

CONTROL THEOREMS FOR HILBERT MODULAR VARIETIES

ARSHAY SHETH

ABSTRACT. We prove an exact control theorem, in the sense of Hida theory, for the ordinary part of the middle degree étale cohomology of certain Hilbert modular varieties, after localizing at a suitable maximal ideal of the Hecke algebra. Our method of proof builds upon the techniques introduced by Loeffler–Rockwood–Zerbes [LRZ23]; another important ingredient in our proof is the recent work of Caraiani–Tamiozzo [CT23] on the vanishing of the étale cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties with torsion coefficients outside the middle degree. This work will be used in forthcoming work of the author to show that the Asai–Flach Euler system corresponding to a quadratic Hilbert modular form varies in Hida families.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be an odd prime and let N be a positive integer coprime to p . A fundamental theme in Hida theory is to consider the tower of modular curves

$$\cdots \rightarrow Y_1(Np^r) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_1(Np)$$

corresponding to the chain of congruence subgroups

$$\cdots \subseteq \Gamma_1(Np^r) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \Gamma_1(Np).$$

The étale cohomology groups of this tower are packaged into the following inverse limit

$$H^1(Np^\infty) := \varprojlim_r H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_1(Np^r)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)),$$

where the transition maps are taken to be the corresponding pushforward maps in étale cohomology. The module $H^1(Np^\infty)$ is equipped with an action of the adjoint Hecke operators T'_ℓ for $\ell \nmid N$ as well as the adjoint Atkin operator U'_p (the usual Hecke operators T_ℓ and U_p do not commute with the pushforward maps and hence do not act on the inverse limit). Analogous to the usual Hida projector, we may define the adjoint or “anti-ordinary” Hida projector by $e'_{\text{ord}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (U'_p)^n$.

Building on the theory of Hida on p -adic families of modular forms, Ohta [Oht99] proved a control theorem for the anti-ordinary part of $H^1(Np^\infty)$. To state the theorem, we note that $H^1(Np^\infty)$ is a module over the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p]] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ via the diamond operators. Let Σ denote the set of primes dividing Np and let $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma}$ denote the Galois group of the maximal extension of \mathbb{Q} unramified outside the primes in Σ .

Theorem 1.1 (Ohta). *The following hold.*

(a) *We have that $e'_{\text{ord}} H^1(Np^\infty)$ is finite and free as a Λ -module.*

(b) *For $r \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, let $\mathfrak{p}_{r,k}$ denote the ideal of Λ generated by $(1 + X)^{p^r - 1} - (1 + p)^{kp^r - 1}$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$e'_{\text{ord}} H^1(Np^\infty) / \mathfrak{p}_{r,k} \cong e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_1(Np^r)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{Z}_p)(1))$$

The author is supported by funding from the European Research Council under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 101001051 — Shimura varieties and the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture).

of \mathbb{Z}_p -modules that is compatible with the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma}$ and the Hecke operators.

A remarkable aspect of the above theorem is that the module $H^1(Np^\infty)$, which is built only from étale cohomology groups with constant coefficients, also embodies information about étale cohomology with non-constant coefficients. Indeed, Theorem 1.1 can be thought of as a cohomological version of the landmark work of Hida [Hid86], where he constructed a space of Lambda-adic modular forms which p -adically interpolate, as the weights vary, the ordinary parts of spaces of classical modular forms. Ohta's control theorem has since been used in a wide variety of different contexts. For instance, building on the ideas introduced in [Oht99], Ohta gave a new and streamlined proof of the theorem of Mazur-Wiles [MW84] (the Iwasawa main conjecture over \mathbb{Q}) in a subsequent paper [Oht00]. Ohta's control theorem has also been used as a crucial input by Lei-Loeffler-Zerbes [LLZ14] and Kings-Loeffler-Zerbes [KLZ17] to show that the Beilinson–Flach Euler system associated to the tensor product of two modular forms varies in Hida families.

The main goal of this paper is to prove an analogous control theorem for the (anti)-ordinary part of certain Hilbert modular varieties after localizing at a suitable maximal ideal of the Hecke algebra.

1.1. Main results. Let F be a totally real number field of degree $g = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F and discriminant Δ_F . We fix an odd prime p which is unramified in F . Let $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2$ and let E/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension with ring of integers \mathcal{O} such that the maximal torus of diagonal matrices splits over E . For each $n \geq 1$, we let

$$U_{n,p} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} x & * \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^n} \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}.$$

When $n = 0$, we let $U_{n,p}$ denote the Iwahori subgroup *i.e.* the subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ consisting of those matrices which are upper triangular mod p . Let \mathfrak{N} be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_F which does not divide 2, 3, or Δ_F . We fix a prime-to- p open compact subgroup $U^{(p)} = \{g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)}) : g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{N}}\}$ and for all $n \geq 0$, we set $U_n = U^{(p)}U_{n,p}$ to be an open compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. We denote by $Y_G(U_n)$ the corresponding Hilbert modular variety. The reason for working with this particular level group is explained in Remark 2.5; briefly, since our reductive group G does not satisfy the SV5 axiom for Shimura varieties, we need to work with level groups having fixed and sufficiently large intersection with the center Z_G .

As above, we wish to study the étale cohomology of the Hilbert modular varieties in the tower

$$\cdots \rightarrow Y_G(U_r) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_G(U_1)$$

which we similarly package in the Iwasawa cohomology module

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O}) := \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O}),$$

where the transition maps are taken to be the corresponding pushforward maps in étale cohomology.

Let Σ be the set of places of F containing all primes dividing \mathfrak{N} and all primes above p . Let \mathbb{T} denote the spherical Hecke algebra generated by the standard Hecke operators \mathcal{T}_v and $\mathcal{S}_v^{\pm 1}$ for $v \notin \Sigma$ (henceforth, we use calligraphic font for our Hecke operators to avoid confusion with various level groups appearing in the paper). We let e'_{ord} denote Hida's anti-ordinary projection operator; as above, $e'_{\text{ord}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{U}'_p)^{n!}$, where \mathcal{U}'_p is the Hecke operator corresponding to the

double coset $U_{n,p} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} U_{n,p}$ (see Section 3.1). Both \mathbb{T} and e'_{ord} act on the étale cohomology of $Y_G(U_n)$. Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal in the support of $H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ such that the image of the associated Galois representation $\overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is not solvable (see Section 4).

Let $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times]$ *i.e.* Λ is the Iwasawa algebra over \mathcal{O} corresponding to $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ and for each $n \geq 1$, let Λ_n denote the Iwasawa algebra over \mathcal{O} corresponding to elements of $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ which are congruent to 1 mod p^n . Let λ denote a weight of G that is self-dual in the sense of Section 3.3; in other words λ is a character of the maximal torus of diagonal matrices of G that is trivial on the subgroup of scalar matrices. Let V_λ denote the irreducible representation of G of highest weight λ . Let $\mathcal{O}[-\lambda]$ denote \mathcal{O} with Λ acting via the inverse of the character λ .

