

ON THE WEDDERBURN DECOMPOSITION OF THE TOTAL RING OF QUOTIENTS OF CERTAIN IWASAWA ALGEBRAS

BEN FORRÁS

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{G} \simeq H \rtimes \Gamma$ be the semidirect product of a finite group H and $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let F/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F . Then the total ring of quotients $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ of the completed group ring $\mathcal{O}_F[[\mathcal{G}]]$ is a semisimple ring. We determine its Wedderburn decomposition by relating it to the Wedderburn decomposition of the group ring $F[H]$.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{G} = H \rtimes \Gamma$ be a profinite group that can be written as the semidirect product of a finite normal subgroup H and a pro- p group $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ isomorphic to the additive group of the p -adic integers. (Then \mathcal{G} is a 1-dimensional p -adic Lie group.) Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F , and consider the completed group ring $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G}) := \mathcal{O}_F[[\mathcal{G}]]$. Let n_0 be a large enough integer such that $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma^{p^{n_0}}$ is central in \mathcal{G} . Let $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) := \text{Quot}(\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G}))$ be the total ring of quotients of $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G})$.

The ring $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ is semisimple artinian, a fact due to Ritter and Weiss [RW04]; it admits a Wedderburn decomposition

$$\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})/\sim_F} M_{n_\chi}(D_\chi).$$

Here $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$ denotes the set of irreducible characters of \mathcal{G} with open kernel, and the equivalence relation \sim_F on $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$ is defined as follows: two characters χ, χ' are equivalent if there is a $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)$ such that $\sigma(\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi) = \text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi'$ where $F_\chi = F(\chi(h) : h \in H)$. For each equivalence class, we have a skew field D_χ .

The aim of this paper is determining the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$, that is, describing the skew field D_χ , its Schur index s_χ , and the size n_χ of the corresponding matrix ring.

If $\mathcal{G} = H \times \Gamma$ is a *direct* product, then this is a trivial task: the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ is directly determined by that of the group ring $F[H]$. Indeed, write

$$F[H] \simeq \bigoplus_{\eta \in \text{Irr}(H)/\sim_F} M_{n_\eta}(D_\eta)$$

for this Wedderburn decomposition. Then since $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G}) = \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma)[H]$, we get that

$$\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\eta \in \text{Irr}(H)/\sim_F} M_{n_\eta}(\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma) \otimes_F D_\eta).$$

The relationship with the decomposition above is given by $\eta = \text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$, see [Isa76, Theorem 4.21].

Date: Version of 2024-03-07.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16H10, 11R23.

Key words and phrases. Wedderburn decomposition, Iwasawa algebra, skew power series ring, reduced Whitehead group.

The semidirect product case is significantly more difficult. A first step was taken by Lau [Lau12a, Theorem 1], who tackled the case when \mathcal{G} is pro- p , that is, when H is a finite p -group. Her methods make use of a theorem of Schilling also attributed to Witt and Roquette [CR87, (74.15)], which states that in this case, the Schur indices s_η of the skew fields D_η are all 1: in other words, each D_η is a field. This is not true for general \mathcal{G} . Note that the skew fields D_χ may still have nontrivial Schur indices even when \mathcal{G} is pro- p : an example of this phenomenon was computed by Lau [Lau12a, p. 1232ff].

Nickel made strides towards obtaining results in the case of general \mathcal{G} in [Nic14, §1]. He described the centre of the skew field D_χ , provided a sufficient criterion for a field to be a splitting field thereof, and proved the following divisibilities:

$$\begin{aligned} s_\chi &| s_\eta(F(\eta) : F_\chi), \\ n_\eta &| n_\chi. \end{aligned}$$

Here $F(\eta) = F(\eta(h) : h \in H)$; this is a finite extension of F_χ .

The present work builds upon both of their approaches: we generalise Lau's description of the skew fields D_χ , and determine the missing factors in Nickel's divisibilities. We now describe our results.

Let us fix a topological generator γ of Γ . We let Γ and $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$ act on $\text{Irr}(H)$: for $\eta \in \text{Irr}(H)$, let ${}^\gamma\eta(h) = \eta(\gamma h \gamma^{-1})$ and ${}^\sigma\eta(h) = \sigma(\eta(h))$ for all $h \in H$ and $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$. These two actions are related as follows: for $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\eta \mid \text{res}_H^\mathcal{G} \chi$ an irreducible constituent of its restriction to H , we define v_χ to be the minimal positive exponent such that γ^{v_χ} acts as a Galois automorphism of $F(\eta)/F$ on η ; it can be shown that v_χ only depends on χ . There is a unique automorphism $\tau \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$ such that

$$\gamma^{v_\chi} \eta = \tau \eta.$$

Furthermore, this τ fixes F_χ , generates $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_\chi)$, and admits a unique extension as an automorphism of D_η of the same order.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem. *Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let e resp. f denote the ramification index resp. inertia degree of the extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$. Then*

- (i) $n_\chi = n_\eta f v_\chi$
- (ii) $s_\chi = s_\eta e$
- (iii) $D_\chi \simeq \text{Quot} \left(\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta^{(\tau^e)}}[[X; \bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau} - \text{id}]] \right)$

Here $D_\eta^{(\tau^e)}$ denotes the sub-skew field of D_η fixed by τ^e , and $\bar{\tau}$ is a certain automorphism of it, which has order e ; its precise definition will be given in Section 4.4. The ring $\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta^{(\tau^e)}}[[X; \bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau} - \text{id}]]$ is the skew power series ring whose underlying additive group agrees with that of the power series ring $\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta^{(\tau^e)}}[[X]]$, with multiplication rule $Xd = \bar{\tau}(d)X + (\bar{\tau} - \text{id})(d)$ for all $d \in \mathcal{O}_{D_\eta^{(\tau^e)}}$.

In particular, if $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified – which is the case, for instance, whenever \mathcal{G} is a pro- p group –, then the result states that $D_\chi \simeq \text{Quot} \left(\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta}[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]] \right)$. In the pro- p case, this is a more precise version of the description given by Lau mentioned above.

The material is organised as follows. Section 1 consists of a collection of background in pre-existing work. Section 2 details the process of extending a Galois automorphism of a local field to a skew field with this centre. Section 3 describes the skew power series rings appearing in our main result. Section 4 contains a study of the extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ and the precise formulation of the statements above. Section 5 relates the Galois action of $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_\chi)$ to the group action of Γ on $\text{Irr}(H)$. We prove our main result in the totally ramified case in Section 6, and extend this to the general case in Section 7.

Appendix A relates the skew power series ring above to higher local fields, generalising results of Lau [Lau12a; Lau12b]; this is a question of independent interest, and the results therein are not used in the rest of the paper.

This paper contains results obtained in Chapters 2, 3 and 5 of the author's doctoral thesis [For23].

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to extend his heartfelt gratitude to Andreas Nickel for his guidance and support throughout his doctoral studies, as well as for his many helpful comments on a draft version of this article. He also thanks Alessandro Cobbe for his valuable observations.

The results in the aforementioned thesis were obtained while the author was a member of the Research Training Group 2553 at the Essen Seminar for Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic (ESAGA), funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, project no. 412744520).

Notation and conventions. The letter p always stands for an odd prime number.

The word ‘ring’ is short for ‘not necessarily commutative ring with unity’. A domain is a ring with no zero divisors; in particular, principal ideal domains (PIDs) and unique factorisation domains (UFDs) are not necessarily commutative, and we will give their respective definitions at the appropriate juncture. The term ‘integral domain’ is reserved for commutative domains. If R is a ring, $\text{Quot}(R)$ stands for the total ring of quotients of R , which is obtained from R by inverting all central regular elements; when R is an integral domain, this is the field of fractions, and to emphasise this, we use the notation $\text{Frac}(R)$ instead.

We will abuse notation by writing \oplus for a direct product of rings, even though this is not a coproduct in the category of rings.

For a ring R , the ring of $n \times n$ matrices is $M_n(R)$. The $n \times n$ identity matrix is $\mathbf{1}_n$.

The centre of a group G resp. a ring R is denoted by $\mathfrak{z}(G)$ resp. $\mathfrak{z}(R)$. An algebraic closure of a field F is denoted by F^c . Overline means either topological closure or residue (skew) field, but never algebraic closure. If S is a finite set, then $\#S$ denotes its cardinality.

For $n \geq 1$, μ_n stands for the group of n th roots of unity. For a field F , we write $\mu_n(F)$ for the group of n th roots of unity in F .

In our notation, we make a clear distinction between rings of power series and completed group algebras: we use double square brackets for the former and blackboard square brackets for the latter. E.g. $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ is a ring of power series, and $\mathbb{Z}_p\llbracket\Gamma\rrbracket$ is a completed group algebra.

In Sections 4 to 7, we will fix a base field F . Most of the objects at hand depend on the choice of this field. This is not always reflected in our notation: in order to prevent it from becoming too cumbersome, the field F is usually suppressed from it. If the choice of the base field is particularly relevant, for example because we are comparing objects coming from different base fields, we attach the base as a superscript to our notation, e.g. writing D_χ^F instead of D_χ .

1. ALGEBRAIC PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Schur indices. A general reference on Schur indices is [CR87, §74]. We also mention the classical book [Yam74]. Let H be a finite group, and let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Then the group algebra $F[H]$ is semisimple (Maschke's theorem), and its Wedderburn components correspond to Galois orbits of irreducible characters of H :

$$(1.1) \quad F[H] = \bigoplus_{\eta \in \text{Irr}(H)/\sim_F} M_{n_\eta}(D_\eta).$$

Here D_η is a skew field, $\text{Irr}(H)$ is the set of F^c -valued irreducible characters of H , and two characters $\eta, \eta' \in \text{Irr}(H)$ are equivalent, denoted $\eta \sim_F \eta'$, if there exists some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$ such that $\sigma\eta = \eta'$ where $F(\eta) = F(\eta(h) : h \in H)$. The dimension $\dim_{\mathfrak{z}(D_\eta)} D_\eta$ is a perfect square,

and its square root s_η is called the Schur index of the irreducible character η over F resp. the (Schur) index of the skew field D_η . In our notation, we suppress the fact that the size n_η of the matrix ring, the skew field D_η as well as its Schur index s_η depend on the field F .

The Schur index of an arbitrary skew field D is the minimal degree m such that there is a degree m extension E of $\mathfrak{z}(D)$ that is a splitting field of D , that is, $E \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}(D)} D \xrightarrow{\sim} M_n(E)$ for some n . In fact, every maximal subfield of D is a splitting field, and its degree over $\mathfrak{z}(D)$ is the Schur index, see [Rei03, Theorem 7.15(i)].

In the setup of (1.1), one can also express the Schur index of D_η in terms of characters as follows. Let

$$\psi := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} \sigma(\eta)$$

be the sum of all Galois conjugates of η , where $F(\eta) := F(\eta(g) : g \in H)$. Then the Schur index s_η is the minimal positive integer such that $s_\eta \psi$ is the character of an F -valued representation of H ; in the literature, this is often referred to as the Schur index of the character η over F . For a character η as before, its Schur index is the same over F and $F(\eta)$, that is, $s_\eta^F = s_\eta^{F(\eta)}$, see [CR81, Theorem 74.5(iii)].

The following statement is essential for our work:

Theorem 1.1 ([Wit52, Satz 10]). *If H is a finite group, then all Schur indices of skew fields occurring in the Wedderburn decomposition of $F[H]$ divide $p - 1$.*

1.2. Iwasawa algebras, characters and idempotents. Let \mathcal{G} be a profinite group. For F/\mathbb{Q}_p a finite field extension with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F , define the Iwasawa algebra of \mathcal{G} over \mathcal{O}_F as

$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G}) := \mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) := \mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathcal{G}]] = \mathcal{O}_F[[\mathcal{G}]].$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) := \text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_F[[\mathcal{G}]])$ denote its total ring of quotients. For brevity, we will write $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}) := \mathcal{Q}^{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}) := \mathbb{Q}_p^c \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})$. Note that $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})$ by [RW04, Lemma 1].

Let us specialise to the case when $\mathcal{G} = H \rtimes \Gamma$, where H is a finite group and $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ is isomorphic to the additive group of the p -adic integers.

Let $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma^{p^{n_0}}$ where n_0 is chosen such that $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is central. This is the case when n_0 is large enough: indeed, since \mathcal{G} is a semidirect product, failure of Γ to be central comes from the homomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ defining conjugation by elements of Γ not being trivial. However, since H is finite, so is $\text{Aut}(H)$, wherefore φ has open kernel in Γ . All such open subgroups are of the form Γ^{p^n} for some $n \geq 0$. Therefore if $\ker(\varphi) = \Gamma^{p^n}$ then $\Gamma^{p^{n_0}}$ is central in \mathcal{G} whenever $n_0 \geq n$. Fix a topological generator γ of Γ , and let $\gamma_0 := \gamma^{p^{n_0}}$.

As in [JN19, §4.2] and in the proof of [RW04, Proposition 5], the Iwasawa algebra resp. its total ring of quotients admit the following decompositions:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G}) &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p^{n_0}-1} \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)[H]\gamma^i, \\ \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p^{n_0}-1} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\gamma^i. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ is a semisimple artinian ring, as shown in [RW04, Proposition 5(1)]. On the other hand, the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G})$ is never semisimple.

As $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ is semisimple, it can be studied further by investigating its Wedderburn decomposition. This can be done by considering characters and their associated idempotents as follows. By a complex resp. p -adic Artin character we shall mean the trace of a Galois representation on a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} resp. \mathbb{Q}_p^c with open kernel. Let $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$ denote the set of absolutely irreducible p -adic Artin characters, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$.

Let $\eta \mid \text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$ be an irreducible constituent of the restriction of χ to H . Write $w_\chi := (\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G}_\eta)$ where \mathcal{G}_η is the stabiliser of η in \mathcal{G} ; this is a power of p since H stabilises η , and it can be shown that w_χ only depends on χ . Let $F_\chi := F(\chi(h) : h \in H)$; this is contained in $F(\eta)$. The field $F(\eta)$ is abelian over F , as it is contained in some cyclotomic extension, hence the extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is Galois.

