

Non-Invertible Symmetries, Anomalies and Scattering Amplitudes

Christian Copetti^a, Lucía Córdova^b, Shota Komatsu^b

^aMathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX2 6GG, United Kingdom and

^bDepartment of Theoretical Physics, CERN, 1211 Meyrin, Switzerland*

(Dated: March 11, 2024)

We show that crossing symmetry of S-matrices is modified in certain theories with non-invertible symmetries or anomalies. Focusing on integrable flows to gapped phases in two dimensions, we find that S-matrices derived previously from the bootstrap approach are incompatible with non-invertible symmetries along the flow. We present consistent alternatives, which however violate standard crossing symmetry and obey modified rules dictated by fusion categories. We extend these rules to theories with discrete anomalies.

I. INTRODUCTION

Symmetries and anomalies are fundamental concepts in theoretical physics. They constrain the dynamics of quantum field theory (QFT) by forbidding or guaranteeing certain interactions to be generated along the renormalization group (RG) flow and offer insights into infrared (IR) phases. They also play a crucial role in interpreting collider experiment data; symmetries provide organizing principles for particles and resonances while anomalies, like the Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly, are needed to explain experimental observations such as $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay.

Recent theoretical progress involves refinement of these concepts, such as higher-form or non-invertible symmetries [1–5] and various non-perturbative anomalies [6–12]. However, unlike their traditional counterparts, their implication on scattering amplitudes remains largely unexplored. This paper initiates such a study, with a primary focus on theories in two spacetime dimensions. The punchline of our analysis is simple yet striking:

Crossing symmetry of S-matrices is modified in the presence of certain non-invertible symmetries or anomalies.

Our main examples are RG flows from unitary minimal models to gapped phases which preserve both integrability and non-invertible symmetries. We show that the basic four properties that one would postulate for these S-matrices — unitarity, crossing symmetry, Yang-Baxter equation and non-invertible symmetries — are mutually incompatible. We derive S-matrices satisfying all but crossing symmetry by modifying existing proposals in the literature. They obey modified crossing rules determined by fusion categories. These rules extend to theories with discrete anomalies as we see in the example of perturbed $SU(2)_1$ Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) model.

II. REVIEW OF BASIC CONCEPTS

A. Categorical symmetries in 1 + 1 dimensions

Non-invertible symmetry is a generalization of standard symmetries, which does not obey group multiplication laws. In 1 + 1 dimensions, such symmetries are de-

scribed by a set of topological line operators \mathcal{L}_a belonging to a (unitary) fusion category [13] \mathcal{C} , as we review below. We will follow the conventions of [14, 15]. For physics applications, see e.g. [5, 15–26].

Fusion algebra and junction

Topological lines satisfy the fusion algebra,


$$\mathcal{L}_a \mathcal{L}_b = \sum_{\mathcal{L}_c} N_{ab}^c \mathcal{L}_c, \quad (1)$$

with non-negative integers N_{ab}^c . Not all \mathcal{L}_a 's have inverse i.e. $\mathcal{L}_a(\mathcal{L}_a)^{-1} = \mathbb{1}$, rendering them ‘non-invertible’. Line operators can form trivalent junctions. For a given set of lines $(\mathcal{L}_{a,b,c})$, there can be multiple such choices, which form finite-dimensional vector spaces. We denote them by V_{ab}^c or V_c^{ab} , depending on the orientation,




$$(2)$$

and $\dim(V_{ab}^c) = N_{ab}^c$. The junctions satisfy orthogonality and completeness relations,



$$(3)$$

and



$$(4)$$

Here d_a is the *quantum dimension*, defined by the expectation value¹ of a closed loop of \mathcal{L}_a :

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_a \rangle = \text{loop of } \mathcal{L}_a = d_a. \quad (5)$$

Below we omit the junction labels (x and x^\dagger) since $\dim(V_{ab}^c) = 0$ or 1 in our examples. We also omit the arrows as we will discuss unoriented lines for which $\bar{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}$.

F-symbols and tetrahedral symbols

Also important in the fusion category are the *F*-symbols, which relate different networks of lines:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_a \\ \mathcal{L}_b \\ \mathcal{L}_c \\ \mathcal{L}_d \end{array} \text{ junction } = \sum_y F_d^{abc} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_a \\ \mathcal{L}_b \\ \mathcal{L}_c \\ \mathcal{L}_d \end{array} \text{ junction } \quad (6)$$

They satisfy a consistency condition known as the pentagon identity [13, 27]. In actual computations, it is convenient to use the *tetrahedral symbols* defined by²

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & x \\ c & d & y \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_x d_y}} F_d^{abc}{}_{xy}. \quad (7)$$

F-symbols encode the 't Hooft anomalies of a symmetry \mathcal{C} . For a discrete group G , admissible *F*-symbols are given by cohomology classes $\omega \in H^3(G, U(1))$. A nontrivial ω signals an 't Hooft anomaly. The simplest example is $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ with generator η , for which the *F*-symbol is a sign $\epsilon = \pm 1$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \eta \\ \eta \end{array} \text{ junction } = \epsilon \begin{array}{c} \eta \\ \eta \end{array} \text{ junction } \quad (8)$$

The symmetry is anomalous iff $\epsilon = -1$. For non-invertible symmetries the correct generalization is the existence of a *fiber functor* – that is – a \mathcal{C} symmetric invertible topological QFT (TQFT) [20]. The anomalies of some non-invertible symmetries have been studied in e.g. [5, 28–31].

Symmetry constraints and action on vacua

Non-invertible symmetries can constrain the number of vacua in the IR. In a \mathcal{C} -symmetric RG flow to a gapped phase, these must form a *module category* over \mathcal{C} (see e.g. [20, 32, 33]), which generalizes the notion of group representations to fusion categories. Concretely, the action on the vacua $|i\rangle$ on a (large) circle reads

$$\mathcal{L}_a |i\rangle = \sum_j n_{ai}^j |j\rangle. \quad (9)$$

where n_{ai}^j provide a representation of the fusion algebra:

$$\sum_j n_{ai}^j n_{bj}^k = \sum_c N_{ab}^c n_{ci}^k. \quad (10)$$

In addition, n_{ai}^j need to be non-negative integers; this can be shown by relating them to the ground-state degeneracy on a strip³:

$$n_{ai}^j = \begin{array}{c} \langle j | \\ \text{cylinder} \\ |i\rangle \end{array} \mathcal{L}_a = j \begin{array}{c} \text{strip} \\ \mathcal{L}_a \\ i \end{array} \quad (11)$$

A complete description of the module structure can be found e.g. in [13, 32]. Unlike standard (non-anomalous) symmetries, such representations are highly constrained, often lacking the trivial representation and thus forbidding a single vacuum in the IR.⁴ A necessary (but not sufficient) condition for a single vacuum is $d_a \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ for any a , since $n_{a0}^0 = d_a$ when the vacuum is unique. In our examples, this condition is violated and hence the IR has multiple vacua.

Of particular importance is the *regular* representation; the largest irreducible representation corresponding to the choice $\{i\} = \{a\}$ and $n_{ab}^c = N_{ab}^c$. In such cases, all the vacua can be obtained from the 'identity vacuum' $|0\rangle$ by acting \mathcal{L}_a :

$$\mathcal{L}_a |0\rangle = |a\rangle. \quad (12)$$

Physically, this encodes the spontaneous symmetry breaking of \mathcal{C} , realized for example by $\phi_{1,3}$ -deformations of minimal models discussed below.

B. Integrable scattering in 1 + 1 dimensions

Integrable QFTs in 1+1 dimensions offer rare instances of interacting QFTs for which exact computations are

¹ Precisely speaking, $\langle \mathcal{L} \rangle$ on a plane can differ from the quantum dimension by a phase due to the isotopy anomaly [5]. For instance, $\langle \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rangle = -1$ if \mathbb{Z}_2 is anomalous.

