# A CONVERSE OF DYNAMICAL MORDELL–LANG CONJECTURE IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

JUNGIN LEE AND GYEONGHYEON NAM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the converse of the dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture in positive characteristic: For every subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  which is a union of finitely many arithmetic progressions along with finitely many *p*-sets of the form  $\left\{\sum_{j=1}^m c_j p^{k_j n_j} : n_j \in \mathbb{N}_0\right\}$   $(c_j \in \mathbb{Q}, k_j \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ , there exist a split torus  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^k$  defined over  $K = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ , an endomorphism  $\Phi$  of X,  $\alpha \in X(K)$  and a closed subvariety  $V \subseteq X$  such that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = S$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, let p be a prime,  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of positive integers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . An arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is a set of the form  $\{a + bt : t \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We use a convention that a variety may not be irreducible.

The dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture predicts that for a quasi-projective variety X defined over a field K of characteristic 0, an endomorphism  $\Phi$  of X, a point  $\alpha \in X(K)$  and a closed subvariety  $V \subseteq X$ , the set of  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)$  is a finite union of arithmetic progressions in  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . It is one of the central problems in arithmetic dynamics.

**Conjecture 1.1.** (Dynamical Mordell–Lang conjecture; [5, Conjecture 1.7]) Let X be a quasi-projective variety defined over a field K of characteristic 0,  $\Phi$  be an endomorphism of X,  $\alpha \in X(K)$  and  $V \subseteq X$  be a closed subvariety. Then the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\}$  is a union of finitely many arithmetic progressions.

We remark that if Conjecture 1.1 holds for  $K = \mathbb{C}$ , then it holds for every field K of characteristic 0 [2, Proposition 3.1.2.1]. There is extensive literature on various special cases of dynamical Mordell–Lang conjecture. We provide two significant examples.

**Theorem 1.2.** Conjecture 1.1 is true for the following cases:

- (1) (Bell–Ghioca–Tucker [1, Theorem 1.3])  $\Phi: X \to X$  is an étale morphism over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,
- (2) (Xie [8, Theorem 1], [9, Theorem 1.4])  $\Phi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^2$  is a morphism over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

It is natural to ask whether Conjecture 1.1 still holds when K has a positive characteristic. The following example says that the assumption that K has a characteristic 0 in Conjecture 1.1 is essential.

**Example 1.3.** ([4, Example 1.2]) Let p be an odd prime,  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^3$  be defined over  $K = \mathbb{F}_p(t), \Phi : X \to X$ be given by  $\Phi(x, y, z) = (tx, (1+t)y, (1-t)z), \alpha = (1, 1, 1) \in X(K)$  and  $V \subset \mathbb{G}_m^3$  be the hyperplane defined by the equation y + z - 2x = 2. Then  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = \{p^{n_1} + p^{n_2} : n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ .

Motivated by the work of Moosa and Scanlon [7, Theorem B], Ghioca and Scanlon proposed a version of dynamical Mordell–Lang conjecture in positive characteristic.

**Conjecture 1.4.** (Ghioca–Scanlon; [2, Conjecture 13.2.0.1]) Let K be a field of characteristic p, X be a quasi-projective variety defined over  $K, \Phi$  be an endomorphism of  $X, \alpha \in X(K)$  and  $V \subseteq X$  be a closed

subvariety. Then the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\}$  is a union of finitely many arithmetic progressions along with finitely many *p*-sets of the form

(1) 
$$\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j p^{k_j n_j} : n_j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ for each } 1 \le j \le m\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $k_1, \ldots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Conjecture 1.4 has been studied by several authors [2, 4, 3, 10]. Notably, it has been proved in [3] when  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^N$  and  $V \subset \mathbb{G}_m^N$  has dimension at most 2 [3, Theorem 1.2], or when  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^N$  and  $\Phi : \mathbb{G}_m^N \to \mathbb{G}_m^N$  is an algebraic group endomorphism such that no iterate of  $\Phi$  induces a power of Frobenius on a nontrivial connected algebraic subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_m^N$  [3, Theorem 1.3].

