

LIFTINGS OF POINT-WISE FINITE DIMENSIONAL PERSISTENCE MODULES OVER LOCAL COMMUTATIVE ARTINIAN RINGS

JOSÉ A. VÉLEZ-MARULANDA

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{k} be a field and let $V : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\text{-Mod}$ be a pointwise finite dimensional persistence module, where \mathcal{C} is a small category. Assume that for all local Artinian \mathbb{k} -algebras R with residue field isomorphic to \mathbb{k} , there is a generalized persistence module $M : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$, such that for all $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $M(x)$ is free over R with finite rank and $\mathbb{k} \otimes_R M(x) \cong V(x)$. If V is a direct sum of indecomposable persistence modules $V_I : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\text{-Mod}$ with endomorphism ring isomorphic to \mathbb{k} , then M is a direct sum of indecomposables $M_I : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$ with endomorphism ring isomorphic to R .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we assume that \mathbb{k} is a field of arbitrary characteristic and denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$ the category of local commutative Artinian \mathbb{k} -algebras with residue field isomorphic to \mathbb{k} . In particular, the morphisms in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$ induce the identity on \mathbb{k} . For all objects R in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$, we denote by $R\text{-Mod}$ the category of R -modules. In particular, $\mathbb{k}\text{-Mod}$ is just the category of \mathbb{k} -vector spaces. Let \mathcal{C} be a small category and let $V : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\text{-Mod}$ be a *persistence module indexed by \mathcal{C}* , i.e., V is a functor from \mathcal{C} to $\mathbb{k}\text{-Mod}$. Recall that V is said to be *pointwise finite dimensional* if for all objects $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $V(x)$ has finite dimension over \mathbb{k} . It follows from a result due to M. B. Botman and W. Crawley-Boevey (see [2, Thm. 1.1]) that if V is pointwise finite dimensional then V is a direct sum of indecomposable modules with local endomorphism ring. This result improved that due to Crawley-Boevey (see [4, Thm. 1.1]) which states that every pointwise persistence module over a totally ordered indexing set $(\mathcal{R}, <)$ (with \mathcal{R} having a countable subset which is dense in the order topology on \mathcal{R}) is a direct sum of indecomposable persistence modules each having an endomorphism ring isomorphic to \mathbb{k} . They also prove in [2, Thm. 1.3] that every pointwise finite dimensional middle exact module over a product of two totally ordered sets (as defined in [3, §2]) decomposes into a direct sum of *block modules* (as defined in [3, §2]), which provides an alternative proof to [3, Thm. 2.1]. It is noted in the Proof Outline section in [3] that these block modules have endomorphism ring isomorphic to \mathbb{k} . These observations provide non-trivial examples of pointwise finite dimensional persistence modules that decompose as a direct sum of indecomposable persistence modules with endomorphism ring isomorphic to \mathbb{k} .

Let R be a fixed object in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$. An *R -generalized persistence module indexed by \mathcal{C}* is just a functor $M : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$. Let V be a pointwise finite dimensional persistence module. We say that (M, ϕ) is a *lift* of V over R is a R -generalized persistence module M such that for all objects $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $M(x)$ is free of finite rank over R , together with a natural equivalence $\phi : (\mathbb{k} \otimes_R -) \circ M \rightarrow V$, where $\mathbb{k} \otimes_R - : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\text{-Mod}$ is the natural projection functor. We say that two lifts (M, ϕ) and (M', ϕ') of V over R are *isomorphic* if there is a natural equivalence $f : M \rightarrow M'$ such that the induced natural equivalence $\text{id}_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes f : (\mathbb{k} \otimes_R -) \circ M \rightarrow (\mathbb{k} \otimes_R -) \circ M'$ satisfies that $\phi' \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes f) = \phi$. Our goal is to give a short proof of the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let V a pointwise finite dimensional persistence module such that V is the direct sum of indecomposable persistence modules with endomorphism ring isomorphic to \mathbb{k} . Then for all objects R in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and all lifts (M, ϕ) of V over R , the R -generalized persistence module M is a direct sum of indecomposables R -generalized persistence modules with endomorphism ring isomorphic to R .*

Remark 1.2. Observe that if V is a pointwise finite dimensional persistence module, then V has always a *trivial lift* which is defined by the composition of V with the functor $(R \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} -) : \mathbb{k}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-Mod}$. However,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55N31 and 18E10 and 18G80.