² Explicit expressions for these symbols in our examples are given in Supplemental Material.

³ Here we consider an infinitely large cylinder in order to project to the ground states.

⁴ A 'trivial' representation, if it exists, corresponds to the aforementioned Fiber Functor.

possible [34, 35]. This is due to *factorized scattering* — a property that all scattering processes can be expressed as a sequence of two-body scatterings — which in turn is a result of higher spin conserved currents [36, 37].

S-matrix axioms

Consider $2 \rightarrow 2$ S-matrices of identical mass m particles. They depend on the center of mass energy $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = 4m^4 \cosh^2(\theta/2)$, where θ is the rapidity difference $\theta \equiv \theta_{12} = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. As our focus will be on S-matrices of kinks interpolating between different vacua (denoted by a - d below), we denote them by

$$S_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & a & \\ & \nearrow & \nwarrow \\ & S & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ \theta_1 & c & \theta_2 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (13)$$

Crossing symmetry exchanges in and out particles. In the figure above, it amounts to changing the time direction from going upward to going rightward and corresponds to the following relation

$$S_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & a & \\ & \nearrow & \nwarrow \\ & S & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ \theta_1 & c & \theta_2 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & b & \\ & \nearrow & \nwarrow \\ & S & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ \theta_2 & d & \theta_1 \end{array} = S_{ad}^{bc}(i\pi - \theta). \end{array} \quad (14)$$

In integrable QFTs, the S-matrices obey further constraints: *Unitarity* amounts to the equality

$$\sum_e S_{dc}^{eb}(\theta) S_{de}^{ab}(-\theta) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & a & \\ & \nearrow & \nwarrow \\ & S^\dagger & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ & d & b \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ & e & \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & d & b \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ & S & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ \theta_1 & c & \theta_2 \end{array} = \delta_{ac} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} | & | \\ | & | \\ | & | \\ | & | \\ | & | \end{array} \end{array} \quad (15)$$

while factorized scattering implies that S-matrices obey the *Yang-Baxter equations*

$$\sum_g S_{fe}^{gd}(\theta_{12}) S_{gd}^{bc}(\theta_{13}) S_{fg}^{ab}(\theta_{23}) = \sum_g S_{ed}^{gc}(\theta_{23}) S_{fe}^{ag}(\theta_{13}) S_{ag}^{bc}(\theta_{12})$$

$$\sum_g \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & a & \\ & \nearrow & \nwarrow \\ & g & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ \theta_1 & f & \theta_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} & b & \\ & \nearrow & \nwarrow \\ & g & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ \theta_2 & e & \theta_3 \end{array} = \sum_g \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & a & \\ & \nearrow & \nwarrow \\ & g & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ \theta_1 & f & \theta_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} & b & \\ & \nearrow & \nwarrow \\ & g & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ \theta_2 & e & \theta_3 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (16)$$

C. Minimal models and deformations

Canonical examples of QFTs with non-invertible symmetries are diagonal unitary minimal models $\mathcal{M}_n =$

$\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ and their relevant deformations. As is well-known [38], \mathcal{M}_n has a set of primary fields $\phi_{r,s}$ ($1 \leq r \leq n-1$, $1 \leq s \leq n$) subject to the identification $\phi_{r,s} = \phi_{n-r,n+1-s}$. It also hosts topological lines $\mathcal{L}_{r,s}$ [5, 39] whose fusion algebra coincides with that of primary fields. For instance, the Ising model \mathcal{M}_3 has three topological lines $1, \mathcal{N}, \eta$, corresponding to $\phi_{1,1}, \phi_{1,2}, \phi_{1,3}$, which satisfy

$$\eta^2 = 1, \quad \mathcal{N}\eta = \eta\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}, \quad \mathcal{N}^2 = 1 + \eta. \quad (17)$$

The symmetry of \mathcal{M}_n contains a simple subcategory $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} = \{\mathcal{L}_{r,1}, 1 \leq r \leq n-1\}$. Its fusion algebra coincides with that of $SU(2)_{n-2}$ although the F -symbols are different.

$\phi_{1,3}$ -deformation

Relevant deformations of \mathcal{M}_n by $\phi_{1,3}$ ($\mathcal{M}_n + \lambda \int \phi_{1,3}$) have several interesting properties:

- Depending on the sign of the deformation, they either flow to \mathcal{M}_{n-1} ($\lambda > 0$) or to a gapped phase with $n-1$ degenerate vacua ($\lambda < 0$).
- They preserve integrability [40, 41].
- They also preserve a non-invertible \mathcal{A}_{n-1} symmetry. See [5, 42] and Supplemental Material for details. The vacua in the gapped phase transform as the regular representation of \mathcal{A}_{n-1} .

Other deformations

Deformations by $\phi_{1,2}$ or $\phi_{2,1}$ also preserve integrability [40, 43–45]. They flow to gapped phases irrespective of the sign of the deformation and preserve the subcategories generated by $\mathcal{L}_{3,1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1,3}$ respectively. Later we study in detail the $\phi_{2,1}$ -deformation of the tricritical Ising model (\mathcal{M}_4).

III. S-MATRICES WITH MODIFIED CROSSING

We now discuss examples with modified crossing relation. We determine exact S-matrices by requiring consistency with symmetries and anomalies. The results differ from those in the literature and satisfy modified crossing rules. A physical derivation of these rules will be presented in section IV.

A. Gapped flows from minimal models

Conjecture in the literature

The first example is the $\phi_{1,3}$ -deformation of \mathcal{M}_n to a gapped phase. The spectrum of this flow consists of kinks


interpolating between neighboring vacua, all with identical mass m . S-matrices for these kinks were conjectured in [46–48] based on bootstrap, i.e. by imposing unitarity, crossing symmetry and Yang-Baxter equation. They take the Restricted-Solid-On-Solid (RSOS) form [49] and read

$$\widehat{S}_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = Z(\theta) \left(\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_d} \right)^{\frac{i\theta}{2\pi}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_d}} \sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) \delta_{bd} + \sinh\left(\frac{i\pi-\theta}{n}\right) \delta_{ac} \right], \quad (18)$$

Here $a, b, c, d = 0, 1/2, \dots, n/2 - 1$ label the $n - 1$ vacua (adjacent vacua differ by $1/2$) and $d_a = \frac{\sin[(2a+1)\pi/n]}{\sin(\pi/n)}$. The prefactor $Z(\theta)$ is crossing symmetric and is necessary for unitarity (see Supplemental Material). As we see below, these S-matrices turn out to be incompatible with non-invertible symmetries preserved along the flow.

Ward identity

When the theory has a line \mathcal{L} as its symmetry, the S-matrix satisfies the Ward identity (WI),



$$\sum_g \text{[Diagram 1]} = \sum_g \text{[Diagram 2]} \quad (19)$$

WI for non-invertible symmetries relates a single matrix element to a sum of matrix elements and imposes highly non-trivial constraints on the amplitudes⁵.

As we will derive in section IV A, the action of the symmetry line on a kink is given by (cf. [15])

$$\varphi \begin{array}{c} a' \\ | \\ a \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b' \\ | \\ b \end{array} = (d_a d_{a'} d_b d_{b'})^{1/4} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & a' & a \\ v & b & b' \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Using (20), we found that the S-matrices in the literature (18) fail to satisfy (19). This poses a sharp puzzle; mutual incompatibility of unitarity, crossing symmetry, Yang-Baxter equation and non-invertible symmetry.