For a fixed field K of characteristic p, we say  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  is a K-DML set if there are X, V,  $\Phi$  and  $\alpha$  defined over K as in Conjecture 1.4 such that  $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\}$ . For a quasi-projective variety X defined over K, We say  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  is a K-DML set over X if there are V,  $\Phi$  and  $\alpha$  defined over K such that  $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\}$ . We say  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  is a K-DML set over split torus if it is a K-DML set over  $\mathbb{G}_m^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $k_1, \ldots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and a prime power  $q = p^k$ , we denote

$$B(q;c_1,\ldots,c_m;k_1,\ldots,k_m) := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m c_j q^{k_j n_j} : n_j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ for each } 1 \le j \le m \right\}$$

We say a subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  is a *p*-set if  $S = B(p; c_1, \ldots, c_m; k_1, \ldots, k_m)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ and  $k_1, \ldots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Note that since we have

$$B(q;c_1,\ldots,c_m;k_1,\ldots,k_m) = B(p;c_1,\ldots,c_m;kk_1,\ldots,kk_m)$$

for  $q = p^k$ , the set  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_m; k_1, \ldots, k_m)$  is a *p*-set if it is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Corvaja, Ghioca, Scanlon and Zannier [3] proved that a *p*-set  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_m; k_1, \ldots, k_m)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -DML set over split torus when  $c_j$ are small positive integers and  $k_j = 1$ . (Take  $u_n = n$  in [3, Theorem 1.4]). Note that [3, Theorem 1.4] is a direct consequence of [3, Proposition 4.2 and 4.3] so we can replace the field K with  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** ([3, Theorem 1.4]) A *p*-set  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_m; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -DML set over split torus if  $c_j$  are positive integers such that  $\sum_{j=1}^m c_j < \frac{q}{2}$ .

The goal of this paper is to prove the converse of the dynamical Mordell–Lang conjecture in positive characteristic, i.e., every union of finitely many arithmetic progressions in  $\mathbb{N}_0$  along with finitely many *p*-sets is a *K*-DML set for some field *K* of characteristic *p*. Now we state the main theorem of the paper.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  which is a union of finitely many arithmetic progressions along with finitely many p-sets. Then S is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus.

1.1. **Organization.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.6 to a special form (Theorem 3.1) using several lemmas. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem. We prove Theorem 3.1 by dividing each p-set into smaller p-sets to which Theorem 1.5 can be applied and using lemmas in Section 2.

#### 2. Reduction of the main theorem

In this section, we provide a reduction of Theorem 1.6 to a simpler problem. From now on, we assume that K is a field of characteristic p and  $K \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $S_i$  is a K-DML set over  $X_i$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m S_i$  are K-DML sets over  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the lemma for m = 2. Assume that  $S_i = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi_i^n(\alpha_i) \in V_i(K)\}$   $(V_i \subseteq X_i)$  is a K-DML set over  $X_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then we have

$$S_1 \cup S_2 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)^n (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in V(K)\}$$

for  $V = V_1 \times X_2 \cup X_1 \times V_2 \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$  (a variety is not assumed to be irreducible) and

$$S_1 \cap S_2 = \{ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)^n (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in V(K) \}$$

for  $V = V_1 \times V_2 \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$ . Thus  $S_1 \cup S_2$  and  $S_1 \cap S_2$  are K-DML sets over  $X_1 \times X_2$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Every arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is a K-DML set over split torus.

*Proof.* We prove that an arithmetic progression  $T_{a,b} := \{a + bt : t \ge 0\}$   $(a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  is a K-DML set over split torus. Since  $K \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ , there exists an element  $c \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$ .