Key words and phrases. Distances for triangulated categories and derived category of persistence modules .

if for example we let \mathcal{C} be the cycle quiver with relations (Q, ρ) , where

$$Q : \gamma \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \bullet \\ \curvearrowleft \\ 1 \end{array} \text{ and } \rho = \{\gamma^2\},$$

then the simple persistence module S_1 corresponding to the unique vertex of Q fits in a short exact sequence of persistence modules

$$0 \rightarrow S_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} P_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} S_1 \rightarrow 0,$$

where P_1 is the projective cover of S_1 . It follows that P_1 defines a non-trivial lift of S_1 over the ring of dual numbers $\mathbb{k}[\epsilon]$, with $\epsilon^2 = 0$, by letting ϵ act on P_1 as $\iota \circ \pi$.

It is important to mention that generalized persistence modules over symmetric monoidal categories, which include categories of vector spaces, have been studied by A. Patel in [5].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let R be a fixed object in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$. We denote by $\mathbf{Pers}_R^{\mathcal{C}}$ the abelian category of R -generalized persistence modules indexed by \mathcal{C} . Note that in particular $\mathbf{Pers}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is just the category of persistence modules $V : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\text{-Mod}$. If $\mathcal{C}(x, y)$ is a morphism between objects in \mathcal{C} , then for all R -generalized persistence modules M in $\mathbf{Pers}_R^{\mathcal{C}}$, we let $M(x, y) = M(\mathcal{C}(x, y))$. Note that every object V in $\mathbf{Pers}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ can be viewed as an object in $\mathbf{Pers}_R^{\mathcal{C}}$ by considering \mathbb{k} as an R -module. For all objects M and N in $\mathbf{Pers}_R^{\mathcal{C}}$, we denote by $\mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$ the abelian group of morphisms (i.e. natural transformations) $f : M \rightarrow N$. Note that in particular that $\mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$ is an R -module. We also let $\mathbf{End}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M) = \mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, M)$ and denote by $\mathbb{k} \otimes_R M$ the composition of functors $(\mathbb{k} \otimes_R -) \circ M$. For all lifts (M, ϕ) of V over R , we denote by $\pi_M : M \rightarrow V$ the natural projection, i.e., for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$, $(\pi_M)_x : M(x) \rightarrow V(x)$ is the natural projection between R -modules.

Lemma 2.1. *Let V be a pointwise finite dimensional persistence module and let (M, ϕ) be a lift of V over R . Then there is an isomorphism of R -modules $\mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, V) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V, V)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V, V)$ and let $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ be fixed but arbitrary. Since $M(x)$ is free over R , it follows that there exists $g_x \in \text{Hom}_R(M(x), V(x))$ such that $g_x = f_x \circ (\pi_M)_x$. It is straightforward to prove that for all morphisms $\mathcal{C}(x, y)$ in \mathcal{C} , $g_y \circ M(x, y) = V(x, y) \circ g_x$, and thus we have a morphism $g \in \mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, V)$. In this way, we obtain a morphism between R -modules $\Phi : \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V, V) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, V)$, defined by $\Phi(f) = g$. Next let $g \in \mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, V)$. For all $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, let $f_x : V(x) \rightarrow V(x)$ be defined as $f_x(v) = g_x(v)$ for all $v \in V(x)$. Thus we obtain a morphism $f \in \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V, V)$, and in this way we obtain a morphism between R -modules $\Psi : \mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, V) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V, V)$ defined as $\Psi(g) = f$. It is straightforward to prove that Φ and Ψ are inverses of each other and thus $\mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, V) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V, V)$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $V : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\text{-Mod}$ is a pointwise finite dimensional persistence module such that $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V) = \mathbb{k}$. Then for all objects R in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and all lifts (M, ϕ) of V over R , $\mathbf{End}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M) = R$. In particular, M is an indecomposable R -generalized persistence module.*

In order to prove Lemma 2.2, we need to recall the following definition from [6, Def. 1.2].

Definition 2.3. Let $\theta : R \rightarrow R_0$ be a morphism of Artinian objects in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$. We say that θ is a *small extension* if the kernel of θ is a non-zero principal ideal tR that is annihilated by the unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_R of R .

Remark 2.4. (i) Let $\theta : R \rightarrow R_0$ be a small extension in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$ with $tR = \ker \theta$. Then it follows that $tR \cong \mathbb{k}$ as R -modules.

(ii) Let R be a fixed object in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$ with length $\ell(R) > 0$. Then there is a small extension $\theta : R \rightarrow R_0$. Indeed, let \mathfrak{m}_R the unique maximal ideal of R . Since R is Artinian, there exists $p \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_R^p = 0$ and $\mathfrak{m}_R^{p-1} \neq 0$. Let $t \in \mathfrak{m}_R^{p-1}$ with $t \neq 0$. By letting $R_0 = R/tR$, we obtain that R_0 is in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$ and the natural projection $\theta : R \rightarrow R_0$ is a small extension. Therefore, we can use small extensions to prove results on the the objects R in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$ by using induction on the length of R .