A new proposal

Since integrability and non-invertible symmetry are established facts in conformal perturbation theory [5, 40], and unitarity is fundamental, the only viable option is to relinquish crossing symmetry. Indeed we found S-matrices that satisfy all but crossing symmetry:

$$S_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = Z(\theta) \left[\sqrt{\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_d}} \sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{n}\right) \delta_{bd} + \sinh\left(\frac{i\pi-\theta}{n}\right) \delta_{ac} \right], \quad (21)$$

These differ from (18) by a factor⁶ $\left(\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_d}\right)^{\frac{i\theta}{2\pi}}$ and obey the modified crossing relation,

$$S_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_d}} S_{ad}^{bc}(i\pi - \theta). \quad (22)$$

We propose that (21) is the correct S-matrix of this theory and provide a physical explanation of the modified crossing (22) in section IV B.

$\phi_{2,1}$ -deformation of tricritical Ising model

Another example where standard crossing is incompatible with non-invertible symmetries is the $\phi_{2,1}$ -deformation of the tricritical Ising model. In this case there are two vacua [50] and the flow preserves the Fibonacci fusion category with elements $\{1, \mathcal{W}\}$ [5]. The spectrum consists of a kink, an anti-kink and a bound state. The S-matrix compatible with non-invertible symmetries is

$$S_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = R(\theta) \left[\sqrt{\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_b}} \sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{5/9}\right) \delta_{bd} + \sinh\left(\frac{i\pi-\theta}{5/9}\right) \delta_{ac} \right], \quad (23)$$

where $a, b, c, d = \{1, \mathcal{W}\}$ and $d_1 = 1$ and $d_{\mathcal{W}} = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. The overall factor $R(\theta)$ is given in the Supplemental Material. The amplitude obeys the same modified crossing (22).

In the literature, several different proposals exist for the S-matrix of this theory [43–45, 51]. Our result supports the one in [44, 45], whose validity has not been established in the past as it violates standard crossing.

B. Perturbed $SU(2)_1$ WZW model

We next consider the $J\bar{J}$ -deformed $SU(2)_1$ WZW model [52, 53]; a prime example where standard crossing clashes with the \mathbb{Z}_2 't Hooft anomaly. The undeformed theory has $G = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry, which reduces to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times SU(2)_V$ upon deformation, with a mixed 't Hooft anomaly between \mathbb{Z}_2 and the center of $SU(2)_V$. Due to the anomaly, the model cannot be trivially gapped, and has two degenerate vacua [52]. Alternatively it can be described as a compact scalar $X \sim X + 2\pi R$ at the self-dual radius $R = \sqrt{2}$ with the deformation,

$$J^a \bar{J}_a = \cos(2X/R), \quad (24)$$

which has two minima at $X = \pm\pi R/2$. This breaks the $U(1)_m \times U(1)_w$ symmetry to $(\mathbb{Z}_2)_m \times U(1)_w$ with the

⁵ See Supplemental Material for explicit forms of constraints for the flow from tricritical Ising model.

⁶ The factor drops out in the derivation of Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA), so that both amplitudes are compatible with known TBA results.




FIG. 1. Kinks (solid lines) and anti-kinks (dashed lines) connecting the minima of the potential. Both come with two-fold degeneracy (blue and yellow) due to the Kramers degeneracy.

anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 being the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{diag}}$. This description allows one to write kink-creation operators near the UV fixed point⁷ [54]

$$K_{\pm} = \left\{ V_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}, V_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \quad \bar{K}_{\pm} = \left\{ V_{-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}, V_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \quad (25)$$

where $V_{m,w}$ is a vertex operator with momentum m and winding w . As they have half-units of m and w , these operators are endpoints of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{diag}}$, indicating that kink worldlines act as symmetry lines of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\text{diag}}$.

As shown in [55, 56], the \mathbb{Z}_2 anomaly induces the time reversal anomaly $T^2 = -1$ on such kink worldlines, implying the two-fold Kramers degeneracy of kinks. Here it simply corresponds to two different ways of connecting the two vacua, see Figure 1.

The S-matrix of these (anti-)kinks has a tensor-product structure [52, 53], $S_{\text{RSOS}_2} \otimes \check{S}$, where S_{RSOS_2} is a trivial factor that distinguishes the two vacua (denoted by \pm)

$$S_{\text{RSOS}_2} : \begin{array}{c} \text{---} + \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} - \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} - \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} + \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} = 1, \quad (26)$$

while \check{S} is the scattering among Kramers pairs [52, 53, 57]

$$\check{S}_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \check{S} \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ c \quad d \end{array} = S_0(\theta) \frac{\theta \delta_a^c \delta_c^b - i\pi \delta_a^b \delta_c^a}{\theta - i\pi}, \quad (27)$$

with $a, b, c, d = \{1, 2\}$ and

$$S_0(\theta) = -\frac{\Gamma(1 - \theta/2\pi i) \Gamma(1/2 + \theta/2\pi i)}{\Gamma(1 + \theta/2\pi i) \Gamma(1/2 - \theta/2\pi i)}. \quad (28)$$

One can verify that \check{S} violates standard crossing rule by a sign:

$$\check{S}_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = -C_{da'} \check{S}_{d'c}^{a'b}(i\pi - \theta) C^{d'a}, \quad (29)$$

⁷ These operators are singled out by imposing 1. they have a half-unit of winding since kinks interpolate between two vacua, 2. they need to be purely holomorphic or anti-holomorphic since kinks are massless in the UV.

where C is the charge conjugation matrix satisfying $C^T = -C$ and $C_{12} = -C_{21} = -1$. Note that $C^2 = -1$ as expected from the time-reversal anomaly on the worldline.⁸ This violation of crossing was already noted in the literature [53] but a physical origin was not elucidated. In section IV B, we show that the extra sign in (29) is due to the \mathbb{Z}_2 anomaly.

A couple of comments are in order: 1. the deformation (24) is different from the standard sine-Gordon model with the potential $\cos(X/R)$. In the latter case, the vacuum is unique and there is no \mathbb{Z}_2 anomaly. Hence it satisfies standard crossing. 2. the compact boson with the deformation (24) (called SG($\beta, 2$) in [51, 54]) is \mathbb{Z}_2 -anomalous and obeys the modified crossing, even at $R \neq \sqrt{2}$. See the Supplemental Material for details.

IV. DERIVATION OF SYMMETRY ACTION AND MODIFIED CROSSING

A. Action of symmetry lines

We now derive the action of symmetry lines on kinks (20) by studying states on a line with specified boundary conditions at infinity indicating the vacua⁹. We denote them by $|\psi\rangle$ to distinguish them from states on a circle.

We begin by evaluating a path integral on a large Euclidean disk with radius $R (\gg m^{-1})$, where fields approach the vacuum '0' at the boundary. This computes the norm of $|0\rangle$ on a line, which we normalize to be 1.

$$\langle\langle 0|0\rangle\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 0 \end{array} = 1. \quad (30)$$

Since the vacua transform as the regular representation (cf. (12)), the norms of other vacua $|a\rangle$ can be computed by inserting a loop of \mathcal{L}_a on the disk. Since $\langle\mathcal{L}_a\rangle = d_a$, we get

$$|a\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} a \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}, \quad \langle\langle a|a\rangle\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ a \end{array} = d_a. \quad (31)$$

In CFT language d_a corresponds to the g-function $d_a = g_a$.


Next consider a matrix element of \mathcal{L}_φ on normalized states, $\frac{\langle\langle b|\mathcal{L}_\varphi|a\rangle\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\langle a|a\rangle\rangle \langle\langle b|b\rangle\rangle}}$. This can be represented in the path integral as

$$\frac{\langle\langle b|\mathcal{L}_\varphi|a\rangle\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\langle a|a\rangle\rangle \langle\langle b|b\rangle\rangle}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_a d_b}} \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ b \\ \text{---} \varphi \text{---} \\ a \end{array} \quad (32)$$

⁸ This follows from CT being anomaly-free on the worldline.