Using Clifford theory, one can show – see [Nic14, Lemma 1.1] – that there are irreducible constituents $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\nu_\chi}$ of the restriction $\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$ such that there is a decomposition

$$(1.3) \quad \text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu_\chi} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta_i)/F_\chi)} \sigma \eta_i = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_\eta} g \eta = \sum_{i=0}^{w_\chi-1} \gamma^i \eta.$$

This shows that irreducible constituents of $\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$ are all \mathcal{G} -conjugates of one another. Moreover, it follows that the index w_χ depends only on χ . Since H is a normal subgroup, this shows that for a given χ , the field $F(\eta)$ does not depend on the choice of η . In particular, all degrees $(F(\eta_i) : F_\chi)$ are equal. It follows readily that the number ν_χ satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad w_\chi = \nu_\chi \cdot (F(\eta) : F_\chi)$$

In particular, the degree $(F(\eta) : F_\chi)$ is a power of p because w_χ is. Note that w_χ is independent of F . The number ν_χ depends on χ (and F), but for χ (and F) fixed, it is independent of the choice of η , since both w_χ and $(F(\eta) : F_\chi)$ are.

As in [RW04], we have the following idempotents:

$$e(\eta) := \frac{\eta(1)}{\#H} \sum_{h \in H} \eta(h^{-1})h \in F(\eta)[H], \quad e_\chi := \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_\eta} e(g\eta) \in F(\eta)[H].$$

Following [Nic14, (3)], we also wish to consider analogous idempotents with F -coefficients; this is achieved by taking the respective Galois orbits. For this, note that (1.3) implies

$$e_\chi = \frac{\chi(1)}{\#H w_\chi} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h^{-1})h \in F_\chi[H],$$

showing that e_χ in fact has coefficients in F_χ . The respective idempotents over F are then defined as follows.

$$\varepsilon(\eta) := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} \sigma(e_\chi) \in F[H], \quad \varepsilon_\chi := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)} \sigma(e_\chi) \in F[H].$$

Ritter and Weiss showed [RW04, p. 556] that every primitive central idempotent of $\mathcal{Q}^c(\mathcal{G})$ is of the form e_χ ; it follows that primitive central idempotents of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ are of the form ε_χ . Finally, we note the following consequence of (1.3):

$$\varepsilon_\chi = \sum_{i=0}^{\nu_\chi-1} \varepsilon(\eta_i).$$

1.3. Skew power series rings. Let R be a noetherian pseudocompact ring, that is, it is a complete Hausdorff noetherian topological ring with a fundamental system $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ of open neighbourhoods of zero such that $L_i \subseteq R$ is a left ideal and each R/L_i is a finite length R -module. Let $\sigma \in \text{End } R$ be a ring endomorphism, and let $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ be a σ -derivation, that is, a homomorphism of additive groups such that for all $r, s \in R$, $\delta(rs) = \delta(r)s + \sigma(r)\delta(s)$. Suppose that δ is σ -nilpotent. We refrain from giving the definition of σ -nilpotency in general. In the case when σ and δ commute, which is the only case we shall encounter in this work, this is equivalent to δ being topologically nilpotent, that is, for all $n \geq 1$ there is an $m \geq 1$ such that for all $k \geq m$, there is an inclusion $\delta^k(R) \subseteq (\text{rad } R)^n$, where $\text{rad } R$ is the Jacobson radical of R .

For such a ring R , endomorphism σ , and derivation δ , one can define the formal skew power series ring $R[[X; \sigma, \delta]]$ as the ring with underlying additive group $R[[X]]$ and multiplication defined by $Xr = \sigma(r)X + \delta(r)$ for $r \in R$. Nilpotence of δ is needed to ensure that multiplication is well-defined, that is, the coefficients of any product converge in R . We refer to [SV06, §§0–1] for details. In the sequel, we drop the adjective ‘formal’.

1.4. Skew fields over local fields. We begin with some generalities on cyclic algebras; see [Rei03, §30] for details. Let L/F be a finite cyclic Galois extension of fields of degree s , with ς a generator of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$. Let $a \in F^\times$ be a unit. Then the cyclic algebra $A := (L/F, \varsigma, a)$ is defined to be the F -algebra

$$(1.5) \quad (L/F, \varsigma, a) := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} L\alpha^i,$$

where α is a formal s th root of a , and α obeys the multiplication rule $\alpha x \alpha^{-1} = \varsigma(x)$ for all $x \in L$. Cyclic algebras need not be skew fields; a criterion for when this is the case is given by the following theorem of Wedderburn.

Theorem 1.2 ([Rei03, (30.7)], [Lam01, (14.9)]). *Let L/F be a cyclic Galois extension with generator ς of degree s . Let $A := (L/F, \varsigma, a)$. Then A is a skew field if a has order s in the norm factor group $F^\times/N_{L/F}(L^\times)$, that is, when $a, a^2, \dots, a^{s-1} \notin N_{L/F}(L^\times)$.*

The theory of skew fields over local fields was originally laid out by Hasse in [Has31], a presentation of which is available in [Rei03, Chapter 3]. We provide a brief review of the results, some of which are used extensively throughout this work.

Let R be a complete commutative discrete valuation ring, $K = \text{Frac } R$ its field of fractions. Let D be a skew field with $\mathfrak{z}(D) = K$ and Schur index s . By extending the valuation from K to D , one can show that D contains a unique maximal R -order, which is the integral closure of R in D , cf. [Rei03, Theorem 12.8].

Under the additional assumption that the residue field of R is finite, the skew field D can be described explicitly: see [Rei03, §14]. In this case, K is a (1-dimensional) local field. Write q for the order of the residue field of R . Let $K(\omega)$ be the cyclotomic field extension of K obtained by adjoining a primitive $(q^s - 1)$ st root of unity ω . Then $K(\omega)$ is a maximal subfield of D , called the inertia field (unique up to conjugacy in D).

Fix a uniformiser $\pi \in K$. Then there exists a uniformiser π_D in the maximal order of D such that $\pi_D^s = \pi$, and there exists $1 \leq r \leq s$ coprime to s such that $\pi_D \omega \pi_D^{-1} = \omega^{q^r}$. The fraction $r/s \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is called the Hasse invariant of D . Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\omega)/K)$ be the automorphism defined by $\sigma(\omega) = \omega^{q^r}$; this is a power of the Frobenius and a generator of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K(\omega)/K)$. The maximal order in D is generated by π_D and ω as an R -algebra. In other words, D is the cyclic algebra

$$(1.6) \quad D = (K(\omega)/K, \sigma, \pi_K) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} K(\omega)\pi_D^i.$$

Since $K(\omega)$ is a maximal subfield of D , it is also a splitting field. A splitting isomorphism can be described explicitly as follows.

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} K(\omega) \otimes_K D &\xrightarrow{\sim} M_s(K(\omega)) \\ x \otimes 1 &\mapsto x\mathbf{1}_s \\ 1 \otimes x &\mapsto \text{diag}(x, \sigma(x), \dots, \sigma^{s-1}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$$1 \otimes \pi_D \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \pi & & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We let φ denote the composite map

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi : D \rightarrow K(\omega) \otimes_K D \xrightarrow{\sim} M_s(K(\omega)),$$

where the first arrow is $x \mapsto 1 \otimes x$ and the second one is (1.7). Then φ maps D isomorphically onto its image (as rings), see the proof of [Rei03, Theorem 14.6].

1.5. Reduced norms and SK_1 . For the definition of the reduced norm map on a central simple algebra, we refer to [CR81, §7D] and [Rei03, §9]. This extends to arbitrary semisimple K -algebras as follows: if $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$, where each A_i is a central simple algebra with centre K_i , then there is a reduced norm map nr_{A_i/K_i} in each component, and their product defines a homomorphism

$$\text{nr}_{A/\mathfrak{z}(A)} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{nr}_{A_i/K_i} : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_i.$$

Caveat: this extension to semisimple algebras differs from that in [CR81, §7D] and [Rei03, §9b].

Remark 1.3. In the case $A = \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})$, a special case of a conjecture attributed to Suslin predicts that $\text{nr} : K_1(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times$ is injective, or in other words, that $SK_1(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})) = 1$. See [Mer94, p. 250] for the conjecture on SK_1 , and [RW04, Remark (E)] for why it is applicable in this case.

The conjecture was originally formulated by Suslin in [Sus06, p. 125]. This original version concerns a homomorphism φ from SK_1 of a division algebra to a certain quotient of the 4th étale cohomology group of its centre with values in $\mu_{s^2}^{\otimes 3}$ where s is the Schur index. Suslin proved that φ is an isomorphism when $s = 2$, and conjectured it to be injective for s an arbitrary prime. If the centre has cohomological dimension at most 3, the aforementioned étale cohomology group vanishes, and injectivity of φ would then force SK_1 to vanish as well.

Progress towards vanishing of $SK_1(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}))$ has been made in [RW05, Corollary, p. 167], [Lau12a, §3], [Lau12b], and most recently in [JN20, §12]. See also Proposition A.5 and Lemma A.8.

2. EXTENDING GALOIS ACTION TO SKEW FIELDS

This section is concerned with the question of extending a Galois automorphism of the centre of a finite dimensional skew field over a local field to the entire skew field, under certain assumptions.


Let K be a local field, i.e. a finite extension of either $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ or \mathbb{Q}_p . Fix a uniformiser π_K of K , and let \mathbb{F}_q be the residue field of K , with q a power of p . Let D be a skew field with centre K and index s . Recall the cyclic algebra description of D from (1.6): let ω be a primitive $(q^s - 1)$ st root of unity, and let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\omega)/K)$ be the Galois automorphism defined by $\sigma(\omega) := \omega^{q^r}$, where r/s is the Hasse invariant of D . Note that σ is a generator of this Galois group. Then

$$D = (K(\omega)/K, \sigma, \pi_K) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} K(\omega)\pi_D^i,$$

where $\pi_D^s = \pi_K$ and $\pi_D\omega = \sigma(\omega)\pi_D$.

Let K/k be a Galois p -extension of local fields, and let $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$ be a Galois automorphism of order d (necessarily a p -power). The situation is described by the following diagram;

uniformisers are on the left, residue field orders are on the right.



Furthermore, assume that the index $s \mid (q_\tau - 1)$; in particular, s is coprime to p . Our objective is to extend τ to an automorphism of D with the same order d as τ : this will be accomplished in Proposition 2.7.

Lemma 2.1. *The extension $K(\pi_D)/K$ is a cyclic Galois extension, totally ramified of degree s .*

Proof. Indeed, π_D has minimal polynomial $X^s - \pi_K \in K[X]$, which is Eisenstein, hence the extension is totally ramified. Let ζ_s be a primitive s th root of unity: this is contained in $K^{\langle \tau \rangle} \subseteq K$ because $s \mid (q_\tau - 1)$. The roots of $X^s - \pi_K$ are of the form $\zeta_s^i \pi_D$, which are therefore all contained in $K(\pi_D)$. Hence the extension is Galois, and a generator of the Galois group is given by $\pi_K \mapsto \zeta_s \pi_K$. \square

The automorphism τ preserves the valuation of K , wherefore

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(\pi_K) = \varepsilon \cdot \pi_K$$

for some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. Under the decomposition $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \mu_{q-1} \times U_K^1$, we can write $\varepsilon = \zeta \cdot u$ where $\zeta \in \mu_{q-1}$ and $u \in U_K^1$, where $U_K^1 = \{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times : u \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_K}\}$ is the group of 1-units. Let us write $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(-)$ for the norm map from K to $K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$.

Lemma 2.2. *The elements ε , ζ and u all have norm 1 in $K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$:*

$$1 = N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\varepsilon) = N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\zeta) = N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(u).$$

Proof. Apply $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}$ to (2.1):

$$N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\pi_K) = N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\varepsilon)N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\pi_K)$$

It follows that

$$(2.2) \quad 1 = N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\varepsilon) = N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\zeta) \cdot N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(u).$$

Since u is a 1-unit, so is its norm, that is, $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(u) \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_\tau}$. Then (2.2) shows that $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\zeta)$ must also be a 1-unit. On the other hand, ζ is a root of unity of order prime to p , and thus so is its norm. The groups of 1-units resp. roots of unity in $\mathcal{O}_{K^{\langle \tau \rangle}}^\times$ have intersection the p -power roots of unity. Therefore $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\zeta) = 1$, which forces $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(u) = 1$ as well. \square

Lemma 2.3. *The element $\zeta \in \mu_{q-1}$ has order dividing $\frac{q-1}{q_\tau-1}$, that is, $\zeta \in \mu_{(q-1)/(q_\tau-1)}$.*

Proof. By definition, the cokernel of the norm map $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(-)$ on μ_{q-1} is the 0th Tate cohomology group:

$$\mu_{q-1} \xrightarrow{N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(-)} \mu_{q-1}^{\langle \tau \rangle} = \mu_{q_\tau-1} \twoheadrightarrow \hat{H}^0(\langle \tau \rangle, \mu_{q-1}).$$

Since $\langle \tau \rangle$ is a p -group, and μ_{q-1} has order prime to p , this cohomology group vanishes, so $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(-)$ is surjective on roots of unity of order prime to p .

It follows that the kernel of the norm map $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(-)$ has order

$$\frac{\#\mu_{q-1}}{\#\mu_{q_\tau-1}} = \frac{q-1}{q_\tau-1}.$$

Since μ_{q-1} is a cyclic group, the kernel is the unique subgroup of this order, which is $\mu_{(q-1)/(q_\tau-1)}$. Lemma 2.2 finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.4. *The Galois automorphism $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$ admits an extension to an element of $\text{Aut}_k(K(\pi_D))$.*

Proof. Extending τ to $K(\pi_D)$ means defining $\tau(\pi_D)$. For valuation reasons, this must be of the form $\varepsilon_D \cdot \pi_D$ for some $\varepsilon_D \in \mathcal{O}_{K(\pi_D)}^\times$, and it has to satisfy $\tau(\pi_D)^s = \tau(\pi_D^s)$. The latter is equivalent to requiring $\varepsilon_D^s \cdot \pi_K = \varepsilon \cdot \pi_K$, that is, $\varepsilon_D^s = \varepsilon$. So extending τ to $K(\pi_D)$ means finding an s th root of ε .