Theorem (Theorem 4.7). *With the notation as above, the following hold:*

(a) *For all $n \geq 1$, we have that $e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free as a Λ_n -module.*

(b) *For all $n \geq 1$, we have an isomorphism of \mathcal{O} -modules*

$$e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\Lambda_n} \mathcal{O}[-\lambda] \cong e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

that is compatible with the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma}$ and \mathbb{T} .

(c) *When $n = 0$, we have a similar isomorphism*

$$e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}[-\lambda] \cong e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

This theorem can be regarded as a generalisation to étale cohomology of Hilbert varieties of Ohta's work on étale cohomology of modular curves. In [LRZ23], Loeffler–Rockwood–Zerbes further generalize Ohta's result to Shimura varieties associated to arbitrary reductive groups. However, their results do not apply in this setting since they assume the SV5 axiom for Shimura varieties, which does not hold for our reductive group $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2$. The techniques used in this paper build on the methods introduced in [LRZ23], but we face additional technical difficulties due to the lack of the SV5 axiom in our setting. Throughout this paper, we have tried to emphasize the places where the SV5 axiom was needed in *op.cit.*, and the alternative arguments we make in the absence of this axiom. A key ingredient to obtaining the perfect control (after localizing at \mathfrak{m}) in our main theorem is the recent work of Caraiani–Tamiozzo [CT23] where they show that the étale cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties with torsion coefficients is concentrated in the middle degree after localizing at suitable maximal ideal of the Hecke algebra. As a corollary of our main theorem, we can extend the vanishing results of Caraiani–Tamiozzo to étale cohomology of the Hilbert varieties $Y_G(U_n)$ with non-trivial coefficients.

Corollary (Corollary 4.8). *For all $n \geq 0$, we have $H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ when $i \neq g$.*

1.2. Arithmetic applications. The results of the paper will be used in forthcoming work of the author [She24] to show that the Asai–Flach Euler system associated to a quadratic Hilbert modular form, constructed by Lei–Loeffler–Zerbes in [LLZ18], varies in Hida families. This in turn is an important ingredient in recent work of Grossi–Loeffler–Zerbes [GLZ24] on the proof of the Bloch–Kato conjecture in analytic rank zero for the Asai representation of a quadratic Hilbert modular form. We also expect that this work can find applications in the study of p -adic families of various other global cohomology classes in the Hilbert setting such as, for instance, the Hirzebruch–Zagier cycles considered in [BCF19] and [FJ24].

1.3. Comparison with other work. We note that there is related work of Dimitrov [Dim13] which also establishes control theorems for certain Hilbert modular varieties (see Section 3 of

op.cit.), but the results in *op.cit.* make stronger hypotheses on the relevant Galois representations in consideration. In particular, the results in [Dim13] are conditional on two hypotheses (a certain global big image assumption and a Fontaine–Laffaille type assumption on local weights) stated in Section 0.3 of *op.cit.*; while we assume that the image $\overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is not solvable to prove our main theorem, we do not make any assumption similar to the second hypothesis referenced above.

1.4. Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my advisor David Loeffler for his support and guidance, as well as very helpful feedback on previous drafts of this paper. I am also grateful to Rob Rockwood, Matteo Tamiozzo, Chris Williams and Ju-Feng Wu for helpful discussions in connection with this paper. Lastly, I am very grateful to the anonymous referee for their careful reading of the paper and for very helpful comments and suggestions.

2. BACKGROUND ON HILBERT MODULAR VARIETIES

In this section, we establish some basic properties of the Hilbert modular varieties $Y_G(U_n)$ which we work with. We also follow the method in [Urb11] to establish a relation between the Betti cohomology of these varieties and the group cohomology of their corresponding arithmetic subgroups.

2.1. Notation. We begin by setting some notation that will remain fixed in the paper. Let F be a totally real number field of degree g with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F and discriminant Δ_F . We fix a numbering $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g\}$ of real embeddings of F into \mathbb{C} . We let $F^{\times+}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+}$) denote the totally positive elements in F^\times (resp. \mathcal{O}_F^\times). Let \mathcal{H} denote the upper half plane and let \mathcal{H}_F denote the set of elements of $F \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of totally positive imaginary part; note that \mathcal{H}_F can be identified with the product of g copies of \mathcal{H} . We let p be an odd prime that is unramified in F . We let \mathbb{A}_f denote the finite adeles of \mathbb{Q} , $\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)}$ the finite adeles away from p and $\mathbb{A}_{F,f}$ the finite adeles of F . We let G to be the algebraic group $\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2$ over \mathbb{Q} .

2.2. Shimura varieties for G . If $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is an open compact subgroup, its corresponding Shimura variety $Y_G(K)$ is a quasi-projective variety with a canonical model over the reflex field \mathbb{Q} whose complex points are given by

$$Y_G(K)(\mathbb{C}) = G(\mathbb{Q})^+ \backslash [G(\mathbb{A}_f) \times \mathcal{H}_F] / K.$$

The Shimura varieties $Y_G(K)$ are called Hilbert modular varieties.

Definition 2.1. We say that an open compact subgroup $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is sufficiently small if for every $h \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ the quotient

$$\frac{G(\mathbb{Q})^+ \cap hKh^{-1}}{K \cap \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} : u \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \right\}}$$

acts without fixed points on \mathcal{H}_F .

Remark 2.2. The above definition is slightly different from [LLZ18, Definition 2.2.1]; we have used \mathcal{O}_F^\times in the denominator rather than $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+}$ used in *op.cit.*

If $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is sufficiently small, then $Y_G(K)$ is smooth. We also note that if $K_1 \subseteq K_2$ is an inclusion of open compact subgroups and K_2 is sufficiently small, then the map $Y_G(K_1) \rightarrow Y_G(K_2)$ is a finite étale Galois cover.

2.3. The Hilbert modular variety $Y_G(U_n)$.

Definition 2.3. For each $n \geq 1$, we let

$$U_{n,p} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} x & * \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^n} \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}$$

When $n = 0$, we let $U_{n,p}$ denote the Iwahori subgroup *i.e.* the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ consisting of those matrices which are upper triangular mod p . Let \mathfrak{N} be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_F which does not divide 2, 3, or Δ_F . We fix a prime-to- p open compact subgroup

$$U^{(p)} = \left\{ g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)}) : g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{N}} \right\}$$

and let $U_n = U^{(p)}U_{n,p}$. By [Dim09, Lemma 2.1], we have that U_n is sufficiently small for all $n \geq 1$ and that the determinant map $\det : U_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times$ is surjective away from p .

