

CONTENTS

Contents	1
Abstract	2
1 Introduction	3
2 Background	5
2.1 Interoperability in the Digital Ecosystem	5
2.2 Interoperability in the Metaverse	6
3 Research Method	7
3.1 Database and Search Query	7
3.2 Study Identification (Stage 1)	8
3.3 Screening (Stage 2 and 3)	8
3.4 Data Analysis Methods (Stage 4)	10
4 Analysis	10
4.1 Interoperability Across Multiple Devices	10
4.2 Seamless Navigation and Interoperability Among Platforms	12
4.3 Integrated Interaction Between Physical and Virtual Worlds	19
4.4 Institutional Factors in Metaverse Interoperability	25
5 Finding	31
5.1 The Core and Status of Metaverse Interoperability	31
5.2 Future Research Agenda on Metaverse Interoperability	34
6 Conclusion	40
References	40

Interoperability of the Metaverse: A Digital Ecosystem Perspective Review

LIANG YANG*, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR
SHI-TING NI, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), China
YUYANG WANG, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), China
AO YU, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), China
JYH-AN LEE, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR
PAN HUI†, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), China

The Metaverse stands at the forefront of the impending digital revolution, with the potential to transform industries and lifestyles significantly. However, in 2023, skepticism emerged within industrial and academic spheres, raising concerns that enthusiasm for the Metaverse may surpass the actual technological advancements. Interoperability has been at the heart of this discourse, acknowledged as a significant barrier to realizing the full potential of the Metaverse. A report by CoinMarketCap highlighted that, as of February 2023, out of over 240 metaverse initiatives, the vast majority existed in isolation, emphasizing this challenge. Despite the consensus on the critical role of interoperability, there remains a gap in systematic research dedicated to examining its specific impact on the Metaverse, its significance, and the extent of its development. Our study addresses this deficit through a systematic literature review, employing content analysis methodologies in a structured search of the Web of Science (WoS) and Scopus databases, yielding 74 publications pertinent to our research after a thorough selection process. Interoperability, by its nature, is challenging to define due to varying contexts and the lack of a standardized definition. While the Metaverse itself does not have a uniform definition yet, it is commonly viewed as a digital ecosystem. Urs Gasser has proposed a framework for interoperability within digital ecosystems, outlining the technological, data, human, and institutional dimensions, each with their respective benefits and risks. This framework offers a systematic approach to the complexities of interoperability. By incorporating Gasser's framework, we dissect the identified literature to construct an extensive overview of Metaverse interoperability research. Our study aims to set benchmarks for future inquiries, navigating the intricate field of Metaverse interoperability studies and contributing to its scholarly development.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Metaverse, Interoperability, Interoperable metaverse, Digital Ecosystem, Cross-devices, Seamless Navigation, Protocols, Virtual-Physical Integration, Phygital, Governance

*Liang Yang is also affiliated with The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou)

†Pan Hui is also affiliated with Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, and University of Helsinki, Finland

Authors' addresses: Liang Yang, lyangbl@connect.ust.hk, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR; Shi-Ting Ni, stni289@connect.hkust-gz.edu.cn, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), China; Yuyang Wang, yuyangwang@hkust-gz.edu.cn, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), China; Ao Yu, aoyu@hkust-gz.edu.cn, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), China; Jyh-An Lee, jalee@cuhk.edu.hk, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR; Pan Hui, panhui@ust.hk, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), China.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM 0004-5411/2024/3-ARTXX

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>




Fig. 1. (a) Metaverse Research Trends 2012-2023: English Articles and Proceedings from WoS and Scopus (b) Metaverse Interoperability Literature Histogram by Year

ACM Reference Format:

Liang Yang, Shi-Ting Ni, Yuyang Wang, Ao Yu, Jyh-An Lee, and Pan Hui. 2024. Interoperability of the Metaverse: A Digital Ecosystem Perspective Review. *J. ACM* XX, X, Article XX (March 2024), 47 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

1 INTRODUCTION

The concept of the Metaverse has rapidly evolved from speculative fiction into a tangible and ambitious goal for the future of digital interaction. Conceived as a collective virtual shared space, forged by the convergence of virtually enhanced physical and digital reality, the Metaverse stands on the brink of delivering unparalleled opportunities for social engagement, entertainment, and commerce [111]. An analysis of publication trends over the past 10 years, depicted in Figure 1(a), reveals a steep upward trajectory, which indicates that the landscape of the Metaverse research has burgeoned with remarkable speed. This burgeoning volume of research highlights the escalating recognition of the Metaverse as a pivotal area of inquiry and innovation.

However, the term Metaverse is widely spread and discussed but still lacks a specific, universal definition through a detailed literature review [11]. Dionisio et al. have described it as a realistic, immersive environment that facilitates omnipresent access, consistent identity, interoperability, and scalability [36]. The Metaverse is referred to as the Internet's next evolution, typically described as a network of interconnected, immersive digital spaces accessible through multiple devices [139]. Matthew Ball defines the Metaverse as a vast, interoperable network of real-time 3D virtual worlds, where countless users simultaneously maintain a personal presence and consistent data like identity, history, and assets [19]. Lee et al. conceptualize the Metaverse as a digitally-created space that merges aspects of the physical and virtual worlds [77]. Abilkaiyrkyzy et al. describe the Metaverse as a universe composed of persistent digital twins – virtual counterparts of both living and non-living entities [8]. A common emphasis in mainstream definitions is on interoperability – the seamless interconnection capability – yet, it is also these interoperability challenges that constitute a significant impediment to the Metaverse's advancement [19, 134, 148].

In 2023, the importance of these challenges was emphasized by leaders across industrial and academic sectors. The World Economic Forum and Accenture underscored the necessity of interoperability to transform social interactions and the digital economy in their white paper "Interoperability

in the Metaverse," advocating for robust governance and collaborative efforts [125]. Harvard Business School scholars Andy Wu and David B. Yoffie have underscored a critical debate: will the Metaverse evolve as an open, interconnected ecosystem akin to the Internet, or will it resemble a collection of siloed platforms, similar to today's app stores and social networks? The resolution of this debate is far from being merely theoretical; it carries substantial economic consequences, with the potential to direct billions in investment and mold the financial landscapes of the future [144].

Despite the launch of over 240 metaverse initiatives by February 2023, as reported by CoinMarketCap, the majority remain standalone endeavors, highlighting the urgent necessity for interoperability [68]. Over the past years, there has been a notable surge in academic literature discussing the Metaverse interoperability, as shown by Figure 1 (b). Yet, even with the growing awareness, there is a discernible gap in scholarly literature: a thorough assessment of the complex nature of interoperability research within the Metaverse, including its definitions and scope, the present status, possible solutions, and the broader pathway forward. This study aims to bridge this gap by proposing four research questions (RQs) designed to unravel the intricacies of achieving a fully interoperable Metaverse:

- **RQ1:** How to frame the analysis of the Metaverse interoperability?
- **RQ2:** What consensus themes exist within the current literature on the Metaverse interoperability?
- **RQ3:** What are the main research findings within these themes, and how can they be systematically integrated?
- **RQ4:** What are the current challenges and future research agendas?

This study takes a methodical approach to address our research questions, analyzing 74 articles spanning two decades(2003-2023). Employing content analysis methodologies, we aim to discern patterns, identify gaps, decode the discussion of this topic, and understand the evolving narrative of the Metaverse interoperability landscape. The investigation is segmented into specific sections addressing the research questions. Section 2 sets the scene by offering an overview of interoperability in the digital ecosystem and its significance in the Metaverse context, addressing our RQ1. Section 3 outlines our comprehensive review strategy and research methodology, reporting interim results. Section 4 presents a comprehensive literature analysis, categorizing key themes and integrating research outcomes to answer our RQ2 and RQ3. Section 5 synthesizes these insights to highlight current obstacles and proposes an agenda for future research, addressing our RQ4. The paper concludes with Section 6, summarizing our findings and implications for the field.

Our contributions are as follows: Firstly, we've identified a critical gap in the literature—a lack of comprehensive, systematic reviews on the topic of Metaverse interoperability, despite its growing attention. Our work pioneers in bridging this gap. Secondly, as the Metaverse emerges as a new-generation digital ecosystem, and interoperability presents a multi-faceted and broad topic, we've devised a theoretical framework to organize and deepen our understanding of this complexity. Furthermore, our literature review has pinpointed three key LAYERS for different aspects within this subject. We offer an in-depth analysis of these three LAYERS, which provides fresh insights and a defined approach to comprehend the full scope of the Metaverse better, aiding in achieving greater consensus on its concepts and extent within both academic and industrial spheres, as depicted in Figure 2. Finally, we've comprehensively reviewed the main scholarly discussions, encompassing user experience needs, core supporting technologies, prevalent data protocols, significant standards organizations, and the latest industry developments. We clearly outline the present challenges and establish a research agenda, setting the stage for continuous academic exploration and technological innovation in the realm of the Metaverse Interoperability.




Fig. 2. The Scope Visualization of Metaverse Interoperability Based on Our Research Findings

2 BACKGROUND

This section provides an overview of the scope and definition of the Metaverse interoperability. It then introduces Urs Gasser’s interoperability framework, which is structured across four layers: technological, data, human, and institutional[45]. This framework is pertinent to our analysis as it offers a comprehensive lens to examine the multifaceted nature of interoperability within the Metaverse. We conclude by detailing how we apply this framework to the current research.

2.1 Interoperability in the Digital Ecosystem

Interoperability is a complex and multifaceted concept [54, 95], encompassing technical, semantic, organizational, and legal dimensions [143]. From a technical perspective, ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765:2017 defines interoperability as the ability of systems, products, or components to exchange information effectively and to use that information [65]. This includes seamless cooperation between object request brokers, data transfer with minimal user knowledge of system intricacies, and the collaborative capability of objects to communicate and exchange actionable information. The ISO/IEC/IEEE standards emphasize the significance of technical interoperability for smooth information flow and collaborative functionality. Beyond technical implementation, semantic interoperability is crucial for ensuring that shared information is accurately understood and interpreted across different systems [58, 95]. It involves aligning the meaning and interpretation of data, messages, and commands among systems and interpreting knowledge from other languages at the semantic level, assigning the correct interpretation or set of models to each piece of imported knowledge [39]. Achieving semantic interoperability is essential to prevent misunderstandings, enhance collaborative efficiency, and facilitate seamless integration across diverse systems. Organizational and




Fig. 3. Urs Gasser's Framework for Understanding Interoperability within Digital Ecosystem

governance considerations are also integral to achieving interoperability. Organizations operate with unique business processes, data formats, and standards, which require establishing common criteria, protocols, and rules for cross-organizational interoperability [143]. Furthermore, appropriate governance mechanisms and collaborative frameworks are necessary to foster stakeholder cooperation and coordination and to address potential conflicts and challenges. Interoperability is also influenced by legal and regulatory constraints, particularly concerning data protection, privacy, and security [33, 55]. Market competition and platform governance issues are relevant as well [89]. Achieving interoperability involves not only adhering to laws and regulations but also ensuring the compatibility of legal authorizations across various organizations for compliance and functional cooperation.

The definition of interoperability is challenging due to its context-dependent nature and the lack of a universal definition. Fundamentally, within the realm of information technologies, it represents the ability to exchange and utilize data and information across different systems, applications, or components. Urs Gasser provides a multifaceted framework for understanding interoperability, which outlines technological, data, human, and institutional functional layers, as well as the associated benefits and potential risks [45]. This model offers structured approaches to navigate the complexities of interoperability, as illustrated in Figure 3. The technological layer involves the hardware and code that enable physical connections between systems, facilitating data sharing via agreed-upon interfaces. The data layer focuses on the ability of interconnected systems to understand and interpret shared data, closely intertwined with the technological layer. The human layer emphasizes the assurance of user experience in comprehending and effectively utilizing exchanged data. The institutional layer then extends into the realm of societal systems, encapsulating standards organizations, collaborative methods, as well as legal and policy frameworks, which do not require complete uniformity but rather a sufficient level of commonality to safeguard the interests of involved parties. Urs Gasser's framework provides a comprehensive approach to navigating the complexities of interoperability within a digital ecosystem. We must recognize that the division into these four layers merely establishes a conceptual framework; the categories are not entirely exclusive and some unavoidable overlaps exist, particularly among the human, technical, and data layers, a nuance that was acknowledged in our application of this framework but does not detract from its overall utility.

2.2 Interoperability in the Metaverse

The Metaverse, which has yet to be uniformly defined, is widely recognized as the digital ecosystem that succeeds the Internet [19, 27, 37, 107]. Our literature review categorizes discussions of the

Metaverse into three primary dimensions. The first dimension envisions the Metaverse as an evolved user experience driven by cutting-edge technologies such as Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Mixed Reality (MR) [19, 77, 90, 128]. These innovations facilitate a shift to spatial engagement, transitioning from 2D to 3D experiences. Rather than replacing existing digital platforms, they enhance them by merging with the current Internet infrastructure to provide a richer, more immersive form of interaction, predominantly through advanced smart devices [30, 44, 128]. The second dimension forges a pervasive, immersive digital landscape that has evolved from the Internet and Massively Multiplayer Online Games (MMOGs) [75, 120]. In this virtual realm, users navigate as avatars, engaging with various virtual content and environments that reflect the physical world. It offers a space for enhanced sensory engagement, self-expression, and presence [103, 114]. This layer builds on advancements in VR, AR, and MR and also integrates Artificial Intelligence (AI) [20] and blockchain technology [60, 61], adding layers of security and trust to users' virtual lives and economic interactions. The Metaverse envisions a seamless fusion of the physical and virtual worlds in the third dimension, effectively dissolving the divide between digital and physical realities [62, 117]. This advanced stage imagines life within a "surreality", a blended space where everyday living, work, and play are redefined across integrated realities. This integration extends beyond visual experiences to include tactile [101] and auditory sensations [69]. Leveraging the Internet of Things (IoT) [49], digital twins [127], external or wearable devices [12, 20, 22], and biometric technologies [135], the Metaverse aims to provide real-time feedback on users' physiological and emotional states, enabling highly personalized and responsive experiences [50]. This exploration promises to redefine human lifestyles and work paradigms.

From a functional standpoint, interoperability in the Metaverse resembles that within a universal digital ecosystem, as discussed in section 2.1. It involves the seamless and ideally transparent exchange of information and interactions between diverse systems or platforms, supported by a consensus that matures into formalized standards [36, 56, 62]. Within the Metaverse context, recognizing interoperability as a key characteristic is critical; it determines whether the Metaverse can emerge as a truly unified space, deserving of a capitalized 'M'. Just as the capitalized 'I' in the Internet represents a global network ecosystem, the Metaverse requires a foundational understanding and communication between diverse platforms and spaces [19, 36]. The goal is not only maintaining technical compatibility but also crafting a seamless and intuitive user experience as the information exchange that the Internet facilitates.

We aim to synthesize all relevant interoperability literature and utilize Urs Gasser's framework to perform an applied analysis. This endeavor is set to yield a thorough and detailed map of interoperability within the Metaverse. The structured analysis aims to provide clear, actionable insights for the interoperable evolution of the Metaverse.

3 RESEARCH METHOD

3.1 Database and Search Query

The methodology of systematic reviews critically relies on the choice of search systems to ensure objectivity and replicability, a principle that is especially pertinent for nascent research areas such as the Metaverse interoperability. To broaden the scope of research discovery, our research utilizes WoS and Scopus exclusively to identify pertinent studies on the topic.

The construction of a comprehensive search query is essential when embarking on a query-based search, particularly for a multifaceted concept like the Metaverse. As depicted in Figure 4, our methodology for creating search queries to identify relevant literature on the Metaverse interoperability involves two key terms: 'Metaverse' and 'Interoperability'. In line with the evolution of the Metaverse discussed in Section 2.2, we include the precursor term 'Virtual World' and




Fig. 4. Process of Building the Search Query for Identifying Studies Related to the Metaverse Interoperability

connect it with 'OR' to accommodate various stages of the Metaverse development. Additionally, we expand the search for 'Interoperability' by including synonyms such as 'Interconnectivity' and 'Intercommunication', linked with 'OR'. The final search query is synthesized by combining these critical elements with 'AND', thus ensuring a focused and comprehensive search strategy to uncover studies pertinent to interoperability within the Metaverse.

3.2 Study Identification (Stage 1)

Upon establishing the groundwork for potential databases and constructing search queries, we commenced the identification of relevant studies. Figure 5 outlines the four stages of our review process, beginning with developing a search query. This query was applied to the "Title," "Abstract," and "Keywords" fields in the selected databases using the 'OR' command, ensuring comprehensive retrieval of studies containing any of the query keywords within the Web of Science (WoS) and Scopus databases. As of January 6, 2024, the number of records identified was 1,207 in WoS and 2,592 in Scopus.

We applied several filters to refine the search results and ensure relevance to our review's scope. Firstly, we limited the records to those available in English. Secondly, given the Metaverse's relatively recent emergence, as discussed in the previous section, we restricted our search to the past decade, from 2013 to 2023. Since journal articles are considered highly influential in systematic reviews [110], and given the importance of conference papers in disseminating computer science research—a field central to the Metaverse technologies [130]—we included both journal articles and conference proceedings in WoS. However, in Scopus, we limited the search to journal articles for two reasons: Scopus indexes fewer proceedings papers compared to WoS, and there is considerable overlap between the two databases [71]. Additionally, conference papers often provide preliminary findings rather than substantially contributing to the body of knowledge. These criteria reduced the number of relevant records to 706 in WoS and 606 in Scopus.

3.3 Screening (Stage 2 and 3)

Continuing the review process, we established two key inclusion criteria to evaluate the relevance of studies on the Metaverse interoperability: (1) the study must pertain to the Virtual Worlds and the




Fig. 5. Stages of the Systematic Review of the Metaverse Interoperability Literature

Metaverse sector, and (2) the study must address interoperability, whether through technological, organizational, or governance and policy dimensions. This specificity was required due to the broad and multifaceted nature of interoperability. As depicted in Figure 5, the second stage involves screening study titles and abstracts obtained during the initial search against these criteria, leading to the exclusion of 637 studies from WoS and 533 from Scopus. Subsequently, duplicate records were identified and removed, totaling 33, reducing the studies to 109. Where titles and abstracts did not provide enough information to assess relevance, full-text assessments were conducted, as shown in Stage 3 of Figure 5. Ultimately, 74 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were selected for detailed analysis.

3.4 Data Analysis Methods (Stage 4)

In Stage 4, we applied content analysis to investigate the research questions. We adopted Wook Hyun's classification of the Metaverse interoperability [62], distinguishing between vertical and horizontal interoperability. Horizontal interoperability involves interactions among different Metaverse platforms or with third-party service platforms, while vertical interoperability relates to integration with the real world [62]. Expanding on our content analysis of the 74 key studies, we have further developed Hyun's frameworks into three distinct LAYERS to address RQ2.