Let us once again use the decomposition $\varepsilon = \zeta \cdot u$ coming from $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \mu_{q-1} \times U_K^1$. Since s is coprime to p by assumption, the s th-power-map is bijective on 1-units, so u has an s th root. Moreover, we know that $\zeta \in \mu_{(q-1)/(q_\tau-1)}$ and that $s \mid q_\tau - 1$, whence $\zeta \in \mu_{(q-1)/s}$, which implies that ζ also has an s th root.

In conclusion, there exists an ε_D with the desired properties; in fact, the argument above shows that such an ε_D is contained in K . It is easily seen that this gives rise to an k -automorphism of $K(\pi_D)$ extending τ . \square

The extension of τ to $K(\pi_D)$ as given in Lemma 2.4 is not unique: there is a choice of a root of ε . However, if we stipulate that the extension must have the same order d as the original τ , then the extension becomes unique.

Lemma 2.5. *The Galois automorphism $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$ admits a unique extension to an element $\tilde{\tau} \in \text{Aut}_k(K(\pi_D))$ of order d .*

Proof. As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 2.4, an extension of τ to $K(\pi_D)$ is determined by an element $\varepsilon_D \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \varepsilon_D^s = \varepsilon.$$

Since $\tau^d(\pi_D) = N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\varepsilon_D)\pi_D$, this extension has order d if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\varepsilon_D) = 1.$$

We first show uniqueness. Suppose $\varepsilon_D, \varepsilon'_D \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ satisfy both (2.3) and (2.4). Comparing (2.3) for ε_D and ε'_D , we find that $(\varepsilon'_D/\varepsilon_D)^s = 1$. Hence $\varepsilon'_D = \xi \varepsilon_D$ for some $\xi \in \mu_s(K)$. From (2.4) it then follows that $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\xi) = 1$. Since $s \mid (q_\tau - 1)$, all s th roots of unity are already contained in $K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$, that is, we have $\mu_s(K) = \mu_s(K^{\langle \tau \rangle})$. In particular, $\xi \in K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$, and so $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\xi) = \xi^d$. The d th power map is bijective on $\mu_s(K)$, hence $\xi = 1$, which proves uniqueness.

Now we turn to existence. Let $\varepsilon_D \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ be an element satisfying (2.3); the existence of such an element is guaranteed by Lemma 2.4. From Lemma 2.2 we have that

$$1 = N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\varepsilon) = N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\varepsilon_D)^s.$$

Therefore $N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\varepsilon_D) = \zeta_s^a$ for some a . Since d is coprime to s , the d th power map is bijective on $\mu_s(K)$, and so there exists a unique s th root of unity $\tilde{\zeta} \in \mu_s(K^{\langle \tau \rangle})$ such that $\tilde{\zeta}^d = \zeta_s^a$. Then

$$N_{\langle \tau \rangle}(\tilde{\zeta}^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon_D) = \tilde{\zeta}^{-d} \cdot \zeta_s^a = 1.$$

Replacing the given ε_D by $\tilde{\zeta}^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon_D$, we get the unique root of ε which makes (2.4) hold. \square

Lemma 2.6. $K(\pi_D)/K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $\langle \tilde{\tau} \rangle \times \text{Gal}(K(\pi_D)/K)$.

Proof. On the one hand, the extension $\tilde{\tau}$ defined in Lemma 2.5 generates a subgroup

$$\langle \tilde{\tau} \rangle \subseteq \text{Aut}_{K^{\langle \tau \rangle}}(K(\pi_D))$$

of order d , which is a p -power. The subgroup

$$\text{Gal}(K(\pi_D)/K) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{K^{\langle \tau \rangle}}(K(\pi_D))$$

has order s , which is coprime to p . Consequently, the intersection of these two subgroups is trivial. An automorphism in $\text{Gal}(K(\pi_D)/K)$ maps $\pi_D \mapsto \zeta_s^i \pi_D$ for some i . By the assumption $s \mid q_\tau - 1$, $\zeta_s \in K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$, so it is fixed by τ . Moreover, as noted in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we also have $\varepsilon_D \in K$. As a consequence of these last two facts, it follows that $\tilde{\tau}$ commutes with all automorphisms of the extension $K(\pi_D)/K$. We conclude that there is an embedding

$$\langle \tilde{\tau} \rangle \times \text{Gal}(K(\pi_D)/K) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{K^{\langle \tau \rangle}}(K(\pi_D)),$$

where K^c is a fixed algebraic closure of K . We can bound the order of the latter automorphism group:

$$\begin{aligned} \# \text{Aut}_{K^{\langle \tau \rangle}}(K(\pi_D)) &\leq (K(\pi_D) : K^{\langle \tau \rangle}) = (K : K^{\langle \tau \rangle}) \cdot (K(\pi_D) : K) \\ &= \# \langle \tilde{\tau} \rangle \cdot \# \text{Gal}(K(\pi_D)/K). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the embedding above is surjective, and the claim follows. \square

Proposition 2.7. Let K/k be a Galois p -extension of local fields, and let $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$ have order d . Let D be a skew field with centre K and Schur index s , and assume that $s \mid (q_\tau - 1)$. Then there is a unique extension of τ to an element $\tau \in \text{Aut}_k(D)$ of order d .

Proof. The field extension $K(\omega)/K$ is unramified of degree s . Since s is coprime to d , the extension arises from the unique unramified extension $K_{\text{ur}(s)}^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ of $K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ of the same degree s by base change. Moreover, the extensions $K(\omega)/K$ and $K/K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ have coprime degrees, so the two extensions $K/K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ and $K_{\text{ur}(s)}^{\langle \tau \rangle}/K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ must be disjoint over $K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$, and there is an isomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Gal}(K(\omega)/K^{\langle \tau \rangle}) \simeq \text{Gal}(K(\omega)/K) \times \text{Gal}(K/K^{\langle \tau \rangle}).$$

We let $\hat{\tau}$ be the Galois automorphism corresponding to the pair (id, τ) . Note that this does *not* mean that $\hat{\tau}$ acts trivially on ω .

Define an extension τ of τ to D by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\omega) &:= \hat{\tau}(\omega), \\ \tau(\pi_D) &:= \tilde{\tau}(\pi_D) = \varepsilon_D \pi_D. \end{aligned}$$

We check that this is indeed a homomorphism, that is, it is compatible with the noncommutativity rule $\pi_D \omega = \sigma(\omega) \pi_D$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\pi_D \omega) &= \varepsilon_D \pi_D \cdot \hat{\tau}(\omega) = \varepsilon_D \cdot \sigma \hat{\tau}(\omega) \cdot \pi_D \stackrel{\dagger}{=} \sigma \hat{\tau}(\omega) \cdot \varepsilon_D \cdot \pi_D, \\ \tau(\sigma(\omega) \pi_D) &= \hat{\tau} \sigma(\omega) \cdot \varepsilon_D \pi_D \stackrel{\ddagger}{=} \sigma \hat{\tau}(\omega) \cdot \varepsilon_D \pi_D. \end{aligned}$$

In \dagger we used that $\varepsilon_D \in K$, which is the centre of the skew field. In \ddagger we used that the group $\text{Gal}(K(\omega)/K^{\langle \tau \rangle})$ is abelian: indeed, we have seen in (2.5) that it is the direct product of two cyclic groups.

It is clear from the definition and Lemma 2.5 that τ has the prescribed order and that it is unique. \square

As the extension of τ just constructed is unique, we will abuse notation and write τ for τ in the sequel.

3. SKEW POWER SERIES RINGS

We retain the notation of Section 2. For simplicity, we will assume that $k = K^{\langle \tau \rangle}$. In this section, we study the skew power series ring $\mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \delta]]$ where $\delta := \tau - \text{id}$.

3.1. Well-definedness and basic lemmata. First we will check that the relevant skew power series ring is well defined; see also Section 1.3.

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{O}_D denote the unique maximal \mathcal{O}_K -order in D . This is a noetherian pseudocompact ring, an τ is a topological automorphism of it.*

Let $\delta := \tau - \text{id}$. Then δ is a continuous left τ -derivation, it commutes with τ , and it is τ -nilpotent. Thus the skew power series ring $\mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \delta]]$ is well-defined.

For the proof of Proposition 3.1, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *For $n \geq 0$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_D$:*

$$\delta^n(d) = \sum_{\ell=0}^n (-1)^{n-\ell} \binom{n}{\ell} \tau^\ell(d).$$

Proof. The proof is by induction. The assertion is true for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$. Assume it has been proven for n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{n+1}(d) &= \delta(\delta^n(d)) = \tau \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^n (-1)^{n-\ell} \binom{n}{\ell} \tau^\ell(d) \right) - \sum_{\ell=0}^n (-1)^{n-\ell} \binom{n}{\ell} \tau^\ell(d) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{(n+1)-\ell} \left(\binom{n}{\ell} + \binom{n}{\ell-1} \right) \tau^\ell(d) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{(n+1)-\ell} \binom{n+1}{\ell} \tau^\ell(d). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. The ring \mathcal{O}_D is a noetherian ring with unity. It is pseudocompact: the two-sided ideals $L_i := \mathcal{O}_D \pi_D^i$ for $i \geq 0$ form a fundamental system of open neighbourhoods of zero, and for any $i \geq 0$, \mathcal{O}_D/L_i is of finite length over \mathcal{O}_D . Indeed,

$$\mathcal{O}_D/L_i \supset \mathcal{O}_D \pi_D / L_i \supset \mathcal{O}_D \pi_D^2 / L_i \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{O}_D \pi_D^{i-1} / L_i \supset \mathcal{O}_D \pi_D^i / L_i = 0$$

is a finite composition series. The ring \mathcal{O}_D is complete with respect to the π_D -adic topology, and the ideal $\pi_D \mathcal{O}_D$ is invariant under τ by construction (cf. Lemma 2.4), hence τ is a topological automorphism.

The endomorphism $(\tau - \text{id})$ is indeed a τ -derivation:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y \in \mathcal{O}_D : (\tau - \text{id})(xy) &= \tau(xy) - xy \\ &= \tau(x)y - xy + \tau(x)\tau(y) - \tau(x)y \\ &= (\tau - \text{id})(x) \cdot y + \tau(x) \cdot (\tau - \text{id})(y). \end{aligned}$$

It is continuous because τ is.

Write e for the ramification index of $K(\pi_D)/\mathbb{Q}_p$, that is, π_D^e is p times a unit in $K(\pi_D)$. Let $d \in \mathcal{O}_D$, and apply Lemma 3.2 with $n := (K : k)$. Since p is odd, and $(K : k)$ is a power of p , and τ has order $(K : k)$, the first and last terms of the sum cancel out, and all remaining terms contain $\binom{(K:k)}{\ell}$ for $0 < \ell < (K : k)$. Since $(K : k)$ is a power of p , these coefficients are

all divisible by p , and therefore $\pi_D^e \mid \delta^{(K:k)}(d)$. Using induction and the fact that both τ and the identity preserve π_D -adic valuations, we obtain that

$$(3.1) \quad \pi_D^{ei} \mid \delta^{i(K:k)}(d) \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$(3.2) \quad \pi_D^{ei} \mid \delta^k(d) \quad \forall k \geq i(K:k)$$

since both τ and the identity preserve π_D -adic valuations.

We use the observations of the previous paragraph to show τ -nilpotence of δ . The ring \mathcal{O}_D has Jacobson radical $\mathcal{O}_D\pi_D$. Since τ and δ commute, τ -nilpotence is equivalent to topological nilpotence, that is, we need to show that for all $n \geq 1$ there is an $m \geq 1$ such that for all $k \geq m$: $\delta^k(\mathcal{O}_D) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_D\pi_D^m$. Let n be given. If m is chosen to be large enough, or more precisely, chosen such that $m \geq i(K:k)$ where i is such that $ei \geq n$, then the divisibilities (3.1) and (3.2) above show the claim. Thus τ -nilpotence is established.

As explained in Section 1.3, the skew power series ring $\mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \delta]]$ is then well-defined. \square

Remark 3.3. The statements above form a special case of [SV06, Lemma 1.6], where the proof is given more generally in the case when τ and δ commute.

The multiplication rule $Xd = \tau(d)X + \delta(d)$ admits the following generalisation to higher powers of X .

Lemma 3.4. *For $n \geq 0$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_D$:*

$$X^n d = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \tau^i \delta^{n-i}(d) X^i.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction. For $n = 0$, the claim is vacuous, and for $n = 1$, it holds by definition. Note that τ and δ commute. Now assume that the claim has been proven for n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} X^{n+1}d &= X \cdot X^n d = X \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \tau^i \delta^{n-i}(d) X^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \left(\tau \left(\binom{n}{i} \tau^i \delta^{n-i}(d) \right) X^{i+1} + \delta \left(\binom{n}{i} \tau^i \delta^{n-i}(d) \right) X^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \left(\binom{n}{i} \tau^{i+1} \delta^{n-i}(d) X^{i+1} + \binom{n}{i} \tau^i \delta^{n-i+1}(d) X^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \left(\binom{n}{i-1} + \binom{n}{i} \right) \tau^i \delta^{(n+1)-i}(d) X^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} \tau^i \delta^{(n+1)-i}(d) X^i. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3.2. The centre. We will show that the centre of the skew power series ring $\mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]]$ is the power series ring $\mathcal{O}_k[[(1+X)^{(K:k)} - 1]]$. One containment can be seen through a straightforward albeit nontrivial computation, whereas the converse requires embedding the skew power series ring into a matrix ring, using ideas going back to Hasse.

Proposition 3.5. *The centre of $\mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]]$ contains $\mathcal{O}_k[[(1+X)^{(K:k)} - 1]]$.*

Remark 3.6. We will see in Corollary 3.8 that this is in fact the full centre.

