \prod_{i<j}^n \theta_1(\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j)^{c_{ij}} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

On the other hand, for each coordinate change (5.31) the total splitting function Ψ is composed by a product of the individual functions $\Psi(\xi_k, \eta_k)$, which are explicitly given in (5.25).

Eventually, similar to (5.24) altogether we obtain for (5.28):

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \sum_{\sigma, \rho \in S_n} \langle \Delta(\sigma) \otimes KN | \Delta(\rho)^\vee \otimes \overline{KN} \rangle \\ &\times \int_{\Delta(\sigma)} \left(\prod_{r=2}^{n+1} dz_r \right) KN \int_{\Delta(\rho)} \left(\prod_{r=2}^{n+1} d\bar{z}_r \right) \overline{KN} \prod_{k=2}^{n+1} \Psi(z_k, \bar{z}_k) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

Note, that at tree-level the splitting of the complex integrations into real integrations can conveniently be described by inserting the identity (3.20). The same procedure is not applicable at one-loop due to the change of coordinates (5.31) resulting in the additional splitting function Ψ . The latter is explicitly given by (5.25). Besides, in (5.39) as a consequence of slicing the torus and introducing the new coordinates (5.31) for the choice (4.6) the splitting function (5.25) is only inert under shifts in the loop momentum $\ell \rightarrow \ell + q_n$ or likewise B -cycle shifts in t_n if $c_n = \frac{1}{2}\alpha' q_n q_{n+1} \in \mathbf{Z}$. A related issue appears in [20], where it is suggested to solve this problem by taking an integer parameter c_n .

5.3. One-loop closed string amplitude on the torus

In the following we shall apply our results to the one-loop n -point closed string torus amplitude. After chiral splitting and introducing a loop momentum ℓ this amplitude reads

$$\mathcal{M}_{n;1}^{closed}(q_1, \dots, q_n) = \frac{1}{2} g_c^n \delta^{(d)} \left(\sum_{r=1}^n q_r \right) \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2} M_{n;1}^{closed}(q_1, \dots, q_n), \quad (5.40)$$

involving a complex structure integral over the fundamental region \mathcal{F}_1 of the torus and the integrand

$$\begin{aligned} M_{n;1}^{closed}(q_1, \dots, q_n) &= V_{CKG}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d\ell e^{-\pi\alpha'\tau_2\ell^2} e^{-\pi i\alpha'\ell \sum_{i=1}^n q_i(z_i - \bar{z}_i)} \\ &\times \left(\prod_{r=1}^n \int_{E_\tau} d^2z_r \right) \prod_{i<j} |\theta_1(z_i - z_j; \tau)|^{\alpha'q_iq_j} \theta_1(z_i - z_j; \tau)^{n_{ij}} \bar{\theta}_1(\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j; \bar{\tau})^{\tilde{n}_{ij}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

with some integers $n_{ij}, \tilde{n}_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$. The amplitude (5.40) describes the scattering of n closed string states of external momenta $q_i \in \mathbf{R}$ subject to momentum conservation $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 0$. The n coordinates z_r represent the vertex operator positions and are integrated over the torus, i.e. $z_r \in E_\tau$. By using contour deformation and Cauchy's theorem on the elliptic curve the $n-1$ complex integrations z_r can be converted to $n-1$ pairs ξ_r, η_r of real integrations. This way (5.41) can be written in factorized form comprising two open string sectors [2].

Here, we shall demonstrate the factorization of the n complex integrations in (5.41) by applying the result (5.39) and link (5.41) to the twisted (co)homology of the Riemann–Wirtinger integral (4.1). The discussion on monodromies and analytic continuation does not depend on the specific values of the integers n_{ij}, \tilde{n}_{ij} . Therefore, in the following we shall assume $n_{ij}, \tilde{n}_{ij} = 0$. Furthermore, we restrict to massless external states, i.e. $q_i^2 = 0$. We borrow the result (5.39) and match it to (5.41) by performing the following replacements in (5.39), cf. also (4.6):