Employing Urs Gasser's theoretical framework, we recognized that interoperability encompasses *human, technical, data, and institutional* [45] layers. Our analysis indicated clear distinctions in the human, technical, and data dimensions among our three interoperability LAYERS. In contrast, the institutional dimension displayed a more consistent pattern, warranting its treatment as a separate analytical category. We meticulously extracted and categorized the principal themes of interoperability from the core studies, applying fine granularity across the three LAYERS we defined as well as the foundational four layers conceptualized by Gasser.

4 ANALYSIS

We have systematically organized Metaverse Interoperability research into a structured framework comprising three following distinct LAYERS, each encapsulating different aspects, as depicted in Figure 2:

- (1) **Interoperability Across Multiple Devices:** This LAYER highlights interoperability in user experiences through VR, AR, and MR technologies, enabling fluid interaction across various smart device platforms, including personal computers and mobile phones. It also extends to emerging devices like brain-computer interfaces and holographic reality, as illustrated in Figure 6.
- (2) **Seamless Navigation and Interoperability Among Platforms:** The second LAYER concerns the seamless navigation and transition of users' avatars across different Metaverse environments. It utilizes open data and technologies such as blockchain to facilitate the uninterrupted transfer of identities, assets, and user attributes, paralleling navigational experiences in the physical world, as illustrated in Figure 7.
- (3) **Integrated Interaction Between Physical and Virtual Worlds:** The third LAYER concentrates on the convergence of physical and digital spaces. This includes capturing and reflecting an individual's expressions and movements onto an avatar with advanced technologies, and synchronizing physical and digital objects using IoT and Digital Twins, aiming for a unified interactive experience that seamlessly merges both worlds, as illustrated in Figure 8.

Combining Urs Gasser's interoperability framework [45] and the core interoperability content extracted through content analysis, we have meticulously classified the core literature, given in Table 1.

4.1 Interoperability Across Multiple Devices

4.1.1 Human. The human layer refers to the capacity of individuals to comprehend and utilize the data exchanged, as well as their readiness to cooperate. Although it is more abstract than the technical and data layers, this layer can be conceptualized in terms of users' experiential needs and their acceptance of the experience during use. These factors have a direct influence on user satisfaction and the efficacy of system utilization [45]. In the literature derived from our foundational device research, discussions on the human layer are relatively sparse; however, their significance remains meaningful. Researchers in [128] conducted preliminary studies into various combinations of xR devices to explore user requirements and preferences in cross-device operability. The results

Table 1. Literature Distribution Across Three LAYERS

LAYERS	Human	Technological	Data	Institutional
Interoperable Experience Across Multi-Devices	[85], [128]	[30], [44], [69], [85], [128]	[99], [115]	[8], [9], [29], [56], [57], [59], [62], [72], [83], [84], [97], [100], [145], [148]
Seamless Navigation and Interoperability Among Platforms	[26], [34], [35], [36], [53], [60], [63], [69], [72], [98], [102], [131], [135], [148]	[24], [25], [28], [46], [61], [78], [68], [81], [91], [92], [93], [98], [102], [105], [129], [146], [147], [13], [20], [32], [49], [50], [51], [52], [76], [79], [96], [116], [117], [121], [127],	[28], [66], [78], [57], [102], [129]	
Integrated Interaction Between Physical and Virtual Worlds	[20], [22], [49], [50], [122], [135], [149]	[12], [16], [21], [31], [32], [70], [80], [101], [109], [116], [117], [132]		




Fig. 6. Interoperability Across Multiple Devices

indicate that the integration of VR with personal computers and Hololens 2 is more preferred compared to other device combinations, highlighting a real demand for seamless cross-device experiences. Another study [85] examines the paramount importance of interoperable architecture, asserting that security is a fundamental component of interoperability. Together, these studies underscore that the successful design of interoperable systems extends beyond the technical and data levels, emphasizing the importance of user experience with an emphasis on user satisfaction.

4.1.2 Technological. To fulfill the Metaverse's vision, it is crucial to diminish the technological barriers within the underlying access infrastructure to facilitate widespread interoperability. Interoperability at this foundational level constitutes the infrastructure that enables seamless connections and interactions across systems and devices. Such infrastructure should permit a universal array of electronic devices to access the Metaverse effortlessly and support collaborative experiences. Despite the absence of a unified software framework that addresses the functionality and interaction of all devices [128], research in this domain is progressing. Tümler and colleagues have constructed a research framework utilizing the client-server model and standard xR SDKs to enable multi-user experiences across diverse platforms [128]. Additionally, Cho and associates have introduced the XAVE architecture, which facilitates the integration of non-immersive and immersive experiences within heterogeneous virtual environments [30]. This architecture accommodates a spectrum of devices, from personal computers and mobile devices to VR/AR headsets and motion capture systems, and supports cross-platform interactions through interfaces such as keyboards, mice, touchscreens, game controllers, and image recognition sensors. The architecture's effectiveness hinges on establishing synchronization structures and adept management of network data flows. Beyond device interoperability, universal compatibility with devices of varying specifications, performance levels, and brands within the same category is equally important. For example, a novel AR collaboration method enables phones with diverse performance capabilities to asynchronously generate and display AR annotations, ensuring compatibility even when there are significant performance disparities [44]. Consistency in auditory and haptic experiences also plays a significant role; Jot and colleagues have developed a 6-DoF audio engine for the Metaverse that synchronizes audio and visuals, merges precomputed and real-time acoustic simulations, and supports cross-platform development with an open scene description model [69]. At the network technology layer, ongoing research is exploring the integration of the Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) with Distributed Consensus Systems (DCS) to forge a Metaverse architecture that is both secure and interoperable [85].

4.1.3 Data. The Data Layer pertains to the capability of interconnected systems to comprehend mutually, encompassing aspects such as data formats, structures, semantics, and protocols. This is essential for ensuring information is transmitted and interpreted accurately between disparate systems. It is intrinsically associated with the Technology Layer and is frequently maintained for conjunction [45]. Our literature review, which emphasizes the broader constructs of the Virtual Worlds and the Metaverse, has yielded limited discourse on specific data format structures, attributable to a non-device-specific focus. Within the selected body of work, two pivotal studies address the challenges of interoperability between 2D and 3D data content. These investigations delve into integrating content from established 2D digital ecosystems into the nascent 3D environments of the Metaverse. Such integration not only augments the content base, mitigating the scarcity of content in the initial phases of the Metaverse development [115], but also ensures that the development of the 3D Metaverse is in alignment with the established 2D digital milieu, considering user experience requirements [99]. Current research is examining the methods by which 2D content may be invoked and structured within 3D spaces [115], as well as how 3D virtual environments can interconnect with conventional 2D learning management systems [99]. These endeavors are instrumental in forming the foundational elements of the Metaverse technology's advancement toward seamless interoperability.

4.2 Seamless Navigation and Interoperability Among Platforms

4.2.1 Human. The human layer emphasizes a collective desire for interoperability and openness to innovative experiences within the Metaverse [45]. Envision a future where an open, meticulously




Fig. 7. Seamless Navigation and Interoperability Among Platforms

designed Metaverse grants individuals and organizations the autonomy to create unique platforms. Imagine a future where an open, meticulously architected metaverse would empower individuals and entities with the autonomy to establish their distinct platforms. This Metaverse is distinguished by a diversity of technological paradigms that support the development of customized application environments, resulting in various specialized sub-Metaverses [60]. In these sub-Metaverses, users adopt personalized avatars, ranging from realistic representations to imaginative beings, enabling adventures across multiple virtual domains [46, 60, 148]. Each sub-Metaverse presents a unique array of virtual goods and services, including games, social networking, virtual museum tours, and online concerts. Personalization of avatars and environments is key, addressing users’ preferences for immersive experiences that mirror their presence and identity [53, 60].

The architecture of the Metaverse facilitates users’ seamless transition between sub-Metaverses, securely connecting their histories, and social networking data. This supports true cross-platform interoperability, where actions and transactions in one sub-Metaverse are recognized in others, enhancing cultural and economic exchanges across digital spaces [28, 60, 93]. The Metaverse is poised to enable experiences and behaviors that mimic physical reality. Just as we navigate the physical world with a continuous sense of identity and possession, the Metaverse aims to replicate this continuity. Users can expect their virtual possessions to be accessible or transferable without substantial change, mirroring the constancy we experience with physical belongings [36]. In an ideal Metaverse, such inherent continuity is fundamental.

Interoperability is essential for enriching user experiences in the Metaverse. Hashem et al. underscored its importance through a quantitative analysis utilizing SPSS to examine survey data from

450 massively multiplayer online gamers. The findings reveal a significant correlation between Metaverse interoperability—the ability to manage virtual environments and assets—and user satisfaction, with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.73, ranking third in impact after natural interface and teleporting capabilities [53]. We now explore the essential components of the Metaverse interoperability. Zaman et al. identified key elements of interoperability, including universally consistent and customizable avatars, virtual identities that link user data to these avatars, and services and features accessible across different applications. They highlight the importance of global data sharing and digital asset interoperability, aiming to streamline the user experience by simplifying avatar creation, ensuring consistent identity, and maintaining security and privacy [148]. Chi et al. discussed two crucial aspects of the Metaverse interoperability: the interoperability of identity and assets, which allows users and their assets to be recognized and remain liquid across diverse Metaverse environments through shared addresses, domain names, or NFTs; and the interoperability of behaviors and relationships, which ensures continuity and personalized interaction in user experiences via user data and behavioral modeling [28].

Our literature review identifies identity as the cornerstone of Metaverse interoperability. Anwar et al. define digital identities as detailed digital representations of individuals or organizations, including their attributes, traits, and preferences [14]. These identities are key to distinguishing users and digital assets across multiple Metaverse environments [81, 131]. Previous studies focused on federated identity solutions for virtual worlds, suggesting that effective user and identity management is critical for seamless interactions [34, 35]. Identity federation, a framework for secure information sharing about user identities between organizations or service providers, relies on identity management, security, and trust. The development of virtual worlds should incorporate an independent federated identity system, integrated into the broader infrastructure of technological advancement and standardization [35]. Furthermore, federated identity systems could address interoperability, security, and user management challenges in virtual worlds [34, 35]. These systems are particularly pertinent in educational virtual worlds, where managing diverse logins and tracking data across platforms poses significant hurdles [35]. Challenges also arise in developing, reusing, and ensuring the interoperability of 3D resources, alongside managing copyright and licensing, mitigating reputational risks, and navigating ethical and legal complexities associated with avatar misconduct such as bullying and sexual harassment [35].

Recent studies also address these concerns. Patwe and Mane discuss the difficulties of uniform authentication across sub-Metaverses in educational environments and advocate for a unified identity system to facilitate collaborative and technical activities, cross-institutional learning, and resource access [98]. Iacono and Vercelli highlight the negative aspects of the Metaverse, such as sexual harassment, which compromises user well-being and the sense of security [63]. Lee et al. examined the impact of such misconduct on user self-presence, noting that while regulation solutions help identify and prevent risks, challenges persist [75]. Hence the need for a unique user identity to bolster safety and ensure consistency, especially in educational sub-Metaverses [63]. Venugopal et al. argue for robust digital identity management to enable avatar interoperability and service delivery, outlining centralized, federated, and self-sovereign identity models [131]. Laborade et al. propose the use of Self-Sovereign Identity (SSI) with offline governance protocols as a means to facilitate seamless interoperability of avatars, data privacy, and portability of attributes. This decentralized approach allows users to manage their digital identities autonomously, with the ability to access and consent to data sharing while adhering to minimal information-sharing practices [72].

Effective identity management is paramount for interoperability across sub-Metaverses, providing users with a frictionless verification process and control over their data across platforms. This fosters trust and paves the way for an integrated Metaverse ecosystem. Although this field has

seen increasing interest [28, 34, 72, 81, 98, 135, 147, 148], it is still in its nascent stages and requires further research to reach its full potential.

4.2.2 Technological. The technical layer pertains to the underlying infrastructure technology that facilitates system interconnection and data sharing, typically through predefined interface technologies [45]. In pioneering interoperability research among virtual worlds, Byelozorov et al. developed a modular, open-source middleware that achieves interoperability via common interfaces across different virtual worlds. This middleware's modular design supports dynamic linking of client and server interfaces across various virtual worlds. It establishes foundational principles for Interface Definition Language (IDL), Application Programming Interfaces (APIs), communication protocols, and transportation mechanisms, and incorporates embedded compilers and interpreters to enhance data processing and system portability. This approach has successfully connected incompatible virtual world platforms, providing empirical support for the creation of interoperable interfaces [25].

Exploiting the independence of web protocols, Burn et al. introduced the Virtual World Framework (VWF), a web-based 3D multi-user application framework that uses WebGL and WebSockets. This framework utilizes the openness of the web to encourage interoperability among disparate virtual worlds [24]. Preda and Jovanova engineered a system allowing avatars to move seamlessly between virtual worlds, covering their geometry, appearance, animations, and attributes [102]. The system distinguishes between the proprietary data models unique to each virtual world and the transferable customizable features. This solution adeptly addresses the complexities of transporting avatars across different virtual worlds, such as mesh, texture, and animation resolutions, as well as acceptance by the creators of these worlds. Their method manages to preserve avatar uniqueness while ensuring compatibility with the diverse capabilities of virtual worlds to accept externally defined avatars. This balance facilitates user preference and provider requirements, enabling users to maintain avatar continuity across different platforms while meeting the technical and commercial standards of the virtual worlds. Concurrently, the open-source virtual world platform OpenSimulator, and its extension Hypergrid, became widely used in the industrial sector for creating and administering custom 3D environments, promoting user and data interoperability across various OpenSim virtual worlds [35].

These early efforts laid the groundwork for the ongoing evolution of metaverse interoperability. In more recent studies, the IPSME [91] architecture by Nevelsteen and Wehlou stands out for its integration of disparate systems. It uses a publish-subscribe mechanism and dynamic translators to enable interoperability without requiring uniform protocols, thereby supporting system evolution and simplifying integration. This architecture has been successfully applied in various scenarios, including within a Minecraft metaverse instance. Chen et al. [26] proposed a Cross-Platform Metaverse Data Management System (CMDMS) architecture that allows users to access their profiles and spaces across different platforms. Additionally, they developed a prototype to facilitate the transfer of 3D digital assets between the Unity and Unreal engines, leveraging this architecture.

Recent discussions in the literature distinguish the Metaverse into centralized and decentralized frameworks. Centralized metaverses operate on central servers and are typically managed by single entities or companies, akin to conventional online platforms. Decentralized metaverses, in contrast, utilize blockchain technology to foster distributed management, enabling user asset ownership, autonomous trading, and transparent self-governance [8, 28, 60, 81]. Consequently, research on the Metaverse interoperability focuses on three key areas: interactions between the centralized metaverses, between the decentralized metaverses, and across the centralized-decentralized divide.

Technologies that facilitate interoperability interactions between centralized metaverses mirror those in traditional virtual worlds, which was discussed above and will be further explored in

the subsequent data chapter. However, the current discussion on the underlying technology is limited, with only brief mentions in mechanistic explanations [81] and specific case platforms [8]. Decentralized metaverses leverage cross-chain technology to enable asset and data interchange across various blockchain platforms [28, 60, 81]. Achieving interoperability across centralized-decentralized metaverses requires complex on-chain and off-chain technological collaboration to create effective linkages between these disparate systems [81].

The literature prominently addresses interoperability within decentralized metaverses. Chi et al. categorized it into four groups: first, interoperability for metaverses under the same project across various blockchains, enabled by centralized servers or cross-chain bridges; second, among different projects on one blockchain, facilitated by token swaps via decentralized exchanges; third, across metaverses on multiple blockchains, through token conversions using centralized exchanges or cross-chain technologies; and fourth, within one metaverse project on one blockchain, through native on-chain protocols [28]. Li et al. have classified the metaverse interoperability into cross-chain interactions within decentralized metaverses and integrations between decentralized and centralized metaverses, including on-chain and off-chain activities. They propose the MetaOpera protocol as a comprehensive solution to facilitate interoperability for avatars and digital assets across various metaverse environments. Notably, this protocol significantly improves existing solutions by reducing transaction proof sizes eightfold and enhancing latency threefold [81].

Our literature review emphasizes blockchain technology as the cornerstone of metaverse interoperability in this layer. It is considered the foundational infrastructure for connectivity within the metaverse, playing a crucial role in identity management [10, 43, 48, 133], security [43, 67, 104, 108], asset protection [38, 126], and data preservation [87, 108, 118], as well as facilitating the metaverse's integration with real-world economies [88]. Blockchain enables seamless transactions of assets across virtual domains, thereby securing user identities and assets. It also underpins a decentralized, open-source metaverse platform that is conducive to application development and digital commerce, augmented by efficient decentralized wallets and exchange interfaces [60, 61]. Extensive research explores these facets. For user identity management, Chirmai et al. have proposed integrating Self-Sovereign Identity (SSI) with blockchain to enhance security and interoperability and to bolster trust [46]. Yao et al. have introduced an architecture combining multi-access edge computing with blockchain to address interoperability challenges, utilizing Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs) to improve authentication security and metaverse decentralization, while also reducing system resource usage with identity-based aggregate signatures [147]. In the sphere of identity security, Patwe and Mane have developed a blockchain-based method to protect identities within the educational metaverse from targeting impersonation, server spoofing, and replay attacks [98], while Yao et al. have devised a Metaverse-AKA authentication system using blockchain to maintain user privacy, enable seamless cross-metaverse authentication, track unauthorized activity, and counter cyber threats efficiently [146]. For asset security, Jihyeon Oh et al. have created a blockchain-based content trading system for cross-metaverse platforms, enhancing transparency and security, and automated transactions, with search encryption to protect content [93]. To improve the efficiency of asset trading, Jiang et al. have created an efficient cross-blockchain asset exchange protocol for the metaverse, combining tripartite Diffie-Hellman key exchange and smart contracts for rapid trading [68]. Meanwhile, Ren et al. have proposed a cross-chain transaction strategy using an improved Hashed Timelock Contract (HTLC) mechanism that mitigates risks of timeout attacks and centralization, reducing the potential for malicious breaches, with successful experimental validation [105]. In data management and protection, blockchain facilitates data management and protection, with cross-chain protocols significantly improving data exchange [61]. Regarding resource management and incentivization, Nguyen et al. have constructed the MetaChain framework, which uses blockchain and smart contracts for enhancing metaverse provider-user interactions and

employs Stakhanovite game theory for incentivization, demonstrating success in encouraging user engagement and resource sharing [92]. These studies underscore the critical role of blockchain in promoting interoperability and ensuring identity and security within the metaverse. Nonetheless, research and development in cross-metaverse interoperability are still nascent and require further advancement in research exploration [60, 61, 81].