To tackle the sums of products of binomial coefficients appearing in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7 ([Knu97, §I.2.6, (23)]). *Let $r, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \geq 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{r-m} \binom{r}{m} \binom{m+t}{n} = \binom{t}{n-r}.$$

Proof of Proposition 3.5. The automorphism τ fixes k , therefore every element of \mathcal{O}_k is central. It remains to show that for all $d \in \mathcal{O}_D$,

$$(1+X)^{(K:k)}d = d(1+X)^{(K:k)}$$

We expand both sides using the binomial theorem, and show that the respective coefficients agree. The right hand side is

$$(3.3) \quad d(1+X)^{(K:k)} = \sum_{i=0}^{(K:k)} d \binom{(K:k)}{i} X^i.$$

We compute the left hand side:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (1+X)^{(K:k)}d &= \sum_{j=0}^{(K:k)} \binom{(K:k)}{j} X^j d \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{(K:k)} \binom{(K:k)}{j} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} \tau^i \delta^{j-i}(d) X^i && \text{Lemma 3.4} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{(K:k)} \sum_{j=i}^{(K:k)} \binom{(K:k)}{j} \binom{j}{i} \tau^i \delta^{j-i}(d) X^i. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of X^i in (3.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=i}^{(K:k)} \binom{(K:k)}{j} \binom{j}{i} \tau^i \delta^{j-i}(d) &= \sum_{j=i}^{(K:k)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-i} (-1)^{j-i-\ell} \binom{(K:k)}{j} \binom{j}{i} \binom{j-i}{\ell} \tau^{i+\ell}(d) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{(K:k)-i} \left(\sum_{j=i+\ell}^{(K:k)} (-1)^{j-i-\ell} \binom{(K:k)}{j} \binom{j}{i} \binom{j-i}{\ell} \right) \tau^{i+\ell}(d), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 3.2 and then exchanged the order of summations. We claim that the coefficient in the brackets is

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{j=i+\ell}^{(K:k)} (-1)^{j-i-\ell} \binom{(K:k)}{j} \binom{j}{i} \binom{j-i}{\ell} = \begin{cases} \binom{(K:k)}{i} & \text{if } \ell = (K:k) - i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For this, recall the following well-known property of binomial coefficients, see e.g. [Knu97, §I.2.6, (20)]:

$$\binom{(K:k)}{j} \binom{j}{i} = \binom{(K:k)}{i} \binom{(K:k)-i}{j-i}.$$

Using this, and then applying Lemma 3.7 with $t := 0$, we find that the left hand side of (3.5) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=i+\ell}^{\binom{K:k}{j}} (-1)^{j-i-\ell} \binom{\binom{K:k}{j}}{i} \binom{j}{\ell} &= \binom{\binom{K:k}{j}}{i} \sum_{j=i+\ell}^{\binom{K:k}{j}} (-1)^{j-i-\ell} \binom{\binom{K:k}{j}-i}{j-i} \binom{j-i}{\ell} \\ &= \binom{\binom{K:k}{j}}{i} \binom{0}{\ell - (\binom{K:k}{j} - i)}. \end{aligned}$$

The second binomial coefficient here is 1 if $\ell = \binom{K:k}{j} - i$ and zero otherwise, which proves (3.5).

Therefore the coefficient of X^i in (3.4) is

$$\binom{\binom{K:k}{j}}{i} \tau^{\binom{K:k}{j}}(d) = \binom{\binom{K:k}{j}}{i} d,$$

where we used that τ has order $\binom{K:k}{j}$. This agrees with the coefficient of X^i in (3.3) for all i . The proof is concluded. \square

Corollary 3.8. *The centre of $\mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]]$ is $\mathcal{O}_k[[(1 + X)^{\binom{K:k}} - 1]]$. Moreover, the skew field $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]])$ has Schur index $\binom{K:k}s$.*

We introduce the following notation. For brevity, we will write $T := (1 + X)^{\binom{K:k}} - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D} &:= \mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]], & \mathfrak{D} &:= \text{Quot}(\mathfrak{D}), \\ \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{E}} &:= \mathcal{O}_{K(\omega)}[[(1 + X)^{\binom{K:k}} - 1]] = \mathcal{O}_{K(\omega)}[[T]], & \mathfrak{E} &:= \text{Frac}(\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{E}}), \\ \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{L}} &:= \mathcal{O}_K[[(1 + X)^{\binom{K:k}} - 1]] = \mathcal{O}_K[[T]], & \mathfrak{L} &:= \text{Frac}(\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}), \\ \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{F}} &:= \mathcal{O}_k[[(1 + X)^{\binom{K:k}} - 1]] = \mathcal{O}_k[[T]], & \mathfrak{F} &:= \text{Frac}(\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{F}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, observe that \mathfrak{D} is a (noncommutative) domain, because \mathcal{O}_D is a domain and τ is an automorphism: this is [Ven03, Corollary 2.10(i)]. Therefore the total ring of quotients \mathfrak{D} is a skew field. Proposition 3.5 then shows that the square of the Schur index of this skew field divides the dimension over \mathfrak{F} . This dimension is

$$(3.6) \quad \dim_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{D} = (K:k)(D:k) = (K:k)^2 s^2.$$

On the other hand, \mathfrak{D} is a left \mathfrak{E} -vector space of dimension

$$(3.7) \quad \dim_{\mathfrak{E}} \mathfrak{D} = (K:k)(D:K(\omega)) = (K:k)s.$$

Note that \mathfrak{E} is a subfield of \mathfrak{D} . We will show that it is in fact a maximal (self-centralising) subfield. Assuming this for a moment, we deduce the statement of the Corollary. The dimension $\dim_{\mathfrak{E}} \mathfrak{D}$ equals the Schur index of \mathfrak{D} . This in turn equals $\dim_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{E}$. Since $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}) \supseteq \mathfrak{F}$ by Proposition 3.5, the equations (3.6) and (3.7) above show that this containment is in fact an equality, as desired. The assertion about the Schur index follows.

Consider the composite map defined in (1.8):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : D &\rightarrow K(\omega) \otimes_K D \xrightarrow{\sim} M_s(K(\omega)) \\ d &\mapsto 1 \otimes d \end{aligned}$$

The second map here is the splitting isomorphism of (1.7). This map is an isomorphism onto its image. Moreover, D is a vector space over $K(\omega)$ with basis π_D^i for $0 \leq i < s$, and the image $\varphi(D)$ has $K(\omega)$ -basis $\varphi(\pi_D^i)$ for $0 \leq i < s$.

Extending scalars, the map φ gives rise to a homomorphism

$$\hat{\varphi} : \text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_D[[T]]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E} \otimes_{\mathfrak{L}} \text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_D[[T]]) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_s(\mathfrak{E})$$

by letting $\hat{\varphi}$ be the identity on T .

We define an \mathfrak{L} -vector space homomorphism

$$(3.8) \quad \Phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E} \otimes_{\mathfrak{L}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow M_{(K:k)s}(\mathfrak{E}).$$

The first map sends an element $d \in \mathfrak{D}$ to $1 \otimes d$. The second map is the unique \mathfrak{E} -linear map determined by the following two properties: for $g \in \text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_D[[T]])$, it maps $1 \otimes g$ to the block diagonal matrix

$$\Phi(g) := \text{diag} \left(\hat{\varphi}(g), \tau(\hat{\varphi}(g)), \tau^2(\hat{\varphi}(g)), \dots, \tau^{(K:k)-1}(\hat{\varphi}(g)) \right),$$

and it maps $1 \otimes X$ to

$$\Phi(X) := \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{1}_s & \mathbf{1}_s & & & \\ -\mathbf{1}_s & \mathbf{1}_s & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbf{1}_s & \\ & & & & -\mathbf{1}_s & \mathbf{1}_s \\ (1+X)^{(K:k)}\mathbf{1}_s & & & & -\mathbf{1}_s & \mathbf{1}_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_s & & & & \\ & \mathbf{1}_s & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \mathbf{1}_s & \\ & & & & \mathbf{1}_s \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{1}_{(K:k)s},$$

where $\mathbf{1}_s$ is the $s \times s$ identity matrix. Since every element $G(X) \in \mathfrak{D}$ can be written as

$$G(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{(K:k)-1} g_i(T)(1+X)^i,$$

where $g_i(T) \in \text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_D[[T]])$, extending these assignments as

$$\Phi(G(X)) := \sum_{i=0}^{(K:k)-1} \Phi(g_i(T))\Phi(1+X)^i$$

indeed defines a homomorphism as in (3.8). Moreover, for all $d \in D$, the multiplication rule

$$\Phi(1+X)\Phi(d) = \tau(\Phi(d))\Phi(1+X)$$

of skew power series rings is satisfied: indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(1+X)\Phi(d) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} & \mathbf{1}_s & & & \\ & \mathbf{1}_s & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \mathbf{1}_s & \\ (1+X)^{(K:k)}\mathbf{1}_s & & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(d) & & & & \\ \tau(\varphi(d)) & & & & \\ & \tau^2(\varphi(d)) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \tau^{(K:k)-1}(\varphi(d)) & \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} & \tau(\varphi(d)) & & & \\ & \tau^2(\varphi(d)) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ (1+X)^{(K:k)}\varphi(d) & & & & \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\varphi(d)) & & & & \\ \tau^2(\varphi(d)) & & & & \\ \tau^3(\varphi(d)) & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \varphi(d) & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_s & & & & \\ & \mathbf{1}_s & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \mathbf{1}_s & \\ & & & & \mathbf{1}_s \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \tau(\Phi(d))\Phi(1+X). \end{aligned}$$

So Φ is an \mathfrak{L} -algebra homomorphism. Since \mathfrak{D} is a skew field, the kernel of Φ is either zero or \mathfrak{D} , and it is clear from the definition of Φ that it is not the zero map. Hence Φ is injective.

At last, we turn to showing that \mathfrak{E} is a maximal subfield of \mathfrak{D} . Since Φ is an isomorphism onto its image, this is equivalent to showing that $\Phi(\mathfrak{E})$ is a maximal subfield in $\Phi(\mathfrak{D})$.

Let α be a primitive element of the field extension K/k . Then the Galois conjugates $\tau^j(\alpha)$ are pairwise distinct for $0 \leq j < (K : k)$. Let σ be as in (1.7). Then the elements $\sigma^i(\omega)$ are also pairwise distinct for $0 \leq i < s$. Since τ and σ have coprime orders, we conclude that the diagonal matrix $\Phi(\alpha\omega)$ has pairwise distinct entries in its diagonal.

Suppose $A \in \Phi(\mathfrak{D})$ centralises $\Phi(\mathfrak{E})$. Then in particular, it commutes with $\Phi(\alpha\omega)$. By the previous observation, this forces A to be diagonal. But it is clear from the definition of Φ that diagonal matrices have preimage in \mathfrak{E} , hence $A \in \Phi(\mathfrak{E})$, proving that \mathfrak{E} is a maximal (self-centralising) subfield of \mathfrak{D} . \square

Remark 3.9. The above realisation of \mathfrak{D} through matrices is based on ideas of Hasse, which he used in his work on skew fields over local fields. See [Has31, Satz 40] for the original proof, or [Rei03, Theorem 14.6] for a more modern reference.

As the centre of \mathfrak{D} is now determined by Corollary 3.8, we shall use the notation

$$\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}) = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_k[[(1+X)^{(K:k)} - 1]]), \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} := \mathcal{O}_k[[(1+X)^{(K:k)} - 1]]$$

instead of \mathfrak{F} and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ from now on.

3.3. Maximal orders and maximal subfields. We finish this section by a collection of results about the skew power series ring $\mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \delta]]$.

Lemma 3.10. \mathfrak{D} is a maximal $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ -order in \mathfrak{D} .

Proof. The statement follows from [Ven03, Corollary 2.10(iii)], which states that if R is a complete local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , $A = R[[T; \sigma, \delta]]$ a ring of skew power series over R , $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} A$ a noetherian maximal order in $\text{Quot}(\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} A)$, and the reduction $\bar{\sigma}$ of σ to R/\mathfrak{m} is an automorphism, then A is a noetherian maximal order in $\text{Quot}(A)$.

We check that the conditions of this Corollary are satisfied. In Venjakob's notation, $R := \mathcal{O}_D$, $\mathfrak{m} := \pi_D \mathcal{O}_D$, $A := \mathfrak{D}$. The associated graded ring $\text{gr}_{\pi_D \mathcal{O}_D} \mathfrak{D}$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $\overline{\mathcal{O}_D}[t]$ where $\overline{\mathcal{O}_D} = \mathcal{O}_D / \pi_D \mathcal{O}_D$ is the residue field; a priori, this should be a residue skew field, but seeing that it is the same as $\overline{K}(\omega)$ shows that it is actually a field. Just as in the commutative case, the isomorphism is given by

$$\text{gr}_{\pi_D \mathcal{O}_D} \mathfrak{D} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_D \pi_D^i / \mathcal{O}_D \pi_D^{i+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{K}(\omega)[t]$$

$$[\pi_D^i \bmod \pi_D^{i+1}] \mapsto t^i$$

The polynomial ring $\overline{K}(\omega)[t]$ is noetherian, and a commutative PID, hence a normal ring (see [Sta23, Lemma 00GZ]), and thus a maximal order in its field of fractions $\overline{K}(\omega)(t)$.

Moreover, \mathcal{O}_D is indeed complete in the $\pi_D \mathcal{O}_D$ -adic topology. Finally, the map τ is an automorphism, and therefore so is its reduction to the residue field $\overline{K}(\omega)[t]$. Thus the cited Corollary is indeed applicable. \square

Lemma 3.11. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ be a prime ideal of height 1. Then there is a unique maximal $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}), \mathfrak{p}}$ -order in $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}), \mathfrak{p}}$ is the ring obtained by first localising $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ at \mathfrak{p} and then taking completion in the \mathfrak{p} -adic topology, and $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \text{Frac}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}), \mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \mathfrak{D}$.

Proof. The localisation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}), \mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ at \mathfrak{p} is a discrete valuation ring, see [Rei03, Theorem 4.25(i)]. Therefore its completion $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}), \mathfrak{p}}$ is a complete Hausdorff discrete valuation ring. Then the assertion is a special case of the existence and uniqueness statement for maximal orders in skew fields over complete discretely valued fields, see [Rei03, Theorem 12.8]. \square

Proposition 3.12. \mathfrak{D} is the unique maximal $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ -order in \mathfrak{D} .