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ij} &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \alpha' q_i q_j, & c_{0i} &\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \alpha' \ell q_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ c_k &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \alpha' q_{n+1} q_k, & c_0 &\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \alpha' \ell q_{n+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

and subsequently:

$$n \rightarrow n - 1. \quad (5.43)$$

With these replacements we can write (5.41) in the following factorized form

$$\begin{aligned} M_{n;1}^{closed}(q_1, \dots, q_n) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d\ell e^{-\pi\alpha'\tau_2\ell^2} \sum_{\sigma, \rho \in S_n} \langle \Delta(\sigma) \otimes KN | \Delta(\rho)^\vee \otimes \overline{KN} \rangle \\ &\times \int_{\Delta(\sigma)} \left(\prod_{r=2}^{n+1} dz_r \right) KN \int_{\Delta(\rho)} \left(\prod_{r=2}^n d\bar{z}_r \right) \overline{KN} \prod_{k=2}^{n+1} \Psi(z_k, \bar{z}_k) \Bigg|_{\substack{\text{Eq. (5.42)} \\ \&\text{Eq. (5.43)}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

with the choice

$$z_1 = \bar{z}_1 = 1 , \tag{5.45}$$

the cycles (5.37), the Koba–Nielsen factors (5.38) and the intersection matrix (5.35) – all subject to (5.42). Note, that by construction the latter can be represented as a product of intersection numbers on the one–dimensional space E_τ computed in Section 4.

6. Concluding remarks

In (5.20) and (5.24) for single complex integration with n marked points $t_r \in \mathbf{C}$ we have formulated one–loop KLT relations in terms of twisted (co)homology by using intersection numbers (5.23) of twisted cycles on the elliptic curve, albeit restricting the complex structure modulus to $\Re(\tau) = 0$ – with B –cycle monodromy supposed to be cancelled by a loop momentum integral and (4.6). These intersection numbers are related to the twisted (co)homology of the Riemann–Wirtinger integral (4.1). The decomposition (5.5) w.r.t. the basis of n twisted cycles (5.21) uses twisted intersection numbers, which refer to the local systems (4.7) and (4.8) with generic (real) kinematic invariants c_i and without constraining the parameter c_∞ . In fact, the latter does not enter in the relevant intersection data (5.23) appearing in the final result (5.24).

In contrast, in [20] the double copy relation for single complex integration is conjectured to arise from replacing dual (co)cycles by complex conjugated (co)cycles in the Riemann bilinear relations and cancelling the multi–validness of the integrand appearing in the definition of the intersection number. For this description the B –cycle monodromy is closed without a loop momentum integral, but by constraining $\Im c_\infty$ as in (5.2). This constraint imposes a relation between the complex structure modulus τ , remaining n unintegrated positions t_i and parameters c_i . For real c_i this becomes [19]:

$$\Im c_\infty = c_0 \tau_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \Im t_i \stackrel{!}{=} 0 . \tag{6.1}$$

At present it is not clear to us how this constraint subjects the remaining n position integrations t_i or likewise what additional constraints may arise [20]. On the other hand, our approach neither builds up on a variant of Riemann bilinear relations nor it requires (6.1), but instead it is based on analytic continuation of the torus coordinates subject to:

$$\Re \tau = 0 . \tag{6.2}$$

The requirement (6.1) may stem from a constraint slicing the torus. Interestingly, for the assignment (4.6) the condition (6.1) is met for $\alpha' l q_{n+1} = 0$ and $\Im t_i = a$ with a generic real parameter $a \in \mathbf{R}$, i.e. if (after analytic continuation) all n coordinates t_i are eventually aligned along a A –cycle line [2]. See [3] for a working example with the constraint $\alpha' l q = 0$.

The generalization to $n + 1$ complex integrations (5.28) is exhibited in (5.39) and (5.44). The twisted intersection numbers (5.35) are computed from the data (5.36)–(5.38) and can be mapped for each coordinate z_i to the single complex integration case (5.23) with generic (real) kinematic invariants c_i and without constraining the parameter c_∞ . From the mathematical side the combinatorial and topological relations (5.39) and (5.44) establish a variant

of twisted Riemann’s period relation at genus one – subject to loop momentum integration. Likewise, our approach is suited to understand how to apply the Riemann bilinear relations for complex conjugated (co)cycles. However, the splitting function Ψ prevents from describing the holomorphic splitting of the $n + 1$ complex torus integrations in lines of the tree-level KLT relations (3.20) by simply inserting

$$\sum_{\sigma, \rho \in S_n} e^{i\Phi(\sigma, \rho)} |\Delta(\sigma) \otimes \overline{KN}\rangle \langle \Delta(\rho) \otimes KN|, \quad (6.3)$$

referring to the data (5.36)–(5.38). Note also the comment about further possible restrictions at the end of Subsection 5.2.