⁹ This is essentially the same as 'open' Hilbert space discussed e.g. in [32].

Using (3) and (5), we evaluate the network of lines as



$$= \sqrt{d_a d_b d_\varphi} N_\varphi a^b. \quad (33)$$

This shows that, when computing the action on an infinite line, each \mathcal{L}_φ needs to be divided by $\sqrt{d_\varphi}$ in order to realize the correct action:


$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\varphi}} \text{---} \varphi \text{---} \quad (34)$$

Finally we consider a state with a single kink between two vacua, a and b . The kink interpolates between neighboring vacua and, in the IR limit, its effect on the vacua is identical to that of the symmetry line \mathcal{L}_v , with $v = \frac{1}{2}$ for the $\phi_{1,3}$ -deformations and $v = \mathcal{W}$ for the $\phi_{2,1}$ -deformation of the tricritical Ising model.¹⁰ Thus, the state can be represented by the path integral:



$$|a; b\rangle\rangle = \text{---} \quad (35)$$

Its norm can be computed using (33) as $\langle\langle a; b | a; b \rangle\rangle = \sqrt{d_a d_b d_v}$. A matrix element of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\varphi$ on normalized states can be computed using (3), (4) and (6) as¹¹



$$\frac{\langle\langle a'; b' | \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\varphi | a; b \rangle\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\langle a; b | a; b \rangle\rangle \langle\langle a'; b' | a'; b' \rangle\rangle}} = (d_a d_{a'} d_b d_{b'} d_v^2 d_\varphi^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \text{---} \quad (36)$$

$$= (d_a d_{a'} d_b d_{b'})^{1/4} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & a' & a \\ v & b & b' \end{bmatrix},$$

which gives (20).

B. Modified crossing

We now present a general derivation of modified crossing rules of S-matrices. As we see below, the main reasons for the modification are

- The IR dynamics is described by a nontrivial TQFT.
- Normalizations of in- and out-states receive corrections from the TQFT degrees of freedom.

- The corrections are different between s - and t -channels.

The derivation does not rely on integrability. Thus the modified crossing rule (42) should be applicable to non-integrable theories as well.

Derivation

The Lehmann–Symanzik–Zimmermann (LSZ) reduction formula, commonly used to derive S-matrices from local operator correlation functions, is not applicable in our cases due to the non-locality of kink-creation operators attached to lines. Instead, we adopt an alternative formalism linking the S-matrix to correlation functions on two Cauchy slices. This is expressed as [58]:

$$S(\{p_i\}) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_j dv_j e^{ip_j x(v_j)} \prod_k (n_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{x_k}) G(\{x(v_k)\}), \quad (37)$$


where $x^\mu(v)$ parametrizes past and future Cauchy surfaces, T denotes the time separation between them, n_k are unit normal vectors at points v_k , and $G(\{x(v_k)\})$ represents a correlation function with insertions at v_k .

Since $G(\{x(v_k)\})$ is related to a correlation function on a large Euclidean disk by analytic continuation, the S-matrix of kinks can be expressed schematically as




$$S_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) \propto \text{---} \Big|_{\text{analyt. cont.}} \quad (38)$$

However, to ensure standard unitarity, we must take into account normalizations of in- and out-states,



$$|in\rangle\rangle = \text{---}, \quad |out\rangle\rangle = \text{---}, \quad (39)$$

which are given by networks of lines,¹²



$$\langle\langle in | in \rangle\rangle = \text{---}, \quad \langle\langle out | out \rangle\rangle = \text{---}. \quad (40)$$

Physically, these represent corrections to normalizations of in- and out-states due to the TQFT dynamics. Thus the S-matrix between normalized states, which satisfies

¹⁰ In the generic case, identifying the line v involves determining the UV CFT counterpart of the kink creation operator, which is an operator in the v -twisted sector.

¹¹ Here the lines v and φ are not intersecting. This is motivated by 1. the symmetry line should not act directly on kinks; rather its action on kinks should derive automatically from the action on vacua. 2. Eq. (36) coincides with the action of symmetry lines on lattice models studied in [15].

¹² Here we are stripping out the usual momentum dependent normalization of the two-particle state in terms of the (squared) center of mass energy $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$

$$\langle\langle p'_1, p'_2 | p_1, p_2 \rangle\rangle = (2\pi)^2 2\sqrt{s} \sqrt{s - 4m^2} \delta^2(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2).$$

standard unitarity, is given by

$$S_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = \frac{\text{analyt. cont.} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{---} \\ d \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ c \end{array} \right)}{\sqrt{\begin{array}{c} c \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ d \quad v \quad v \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ c \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ d \quad v \quad v \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ a \end{array}}} \quad (41)$$

Notably, while the numerator is crossing symmetric, the denominator changes with the channels one considers. This leads to the modification of crossing symmetry

$$S_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{\begin{array}{c} a \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ d \quad v \quad v \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ c \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ d \quad v \quad v \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ c \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} c \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ d \quad v \quad v \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ c \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ d \quad v \quad v \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ a \end{array}}} S_{ad}^{bc}(i\pi - \theta). \quad (42)$$

For the gapped flows from minimal models, the ratio of the networks in (42) gives the modified crossing factor found in (22). For the case with the \mathbb{Z}_2 anomaly, the ratio gives the F -symbol $\epsilon = -1$ (see (8)), reproducing the sign in the crossing relation (29).

V. CONCLUSION

We initiated the study of the interplay among non-invertible symmetries, anomalies, and S-matrices, focusing on integrable flows in 1+1 dimensions. Our findings show that the crossing symmetry of S-matrices can be modified when the IR phase is governed by a nontrivial TQFT, as the TQFT affects the normalization of in- and out-states.

There are numerous future directions to explore:

- Testing our proposed S-matrices by computing physical observables that distinguish ours from those in the literature: A prime candidate is the finite-volume spectrum with an insertion of a non-invertible defect (i.e. defect Hilbert space) [59].
- In our \mathbb{Z}_2 example the modification of crossing symmetry was a consequence of the 't Hooft anomaly. In cases with non-invertible symmetry, the 't Hooft anomaly prevents the flow to a trivially gapped phase while the modification of crossing symmetry is captured by a ratio of quantum dimensions, which are relative Euler terms [33]. It would be interesting to clarify the precise relationship between 't Hooft anomalies and the modified crossing.
- Finding examples of modified crossing in higher dimensions: In 2+1 dimensions, modifications have been observed in Chern-Simons matter theories [60], which exhibit nontrivial TQFT dynamics in the IR. It is important to understand these findings in a unified (categorical) framework alongside

our case. Additionally, our analysis suggests that such modifications can occur even in theories where TQFT degrees of freedom are not apparent in the UV Lagrangian description. Identifying such examples in 2+1 dimensions and beyond would be of great interest. Furthermore examples of RG flows preserving non-invertible symmetries in 3+1 dimensions have been constructed [61]. It would be interesting to see the consequence of non-invertible symmetries on S-matrices in such examples.

- Performing the S-matrix bootstrap analysis [62–64] of theories with non-invertible symmetries [65]: Particularly interesting are theories with the Haagerup fusion category [66–68], for which the QFT realization is not fully understood. See Supplemental Material for crossing and unitarity in the projector basis, which will be useful for performing such analyses. Additionally, bounding the UV central charge of such theories via form factor bootstrap [69, 70] could be valuable, especially given indications that the fixed point has a central charge 2 in certain lattice realizations [71, 72].
- We focused on examples in which the vacua are in the regular representation. Extending to other representations should be straightforward by combining our analysis with that in [32]. We expect that the natural generalization to IR vacua in arbitrary representations is given by a factor

$$\epsilon_v \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{d}_a \tilde{d}_c}{\tilde{d}_b \tilde{d}_d}}, \quad (43)$$

where $\epsilon_v = \pm 1$ is the Frobenius-Schur indicator of v (i.e. its time reversal anomaly) and \tilde{d}_a is the disk partition function [32].