4.2.3 Data. Seamless navigation between the various metaverses hinges on data interoperability, a critical cornerstone. Such interoperability typically requires adopting a universal data protocol to ensure that information can be seamlessly transmitted at the base level and interpreted accurately.

3D Data Format Standardization. Data format standardization is a pivotal factor for interoperability in the Metaverse [109]. It allows disparate metaverse systems, created by various institutions using diverse technologies, to exchange data seamlessly through some unified structures. Such standardization not only bolsters data transfer accuracy but also lessens the technical hurdles associated with cross-system interactions. Consistent data specifications further empower developers to construct cross-platform tools and services more efficiently, thus spurring innovation and collaboration. In addition, they facilitate automation and AI-driven data analysis by simplifying algorithmic recognition and processing of standardized datasets [129]. This contributes to the development of smarter, personalized experiences within the metaverse.

VRML (Virtual Reality Modeling Language) [141], established in 1994 and standardized as ISO/IEC 14772-1:1997, served as an early 3D graphics format for crafting detailed and interactive three-dimensional environments. These environments showcased polygonal models, animations, and various rendering techniques. As a trailblazing standard, VRML was designed to be global, open, and platform-independent, featuring scene descriptions in text files using node-based structures. It promoted URL navigation through virtual spaces and integration with multimedia elements, including sound and video. Nonetheless, VRML did not achieve widespread adoption, hindered by its rendering performance issues, scant browser support, and a complex user interface [36, 82, 102].

X3D (eXtensible 3D) [138] has progressively taken over VRML's role as the international open standard (ISO/IEC 19775-19777) for 3D graphics content, a transformation that began in 2005 and is still ongoing. X3D enhances graphical capabilities and utilizes an XML-compatible syntax, which increases the efficiency of data transmission over the web. It supports diverse encoding formats, including X3Db (binary) and X3D (XML), thus promoting 3D content interoperability and accessibility. The most recent version, X3D4, has integrated features such as HTML5, advanced rendering, and the WebAudio API, maintaining compatibility across file encodings and programming languages. This integration enhances its functionality and flexibility. X3D can also be combined with semantic web technologies, which enriches the descriptive vocabulary for 3D assets and supports cross-domain data integration in areas such as CAD, GIS, and AR/VR. Moreover, it incorporates Physically Based Rendering (PBR), which provides more realistic materials and lighting effects. The extensibility of X3D enables developers to create custom nodes and content, thereby fostering innovation beyond the scope of the ISO/IEC standards. X3D scenes can be manipulated and augmented using various programming languages, including JavaScript, Java, Python, C#, and C++ [57, 136]. Tzermiadou et al.'s research indicates that X3D provides a semantic approach to 3D data, which synergizes effectively with deep learning, particularly in complex models [129]. This facilitates real-time scanning and scene annotation, signaling the potential for increased utilization of X3D.

The glTF (GL Transmission Format) [2] 2.0 represents another essential open standard for 3D graphics content. The Metaverse Standards Forum (MSF) recognizes it as one of the two fundamental standards for 3D asset interoperability. Developed by the Khronos Group and released in 2017, glTF 2.0 aims to be the "JPEG of 3D" by optimizing the transmission of 3D models and scenes for efficient

and rapid content delivery. Its JSON-based structure facilitates human readability and machine parsing, while binary storage for geometry and animations improves performance and decreases file sizes. glTF 2.0 also supports PBR, ensuring consistent and realistic visual effects, and enables intricate animations with features like skeletal and morph target animation. The standard's plugin extensibility allows developers to enhance functionality without altering the core specification. Major 3D platforms such as Unity3D, Unreal Engine, Blender, Sketchfab, and Microsoft's Babylon.js support glTF 2.0 [2, 78]. Lemoine and Wijnants have proposed a glTF 2.0 streaming method that leverages heuristic algorithms and HTTP byte-range requests [78]. This method, coupled with a cross-platform three.js proof of concept (PoC) client, optimizes 3D content delivery and rendering, thus improving interoperability across various devices and engines.

USD (Universal Scene Description) [94], developed by Pixar and open-sourced in 2016, facilitates the efficient management of complex scenes, particularly in collaborative and multi-software environments. This format excels in non-destructive, layered editing, uses external scene references to minimize data redundancy, and enhances organization through inheritable and relational scene elements. Designed for large-scale data management, USD provides a universal, application-independent scene description, facilitating efficient data interchange across software [94]. Though only mentioned in a single piece of literature from our review [66], USD has experienced growing industry adoption [15] and has been recognized by the Metaverse Standards Forum (MSF) as one of two key standards for 3D asset interoperability [86].

In contrast, COLLADA (Collaborative Design Activity) [142] is an XML-based open format tailored for the neutral exchange of 3D assets among graphics applications. It was specifically designed to act as an intermediary for interoperability, rather than serving as a comprehensive standard for virtual worlds. COLLADA's primary aim is to ensure smooth file transfers across diverse software platforms [36]. This format stands apart from VRML and X3D, which focus on 3D visualization; glTF 2.0, which is optimized for real-time 3D rendering on the web; and USD, which addresses the intricacies of complex scene management. Together, these formats represent the current leading data structures in the metaverse, each playing a crucial role in the exchange of data and the development of applications. Table 2 is constructed to compare their design goals and features succinctly.

Table 2. Comparison of 3D Data Formats in the Metaverse

Format	VRML	X3D	glTF 2.0	USD	COLLADA
Design Goal	3D visualization	3D visualization	Real-time rendering	Complex scenes, animations	Interoperable asset exchange
Optimized For	Visualization	Visualization	Web display	Large-scale data management	Flexible content exchange
Interoperability	Moderate	High	High	High	High
Flexibility	Moderate	High	High	Very High	High
Performance	Low	Moderate	High	Very High	Moderate
Industry Adoption	Low	Moderate	High	Increasing	Moderate
Software-Agnostic	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

KGs-Based Data Integration. The interoperability of data within the Metaverse presents a multifaceted challenge, exacerbated by the wide range of data types, from publicly accessible on-chain data to more confidential off-chain data, spanning various file formats. Each Metaverse platform supports different digital asset formats, resulting in a diverse data ecosystem. The creation of a universal data file standard for all digital assets is daunting due to the distinct interactions, value

systems, and artistic styles inherent to each Metaverse. Additionally, the economic and technical difficulties associated with token value transfer across distinct Metaverse platforms further hinder interoperability efforts. Although a common protocol could theoretically address these issues, its practical implementation is challenging. Despite the blockchain being touted as a crucial facilitator for interoperability in our literature, its distributed storage and consensus mechanisms may lead to prohibitively high storage costs for multimedia-rich Metaverse applications in the future. Considering market competition and sustainability, an exclusive reliance on an open on-chain data mechanism may not be the most practical approach. Consequently, off-chain data models are expected to remain a significant aspect of the metaverse landscape for the foreseeable future [28].

Chi et al. propose that collaborative efforts centered on knowledge sharing via Knowledge Graphs (KGs) could provide a viable solution to these challenges by integrating data from disparate sources and formats [28]. KGs are structured knowledge representations that graphically map entities and define their interrelations through edges [106]. Entities in the Metaverse, such as virtual environments, assets, characters, or events, are linked by relations like ‘owns’, ‘is adjacent to’, or ‘participates in’, and are augmented with descriptive attributes to increase their semantic richness. Semantic Web technologies, including RDF and OWL, are utilized within KGs to standardize data structures and enable queries, while ontologies and rules provide context for entities. This approach promotes data integration, intelligent search capabilities, and natural language processing. In 2019, the IEEE Knowledge Graph Working Group established standards to oversee this process. The IEEE P2807 standard delineates the framework for knowledge graphs, specifying the requirements for inputs, construction, performance, application, and infrastructure. IEEE P2807.1 details the technical specifications, performance metrics, and evaluation criteria for KGs, covering test cases for data inputs, metadata, extraction, fusion, storage, retrieval, reasoning, analysis, and visualization [17, 66]. Jaimini et al. have conducted in-depth analyses and have employed KGs technology to support interoperability and seamless data exchange in the industrial Metaverse, developing a KG prototype geared toward design engineering applications [66]. Although KGs are deemed a crucial technological advancement for enhancing data interoperability within the Metaverse, this research area is still nascent and requires substantial further investigation and development [28, 66, 127].

4.3 Integrated Interaction Between Physical and Virtual Worlds

4.3.1 Human. The “human layer” here highlights the need for seamless and frictionless experiences as users move between physical and virtual environments. This is achieved through two trends [76]: First, the distinction between reality and virtuality is blurring within the Metaverse [77, 134, 135]. Enhanced graphics and interactions make virtual environments increasingly realistic, while spatial computing technologies, exemplified by Apple Vision Pro and Meta Oculus Quest, merge the physical with the virtual, creating a new ‘phygital’ reality [75] that encompasses visual [13, 20, 76], sensory [22, 69, 101], and functional aspects [49, 50]. Second, virtuality now significantly influences the real world, altering consumer behavior and industrial processes [13, 52, 117, 122]. As technology progresses, the importance of fluidity across these realms becomes paramount. While virtuality offers new possibilities, our reliance on the physical world persists [49]. The Metaverse must foster a sense of presence and continuous engagement, allowing for effortless transitions between the two worlds to maintain its integrity and user retention [22]. To achieve this, the Metaverse should not only replicate every physical object in the virtual realm but also ensure real-time updates of their states to avoid a disjointed experience [20]. If physical and virtual worlds lack synchrony in information transfer, it can disrupt immersion, leading to user fatigue and decreased engagement [49].

In our literature, Jie et al. discuss the critical importance of integrating digital content with the real world for user engagement in the Metaverse. This integration requires reducing distractions




Fig. 8. Integrated Interaction Between Physical and Virtual Worlds

from discrepancies between the two realms, employing Internet of Things (IoT) technology for smarter, integrated daily environments, and improving the information flow between virtual and real objects, such as avatars indicating the care requirements of indoor plants [49]. Furthermore, they investigate interfaces that allow users to intuitively control both virtual and actual environments through mixed reality avatars and physical gestures, like synchronizing environmental lighting [50]. Antonijevic et al. suggest that the core of the Metaverse lies in its interconnectivity between reality and virtuality, achieved by using IoT and 3D modeling to create digital twins. This approach defines an environment that merges the real with the digital, evolving into a state of surreality through constant updates and integration of physical and virtual elements within a 3D space [13]. Bashir et al. emphasize the importance of real-time synchronization in the healthcare Metaverse, especially for remote surgeries that require instantaneous data exchange and avatar alignment over low-latency networks [20]. Tan et al. reiterate the necessity for synchronization in the ophthalmic healthcare Metaverse [122], while Antonijevic et al. provide insights into the educational Metaverse [13]. Bozgeyikli extends the concept by incorporating physical objects, such as steering wheels and building blocks, into VR systems to enhance realistic interactions and immersion [22]. Moreover, Zhang et al. propose a Fusion Universe model, which combines virtual, physical, and cognitive spaces while adhering to physical laws to ensure smooth transitions between real and virtual domains [149].

In summary, the Metaverse's interoperability between the physical and virtual realms relies on seamless integration, as multiple studies suggest. Such cohesion allows for a unified experience where immediate interactions and updates preserve user immersion and engagement.

4.3.2 Technological. The technological layer here refers to the essential technologies that enable seamless interaction and data exchange between the real and virtual worlds [45]. These technologies collaborate to ensure that data and states in the real world are accurately reflected in the virtual world in real-time, and vice versa. Within this context, the technological layer initially collects extensive information from the real world, including environmental factors, behaviors, and interactions, primarily through a variety of sensors [13, 49]. This data is then transferred to the virtual environment and processed by computational entities, also referred as digital twins, to achieve precise mapping of the real world and enhance interactive experiences [13]. Additionally, the technological layer is tasked with maintaining the security and privacy of data by implementing protective mechanisms to prevent data breaches or unauthorized access, without compromising efficiency [20, 82]. These security measures are crucial for establishing trustworthy and reliable interconnectivity between the physical and virtual worlds. Our literature review highlights three main areas of discussion: (1) Sensors and the Internet of Things (IoT); (2) Digital Twins; and (3) Edge Computing, Federated Learning, and Semantic Communication.

Sensors and Internet of Things (IoTs). Sensors and IoTs are pivotal in the phygital Metaverse, serving as conduits for information transmission. Users connect via VR, AR, and MR headsets, which are embedded with sensors that precisely track their head movements. These sensors are integral for synchronizing the virtual environment with the user's physical position and orientation, thereby fostering an immersive experience. Furthermore, sensors capture a range of signals from users and their environments, augmenting interactivity and enhancing the naturalness of the user's experience. The deployment of sensors can be centralized within the headsets, aggregating collected data, or distributed throughout the environment, even extending to cross-environment applications to enable wider interaction and data acquisition. Such integration of sensors is instrumental in bringing VR, AR, and MR technologies into closer alignment with IoT. In the realm of IoT, edge devices operate intelligently by sensing, communicating, and initiating responses. Parallely, in MR, analogous mechanisms render virtual interactions more lifelike and instinctive. The strategic placement of these sensors is crucial for the instantaneous transmission of information from the physical to the virtual world, ensuring a seamless fusion of both realms within the Metaverse [50].

Scholars such as Yue have underscored that, during the Metaverse's nascent stages, physical asset owners may not be fully integrated or may lack support for essential interoperability standards. Consequently, external tools such as IoT devices become essential in gathering real-time status information about physical entities [51]. Bashir and colleagues emphasize that for an uninterrupted Metaverse experience, a comprehensive mapping of real-world objects is necessary, along with the instant reflection of any alterations in their virtual equivalents. Such synchronous updating enhances the coherence of user experiences and authentically replicates interactions between the virtual and physical domains [20]. Shen et al. introduced the "Parallel Sensing" concept, which redefines sensors by amalgamating physical sensors in the real world with their digital twin counterparts in software. These digital sensors supply data continuously, compensating for the intermittent operation of physical sensors due to energy conservation or other reasons, thus augmenting perception capabilities. It has been shown that virtual sensors can expand limited real-world data into more extensive datasets, significantly enhancing the performance of sensory perception models, thereby achieving intelligent adaptation and comprehensive sensor protection in the physical world [117]. Moreover, Lee and colleagues demonstrate that the adoption of global oneM2M standards in IoT platforms, when combined with edge computing, markedly accelerates data transfer rates, enhances processing precision, and strengthens the real-time linkage between physical objects and the Metaverse, thus improving seamless interoperability and the overall user experience [76].

Digital Twins(DTs). The essence of Digital Twins (DTs) is to create precise digital replicas of physical entities that dynamically mirror their structures, states, and behaviors, providing real-time feedback within virtual environments [51]. The distributed Metaverse framework developed by Hashash et al. exemplifies this concept by achieving seamless synchronization between physical and digital twins via Mobile Edge Computing (MEC) [52]. The work of Han et al. emphasizes the significance of DTs in enhancing Metaverse interoperability, serving as intermediaries among various Virtual Service Providers (VSPs), and proposing a dynamic, stratified framework to refine the synchronization capabilities of shared DTs [51]. Shangguan et al. have proposed a triadic architecture that facilitates dynamic interactions and collaborations among humans, physical objects, and their DTs, as demonstrated in a case study focusing on lunar rover power management [116]. These studies highlight the potential of DTs as pivotal elements for data processing and as central components of the Metaverse's value chain, which are crucial for achieving interoperability, efficiency, and enhanced user experiences.

For the Metaverse to realize widespread interoperability, a unified set of standards and norms governing data modeling, representation, and communication within DTs is imperative. Li et al. have introduced a technical framework that improves DT interoperability through an advanced modeling methodology and a real-time industrial object transmission mechanism, advocating for standardization and universality to ensure accurate physical world mapping and rapid model reuse [79]. Addressing standardization deficiencies, Conde et al. have designed an architecture that incorporates FIWARE and Linked Open Data for DT communication, which has proven effective in an urban digital twin collaboration experiment [32]. The BEAMING project by Oyekoya et al. promotes efficient communication across multiple devices with minimal latency, utilizing Beaming Scene Service (BSS) technology to support a lightweight repository that facilitates loose coupling and scalable scene graphs, even amidst extensive data exchanges [96].

The advancement of the Metaverse hinges on integrating DTs with other technologies to broaden applications and enhance user interactions. Stary's research on digital process twins has improved architectural design interoperability by merging service-oriented business process management with exhaustive modeling and execution [121]. In a similar vein, the TwinXR project by Tu et al. unites DTs with Extended Reality (XR), enabling bidirectional data flow and system interoperability, which streamlines operations and supports shared data structures, thus facilitating improved collaboration and decision-making in smart manufacturing environments [127]. These integrations have been empirically validated in industrial settings, such as the control of cranes and robotic arms. Despite these technological strides, challenges in real-time synchronization of DTs with their physical counterparts remain, necessitating efficient computing, comprehensive connectivity, rapid data transfer, and further research integrating MEC to diminish latency [52]. Current studies on DT synchronization within the Metaverse are in the early stages, providing a foundational understanding for future research, which must concentrate on integrating relevant technologies with DTs to achieve flawless synchronization within the Metaverse [52].

Edge Computing, Semantic Communication, and Federated Learning. Edge Computing (EC), which processes data in proximity to its source to enhance efficiency and reduce latency, is crucial for interoperability within the Metaverse. Researches by Hashash et al. and Lee et al. have shown that EC can significantly decrease synchronization latency between the real world and digital twins, which is vital for a seamless, real-time user experience [52, 76]. The distributed Metaverse framework by Hashash et al., utilizing reinforcement learning at the edge, reduces synchronization times by 25.75% and significantly improves inter-system connectivity [52]. Lee et al. demonstrate that EC accelerates data transfers and, when combined with AI, enables prompt interactions between smart cities and virtual environments. This decentralized processing strategy is essential for real-time data

processing and synchronization, offering robust support for the future development of smart cities and IoT devices, thus propelling the Metaverse's growth and enhancing its interoperability [76].