The inclusion $U_{n+1} \hookrightarrow U_n$ induces a map of Shimura varieties $\phi_n : Y_G(U_{n+1}) \rightarrow Y_G(U_n)$.

Proposition 2.4. *The degree of the map ϕ_n is $[U_n : U_{n+1}]$.*

Proof. Pick any element $[(x, g)] \in Y_G(U_n)(\mathbb{C}) = G(\mathbb{Q})^+ \backslash [G(\mathbb{A}_f) \times \mathcal{H}_F] / U_n$ and note that

$$\phi_n^{-1}([(x, g)]) = \{[(x, gu_i)] : i \in I\},$$

where $\{u_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a set of representatives of U_n / U_{n+1} . It suffices to prove that $[(x, gu_i)] \neq [(x, gu_j)]$ when $i \neq j$. Suppose for contradiction that $[(x, gu_i)] = [(x, gu_j)]$ when $i \neq j$. Then there exist $h \in G(\mathbb{Q})^+$ and $k \in U_{n+1}$ such that $(hx, hgu_i k) = (x, gu_j)$. In particular, we conclude that $h \in G^+(\mathbb{Q}) \cap gU_n g^{-1}$. Since $hx = x$ and since U_n is sufficiently small, we have by definition that

$$h \in U_n \cap \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} : u \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} : u \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \text{ and } u \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{N}} \right\}.$$

Thus, $h \in U_{n+1}$ as well. Using the equality $hgu_i k = gu_j$ and the fact that h lies in the centre of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, we can now conclude that $u_i h k = u_j$. Since $h k$ lies in U_{n+1} , this contradicts the fact that $\{u_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a set of representatives for U_n / U_{n+1} . \square

Remark 2.5. The SV5 axiom for Shimura varieties (see [Mil17, pp.75]) states that if (G, X) is a Shimura datum, then the centre Z is isogenous to the product of a \mathbb{Q} -split torus and an \mathbb{R} -anisotropic torus. An equivalent formulation is that $Z(\mathbb{Q})$ is discrete in $Z(\mathbb{A}_f)$. In [Loe22], Loeffler showed that if the SV5 axiom is satisfied, and if K_1 and K_2 are open compact subgroups of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ with $K_1 \subseteq K_2$, then the degree of the corresponding map of Shimura varieties $Y_G(K_1) \rightarrow Y_G(K_2)$ equals the index $[K_2 : K_1]$. The group $G = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{GL}_2$ that we are working with does not satisfy the SV5 axiom (this is essentially because the unit group \mathcal{O}_F^\times is infinite). Nevertheless, with our choice of level groups U_n , Proposition 2.4 shows that the desired claim still holds.

2.4. The number of components of $Y_G(U_n)$. Let $I(F)$ denote the group of fractional ideals of F , and let $\mathrm{Cl}^+(F) := I(F) / \{(\beta) : \beta \text{ totally positive}\}$ denote the narrow class group of F . Let h^+ denote the narrow class number of F .

Proposition 2.6. *The Hilbert modular variety $Y_G(U_n)$ has $h^+ \cdot |\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} \backslash (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times / \det(U_{n,p})|$ connected components.*

Proof. Note that the map $\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^+(F)$ defined via $(\alpha)_\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ has kernel $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}^\times$.

$F^{\times+}$. For each $x \in \mathrm{Cl}^+(F)$, choose a preimage $\alpha_x \in \mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\times$. Hence, we have a decomposition

$\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\times = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Cl}^+(F)} (\alpha_x \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}^\times \cdot F^{\times+})$ as sets. By strong approximation, the connected components of $Y_G(U_n)$ are indexed by $F_+^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\times / \det(U_n)$. This set is in bijection with

$$\begin{aligned} \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Cl}^+(F)} F^{\times+} \backslash \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (\alpha_x \cdot \mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}^\times \cdot F^{\times+}) / \det(U_n) &\cong \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Cl}^+(F)} \mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} \backslash (\alpha_x \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_{F,\mathfrak{p}}^\times) / \det(U_n) \\ &\cong \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Cl}^+(F)} \mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} \backslash (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times / \det(U_{n,p}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows since the determinant map $\det : U_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times$ is surjective away from p . \square

2.5. Hecke action. For $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ an open compact subgroup, we let $\mathbb{T}_K(G) = \mathbb{Z}[K \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_f) / K]$ be the Hecke-algebra of compactly supported bi-invariant functions on $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ with multiplication given by convolution. Let $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and let $K_g = K \cap gKg^{-1}$; we have a correspondence $[KgK]$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_G(K_g) & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_G(K_{g^{-1}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_G(K) & \xrightarrow{[KgK]} & Y_G(K) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are canonical projections and the upper-half horizontal map f on complex points is induced by multiplication by g . We obtain an action of $\mathbb{T}_K(G)$ on $H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let Σ be the set of places of F containing all primes dividing \mathfrak{N} and all primes above p . Let

$$\mathbb{T} := \bigotimes_{v \notin \Sigma} \mathbb{Z}[\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v) \backslash \text{GL}_2(F_v) / \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)]$$

denote the abstract spherical algebra away from Σ . We note that \mathbb{T} is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{T}_K(G)$; it is also commutative, generated by the following Hecke operators \mathcal{T}_v and $\mathcal{S}_v^{\pm 1}$ for every finite place $v \notin \Sigma$; for every such v we choose a uniformizer ϖ_v of \mathcal{O}_v and define

- \mathcal{T}_v to be the double coset $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$.
- \mathcal{S}_v to be the double coset $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_v & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_v \end{pmatrix} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$.

2.6. Betti cohomology. Let Γ be a subgroup of $G^+(\mathbb{Q})$ and let $\overline{\Gamma}$ be its image in $G(\mathbb{Q}) / Z_G(\mathbb{Q})$. We assume that $\overline{\Gamma}$ has no non-trivial elements of finite order; hence, it acts freely and continuously on \mathcal{H}_F . In this subsection, we closely follow [Urb11] to establish a relation between the group cohomology of $\overline{\Gamma}$ and the Betti cohomology of the corresponding Hilbert modular variety.

