

Automating the Information Extraction from Semi-Structured Interview Transcripts

Angelina Parfenova
 Lucerne University of Applied Sciences and Arts
 Rotkreuz, Switzerland
 angelina.parfenova@hslu.ch

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the development and application of an automated system designed to extract information from semi-structured interview transcripts. Given the labor-intensive nature of traditional qualitative analysis methods, such as coding, there exists a significant demand for tools that can facilitate the analysis process. Our research investigates various topic modeling techniques and concludes that the best model for analyzing interview texts is a combination of BERT embeddings and HDBSCAN clustering. We present a user-friendly software prototype that enables researchers, including those without programming skills, to efficiently process and visualize the thematic structure of interview data. This tool not only facilitates the initial stages of qualitative analysis but also offers insights into the interconnectedness of topics revealed, thereby enhancing the depth of qualitative analysis.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Computing methodologies** → **Natural language processing; Information extraction.**

KEYWORDS

computational social science, topic modeling, network analysis

ACM Reference Format:

Angelina Parfenova. 2024. Automating the Information Extraction from Semi-Structured Interview Transcripts. In *Companion Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2024 (WWW '24 Companion)*, May 13–17, 2024, Singapore, Singapore. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3589335.3651230>

1 INTRODUCTION

Qualitative methods such as interviews or focus groups with customers are an integral part of the research arsenal in a number of fields: marketing, social science, and medical studies [6, 9]. This approach differs significantly from quantitative techniques in its ability to draw on individual experiences and delve deeper into the issue under study. However, unlike the results of quantitative surveys, in interviews, there is no ready-made information, no statistics, and no clear answers to the questions posed. The researcher

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.
WWW '24 Companion, May 13–17, 2024, Singapore, Singapore

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.
 ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0172-6/24/05
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3589335.3651230>




Figure 1: The coding process visualized

unwittingly faces the problem of interpretational objectivity, and the question arises as to how to tackle it.

The general analysis of collected data in interviews mainly uses open coding technology (Fig 1), which involves repeatedly reading the text to identify "codes" that are in essence important thoughts, ideas, attitudes, and subjects. Further, the axial coding procedure is applied, where the relationships between the codes and their aggregation into higher-level categories are found [14]. Several other coding methods are present and all of them involve independent work with the text, consisting of re-reading and finding the key thoughts of the informant in a large number of documents. This process often takes several weeks [5]. Hence, it can be seen that this procedure requires a lot of human effort to process the text by oneself. So the research issue of implementing automatization of the whole process or pre-processing of the text corpus to facilitate the subsequent analysis appears.

That's why the main goal of current research is to automate the analysis of qualitative research results and elaborate the appropriate software that will help organizations and researchers dealing with large clients' text corpora. To begin with, it is necessary to consider the existing solutions on the market and describe how the future service will differ.

2 CURRENT CODING PRACTICES

Each statement or significant segment of dialogue within an interview is assigned a 'code' that summarizes its main idea. Codes are not just words, phrases, sentences, or thoughts but represent a unit of meaning that encapsulates key aspects of the data [11]. Once coded, these segments are then organized into broader categories that reflect the underlying patterns and relationships within the dataset [8]. In practice categories and codes consist of one or two words to encapsulate the main meaning of a citation. However if the main thought of a sentence can be described only in a phrase, that is also allowed.

Describing the process in simpler terms, first, we summarize the main idea of each citation in the interview [13]. Then, we start grouping them into bigger categories. This means looking at all the little ideas we've found and seeing how they fit together into larger themes. We ask questions like, "Do these codes share something in common?" or "Are they talking about the same bigger idea?" This helps us organize our findings better.

These categories serve as the pillars for constructing a conceptual framework [10], which researchers often visualize in the form of a graph. Such a graph, akin to a mind map, interlinks individual responses, highlighting the associations and hierarchies amongst different thematic codes. This visualization assists in better understanding the collective narrative of the participants. Thus, the coding process is a critical interpretive phase in qualitative research, helping in developing conclusions and theoretical insights.

3 OVERVIEW OF METHODS AND TOOLS

3.1 Existing softwares

Text coding environments. In general, researchers use software to facilitate the coding of interviews as follows: the text is conveniently placed on the screen with the possibility of highlighting parts of the sentence with a color marker and tagging them with codes, the number of which then counts itself [2, 4]. These programs also make space for drawing diagrams with codes and connecting them with arrows and written relationships. Thus, the software does not replace the analysis process as such but simply puts the researcher in a comfortable environment for the same workflow.