Semantic Communication (SemCom) is another pivotal technology for achieving interoperability between the virtual and real worlds within the Metaverse [12, 21]. It focuses on extracting meaningful information from data captured by devices, such as head movements and speech, and transmitting only the essential elements, thereby minimizing data volume, bandwidth requirements, and latency [12]. SemCom plays a vital role in efficiently managing the extensive human-centric data prevalent in the Metaverse [12]. Bouloukakis and Kattepur underscore its importance for scalability and interoperability in Metaverse applications, which involves semantic mapping, standard data models, interaction capture, and synchronization. Accurate semantic descriptions and data models are critical to ensure correct data capture and network transmission [21]. Li et al. propose a framework incorporating AI, Spatio-Temporal Data Representation (STDR), Semantic IoT (SIoT), and Semantic-enhanced Digital Twins (SDT) to intelligently interpret the significance and context of data, going beyond mere raw data transmission, which optimizes transmission and conserves bandwidth [80]. For instance, AR/VR devices process information more effectively using AI-generated metadata, and SIoT enables meaningful device communication and data interchange in an interoperable fashion. STDR tackles real-time, location-specific data, while SDTs digitally represent physical entities such as buildings, streets, or entire cities within the Metaverse [12]. Future research must address cross-domain semantic mapping to enhance interoperability, focusing on the integration of ontologies and the resolution of data inconsistencies, to manage the Metaverse's vast, diverse, and dynamic data [12].

Ensuring security and privacy is imperative for interoperability within the Metaverse. Federated Learning (FL) emerges as a crucial technology, enabling the training of machine learning models across distributed datasets, such as those from AR/VR applications, without exposing raw data and thus preserving privacy [12, 20]. Li et al. advocate for the use of FL to protect semantic data privacy [12]. Bashir et al. examine how FL can address privacy and data management challenges in the medical Metaverse by leveraging localized data and computation. This method allows healthcare providers to contribute to a shared model while maintaining data confidentiality through local data retention and training a global model on a central server. FL also facilitates the integration of diverse datasets, such as those from sensors and AR/VR devices, to improve disease modeling and trend analysis, despite the challenges of data heterogeneity. Vertical FL, designed for datasets with shared identifiers but disparate features, further enhances data interoperability and aids in developing intelligent healthcare solutions [20].

4.3.3 Data.

Data Format Standardization. Seamlessly integrating virtual and physical worlds in the Metaverse hinges on the interoperability of the data layer, with data format standardization being a cornerstone. The ISO/IEC 23005 (MPEG-V) standard [124] and the IEEE 2888 [18] are pivotal in this regard, as underscored in our literature review [16, 70, 109, 135]. MPEG-V facilitates fluid interactions between virtual and physical environments, managing sensory feedback such as vision, sound, and olfaction for immersive experiences. It encompasses architecture, object encoding, sensor processing, and exchange protocols, all of which are integral for compatibility and cross-world interactions. This standard allows users to manipulate virtual spaces with sensory devices and brings virtual effects into the real world, such as translating real-world movements into the virtual realm via sensors, or recreating virtual tactile feedback physically [109, 124]. IEEE 2888, introduced in 2019, complements MPEG-V by standardizing interfaces for synchronizing the virtual and physical worlds. It specifies data formats and APIs for accurate sensor data acquisition and actuator control, advancing the integration of virtual-physical systems [18]. Kim et al. provide an overview of the MPEG-V standard,

highlighting the parts closely related to haptic technology and demonstrating how MPEG-V can be used in the editing, creation, and presentation of haptic content [70]. Ardila et al. extend MPEG-V to a real-time training framework, enhancing interoperability through an improved MPEG-V-based data model, reinforcing real-virtual connections [16].

Beyond standards like MPEG-V, other cutting-edge explorations are underway. Pham et al. have proposed the concept of the World of Tactile Things (WoTT), a novel response to the era of 5G and the Metaverse, aimed at developing a tactile Internet and a standardized platform for tactile data exchange. Building on the W3C's Web of Things (WoT) standards and web technologies, WoTT allows for effective information exchange between tactile sensing devices across different service domains, describing and updating these devices with tactile vocabulary and mechanisms. The proof of concept for WoTT has successfully demonstrated tactile sensing and the creation of digital twins, showcasing its practicality and potential [101]. Meanwhile, rapidly evolving standards like oneM2M are driving interoperability and interconnectivity within the Metaverse [76]. As a global IoT interoperability standardization, oneM2M supports end-to-end connectivity for IoT services and applications by defining a set of protocols, resource models, and interfaces. Although oneM2M primarily focuses on interoperability between physical devices, the trend of technological convergence is gradually making IoT devices an integral component of the Metaverse. Hence, this merging positions it as key to the development of a unified virtual-real environment.

Data Model Development. Data models serve as standardized frameworks that ensure system interoperability by homogenizing the methods used to structure data [32]. For the Metaverse, models that represent the interactions of virtual and physical entities are crucial for seamless integration [21, 116]. Shangguan et al. describe digital twin data as a comprehensive, multi-layered structure, incorporating diverse datasets such as human behaviors, physical entities, and virtual models, supplemented by derivative data from their integration. This facilitates real-time data capture and analysis and the adaptation of virtual simulations, enabling dynamic two-way mapping between the virtual and physical domains [116]. Despite progress in network and computational infrastructure for the Metaverse, the extensive modeling of physical-virtual interactions remains underexplored [21]. Recognizing the need for a formalized approach, Bouloukakis and Kattepur introduce the Donna data model, which employs a property graph schema to detail interactions among physical and virtual spaces, sensors, devices, and human-avatar pairings. In the context of a virtual museum, for instance, the Donna model effectively manages perception, dynamic attribute updating, and semantic interactions between objects, showcasing its versatility for diverse applications [21]. As the domain of semantic representation, ontology, and shared modeling in the Metaverse is emerging, such models are vital for ensuring the interoperability, scalability, and adaptability required for future developments [21].

Open Data Utilization. Open data is information made freely available for anyone to use, typically created with government funds and agencies for public benefits, such as geographic, meteorological, and transportation datasets [132]. While often associated with government sources, open data can also come from other organizations, companies, or individuals. Rather than being in the public domain, this data is often protected by copyright but is made accessible under open licenses that enable easy access, free use and repurposing, with minimal barriers to understanding and utilization [74]. Open data plays a multi-dimensional role in interoperability, which is linked to the generation, publication, sharing, and re-purposing of data as a robust source for developing 3D models, digital twins, and the Metaverse [32, 132]. Virtanen et al. leveraged open data from the National Land Survey of Finland to craft a 3D virtual mapping environment, enabling real-time updates and collaborative scene creation [132]. Addressing the interoperability challenges in digital twins, Conde et al. presented a communication mechanism based on the FIWARE Data Model,

employing Linked Open Data (LOD) to facilitate a two-way exchange of information between digital twins and open data repositories. This collaborative ecosystem involves publishers, platform maintainers, and users, all contributing to the data lifecycle and its effective use. Standardized data formats like NGS-LD, the intelligent application of data models, and adherence to metadata standards, such as DCAT, are crucial for seamless system communication and integration of digital twins [32]. By embracing standardization and automation, open data not only enhances interoperability but also propels broader innovation within the Metaverse.

4.4 Institutional Factors in Metaverse Interoperability

In Gasser's framework, the institutional layer is defined as the capacity for effective participation within a social system, underscoring the significance of institutional dimensions in digital ecosystems' interoperability, often equal to or greater than technological considerations [45]. Our review of the literature encompasses the work of Marabelli and Newell, who examined the potential and challenges of the Metaverse in relation to diversity, equity, and inclusion. Their findings highlight the importance of offering immersive, synchronous 3D experiences that are interoperable, thereby emphasizing the significance of the social system [84]. Furthermore, Park et al. [97] have delineated research on interoperability within the Web3 Metaverse framework, categorizing it into technical, organizational, and regulatory dimensions. While we have previously addressed technical interoperability, which concerns technological connections, organizational interoperability pertains to the integration across different organizations, and regulatory interoperability relates to the establishment of common governance, necessitating the design of inclusive participation mechanisms for all stakeholders. Zaman et al. also contribute to this discourse by asserting that interoperability in the Metaverse should cover governance/business, experience, content, and infrastructure layers. They emphasize the governance/business layer, which is dedicated to creating standards, policies, and regulations that protect intellectual property rights while ensuring privacy and security [148]. Drawing upon these texts, our discussion on institutional interoperability will encompass three primary components: 1) the initiatives of Standards Development Organizations (SDOs), 2) the establishment of policy frameworks, and 3) the contributions of industrial actions.

4.4.1 Standards Development Organizations. SDOs are pivotal at the institutional level, establishing interoperability, security, quality, and reliability standards across various industries and technologies. These standards are critical for ensuring the seamless integration and reliable operation of diverse systems and products. Although the current research literature has begun exploring the dynamics of these organizations [8, 56, 57, 62, 72, 100], comprehensive analyses are scarce. From the extant literature, we have summarized in Table 3 the current status and progress of the main SDOs involved in Metaverse interoperability. Despite their importance, many of these SDOs are at the nascent stages of meeting the Metaverse's intricate and emerging standardization requirements. Considering the Metaverse's complexity and the novelty of its standardization process, these organizations must allocate more time and resources to research, dialogue, and consensus formation. Their current endeavors are concentrated on delineating the fundamental concepts of the Metaverse, constructing foundational frameworks, and setting preliminary technical guidelines to promote the sector's structured growth. As the Metaverse advances, the influence of SDOs is anticipated to increase substantially.

Web 3D Consortium(Web3D). Founded in 1997, the Web3D Consortium¹ is an international organization committed to the standardization of 3D graphics technologies. This non-profit, member-driven consortium strives to deliver royalty-free standards that promote the interactive and real-time

¹<https://www.web3d.org/>

Table 3. Overview and Characterization of Key Metaverse SDOs (M_I_Repre: Representative standards for Metaverse Interoperability)

SDO	M_I_Repre	Mission	Membership	Influence	Year	Status
W3C	WebVR, WebXR	Web standards development for long-term growth	Organization members	High	1994	Active
Web3D Consortium	X3D	Standardize web-based 3D graphics for seamless use and growth across devices and platforms	Organization members	High	1997	Active
ISO/IEC MPEG	MPEG-V	Develop international standards for the coding, compression, and transmission of audio, video, and related data	National-bodies of ISO and IEC	High	1988	Active
Khronos Group	glTF2.0, OpenXR	Develop advanced, dynamic, open, and royalty-free interoperability standards	Industry consortium	High	2000	Active
ITU-T CG-Metaverse	-	Dedicate efforts explicitly toward Metaverse standardization.	Mainly Countries and Sector Members	High	2012	Developing
Open Metaverse Interoperability Group	-	Facilitate open interoperability in the Metaverse	Open to individuals and organizations	Growing	2021	Formative stages
IEEE Metaverse Standards Committee	P2048 standards et al.	Develop standards for metaverse,VR,AR and advocate them on global basis	Professional association members	Growing	2022	Active
World Metaverse Council	-	Provide guidance for global Metaverse policies and standards	Various stakeholders	Growing	2022	Developing
Metaverse Standards Forum	-	Promote open standards and interoperability in the Metaverse	Over 1,200 organizations	Significant potential	2022	Active
Alliance for OpenUSD	USD	Advancing interoperability in 3D content creation through USD	Pixar, Adobe, Apple, Autodesk, and others	Growing	2023	Active

exchange of 3D graphics on the Web. The consortium has been instrumental in developing ISO-IEC standards, including X3D (Extensible 3D) [138] and H-Anim (Humanoid Animation) [137], collaborating with other standards organizations to advance a suite of 3D technologies for the open Web stack. The X3D standard, in particular, is noteworthy for its openness, royalty-free status, extensibility, interoperability, and platform independence, enabling the broad implementation of 3D graphics across diverse platforms such as desktops, tablets, and smartphones [56, 57]. During its latest academic meeting in October 2023, the consortium concentrated on outlining the responsibilities of the 3D Web interoperability working group and exploring interoperability challenges within the Metaverse [56].

Khronos Group. Founded in 2000, the Khronos Group ² is a non-profit consortium that focuses on the development of open, royalty-free standards for cross-platform graphics and computational functionalities. The consortium is recognized for establishing widely adopted standards such as OpenGL, Vulkan, OpenCL, and WebGL. The Khronos Group has made significant contributions to the interoperability within the Metaverse, particularly with the introduction of the glTF [2] and OpenXR [47] standards. While glTF is detailed in section 4.3.3, OpenXR deserves special mention. OpenXR is a standard that provides a unified API for VR, AR, and MR applications across various platforms. This standard allows developers to create applications that can be deployed on any OpenXR-compliant device, thereby simplifying the development process and promoting innovation in cross-platform Extended Reality (XR) experiences. OpenXR encompasses critical functionalities such as device management, scene composition, spatial tracking, and user interaction, establishing

²<https://www.khronos.org/>

itself as an essential bridge among diverse XR technologies. Moreover, OpenXR accelerates industry growth by facilitating interoperability [8, 62, 100].

W3C Metaverse-related WGs and CGs. The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C)³, established by Sir Tim Berners-Lee in 1994, is a renowned organization responsible for developing standards for the Internet. Its mission is to devise protocols and guidelines that enhance the capabilities of the Web and promote its sustainable development over the long term. Within the consortium, there are both Working Groups (WGs) and Community Groups (CGs). CGs are instrumental in developing specifications that may either inform the standardization efforts of WGs or catalyze the formation of new WGs. Hyun identifies three W3C groups that are specifically focusing on Metaverse interoperability. The Virtual Reality website Community Group and the Metaverse Community Group, both established in 2015, have shown limited activity in recent years. In contrast, the Galaxy Metaverse Community Group, initiated in January 2022, is actively pursuing goals related to infrastructure, land governance, marketing strategies, avatar communication protocols, and commerce within virtual environments and metaverses [62]. Additionally, the Verifiable Credentials Working Group is contributing significantly to the ecosystem by progressing the W3C Verifiable Credentials and the Decentralized Identifiers (DID) standards. These standards are essential for identity verification, enabling individuals to maintain control over their identifiers within a decentralized registry system [72].

ITU-T CG-Metaverse. The International Telecommunication Union (ITU)⁴ has been at the forefront of global technological standardization for over 150 years. Within the ITU's Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T), concerted efforts to standardize the Metaverse are in progress, particularly within Study Groups SG16 (Multimedia), SG17 (Security), and SG20 (Internet of Things and smart cities). In December 2022, ITU-T SG16 established the Focus Group on the Metaverse (FG-Metaverse) [4] under the Telecommunication Standardization Advisory Group (TSAG) to dedicate efforts explicitly toward Metaverse standardization. The FG-Metaverse is organized into Working Groups (WGs) and Task Groups (TGs), which are responsible for developing standards and facilitating discussions on specific topics, respectively. As of the current date, the FG-Metaverse has endorsed the formation of nine WGs and nineteen TGs, and has launched 59 standardization projects. The FG-Metaverse's inaugural formal deliverable, titled "Exploring the Metaverse: Opportunities and Challenges," was formally endorsed in July 2023. The expeditious release of this report underscores the accelerated pace of the standardization activities within the group. This document offers a comprehensive examination of the Metaverse, delineating its development, ecosystem, and the attendant challenges and opportunities it encompasses [4, 62, 140].

IEEE Metaverse Standards Committee. The IEEE Metaverse Standards Committee⁵, which was previously known as the IEEE Virtual Reality and Augmented Reality Standards Committee, underwent an official name change on 21 September 2022 and expanded its scope of activities. This committee is dedicated to the development and international promotion of standards, best practices, and guidelines for the Metaverse, VR, and AR, following open and internationally recognized procedures. It is comprised of two primary working groups: the IEEE Metaverse Working Group (CTS/MS/MWG) and the IEEE Mobile Device Augmented Reality Working Group (ARMDWG). The CTS/MS/MWG has produced and approved the foundational P2048 standards entitled Terminology, Definitions, and Taxonomy Documents for the Metaverse in February 2023 [3, 8, 140].

³<https://www.w3.org/>

⁴<https://www.itu.int/en/Pages/default.aspx/#zh>

⁵<https://sagroups.ieee.org/metaverse-sc/>

World Metaverse Council. The World Metaverse Council ⁶, established on 1 October 2022, is committed to promoting an open, transparent, interoperable, and decentralized Metaverse. Its focus is on the development of standards and guidelines that ensure data security, uphold privacy, and protect individual rights, with a particular emphasis on establishing safeguards for children. Moreover, the Council advocates for the advancement of the Metaverse by supporting shared, open-source protocols, infrastructure, and financial systems, all aimed at cultivating an inclusive and collaborative Metaverse ecosystem [6, 8].

Open Metaverse Interoperability Group. The Open Metaverse Interoperability Group ⁷, founded in April 2021, aims to develop protocols to connect virtual worlds and Metaverse. While specific standardization scopes are yet to be finalized, the broad objectives include identity, social graphs, inventories, transactions, avatars, 3D content, and portable scripted objects/scenes [62].

ISO/IEC MPEG Working Group. MPEG ⁸ serves as the primary working group responsible for the development of the international standard MPEG-V. Although MPEG is not a standards body in itself, it operates as Working Group 11 (WG 11) of Subcommittee 29 (SC 29) of the Joint Technical Committee 1 (JTC 1) on Information Technology under the joint auspices of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC). Since its establishment in 1988, MPEG has been instrumental in the formulation of international standards for the coding, compression, and transmission of audio, video, and related data. These standards are globally recognized and have significantly impacted the evolution of the digital media industry. In 2011, MPEG released MPEG-V, which provides a standardized framework for the exchange of information between interactive virtual environments and the physical world. This standardization enhances interoperability by establishing norms for the exchange of sensory information, data coding, and the control of interactive devices [1].

Metaverse Standards Forum(MSF). The MSF ⁹, established on 21 June 2022, serves as a collaborative platform uniting prominent SDOs and companies to advance interoperability standards for the Metaverse. The forum's fundamental mission is to maintain an open and inclusive Metaverse by fostering cross-organizational cooperation. Rather than developing standards independently, the MSF aligns the efforts of various SDOs, addressing areas such as 3D graphics, AR/VR, content creation, and geospatial systems. Participation in the forum is open, free of charge, and adopts a practical, action-oriented methodology. This approach includes hosting prototyping events and developing open-source tools to expedite the testing and implementation of standards, as well as providing unified terminology and implementation guides. Initially, the MSF had 35 founding members, including Meta, Microsoft, and Nvidia. By the end of August 2022, the membership had expanded to over 1,200 organizations. The establishment of the MSF signifies the industry's unified commitment to an open-standards-based Metaverse, which is crucial for unlocking its comprehensive potential. The MSF's efforts in collaboration and coordination are designed to tackle significant interoperability challenges within the Metaverse, delineate needs and priorities for standards, and accelerate the development and adoption of Metaverse technology standards. These efforts enhance communication, minimize redundant work, and facilitate knowledge sharing. The Forum actively operates ten Domain Groups and has initiated three Exploratory Groups, addressing a variety of initiatives, including 3D Interoperability, Digital Twins and Geospatial Systems, Ecosystem Navigation and Discovery, the foundational Technology Stack, Engagement

⁶<https://wmetac.com/>

⁷<https://omigroup.org/>

⁸<https://www.mpeg.org/>

⁹<https://metaverse-standards.org/>

and Education, and Legal and Policy issues. The MSF epitomizes an open and cooperative platform, striving for an accessible, inclusive, and extensively interconnected Metaverse ecosystem [5, 8, 59, 62].

