

A short proof of a strong Weyl law in dimension 1

August Bjerg¹

¹QMATH, Department of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5,
DK-2100, Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

Abstract

For the Dirichlet realization of $-d^2/dx^2 - \lambda^2 V$ on a bounded interval, with V a positive C^2 potential bounded away from 0 and $\lambda > 0$ a large parameter, we prove an asymptotic law for the values λ_n of λ at the n^{th} appearance of a new negative eigenvalue. This approximation is correct up to an error of order $1/n$, thus making the result strictly stronger than the classical Weyl law for the number of negative eigenvalues for these operators.

1 Main result

Consider real numbers a and b satisfying $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ and a continuous potential $V \in C([a, b])$. For each $\lambda > 0$, denote by H_λ the self-adjoint Dirichlet realization of the differential operator $-d^2/dx^2 - \lambda^2 V$ on $L^2((a, b))$. That is, H_λ has domain $D(H_\lambda) = \{\varphi \in H^2((a, b)) \mid \varphi(a) = 0 = \varphi(b)\}$ inside the Sobolev space $H^2((a, b))$. We let $N(\lambda)$ denote the number of negative¹ eigenvalues of H_λ .

Then the classical Weyl law for $N(\lambda)$ says that

$$N(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \int_a^b [V]_+^{1/2} dx + \varepsilon(\lambda) \quad (1)$$

where $[V]_+$ is the positive part of V and $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ is an error of lower order than λ in the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Most standard presentations treat the case on the full space \mathbb{R} and prove $\varepsilon(\lambda)/\lambda \rightarrow 0$ with various assumptions on the potential (cf. [4] Theorem XIII.79 or [3] Theorem 4.28). Note that, since the left-hand side of (1) takes only integer values while the first term on the right-hand side grows continuously in λ , there is no chance of improving the order of the error $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ in (1) beyond constant order $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}(1)$.

¹By “negative eigenvalues” we mean always strictly negative eigenvalues, i.e. not counting 0.

For formulating a result which is stronger than any possible approximation of the form (1) we introduce the numbers

$$\lambda_n := \inf\{\lambda > 0 \mid N(\lambda) \geq n\}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. These are the values of λ for which $N(\lambda)$ “jumps” from $n-1$ to n . It can indeed be shown that, for all n , $\lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1}$ and $N(\lambda) = n$ for $\lambda \in (\lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}]$, so that in particular $N(\lambda_n) = n-1$. Consequently, we obtain from (1)

$$\frac{\lambda_n}{\pi} \int_a^b [V]_+^{1/2} dx = n + \tilde{\varepsilon}(n)$$

with $\tilde{\varepsilon}(n)/n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here, however, as the large parameter n itself is varying discretely, there is a chance of improving the order of the error $\tilde{\varepsilon}(n)$ beyond constant order. This is what we will do under suitable additional assumptions on the potential V . Our main result is the following.

Theorem. Assume that the potential V lies in $C^2([a, b])$ and satisfies $V(x) \geq c$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ for some fixed $c > 0$. Then, with the notation introduced above,

$$\frac{\lambda_n}{\pi} \int_a^b V^{1/2} dx = n + \mathcal{O}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1/n) \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1/n)$ is an error bounded by a universal constant times $1/n$.

2 Proof of main result

As an essential part of the proof of the theorem, we need the standard result of Sturm’s Oscillation Theorem. That is, if H is the Dirichlet realization of the operator $-d^2/dx^2 + W$ on some finite interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ with a continuous potential W , and if h solves the initial value problem $h'' = Wh$, $h(\alpha) = 0$, then the number of zeroes of h on (α, β) equals the number of negative eigenvalues of H . See Section 3 of [5] for a recommended review hereof. We use this result freely below.