Proof. Let Δ be a maximal $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ -order in \mathfrak{D} . Since the property of being a maximal order can be checked locally at height 1 primes or at the (algebraic) completion [Rei03, Theorems 11.4–5], the completed localisation $\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}),\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}} \Delta$ is a maximal $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}),\mathfrak{p}}$ -order in $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all height 1 prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$.

According to Lemma 3.10, \mathfrak{D} is a maximal $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ -order in \mathfrak{D} . Lemma 3.11 shows that for all height 1 prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$,

$$\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}),\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}} \mathfrak{D}$ is the completed localisation of \mathfrak{D} at \mathfrak{p} . We conclude that for all height 1 prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$,

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{D} \cap \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{D} \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Consequently, we have the following chain of equalities:

$$\Delta = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{D}.$$

The first and the last equalities are due to [Rei03, Theorem 4.25(iv)] and the fact that Δ and \mathfrak{D} are reflexive by [Rei03, Theorem 11.4]. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let $E \subseteq D$ be a maximal subfield. Then $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_E[[(1+X)^{(K:k)} - 1]])$ is a maximal subfield of \mathfrak{D} . In particular, $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\omega)}[[(1+X)^{(K:k)} - 1]])$ resp. its image are maximal subfields.*

Remark 3.14. For $E = K(\omega)$, this was already shown in the proof of Corollary 3.8.

Proof of Lemma 3.13. The ring in question is clearly a subfield. Its dimension over the centre is $(E : k) = (E : K)(K : k) = s \cdot (K : k)$, which is the Schur index of \mathfrak{D} by Corollary 3.8. The assertion follows from [Rei03, Corollary 28.10]. \square

As an aside, we state a result regarding \mathfrak{D} which shall not be used later. For this, we need to recall some notions about noncommutative rings. For us, a UFD is a noetherian domain A such that all height 1 primes \mathfrak{p} of A are principal, and the quotient ring A/\mathfrak{p} is a domain. In the context of the following proof only, a PID will be understood to be a ring whose two-sided ideals are all principal. This is in line with the terminology of [Ven03]. Note that there exists a stricter definition of a noncommutative PID, which requires that all left ideals and all right ideals be principal.

Lemma 3.15. $\mathfrak{D} = \mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]]$ is a UFD.

Proof. The assertion follows directly from [Ven03, Corollary 7.4]. This states that if R is a local PID with maximal ideal generated by $\pi \in R$ such that R is complete with respect to the π -adic topology, and if σ is an endomorphism of R such that its reduction to $R/\pi R$ is an automorphism, then $R[[Y; \sigma, \delta]]$ is a UFD for all σ -derivations δ such that the multiplication law is well-defined.

Indeed, this result is applicable in our setting: the base ring \mathcal{O}_D is a local principal ideal domain with π_D generating the maximal ideal, with respect to which \mathcal{O}_D is complete; and τ is an automorphism of \mathcal{O}_D , thus it also induces an automorphism on $\mathcal{O}_D/\pi_D \mathcal{O}_D$. \square

4. THE WEDDERBURN DECOMPOSITION

4.1. Wedderburn decompositions and their skew fields. The group ring $F[H]$ is semisimple by Maschke's theorem. Consider its Wedderburn decomposition

$$F[H] = \bigoplus_{\eta \in \text{Irr}(H)/\sim_F} M_{n_{\eta}}(D_{\eta}),$$

where the equivalence relation is as in (1.1), and each D_η is a finite dimensional skew field over its centre $F(\eta)$. In particular, the statements in Section 1.4 apply to D_η . Let \mathcal{O}_{D_η} denote the unique maximal \mathcal{O}_F -order in D_η .

We introduce some notation. Fix a uniformiser π_η of $F(\eta)$, and write π_{D_η} resp. ω for the elements π_D resp. ω of Section 1.4. Then $\pi_\eta = \pi_{D_\eta}^{s_\eta}$, and ω is a root of unity of order $q_\eta^{s_\eta}$, where q_η is the order of the residue field of $F(\eta)$, and s_η denotes the Schur index of η . Recall the well-known relationship

$$(4.1) \quad \eta(1) = s_\eta n_\eta.$$

between degree, Schur index and the size of the matrix ring; see e.g. [CR87, Remark 74.10(ii)]. Note that $\eta(1)$ is independent of F while s_η and n_η are not.

Setting $\tilde{D}_\eta := \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)}(\Gamma_0) \otimes_{F(\eta)} D_\eta$, the Wedderburn decomposition of $F[H]$ induces the following decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]$:

$$\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H] = \bigoplus_{\eta \in \text{Irr}(H)/\sim_F} M_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta).$$

Lemma 4.1. *\tilde{D}_η is a skew field with centre $\mathfrak{z}(\tilde{D}_\eta) = \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)}(\Gamma_0)$ and Schur index s_η .*

Proof. The ring \tilde{D}_η is a simple algebra with centre $\mathfrak{z}(\tilde{D}_\eta) = \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)}(\Gamma_0)$ by [Rei03, Theorem 7.6].

We now prove that \tilde{D}_η is a skew field; the argument is essentially the same as in [NP19, Lemma 2.10]. Let \mathfrak{S} denote the image of the embedding

$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}}(\Gamma_0) - \{0\} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}}(\Gamma_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}} \mathcal{O}_{D_\eta}.$$

Then \mathfrak{S} is a multiplicatively closed subset, it is central in \tilde{D}_η , and contains no zero divisors. Moreover, \tilde{D}_η is the localisation of $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}}(\Gamma_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}} \mathcal{O}_{D_\eta}$ at \mathfrak{S} . Therefore by the previously listed properties of \mathfrak{S} , the localisation \tilde{D}_η is a skew field if and only if $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}}(\Gamma_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}} \mathcal{O}_{D_\eta}$ is a domain. Fix an isomorphism $\Lambda(\Gamma_0) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ sending $\gamma_0 \mapsto (1+T)$. This extends to an isomorphism

$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}}(\Gamma_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}} \mathcal{O}_{D_\eta} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{D_\eta}[[T]].$$

The ring of formal power series over a domain is a domain. Hence \tilde{D}_η is a skew field.

To show the assertion on the Schur index, consider the subfield $\mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)}(\Gamma_0)$ of \tilde{D}_η . Using the fact that $F(\eta)(\omega)$ is a splitting field for D_η , a routine computation shows that this is a splitting field for \tilde{D}_η :

$$\tilde{D}_\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)}(\Gamma_0)} \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)}(\Gamma_0) = M_{s_\eta} \left(\mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)}(\Gamma_0) \right).$$

The Schur index can be read off from the size of the matrix ring. □

The algebra $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ is semisimple artinian: we write

$$\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})/\sim_F} M_{n_\chi}(D_\chi)$$

for its Wedderburn decomposition. Let s_χ denote the Schur index of D_χ : then analogously to (4.1), we have $\chi(1) = s_\chi n_\chi$, see [Nic14, Corollary 1.9].

Definition 4.2. For $1 \leq j \leq n_\eta$, let $f_\eta^{(j)}$ be the element corresponding to the $n_\eta \times n_\eta$ matrix with zeros everywhere but in the j th entry of the diagonal and 1 there, under the isomorphism

$$\varepsilon(\eta)F[H] \simeq M_{n_\eta}(D_\eta)$$

$$f_\eta^{(j)} \leftrightarrow j \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & j \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & \dots & & & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & \dots \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

These are indecomposable, not necessarily central idempotents, and the following identities hold:

$$(f_\eta^{(j)})^2 = f_\eta^{(j)} = f_\eta^{(j)} \varepsilon(\eta), \quad \varepsilon(\eta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_\eta} f_\eta^{(j)}.$$

The last equality holds because the matrices corresponding to the $f_\eta^{(j)}$ s sum up to the identity matrix in $M_{n_\eta}(D_\eta)$, which in turn corresponds to the identity element $\varepsilon(\eta)$ in $\varepsilon(\eta)F[H]$. Furthermore,

$$(4.2) \quad D_\eta \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_{n_\eta}(D_\eta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \simeq f_\eta^{(j)} F[H] \varepsilon(\eta) f_\eta^{(j)} = f_\eta^{(j)} F[H] f_\eta^{(j)}.$$

Indeed, multiplying an $n \times n$ matrix by the matrix corresponding to $f_\eta^{(j)}$ from both left and right just means picking the j th diagonal element.

The above constructions also work in the group ring $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]$, that is, after tensoring with $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)$:

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{D}_\eta \simeq f_\eta^{(j)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H] f_\eta^{(j)}.$$

Here we tacitly identify $f_\eta^{(j)}$ with $1 \otimes f_\eta^{(j)}$, and we shall continue to do so in the sequel. These idempotents were used to study Wedderburn decompositions of Iwasawa algebras in [Nic14, Theorem 1.11] and in [Lau12a, Theorem 1].

Analogously, one can define $f_\chi^{(j)}$ as

$$\varepsilon_\chi \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \simeq M_{n_\chi}(D_\chi) \\ f_\chi^{(j)} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The relationship between the idempotents $f_\chi^{(j)}$ resp. $f_\eta^{(j')}$ associated with χ resp. η , where η is an irreducible constituent of $\text{res}_H^\mathcal{G} \chi$, will be studied in Section 6.2.

4.2. The extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$. As before, let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We begin this section by describing the Galois group of $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ in more detail: we show that it is cyclic, and describe a generator which behaves well with respect to the group action of Γ .

Definition 4.3. Let v_χ be the minimal positive exponent such that γ^{v_χ} acts as a Galois automorphism on η :

$$v_\chi := \min \left\{ 0 < i \leq w_\chi : \exists \tau \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F), \gamma^i \eta = \tau \eta \right\}.$$

Note that $v_\chi \mid w_\chi$ since $\gamma^{w_\chi} \eta = \eta$ by definition of w_χ . We write v_χ^F whenever we want to emphasise that the ground field is F .

Remark 4.4. The number $v_\chi^{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ was introduced in [Lau12a, p. 1223]. There is a typo in that definition: if $i = 0$ would be allowed then $\tau = \text{id}$ would satisfy the conditions, and $v_\chi^{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ would always be zero.

Recall from (1.3) that ν_χ is the number of irreducible characters of H whose Galois orbits sum up to $\text{res}_H^G \chi$.

Proposition 4.5. *The Galois group $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_\chi)$ is cyclic of order w_χ/v_χ , and $\nu_\chi = v_\chi$. Any $\tau \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$ such that $\gamma^{v_\chi} \eta = \tau \eta$ is a generator of $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_\chi)$, and in fact, there is exactly one such τ .*

In the sequel, the symbol $\tau = \tau^F$ shall denote this unique automorphism (or an extension of it, as constructed in Section 2). We shall no longer use the non-standard notation ν_χ , always writing v_χ instead.

Remark 4.6. Proposition 4.5 is an improvement upon [Nic14, Lemma 1.1], where it was shown that $w_\chi = \nu_\chi(F(\eta) : F_\chi)$. Furthermore, it is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.5 that the fixed field L occurring in Lau's description of the centre of D_χ is in fact $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\chi}$; see Proposition 1 and Theorem 1 of [Lau12a].

Proof. Let $\tau \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$ be as in the definition of v_χ . We first show that it is in fact in the subgroup $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_\chi)$. \ddagger Suppose that this is not the case, that is, τ does not preserve some element of $F_\chi = F(\chi(h) : h \in H)$. It follows that

$$\tau(\text{res}_H^G \chi) \neq \text{res}_H^G \chi.$$

Notice that the character on the left hand side contains $\tau \eta$ as a summand since $\eta \mid \text{res}_H^G \chi$, and that the right hand side contains $\gamma^{v_\chi} \eta$ as a summand since $\text{res}_H^G \chi = \sum_{i=0}^{w_\chi-1} \gamma^i \eta$. We now exploit these observations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_H^G \chi &= \sum_{i=0}^{w_\chi-1} \gamma^i \eta = \sum_{i=0}^{w_\chi-1} \gamma^i (\gamma^{v_\chi} \eta) && \text{shifting } i \text{ by } v_\chi \text{ modulo } w_\chi \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{w_\chi-1} \gamma^i (\tau \eta) && \gamma^{v_\chi} \eta = \tau \eta \\ &= \tau \left(\sum_{i=0}^{w_\chi-1} \gamma^i \eta \right) && \gamma^i (\tau \eta) = \tau (\gamma^i \eta) \\ &= \tau (\text{res}_H^G \chi). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts $\tau(\text{res}_H^G \chi) \neq \text{res}_H^G \chi$, \ddagger hence $\tau \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_\chi)$. In the penultimate step, the statement that the actions of τ and Γ on η commute follows directly from their definitions: indeed, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $h \in H$,

$$({}^g (\tau \eta))(h) = (\tau \eta)(ghg^{-1}) = \tau(\eta(ghg^{-1})) = \tau({}^g \eta(h)) = (\tau({}^g \eta))(h).$$

Consider the characters $\gamma^k \eta$ for $0 \leq k < v_\chi$. These are all in separate $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$ -orbits: that is, for any $\psi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$ and $0 \leq k, k' < v_\chi$ distinct, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \gamma^k \eta \neq \psi(\gamma^{k'} \eta).$$

\ddagger Indeed, suppose the contrary $\gamma^k \eta = \psi(\gamma^{k'} \eta)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $k > k'$, and then $\gamma^{k-k'} \eta = \psi \eta$ because the γ - and Galois actions commute. But $0 < k - k' \leq k < v_\chi$, which contradicts minimality in the definition of v_χ . \ddagger

We will now play the two decompositions of $\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$ off against each other:

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\nu_{\chi}-1} \sum_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_{\chi})} \psi \eta_j = \text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi = \sum_{i=0}^{w_{\chi}-1} \gamma^i \eta = \sum_{k=0}^{v_{\chi}-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\frac{w_{\chi}}{v_{\chi}}-1} \tau^{\ell} \left(\gamma^k \eta \right).$$

where τ is still as in the definition of v_{χ} , and η_j was defined in (1.3). Observe that the summands on the right hand side are all different, that is,

$$\tau^{\ell} \left(\gamma^k \eta \right) \neq \tau^{\ell'} \left(\gamma^{k'} \eta \right) \text{ unless } \ell = \ell' \text{ and } k = k'.$$

Indeed, for $k \neq k'$, this is (4.4). For $k = k'$, this is because $\tau^{\ell} \left(\gamma^k \eta \right) = \tau^{\ell'} \left(\gamma^k \eta \right)$ is equivalent to $\eta = \tau^{\ell'-\ell} \eta$, which means that $\tau^{\ell'-\ell}$ fixes $F(\eta)$, that is, it is the identity automorphism, and so $\ell' = \ell$. (In fact, the same argument shows that if $\psi, \psi' \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$ then $\psi \left(\gamma^k \eta \right) \neq \psi' \left(\gamma^{k'} \eta \right)$ unless $\psi = \psi'$ and $k = k'$.)