- (1) b = 0: Let  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^{a+1}$ ,  $\alpha = (1, c, \dots, c)$ ,  $V = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{a+1}) \in X : x_{a+1} = 1\}$  and  $\Phi : X \to X$  be given by  $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_{a+1}) = (c, x_1, \dots, x_a)$ . Then we have  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = \{a\} = T_{a,0}$  so  $T_{a,0}$  is a K-DML set over X.
- (2) b > 0: Since  $T_{a,b} = T_{a,0} \cup T_{a+b,b}$ , we may assume that a > 0 by Lemma 2.1. Let  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^{a+b}$ ,  $\alpha = (c, 1, \dots, 1), V = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{a+b}) \in X : x_1 = \dots = x_{a+b-1} = 1\}$  and  $\Phi : X \to X$  be given by

$$\Phi(x_1,\ldots,x_a,y_1,\ldots,y_b) = (1,x_1,\ldots,x_{a-1},y_2,\ldots,y_b,x_ay_1).$$

Then we have  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = T_{a,b}$  so  $T_{a,b}$  is a K-DML set over X.

By Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, it remains to show that every *p*-set  $B(p; c_1, \ldots, c_m; k_1, \ldots, k_m)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus. The following lemma will be frequently used in the paper. For  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , write  $mS := \{ms : s \in S\}$  and  $m + S := \{m + s : s \in S\}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (1) mS is a K-DML set over split torus if and only if S is a K-DML set over split torus.
- (2) m + S is a K-DML set over split torus if and only if S is a K-DML set over split torus.

*Proof.* (1) Assume that mS is a K-DML set over  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^k$ . If  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = mS$ , then

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (\Phi^m)^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : mn \in mS\} = S$$

so S is also a K-DML set over  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^k$ . Conversely, assume that S is a K-DML set over  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^k$ and  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = S$ . Define  $X' = X^m = \mathbb{G}_m^{km}, V' = V \times X^{m-1}, \Phi' : X' \to X'$  $(\Phi'(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = (\Phi(x_m), x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}))$  and  $\alpha' = (\alpha, \ldots, \alpha) \in X'(K)$ . Then

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (\Phi')^n(\alpha') \in V'(K)\} \cap T_{0,m} = mS$$

so mS is a K-DML set over split torus by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2.

(2) Assume that m + S is a K-DML set over  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^k$ . If  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = m + S$ , then

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\Phi^m(\alpha)) \in V(K)\} = S$$

so S is also a K-DML set over  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^k$ . Conversely, assume that S is a K-DML set over  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^k$ and  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = S$ . If  $S = \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $m + S = T_{m,1}$  is a K-DML set over split torus. Now assume that  $S \neq \mathbb{N}_0$  and choose  $\beta \in X(K) \setminus V(K)$ . Define  $X' = X^2 = \mathbb{G}_m^{2k}$ ,  $V' = X \times V$ ,  $\Phi' : X' \to X' \ (\Phi'(x, y) = (\Phi(x), x))$  and  $\alpha' = (\alpha, \beta) \in X'(K)$ . Then

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (\Phi')^n(\alpha') \in V'(K)\} = 1 + S,$$

so 1 + S is a K-DML set over X'. Iterating this process, we conclude that m + S is a K-DML set over split torus.

By Lemma 2.3(1), we may assume that  $c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . By Lemma 2.3(2), we may assume that  $k_1, \ldots, k_m > 0$ . In addition, since the sum  $\sum_{j=1}^m c_j p^{k_j n_j}$  is nonnegative for every  $n_1, \ldots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have  $c_j \in \mathbb{N}$  for every j. Now for  $k := k_1 \cdots k_m$ , we have

$$B(p; c_1, \dots, c_m; k_1, \dots, k_m) = \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le i_t \le k/k_t - 1\\\text{for } 1 \le t \le m}} B(p; c_1 p^{k_1 i_1}, \dots, c_m p^{k_m i_m}; k, \dots, k).$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.6. By the reduction arguments in Section 2, it is enough to prove the following special form of Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 3.1. Every *p*-set

$$B(p; c_1, \ldots, c_m; k, \ldots, k) = B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_m; 1, \ldots, 1)$$

 $(c_j \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}, q = p^k)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus.