Alliance for OpenUSD (AOUSD). The AOUSD¹⁰ was established on 1 August 2023, via a joint announcement by Pixar Animation Studios, Adobe, Apple, Autodesk, and the Joint Development Foundation (JDF) under the Linux Foundation, with the JDF tasked with overseeing its operations and management. USD, developed by Pixar, is an advanced 3D scene description technology that ensures robust interoperability among tools, data, and workflows. The alliance is dedicated to advancing interoperability in 3D content creation through the USD framework, with the objective of standardizing workflows for developers and content creators on large-scale 3D projects and establishing benchmarks for interoperability within the Metaverse ecosystem. On 13 December 2023, the following twelve industry organizations: Cesium, Chaos, Epic Games, Foundry, Hexagon, IKEA, Lowe's, Meta, OTOY, SideFX, Spatial, and Unity, declared their membership, showcasing the alliance's influence and industry backing [42]. To further its goal, the AOUSD unveiled a two-year development roadmap to position USD as the definitive global standard for articulating 3D scenes and environments across various industries, prioritizing the facilitation of interoperability among diverse data types [41].

4.4.2 Government Role and Policy Impact. Gasser highlights the pivotal role of governments and regulators in promoting interoperability within the digital ecosystem through specific policy tools. Governments can facilitate the development of standards and address interoperability challenges through policy support, improve market transparency and competition via legislation, and diminish information asymmetry by requiring information disclosure. Their influence in public procurement can promote the adoption of interoperable solutions, while antitrust measures can prevent firms from withholding critical interoperability information, thus ensuring a competitive market landscape. Despite criticisms concerning costs and efficiency, the involvement of governmental entities remains crucial for the advancement of interoperability [45].

Gasser highlights the key role of governments and regulators in advancing interoperability in the digital ecosystem through various policy tools. Governments can foster standards development and tackle interoperability issues with policy support, enhance market transparency and competition through laws, and reduce information asymmetry by mandating information sharing. Their market power in public procurement can encourage the uptake of interoperable solutions, while antitrust interventions can address firms that withhold interoperability information, ensuring fair competition. Despite criticism over costs and efficiency, the governmental role is still essential for promoting interoperability development [45].

Yang's research investigates the governance of the Metaverse through technical standards, concentrating on their creation, security, and compatibility. It emphasizes the significant role of governments in leading the standardization of the Metaverse. The study advocates for collaboration among governments, SDOs, and industry stakeholders to secure the development of standards that are vital for the Metaverse's secure and interoperable expansion. Recommendations include devising a comprehensive strategy for technical standards development, enhancing collaboration on high-level design, and customizing policies to the diverse needs of industries to address security and compatibility issues. The proactive standardization initiatives of countries such as the United States, South Korea, Japan, Brazil, and China demonstrate an increasing global commitment to Metaverse standards. The study outlines three concrete steps for advancing these efforts: (1) Development of a detailed Metaverse standardization strategy with specific milestones, focusing on safety standards

¹⁰<https://aousd.org/>

and the collection of standard requirements; (2) Collaboration on Metaverse high-level design to establish an inclusive standards framework and synchronize policies to foster technological advancement; (3) Strategic adaptation across different platforms and industries, advocating for flexible responses, equitable rule enforcement, and intensified research on platforms that bridge the digital and physical worlds [145].

Akilli [9] underscores the Metaverse-related initiatives and pledges of the South Korean and Turkish governments, although their direct impact on interoperability requires careful consideration. In early 2022, the Seoul city government allocated several billion won to support the creation of Metaverse platforms, unveiling "Metaverse Seoul", which virtualizes the lifestyle and culture of the city. This initiative signals a new paradigm in digital-led urban development and cultural promotion. Similarly, Turkish President Erdoğan highlighted the importance of Metaverse research and introduced the "Turkoverse" program, which employs the Metaverse to enhance regional integration within the Turkic world by overcoming physical boundaries. Akilli addresses the broader implications for interoperability, reflecting on whether these developments will contribute to or hinder the creation of a unified global virtual universe. Although the initiatives by the Korean and Turkish governments are forward-looking, their role in promoting interoperability specifically—as opposed to the broader adoption of the Metaverse—remains to be clarified. Akilli suggests that without a focus on interoperability, the risk of a fragmented Metaverse landscape increases [9]. Thus, while South Korea and Turkey demonstrate a commitment to leveraging the Metaverse for cultural and economic benefits, it is the structured planning and global collaboration on interoperability standards that will ultimately ensure a cohesive and interconnected digital future.

4.4.3 Industrial Actions. Research on interoperability within the industrial sector is relatively nascent. To date, Abilkaiyrkyzy has undertaken some preliminary organization by platforms [8]; however, a comprehensive and in-depth study is still warranted. One of the earliest instances of industry-related interoperability efforts is the 2018 initiative between IBM and Second Life. They achieved a milestone by successfully interconnecting two virtual worlds, which permitted avatar transfer from Second Life to an OpenSim-based Metaverse [112]. This achievement was underpinned by developing the Open Grid Protocol, a framework for virtual world interoperability. Nevertheless, as reported by Techcrunch, the imperative lies in ensuring interoperability not only between virtual worlds but also with the Web, as it evolves towards a three-dimensional interface [112]. In more recent developments, Decentraland ¹¹, a prominent Metaverse project, has declared its intention to collaborate with other crypto-Metaverses to achieve interoperability. It plans to implement the IPSME protocol [91], enabling users to retain assets and move between different Metaverses without friction [40]. This protocol was anticipated to support the migration and display of digital fashion across various platforms, as exemplified during the 2023 Decentraland Metaverse Fashion Week involving platforms such as Spatial ¹² and Over ¹³ [113]. Meta ¹⁴ has outlined plans to integrate Horizon Worlds ¹⁵ and Crayta ¹⁶, allowing avatars to be shared across these virtual environments in a bid to enhance cross-platform interoperability. This strategic move is designed to showcase shared capabilities and address the technical challenges associated with avatar portability across disparate systems, marking Meta's initial steps towards multi-platform integration [73].

¹¹<https://decentraland.org/>

¹²<https://www.spatial.io/>

¹³<https://www.overthereality.ai/>

¹⁴<https://about.meta.com/metaverse/>

¹⁵<https://horizon.meta.com/>

¹⁶<https://create.crayta.com/>

Meanwhile, Somnium Space ¹⁷ has joined forces with HighFidelity ¹⁸ and JanusVR ¹⁹ to create an interconnected VR world network named OASIS, and has developed the VRBA open standard to promote platform interoperability. In collaboration with Teslasuit, they are working to enhance the tactile experience in VR using advanced suits and gloves [119]. The formation of AOUSD and its rapid membership expansion among the leading enterprises, reflects a substantial market demand for seamless interoperability within 3D workflows [15, 23].

In addition to Metaverse platforms, game engines such as Unity and Unreal have transcended their original purposes as mere development tools. They are now pivotal for fostering 3D interaction and innovation across diverse sectors, thereby playing a crucial role in enhancing interoperability. Chia presents a thorough analysis of these engines, highlighting their services that extend beyond gaming to encompass versatile domains such as education and industry [29]. Unity and Unreal equip developers with standardized tools and frameworks, which obviate the need for redundant coding, enhance hardware compatibility, and enable the interoperable integration and operation of various applications. As preeminent influences in application development and standard-setting, the significance of Unity and Unreal in shaping user operability and governance is profound and warrants acknowledgment [29]. Nevertheless, there is a pressing need for further in-depth and systematic research to elucidate their roles in Metaverse interoperability.

In summary, although research on interoperability in the industrial sector is still in its infancy, the impetus for interoperability within this sector is gaining momentum. This progress is evidenced by initiatives such as the formation of the OpenUSD Alliance and the increasing focus on the roles of engines like Unity and Unreal. However, the path toward a fully interoperable Metaverse is intricate and requires a sustained commitment to research and development.

5 FINDING

5.1 The Core and Status of Metaverse Interoperability

We have clarified the essence of interoperability in the Metaverse through systematic deconstruction and analysis.

From a user experience standpoint, seamless interaction is imperative across three key Metaverse dimensions: devices, platforms, and the virtual-physical intersections. The Metaverse, as an evolving digital ecosystem, should transcend three-dimensional hardware like VR, AR, and MR, as well as bridge existing two-dimensional media and cutting-edge devices such as holographic displays and brain-computer interfaces, ensuring technological compatibility and operational continuity. Interoperability should also afford smooth transitions for users among diverse virtual spaces, regardless of differences in software platforms, manufacturers, or countries, both functionally and experientially. As the Metaverse expands, it ought to enable users to navigate effortlessly between various services and platforms, while safeguarding their data, privacy, and economic interests without disrupting the user experience. The blurring lines between physical and virtual worlds underscore the need for seamless integration, allowing users to transition and interact between these spheres without friction. In these contexts, the development and management of "identity" have become a critical area of study, extending real-world identity management into virtual spaces and establishing governance within the Metaverse subdivisions. This research is nascent but vital to addressing how identity functions within the Metaverse layers and across real-virtual realities, emphasizing user experience consistency and privacy protection. Future studies should investigate

¹⁷<https://somnia.space/>

¹⁸<https://www.highfidelity.com/>

¹⁹<https://janusvr.com/>

technological innovations and comprehensive policymaking to address the complexities of identity in the evolving Metaverse.

From an infrastructure support perspective, encompassing networks and devices, interoperability should facilitate connectivity for a broad spectrum of electronic devices. Although our core literature review revealed a limited number of related studies, this could be attributed to our deliberate exclusion of hardware-and-network-centric research to maintain a manageable scope, which may also indicate that this area has not yet attracted widespread research interest. We plan to delve deeper into this topic in our subsequent research. Current literature indicates a lack of a unified software framework to tackle cross-device functionality and interaction issues [128]. Nevertheless, exploratory research is underway, with recent studies beginning to address these challenges [30, 85, 128]. Cedric Westphal's work also underscores active efforts toward networking standardization among network SDOs for the Metaverse [140], signifying considerable potential for further inquiry in this field.

From virtual worlds and Metaverse platforms standpoint, the development has progressed from initially connecting virtual worlds through middleware frameworks [24, 25] like OpenSimulator [35] to developing and implementing advanced protocols and architectures like IPSME [40, 91]. Metaverse platforms are constructed with both centralized and decentralized models, which beget distinct interoperability challenges: within centralized systems, among decentralized systems, and between the two models. Decentralization, often employing blockchain technology, further fragments interoperability into on-chain, off-chain, and hybrid on-chain/off-chain scenarios. The use of a single blockchain platform or multiple platforms amplifies these challenges [28]. Although blockchain research has recently seen an upsurge, with studies examining cross-blockchain interoperability, including identity verification [46, 147], identity security [98, 146], asset security [93], transaction efficiency [68, 105], and data management and protection [61], the overall technological development for interoperability across Metaverse platforms remains in the early stages within both industry and academia. There is a requirement for extensive and continuing research, not only to chart the overarching pathways among different interoperability categories but also to refine the exploratory studies currently underway. Our research acknowledges that full Metaverse interoperability among platforms is a long-term endeavor, but it has garnered significant attention, particularly in blockchain research. Despite the progress made, cross-platform interoperability is still in its infancy, necessitating substantial and dedicated research and development efforts to refine existing methodologies and navigate the complex web of interoperable connections among the rapidly proliferating Metaverse platforms.

From the digital-physical fusion standpoint, interoperability research highlights the potential of the Metaverse to facilitate more natural interactions and deeper integration with the real world than current Internet and IoT ecosystems allow. This field concentrates on the real-time mapping of real-world data to virtual entities and vice versa, enabling dynamic interactions in both directions. The research thoroughly investigates the roles of sensors, IoT devices, digital twins, and pivotal technologies such as edge computing, federated learning, and semantic communication in fostering the development of interoperable Metaverse ecosystems. Sensors and IoT devices are fundamental in creating an information transmission backbone that extends to head-mounted displays (including VR, AR, and MR), and innovative wearables (like tactile devices and brain-computer interfaces), and are also integrated into various physical environmental settings for enhanced situational awareness. The merging trend of IoTs and the Metaverse [49, 50] points to extensive opportunities for technological progress and novel applications. Digital twins, as precise digital replicas of physical entities, are set to become central nodes for data processing and value generation within the Metaverse and the real world [51]. Yet, they face challenges such as standardization, synchronization, integration, and security concerns. Innovative solutions are

emerging that incorporate edge computing to reduce synchronization delays through localized data processing [52, 82], federated learning to enhance data privacy and security via distributed processing [20], and semantic communication to decrease bandwidth consumption by focusing on the transmission of semantically processed data [12]. The convergence of these technologies is pivotal for enabling real-time interactions between the virtual and physical worlds, thereby enhancing Metaverse interoperability. Future research aims to further harness this technological synergy, seeking novel solutions to issues like synchronization delays and data security, thereby driving continuous enhancements in the Metaverse ecosystem.

From the data interoperability standpoint, standardization is the most common methodology to promote information exchange and system interoperability. Our study thoroughly reviews the evolution and discourse on data formats within the literature. VRML marked an initial international standard for 3D graphics and interactive scenes, which was succeeded by X3D to support varied encodings and web integration. glTF 2.0, aimed to be the "JPEG for 3D," focuses on efficient 3D content transmission. USD is tailored for handling complex 3D scenes with non-destructive workflows, and COLLADA promotes software-agnostic data exchange. These 3D formats are compared in Table 2. MPEG-V stands out as a widely-adopted standard, fostering interoperability of sensory experiences (such as visual, auditory, olfactory, and tactile) across multiple devices and virtual environments via a standardized framework for transferring sensory data between the virtual and physical world, thus facilitating immersive interactions. Recent literature, however, only skims the surface of data standard protocols without delving into the current developments and associated challenges. For instance, interoperability among data formats is a critical issue. The SDOs, OpenUSD and Khronos Group have joined forces to address the interoperability between USD and glTF2.0 [23]. However, academic engagement in this domain remains conspicuously sparse. Our study identifies a significant lacuna in the literature regarding the comprehensive analysis and practical assessment of data format standards and their interoperability. Our research meticulously documents the advancement of standardization, focusing on the SDOs at the forefront of Metaverse interoperability, as detailed in Table 3. While their efforts have been recognized in existing studies, there is an evident need for a more thorough and systematic investigation to grasp their impact and extent fully. While standardization is crucial for interoperability, it also has drawbacks such as stifling swift innovation due to its slow-moving nature [91]. To counter this, pioneering research is exploring alternative approaches to data interoperability, such as employing semantic communication for data extraction [12], utilizing knowledge graphs for data integration [28, 106], and adopting property graph patterns for novel data modeling [21]. Within the context of Metaverse interoperability, the exploration of these alternatives is still nascent. High-level ontological views of hardware, software, and device components in the Metaverse have been provided, but fine-grained data models, attributes, and interactions require further research. As Metaverse applications rapidly expand across various domains, clear and definitive data representation and models are particularly important for ensuring interoperability and scalability between the physical world, the Metaverse, participants, devices, and events. Future research should, therefore, concentrate on refining these aspects to foster continual enhancement of interoperability within the Metaverse.

From industry practices and governance standpoint, our study offers a preliminary overview, but it is not yet in-depth. Rapid industry innovation is outpacing academic study, with key developments like the STYLE protocol [7] receiving minimal scholarly focus. Launched in 2022 and already implemented in several use cases, the STYLE protocol aims to facilitate the interoperability and monetization of virtual assets across the Metaverse. It introduces key features of asset availability and visualization, enabling seamless asset transfers across multiple Metaverse platforms through an NFT sub-licensing mechanism, thus enhancing asset interoperability and value exchange within the Web 3.0 ecosystem. Our study provides a cursory review of initiatives by industry leaders such

as Second Life, Microsoft, Meta, Apple, and development tools like Unity and Unreal. However, this overview is not exhaustive and falls short of capturing the full scope of their activities. To address this, we intend to undertake a more structured and detailed examination of the industry's movements, aiming for a comprehensive analysis in our future research. The examination of government policies related to the Metaverse is currently superficial, with only a limited number of publications addressing national strategies [9, 145]. Recent significant moves include Finland's "Metaverse Ecosystem Strategy," aiming to secure the leadership in the Metaverse by 2035 [123], and China initiated a dominant Metaverse standardization group in January 2024 [64]. These highlight the burgeoning pace of government involvement, which warrants continuous scholarly attention. Current policy discussions are piecemeal and call for more comprehensive exploration. While Yang [145] proposes broad frameworks for government and industry collaboration, detailing cooperative strategies and acknowledging the need to tailor approaches to platform and industry specifics, the lack of explicit execution strategies suggests a gap that academia could fill by offering more detailed, action-oriented policy recommendations. Future research should aim to elucidate the nuances of policy formulation, concentrating on strategies to balance nurturing innovation and ensuring a secure, equitable, and sustainable Metaverse environment, with particular emphasis on interoperability challenges.

5.2 Future Research Agenda on Metaverse Interoperability

Given the foundational understanding of Metaverse interoperability established in the first part of our findings, we now turn our attention to the future research agenda that can address the complexities and opportunities within this burgeoning field. Key areas of investigation include:

5.2.1 Device and Platform Agnosticism. Future research agendas in the field of Metaverse interoperability must prioritize the creation of robust frameworks that facilitate seamless interaction across a spectrum of devices. This includes not only conventional 2D platforms but also extends to cutting-edge interfaces, such as holographic displays and BCIs. The primary objective should be the development of adaptive software systems. These systems should be able to intelligently conform to the diverse functionalities and performance constraints of various hardware options. Such research should begin with a systematic mapping and analysis of device characteristics, considering factors like computational power, display technology, input methods, and sensory feedback capabilities. It is also crucial to establish a set of universal interoperability protocols that can guide software development. These protocols would act as a blueprint to ensure that software can not only operate across different devices but also optimize its performance to leverage each device's unique features, thereby delivering a consistent and engaging user experience. Moreover, research must address the challenge of creating user-centric interfaces. These interfaces should be intuitive and capable of adjusting to the user's preferences and contextual environment. For instance, the software should be able to detect whether a user is interacting with the Metaverse through a smartphone, a virtual reality headset, or a BCI, and adapt its interface and operational complexity accordingly. This adaptability is critical in ensuring that the shift between devices is imperceptible to the user, which is essential for maintaining engagement and immersion within the Metaverse. Additionally, to maintain a balance between innovation and usability, future research must also consider the development of standards and best practices that guide the design of these adaptive interfaces. These standards should be informed by extensive user testing and feedback to ensure that they meet the needs of a diverse user base.