- It was argued that the scattering of monopoles and fermions in 3+1 dimensions violates crossing symmetry [73], alongside indications of significant roles played by non-invertible symmetries [74]. Establishing a connection with our analysis would be interesting.
- The nontrivial TQFT dynamics in our examples serve as toy models for the soft IR dynamics of photons and gravitons [75]. Just as in our examples, the Faddeev-Kulish dressing [76] modifies the norms of in- and out-states. Furthermore the IR phases of electrodynamics and gravity possess exotic (generalized) symmetries [77–79]. Can the categorical language offer a suitable framework for understanding these soft dynamics?

Acknowledgement

We thank Lorenzo Di Pietro, Thomas Dumitrescu, Hofie Hannesdottir, Po-Shen Hsin, Ying-Hsuan Lin, Gio-

vanni Rizi, Didina Serban, Roberto Tateo and Yifan Wang for helpful discussions. CC is supported by STFC grant ST/X000761/1.

* christian.copetti@maths.ox.ac.uk;

lucia.gomez.cordova@cern.ch; shota.komatsu@cern.ch

- [1] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg and B. Willett, *Generalized Global Symmetries*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 172 [[1412.5148](#)].
- [2] J. Frohlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel and C. Schweigert, *Kramers-Wannier duality from conformal defects*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 070601 [[cond-mat/0404051](#)].
- [3] J. Fuchs, M.R. Gaberdiel, I. Runkel and C. Schweigert, *Topological defects for the free boson CFT*, *J. Phys. A* **40** (2007) 11403 [[0705.3129](#)].
- [4] L. Bhardwaj and Y. Tachikawa, *On finite symmetries and their gauging in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 189 [[1704.02330](#)].
- [5] C.-M. Chang, Y.-H. Lin, S.-H. Shao, Y. Wang and X. Yin, *Topological Defect Lines and Renormalization Group Flows in Two Dimensions*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 026 [[1802.04445](#)].
- [6] E. Witten, *An $SU(2)$ Anomaly*, *Phys. Lett. B* **117** (1982) 324.
- [7] X.-z. Dai and D.S. Freed, *eta invariants and determinant lines*, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 5155 [[hep-th/9405012](#)].
- [8] A. Kapustin and R. Thorngren, *Anomalies of discrete symmetries in three dimensions and group cohomology*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 231602 [[1403.0617](#)].
- [9] A. Kapustin and R. Thorngren, *Anomalies of discrete symmetries in various dimensions and group cohomology*, [1404.3230](#).
- [10] E. Witten, *The "Parity" Anomaly On An Unorientable Manifold*, *Phys. Rev. B* **94** (2016) 195150 [[1605.02391](#)].
- [11] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg, *Theta, Time Reversal, and Temperature*, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 091 [[1703.00501](#)].
- [12] J. Wang, X.-G. Wen and E. Witten, *A New $SU(2)$ Anomaly*, *J. Math. Phys.* **60** (2019) 052301 [[1810.00844](#)].
- [13] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, vol. 205, American Mathematical Soc. (2016).
- [14] M. Barkeshli, P. Bonderson, M. Cheng and Z. Wang, *Symmetry Fractionalization, Defects, and Gauging of Topological Phases*, *Phys. Rev. B* **100** (2019) 115147 [[1410.4540](#)].
- [15] D. Aasen, P. Fendley and R.S.K. Mong, *Topological Defects on the Lattice: Dualities and Degeneracies*, [2008.08598](#).
- [16] J. Frohlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel and C. Schweigert, *Duality and defects in rational conformal field theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **763** (2007) 354 [[hep-th/0607247](#)].
- [17] J. Frohlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel and C. Schweigert, *Defect lines, dualities, and generalised orbifolds*, in *16th International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, 9, 2009, DOI [[0909.5013](#)].
- [18] N. Carqueville and I. Runkel, *Orbifold completion of defect bicategories*, *Quantum Topol.* **7** (2016) 203 [[1210.6363](#)].
- [19] Z. Komargodski, K. Ohmori, K. Roumpedakis and S. Seifnashri, *Symmetries and strings of adjoint QCD_2* , *JHEP* **03** (2021) 103 [[2008.07567](#)].
- [20] R. Thorngren and Y. Wang, *Fusion Category Symmetry I: Anomaly In-Flow and Gapped Phases*, [1912.02817](#).
- [21] R. Thorngren and Y. Wang, *Fusion Category Symmetry II: Categoriosities at $c = 1$ and Beyond*, [2106.12577](#).
- [22] L. Lootens, C. Delcamp, G. Ortiz and F. Verstraete, *Dualities in One-Dimensional Quantum Lattice Models: Symmetric Hamiltonians and Matrix Product Operator Intertwiners*, *PRX Quantum* **4** (2023) 020357 [[2112.09091](#)].
- [23] Y.-H. Lin, M. Okada, S. Seifnashri and Y. Tachikawa, *Asymptotic density of states in 2d CFTs with non-invertible symmetries*, *JHEP* **03** (2023) 094 [[2208.05495](#)].
- [24] Y.-H. Lin and S.-H. Shao, *Anomalies and Bounds on Charged Operators*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 025013 [[1904.04833](#)].
- [25] Y.-H. Lin and S.-H. Shao, *\mathbb{Z}_N symmetries, anomalies, and the modular bootstrap*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 125001 [[2101.08343](#)].
- [26] Y.-H. Lin and S.-H. Shao, *Bootstrapping noninvertible symmetries*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 125025 [[2302.13900](#)].
- [27] G.W. Moore and N. Seiberg, *Classical and Quantum Conformal Field Theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989) 177.
- [28] J. Kaidi, E. Nardoni, G. Zafrir and Y. Zheng, *Symmetry TFTs and anomalies of non-invertible symmetries*, *JHEP* **10** (2023) 053 [[2301.07112](#)].
- [29] C. Zhang and C. Córdova, *Anomalies of $(1+1)D$ categorical symmetries*, [2304.01262](#).
- [30] C. Cordova, P.-S. Hsin and C. Zhang, *Anomalies of Non-Invertible Symmetries in $(3+1)d$* , [2308.11706](#).
- [31] A. Antinucci, F. Benini, C. Copetti, G. Galati and G. Rizi, *Anomalies of non-invertible self-duality symmetries: fractionalization and gauging*, [2308.11707](#).
- [32] T.-C. Huang, Y.-H. Lin and S. Seifnashri, *Construction of two-dimensional topological field theories with non-invertible symmetries*, *JHEP* **12** (2021) 028 [[2110.02958](#)].
- [33] L. Bhardwaj, L.E. Bottini, D. Pajer and S. Schäfer-Nameki, *Gapped Phases with Non-Invertible Symmetries: $(1+1)d$* , [2310.03784](#).
- [34] A.B. Zamolodchikov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Relativistic Factorized S Matrix in Two-Dimensions Having $O(N)$ Isotopic Symmetry*, *JETP Lett.* **26** (1977) 457.
- [35] A.B. Zamolodchikov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Factorized s Matrices in Two-Dimensions as the Exact Solutions of Certain Relativistic Quantum Field Models*, *Annals Phys.* **120** (1979) 253.
- [36] R. Shankar and E. Witten, *The S Matrix of the Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma Model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **17** (1978) 2134.
- [37] S.J. Parke, *Absence of Particle Production and Factorization of the S Matrix in $(1+1)$ -dimensional Models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **174** (1980) 166.
- [38] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Senechal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997), [10.1007/978-1-4612-2256-9](#).
- [39] V.B. Petkova and J.B. Zuber, *Generalized twisted partition functions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **504** (2001) 157 [[hep-th/0011021](#)].