The key idea of the proof is to divide the *p*-set  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_m; 1, \ldots, 1)$  into smaller *p*-sets to which Theorem 1.5 can be applied.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let S be a K-DML set over X and L be an extension field of K. Then S is a L-DML set over  $X_L := X \times_K L$ .

Proof. Assume that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(K)\} = S$  for  $X, V, \Phi$  and  $\alpha$ . Then  $X_L := X \times_K L, V_L := V \times_K L$ ,  $\Phi_L : X_L \to X_L$  and  $\alpha \in X(K) \subseteq X(L) = X_L(L)$  satisfies

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi_L^n(\alpha) \in V_L(L)\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \Phi^n(\alpha) \in V(L) \cap X(K)\} = S$$

since  $V(L) \cap X(K) = V(K)$  (cf. [6, Remark 3.31]).

**Corollary 3.3.** A *p*-set  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_m; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus if  $c_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^m c_j < \frac{q}{2}$ .

*Proof.*  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_m; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -DML set over split torus by Theorem 1.5. Since  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$  is an extension field of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ ,  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_m; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus by Lemma 3.2.

The following lemma is an important ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.4.** Assume that Theorem 3.1 holds for  $m = m_0$ . If  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_{m_0+1}; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus, then  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_{m_0}, qc_{m_0+1}; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is also a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus.

*Proof.* We have

$$B(q; c_1, \dots, c_{m_0}, qc_{m_0+1}; 1, \dots, 1)$$
  
=  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m_0} (c_i + B(q; c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_{m_0}, qc_{m_0+1}; 1, \dots, 1)) \cup B(q; qc_1, \dots, qc_{m_0+1}; 1, \dots, 1)$   
=  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m_0} (c_i + B(q; c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_{m_0}, qc_{m_0+1}; 1, \dots, 1)) \cup qB(q; c_1, \dots, c_{m_0+1}; 1, \dots, 1).$ 

By Lemma 2.3(2) and the assumption that Theorem 3.1 holds for  $m = m_0$ , each set

$$c_i + B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_{m_0}, qc_{m_0+1}; 1, \ldots, 1)$$

is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus. By Lemma 2.3(1),  $qB(q; c_1, \ldots, c_{m_0+1}; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus. Thus  $B(q; c_1, \ldots, c_{m_0}, qc_{m_0+1}; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus by Lemma 2.1(1).  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 3.1. When m = 1, we have

$$B(q;c_1;1) = c_1 B(q;1;1) = c_1 B(q^2;1;1) \cup qc_1 B(q^2;1;1)$$

The *p*-set  $B(q^2; 1; 1)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus by Corollary 3.3 so  $B(q; c_1; 1)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus by Lemma 2.1 and 2.3(1).

We proceed by induction on m and assume that Theorem 3.1 holds for  $m-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Choose a sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c_1 + \cdots + c_m < \frac{q^{\frac{k}{m}}}{2}$ . Then we have

$$B(q; c_1, \dots, c_m; 1, \dots, 1) = \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le i_t \le k-1\\\text{for } 1 \le t \le m}} B(q^k; c_1 q^{i_1}, \dots, c_m q^{i_m}; 1, \dots, 1)$$

so it is enough to show each each *p*-set  $B(q^k; c_1q^{i_1}, \ldots, c_mq^{i_m}; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus. For  $i_{\min} := \min(i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ , we have

$$B(q^k; c_1 q^{i_1}, \dots, c_m q^{i_m}; 1, \dots, 1) = q^{i_{\min}} B(q^k; c_1 q^{i_1 - i_{\min}}, \dots, c_m q^{i_m - i_{\min}}; 1, \dots, 1)$$

so we may assume that  $\min(i_1, \ldots, i_m) = 0$  by Lemma 2.3(1). Without loss of generality, assume that  $k = i_0 \ge i_1 \ge \cdots \ge i_m = 0$ .