5.2.2 Interoperable Virtual Environments. The seamless navigation of users through multiple sub-metaverses, each constructed by distinct developers or organizations, is a critical challenge for the burgeoning Metaverse. Future research should concentrate on the design and implementation of

architectural standards and communication protocols that enable such fluidity. This research must prioritize the enhancement of user experience and guarantee operational continuity across diverse software platforms, which may range from centralized systems to decentralized networks, as well as hybrid models. To begin, a thorough understanding of the existing infrastructure of various sub-metaverses is essential. Researchers must map the current landscape, identifying commonalities and divergences in how these digital spaces are constructed and managed. From this foundation, the next step is to develop a set of architectural standards that ensures compatibility and interoperability. These standards should address key technical aspects, such as data formats, authentication methods, and asset transfer protocols, to enable users to move between sub-metaverses without friction. Moreover, the protocols developed must be robust and flexible, supporting the seamless transition of user identities, digital assets, and social interactions across platforms. They should also ensure that user actions and changes within one sub-metaverse are reflected across others, maintaining the continuity of the user experience. In addition to technical considerations, these standards and protocols must be crafted with a user-centric approach, too. This involves creating intuitive navigation systems and user interfaces that do not require complicated operating procedures. It is also vital to consider privacy and security implications, ensuring that users can transit between sub-metaverses without compromising their data or experiencing service disruptions. Furthermore, the research should explore the governance models that underpin these transitions, which can range from corporate to community-led approaches. Understanding the impact of these models on the user experience and the Metaverse's architecture will be pivotal in proposing a set of best practices for governance. To facilitate practical implementation, collaboration between industry stakeholders, including technology developers, regulatory bodies, SDOs, and user representatives, will be necessary. Such collaboration can drive the creation of open standards that are widely adopted and evolve with the Metaverse's growth. In conclusion, future research should aim to establish a seamless interoperable framework that supports the smooth transition of users between sub-metaverses. This requires a harmonious blend of technical standards, user experience design, governance considerations, and collaborative development efforts, all oriented toward fostering a cohesive and continuous digital ecosystem.

5.2.3 Identity Management and Governance. The concept of 'identity' within the Metaverse is rapidly becoming a fundamental issue, necessitating scholarly attention to its extension and management within phygital environments. Research in this domain should encompass regulatory and normative elements, reflecting the intersection of digital and physical realities. A comprehensive approach is required to dissect the intricacies of identity technologies and management, privacy concerns, and their influence on user behavior. Firstly, the research must delineate a clear definition of identity within the context of the Metaverse, acknowledging the multifaceted nature of personal identities as they transition between the real world and virtual spaces. This includes the legal recognition of digital identities, the portability of identity across different platforms, and the establishment of universal identity verification standards to prevent fraud and ensure trust. Secondly, the technological underpinnings of identity in the Metaverse require thorough investigation. This involves examining the potential of blockchain and other decentralized technologies for creating secure and immutable identity records, as well as exploring the role of artificial intelligence and other cutting-edge technologies in automating identity verification processes without compromising user privacy. In the realm of privacy, it is imperative to develop frameworks that empower users with control over their personal information. Research should also assess the efficacy of current data protection regulations in the context of the Metaverse and propose amendments or new policies as necessary. This includes exploring self-sovereign identity models that enable users to own and control their identity data. Furthermore, the implications of identity management on user behavior

with the underlying causes must be scrutinized. How users perceive their identity within the Metaverse can significantly affect their interactions, social behaviors, and overall engagement with the platforms. Ethical considerations should be integrated into the design of identity systems to prevent the misuse of personal information and ensure a respectful and inclusive environment. Lastly, the research should involve a multi-stakeholder approach, incorporating insights from legal experts, technologists, sociologists, and the user community. By doing so, it can yield comprehensive guidelines and best practices for identity management that resonate with all sectors involved in the Metaverse ecosystem. In sum, addressing the complexities of identity within the Metaverse is an interdisciplinary challenge that requires a nuanced understanding of technological possibilities, legal frameworks, privacy concerns, and social dynamics. Future research should aim to construct a foundational bedrock for identity in the Metaverse, facilitating a secure, private, and user-centric experience in the phygital convergence.

5.2.4 Blockchain Integration and Interoperability. The ascent of decentralized platforms within the Metaverse has rendered the interoperability of blockchain technologies a subject of paramount importance. Although extensive literature has explored the individual facets of blockchain technology, there is a discernible lack of detailed studies on the nuanced hybrid interoperability models encompassing on-chain (intra-chain or inter-chain), off-chain, and hybrid models. To address this gap, future research must prioritize a granular understanding of these intertwined models, focusing on their integration and functionality within the Metaverse ecosystem. In-depth research should commence with a comprehensive taxonomy of interoperability types supported by blockchain networks, clarifying the distinctions and connections, which will lay the groundwork for subsequent explorations. The next phase should critically analyze the existing interoperability solutions and their applicability to the Metaverse. It is imperative to evaluate the strengths and limitations of these solutions in terms of scalability, security, and speed, which are crucial for a frictionless Metaverse experience. Furthermore, research must delve into the intricacies of building hybrid models that support complex, real-time interactions within the Metaverse. This includes studying how smart contracts can be standardized across blockchains, ensuring that assets and identities can be transferred and recognized seamlessly. The investigation should also extend to understanding how off-chain computation and data storage can interact with blockchain networks to support the heavy data loads of the Metaverse without compromising on decentralization principles. Moreover, future studies should consider the governance and regulatory implications of such hybrid interoperability models. As the Metaverse expands, ensuring compliance with international laws and standards, while also maintaining a decentralized ethos, will be a delicate balance to achieve. Collaboration between academia, industry, and regulatory bodies will advance this research agenda. By fostering a multi-disciplinary dialogue, innovative solutions that respect the decentralized nature of blockchain while enhancing interoperability can be developed and implemented. In conclusion, the nascent state of interoperability within the Metaverse's decentralized platforms calls for concerted academic inquiry. Future research must dissect the complex hybrid models of interoperability, emphasizing scalability, security, and regulatory compliance, to support the burgeoning demands of the Metaverse's diverse functionalities.

5.2.5 Synthesis of Digital and Physical Worlds. The integration of sensors, IoTs, digital twins, and cutting-edge technologies like edge computing, federated learning, and semantic communication is critical in advancing the Metaverse. Investigating how these technologies can be orchestrated to address the Metaverse's challenges is an imperative research agenda. Studies should aim to propose innovative solutions for standardization, real-time synchronization, system integration, and security, ensuring a seamless, secure, and efficient Metaverse experience. Firstly, the role of sensors and IoT devices as data collection points in the Metaverse needs to be scrutinized. These devices form

the building blocks of the Metaverse's physical-virtual bridge, collecting real-time data that informs the state of digital twins—virtual representations of physical objects or systems. Research should focus on standardizing data formats and communication protocols to facilitate the seamless flow of information between devices and the Metaverse. Secondly, edge computing's potential to process data closer to its source can reduce latency and improve real-time synchronization in the Metaverse. Studies must explore how edge computing infrastructure can be optimized for the Metaverse, ensuring that computational loads are efficiently distributed to prevent bottlenecks. Federated learning, a machine learning approach that enables model training on decentralized devices, is an emerging technology that can enhance the Metaverse's intelligence without compromising user privacy. Research should investigate how federated learning can be incorporated into the Metaverse to personalize user experiences while maintaining data confidentiality. Semantic communication, which focuses on the meaning of messages rather than their syntax or structure, holds promise for more intuitive interactions within the Metaverse. Studies should evaluate semantic communication models that can be integrated into the Metaverse, enabling clearer, context-aware exchanges between users and systems. Real-time synchronization is a significant challenge within the Metaverse, especially when coordinating complex interactions across various devices and platforms. Innovative solutions are needed to synchronize the state of digital twins with their physical counterparts, ensuring that the Metaverse reflects real-world changes instantaneously. Research should propose synchronization mechanisms that are robust, scalable, and low-latency. System integration across diverse technologies is another area requiring attention. The Metaverse ecosystem entails the convergence of multiple disparate systems, necessitating research into integration frameworks that support interoperability and modularity. Such frameworks should facilitate the plug-and-play integration of new technologies and services into the Metaverse. Lastly, security is an overarching concern that permeates all technological innovations related to the Metaverse. Research must address the security challenges posed by the increased attack surface due to numerous connected devices and complex system integrations. This includes developing robust encryption methods, secure authentication protocols, and anomaly detection systems to safeguard the Metaverse from cyber threats. In conclusion, the future research agenda should encompass a multidisciplinary approach to integrate sensors, IoT devices, digital twins, and emerging technologies within the Metaverse. Focusing on standardization, real-time synchronization, system integration, and security will pave the way for innovative solutions that bolster the Metaverse's infrastructure, making it more robust, responsive, and secure for its users.

5.2.6 Data Interoperability and Standardization. The proliferation of virtual environments and the Metaverse has accentuated the critical role of data format standards and their interoperability. However, there exists a conspicuous lacuna in scholarly literature concerning a detailed analysis of these standards and the complexities of their interoperation. To bridge this gap, future research endeavors should be meticulously structured to dissect and scrutinize existing standards such as VRML, X3D, glTF 2.0, and USD, with a focus on surmounting the challenges posed by data interoperability among these various formats. An in-depth analysis should begin with a comprehensive review of each format's specifications, assessing their strengths, weaknesses, and the contexts in which they are ideally utilized. VRML and X3D, as precursors in the field, offer lessons in legacy system compatibility, while glTF and USD have emerged as frontrunners in modern, efficient 3D content delivery and scene description, respectively. The research should critically compare these standards regarding their extensibility, efficiency, and suitability for different types of Metaverse interactions. Another focal point of this research should be the challenges inherent in the interoperability of these data formats. Issues such as loss of fidelity during conversion, high computational costs, and the maintenance of interactive functionalities are hurdles that must be addressed. The goal should

be to identify and propose novel solutions to enable seamless data exchange, where assets created in one format can be accurately and efficiently utilized in another without compromising quality or functionality. Proposed solutions might include the development of universal translators or middleware that can interpret and convert data between formats. Advanced AI algorithms could automate and optimize these conversions, potentially learning from each translation to improve future performance. Furthermore, establishing best practices and guidelines for content creators could help mitigate interoperability issues at the source. The complexity of this task necessitates collaborations that transcend the traditional boundaries of academic research. Joint ventures between industry standard development organizations and academic researchers are vital. These partnerships could facilitate the sharing of knowledge, resources, and practical insights, leading to more robust and applicable research outcomes. Through workshops, symposia, and collaborative projects, such alliances can foster consensus on the most pertinent issues and focus research efforts on the areas that promise the greatest impact on standards development and harmonization. In essence, addressing the interoperability of data format standards within the Metaverse requires an orchestrated effort that combines a theoretical examination of existing standards with the development of innovative, practical solutions. Such research must be underpinned by robust collaborations between academia and industry to ensure outcomes that are both scientifically rigorous and industry-relevant, ultimately contributing to a more cohesive and interoperable Metaverse.

5.2.7 Semantic Data Representation and Knowledge Graphs. The expansion of the Metaverse's applications into diverse domains has underscored the need for precise semantic data representation and modeling. This precision is critical for ensuring that the vast array of data generated by users, devices, and virtual environments is interoperable and scalable. Knowledge graph methodologies stand at the forefront of addressing this need, offering a promising reference direction for future research. To advance the state of the art, research must prioritize the exploration of innovative methodologies in knowledge representation. This involves the construction of intelligent data models that can encapsulate the complex relationships between entities in the Metaverse. Such models need to define attributes and interactions that faithfully represent the diverse elements of the Metaverse—including users, devices, and events—and their counterparts in the physical world. A critical task for researchers is to develop data models that go beyond static representation and are capable of dynamically adapting to the continuous evolution of the Metaverse. This requires the data models to be flexible and to possess the ability to integrate new types of interactions and entities over time. Moreover, these models should support the semantic richness of the Metaverse, enabling machines to understand and process the context and meaning behind the interactions within it. Given the interconnected nature of the Metaverse, interoperability is paramount. Research must address how different data models, standards, and protocols can be harmonized to allow for seamless communication between various platforms and systems. This includes devising strategies for data conversion, alignment, and fusion that maintain the integrity and semantics of the original data. As the Metaverse grows, the data models and systems must be capable of handling an increased load without performance degradation. Research should address solutions such as through intelligent knowledge graphs, distributed architectures, and efficient indexing and query-processing mechanisms. To ensure robust interoperability and scalability, it is also essential to investigate the role of machine learning and artificial intelligence in enhancing knowledge graphs. AI-driven techniques can be employed to automate the discovery of relationships within data, predict user behavior, and personalize experiences in the Metaverse. Additionally, AI can play a significant role in ensuring the security and privacy of data within these complex systems. The successful implementation of these research objectives will require a multidisciplinary approach. Collaboration between computer scientists, data engineers, cognitive scientists, and domain experts is necessary to

ensure that the developed methodologies and models are not only technically sound but also aligned with the needs and behaviors of users. In summary, as the Metaverse evolves, the importance of developing sophisticated semantic data models cannot be overstated. Future research should concentrate on creating intelligent, dynamic, and scalable knowledge graphs that facilitate the integration of the physical and virtual worlds. This research will be instrumental in ensuring that the Metaverse can support complex interactions and provide rich, seamless experiences to its users.

5.2.8 Industry Practices and Development Trends. The Metaverse's rapid advancement, propelled by industry innovation, has led to the development of protocols such as STYLE, which are pioneering yet remain on the periphery of academic scrutiny. The academic community shall play its pivotal role in conducting rigorous, systematic research to validate, critique, and enhance these industry-led initiatives. Firstly, scholarly attention should be directed towards thoroughly examining exploratory protocols like STYLE. This entails not only understanding their technical underpinnings but also critically assessing their efficacy, scalability, and security implications within the broader context of the Metaverse's infrastructure. The goal is to identify gaps and potential improvements that can be addressed through academic research, contributing to the refinement and robustness of these protocols. In parallel, research should be dedicated to systematic tracking and analysis of actions taken by industry companies. This involves creating frameworks for monitoring their development and implementation of Metaverse-related technologies, strategies, and standards. Such frameworks could be based on a set of criteria that includes technological innovation, market impact, user adoption, and regulatory compliance. Through systematic tracking, researchers can discern patterns and trajectories in industry behavior, which is critical for anticipating future challenges and trends. Furthermore, future research should extend beyond observation to actively anticipate challenges that may arise as the Metaverse grows. This proactive approach requires a forward-thinking mindset and predictive models to forecast potential technical and societal impacts. Researchers should consider the implications of emerging technologies, the scalability of new protocols, and the integration of diverse systems within the Metaverse.

5.2.9 Policy Recommendations for Collaborative Development. Amidst the expansion of the Metaverse, the centrality of interoperability emerges as a foundational pillar upon which the efficacy and sustainability of this virtual ecosystem rests. The creation of comprehensive policies that guide the collaborative development of interoperability standards is vital. Such policies must be informed by targeted research and crafted to stimulate cooperation between governments, SDOs, and corporations. Policy recommendations must first delineate clear objectives for interoperability within the Metaverse, encompassing both technical and ethical dimensions. These objectives should foster an environment where diverse systems and platforms can seamlessly interact while adhering to a common set of principles that safeguard user interests and promote an open digital economy. Researchers in public policy and technological governance should conduct an in-depth analysis to identify potential points of convergence for different stakeholders. This analysis should result in high-level principles and actionable insights that inform policy frameworks promoting communication and collaboration. Security forms a cornerstone of these policy frameworks. As the Metaverse evolves, its interconnected nature becomes an attractive target for malicious actors. Policies must mandate the implementation of advanced cybersecurity measures, data protection standards, and privacy-preserving technologies that are robust yet flexible enough to adapt to emerging threats. Fairness in policy development is essential to avoid the monopolization of the Metaverse by a few dominant entities. Policies should aim to level the playing field, providing equal opportunities for smaller corporations and startups to innovate within the Metaverse. This includes ensuring transparent practices in data usage and fostering a competitive ecosystem that encourages diversity in content and services. At the same time, innovation and competition within the Metaverse

must not be stifled by overregulation. Thus, policies should be crafted to stimulate creativity and economic growth. This can be achieved by ensuring that interoperability standards do not impose unnecessarily restrictive technical requirements and providing a regulatory environment that encourages entrepreneurial ventures. In conclusion, the development of interoperability within the Metaverse demands a coalition of efforts supported by astute policy-making. Researchers interested in the fields must offer clear, actionable insights that guide the creation of policies ensuring robust, fair, and sustainable interoperability. These policies must be dynamic, encompassing a balance between the imperatives of security and fairness, and the drive for innovation and competition. Such collaborative and forward-thinking policy development will be instrumental in shaping a Metaverse that is not only technologically interconnected but also equitable and resilient in the face of future challenges.

By addressing these research directions, scholars can contribute significantly to the understanding and advancing Metaverse interoperability, bridging the gap between theoretical exploration and practical application.

6 CONCLUSION

In this study, we explore the evolving concept of the Metaverse and its critical interoperability, a concept that currently lacks a universally accepted definition. Our work aims to address this gap by providing a comprehensive, systematic review of the literature on Metaverse interoperability. Utilizing Urs Gasser's interoperability framework for digital ecosystems, we have structured our examination of this multifaceted issue, thereby addressing our first research question (RQ1). Through a critical analysis of the literature, we identified three essential LAYERS of Metaverse interoperability: (1) Interoperability Across Multiple Devices, (2) Seamless Navigation and Interoperability Among Platforms, and (3) Integrated Interaction Between Physical and Virtual Worlds. Our detailed analysis within these LAYERS advances a nuanced understanding of the Metaverse, contributing fresh perspectives and delineating a clear pathway to address our second and third research questions (RQ2 and RQ3). Our comprehensive examination of the Metaverse includes primary academic concerns such as user experience needs, technological infrastructure, common data protocols, key SDOs, and recent industry developments. We have identified current challenges and outlined a future research agenda, building a foundation for ongoing academic inquiry and technological advancement in Metaverse interoperability, in response to our fourth research question (RQ4). Since the Metaverse remains at a nascent stage, interoperability is essential for future growth. We encourage scholars to engage with this field and pursue the outlined research avenues. Collective intelligence and sustained innovation are essential for the Metaverse to realize its potential as an interoperable digital ecosystem. We anticipate that our contributions will motivate further research that addresses technical barriers and involves broader societal, governance, and global digital economic impacts. In summary, the journey towards a fully interoperable Metaverse is complex and remains to be comprehensively charted. Nonetheless, through analytical framing, consensus theme identification, and systematic research integration, we expect to advance the discourse and development at the forefront of this digital revolution.