- [40] A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Integrable field theory from conformal field theory*, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **19** (1989) 641.
- [41] A.B. Zamolodchikov, *From tricritical Ising to critical Ising by thermodynamic Bethe ansatz*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **358** (1991) 524.
- [42] K. Kikuchi, *Symmetry enhancement in RCFT*, [2109.02672](#).
- [43] A.B. Zamolodchikov, *S matrix of the subleading magnetic perturbation of the tricritical Ising model*, .
- [44] F.A. Smirnov, *Exact S matrices for $\phi(1,2)$ perturbed minimal models of conformal field theory*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **6** (1991) 1407.
- [45] F. Colomo, A. Koubek and G. Mussardo, *On the S matrix of the subleading magnetic deformation of the tricritical Ising model in two-dimensions*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7** (1992) 5281 [[hep-th/9108024](#)].
- [46] D. Bernard and A. Leclair, *Residual Quantum Symmetries of the Restricted Sine-Gordon Theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **340** (1990) 721.
- [47] A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz for RSOS scattering theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **358** (1991) 497.
- [48] P. Fendley, H. Saleur and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Massless flows, 2. The Exact S matrix approach*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **8** (1993) 5751 [[hep-th/9304051](#)].
- [49] G.E. Andrews, R.J. Baxter and P.J. Forrester, *Eight vertex SOS model and generalized Rogers-Ramanujan type identities*, *J. Statist. Phys.* **35** (1984) 193.
- [50] M. Lässig, G. Mussardo and J.L. Cardy, *The scaling region of the tricritical Ising model in two-dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **348** (1991) 591.
- [51] T.R. Klassen and E. Melzer, *Kinks in finite volume*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **382** (1992) 441 [[hep-th/9202034](#)].
- [52] C. Ahn, D. Bernard and A. LeClair, *Fractional Supersymmetries in Perturbed Coset Cfts and Integrable Soliton Theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **346** (1990) 409.
- [53] D. Bernard and A. LeClair, *Quantum group symmetries and non-local currents in 2d qft*, *Communications in mathematical physics* **142** (1991) 99.
- [54] T.R. Klassen and E. Melzer, *Sine-Gordon not equal to massive Thirring, and related heresies*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **8** (1993) 4131 [[hep-th/9206114](#)].
- [55] I. Hason, Z. Komargodski and R. Thorngren, *Anomaly Matching in the Symmetry Broken Phase: Domain Walls, CPT, and the Smith Isomorphism*, *SciPost Phys.* **8** (2020) 062 [[1910.14039](#)].
- [56] C. Córdova, K. Ohmori, S.-H. Shao and F. Yan, *Decorated \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry defects and their time-reversal anomalies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 045019 [[1910.14046](#)].
- [57] D.-L. Vu, I. Kostov and D. Serban, *Boundary entropy of integrable perturbed $SU(2)_k$ WZNW*, *JHEP* **08** (2019) 154 [[1906.01909](#)].
- [58] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, International Series In Pure and Applied Physics, McGraw-Hill, New York (1980).
- [59] C. Copetti, L. Córdova and S. Komatsu, *In progress*, .
- [60] U. Mehta, S. Minwalla, C. Patel, S. Prakash and K. Sharma, *Crossing Symmetry in Matter Chern-Simons Theories at finite N and k*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **27** (2023) 193 [[2210.07272](#)].
- [61] J.A. Damia, R. Argurio, F. Benini, S. Benvenuti, C. Copetti and L. Tizzano, *Non-invertible symmetries along 4d RG flows*, *JHEP* **02** (2024) 084 [[2305.17084](#)].
- [62] M.F. Paulos, J. Penedones, J. Toledo, B.C. van Rees and P. Vieira, *The S-matrix bootstrap II: two dimensional amplitudes*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 143 [[1607.06110](#)].
- [63] L. Córdova and P. Vieira, *Adding flavour to the S-matrix bootstrap*, *JHEP* **12** (2018) 063 [[1805.11143](#)].
- [64] Y. He, A. Irrgang and M. Kruczenski, *A note on the S-matrix bootstrap for the 2d $O(N)$ bosonic model*, *JHEP* **11** (2018) 093 [[1805.02812](#)].
- [65] C. Copetti, L. Córdova and S. Komatsu, *In progress*, .
- [66] U. Haagerup, *Principal graphs of subfactors in the index range $4 < [m : n] < 3 + \sqrt{2}$* , *Subfactors (Kyuzeso, 1993)* **1** (1994) .
- [67] M. Asaeda and U. Haagerup, *Exotic subfactors of finite depth with jones indices and*, *Communications in mathematical physics* **202** (1999) 1.
- [68] T.-C. Huang and Y.-H. Lin, *The F-Symbols for Transparent Haagerup-Izumi Categories with $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}$* , [2007.00670](#).
- [69] D. Karateev, S. Kuhn and J.a. Penedones, *Bootstrapping Massive Quantum Field Theories*, *JHEP* **07** (2020) 035 [[1912.08940](#)].
- [70] L. Córdova, M. Correia, A. Georgoudis and A. Vuignier, *The $O(N)$ monolith reloaded: sum rules and Form Factor Bootstrap*, *JHEP* **01** (2024) 093 [[2311.03031](#)].
- [71] R. Vanhove, L. Lootens, M. Van Damme, R. Wolf, T.J. Osborne, J. Haegeman et al., *Critical Lattice Model for a Haagerup Conformal Field Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 231602 [[2110.03532](#)].
- [72] T.-C. Huang, Y.-H. Lin, K. Ohmori, Y. Tachikawa and M. Tezuka, *Numerical Evidence for a Haagerup Conformal Field Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 231603 [[2110.03008](#)].
- [73] C. Csaki, S. Hong, Y. Shirman, O. Telem, J. Terning and M. Waterbury, *Scattering amplitudes for monopoles: pairwise little group and pairwise helicity*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 029 [[2009.14213](#)].
- [74] M. van Beest, P. Boyle Smith, D. Delmastro, Z. Komargodski and D. Tong, *Monopoles, Scattering, and Generalized Symmetries*, [2306.07318](#).
- [75] A. Strominger, *Lectures on the Infrared Structure of Gravity and Gauge Theory* (3, 2017), [[1703.05448](#)].
- [76] P.P. Kulish and L.D. Faddeev, *Asymptotic conditions and infrared divergences in quantum electrodynamics*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **4** (1970) 745.
- [77] D.M. Hofman and N. Iqbal, *Goldstone modes and photonization for higher form symmetries*, *SciPost Phys.* **6** (2019) 006 [[1802.09512](#)].
- [78] V. Benedetti, H. Casini and J.M. Magan, *Generalized symmetries of the graviton*, *JHEP* **05** (2022) 045 [[2111.12089](#)].
- [79] K. Hinterbichler, D.M. Hofman, A. Joyce and G. Mathys, *Gravity as a gapless phase and biform symmetries*, *JHEP* **02** (2023) 151 [[2205.12272](#)].