In the spirit of the oscillation theorem we consider a solution f_λ to the problem $f_\lambda'' = -\lambda^2 V f_\lambda$, $f_\lambda(a) = 0$. Introducing the new variable

$$\xi = \int_a^x V(y)^{1/2} dy$$

and defining, for each $\lambda > 0$, the function $g_\lambda(\xi) = V(x)^{1/4} f_\lambda(x)$, straightforward calculations show that $g_\lambda(0) = 0$ and

$$\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} g_\lambda(\xi) = [-\lambda^2 - U(\xi)] g_\lambda(\xi)$$

on $(0, D)$ with

$$U(\xi) := V(x)^{-3/4} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (V(x)^{-1/4}) \quad \text{and} \quad D := \int_a^b V^{1/2} dx.$$

Note here that clearly the number of zeroes of f_λ on (a, b) , i.e. $N(\lambda)$, equals the number of zeroes of g_λ on $(0, D)$. This transformation of the equation is the cornerstone of the so-called Liouville-Green approximation, and it has a close connection to the JWKB approximation in quantum mechanics.

Observe now that from our assumptions on V we can deduce the inequalities $-C \leq U(\xi) \leq C$ for all $\xi \in [0, D]$ for some constant $C > 0$. Hence, we have the inequalities

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - \lambda^2 - C \leq -\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - \lambda^2 - U \leq -\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - \lambda^2 + C \quad (3)$$

of operators acting on $L^2((0, D))$ with common domains

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\varphi \in H^2((0, D)) \mid \varphi(0) = 0 = \varphi(D)\}$$

given as usual by the Dirichlet boundary conditions. By the variational principle for the eigenvalues of self-adjoint operators, cf. [4] Theorem XIII.1, the reverse inequalities of (3) hold for the number of negative eigenvalues of the operators. In particular, the number of negative eigenvalues of $d^2/d\xi^2 - \lambda^2 - U$ (which by the above equals $N(\lambda)$) lies between the number of negative eigenvalues of $d^2/d\xi^2 - \lambda^2 + C$ and that of $d^2/d\xi^2 - \lambda^2 - C$. Here, the latter are easily computed by using the oscillation theorem, and we conclude that

$$N(\lambda) \in \left[\left\lfloor \frac{D\sqrt{\lambda^2 - C}}{\pi} - 1 \right\rfloor, \left\lceil \frac{D\sqrt{\lambda^2 + C}}{\pi} - 1 \right\rceil \right]$$

for all $\lambda > \sqrt{C}$. By the definition of the λ_n 's this means, for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that both $n-1$ and n lie in the interval²

$$\left[\left\lfloor \frac{D\sqrt{\lambda_n^2 - C - 1}}{\pi} - 1 \right\rfloor, \left\lceil \frac{D\sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + C + 1}}{\pi} - 1 \right\rceil \right],$$

and thus that

$$n \in \left[\frac{D\sqrt{\lambda_n^2 - C - 1}}{\pi}, \frac{D\sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + C + 1}}{\pi} \right].$$

Since also $\lambda_n D/\pi$ lies in this interval, we learn that

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_n D}{\pi} - n \right| \leq \frac{D}{\pi} \left(\sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + C + 1} - \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 - C - 1} \right) = \mathcal{O}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1/\lambda_n) = \mathcal{O}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1/n)$$

finishing the proof. □

²In particular, $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ so that $\sqrt{\lambda_n^2 - C - 1}$ is well defined for large n . The fact that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ is also used below.

3 Perspectives

From the discussion above it is rather clear that the classical Weyl law (1) for $N(\lambda)$ cannot by itself imply the asymptotic law (2) for λ_n . Now we argue that the converse implication holds: The above theorem implies a Weyl law for $N(\lambda)$ with an error term of order $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}(1)$.