REFERENCES

- [1] 1988. The Moving Picture Experts Group(MPEG). <https://www.mpeg.org/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [2] 2017. glTF - GL Transmission Format. https://www.khronos.org/api/index_2017/glTF Accessed: 2024-02-02.
- [3] 2022. IEEE Metaverse Standards Committee. <https://sagroups.ieee.org/metaverse-sc/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [4] 2022. ITU Focus Group on metaverse (FG-MV). <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/focusgroups/mv/Pages/default.aspx> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [5] 2022. Metaverse Standards Forum. <https://metaverse-standards.org> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [6] 2022. World Metaverse Council. <https://wmetac.com> Accessed: 2024-02-17.

- [7] 2023. STYLE Protocol. <https://www.protocol.style> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [8] Akbobe Abilkairkyzy, Ahmed Elhagry, Fedwa Laamarti, and Abdulmoteleb El Saddik. 2023. Metaverse Key Requirements and Platforms Survey. *IEEE Access* 11 (2023), 117765–117787. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3325844>
- [9] Erman Akilli. 2022. The Metaverse Diplomacy: A Future Vision for Türkiye. *Insight Turkey/Summer 2022: Embracing Emerging Technologies* (2022), 67.
- [10] Mohammad Alja'afreh, Sahel Alouneh, Muath Obaidat, Ali Karime, and Abdulmoteleb Elsaddik. 2023. Metaverse through Blockchain and Intelligent Networking: A Comprehensive Survey. In *2023 Fifth International Conference on Blockchain Computing and Applications (BCCA)*. 426–439. <https://doi.org/10.1109/BCCA58897.2023.10338851>
- [11] Mashaël Yousef Almoqbel, Azadeh Naderi, Donghee Yvette Wohn, and Nitesh Goyal. 2022. The metaverse: a systematic literature review to map scholarly definitions. In *Companion Publication of the 2022 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*. 80–84.
- [12] Saeed Hamood Alsamhi, Ammar Hawbani, Nabil Mohsen, Santosh Kumar, Lukasz Porwol, and Edward Curry. 2023. SemCom for Metaverse: Challenges, Opportunities and Future Trends. In *2023 3rd International Conference on Computing and Information Technology (ICCIIT)*. 130–134. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIIT58132.2023.10273924>
- [13] Petar Antonijevic, Muddesar Iqbal, George Ubakanma, and Tasos Dagiuklas. 2022. The Metaverse evolution: Toward Future Digital Twin Campuses. In *2022 Human-Centered Cognitive Systems (HCCS)*. 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HCCS55241.2022.10090250>
- [14] Mohd M. Anwar, Jim Greer, and Christopher A. Brooks. 2006. Privacy enhanced personalization in e-learning. In *Proceedings of the 2006 International Conference on Privacy, Security and Trust: Bridge the Gap Between PST Technologies and Business Services* (Markham, Ontario, Canada) (*PST '06*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 42, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1501434.1501485>
- [15] AOUSD. 2023. Core Initiatives - Alliance for OpenUSD. <https://aousd.org/#core-initiatives> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [16] Laura Ardila, Israel Pérez-Llopis, Manuel Esteve, and Carlos E Palau. 2015. Interoperable architecture for joint real/virtual training in emergency management using the MPEG-V standard. *Computer Standards & Interfaces* 41 (2015), 39–55.
- [17] IEEE Standards Association. [n. d.]. IEEE P2807 Knowledge Graph Working Group. <https://sagroups.ieee.org/2807/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [18] IEEE Standards Association. 2017. IEEE 2888. <https://sagroups.ieee.org/2888/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [19] Matthew Ball. 2022. *The metaverse: and how it will revolutionize everything*. Liveright Publishing.
- [20] Ali Kashif Bashir, Nancy Victor, Sweta Bhattacharya, Thien Huynh-The, Rajeswari Chengoden, Gokul Yenduri, Praveen Kumar Reddy Maddikunta, Quoc-Viet Pham, Thippa Reddy Gadekallu, and Madhusanka Liyanage. 2023. Federated Learning for the Healthcare Metaverse: Concepts, Applications, Challenges, and Future Directions. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 10, 24 (2023), 21873–21891. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IJOT.2023.3304790>
- [21] Georgios Bouloukakis and Ajay Kattapur. 2023. Donna: A Data Model for Enabling Extensible and Efficient Metaverse Applications. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Metaverse Computing, Networking and Applications (MetaCom)*. 756–761. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MetaCom57706.2023.00143>
- [22] Lal Lila Bozgeyikli. 2021. Give Me a Hand: Exploring Bidirectional Mutual Embodied Tangible Interaction in Virtual Reality. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics (ICCE)*. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCE50685.2021.9427733>
- [23] Mary Branscombe. 2023. OpenUSD Could Enable a Real Metaverse. *The New Stack*. <https://thenewstack.io/openusd-could-enable-a-real-metaverse/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [24] Eric Burns, David Easter, Rob Chadwick, David A. Smith, and Carl Rosengrant. 2014. The Virtual World Framework: Collaborative virtual environments on the web. In *2014 IEEE Virtual Reality (VR)*. 165–166. <https://doi.org/10.1109/VR.2014.6802103>
- [25] Sergiy Byelozorov, Dmitri Rubinstein, Vincent Pegoraro, and Philipp Slusallek. 2013. An Open Modular Middleware for Interoperable Virtual Environments. In *2013 International Conference on Cyberworlds*. 94–100. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CW.2013.19>
- [26] Bohan Chen, Chengxin Song, Boyu Lin, Xin Xu, Ruoyan Tang, Yunxuan Lin, Yuan Yao, Joseph Timoney, and Ting Bi. 2022. A Cross-platform Metaverse Data Management System. In *2022 IEEE International Conference on Metrology for Extended Reality, Artificial Intelligence and Neural Engineering (MetroXR/RAINE)*. 145–150. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MetroXR/RAINE54828.2022.9967588>
- [27] Ruizhi Cheng, Nan Wu, Songqing Chen, and Bo Han. 2022. Will Metaverse Be NextG Internet? Vision, Hype, and Reality. *IEEE Network* 36 (2022), 197–204.
- [28] Yuanfang Chi, Haihan Duan, Wei Cai, Z Jane Wang, and Victor CM Leung. 2023. Networking Parallel Web3 Metaverses for Interoperability. *IEEE Network* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1109/MNET.2023.3320660>

- [29] Aleena Chia. 2022. The metaverse, but not the way you think: Game engines and automation beyond game development. *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 39, 3 (2022), 191–200.
- [30] Yunsik Cho, Myeongseok Park, and Jimmo Kim. 2023. XAVE: Cross-Platform Based Asymmetric Virtual Environment for Immersive Content. *IEEE Access* 11 (2023), 71890–71904. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3294390>
- [31] Jungsik Choi and Sejin Lee. 2023. A Suggestion of the Alternatives Evaluation Method through IFC-Based Building Energy Performance Analysis. *Sustainability* 15, 3 (2023), 1797.
- [32] Javier Conde, Andres Munoz-Arcentales, Álvaro Alonso, Gabriel Huecas, and Joaquín Salvachúa. 2022. Collaboration of Digital Twins Through Linked Open Data: Architecture With FIWARE as Enabling Technology. *IT Professional* 24, 6 (2022), 41–46. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MITP.2022.3224826>
- [33] Ana Raquel Sampaio Correia, Pedro B. Água, Armindo Frias, and Mário Simões-Marques. 2023. Security and privacy for interoperable organizations. *Human Factors and Systems Interaction* (2023).
- [34] Goncalo Cruz, Antonio Costa, Paulo Martins, Ramiro Goncalves, and Joao Barroso. 2013. Federation Technology and Virtual Worlds for Learning: Research Trends and Opportunities Towards Identity Federation. In *2013 5th International Conference on Games and Virtual Worlds for Serious Applications (VS-GAMES)*. 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/VS-GAMES.2013.6624229>
- [35] Gonçalo Cruz, António Costa, Paulo Martins, Ramiro Gonçalves, and João Barroso. 2015. Toward educational virtual worlds: should identity federation be a concern? *Journal of Educational Technology & Society* 18, 1 (2015), 27–36.
- [36] John David N. Dionisio, William G. Burns III, and Richard Gilbert. 2013. 3D Virtual Worlds and the Metaverse: Current Status and Future Possibilities. *ACM Comput. Surv.* 45, 3, Article 34 (jul 2013), 38 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2480741.2480751>
- [37] Trung Q Duong, Dang Van Huynh, Saeed R Khosravirad, Vishal Sharma, Octavia A Dobre, and Hyundong Shin. 2023. From digital twin to metaverse: The role of 6G ultra-reliable and low-latency communications with multi-tier computing. *IEEE Wireless Communications* 30, 3 (2023), 140–146.
- [38] Mevlüt Ersoy and Remzi Gürfidan. 2022. Blockchain-based asset storage and service mechanism to metaverse universe: Metarepo. *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies* 34 (2022).
- [39] Jérôme Euzenat. 2001. Towards a principled approach to semantic interoperability. In *Proc. IJCAI 2001 workshop on ontology and information sharing*. No commercial editor., 19–25.
- [40] Muslim Farooque. 2021. MANA-USD: Decentraland Might be the Pick Of Meta Coins Right Now. <https://investorplace.com/2021/11/mana-usd-might-be-the-pick-of-the-meta-coins-at-this-time/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [41] Alliance for OpenUSD. 2023. Deep Dive: AOUSD Core Specification Approach and Roadmap. Blog. <https://aousd.org/blog/deep-dive-aousd-core-specification-approach-and-roadmap/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [42] The Linux Foundation. 2023. Alliance for OpenUSD Unveils Roadmap for Core USD Specification and Ecosystem Collaboration. <https://www.linuxfoundation.org/press/alliance-for-openusd-unveils-roadmap-for-core-usd-specification> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [43] Thippa Reddy Gadekallu, Thien Huynh-The, Weizheng Wang, Gokul Yenduri, Pasika Sashmal Ranaweera, Viet Quoc Pham, Daniel Benevides da Costa, and Madhusanka Liyanage. 2022. Blockchain for the Metaverse: A Review. *ArXiv abs/2203.09738* (2022).
- [44] Inma García-Pereira, Pablo Casanova-Salas, Jesús Gimeno, Pedro Morillo, and Dirk Reiners. 2021. Cross-Device augmented reality annotations method for asynchronous collaboration in unprepared environments. *Information* 12, 12 (2021), 519.
- [45] Urs Gasser. 2015. Interoperability in the digital ecosystem. *Available at SSRN 2639210* (2015).
- [46] Siem Ghirmai, Daniel Mebrahtom, Moayad Aloqaily, Mohsen Guizani, and Merouane Debbah. 2022. Self-Sovereign Identity for Trust and Interoperability in the Metaverse. In *2022 IEEE Smartworld, Ubiquitous Intelligence & Computing, Scalable Computing & Communications, Digital Twin, Privacy Computing, Metaverse, Autonomous & Trusted Vehicles (SmartWorld/UIC/ScalCom/DigitalTwin/PriComp/Meta)*. 2468–2475. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SmartWorld-UIC-ATC-ScalCom-DigitalTwin-PriComp-Metaverse56740.2022.00345>
- [47] Khronos Group. [n. d.]. OpenXR. <https://www.khronos.org/openxr/>. Accessed on 2024-02-17.
- [48] Andreas Grüner, Alexander Mühle, and Christoph Meinel. 2018. On the Relevance of Blockchain in Identity Management. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.08136* (2018).
- [49] Jie Guan, Jay Irizawa, and Alexis Morris. 2022. Extended Reality and Internet of Things for Hyper-Connected Metaverse Environments. In *2022 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces Abstracts and Workshops (VRW)*. 163–168. <https://doi.org/10.1109/VRW55335.2022.00043>
- [50] Jie Guan and Alexis Morris. 2022. Extended-XRI Body Interfaces for Hyper-Connected Metaverse Environments. In *2022 IEEE Games, Entertainment, Media Conference (GEM)*. 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GEM56474.2022.10017701>
- [51] Yue Han, Dusit Niyato, Cyril Leung, Dong In Kim, Kun Zhu, Shaohan Feng, Xuemin Shen, and Chunyan Miao. 2023. A Dynamic Hierarchical Framework for IoT-Assisted Digital Twin Synchronization in the Metaverse. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 10, 1 (2023), 268–284. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2022.3201082>

- [52] Omar Hashash, Christina Chaccour, Walid Saad, Kei Sakaguchi, and Tao Yu. 2023. Towards a Decentralized Metaverse: Synchronized Orchestration of Digital Twins and Sub-Metaverses. In *ICC 2023 - IEEE International Conference on Communications*. 1905–1910. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC45041.2023.10279406>
- [53] Tareqnael Hashem, Firas Jamil Alotoum, Mahmoud Allan, and Nafeznimer Ali. 2023. Employing metaverse marketing through gaming and its impact on customer experience: evidence from multiple online gaming platforms. *Calitatea* 24, 196 (2023), 193–206.
- [54] George Hatzivasilis, Ioannis Askoxylakis, George Alexandris, Darko Anicic, Arne Bröring, Vivek Kulkarni, Konstantinos Fysarakis, and George Spanoudakis. 2018. The Interoperability of Things: Interoperable solutions as an enabler for IoT and Web 3.0. In *2018 IEEE 23rd International Workshop on Computer Aided Modeling and Design of Communication Links and Networks (CAMAD)*. IEEE, 1–7.
- [55] Terje Haugum, Bjorn Hoff, Mohammed Alsadi, and Jingyue Li. 2022. Security and Privacy Challenges in Blockchain Interoperability - A Multivocal Literature Review. *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering* (2022).
- [56] Anita Havele, Nicholas Polys, and Johannes Behr. 2023. Building 3D Web Interoperability for the Metaverse. In *Proceedings of the 28th International ACM Conference on 3D Web Technology* (San Sebastian, Spain) (*Web3D '23*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 32, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3611314.3623584>
- [57] Anita Havele, Nicholas Polys, William Benman, and Donald Brutzman. 2022. The Keys to an Open, Interoperable Metaverse (*Web3D '22*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 9, 7 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3564533.3564575>
- [58] Sandra Heiler. 1995. Semantic interoperability. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 27, 2 (1995), 271–273.
- [59] Thomas A Hemphill. 2023. The ‘Metaverse’ and the challenge of responsible standards development. *Journal of Responsible Innovation* 10, 1 (2023), 2243121.
- [60] Huang Huawei, Zhang Qinnan, Li Taotao, Yang Qinglin, Yin Zhaokang, Wu Junhao, Zehui Xiong, Zhu Jianming, Jiajing Wu, and Zibin Zheng. 2023. Economic Systems in the Metaverse: Basics, State of the Art, and Challenges. *ACM Comput. Surv.* 56, 4, Article 99 (nov 2023), 33 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3626315>
- [61] Thien Huynh-The, Thippa Reddy Gadekallu, Weizheng Wang, Gokul Yenduri, Pasika Ranaweera, Quoc-Viet Pham, Daniel Benevides da Costa, and Madhusanka Liyanage. 2023. Blockchain for the metaverse: A Review. *Future Generation Computer Systems* (2023).
- [62] Wook Hyun. 2023. Study on standardization for Interoperable Metaverse. In *2023 25th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT)*. 319–322. <https://doi.org/10.23919/ICACT56868.2023.10079642>
- [63] Saverio Iacono and Gianni Vercelli. 2023. How to Prepare High-Level Massive Online Open Courses for the Metaverse: Tools and Needs. *Engineering Proceedings* 38, 1 (2023), 2.
- [64] Industrial, Science Information Technology Department, and Technology Division. 2024. Public Announcement of the Formation Plan for the Metaverse Standardization Working Group. https://www.miit.gov.cn/jgsj/kjs/jscx/bzgf/art/2024/art_c0dbc4289aad44c885c4e0c40a2d089d.html Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [65] International Organization for Standardization. 2017. ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765:2017. <https://www.iso.org/standard/71952.html> Accessed 2024-01-22.
- [66] Utkarshani Jaimini, Tongtao Zhang, Georgia Olympia Brikis, and Amit Sheth. 2022. iMetaverseKG: Industrial Metaverse Knowledge Graph to Promote Interoperability in Design and Engineering Applications. *IEEE Internet Computing* 26, 6 (2022), 59–67. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MIC.2022.3212085>
- [67] Danial Jamil, Muhammad Masrur Mohd Jamil, and Muhammad Hassam. 2023. Blockchain-Based DLTs for Metaverse Applications Security. *Engineering Science Letter* (2023).
- [68] Shan Jiang, Jiannong Cao, and Hanqing Wu. 2023. Fast and Atomic Cross-blockchain Asset Exchange for Metaverse Interoperability. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Metaverse Computing, Networking and Applications (MetaCom)*. 177–184. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MetaCom57706.2023.00044>
- [69] Jean-Marc Jot, Rémi Audfray, Mark Hertensteiner, and Brian Schmidt. 2021. Rendering Spatial Sound for Interoperable Experiences in the Audio Metaverse. In *2021 Immersive and 3D Audio: from Architecture to Automotive (I3DA)*. 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1109/I3DA48870.2021.9610971>
- [70] Jaeha Kim, Yeongmi Kim, and Jeha Ryu. 2013. MPEG-V standardization for haptically interacting with virtual worlds. In *2013 World Haptics Conference (WHC)*. 55–60. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WHC.2013.6548384>
- [71] Peter Kokol and Helena Blažun Vošner. 2018. Discrepancies among Scopus, Web of Science, and PubMed coverage of funding information in medical journal articles. *Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA* 106, 1 (2018), 81.
- [72] Romain Laborde, Afonso Ferreira, Cristian Lepore, Mohamed-Ali Kandi, Michelle Sibilla, and Abdelmalek Benzekri. 2023. The Interplay Between Policy and Technology in Metaverses: Towards Seamless Avatar Interoperability Using Self-Sovereign Identity. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Metaverse Computing, Networking and Applications (MetaCom)*. 418–422. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MetaCom57706.2023.00080>