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

A. F-symbols

As (7) shows, F-symbols and tetrahedral symbols are proportional to each other. For the \mathcal{A}_{n-1} category discussed in the main text the tetrahedral symbols are the

same as q -deformed Wigner 6j-symbols up to a sign:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^p \left\{ \begin{matrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{matrix} \right\}_q, \quad q = e^{2\pi i/n}, \quad (44)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2} [3(a+b+c+d+e+f)^2 - (a+d)^2 - (b+e)^2 - (c+f)^2].$$

The q -deformed Wigner 6j-symbols are given in terms of the quantum dimensions $[a] = [a]_q = d_a = \frac{\sin[(2a+1)\pi/n]}{\sin(\pi/n)}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{matrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{matrix} \right\}_q &= \Delta(a, b, c) \Delta(a, e, f) \Delta(d, b, f) \Delta(d, e, c) \times \\ &\sum_z \frac{(-1)^z [z+1]}{[a+b+d+e-z][a+c+d+f-z][b+c+e+f-z]} \times \\ &\frac{1}{[-a-b-c+z][-c-d-e+z][-b-d-f+z][-a-e-f+z]}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where the sum is over half integers z such that the summand is free of divergences and

$$\Delta(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{[a+b-c][a-b+c][-a+b+c]}{[1+a+b+c]}} & \text{if } N_{abc} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

B. Scalar factors of exact S-matrices

The prefactor in (21) is given by [48]

$$Z(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{\theta - i\pi}{n}} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{k} \sin k\theta \frac{\sinh \frac{k\pi}{2} (n-1)}{\sinh \frac{n k \pi}{2} \cosh \frac{k\pi}{2}} \right\}. \quad (47)$$

It is crossing symmetric $Z(\theta) = Z(i\pi - \theta)$ and satisfies $Z(\theta)Z(-\theta) = (\sinh \frac{\theta - i\pi}{n} \sinh \frac{-\theta - i\pi}{n})^{-1}$. Similarly for the $\phi_{2,1}$ deformation the prefactor $R(\theta)$ is crossing symmetric and ensures unitarity [44, 51]

$$R(\theta) = \frac{-1}{\sinh \frac{\theta + i\pi}{5/9}} f_{-2/5}(9\theta/5) f_{3/5}(9\theta/5) F_{-1/9}(\theta) F_{2/9}(\theta), \quad (48)$$

$$R(\theta)R(-\theta) = \left(\sinh \frac{\theta - i\pi}{5/9} \sinh \frac{\theta + i\pi}{5/9} \right)^{-1}. \quad (49)$$

The building blocks are $f_\alpha(\theta) = \frac{\sinh(\frac{\theta + i\alpha\pi}{2})}{\sinh(\frac{\theta - i\alpha\pi}{2})}$ and F_α are the Castillejo-Dalitz-Dyson (CDD) factors $F_\alpha(\theta) = -f_\alpha(\theta)f_\alpha(i\pi - \theta)$.

C. Non-invertible symmetries of $\phi_{r,s}$ deformations

Here we study symmetry lines preserved by the $\phi_{r,s}$ deformation of the n -th minimal model $\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$:

$$\mathcal{M}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n + \lambda \int \phi_{r,s}. \quad (50)$$

First, recall that the action of Verlinde lines $\mathcal{L}_{r',s'}$ onto a primary $\phi_{r,s}$ is given by (see e.g. [5] for a review):

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \phi_{r,s} \\ \mathcal{L}_{r',s'} \end{array} = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{r',s';r,s}}{\mathcal{S}_{1,1;r,s}} \phi_{r,s} \quad (51)$$

where the modular S -matrix is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{r',s';r,s} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{n(n+1)}} (-1)^{1+rs'+r's} \sin\left(\frac{\pi n r r'}{n+1}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi(n+1)ss'}{n}\right). \quad (52)$$

The line $\mathcal{L}_{r',s'}$ is preserved along the flow if and only if its action on the deforming operator is trivial. That is, if

$$(51) = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \phi_{r,s} \\ \mathcal{L}_{r',s'} \end{array} = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{r',s';1,1}}{\mathcal{S}_{1,1;1,1}} \phi_{r,s} \quad (53)$$

In the case of the $\phi_{1,3}$ discussed in the main text, the above equation reduces to the condition:

$$\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} s'\right) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right), \quad (54)$$

whose only solution (up to the \mathbb{Z}_2 action on the Kac table) is $s' = 1$. Thus the $\phi_{1,3}$ deformation preserves exactly the \mathcal{A}_{n-1} subalgebra given by the $\mathcal{L}_{r',1}$ lines. Following the flow $\mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n-1}$ this is mapped into the $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n-1}$ algebra in the IR minimal model, generated by $\mathcal{L}_{1,2}$.

D. Examples of Ward identities

Here we show explicitly some of the Ward identities (19) the S-matrix for the $\phi_{1,3}$ deformation of the n -th minimal model \mathcal{M}_n should satisfy. In this gapped flow, the $n-1$ vacua are labelled by $a = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{n-2}{2}$. The only non trivial symmetry line present for any n is the \mathbb{Z}_2 (invertible) defect η . It maps the first vacuum to last one and more generally $a \leftrightarrow \frac{n-2}{2} - a$. Since the previous map is one to one, the Ward identities relate two S-matrix elements, for instance:

$$\eta: S_{l, l+\frac{1}{2}}^{l-\frac{1}{2}, l}(\theta) = S_{\frac{n}{2}-l-1, \frac{n}{2}-l-\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{n}{2}-l-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-l-1}(\theta). \quad (55)$$

These Ward identities are satisfied both for the amplitude previously written in the literature (18) and our proposal (21). It follows from the fact that the quantum dimensions are the same between the vacua $d_a = d_{\frac{n-2}{2}-a}$. Now let us check a WI for the simplest non-invertible defect \mathcal{N} present in the flow from tricritical Ising \mathcal{M}_4 . The action of the symmetry lines on the three vacua $a = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ can be represented in matrix form as follows

$$\mathbb{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (56)$$

One of the WI for the \mathcal{N} line is

$$\mathcal{N}: S_{0\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}0}(\theta) = S_{\frac{1}{2}0}^{0\frac{1}{2}}(\theta) + S_{\frac{1}{2}1}^{0\frac{1}{2}}(\theta), \quad (57)$$

which our proposal (21) satisfies:

$$\sqrt{2} \sinh \frac{\theta}{4} + \sinh \frac{i\pi - \theta}{4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh \frac{\theta}{4} + \sinh \frac{i\pi - \theta}{4} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh \frac{\theta}{4}, \quad (58)$$

but the amplitude (18) does not:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sqrt{2} \sinh \frac{\theta}{4} + \sinh \frac{i\pi - \theta}{4} \right) \sqrt{2}^{i\theta/\pi} \\ & \neq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh \frac{\theta}{4} + \sinh \frac{i\pi - \theta}{4} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh \frac{\theta}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{i\theta/\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

In a similar fashion, the WI for non-invertible defects for any n are spoiled by the $\left(\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_d}\right)^{\frac{i\theta}{2\pi}}$ factors in (18).

E. Crossing and unitarity in the projector basis

We can construct a basis of projectors of two $v = 1/2$ lines into a fusion channel χ satisfying

$$P_\chi P_{\chi'} = \delta_{\chi\chi'} P_\chi, \quad (60)$$

$$\sum_\chi P_\chi = \mathbb{1}. \quad (61)$$

These projectors can be written as¹³

$$(P_\chi)^{ab}_{dc} = d \sqrt{d_a d_c} d_\chi \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & c \end{bmatrix}, \quad (62)$$

where we have used dotted indices and double line notation to emphasize the prefactor $\sqrt{d_a d_c} d_\chi$. Using orthogonality of the tetrahedral symbols

$$\sum_\chi d_\chi \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & c \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{ac} \frac{N_{ba}^v N_{da}^v}{d_a}, \quad (63)$$

one can verify that that (62) indeed satisfies eqs. (60) and (61). Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_e (P_\chi)^{ab}_{de} (P_{\chi'})^{eb}_{dc} = \\ & = \sum_e \sqrt{d_a d_c} d_e d_\chi d_{\chi'} \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & e \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi' \\ d & b & e \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi' \\ d & b & c \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \delta_{\chi\chi'} \sqrt{d_a d_c} d_\chi \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & c \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{\chi\chi'} (P_\chi)^{ab}_{dc}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

$$\sum_\chi (P_\chi)^{ab}_{dc} = \sum_\chi \sqrt{d_a d_c} d_\chi \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ d & b & c \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{ac}. \quad (65)$$

Note that the square root prefactor in (62) is crucial in the above equations.