On the left hand side of (4.5), we have full Galois orbits, so this must also be true on the right hand side. It follows that $\nu_{\chi} = v_{\chi}$, and we may assume $\eta_{(k)} = \gamma^k \eta$ up to renumbering. Moreover, $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_{\chi})$ is generated by τ ; in particular, it is cyclic of order w_{χ}/v_{χ} .

Finally, we show that there is only one $\tau \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_{\chi})$ for which $\gamma^{v_{\chi}} \eta = \tau \eta$. As we have already seen, any such element is a generator of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_{\chi})$. The Galois group is a p -group, therefore generators are of the form τ^n with $p \nmid n$, n a positive integer. The condition in the definition of v_{χ} reads

$$\tau^n \eta = \gamma^{v_{\chi}} \eta.$$

The left hand side here is equal to $\gamma^{nv_{\chi}} \eta$, thus the n s satisfying this condition are precisely the ones for which $nv_{\chi} \equiv v_{\chi} \pmod{w_{\chi}}$, or equivalently $n \equiv 1 \pmod{w_{\chi}/v_{\chi}}$. Since the Galois group has order w_{χ}/v_{χ} , this proves uniqueness. \square

Remark 4.7. Proposition 4.5 also shows that v_{χ} does not depend on the choice of the topological generator γ : indeed, $v_{\chi} = w_{\chi}/(F(\eta) : F_{\chi})$, and neither the index $w_{\chi} = (\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G}_{\eta})$ nor the degree $(F(\eta) : F_{\chi})$ depend on the choice of γ .

Remark 4.8. We describe how τ changes upon choosing a different topological generator of Γ . Let γ_1 be another topological generator of Γ , and let τ_1 be the automorphism associated with it by Proposition 4.5. Then $\gamma_1 = \gamma^z$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$. Using the defining property of τ and τ_1 and the fact that v_{χ} does not depend on the choice of a topological generator (Remark 4.7), we have the following sequence of equalities:

$$\tau_1 \eta = (\gamma_1)^{v_{\chi}} \eta = (\gamma^z)^{v_{\chi}} \eta = (\gamma^{v_{\chi}})^z \eta = \tau^z \eta.$$

The uniqueness part of Proposition 4.5 shows that $\tau_1 = \tau^z$.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $F_{\chi} \subseteq E \subseteq F(\eta)$, and let τ^F resp. τ^E denote the automorphisms given by Proposition 4.5 for the ground fields F resp. E . Then $\tau^E = (\tau^F)^{(E:F_{\chi})}$ and $v_{\chi}^E = v_{\chi}^F(E : F_{\chi})$.*

Proof. Since the extension $F(\eta)/F_{\chi}$ is cyclic, it is clear that $(\tau^F)^{(E:F_{\chi})} \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/E)$, and this is a generator. Moreover, $(\tau^F)^{(E:F_{\chi})} \eta = \gamma^{v_{\chi}^F(E:F_{\chi})} \eta$, hence $v_{\chi}^E \mid v_{\chi}^F(E : F_{\chi})$. Let us write $v_{\chi}^E t = v_{\chi}^F(E : F_{\chi})$.

Suppose that $\psi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/E)$ is such that $\psi \eta = \gamma^{v_{\chi}^E} \eta$. Then ψ is also a generator, and $\psi^t \eta = \gamma^{v_{\chi}^E t} \eta = \gamma^{v_{\chi}^F(E:F_{\chi})} \eta = (\tau^F)^{(E:F_{\chi})} \eta$, which shows that $\psi^t = (\tau^F)^{(E:F_{\chi})}$. Since both ψ and $\psi^t = (\tau^F)^{(E:F_{\chi})}$ are generators of the finite p -group $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/E)$, we have $p \nmid t$. On the other hand, $v_{\chi}^F(E : F_{\chi})$ is a p -power, and thus so is t . Hence $t = 1$, which finishes the proof. \square

Write r_η/s_η for the Hasse invariant of D_η . We apply the results of Section 2 with $D := D_\eta$ and $K := F(\eta)$, $\pi_K := \pi_\eta$, $q := q_\eta$, $s := s_\eta$, $r := r_\eta$, $\omega := \omega$, $\tau := \tau$ and $k := F_\chi$. The extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is a Galois p -extension: its degree divides the p -power w_χ ; moreover, it was shown in Proposition 4.5 that $F(\eta)^{\langle \tau \rangle} = F_\chi$. The condition on the Schur index is satisfied by Theorem 1.1: we have divisibilities $s_\eta \mid (p-1) \mid (q_\tau - 1)$. So the extension process is applicable. Finally, we extend τ from D_η to \tilde{D}_η by letting $\tau(\gamma_0) := \gamma_0$.

4.3. Galois theory of D_η . Let e resp. f denote the ramification index resp. inertia degree of the extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$; note that these are both powers of p . Consider the subgroup $\langle \tau^e \rangle$ of the automorphism group of D_η , and let $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ denote its fixed skew field. Let s^* denote its Schur index.

Lemma 4.10. *The skew field $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ has centre $F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$.*

Proof. Since D_η has centre $F(\eta)$, the fixed skew field has centre containing $F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$. It remains to show that every central element of $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ is central in D_η . For this, it is sufficient to show that it commutes with the two $F(\eta)$ -generators π_{D_η} and ω . Let z be central in $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$.

Consider the element $t := \tau^e(\pi_{D_\eta}) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau^{ef}(\pi_{D_\eta})$. This is τ^e -invariant, hence contained in $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$. As seen in Lemma 2.4, τ acts on π_{D_η} as multiplication by a central unit, wherefore t is a central unit times $\pi_{D_\eta}^f$. Since $\pi_{D_\eta}^{s_\eta}$ is central, and s_η and f are coprime, there is a power of t that is a central element times π_{D_η} . It follows that $z\pi_{D_\eta} = \pi_{D_\eta}z$.

Now consider $u := \tau^e(\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau^{ef}(\omega)$. Letting q denote the residue order of $F(\eta)$, we may write $\tau^e(\omega) = \omega^{q^n}$ where $1 \leq n < s_\eta$. Then $u = \omega^{(q^{nf}-1)/(q-1)}$. We claim that u is also a primitive root of unity of order $q^{s_\eta} - 1$. Then since $zu = uz$, it follows that $z\omega = \omega z$ as desired. It remains to show that u has the claimed order, which is equivalent to

$$\gcd\left(\frac{q^{nf}-1}{q^n-1}, q^{s_\eta}-1\right) = 1.$$

Let a be the greatest common divisor on the left hand side; then a surely divides $\gcd(q^{nf}-1, q^{s_\eta}-1) = q^{\gcd(nf, s_\eta)} - 1 = q^{\gcd(n, s_\eta)} - 1$, where in the last step we used $\gcd(f, s_\eta) = 1$. In particular, $a \mid q^n - 1$, and so $q^{ni} \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$ for all $i \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\frac{q^{nf}-1}{q^n-1} = q^{n(f-1)} + \dots + q^n + 1 \equiv f \pmod{a}$$

This shows $a \mid f$. But f is a power of p while $a \mid q^{s_\eta} - 1$, so this is possible only if $a = 1$. \square

By Galois theory (of fields), we have $(F(\eta) : F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}) = f$ and $(F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle} : F_\chi) = e$. Recall the following corollary of Hilbert's theorem 90 for GL_n , see [Ser80, Proposition X.1.3]:

Lemma 4.11. *Let L/K be a finite Galois extension and V a finite dimensional L -vector space equipped with a semilinear action of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. Then $L \otimes_K V^{\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)} \rightarrow V$, $a \otimes v \mapsto v$ is an isomorphism of E -vector spaces.*

Lemma 4.12. *We have $\dim_{D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}} D_\eta = f$ and $s^* = s_\eta$.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.11 with $L := F(\eta)$, $K := F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$, $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) = \langle \tau^e \rangle$, $V := D_\eta$, we get an isomorphism of $F(\eta)$ -vector spaces

$$(4.6) \quad F(\eta) \otimes_{F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}} D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_\eta.$$

We compute the $F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ -dimension of both sides. On the right hand side of (4.6), we can do this in two ways:


$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}}(D_\eta) &= \dim_{F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}}(D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}) \cdot \dim_{D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}} D_\eta = (s^*)^2 \cdot \dim_{D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}} D_\eta, \\ \dim_{F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}}(D_\eta) &= (F(\eta) : F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}) \cdot \dim_{F(\eta)} D_\eta = f \cdot (s_\eta)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the first equation, we used Lemma 4.10. On the left hand side of (4.6), since $F(\eta)$ and $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ are disjoint over $F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$, we have

$$\dim_{F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}}(F(\eta) \otimes_{F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}} D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}) = (F(\eta) : F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}) \dim_{F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}} D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle} = f \cdot (s^*)^2,$$

again using Lemma 4.10. Since all these dimensions agree, we conclude that $\dim_{D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}} D_\eta = f$ and $s^* = s_\eta$. \square

The skew fields above and their respective dimensions are depicted in the following diagram.



4.4. Description of the Wedderburn decomposition. The following Theorems 4.13 and 4.14 describe the Wedderburn decomposition

$$\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\chi) / \sim_F} M_{n_\chi}(D_\chi).$$

Theorem 4.13. *Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let e resp. f denote the ramification index resp. inertia degree of the extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$. Then*

- (i) $n_\chi = n_\eta f v_\chi$,
- (ii) $s_\chi = s_\eta e$.

The two assertions are equivalent because $n_\chi s_\chi = \chi(1) = w_\chi \eta(1) = e f v_\chi \eta(1) = e f v_\chi n_\eta s_\eta$.

Let $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ denote the skew field fixed by τ^e inside D_η . This is a skew field of Schur index s_η with centre $F(\eta)(\omega)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$. Let $\bar{\tau}$ denote the image of τ under the natural map $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)(\omega)/F_\chi) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F(\eta)(\omega)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}/F_\chi)$ resp. its unique extension to $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ as an automorphism of order e .

Theorem 4.14. *Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let e resp. f denote the ramification index resp. inertia degree of the extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$. Then*

$$D_\chi \simeq \text{Quot} \left(\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}}[[X, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau} - \text{id}]] \right).$$

We will first prove Theorems 4.13 and 4.14 in the case when $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified in Section 6. We then extend these results to the general case in Section 7.

Corollary 4.15. *Every maximal $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)$ -order in $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ is isomorphic to one of the form*

$$\bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})/\sim_F} u_\chi M_{n_\chi} \left(\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}}[[X; \bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau} - \text{id}]] \right) u_\chi^{-1}$$

where $u_\chi \in \text{GL}_{n_\chi}(\text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}}[[X; \bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau} - \text{id}]])$.

Proof. Let us write $\mathfrak{D} := \mathcal{O}_{D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}}[[X; \bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau} - \text{id}]]$ and $\mathfrak{D} := \text{Quot}(\mathfrak{D})$. Proposition 3.12 states that \mathfrak{D} is the unique maximal $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ -order in \mathfrak{D} . Therefore $M_{n_\chi}(\mathfrak{D})$ is a maximal $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ -order in $M_{n_\chi}(\mathfrak{D})$ by [Rei03, Theorem 8.7].

The ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ is a DVR and $M_{n_\chi}(\mathfrak{D})$ is a central simple $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})$ -algebra, therefore [Rei03, Theorem 18.7(iii)] is applicable, which states that every maximal $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ -order in $M_{n_\chi}(\mathfrak{D})$ is of the form $u_\chi M_{n_\chi}(\mathfrak{D}) u_\chi^{-1}$ where u_χ is as in the statement.

The module $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(D_\chi)}$ is finitely generated over $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)$. It follows that $M_{n_\chi}(\mathfrak{D})$ is also a maximal $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)$ -order in $M_{n_\chi}(\mathfrak{D})$. The proof is concluded by invoking the fact that maximal orders behave well with respect to direct sums, cf. [Rei03, Theorem 10.5(ii)]. \square

5. GALOIS ACTION AND Γ -ACTION

Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . In this section, we begin investigating the Wedderburn decomposition of the semisimple ring $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$.

The Wedderburn decomposition of the group ring $F[H]$ is well understood: the skew fields occurring can be described explicitly. Therefore the same holds for $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]$. A fundamental idea is that together with the decomposition (1.2), this provides a way to attack $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$.

5.1. δ_γ and δ_τ . Consider the abstract Wedderburn isomorphism of the central simple $F(\eta)$ -algebras

$$(5.1) \quad F[H]\varepsilon(\eta) \simeq M_{n_\eta}(D_\eta).$$

Upon tensoring with $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)$, this gives rise to the following isomorphism of central simple $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)$ -algebras

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta) \simeq M_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta).$$

On the left hand side, we have an action of conjugation by γ^{v_χ} . This is because H is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{G} , and $\gamma^{v_\chi} e(\sigma\eta) \gamma^{-v_\chi} = e(\tau^\sigma\eta)$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$, so conjugation by γ^{v_χ} acts by permuting the summands $e(\sigma\eta)$ of $\varepsilon(\eta)$.

Remark 5.1. Note that v_χ is the minimal positive integer for which conjugation by γ^{v_χ} acts on $F[H]\varepsilon(\eta)$. Indeed, in the proof of Proposition 4.5 we have seen that for $0 \leq k, k' < v_\chi$ and $\psi, \psi' \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$, we have $\psi(\gamma^k\eta) \neq \psi'(\gamma^{k'}\eta)$ unless $\psi = \psi'$ and $k = k'$. Hence conjugation by γ^k does not preserve $F[H]\varepsilon(\eta)$.