Corollary. With assumptions as in the theorem above,

$$\left| \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \int_a^b V(x)^{1/2} dx - N(\lambda) \right| \leq 1 + \mathcal{O}_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}(1/\lambda). \quad (4)$$

Proof. From the theorem we learn that, for $\lambda \in (\lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}]$,

$$N(\lambda) = n = \frac{\lambda_n}{\pi} \int_a^b V(x)^{1/2} dx + \mathcal{O}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1/n).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \int_a^b V(x)^{1/2} dx - N(\lambda) \right| &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \int_a^b V(x)^{1/2} dx - \frac{\lambda_n}{\pi} \int_a^b V(x)^{1/2} dx + \mathcal{O}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1/n) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\pi} \int_a^b V(x)^{1/2} dx - \frac{\lambda_n}{\pi} \int_a^b V(x)^{1/2} dx + \mathcal{O}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1/n) \\ &= (n+1) - n + \mathcal{O}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1/n) = 1 + \mathcal{O}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1/\lambda_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in (\lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}]$. From this, the corollary clearly follows. \square

Let us at this point notice that we believe the lack of a constant term in the right-hand side of (2) is somewhat deceptive. In fact, based on concrete examples and the analysis carried out in Chapters 2 and 5 of [1]³ we suggest in the conjecture below a particular constant term when allowing for a more general choice of potential V than in our main result. Here, the operator H_λ is generally defined as the Friedrichs' extension of $-d^2/dx^2 - \lambda^2 V$ on $C_0^\infty((a, b))$, which will be bounded below for the relevant choices of potentials. In particular, Sturm's Oscillation Theorem applies to H_λ – see for example Theorem 4.20 in [1].

Conjecture. Suppose V is sufficiently regular (say, in $C^2((a, b))$) and positive on all of (a, b) . If, moreover, V behaves approximately⁴ like $c_a(x-a)^{\gamma_a}$ and like $c_b(b-x)^{\gamma_b}$ for some $c_a, c_b > 0$ and $\gamma_a, \gamma_b > -2$ near the endpoints a and b of the interval respectively, then

$$\frac{\lambda_n}{\pi} \int_a^b V^{1/2} dx = n + \frac{1}{4 + 2\gamma_a} + \frac{1}{4 + 2\gamma_b} - \frac{1}{2} + o_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1). \quad (5)$$

³Here, Chapter 2 is a draft of [2].

⁴It seems likely that one would need assumptions on V , V' and V'' agreeing roughly with these expressions.

Here, the assumptions in the above theorem corresponds to taking $\gamma_a = 0 = \gamma_b$. It is not unreasonable to believe that the conjecture can be proved following essentially the strategy of the proof of the main result of this note, although one would need to tackle many more technical issues in the more general case. Some examples of potentials for which the conjecture can be straightforwardly verified are $V(x) = (x - a)^{\gamma_a}$ on any bounded interval (a, b) and any $\gamma_a > -2$ as well as $V(x) = (1 - x)/x$ on $(0, 1)$.

If allowing also $\gamma_a = +\infty = \gamma_b$ (mimicking a potential with compact support) in the conjecture, we would get in this case (5) with the constant term $-1/2$. Following the proof of the corollary above this would lead to (1) with $|\varepsilon(\lambda)| \leq 1/2 + \mathcal{O}_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}(1/\lambda)$ for these potentials which is arguably the optimal error when approximating $N(\lambda)$.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in parts by the VILLUM Foundation grant no. 10059 and the grant 0135-00166B from the Independent Research Fund Denmark. I thank Peter Hearnshaw and Martin Dam Larsen for their great interest in and helpful inputs to this work.

References

- [1] August Bjerg. “Periodic Phenomena in the Theory of Large Atoms”. PhD thesis. School of The Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen.
- [2] August Bjerg and Jan Philip Solovej. “Periodicity of atomic structure in a Thomas-Fermi mean-field model”. In preparation.
- [3] Rupert L Frank, Ari Laptev, and Timo Weidl. *Schrödinger operators: eigenvalues and Lieb–Thirring inequalities*. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [4] Michael Reed and Barry Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. IV Analysis of Operators*. Academic Press, 1978.
- [5] Barry Simon. “Sturm Oscillation and Comparison Theorems”. In: *Sturm-Liouville Theory: Past and Present*. Ed. by Werner O. Amrein, Andreas M. Hinz, and David P. Pearson. Birkhäuser Basel, 2005, pp. 29–43.