The projectors make the categorical symmetry manifest, as they commute with the action of the symmetry lines as defined in (20):

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} b' \\ \chi \\ a' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c' \\ \beta \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \sum_\beta \omega \sqrt{d_\beta d_b} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & a' & a \\ v & b & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & \beta & b \\ v & c & c' \end{bmatrix} (P_\chi)^{b'c'}_{a'b} \\ & = \sum_\beta \omega \sqrt{d_{b'} d_\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & a' & a \\ v & \beta & b' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & b' & \beta \\ v & c & c' \end{bmatrix} (P_\chi)^{\beta c}_{ab} \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

where $\omega = (d_a d_{a'} d_c d_{c'})^{1/4}$. The previous equality follows from the pentagon identity satisfied by the tetrahedral symbols

$$\sum_\beta d_\beta \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & a' & a \\ y & b & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & c' & c \\ x & b & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a' & c' & \chi \\ x & y & \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & c & \chi \\ x & y & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & c & \chi \\ c' & a' & \varphi \end{bmatrix}. \quad (67)$$

Having these projectors, we can write a two-particle amplitude compatible with the categorical symmetry as

$$S_{dc}^{ab}(\theta) = \sum_\chi A_\chi(\theta) (P_\chi)^{ab}_{dc}. \quad (68)$$

Elastic unitarity of the S-matrix is satisfied if $A_\chi(\theta) A_\chi(-\theta) = 1$.

In the expressions above we have chosen the s-channel decomposition. If we want to instead use the t-channel projectors we need to perform an F-move *and* multiply by $\sqrt{\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_d}}$. This factor is necessary to ensure the t-channel projectors obey eqs. (60) and (61). The t-channel projectors are then given by

$$(P_\chi)^{bc}_{ad} = \sqrt{\frac{d_b d_d}{d_a d_c}} d_\chi \sum_{\chi'} \begin{bmatrix} v & v & \chi \\ v & v & \chi' \end{bmatrix} (P_{\chi'})^{ab}_{dc}. \quad (69)$$

¹³ Here we are considering categories for which the fusion coefficients are $N_{ab}^c = 0, 1$.

In the A_{n-1} category discussed in the main text we can use the tetrahedral symbols defined in appendix A to evaluate the two projectors $\chi = 0, 1$ ¹⁴

$$(P_0)_{dc}^{ab} = \frac{1}{d_v} \sqrt{\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_d}} \delta_{bd}, \quad (P_1)_{dc}^{ab} = \delta_{ac} - \frac{1}{d_v} \sqrt{\frac{d_a d_c}{d_b d_d}} \delta_{bd}. \quad (70)$$

The coefficients of the amplitude in the projector basis (68) are

$$A_0 = Z(\theta) \sinh\left(\frac{i\pi + \theta}{n}\right), \quad A_1 = Z(\theta) \sinh\left(\frac{i\pi - \theta}{n}\right), \quad (71)$$

which satisfy $A_\chi(\theta)A_\chi(-\theta) = 1$ as one can check from (47) and reproduce (21).

F. Sine-Gordon model and $SG(\beta, 2)$

In the main text, we discussed the perturbed $SU(2)_1$ WZW model as an example of theories with \mathbb{Z}_2 anomaly. It has an alternative description as a compact boson at radius $R = \sqrt{2}$ with the deformation $\cos(2X/R)$. Here we propose the exact S -matrix for such theories at arbitrary R (called $SG(\beta, 2)$ in [51, 54]), which obeys the same modified crossing rule.

First let us contrast $SG(\beta, 2)$ with the standard sine-Gordon model, which is a compact boson perturbed by $\cos(X/R)$. The sine-Gordon theory has a single vacuum and the coupling β is determined by the radius as

$$\beta^2 = \frac{4\pi}{R^2}. \quad (72)$$

The S -matrix of solitons is given by

$$S_{SG} = \begin{pmatrix} S_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_T & S_R & 0 \\ 0 & S_R & S_T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & S_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (73)$$

where S_T and S_R are transmission and reflection amplitudes and read

$$S_T = \frac{\sinh(\pi\theta/\xi) S_0}{\sinh(\pi(i\pi - \theta)/\xi)}, \quad S_R = \frac{i \sin(\pi^2/\xi) S_0}{\sinh(\pi(i\pi - \theta)/\xi)}, \quad (74)$$

and

$$S_0 = -\exp\left(-i \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\sinh(t(\pi - \xi))}{\sinh(\xi t) \cosh(\pi t)} \sin(2\theta t)\right). \quad (75)$$

where ξ is given by

$$\xi = \frac{\beta^2}{8} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi}} = \frac{\pi}{2R^2 - 1}. \quad (76)$$

¹⁴ In verifying (64) one encounters the sum over quantum dimensions $\sum_e d_e$, however the values of e are restricted to $b \pm 1/2$. To

We now consider $SG(\beta, 2)$, whose S -matrix has not been identified in the literature. The dynamics of this theory is locally the same as sine-Gordon theory, up to a redefinition of the coupling

$$\tilde{\beta}^2 = \frac{16\pi}{R^2}. \quad (77)$$

However there are also crucial differences: 1. the theory has two vacua 2. the theory has \mathbb{Z}_2 anomaly. We propose the following S -matrix compatible with the aforementioned properties:

$$S_{SG(\beta, 2)} = S_{RSOS_2} \otimes \check{S}_{SG}, \quad (78)$$

where \check{S}_{SG} reads

$$\check{S}_{SG} = \begin{pmatrix} S_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -S_T & S_R & 0 \\ 0 & S_R & -S_T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & S_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (79)$$

where S_0 , S_T and S_R are the same as (74) and (75) except that the coupling is redefined as (77), i.e.

$$\xi = \frac{\tilde{\beta}^2}{8} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\tilde{\beta}^2}{8\pi}} = \frac{\pi}{\frac{R^2}{2} - 1}. \quad (80)$$

The S -matrix \check{S}_{SG} obeys the same modified crossing rule as the perturbed WZW model (29). It can be derived formally from the standard sine-Gordon S -matrix by expressing it in terms of the R -matrix of $U_q(sl_2)$ and reversing the sign of q [53]. Despite being often dubbed as an ‘‘alternative’’ S -matrix for the standard sine-Gordon model [53] due to structural similarities between $U_q(sl_2)$ and $U_{-q}(sl_2)$, we propose that it describes a distinct model, $SG(\beta, 2)$, with modified crossing as a consequence of the \mathbb{Z}_2 anomaly.

There exists a one-parameter family of models generalizing $SG(\beta, 2)$: perturbed compact bosons by $\cos(kX/R)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, called $SG(\beta, k)$ in [51, 54]. Their local dynamics mirror those of the sine-Gordon theory, albeit with a coupling redefinition $\beta^2 = 4\pi k^2/R^2$. Clearly the preserved $\mathbb{Z}_k^{\text{diag}}$ symmetry is anomalous and the IR theory has k distinct vacua. Exploring the S -matrices of these theories and understanding their relation to symmetries and anomalies would be interesting.

write (70) it is enough to use the identity

$$d_v d_b = d_{b-1/2} + d_{b+1/2}.$$