- [73] Ben Lang. 2022. Meta's First Step Toward a Proper Metaverse: Avatars That Can 'travel between' Two Platforms. <https://www.roadtovr.com/meta-first-steps-toward-metaverse-avatar-travel-horizon-crayta/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [74] Jyh-An Lee. 2017. Licensing Open Government Data. *Hastings Business Law Journal* 13, 2 (2017). <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2964704> The Chinese University of Hong Kong Faculty of Law Research Paper 2017-07.
- [75] Jyh-An Lee, Liang Yang, and Pan Hui. 2023. Legal Implications of Self-presence in the Metaverse. *Media & Arts Law Review* 25, 4 (2023). <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4665569>
- [76] Ji Ho Lee, JiEun Lee, and JaeSeung Song. 2023. An Edge-Enabled IoT Framework for Metaverse in Smart City. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Metaverse Computing, Networking and Applications (MetaCom)*. 708–713. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MetaCom57706.2023.00133>
- [77] Lik-Hang Lee, Tristan Braud, Pengyuan Zhou, Lin Wang, Dianlei Xu, Zijun Lin, Abhishek Kumar, Carlos Bermejo, and Pan Hui. 2021. All One Needs to Know about Metaverse: A Complete Survey on Technological Singularity, Virtual Ecosystem, and Research Agenda. *ArXiv abs/2110.05352* (2021).
- [78] Wouter Lemoine and Maarten Wijnants. 2023. Progressive Network Streaming of Textured Meshes in the Binary glTF 2.0 Format. In *Proceedings of the 28th International ACM Conference on 3D Web Technology (San Sebastian, Spain) (Web3D '23)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 7, 11 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3611314.3615907>
- [79] Jiming Li, Yingfeng Zhang, and Cheng Qian. 2022. The enhanced resource modeling and real-time transmission technologies for Digital Twin based on QoS considerations. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing* 75 (2022), 102284.
- [80] Kai Li, Billy Pik Lik Lau, Xin Yuan, Wei Ni, Mohsen Guizani, and Chau Yuen. 2023. Toward Ubiquitous Semantic Metaverse: Challenges, Approaches, and Opportunities. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 10, 24 (2023), 21855–21872. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2023.3302159>
- [81] Taotao Li, Changlin Yang, Qinglin Yang, Shizhan Lan, Siqi Zhou, Xiaofei Luo, Huawei Huang, and Zibin Zheng. 2023. Metaopera: A Cross-Metaverse Interoperability Protocol. *IEEE Wireless Communications* 30, 5 (2023), 136–143. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MWC.011.2300042>
- [82] Wei Yang Bryan Lim, Zehui Xiong, Dusit Niyato, Xianbin Cao, Chunyan Miao, Sumei Sun, and Qiang Yang. 2023. Realizing the Metaverse with Edge Intelligence: A Match Made in Heaven. *IEEE Wireless Communications* 30, 4 (2023), 64–71. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MWC.018.2100716>
- [83] Zheng Ma. 2023. Energy metaverse: the conceptual framework with a review of the state-of-the-art methods and technologies. *Energy Informatics* 6, 1 (2023), 42.
- [84] Marco Marabelli and Sue Newell. 2023. Responsibly strategizing with the metaverse: Business implications and DEI opportunities and challenges. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems* 32, 2 (2023), 101774.
- [85] Mike McBride, Xinxin Fan, and David Guzman. 2023. BGP Blockchain for Metaverse - A Distributed Consensus System for BGP. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Metaverse Computing, Networking and Applications (MetaCom)*. 769–774. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MetaCom57706.2023.00145>
- [86] Metaverse Standards Forum. 2023. 3D Asset Interoperability Using USD and glTF Working Group – 2023 Year in Review. <https://metaverse-standards.org/news/blog/3d-asset-interoperability-using-usd-and-gltf-working-group-2023-year-in-review/> Accessed: 2024-02-03.
- [87] Shilpi Mishra, Himanshu Arora, Garvit Parakh, and Jayesh Khandelwal. 2022. Contribution of Blockchain in Development of Metaverse. In *2022 7th International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems (ICCES)*. 845–850. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCES54183.2022.9835986>
- [88] Jayandren Moodley, Gys A M Meiring, Njabulo Mtetwa, Obakeng Motuba, Ovi Mphephu, Mikateko Maluleke, and Reevana Balmahoon. 2023. Beyond Reality: An Application of Extended Reality and Blockchain in the Metaverse. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Omni-layer Intelligent Systems (COINS)*. 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COINS57856.2023.10189285>
- [89] Fiona M Scott Morton, Gregory S Crawford, Jacques Crémer, David Dinielli, Amelia Fletcher, Paul Heidhues, and Monika Schnitzer. 2023. Equitable Interoperability: The "Supertool" of Digital Platform Governance. *Yale J. on Reg.* 40 (2023), 1013.
- [90] S. Mystakidis. 2019. Metaverse. *Interference* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781350126909.00000017>
- [91] Kim Nevelsteen and Martin Wehlou. 2021. IPSME- Idempotent Publish/Subscribe Messaging Environment. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Immersive Mixed and Virtual Environment Systems (MMVE '21) (Istanbul, Turkey) (MMVE '21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 30–36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3458307.3460966>
- [92] Cong T. Nguyen, Dinh Thai Hoang, Diep N. Nguyen, and Eryk Dutkiewicz. 2022. MetaChain: A Novel Blockchain-based Framework for Metaverse Applications. In *2022 IEEE 95th Vehicular Technology Conference: (VTC2022-Spring)*. 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/VTC2022-Spring54318.2022.9860983>

- [93] Jihyeon Oh, Myeonghyun Kim, Yohan Park, and Youngho Park. 2023. A Secure Content Trading for Cross-Platform in the Metaverse With Blockchain and Searchable Encryption. *IEEE Access* 11 (2023), 120680–120693. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3328232>
- [94] OpenUSD. 2021. Universal Scene Description. <https://openusd.org/release/index.html>. Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [95] Aris M. Ouksel and Amit Sheth. 1999. Semantic interoperability in global information systems. *ACM Sigmod Record* 28, 1 (1999), 5–12.
- [96] Oyewole Oyekoya, Ran Stone, William Steptoe, Laith Alkurdi, Stefan Klare, Angelika Peer, Tim Weyrich, Benjamin Cohen, Franco Tecchia, and Anthony Steed. 2013. Supporting interoperability and presence awareness in collaborative mixed reality environments. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology (Singapore) (VRST '13)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 165–174. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2503713.2503732>
- [97] Andrew Park, Matthew Wilson, Karen Robson, Dionysios Demetis, and Jan Kietzmann. 2023. Interoperability: Our exciting and terrifying Web3 future. *Business Horizons* 66, 4 (2023), 529–541.
- [98] Sonali Patwe and Sunil Mane. 2023. Blockchain Enabled Architecture for Secure Authentication in the Metaverse Environment: A Student Training Use Case. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Metaverse Computing, Networking and Applications (MetaCom)*. 413–417. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MetaCom57706.2023.00079>
- [99] Nikolaos Pellas. 2016. Bolstering the quality and integrity of online collaborative university-level courses via an open Sim standalone server in conjunction with sloodle. *Education and Information Technologies* 21 (2016), 1007–1032.
- [100] Damiano Perri, Marco Simonetti, Sergio Tasso, and Osvaldo Gervasi. 2023. Open Metaverse with Open Software. In *International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications*. Springer, 583–596.
- [101] Van Cu Pham, Quan Khanh Luu, Tuan Tai Nguyen, Nhan Huu Nguyen, Yasuo Tan, and Van Anh Ho. 2022. Web of Tactile Things: Towards an Open and Standardized Platform for Tactile Things via the W3C Web of Things. In *International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering*. Springer, 92–99.
- [102] Marius Preda and Blagica Jovanova. 2013. Avatar interoperability and control in virtual Worlds. *Signal Processing: Image Communication* 28, 2 (2013), 168–180.
- [103] Vivek Rajan, Satheesh Subramanian, Damin Keenan, Andrew Johnson, Daniel Sandin, and Thomas DeFanti. 2002. A Realistic Video Avatar System for Networked Virtual Environments.
- [104] Anand Singh Rajawat, S.B. Goyal, Aarti Goyal, Kavita Rajawat, Maria Simona Raboaca, Chaman Verma, and Traian Candin Mihaltan. 2023. Enhancing Security and Scalability of Metaverse with Blockchain-based Consensus Mechanisms. In *2023 15th International Conference on Electronics, Computers and Artificial Intelligence (ECAI)*. 01–06. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ECAI58194.2023.10194035>
- [105] Yongjun Ren, Zhiying Lv, Neal N. Xiong, and Jin Wang. 2023. HCNCT:A Cross-chain Interaction Scheme for the Blockchain-based Metaverse. *ACM Trans. Multimedia Comput. Commun. Appl.* (apr 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3594542> Just Accepted.
- [106] Diego Rincon-Yanez and Sabrina Senatore. 2022. FAIR Knowledge Graph construction from text, an approach applied to fictional novels. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Knowledge Graph Generation from Text and the 1st International Workshop on Modular Knowledge co-located with 19th Extended Semantic Web Conference (ESWC 2022), Hersonissos, Greece*. 94–108.
- [107] Georg David Ritterbusch and Malte Rolf Teichmann. 2023. Defining the metaverse: A systematic literature review. *IEEE Access* (2023).
- [108] Jongseok Ryu, Seunghwan Son, Joonyoung Lee, Yohan Park, and Youngho Park. 2022. Design of Secure Mutual Authentication Scheme for Metaverse Environments Using Blockchain. *IEEE Access* 10 (2022), 98944–98958. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3206457>
- [109] Celso AS Santos, Estêvão B Saleme, and Juliana CS de Andrade. 2015. A systematic review of data exchange formats in advanced interaction environments. *International Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering* 10, 5 (2015), 123–140.
- [110] Rúben Santos, António A Costa, and António Grilo. 2017. Bibliometric analysis and review of Building Information Modelling literature published between 2005 and 2015. *Automation in Construction* 80 (2017), 118–136.
- [111] Mustafa Tuncay Saritas and Kivanç Topraklikoglu. 2022. Systematic Literature Review on the Use of Metaverse in Education. *International Journal of Technology in Education* 5, 4 (2022), 586–607.
- [112] Erick Schonfeld. 2008. IBM And Second Life Announce Interoperability, But Bridging Virtual Worlds Is the Wrong Answer. <https://techcrunch.com/2008/07/08/ibm-and-second-life-announce-interoperability-project-but-bridging-virtual-worlds-is-the-wrong-answer/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [113] Madeleine Schulz and Maghan McDowell. 2022. Metaverse Fashion Week to return next year. <https://www.voguebusiness.com/technology/metaverse-fashion-week-to-return-next-year> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [114] Raz Schwartz and William Steptoe. 2018. The Immersive VR Self: Performance, Embodiment and Presence in Immersive Virtual Reality Environments. *A Networked Self and Human Augmentics, Artificial Intelligence, Sentience*

- (2018).
- [115] Tarek Setti and Adam B Csapo. 2021. A canonical set of operations for editing dashboard layouts in virtual reality. *Frontiers in Computer Science* 3 (2021), 659600.
- [116] Duansen Shangguan, Liping Chen, Chang Su, Jianwan Ding, and Chan Liu. 2022. A Triple Human-Digital Twin Architecture for Cyber-Physical Systems. *CMES-Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences* 131, 3 (2022).
- [117] Yu Shen, Yuhang Liu, Yonglin Tian, and Xiaoxiang Na. 2022. Parallel Sensing in Metaverses: Virtual-Real Interactive Smart Systems for “6S” Sensing. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica* 9, 12 (2022), 2047–2054. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JAS.2022.106115>
- [118] GA Sivasankar. 2022. Study of Blockchain Technology, AI and Digital Networking in Metaverse. *IRE Journals* 5, 8 (2022), 110–115.
- [119] Somnium Times. 2023. BREAKING: Somnium Space Announces Integration with Teslasuit Glove. <https://somniautimes.com/2023/01/30/breaking-somnium-space-announces-integration-with-teslasuit-glove/> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [120] Lav Soni, Amanpreet Kaur, and Avinash Sharma. 2023. A Review on Metaverse and Immersive Technologies. *2023 Third International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Smart Energy (ICAIS)* (2023), 924–928.
- [121] Christian Stary. 2023. Can a ‘Metaverse by Design’ Benefit from Digital Process Twins?. In *International Conference on Subject-Oriented Business Process Management*. Springer, 91–110.
- [122] Ting Fang Tan, Yong Li, Jane Sujuan Lim, Dinesh Visva Gunasekaran, Zhen Ling Teo, Wei Yan Ng, and Daniel Sw Ting. 2022. Metaverse and virtual health care in ophthalmology: Opportunities and challenges. *The Asia-Pacific Journal of Ophthalmology* 11, 3 (2022), 237–246.
- [123] Julia Tar. 2023. Finland seeks to become Metaverse global leader by 2035. <https://www.euractiv.com/section/digital/news/finland-seeks-to-become-metaverse-global-leader-by-2035/> Accessed: 2024-02-21.
- [124] The Moving Picture Experts Group. 2014. MPEG-V. <https://mpeg.chiariglione.org/standards/mpeg-v> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [125] The World Economic Forum and Accenture. 2023. *Interoperability in the Metaverse*. Report. The World Economic Forum and Accenture. https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Interoperability_in_the_Metaverse.pdf
- [126] Vu Tuan Truong, Long Bao Le, and Dusit Tao Niyato. 2023. Blockchain Meets Metaverse and Digital Asset Management: A Comprehensive Survey. *IEEE Access* 11 (2023), 26258–26288.
- [127] Xinyi Tu, Juuso Autiosalo, Riku Ala-Laurinaho, Chao Yang, Pauli Salminen, and Kari Tammi. 2023. TwinXR: Method for using digital twin descriptions in industrial eXtended reality applications. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality* 4 (2023), 1019080.
- [128] Johannes Tümler, Alp Toprak, and Baixuan Yan. 2022. Multi-user Multi-platform xR collaboration: System and evaluation. In *International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*. Springer, 74–93.
- [129] Chrysoula Tzermia, Nick-Periklis Chourdas, and Athanasios Malamos. 2022. Deep Learning Classification in web3D model geometries: Using X3D models for Machine Learning Classification in Real-Time web applications. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on 3D Web Technology (EvrY-Courcournes, France) (Web3D '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 14, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3564533.3564564>
- [130] Moshe Y Vardi. 2009. Conferences vs. journals in computing research. , 5–5 pages.
- [131] Jothi Prakash Venugopal, Arul Antran Vijay Subramanian, and Jegathesh Peatchimuthu. 2023. The realm of metaverse: A survey. *Computer Animation and Virtual Worlds* (2023), e2150.
- [132] Juho-Pekka Virtanen, Hannu Hyypä, Ali Kämäräinen, Tommi Hollström, Mikko Vastaranta, and Juha Hyypä. 2015. Intelligent open data 3D maps in a collaborative virtual world. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information* 4, 2 (2015), 837–857.
- [133] Siwen Wang and Wei Wang. 2023. A review of the application of digital identity in the metaverse. *Security and Safety* (2023).
- [134] Yuntao Wang, Zhou Su, Ning Zhang, Rui Xing, Dongxiao Liu, Tom H Luan, and Xuemin Shen. 2022. A survey on metaverse: Fundamentals, security, and privacy. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* (2022).
- [135] Yuntao Wang, Zhou Su, Ning Zhang, Rui Xing, Dongxiao Liu, Tom H. Luan, and Xuemin Shen. 2023. A Survey on Metaverse: Fundamentals, Security, and Privacy. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* 25, 1 (2023), 319–352. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2022.3202047>
- [136] Web3D Consortium. [n. d.]. X3D Working Groups. <https://www.web3d.org/working-groups/x3d> Accessed on 2024-02-02.
- [137] Web3D Consortium. 2024. Humanoid Animation (HAnim). <https://www.web3d.org/working-groups/hanim>. Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [138] Web3D Consortium. 2024. What is X3D? <https://www.web3d.org/x3d/what-x3d>. Accessed 06-03-2024.
- [139] Markus Weinberger. 2022. What Is Metaverse?—A Definition Based on Qualitative Meta-Synthesis. *Future Internet* 14, 11 (2022), 310.

- [140] Cedric Westphal, Jungha Hong, Shin-Gak Kang, Leonardo Chiariglione, and Tianji Jiang. 2023. Networking for the Metaverse: The Standardization Landscape. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09295* (2023). <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2312.09295.pdf> Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [141] Wikipedia. 2019. VRML. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/VRML>. Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [142] Wikipedia. 2020. COLLADA. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/COLLADA>. Accessed: 2024-02-17.
- [143] World Bank Group. [n. d.]. Interoperability Frameworks. <https://id4d.worldbank.org/guide/interoperability-frameworks> Accessed 2024-01-22.
- [144] Andy Wu, David B. Yoffie, and Matt Higgins. 2023. Metaverse Wars. Harvard Business School Case 723-431. Revised June 2023.
- [145] Lijuan Yang. 2023. Recommendations for metaverse governance based on technical standards. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* 10, 1 (2023), 1–10.
- [146] Yingying Yao, Xiaolin Chang, Lin Li, Jiqiang Liu, Jelena Mišić, and Vojislav B. Mišić. 2022. Metaverse-AKA: A Lightweight and PrivacyPreserving Seamless Cross-Metaverse Authentication and Key Agreement Scheme. In *2022 IEEE Smartworld, Ubiquitous Intelligence & Computing, Scalable Computing & Communications, Digital Twin, Privacy Computing, Metaverse, Autonomous & Trusted Vehicles (SmartWorld/UIC/ScalCom/DigitalTwin/PriComp/Meta)*. 2421–2427. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SmartWorld-UIC-ATC-ScalCom-DigitalTwin-PriComp-Metaverse56740.2022.00340>
- [147] Yingying Yao, Xiaolin Chang, Lin Li, Jiqiang Liu, Jelena Mišić, and Vojislav B. Mišić. 2023. DIDs-Assisted Secure Cross-Metaverse Authentication Scheme for MEC-Enabled Metaverse. In *ICC 2023 - IEEE International Conference on Communications*. 6318–6323. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC45041.2023.10279761>
- [148] Shakila Zaman, Ram Dantu, Syed Badruddoja, Sirisha Talapuru, and Kritagya Upadhyay. 2023. Layerwise Interoperability in Metaverse: Key to Next-Generation Electronic Commerce. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Metaverse Computing, Networking and Applications (MetaCom)*. 9–16. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MetaCom57706.2023.00018>
- [149] Hao Lan Zhang, Yun Xue, Yifan Lu, and Sanghyuk Lee. 2023. A Fusion Model: Towards a Virtual, Physical and Cognitive Integration and its Principles. *IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine* (2023), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCE.2023.3300488>