On the right hand side of (5.2), there is an entry-wise action of τ . We shall now relate these two actions. Let x resp. X be elements corresponding to each other under the Wedderburn isomorphism (5.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta) &\simeq M_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta) \\ x &\leftrightarrow X \end{aligned}$$

We adopt the convention of denoting elements corresponding to each other by the same letter, lowercase on the left and uppercase on the right.

To emphasise where each action is coming from, we introduce the following notation. We write $\gamma^{v_\chi} x \gamma^{-v_\chi} = \gamma^{v_\chi} x$ for the γ^{v_χ} -conjugate on the left, and $\delta_\gamma(X)$ for the corresponding element on

the right. Similarly, on the right hand side we write $\tau(X)$ for the matrix obtained from X by applying τ entry-wise, and let $\delta_\tau(x)$ be the corresponding element on the left hand side. This defines automorphisms on the both sides of (5.2):

$$\delta_\gamma \in \text{Aut}(M_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta)), \quad \delta_\tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)).$$

Remark 5.2. Caveat: one could also let τ act coefficient-wise on the left hand side, but since all coefficients are in F , this would just be the trivial action. This is not the same as δ_τ , and we shall not use this action.

Remark 5.3. Everything in this section really takes place over finite groups. Indeed, since χ has open kernel in \mathcal{G} , the action factors through a finite quotient of \mathcal{G} containing H as a normal subgroup. Conjugation by γ^{v_x} acts the same as conjugation by the image of γ^{v_x} in this quotient group. Moreover, we could have avoided tensoring by $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)$: indeed, since Γ_0 is central, conjugation acts trivially, and τ was extended so that $\tau(\gamma_0) = \gamma_0$. We nevertheless state everything in terms of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)$ -tensoring algebras, as this is the form in which results of this section will be used in the sequel.

Proposition 5.4. *The two actions just defined agree on the respective centres. In formulæ: on the group ring side,*

$$\gamma^{v_x}(-)|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta))} = \delta_\tau|_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta))},$$

and equivalently on the matrix ring side,

$$\delta_\gamma|_{\mathfrak{z}(M_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta))} = \tau|_{\mathfrak{z}(M_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta))}.$$

Proof. By definition of δ_τ and δ_γ , the two statements are equivalent, so it is enough to prove one of them: we shall prove the former. In the proof, we will work over the group ring $F[H]$ instead of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]$, which is permissible by Remark 5.3.

Consider the following commutative diagram, which we explain below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F[H]\varepsilon(\eta) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & M_{n_\eta}(D_\eta) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F^c[H]\varepsilon(\eta) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} M_{\eta(1)}(F^c) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} F^c[H]e(\sigma\eta) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} M_{\eta(1)}(F^c)E(\sigma\eta) \end{array}$$

The first row is the Wedderburn isomorphism (5.1). The second row is obtained by extending scalars to F^c , i.e. tensoring with F^c over F . It's clear what happens on the left hand side. On the right hand side, recall that F^c is a splitting field for D_η over its centre $F(\eta)$, so there is an isomorphism $F^c \otimes_{F(\eta)} D_\eta \simeq M_{s_\eta}(F^c)$; also recall that $\eta(1) = n_\eta s_\eta$. Since the tensor product is taken over F and not over $F(\eta)$, we get a component for each Galois automorphism in $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$, or in other words, for each embedding $F(\eta) \hookrightarrow F^c$. The vertical map on the left is inclusion. On the right, the map is induced by entry-wise application of the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} D_\eta &\hookrightarrow D_\eta \otimes_{F(\eta), \sigma} F^c \simeq M_{\eta(1)}(F^c) \\ x &\mapsto x \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

in each component.

The third row of the diagram is induced from the second row by the decomposition of $\varepsilon(\eta)$ into components $e(\sigma\eta)$. Let $E(\sigma\eta) \in M_{\eta(1)}(F^c)$ denote the image of $e(\sigma\eta)$ under the isomorphism in the second row; then $E(\sigma\eta)$ is the identity matrix in the σ -component and zero elsewhere. We write

$$\rho_{\sigma\eta} : F^c[H]e(\sigma\eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\eta(1)}(F^c)E(\sigma\eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\eta(1)}(F^c)$$

for the σ -part of the map in the third row. On H , this is a representation with character $\sigma\eta$.

Let $z \in \mathfrak{z}(F[H]\varepsilon(\eta))$ be a central element. Then for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$,

$$\rho_{\sigma\eta}(z) = \frac{1}{\eta(1)} \text{Tr}(\rho_{\sigma\eta}(z)) \cdot E(\sigma\eta) = \frac{1}{\eta(1)} \sigma\eta(z) \cdot E(\sigma\eta),$$

where by abuse of notation, $\sigma\eta$ denotes the F^c -linear extension of the character $\sigma\eta : H \rightarrow F^c$ to the group ring $F^c[H]$.

A central element of $M_{n_\eta}(D_\eta)$ is of the form $Z_\alpha = \alpha \mathbf{1}_{n_\eta}$ where $\alpha \in \mathfrak{z}(D_\eta) = F(\eta)$. Let $z_\alpha \in \mathfrak{z}(F[H]\varepsilon(\eta))$ be the corresponding central element in the group ring under the top horizontal map. By commutativity of the diagram, the images of Z_α and z_α in the bottom right corner coincide:

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} \sigma(Z_\alpha) E(\sigma\eta) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} \frac{1}{\eta(1)} \sigma\eta(z_\alpha) E(\sigma\eta).$$

We compute the image of $\gamma^{v_x} z_\alpha$:

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} \frac{1}{\eta(1)} \sigma\eta(\gamma^{v_x} z_\alpha) E(\sigma\eta) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} \frac{1}{\eta(1)} \sigma^\tau \eta(z_\alpha) E(\sigma\eta) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} \frac{1}{\eta(1)} \tau^\sigma \eta(z_\alpha) E(\sigma\eta) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} \tau(\sigma(Z_\alpha)) E(\sigma\eta) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)} \sigma(Z_{\tau(\alpha)}) E(\sigma\eta). \end{aligned}$$

In this sequence of equations, the first step is applying the definition of τ . The second equality holds because $F(\eta)/F$ is abelian (it is contained in some cyclotomic extension). The third equality is (5.3), and the last one is by definition of Z_α and abelianity of $F(\eta)/F$.

The expression (5.4) is the image of $Z_{\tau(\alpha)}$. The corresponding group ring element is $z_{\tau(\alpha)}$, which, by definition of δ_τ , is the same as $\delta_\tau(z_\alpha)$. This concludes the proof. \square

The automorphism $\delta_\tau^{-1} \circ \gamma^{v_x}(-)$ of the central simple $\mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)}(\Gamma_0)$ -algebra $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)$ is therefore trivial on the centre. Pursuant to the Skolem–Noether theorem, see [CR81, Theorem 3.62], there is a unit $y_\eta \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)^\times$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)$,

$$\delta_\tau^{-1}(\gamma^{v_x} x) = y_\eta \cdot x \cdot y_\eta^{-1}.$$

Equivalently we may write

$$(5.5) \quad \gamma^{v_x} x = \delta_\tau(y_\eta) \cdot \delta_\tau(x) \cdot \delta_\tau(y_\eta^{-1}).$$

The corresponding equations for matrices also hold: if $Y_\eta \in \text{GL}_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta)$ denotes the element corresponding to y_η under (5.2), then for all $X \in M_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta)$,

$$(5.6) \quad \delta_\gamma(X) = \tau(Y_\eta) \cdot \tau(X) \cdot \tau(Y_\eta^{-1}).$$

As pointed out in Remark 5.3, everything takes place over $F[H]$, so in fact we may assume $y_\eta \in F[H]\varepsilon(\eta)^\times$ and $Y_\eta \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_\eta}(D_\eta)$. It should be noted that the Skolem–Noether theorem only determines the units y_η and Y_η up to central units in their respective ambient rings. By induction, (5.5) and (5.6) admit the following generalisations: for all $v_\chi \mid i$,

$$(5.7) \quad \gamma^i x = \delta_\tau(y_\eta) \cdots \delta_\tau^{i/v_\chi}(y_\eta) \cdot \delta_\tau^{i/v_\chi}(x) \cdot \delta_\tau^{i/v_\chi}(y_\eta^{-1}) \cdots \delta_\tau(y_\eta^{-1}),$$

$$(5.8) \quad \delta_\gamma^{i/v_\chi}(X) = \tau(Y_\eta) \cdots \tau^{i/v_\chi}(Y_\eta) \cdot \tau^{i/v_\chi}(X) \cdot \tau^{i/v_\chi}(Y_\eta^{-1}) \cdots \tau(Y_\eta^{-1}).$$

We shall write

$$A_{i/v_\chi} := \tau(Y_\eta) \cdots \tau^{i/v_\chi}(Y_\eta) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_\eta}(D_\eta)$$

for the conjugating element in (5.8), and

$$a_{i/v_\chi} := \delta_\tau(y_\eta) \cdots \delta_\tau^{i/v_\chi}(y_\eta) \in F[H]\varepsilon(\eta)^\times$$

for the corresponding element in (5.7). Here we work with a fixed character η , which is why it's convenient to suppress it from the notation a_{i/v_χ} ; in Section 7.1, we will vary η , and there we will write $a_{\eta,i/v_\chi}$. It follows from the definitions that if $v_\chi \mid i, j$, then

$$(5.9) \quad A_{i/v_\chi} \cdot \tau^{i/v_\chi}(A_{j/v_\chi}) = A_{(i+j)/v_\chi},$$

and similarly

$$(5.10) \quad a_{i/v_\chi} \cdot \delta_\tau^{i/v_\chi}(a_{j/v_\chi}) = a_{(i+j)/v_\chi}.$$

The element $a_{p^{n_0}/v_\chi}$ is central in $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)$. Indeed, for all $x \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)$:

$$(5.11) \quad x = \gamma^0 x = \gamma^{p^{n_0}} x = a_{p^{n_0}/v_\chi} \cdot \delta_\tau^{p^{n_0}/v_\chi}(x) \cdot (a_{p^{n_0}/v_\chi})^{-1} = a_{p^{n_0}/v_\chi} \cdot x \cdot (a_{p^{n_0}/v_\chi})^{-1}.$$

Definition 5.5. Let $\gamma''_\eta := (a_1)^{-1} \gamma^{v_\chi} = \delta_\tau(y_\eta^{-1}) \gamma^{v_\chi}$, and let Γ''_η be the procyclic group generated by γ''_η .

Remark 5.6. The element γ''_η plays a rôle not dissimilar to the element γ_χ of Ritter–Weiss resp. γ'_χ of Nickel, see [RW04, Proposition 5] resp. [Nic14, Lemma 1.2], hence the notation. In analogy with the Ritter–Weiss construction, we will define an element γ''_χ whose $\varepsilon(\eta)$ -component is $(\gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi}$ in Section 7.1.

Lemma 5.7. For $j \geq 1$, we have $(\gamma''_\eta)^j = a_j^{-1} \gamma^{jv_\chi}$.

Proof. Use induction and (5.10):

$$(\gamma''_\eta)^j = (a_1)^{-1} \gamma^{v_\chi} (a_{j-1})^{-1} \gamma^{(j-1)v_\chi} = \delta_\tau(a_{j-1}^{-1}) (a_1)^{-1} \gamma^{jv_\chi} = (a_j)^{-1} \gamma^{jv_\chi}. \quad \square$$

5.2. Conjugating indecomposable idempotents. Later it will be necessary to keep track of what happens to the idempotents $f_\eta^{(j)}$ under conjugation. To ease notation, we restrict our attention to delineating the behaviour of $f_\eta^{(1)}$ with respect to conjugation: the general case of $f_\eta^{(j)}$ is completely analogous.

We shall need the following observation from linear algebra. Let D be a skew field, and let $n \geq 1$. Let $\Pi : M_n(D) \rightarrow D$ be the map sending an $n \times n$ matrix $(x_{i,j})$ to its $(1,1)$ -entry $x_{1,1}$. The map Π is additive and D -linear: in other words, it is a D -vector space homomorphism, where D acts on $M_n(D)$ by left multiplication. Note that Π fails to be multiplicative in general.

Consider the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & \cdots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the subspace of $n \times n$ matrices of this form, Π becomes a D -vector space isomorphism with respect to left D -multiplication:

$$(5.12) \quad \Pi : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_n(D) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} D.$$

On this subspace, Π is also multiplicative: indeed, the product of two such matrices is again a matrix of this shape with the $(1, 1)$ -entries multiplied. That is, Π is a ring isomorphism on this subspace.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $A, B \in \mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{z}(D)$ -vector spaces:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{A,B} : A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} M_n(D) B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} &\xrightarrow{\sim} D \\ A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} X B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} &\mapsto \Pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} X B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.9. The source of $\Pi_{A,B}$ is a subset of $M_n(D)$, but it need not be a sub- D -vector space. It is, however, a $\mathfrak{z}(D)$ -vector space with respect to left multiplication. Different matrices X can lead to the same element on the left, but the map $\Pi_{A,B}$ is still well-defined.

Proof of Lemma 5.8. The assertion is clear from (5.12): the map $\Pi_{A,B}$ is Π precomposed with left multiplication by A and right multiplication by B^{-1} . \square

Lemma 5.10. *Let $A, B, C \in \mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. Then there is the following multiplication rule: for all $X, Y \in M_n(D)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{A,B} \left(A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} X B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} \right) \cdot \Pi_{B,C} \left(B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} Y C \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} C^{-1} \right) &= \\ = \Pi_{A,C} \left(A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} X B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} \cdot B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} Y C \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} C^{-1} \right). & \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.11. On the right hand side, one could cancel B^{-1} and B and one of the two idempotents $\mathrm{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in the middle. The formula is presented in this way because this is the form in which it will be applied.