Text analysis software. When it comes to programs aimed at replacing some of the work some softwares replace part of the work by giving analytical tools such as word statistics and word cloud [3]. It is worth noting that all of these programs are paid, so not all researchers are inclined to use them. For example, in one of the works already described, coding was done in MS Word and Excel [9]. Some programs focus on text processing in general, with clustering and collocation search capabilities [1]. However, the format of interview analysis is very specific, as it requires the building of models based on a set of answers to one question from several informants. Therefore, it is difficult to use such general-purpose software for the analysis of transcripts.

Thus, the purpose of this work is to develop a method for analyzing transcripts of qualitative research results, as well as to write user-friendly software that can be used by researchers who do not know the skills of programming.

4 RESEARCH DESIGN

In this paper, several methods will be applied and compared with each other, and finally, the most appropriate method for analyzing qualitative research transcripts will be chosen. To achieve the goal, it is necessary to perform the following tasks:

- Compare different methods of topic modeling and choose the best one for semi-structured interview transcripts
- Create a framework for visualizing selected keywords from transcripts
- Write a frontend understandable to researchers

4.1 Analysis of interview transcript data and selection of the best topic model

Specifics of interview texts: preprocessing. This paper will compare various methods to find the most suitable one for analyzing interview transcripts. Typically, topic modeling [7] is used for either large texts with multiple topics or very short texts like tweets or reviews. Semi-structured interviews present a unique challenge as they include characteristics of both: they are short like tweets but can contain rich narratives similar to longer documents, making standard topic modeling approaches less effective.

Before constructing topic models, the necessary preprocessing of the natural text is done. First, the sentences were tokenized and lemmatized. Stop words, which are included by default were also removed. However, in addition to this, a complementary set of stop words was created, which was compiled independently after building the frequency tables of the tokens. The point is that many undesirable words occurring in interviews could be merged into one topic (this happened in one of the LDA models). Such words as "probably, it turns out, in general, supposedly, like" were removed, as well as some verbs that refer to the process of reflection "think, suppose".

Specifics of interview texts: document structure. First, it is possible to combine the answers to the questions in one large document and build topic models based on the combined answers to one question. Or it is possible to use all of the transcripts and build one large model. In this work, both methods were tested on one set of interviews and it was concluded that the topics obtained by answering one question gave unsatisfactory codes (denoted as W).

Table 1: Partial topic modeling results for one interview question

Topic	W 0	W 1	W 2	W 3	W 4
1	work	to work	to study	career	electric
2	good	knowledge	to study	saying	succeed
3	work	to work	educate	do	receive
4	to work	do	work	favorite	business

We can immediately notice in Table 1 that all the received topics are very similar. This could have been foreseen since more often than not one question is about one specific topic. Therefore, it was decided to abandon this method of compiling documents right away. Thus, future model development was conducted on the aggregate of all documents.

5 EXPERIMENTS

LDA. The initial approach utilized the standard LDA package from Gensim to establish a baseline for topic modeling of interview transcripts. The best-performing LDA model achieved moderate quality metrics but faced challenges in clearly distinguishing differentiated topics.

LDA+BERT. This experiment combined LDA with BERT embeddings to enhance contextual understanding of topics. Initial language for interview texts is Russian, which is why the Russian

Table 2: Model Comparison for Two Sets of Interviews

Metrics	LDA		BERT+LDA+Kmeans		BERT+HDBSCAN		BERT+LDA+HDBSCAN		BERT+Kmeans	
	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
C_v	0.456	0.413	0.487	0.435	0.512	0.478	0.501	0.465	0.435	0.398
Umass	-12.354	-11.346	-3.29	-3.15	-3.56	-4.18	-6.763	-5.476	-2.891	-4.021
NPMI	-0.289	-0.453	-0.034	-0.023	-0.042	-0.011	-0.112	-0.098	-0.056	-0.021
UCI	-8.984	-10.354	-2.135	-2.817	-0.698	-0.453	-4.120	-2.867	-2.409	-1.309
Topic diversity	0.679	0.690	0.890	0.789	0.950	0.984	0.835	0.905	0.756	0.740
Silhouette	NA	NA	0.389	0.209	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.067	0.056
DBCv	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.516	0.602	0.679	0.714	NA	NA

Note: C_v measures topic coherence; Umass and UCI are coherence scores; NPMI is normalized pointwise mutual information; Topic diversity indicates the uniqueness of topics; Silhouette measures cluster separation; DBCv is density-based clustering validation.