Since  $\sum_{t=0}^{m-1} (i_t - i_{t+1}) = i_0 - i_m = k$ , we have  $\max(i_0 - i_1, \dots, i_{m-1} - i_m) \ge \frac{k}{m}$ . Choose  $0 \le r \le m-1$  such that  $i_r - i_{r+1} = \max(i_0 - i_1, \dots, i_{m-1} - i_m) \ge \frac{k}{m}$ . Then we have

$$q^{k-i_r}B(q^k;c_1q^{i_1},\ldots,c_mq^{i_m};1,\ldots,1) = B(q^k;c_1q^{k-i_r+i_1},\ldots,c_mq^{k-i_r+i_m};1,\ldots,1)$$

By Lemma 2.3(1), the induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.4, the *p*-set

$$B(q^k; c_1 q^{i_1}, \dots, c_m q^{i_m}; 1, \dots, 1)$$

is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus if the *p*-set

$$B(q^k; c_1 q^{i_1 - i_r}, \dots, c_{r-1} q^{i_{r-1} - i_r}, c_r, c_{r+1} q^{k - i_r + i_{r+1}}, \dots, c_m q^{k - i_r + i_m}; 1, \dots, 1)$$

is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus. Now we have

$$c_1 q^{i_1 - i_r} + \dots + c_{r-1} q^{i_{r-1} - i_r} + c_r + c_{r+1} q^{k - i_r + i_{r+1}} + \dots + c_m q^{k - i_r + i_n}$$

$$\leq q^{k-i_r+i_{r+1}}(c_1+\dots+c_m)$$
$$< q^{k-\frac{k}{m}} \cdot \frac{q^{\frac{k}{m}}}{2}$$
$$= \frac{q^k}{2}$$

so the *p*-set  $B(q^k; c_1q^{i_1}, \ldots, c_mq^{i_m}; 1, \ldots, 1)$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)$ -DML set over split torus by Corollary 3.3.

#### Acknowledgments

J. Lee was supported by the new faculty research fund of Ajou University (S-2023-G0001-00236). The authors thank Dragos Ghioca for helpful comments.

### References

- J. P. Bell, D. Ghioca and T. J. Tucker, The dynamical Mordell-Lang problem for étale maps, Amer. J. Math. 132 (2010), 1655–1675.
- [2] J. P. Bell, D. Ghioca and T. J. Tucker, The dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 210, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016.
- [3] P. Corvaja, D. Ghioca, T. Scanlon, and U. Zannier, The dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture for endomorphisms of semiabelian varieties defined over fields of positive characteristic, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 20 (2021), no. 2, 669–698.
- [4] D. Ghioca, The dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture in positive characteristic, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 371 (2019), no. 2, 1151–1167.
- [5] D. Ghioca and T. J. Tucker. Periodic points, linearizing maps, and the dynamical Mordell-Lang problem. J. Number Theory 129 (2009), no. 6, 1392-1403.
- [6] Q. Liu, Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 6, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [7] R. Moosa and T. Scanlon, F-structures and integral points on semiabelian varieties over finite fields, Amer. J. Math. 126 (2004), 473–522.
- [8] J. Xie, The dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture for polynomial endomorphisms of the affine plane, Astérisque 394 (2017), vi+110.
- [9] J. Xie, Around the dynamical Mordell–Lang conjecture, arXiv:2307.05885.
- [10] S. Yang, Dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture for totally inseparable liftings of Frobenius, arXiv:2302.00948.
  - J. LEE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AJOU UNIVERSITY, SUWON 16499, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

G. NAM – DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AJOU UNIVERSITY, SUWON 16499, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

 $Email \ address: \ \texttt{jileemathQajou.ac.kr, gyeonghyeon.algQgmail.com}$