The intersection numbers (5.23) used for our double copy description (5.20) are computed on the torus E_τ . On the other hand, these intersection numbers do not account for the splitting function (5.25) describing the cutting of the torus into a cylinder. We believe that intersection numbers (2.4) and consequently (5.35) should be defined on a cylinder surface in order to fully account for the cutting procedure and the expression (5.22), cf. also [29]. We will leave this task to our future work.

Finally, it would be very interesting to relax the condition (6.2) and generalize our findings to generic complex structure modulus τ by means of analytic continuation in the complex τ -plane.

Acknowledgment

We thank Johannes Broedel for many insightful discussions and Oliver Schlotterer for one interesting discussion. This research was supported in part by grant NSF PHY-2309135 to the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics (KITP).

Appendix A. Direct computation of intersection numbers

In this appendix we give a direct and detailed computation of some of the intersection numbers which we have used in this work, namely $I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{n,0}^\vee])$, $I_h([\gamma_{1n}], [\gamma_{12}^\vee])$ and $I_h([\gamma_{1n}], [\gamma_{n-1,n}^\vee])$. In order to compute these intersection numbers we need to regularize one of the cycles. By convention and according to (2.3) we choose to regularize cycles γ of the main homology i.e. $\gamma \in H_1(X, \mathcal{L}_\omega)$.

We start with the intersection number $I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{n,0}^\vee])$. Following the standard convention we regularize the cycle γ_{12} . The important part of the path regularization is the circle around z_1 , which we need to decompose into four arcs (m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3) as depicted in Fig. 5.




Figure 5: Regularized path $[\gamma_{12}]$ intersecting with $\gamma_{n,0}$

For each arc we have the local branches defined in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_0 &= I_0 \otimes T^0(z), & I_0 &= [0, \theta], \\
 m_1 &= I_1 \otimes (e^{-2\pi i c_0} T^1(z)), & I_1 &= [\theta, \pi], \\
 m_2 &= I_2 \otimes (e^{-2\pi i (c_0 + c_\infty)} T^2(z)), & I_2 &= [\pi, \pi + \theta], \\
 m_3 &= I_3 \otimes (e^{-2\pi i c_\infty} T^3(z)), & I_3 &= [\pi + \theta, 2\pi], \\
 l_{ij}^\varepsilon &= I_{ij}^\varepsilon \otimes T(z) \Big|_{I_{ij}^\varepsilon}, & I_{ij}^\varepsilon &= [z_i^\varepsilon, z_j^\varepsilon], \\
 s_k &= \frac{e^{2\pi i c_k}}{e^{2\pi i c_k} - 1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where z_i^ε corresponds to the circle of radius ε around z_i and s_i is the monodromy around the z_i . With this information, we calculate the intersection number of the regularized path γ_{12} with $\gamma_{n,0}^\vee$ as shown in Fig. 6.




Figure 6: Regularized path $[\gamma_{12}]$ intersecting with $\gamma_{n,0}$

Obviously, there is only one intersection point which goes through the curve m_1 . Therefore, we calculate the intersection number at this point and obtain the following expression for this intersection number

$$\begin{aligned}
I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{n,0}^\vee]) &= \left(\frac{m_0 + \rho_1(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}{d_1} + l_{1,2}^\varepsilon - \frac{s_2}{d_2} \right) \cdot \gamma_{n,0}^\vee \\
&= \left(\frac{m_0 + \rho_1(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}{d_1} \cdot \gamma_{n,0}^\vee \right) = \frac{\rho_1(m_1 \cdot \gamma_{n,0}^\vee)}{d_1} = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_0^{-1}}{d_1},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

where we have used the notations $\rho_i = e^{2\pi i c_i}$, $d_i = \rho_i - 1$. Therefore we have

$$I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{n,0}^\vee]) = e^{-2\pi i c_0} \frac{e^{2\pi i c_1}}{d_1}, \tag{A.3}$$

which agrees with (4.23).