Proof of Lemma 2.2. In the following, we adapt the arguments in the proof of [1, Lemma 2.3] to our situation. Let $\theta : R \rightarrow R_0$ be a small extension in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{k}}$ with $\ker \theta = tR$ and assume that for all lifts (M_0, ϕ_0) of V over R_0 , we have $\mathbf{End}_{R_0}^{\mathcal{C}}(M_0) = R_0$. Let $f \in \mathbf{End}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M)$, and consider $f_0 = \text{id}_{R_0} \otimes_{R, \theta} f \in \mathbf{End}_{R_0}^{\mathcal{C}}(R_0 \otimes_{R, \theta} M)$. Since $R_0 \otimes_{R, \theta} M$ defines a lift of V over R_0 , it follows by induction that there exists $r_0 \in R_0$ such that $f_0 = \mu_{r_0}$, where μ_{r_0} denotes multiplication by r_0 . Let $r \in R$ such that $\theta(r) = r_0$ and consider $g = f - \mu_r \in \mathbf{End}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M)$. Then $\text{id}_{R_0} \otimes_{R, \theta} g = 0$. This implies that $g \in \mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, tR \otimes_R M)$. Since $tR \cong \mathbb{k}$, it follows that $tR \otimes_R M \cong \mathbb{k} \otimes_R M \cong V$. By using Lemma 2.1, we have that $\mathbf{Hom}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M, tR \otimes_R M) \cong \mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V)$. Since $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V) = \mathbb{k}$, there exists $\lambda \in R$ such that $g = \mu_{t\lambda}$. This implies that $f = \mu_{r+t\lambda}$ and thus every morphism in $\mathbf{End}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ is multiplication by a scalar in R and thus $\mathbf{End}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M) = R$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that there is an isomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} V_I$, with $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\mathcal{C}}(V_I) = \mathbb{k}$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Let (M, ϕ) be a lift of V over R , and for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$ let (M_I, ϕ_I) be a lift of V_I over R . For each $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, there is a morphism $\bar{\varphi}_x : V(x) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} V_I(x)$, where $V_I(x) \neq 0$ for at most finitely many $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $M(x)$ is free over R , there exists a morphism of R -modules $\varphi_x : M(x) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} M_I(x)$ such that $\bar{\varphi}_x \circ (\pi_M)_x = (\bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \pi_I(x)) \circ \varphi_x$. Let $\mathcal{C}(x, y)$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C} , and let $g_x = \varphi_y \circ M(x, y) - (\bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} M_I(x, y)) \circ \varphi_x$. Then $\text{id}_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes_R g_x = \bar{\varphi}_y \circ V(x, y) - (\bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} V_I(x, y)) \circ \bar{\varphi}_x = 0$. This implies that $\text{im } g_x = \mathfrak{m}_R \text{im } g_x$. By Nakayama's Lemma, we have $\text{im } g_x = 0$ or $g_x = 0$. Thus we obtain a morphism between R -generalized persistence modules $\varphi : M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} M_I$. Moreover, since for all $x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $\bar{\varphi}(x)$ is an isomorphism, it follows again by Nakayama's Lemma that $\varphi(x)$ is also an isomorphism. This implies that φ is then an isomorphism between R -generalized persistence modules. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, for each $I \in \mathcal{I}$, $\mathbf{End}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(M) = R$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

1. F. M. Bleher and T. Chinburg, *Universal deformation rings and cyclic blocks*, Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 805–836.
2. M. B. Botnan and W. Crawley-Boevey, *Decomposition of persistence modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2020), no. 11, 4581–4596. MR 4143378
3. J. Cochoy and S. Oudot, *Decomposition of exact pfd persistence bimodules*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **63** (2020), no. 2, 255–293. MR 4057439
4. W. Crawley-Boevey, *Decomposition of pointwise finite-dimensional persistence modules*, J. Algebra Appl. **14** (2015), no. 5, 1550066, 8. MR 3323327
5. A. Patel, *Generalized persistence diagrams*, J. Appl. Comput. Topol. **1** (2018), no. 3-4, 397–419. MR 3975559
6. M. Schlessinger, *Functors of Artin rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (1968), 208–222.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS & PHYSICS, VALDOSTA STATE UNIVERSITY, VALDOSTA, GA, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Email address: javelezmarulanda@valdosta.edu

FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS E INGENIERÍAS, FUNDACIÓN UNIVERSITARIA KONRAD LORENZ, BOGOTÁ D.C., COLOMBIA

Email address: josea.velezm@konradlorenz.edu.co