By the work of Borel–Serre, there exists a canonical compactification $\overline{\Gamma} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}_F$, where $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F$ is a contractible real manifold with corners. Since $\overline{\Gamma} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}_F$ is compact, we may choose a finite triangulation of $\overline{\Gamma} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}_F$. We may pull it back to \mathcal{H}_F via the canonical projection $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}_F$. Let $C_q(\overline{\Gamma})$ be the free \mathbb{Z} -module over the set of q -dimensional simplices of the triangulation obtained by pull-back to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F$. Since the action of $\overline{\Gamma}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_F$ is free, and since the triangulation of $\overline{\Gamma} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}_F$ is finite, the $C_q(\overline{\Gamma})$'s are free $\mathbb{Z}[\overline{\Gamma}]$ -modules of finite type. We also note that

$$0 \rightarrow C_d(\overline{\Gamma}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(\overline{\Gamma}) \rightarrow 0$$

is a complex computing the homology of $\overline{\mathcal{H}_F}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{H}_F}$ is contractible, this complex is exact except in degree zero and $H_0(\overline{\mathcal{H}_F}, \mathbb{Z}) = C_0(\overline{\Gamma})/\partial_0(C_1(\overline{\Gamma})) = \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, in summary, we have that

$$0 \rightarrow C_d(\overline{\Gamma}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{d-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(\overline{\Gamma}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of finite free $\mathbb{Z}[\overline{\Gamma}]$ -modules. If M is a Γ -module, we let $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\overline{\Gamma}, M)$ denote the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C_0(\overline{\Gamma}), M) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C_d(\overline{\Gamma}), M).$$

Thus, $H^i(\overline{\Gamma}, M)$ is the i -th cohomology group of the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\overline{\Gamma}, M)$.

Let K be an open compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ which is sufficiently small and let M be a left K -module acting via its projection to K_p , the image of K in $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. The corresponding Hilbert modular variety $Y_G(K)$ satisfies

$$Y_G(K) \cong \bigsqcup_{j \in J} \Gamma_j \backslash \mathcal{H}_F,$$

where J is a finite set and for each $j \in J$, $\Gamma_j = g_j K g_j^{-1} \cap G^+(\mathbb{Q})$ for some $g_j \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. As before, we let $\overline{\Gamma}_j$ denote the image of Γ_j in $G(\mathbb{Q})/Z_G(\mathbb{Q})$. We set

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet(K, M) := \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\overline{\Gamma}_j, M) \quad (1)$$

Let $\overline{Y}_G := G(\mathbb{Q})^+ \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_f) \times \overline{\mathcal{H}_F}$. Then $\overline{Y}_G(K) := \overline{Y}_G/K$ is the Borel–Serre compactification of $Y_G(K)$. Let $\pi : \overline{Y}_G \rightarrow \overline{Y}_G(K)$ denote the canonical projection. We choose a finite triangulation of $\overline{Y}_G(K)$ and pull it back via π . Let $C^q(K)$ denote the corresponding chain complex equipped with a right action of K . Then

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet(K, M) = \text{Hom}_K(C^q(K), M).$$

Thus, $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(K, M)$ also computes the cohomology of the local system M on $Y_G(K)$ and so we have an isomorphism

$$H^i(Y_G(K), M) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} H^i(\overline{\Gamma}_j, M). \quad (2)$$

3. CONSTRUCTION OF A TOR SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

In this section, we construct a Tor descent spectral sequence which will be an important tool to relate the Iwasawa cohomology module $H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})$ to the cohomology of $Y_G(U_n)$ at finite layers.

3.1. General notation. We consider the group scheme GL_2 over \mathbb{Z}_p and we let B_2 , N_2 and T_2 to be the subgroups of upper-triangular, unipotent and diagonal matrices respectively. Following [LRZ23], we set the following notation:

- $Q = \text{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p} B_2$.
- $N = \text{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p} N_2$.
- $S = \text{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p} T_2$.

- E/\mathbb{Q}_p is a finite extension with ring of integers \mathcal{O} such that S splits over E .
- $S^0 = \text{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{G}_m$, viewed as a subgroup of S via the diagonal embedding.
- Q^0 denotes the preimage of S^0 under the projection $Q \rightarrow S$
i.e. $Q^0(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \mid a, b \in \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}$.
- $\mathfrak{S} = S(\mathbb{Z}_p)/S^0(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ identified with $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ via the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow S^0(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times \rightarrow 1.$$

where the map

$$S(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times \quad \text{is given by} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto ab^{-1}.$$

- $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[\mathfrak{S}]]$.
- $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$.
- $N_r = \tau^r N(\mathbb{Z}_p) \tau^{-r} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \mid x \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r} \right\}$.
- $\overline{N}_r = \tau^{-r} \overline{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \tau^r = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \mid x \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r} \right\}$.
- $L_r = \{\ell \in S(\mathbb{Z}_p) : \ell \in S^0(\mathbb{Z}_p) \pmod{p^r}\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \mid a \equiv d \pmod{p^r} \right\}$.
- $V_r = \overline{N}_r L_r N_0$.

Proposition 3.1. *For every $r \geq 1$, we have that $V_r = U_{r,p}$.*

Proof. This follows from a direct matrix computation. □

We also set $V_0 = \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $V_0^{(s)} := \tau^{-s} V_0 \tau^s \cap V_0$ for $s \geq 1$; in other words, $V_0^{(s)}$ is the group of upper-triangular matrices mod p^s :

$$V_0^{(s)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } c \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s} \right\}.$$

Similarly, for $s \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$, we set

$$U_{n,p}^{(s)} := \tau^{-s} U_{n,p} \tau^s \cap U_{n,p}.$$

For our level groups $U_{n,p}$, we define the Hecke operator \mathcal{U}'_p to be the double coset $U_{n,p} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} U_{n,p}$ and we define the analogue of Hida's anti-ordinary projection operator to be

$$e'_{\text{ord}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{U}'_p)^{n!}.$$

The operator e'_{ord} acts on the cohomology of $Y_G(U_n)$. Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}[U_n]$ -module (acting via projection to $U_{n,p}$) with a compatible action of \mathcal{U}'_p (in the sense that for any $u \in U_{n,p}^{(s)}$, the action of \mathcal{U}'_p intertwines the action of u and $\tau^{-s} u \tau^s$). For $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ an open compact subgroup, the

operator e'_{ord} also acts on the complexes $C^\bullet(K, M)$ introduced in Section 2.6 by lifting the action on cohomology (see [LRZ23, pp.6]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}[U_n]$ -module with a compatible action of \mathcal{U}'_p . The following diagram commutes on cohomology*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\bullet(U^{(p)}U_{n,p}^{(s)}, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{cores}} & C^\bullet(U_n, M) \\ \downarrow (\mathcal{U}'_p)^s & \swarrow [\tau^s]_* & \downarrow (\mathcal{U}'_p)^s \\ C^\bullet(U^{(p)}U_{n,p}^{(s)}, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{cores}} & C^\bullet(U_n, M) \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from [LRZ23, Lemma 2.7.4] (the SV5 axiom is not needed in the proof of this lemma). \square

Proposition 3.3. *The corestriction maps induce isomorphisms*

$$e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U^{(p)}U_{n,p}^{(s)}), M) \cong e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U_n), M).$$

Proof. As explained in [LRZ23, Corollary 2.7.5], this follows from the previous lemma. \square

3.2. Algebraic representations. Let $X^\bullet(S)$ denote the character lattice of S and $X^\bullet_+(S)$ be the set of dominant weights. For each $\lambda \in X^\bullet_+(S)$, there is a unique isomorphism class of irreducible representations of $(\rho_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ of G (over E) of highest weight λ . A representative of this isomorphism class can be constructed using the Borel–Weil–Bott theorem, as the space of all polynomials

$$\{f \in E[G] : f(\bar{n}\ell g) = \lambda(\ell)f(g) \quad \forall \bar{n} \in \bar{N}, \ell \in S, g \in G\},$$

with G acting by right translation. More concretely, each such $\lambda \in X^\bullet_+(S)$ can be identified with an integer tuple $(k_1, \dots, k_g, t_1, \dots, t_g)$ such that the associated V_λ is given by the representation $\text{Sym}^{k_i} V \otimes \det^{t_i}$ at the i -th embedding, where V is the standard representation of G . All our Hecke operators defined above also act on cohomology with the V_λ 's as coefficient systems (see [LRZ23, Definition 2.5.1]).