As another important example, we look at the intersection numbers (4.26) and (4.27) and we demonstrate how we can calculate them directly. For the intersection number (4.26), by using standard convention we regularize the cycle associated to γ_{1n} . We have the intersection diagram, depicted in Fig. 7, where we have indicated the intersection points with blue dots. Note that one can always deform the cycle γ_{1n} in such a way that other cycles $\gamma_{i,i+1}$ do not intersect with it. We can now compute the two intersection numbers as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_h([\gamma_{1n}], [\gamma_{12}^\vee]) &= \left(\frac{m_0 + \rho_1(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}{d_1} + l_{1n}^\varepsilon - \frac{s_n}{d_n} \right) \cdot \gamma_{12}^\vee \\
&= \left(\frac{m_0 + \rho_1(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}{d_1} \cdot \gamma_{12}^\vee \right) = \frac{(m_0 \cdot \gamma_{12}^\vee)}{d_1} = -\frac{1}{d_1} \\
I_h([\gamma_{1n}], [\gamma_{n-1,n}^\vee]) &= \left(\frac{m_0 + \rho_1(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}{d_1} + l_{1n}^\varepsilon - \frac{s_n}{d_n} \right) \cdot \gamma_{n-1,n}^\vee \\
&= -\left(\frac{s_n}{d_n} \cdot \gamma_{n-1,n}^\vee \right) = -\frac{(s_n \cdot \gamma_{n-1,n}^\vee)}{d_n} = -\frac{c_n}{d_n},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where the last minus sign in the first intersection number is due to the topological intersection orientation.




Figure 7: Regularized path $[\gamma_{1n}]$ intersecting with γ_{12} and $\gamma_{n-1,n}$

Finally, looking at the other intersection number (4.27) and using the standard convention we regularize the paths $\gamma_{i,i+1}$ as depicted in Fig. 8.




Figure 8: Regularized path $[\gamma_{12}]$ and $[\gamma_{n-1,n}]$ intersecting with γ_{1n}

Similar to the last case we can see that we have two intersection points. However, given the locations of the cycles w.r.t. each other, we have a phase difference relative to the previous

case. With this information we obtain the following results:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_h([\gamma_{12}], [\gamma_{1n}^\vee]) &= \left(\frac{m_0 + \rho_1(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}{d_1} + l_{1,2}^\varepsilon - \frac{s_2}{d_2} \right) \cdot \gamma_{1n}^\vee \\
&= \left(\frac{m_0 + \rho_1(m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}{d_1} \cdot \gamma_{1n}^\vee \right) = \frac{(m_0 \cdot \gamma_{1n}^\vee)}{d_1} = -\frac{c_1}{d_1}, \\
I_h([\gamma_{n-1,n}], [\gamma_{1n}^\vee]) &= \left(\frac{s_{n-1}}{d_{n-1}} + l_{1n}^\varepsilon - \frac{s_n}{d_n} \right) \cdot \gamma_{1n}^\vee \\
&= -\left(\frac{s_n}{d_n} \cdot \gamma_{1n}^\vee \right) = -\frac{(s_n \cdot \gamma_{1n}^\vee)}{d_n} = -\frac{1}{d_n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