7 EMPIRICAL PERSPECTIVE

Automating the coding process in qualitative research can be an advantage for various fields such as market research, customer feedback analysis, and clinical data analysis. These areas often deal with vast amounts of unstructured data like interviews, focus groups, and open-ended survey responses, where traditional manual coding is time-consuming and subject to human biases.

In market research automated coding can analyze customer interviews and group discussions faster, helping businesses find new trends and customer preferences more quickly. As for customer feedback analysis, automated coding can process customer feedback from various channels (social media, customer surveys, etc.) in real-time, enabling companies to respond promptly to customer needs and complaints. Analyzing qualitative feedback at scale allows for more personalized marketing strategies based on nuanced customer preferences and experiences. Creating a concept network from qualitative feedback can help in creating detailed customer journey maps, identifying pain points, and enhancing customer experience.

In healthcare, automated coding of patient interviews and feedback can provide insights into patient experiences, leading to improved care and treatment strategies reducing the time for data analysis, and accelerating research outcomes. Analysis of patient narratives and feedback can reveal insights into the efficacy of treatments and patient feedback, aiding in the improvement of therapeutic approaches.

8 CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to automate the analysis of semi-structured interviews, which is currently very time-consuming for individual researchers. It was also intended to write an application that would allow qualitative researchers with no knowledge of programming skills to use automatic text-processing methods. To achieve the goal this paper considered methods of coding texts, and considered the method of topic modeling, which identifies topics from the text with their keywords. Several methods of topic modeling were compared according to objective metrics and the most suitable one for semi-structured interview texts was selected.

The results showed that the best method is to convert the text into embeddings using BERT, then reduce the dimensionality of the resulting vectors using UMAP and clustering with HDBSCAN, followed by an algorithm to reduce the number of topics. For a

basic assessment of model quality, it was decided to use the Topic Diversity metric, which will be important in constructing a pleasing and distinguishable visualization. After examining the front end, it became clear that with a high score on this metric, the graph is not cluttered with a large number of connections.

The theoretical significance of this work consists of testing more advanced and costly methods of interview analysis, whereas previous works mainly took the basic LDA, which proved to be the worst compared to methods using transformer architecture. The main practical contribution is that researchers in qualitative studies now will have access to automatic analysis of their work, or a convenient basis for subsequent analysis. It cannot be argued that such work completely replaces the individual researcher, who, firstly, is more familiar with the topic, and secondly, can analyze the truthfulness of the answers. However, automated analysis frees the researcher from his or her subjectivity and can help avoid judgmental attitudes.

REFERENCES

- [1] 2024. AntConc: A Freeware Corpus Analysis Toolkit for Concordancing and Text Analysis. <https://www.laurenceanthony.net/software/antconc/>. Accessed: 03.02.2024.
- [2] 2024. Atlas.ti: The Qualitative Data Analysis & Research Software. <https://atlas.ti.com/>. Accessed: 03.02.2024.
- [3] 2024. Dedoose: Web Application for Managing, Analyzing, and Presenting Qualitative and Mixed Method Research Data. <https://www.dedoose.com/>. Accessed: 03.02.2024.
- [4] 2024. MAXQDA: Qualitative & Quantitative Data Analysis Software. <https://www.maxqda.com/>. Accessed: 03.02.2024.
- [5] H. Alshenqeti. 2014. Interviewing as a data collection method: A critical review. *English Linguistics Research* 3 (2014), 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.5430/elr.v3n1p39>
- [6] E.G. Avjyan. 2005. Asynchronous on-line focus group: technology and procedures of conducting. *Southern Russian Journal of Social Sciences* 1 (2005), 116–129.
- [7] D. M. Blei. 2012. Probabilistic topic models. *Commun. ACM* 55, 4 (2012), 77–84.
- [8] Barney Glaser and Anselm Strauss. 2017. *Discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Routledge.
- [9] W. Leeson, A. Resnick, D. Alexander, and J. Rovers. 2019. Natural Language Processing (NLP) in qualitative public health research: a proof of concept study. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 18 (2019).
- [10] C. R. Lochmiller. 2021. Conducting thematic analysis with qualitative data. *The Qualitative Report* 26, 6 (2021), 2029–2044.
- [11] Matthew B Miles and A Michael Huberman. 1994. *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook*. Sage.
- [12] Angelina Parfenova and Maria Kozlova. 2023. The regulatory power of social expectations: developing a measurement scale. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy* 43, 5/6 (2023), 569–585.
- [13] G. W. Ryan and H. R. Bernard. 2003. Techniques to identify themes. *Field Methods* 15, 1 (2003), 85–109.
- [14] J. Saldana. 2016. *The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers* (3rd ed.). Sage, Los Angeles, CA.