3.3. Modules of measures. In this subsection, for brevity, we let U to be $U_{r,p}$ for some $r \geq 0$. We also fix a character $\lambda = (k_1, \dots, k_g, t_1, \dots, t_g)$ such that $k_1 + 2t_1 = \dots = k_g + 2t_g = 0$.

Lemma 3.4. *We have that λ is trivial on the subtorus S^0 .*

Proof. This follows immediately from our normalization of the weights described in Section 3.2; namely,

$$\lambda \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right) = \sigma_1(a)^{k_1+2t_1} \dots \sigma_g^{k_g+2t_g}(a) = 1. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.5. The weights we have considered above are exactly those which are self-dual *i.e.* those for which the dual of V_λ is isomorphic to itself.

Following [LRZ23], we set the following notation. We define U -modules of continuous functions

$$C_{\lambda,U} := \{f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{O} : f \text{ continuous}, f(\ell n g) = \lambda^{-1}(\ell)f(g) \quad \forall \ell n \in (S \cap U)N_0, g \in U\}$$

and

$$C_{\text{univ}} := \{f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{O} : f \text{ continuous}, f(\ell n g) = f(g) \quad \forall \ell n \in Q^0(\mathbb{Z}_p), g \in U\},$$

with U acting by right translation. We endow these spaces with an action of τ given by

$$\tau \cdot f(n\ell\bar{n}) = f(n\ell\tau^{-1}\bar{n}\tau).$$

We define modules of bounded distributions

$$D_{\lambda,U} := \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(C_{\lambda,U}, \mathcal{O})$$

and

$$D_{\text{univ}} := \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(C_{\text{univ}}, \mathcal{O})$$

which inherit actions of V'_0 and τ^{-1} by duality. We let \mathfrak{S}_U denote the image of U in \mathfrak{S} (with respect to the Iwahori decomposition stated in Section 3.1); note that $\mathfrak{S}_U = \mathfrak{S} \cong (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ when $U = U_{0,p}$ and \mathfrak{S}_U is the set of elements of $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ which are congruent to 1 mod p^n when $U = U_{r,p}$ and $r \geq 1$. Similarly, we write \bar{N}_U for \bar{N}_r when $U = U_{r,p}$ for $r \geq 0$. We let $\mathcal{O}[[\mathfrak{S}_U]]$ denote the Iwasawa algebra corresponding to \mathfrak{S}_U . We have that $D_{\lambda,U}$ and D_{univ} are modules over $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[\mathfrak{S}]]$ (with the action given by inverse translation) and this structure is given explicitly by the isomorphisms (see [LRZ23, pp.6])

$$D_{\lambda,U} \cong (\mathcal{O}[-\lambda] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Lambda) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}[[\bar{N}_U]]$$

and

$$D_{\text{univ}} \cong \Lambda \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}[[\bar{N}_U]],$$

where $\mathcal{O}[-\lambda]$ denotes \mathcal{O} regarded as an \mathfrak{S} (and hence Λ) module via the inverse of λ . In particular, we have that

$$D_{\text{univ}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[[\mathfrak{S}_U]]} \mathcal{O}[-\lambda] \cong D_{\lambda,U}$$

as Λ -modules. Since a power series ring in finitely many variables over a Noetherian ring is flat (see [Bou06, pp.146]), we have that D_{univ} is flat as a Λ -module.

Proposition 3.6. *The anti-ordinary projector e'_{ord} acts on $C^\bullet(U, D_{\text{univ}})$ such that we have a decomposition*

$$C^\bullet(U, D_{\text{univ}}) = e'_{\text{ord}} C^\bullet(U, D_{\text{univ}}) \oplus (1 - e'_{\text{ord}}) C^\bullet(U, D_{\text{univ}}).$$

with \mathcal{U}'_p acting invertibly on the first component and topologically nilpotently on the second. Moreover, the complex $e'_{\text{ord}} C^\bullet(U, D_{\text{univ}})$ consists of flat Λ -modules.

Proof. See [LRZ23, Proposition 2.7.2]. □

3.4. Proof of the Tor spectral sequence. In this subsection, we let λ denote a weight that is self-dual in the sense of Section 3.3. We let s and n be integers with $s \geq n$. Let $\Gamma_1 = G(\mathbb{Q})^+ \cap U^{(p)} V_0^{(s)}$ and $\Gamma_2 = G(\mathbb{Q})^+ \cap (U^{(p)}(U_{n,p} \cap V_0^{(s)}))$. Let $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_2$ denote the images of Γ_1 and Γ_2 in $G/Z_G(\mathbb{Q})$. Write

$$Y_G(U^{(p)} V_0^{(s)})(\mathbb{C}) = \bigsqcup_{j \in J_1} \Gamma_1 \backslash \mathcal{H}_F,$$

and

$$Y_G(U^{(p)}(V_0^{(s)} \cap U_{n,p}))(\mathbb{C}) \cong \bigsqcup_{j \in J_2} \Gamma_2 \backslash \mathcal{H}_F.$$

Each $i \in J_1$ corresponds to a matrix $g_i \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ whose determinants form a set of representatives for $F^{\times+} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\times / \det(U^{(p)} V_0^{(s)})$. Similarly, each $i \in J_2$ corresponds to a matrix $g_i \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ whose determinants form a set of representatives for $F^{\times+} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\times / \det(U^{(p)}(U_{n,p} \cap V_0^{(s)}))$.

Since $\det(U^{(p)} V_0^{(s)}) = (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times$, we have that $|J_1| = h^+$, and by Lemma 2.6, $|J_2| = h^+ \cdot |\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} \backslash (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times / \det(U_{n,p})|$ (as written, Lemma 2.6 can only be applied when $s = n$ but the exact same proof goes through when $s \geq n$ since $\det(U_{n,p}) = \det(U_{n,p} \cap V_0^{(s)})$ in $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$).