References

- [1] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen, S. H. H. Tye, A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings, *Nucl. Phys. B* 269 (1986) 1–23.
- [2] S. Stieberger, A Relation between One-Loop Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings (One-Loop KLT Relation), [arXiv:2212.06816](#).
- [3] S. Stieberger, One-loop Double Copy Relation in String Theory (10 2023). [arXiv:2310.07755](#).
- [4] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, H. Johansson, New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. D* 78 (2008) 085011. [arXiv:0805.3993](#).
- [5] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, P. Vanhove, Minimal Basis for Gauge Theory Amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 103 (2009) 161602. [arXiv:0907.1425](#).
- [6] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, R. Roiban, The Duality Between Color and Kinematics and its Applications (9 2019). [arXiv:1909.01358](#).
- [7] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, M. Perelstein, J. S. Rozowsky, Multileg one loop gravity amplitudes from gauge theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* 546 (1999) 423–479. [arXiv:hep-th/9811140](#).
- [8] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, T. Sondergaard, P. Vanhove, The Momentum Kernel of Gauge and Gravity Theories, *JHEP* 01 (2011) 001. [arXiv:1010.3933](#).
- [9] H.-H. Chi, H. Elvang, A. Herderschee, C. R. T. Jones, S. Paranjape, Generalizations of the double-copy: the KLT bootstrap, *JHEP* 03 (2022) 077. [arXiv:2106.12600](#).
- [10] K. Baune, J. Broedel, A KLT-like construction for multi-Regge amplitudes, *Nucl. Phys. B* 998 (2024) 116416. [arXiv:2306.16257](#).
- [11] S. Mizera, Combinatorics and Topology of Kawai-Lewellen-Tye Relations, *JHEP* 08 (2017) 097. [arXiv:1706.08527](#).
- [12] S. Mizera, Kinematic Jacobi Identity is a Residue Theorem: Geometry of Color-Kinematics Duality for Gauge and Gravity Amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 124 (14) (2020) 141601. [arXiv:1912.03397](#).
- [13] P. Mazloumi, S. Stieberger, Einstein Yang-Mills amplitudes from intersections of twisted forms, *JHEP* 06 (2022) 125. [arXiv:2201.00837](#).
- [14] P. Mazloumi, S. Stieberger, Intersections of twisted forms: New theories and double copies, *Nucl. Phys. B* 994 (2023) 116324. [arXiv:2212.12535](#).
- [15] T. Mano, The Riemann–Wirtinger Integral and Monodromy-Preserving Deformation on Elliptic Curves, *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2008 (2008) 1073–7928.
- [16] T. Mano, Studies on monodromy preserving deformation of linear differential equations on elliptic curves, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 50 (10 2009).

- [17] T. Mano, H. Watanabe, Twisted cohomology and homology groups associated to the Riemann–Wirtinger integral, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 140 (11) (2012) 3867–3881.
- [18] Y. Goto, Intersection numbers of twisted homology and cohomology groups associated to the Riemann–Wirtinger integral, *International Journal of Mathematics* 34 (03) (2023) 2350005.
- [19] S. Ghazouani, L. Pirio, Moduli spaces of flat tori and elliptic hypergeometric functions, *arXiv:1605.02356* (2016).
- [20] R. Bhardwaj, A. Pokraka, L. Ren, C. Rodriguez, A double copy from twisted (co)homology at genus one (12 2023). *arXiv:2312.02148*.
- [21] K. Aomoto, M. Kita, *Theory of Hypergeometric Functions*, 2011.
- [22] D. Oprisa, S. Stieberger, Six gluon open superstring disk amplitude, multiple hypergeometric series and Euler-Zagier sums (9 2005). *arXiv:hep-th/0509042*.
- [23] M. Kita, M. Yoshida, Intersection theory for twisted cycles, *Mathematische Nachrichten* 166 (1) (1994) 287–304.
- [24] R. Britto, S. Mizera, C. Rodriguez, O. Schlotterer, Coaction and double-copy properties of configuration-space integrals at genus zero, *JHEP* 05 (2021) 053. *arXiv:2102.06206*.
- [25] S. Mizera, *Aspects of Scattering Amplitudes and Moduli Space Localization*, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton, Inst. Advanced Study (2020). *arXiv:1906.02099*.
- [26] S. Hohenegger, S. Stieberger, Monodromy Relations in Higher-Loop String Amplitudes, *Nucl. Phys. B* 925 (2017) 63–134. *arXiv:1702.04963*.
- [27] P. Tourkine, P. Vanhove, Higher-loop amplitude monodromy relations in string and gauge theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117 (21) (2016) 211601. *arXiv:1608.01665*.
- [28] E. Casali, S. Mizera, P. Tourkine, Monodromy relations from twisted homology, *JHEP* 12 (2019) 087. *arXiv:1910.08514*.
- [29] S. Stieberger, Open & Closed vs. Pure Open String One-Loop Amplitudes, *arXiv:2105.06888*.