Proposition 3.7. *Let φ denote the natural map*

$$\varphi : \Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow V_0^{(s)}/(U_{n,p} \cap V_0^{(s)})$$

Then $\text{im}(\varphi)$ has index $|\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} \backslash (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times / \det(U_{n,p})|$ in $V_0^{(s)}/(U_{n,p} \cap V_0^{(s)})$.

Proof. By strong approximation for the semisimple group $\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\text{SL}_2$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 & \longrightarrow & V_0^{(s)}/(U_{n,p} \cap V_0^{(s)}) \\ \det \downarrow & & \downarrow \det \\ \mathcal{O}_F^{\times+}/(\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^2 & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times / \det(U_{n,p}) \end{array}$$

is cartesian (see [Hid00, Corollary 3.3] for a similar argument). On the other hand, note that $\det(U_{n,p}) = (\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^2$ in $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ by Hensel's lemma; thus we conclude that

$$|\text{coker}(\varphi)| = \frac{[(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times : \det(U_{n,p})]}{[\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} : (\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^2]}$$

and that the natural map $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times / \det(U_{n,p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} \backslash (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times / \det(U_{n,p})$ has kernel $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+}/(\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^2$. Thus, $\frac{[(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times : \det(U_{n,p})]}{[\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} : (\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^2]} = |\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} \backslash (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times / \det(U_{n,p})|$ proving the claim. □

Proposition 3.8. *We have a Hecke-equivariant isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{j \in J_1} H^i(\overline{\Gamma}_1, \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda][V_0^{(s)}/V_0^{(s)} \cap U_{n,p}]) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J_2} H^i(\overline{\Gamma}_1, \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda][\overline{\Gamma}_1/\overline{\Gamma}_2]).$$

Proof. This follows from the previous proposition and the fact that $|J_1| = h^+$ and $|J_2| = h^+ \cdot |\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+} \backslash (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times / \det(U_{n,p})|$. □

Theorem 3.9. *We have an isomorphism of \mathcal{O} -modules*

$$e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U_0), D_{\lambda, U_{n,p}}) \cong e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U_n), V_\lambda).$$

Proof. As explained in [LRZ23, Proposition 2.7.7], we have an isomorphism

$$e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U_0), D_{\lambda, U_{n,p}}/p^s) \cong e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U^{(p)} V_0^{(s)}), \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{S}_{U_{n,p}}]} \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[\mathfrak{S}]).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(Y_G(U^{(p)} V_0^{(s)}), \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{S}_{U_{n,p}}]} \mathcal{O}(p^n)[\mathfrak{S}]) &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in J_1} H^i(\overline{\Gamma}_1, \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{S}_{U_{n,p}}]} \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[\mathfrak{S}]) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in J_1} H^i(\overline{\Gamma}_1, \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda][\mathfrak{S}/\mathfrak{S}_{U_{n,p}}]) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in J_1} H^i(\overline{\Gamma}_1, \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda][V_0^{(s)}/V_0^{(s)} \cap U_{n,p}]) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in J_2} H^i(\overline{\Gamma}_1, \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda][\overline{\Gamma}_1/\overline{\Gamma}_2]) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in J_2} H^i(\overline{\Gamma}_2, \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\cong H^i(Y_G(U^{(p)}(V_0^{(s)} \cap U_{n,p})), \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda]).$$

Here, the first isomorphism follows from Equation (2), the second is a general property of tensor products, the third follows the fact that $\mathfrak{S}/\mathfrak{S}_{U_{n,p}} \cong V_0^{(s)}/V_0^{(s)} \cap U_{n,p}$, the fourth follows from Proposition 3.8, the fifth follows from Shapiro's Lemma and the sixth follows from Equation (2) again. As explained in [LRZ23, Proposition 2.7.7], we can use Proposition 3.3 to conclude that $e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U^{(p)}(V_0^{(s)} \cap U_{n,p})), \mathcal{O}/(p^s)[- \lambda])$ is in turn isomorphic to $e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U_n), V_\lambda/p^s)$. Thus, combining our isomorphisms, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U_0), D_{\lambda, U_{n,p}}) &= \varprojlim_s e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U_0), D_{\lambda, U_{n,p}}/p^s) \\ &\cong \varprojlim_s e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U_n), V_\lambda/p^s) \\ &= e'_{\text{ord}} H^i(Y_G(U_n), V_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.10. The analogue of this theorem in [LRZ23] ([LRZ23, Proposition 2.7.7]) crucially used the SV5 axiom for Shimura varieties in the application of Shapiro's lemma. Nevertheless, as the proof given above demonstrates, with our choice of level groups, we do not need to invoke this axiom.

We note that Theorem 3.9 is compatible with the comparison isomorphism between Betti and étale cohomology; for the rest of the paper, we work with étale cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties.

Corollary 3.11. *We have an isomorphism*

$$e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(U_0), D_{\text{univ}}) \cong e'_{\text{ord}} \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(U_n), \mathcal{O})$$

Proof. Setting λ to be the trivial character we deduce from the previous theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(U_0), D_{\text{univ}}) &\cong \varprojlim_{Q^0 \subseteq U, n} e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(U_0), D_{\lambda, U}/(p^n)) \\ &\cong \varprojlim_n e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(U_n), \mathcal{O}/(p^n)) \\ &\cong e'_{\text{ord}} \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(U_n), \mathcal{O}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the last isomorphism follows from the facts that our inverse system satisfies the Mittag-Leffler property because the cohomology groups in the system are finitely generated, and that e'_{ord} commutes with the maps in the inverse limit. □

Define M^\bullet to be the image of $e'_{\text{ord}} \mathcal{C}^\bullet(U_0, D_{\text{univ}})$ in the subcategory $D^{\text{flat}}(R)$ of the derived category of R -modules generated by flat objects. We also set $\Lambda_n = \mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{S}_{U_{n,p}}]$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 3.12. *For all $n \geq 1$, we have a quasi-isomorphism*

$$M^\bullet \otimes_{\Lambda_n}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}[-\lambda] \cong e'_{\text{ord}} \mathcal{C}^\bullet(U_n, V_\lambda).$$

Proof. Since M^\bullet is represented by the flat complex $e'_{\text{ord}} \mathcal{C}^\bullet(U_0, D_{\text{univ}})$, we can compute the derived tensor product as

$$e'_{\text{ord}} \mathcal{C}^\bullet(U_0, D_{\text{univ}}) \otimes_{\Lambda_n} \mathcal{O}[-\lambda] = e'_{\text{ord}} \mathcal{C}^\bullet(U_0, D_{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\Lambda_n} \mathcal{O}[-\lambda]).$$

By Theorem 3.9, this complex is isomorphic to $e'_{\text{ord}} \mathcal{C}^\bullet(U_n, V_\lambda)$. □

Corollary 3.13. *For all $n \geq 1$, there is a spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{i,j} : \mathrm{Tor}_{-i}^{\Lambda_n}(e'_{\mathrm{ord}} \varprojlim_s H_{\acute{e}t}^j(Y_G(U_s), \mathcal{O})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O}[-\lambda]) \implies e'_{\mathrm{ord}} H_{\acute{e}t}^{i+j}(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda).$$

Proof. This follows from the previous theorem using the spectral sequence for the Tor functor. \square

An analogous argument to the one given in this section allows us to obtain a similar result at the Iwahori level U_0 .

Corollary 3.14. *There is a spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{i,j} : \mathrm{Tor}_{-i}^{\Lambda}(e'_{\mathrm{ord}} \varprojlim_s H_{\acute{e}t}^j(Y_G(U_s), \mathcal{O})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O}[-\lambda]) \implies e'_{\mathrm{ord}} H_{\acute{e}t}^{i+j}(Y_G(U_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda).$$

4. PROOF OF THE CONTROL THEOREM

In this section, we use the spectral sequences in Corollaries 3.13 and 3.14 to give a proof of our control theorem. We begin by recalling the results of Caraiani–Tamiozzo [CT23], which play a crucial role in proving our control theorem.

4.1. The results of Caraiani–Tamiozzo. Let $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be a neat compact open subgroup and take a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ in the support of $H^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$. By the work of Scholze [Sch15], we have a unique continuous semisimple Galois representation

$$\overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

such that $\overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is unramified for all v not in a finite set of suitable places of F and such that the characteristic polynomial of $\overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ equals $X^2 - \mathcal{T}_v X + \mathcal{S}_v N(v) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Theorem 4.1 (Caraiani–Tamiozzo). *For any $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal in the support of $H_{\acute{e}t}^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Assume that the image of $\overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is not solvable. Then $H_{\acute{e}t}^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is non-zero only for $i = g$.*

Proof. See [CT23, Theorem 7.1.1]. \square

Corollary 4.2. *In the above setting, we have $H_{\acute{e}t}^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ only for $i = g$. Moreover, $H_{\acute{e}t}^g(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free as a \mathbb{Z}_p -module.*

Proof. This is explained in [CT23, Corollary 7.1.2]; we give the proof below for the convenience of the reader. We consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0,$$

localize the corresponding long exact sequence at \mathfrak{m} and employ Theorem 4.1 to obtain a surjective map

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{p} H_{\acute{e}t}^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

for all $i \neq g$. Since $H_{\acute{e}t}^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_p -module, we can apply Nakayama's lemma to conclude that $H_{\acute{e}t}^i(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for all $i \neq g$. When $i = g$, we get that

$$\frac{H_{\acute{e}t}^g(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}}}{\mathrm{im}(H_{\acute{e}t}^g(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^g(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}})} = 0.$$

Since $H_{\acute{e}t}^g(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$, it follows that $H_{\acute{e}t}^g(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ as well. Finally, note that the long-exact sequence also yields an injection $H_{\acute{e}t}^g(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{p} H_{\acute{e}t}^g(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Hence, $H_{\acute{e}t}^g(Y_G(K)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has no p -torsion, hence is torsion-free and hence free (using the fact that torsion-free modules over a PID are free). \square

4.2. Proof of the control theorem. We begin by recalling some notation and assumptions. We let $H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O}) = \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})$, $\Lambda = [(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times]$ and $\Lambda_n = \mathcal{O}[\mathfrak{S}_{U_n, p}]$. We recall that Λ_n is just the Iwasawa algebra corresponding to elements of $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ which are congruent to 1 mod p^n . Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal in the support of $H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ such that the image of the associated Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is not solvable. Let λ denote a weight of G that is self-dual in the sense of Section 3.3. Let $\mathcal{O}[-\lambda]$ denote \mathcal{O} with Λ acting via the inverse of the character λ . The first step in the proof of the control theorem is to analyze the $E_2^{i,j}$ term in Corollary 3.13. To do so, we first recall the following result from commutative algebra.

Proposition 4.3. *Let R be a commutative ring, let x_1, \dots, x_N be elements of R and let R^N be the free R -module of rank N with basis $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$. Consider the Koszul complex $K_\bullet(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ associated to x_1, \dots, x_N given by*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^N R^N \xrightarrow{d} \bigwedge^{N-1} R^N \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} \bigwedge^1 R^N \xrightarrow{d} R \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$d(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_i}) = \sum_{s=1}^i (-1)^{s-1} x_{j_s} e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_{j_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_i}.$$

If x_1, \dots, x_N form a regular sequence in R , then $K_\bullet(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is a free-resolution of $R/(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ as an R -module.

Proof. See for instance [Wei94, Corollary 4.5.5]. \square

Proposition 4.4. *We have that the $E_2^{i,j}$ term in Corollary 3.13 is zero unless $i \in \{0, -1, \dots, -g\}$.*

Proof. Since $E_2^{i,j} = \text{Tor}_{-i}^{\Lambda_n}(e'_{\text{ord}} \varprojlim_s H_{\text{ét}}^j(Y_G(U_s)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O}[-\lambda])$, it suffices to construct a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}[-\lambda]$ as a Λ_n -module of length g . On the other hand, the Iwasawa algebra Λ_n can be identified with products of copies of $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_g]]$; it thus suffices to construct a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}[-\lambda]$ as an $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_g]]$ module of length g . To do this, we note that the sequence $T_1 - \lambda^{-1}(T_1), \dots, T_g - \lambda^{-1}(T_g)$ is a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_g]]$ and that

$$\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_g]] / (T_1 - \lambda^{-1}(T_1), \dots, T_g - \lambda^{-1}(T_g)) \cong \mathcal{O}[-\lambda]$$

as $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_g]]$ -modules. We can thus apply Proposition 4.3 to deduce that the Koszul complex associated to $T_1 - \lambda^{-1}(T_1), \dots, T_g - \lambda^{-1}(T_g)$ provides a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}[-\lambda]$ as an $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_g]]$ module of length g . \square

Remark 4.5. By using a similar argument as above, we can also deduce that $E_2^{i,j}$ term in Corollary 3.14 is zero unless $i \in \{0, -1, \dots, -g\}$.

Proposition 4.6. *The following hold:*

(a) *For all $n \geq 1$, we have that*

$$\text{Tor}_{-i}^{\Lambda_n}(e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}[-\lambda]) = H_{\text{ét}}^{i+g}(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

(b) *When $n = 0$, we have that*

$$\text{Tor}_{-i}^{\Lambda}(e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}[-\lambda]) = H_{\text{ét}}^{i+g}(Y_G(U_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Proof. (a) Since localization is exact and commutes with the Tor functor, we deduce from Corollary 3.13 the following spectral sequence:

$$F_2^{i,j} := (E_2^{i,j})_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{Tor}_{-i}^{\Lambda_n}(e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^j(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}[-\lambda]) \implies H_{\text{ét}}^{i+j}(Y_G(U_n), V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

By Proposition 4.4 and Corollary 4.2, we deduce that $F_2^{i,j} = 0$ unless $(i, j) \in \{(0, g), \dots, (-g, g)\}$. It follows that the spectral sequence degenerates on the second page and thus the desired isomorphism follows directly from applying the filtration theorem.

(b) Using the spectral sequence in Corollary 3.14 and Remark 4.5, this follows by using a similar argument as in part (a). \square

Theorem 4.7. *With the notation as above, the following hold:*

(a) *For all $n \geq 1$, we have that $e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free as a Λ_n -module.*

(b) *For all $n \geq 1$, we have an isomorphism of \mathcal{O} -modules*

$$e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\Lambda_n} \mathcal{O}[-\lambda] \cong e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

that is compatible with the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \Sigma}$ and \mathbb{T} .

(c) *When $n = 0$, we have a similar isomorphism*

$$e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}[-\lambda] \cong e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_0)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Proof. We first deduce parts (b) and (c) from the results above.

(b) This follows by setting $i = 0$ in Proposition 4.6 (a).

(c) This follows by setting $i = 0$ in Proposition 4.6 (b).

We now prove part (a).

(a) Let π and k denote the uniformizer and residue field of \mathcal{O} respectively. Consider the short-exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0.$$

This gives rise to a long-exact sequence of Tor groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda_n}(e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda_n}(e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \\ \text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda}(e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}, k) \rightarrow e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\Lambda_n} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\pi} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\Lambda_n} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

By setting $i = 0$ and λ to be the trivial character in Proposition 4.6, and then applying Corollary 4.2, we get that

$$\text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda_n}(e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O}_v)_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, Corollary 4.2 implies that $e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\Lambda_n} \mathcal{O}$ has no π -torsion, so the long exact sequence gives us that $\text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda_n}(e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{ét}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}, k) = 0$. Finally, we note that part (b) and the topological Nakayama's lemma (see [PB97, pp.226]) imply that $e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is finitely generated as a Λ_n -module; we can now use the local criterion of flatness to conclude that $e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is flat as a Λ_n -module. Since a finitely generated flat module over a noetherian local ring is free, we have that $e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free as a Λ_n -module.

Corollary 4.8. *For all $n \geq 0$, we have $H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ when $i \neq g$.*

Proof. When $n \geq 1$, we recall from Proposition 4.6 (a) that $\text{Tor}_{-i}^{\Lambda_n}(e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathcal{O}[-\lambda]) = H_{\text{ét}}^{i+g}(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$. On the other hand, we know from Theorem 4.7 that $e'_{\text{ord}} H_{\text{Iw}}^g(Y_G(U_\infty)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free as a Λ_n -module. Thus, the above Tor group vanishes when $i \neq 0$ and so we conclude as desired that $H_{\text{ét}}^i(Y_G(U_n)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, V_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ when $i \neq g$. The case $n = 0$ follows similarly using Proposition 4.6 (b). \square

REFERENCES

- [PB97] P. BALISTER AND S. HOWSON, *Note on Nakayama's Lemma for Compact Λ -modules*, Asian Journal of Mathematics (1) (1997), no.2, 224-229.
- [BCF19] I. BLANCO-CHACÓN AND M. FORNEA, *Twisted triple product p -adic L -functions and Hirzebruch-Zagier cycles*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (2019).
- [Bou06] N. BOURBAKI, *Algèbre commutative, Chapitres 1 à 4*, Springer, 2006.
- [CT23] A. CARAIANI AND M. TAMIOZZO, *On the étale cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties with torsion coefficients*, Compos. Math. **159** (2023), 2279-2325.
- [Dim09] M. DIMITROV, *On Ihara's lemma for Hilbert modular varieties*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no. 5, 1114–1146.
- [Dim13] M. DIMITROV, *Automorphic symbols, p -adic L -functions and ordinary cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties*, American Journal of Mathematics **135** (4), 1117-1155.
- [FJ24] M. FORNEA AND J. JIN, *Hirzebruch-Zagier classes and rational elliptic curves over quintic fields*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, **308** (2024), no. 9.
- [GLZ24] G. GROSSI, D. LOEFFLER, AND S. ZERBES, *On the Bloch–Kato and Iwasawa Main Conjecture for GO_4* , preprint, 2024, [arXiv:2407.17055](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.17055).
- [Hid86] H. HIDA, *Galois representations into $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]])$ attached to ordinary cusp forms*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), 545–613.
- [Hid00] H. HIDA, *Modular forms and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [KLZ17] G. KINGS, D. LOEFFLER, AND S. ZERBES, *Rankin–Eisenstein classes and explicit reciprocity laws*, Cambridge J. Math. **5** (2017), no.1, 1–122.
- [LLZ14] A. LEI, D. LOEFFLER, AND S. ZERBES, *Euler systems for Rankin–Selberg convolutions of modular forms*, Ann. of Math.(2) **180** (2012) no. 2, 653–771.
- [LLZ18] A. LEI, D. LOEFFLER, AND S. ZERBES, *Euler systems for Hilbert modular surfaces*, Forum Math. Sigma **6** (2018), no. e.23.
- [Loe22] D. LOEFFLER, *Spherical varieties and norm relations in Iwasawa theory*, J. Th. Nombres Bordeaux **33** (2021), no. 3.2 (Iwasawa 2019 special issue), 1021–1043.
- [LRZ23] D. LOEFFLER, R. ROCKWOOD AND S. ZERBES, *Spherical varieties and p -adic families of cohomology classes*, preprint, 2023, [arXiv:2106.16082](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.16082).
- [MW84] B. MAZUR, A. WILES, *Class fields of abelian extensions of \mathbb{Q}* , Invent. Math. **76** (1984), 179-330.
- [Mil17] J. MILNE, *Introduction to Shimura varieties*, lecture notes, 2017.
- [Oht99] M. OHTA, *Ordinary p -adic étale cohomology groups attached to towers of elliptic modular curves*, Compos. Math. **115** (1999), no. 3, 241–301.
- [Oht00] M. OHTA, *Ordinary p -adic étale cohomology groups attached to towers of elliptic modular curves. II*, Math. Ann. **318** (2000), no. 3, 557–583.
- [Sch15] P. SCHOLZE, *On torsion in the cohomology of locally symmetric varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **182** (2015), no. 3, 945-1066.
- [She24] A. SHETH, *The Asai–Flach Euler system in p -adic families*, in preparation, 2024.
- [Urb11] E. URBAN, *Eigenvarieties for reductive groups*, Ann. of Math. (2). **174** (2011), no. 3, 1685-1784.
- [Wei94] C. WEIBEL, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

Email address: arshay.sheth@warwick.ac.uk

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ZEEMAN BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY, CV4 7AL, UK