Proof. The first formula in the statement is, by definition of $\Pi_{A,B}$ resp. $\Pi_{B,C}$,

$$\Pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} X B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \Pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} Y C \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

As seen in (5.12), Π is multiplicative on these matrices, hence this is equal to the following:

$$\Pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} X B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} \cdot B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} Y C \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

This, in turn, is equal to the second formula by definition of $\Pi_{A,C}$, and the equality is thus established. \square

Let us now specialise to the case $D := \tilde{D}_\eta$. Passing through the Wedderburn isomorphism (5.2), the ring isomorphism Π in (5.12) gives rise to a ring isomorphism

$$(5.13) \quad \pi : f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H] \varepsilon(\eta) f_\eta^{(1)} = f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H] f_\eta^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{D}_\eta.$$

Let $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)^\times$ be two units corresponding to $A, B \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{D}_\eta)$. By pre- resp. postcomposing $\Pi_{A,B}$ with the Wedderburn isomorphism (5.2) resp. its inverse, we define maps $\pi_{a,b}$. These are $\mathfrak{z}(\tilde{D}_\eta)$ -vector space isomorphisms (Lemma 5.8) satisfying a multiplication rule analogous to Lemma 5.10.

Regarding the conjugation action studied in Section 5.1, we thus have the following:

Lemma 5.12. *Let $v_\chi \mid i, j$. Then there are $\mathfrak{z}(\tilde{D}_\eta)$ -vector space isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{i,j} : \delta_\gamma^{i/v_\chi} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot M_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta) \cdot \delta_\gamma^{j/v_\chi} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{D}_\eta, \\ \pi_{i,j} : \gamma^i f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta) \cdot \gamma^j f_\eta^{(1)} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{D}_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\Pi = \Pi_{0,0}$ and $\pi = \pi_{0,0}$.

Proof. Let $\Pi_{i,j} := \Pi_{A_i/v_\chi, A_j/v_\chi}$ and apply Lemma 5.8. The isomorphism $\pi_{i,j}$ is then obtained via the Wedderburn isomorphism (5.2). \square

Remark 5.13. The conjugate $\gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(j)} = \gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(j)} \gamma^{-\ell}$ is also an indecomposable idempotent, but it need not be of the form $f_\eta^{(k)}$ for some k . As illustrated by the following example, this is not even the case for the corresponding matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -6 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5.3. Ring structure of $f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(1)}$. The module $f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(1)}$ is a ring: indeed, if $x, y \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$, then addition and multiplication rules in $f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(1)}$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)} + f_\eta^{(1)} y f_\eta^{(1)} &= f_\eta^{(1)} (x + y) f_\eta^{(1)}, \\ f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot f_\eta^{(1)} y f_\eta^{(1)} &= f_\eta^{(1)} (x f_\eta^{(1)} y) f_\eta^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The unity element is $f_\eta^{(1)}$. We will now describe the multiplication rule in more detail.

Under the decomposition (1.2), an element $x \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ can be written as

$$(5.14) \quad x = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p^{n_0}-1} x_\ell \gamma^\ell, \quad x_\ell \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H],$$

and similarly for y . When considering $f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)}$, we may, without loss of generality, restrict the summation to indices ℓ divisible by v_χ . This is parallel to [Lau12a, p. 1224] and [Nic14, p. 610].

Lemma 5.14. *We have $f_\eta^{(1)} x_\ell \gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(1)} = 0$ whenever $v_\chi \nmid \ell$. Therefore*

$$f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} x_\ell \gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(1)} = \sum_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi \mid \ell}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} x_\ell \gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(1)}.$$

Proof. The ε -idempotents of $F[H]$ are orthogonal; consequently, the definitions of $\varepsilon(\eta)$ and v_χ imply that $\varepsilon(\gamma^i \eta) \cdot \varepsilon(\gamma^j \eta) = 0$ whenever $i \not\equiv j \pmod{v_\chi}$. Assume that $v_\chi \nmid \ell$, and use this observation:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\eta^{(1)} x_\ell \gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(1)} &= f_\eta^{(1)} \varepsilon(\eta) x_\ell \gamma^\ell \varepsilon(\eta) f_\eta^{(1)} & f_\eta^{(1)} \varepsilon(\eta) &= f_\eta^{(1)} = \varepsilon(\eta) f_\eta^{(1)} \\ &= f_\eta^{(1)} x_\ell \varepsilon(\eta) \gamma^\ell \varepsilon(\eta) f_\eta^{(1)} & &\text{centrality of } \varepsilon(\eta) \\ &= f_\eta^{(1)} x_\ell \varepsilon(\eta) \varepsilon(\gamma^\ell \eta) \gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(1)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.14 allows us compute products in $f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(1)}$: since all powers of γ occurring in the sum are divisible by v_x , conjugation by them acts as some power of δ_τ as in (5.7).

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.15) \quad & f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot f_\eta^{(1)} y f_\eta^{(1)} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_x | \ell}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} x_\ell \gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(1)} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{\ell'=0 \\ v_x | \ell'}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} y_{\ell'} \gamma^{\ell'} f_\eta^{(1)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ v_x | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot x_\ell \cdot \gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot \gamma^\ell f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot \gamma^{\ell'} y_{\ell'} \cdot \gamma^{\ell+\ell'} f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot \gamma^{\ell+\ell'}
\end{aligned}$$

Expand the conjugation action by using (5.7). Since τ acts trivially on $\text{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0)$, the corresponding automorphism δ_τ also acts trivially on $f_\eta^{(1)}$ by definition.

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.16) \quad &= \sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ v_x | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot x_\ell \cdot a_{\ell/v_x} f_\eta^{(1)} (a_{\ell/v_x})^{-1} \cdot a_{\ell/v_x} f_\eta^{(1)} (a_{\ell/v_x})^{-1} \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot a_{\ell/v_x} \delta_\tau^{\ell/v_x} (y_{\ell'}) a_{\ell/v_x}^{-1} \cdot a_{(\ell+\ell')/v_x} f_\eta^{(1)} (a_{(\ell+\ell')/v_x})^{-1} \cdot \gamma^{\ell+\ell'} \\
(5.17) \quad &= \sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ v_x | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} x_\ell a_{\ell/v_x} f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_\tau^{\ell/v_x} (y_{\ell'}) \delta_\tau^{\ell/v_x} (a_{\ell'/v_x}) f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot (a_{(\ell+\ell')/v_x})^{-1} \cdot \gamma^{\ell+\ell'}
\end{aligned}$$

Upon first glance, it may be unclear that the expression in (5.17) is in the ring $f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(1)}$. However, since $f_\eta^{(1)}$ is an idempotent, nothing changes if we multiply (5.15) by $f_\eta^{(1)}$ on the right. Therefore we may multiply all subsequent expressions by $f_\eta^{(1)}$ on the right, thus ending up with an element of the form $f_\eta^{(1)} z f_\eta^{(1)}$.

In (5.17), we have a description of the general multiplication rule of the ring $f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(1)}$. Notice that this is controlled by the automorphism δ_τ . The element γ''_η , which we have already singled out in Definition 5.5, plays a special rôle:

Lemma 5.15. *Conjugation by γ''_η acts as δ_τ on $f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H] f_\eta^{(1)}$.*

Proof. Let $y_0 \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]$. Compute the action of conjugation by γ''_η on $f_\eta^{(1)} y_0 f_\eta^{(1)}$ using (5.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma''_\eta \cdot f_\eta^{(1)} y_0 f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot (\gamma''_\eta)^{-1} &= (a_1)^{-1} \gamma^{v_x} \cdot f_\eta^{(1)} y_0 f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot \gamma^{-v_x} a_1 \\
&= (a_1)^{-1} \cdot \gamma^{v_x} f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot \gamma^{v_x} y_0 \cdot \gamma^{v_x} f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot \gamma^{v_x} \gamma^{-v_x} \cdot a_1 \\
&= (a_1)^{-1} \cdot a_1 f_\eta^{(1)} (a_1)^{-1} \cdot a_1 \delta_\tau(y_0) (a_1)^{-1} \cdot a_1 f_\eta^{(1)} (a_1)^{-1} \cdot a_1 \\
&= f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_\tau(y_0) f_\eta^{(1)}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

6. THE TOTALLY RAMIFIED CASE

6.1. Indecomposability of group ring idempotents. Let η be an irreducible character of H . The element $f_\eta^{(j)}$ is then an indecomposable idempotent in the group ring $F[H]$, and thus

$$f_\eta^{(j)} F[H] f_\eta^{(j)} \simeq D_\eta,$$

as witnessed by (4.2). This section is devoted to the proof of the following:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\eta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ be an irreducible constituent of $\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$, and let $1 \leq j \leq n_\eta$. Assume that the extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified. Then the algebra $f_\eta^{(j)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(j)}$ is a skew field.*

In other words, Theorem 6.1 states that the idempotent $f_\eta^{(j)}$ remains indecomposable in $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$. For the rest of this section, we fix χ and η as in the statement, and assume that $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified. Without loss of generality, we may restrict our attention to the case $j = 1$.

Theorem 6.1 states that every nonzero element of $f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(1)}$ admits a left and right inverse. Since the two are equivalent, we shall work with left inverses, and move powers of γ to the right: this will make the formulæ arising from the multiplication rule slightly more palatable. Let $x \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ be such that $f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)} \neq 0$. We seek a $y \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ such that $f_\eta^{(1)} y f_\eta^{(1)}$ is a left inverse of $f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)}$.

In other words, we study the question whether the following equation admits a solution:

$$(6.1) \quad f_\eta^{(1)} y f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)} = f_\eta^{(1)} \left(1 \cdot \gamma^0 + 0 \cdot \gamma^1 + \dots + 0 \cdot \gamma^{p^{n_0}-1} \right) f_\eta^{(1)} = f_\eta^{(1)}.$$

Under the decomposition (1.2), the elements x resp. y can be written as

$$x = \sum_{\ell'=0}^{p^{n_0}-1} x_{\ell'} \gamma^{\ell'} \quad \text{resp.} \quad y = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p^{n_0}-1} y_\ell \gamma^\ell, \quad x_{\ell'}, y_\ell \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H].$$

In $f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)}$ resp. $f_\eta^{(1)} y f_\eta^{(1)}$, these summations restrict to indices ℓ' resp. ℓ divisible by v_χ , pursuant to Lemma 5.14. Using the multiplication rule (5.17), the equation (6.1) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ v_\chi | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0}-1} \left(f_\eta^{(1)} y_\ell a_{\ell/v_\chi} f_\eta^{(1)} \right) \cdot \left(f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_\tau^{\ell/v_\chi} (x_{\ell'} a_{\ell'/v_\chi}) f_\eta^{(1)} \right) \cdot (a_{(\ell+\ell')/v_\chi})^{-1} \cdot \gamma^{\ell+\ell'} = f_\eta^{(1)} \gamma^0 f_\eta^{(1)}.$$

This is equivalent to the collection of the following equations for $0 \leq k < p^{n_0}$ and $v_\chi | k$:

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ \ell+\ell' \equiv k \pmod{p^{n_0}} \\ v_\chi | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0}-1} \left(f_\eta^{(1)} y_\ell a_{\ell/v_\chi} f_\eta^{(1)} \right) \cdot \left(f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_\tau^{\ell/v_\chi} (x_{\ell'} a_{\ell'/v_\chi}) f_\eta^{(1)} \right) \cdot (a_{(\ell+\ell')/v_\chi})^{-1} \cdot \gamma_0^t \gamma^k = f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_{0,k} \gamma^k f_\eta^{(1)}.$$

Here $\delta_{0,k}$ is the Kronecker delta, and $t = t(k, \ell)$ is defined by the equation $\ell + \ell' = k + p^{n_0} t$, that is, $t = 1$ if $k < \ell$ (or equivalently $k < \ell'$) and zero otherwise. On the level of coefficients, we have an equation for each k :

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ \ell+\ell' \equiv k \pmod{p^{n_0}} \\ v_\chi | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0}-1} \left(f_\eta^{(1)} y_\ell a_{\ell/v_\chi} f_\eta^{(1)} \right) \cdot \left(f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_\tau^{\ell/v_\chi} (x_{\ell'} a_{\ell'/v_\chi}) f_\eta^{(1)} \right) \cdot (a_{(k+p^{n_0}t)/v_\chi})^{-1} \cdot \gamma_0^t = \delta_{0,k} f_\eta^{(1)}.$$

Consider the factor $a_{(k+p^{n_0}t)/v_\chi}^{-1}$: using (5.10), it can be rewritten as $\delta_\tau^{k/v_\chi} (a_{p^{n_0}t/v_\chi}) a_{k/v_\chi}^{-1}$. The factor a_{k/v_χ}^{-1} depends only on k , and thus can be removed by multiplying the k th equation by a_{k/v_χ} . For $k = 0$, this is just 1, and for $k \neq 0$, the right hand side is zero: in either case, the right hand side does not change. The factor $\delta_\tau^{k/v_\chi} (a_{p^{n_0}t/v_\chi})$ is central in $F(\eta)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)$ by (5.11), so it may be moved inside the second factor in brackets.

In conclusion, applying the isomorphism π to the multiplication rule (5.17), we find that (6.1) is equivalent to the following system of linear equations over \tilde{D}_η , with indeterminates

$$(6.2) \quad \pi(f_\eta^{(1)} y_\ell a_{\ell/v_\chi} f_\eta^{(1)}):$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ \ell + \ell' \equiv k \pmod{p^{n_0}} \\ v_\chi | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0} - 1} \underbrace{\pi \left(f_\eta^{(1)} y_\ell a_{\ell/v_\chi} f_\eta^{(1)} \right)}_{\in \tilde{D}_\eta} \cdot \underbrace{\pi \left(f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_\tau^{\ell/v_\chi} (x_{\ell'} a_{\ell'/v_\chi}) \delta_\tau^{k/v_\chi} (a_{p^{n_0} t/v_\chi})^{-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \right)}_{d_{k, \ell} \in \tilde{D}_\eta} \gamma_0^t = \delta_{0, k}.$$

Here k runs over the numbers $0 \leq k < p^{n_0}$ such that $v_\chi | k$. The two factors in the summation are both in \tilde{D}_η due to Lemma 5.12, with the product operation being the one of \tilde{D}_η (see Lemma 5.10). Note that the second factor inside the summation, denoted by $d_{k, \ell}$, depends only on k and ℓ , since ℓ' and t are determined by these two.

Lemma 6.2. *Equation (6.1) admits a solution if and only if the system of linear equations (6.2) over \tilde{D}_η , with $0 \leq k < p^{n_0}$ and $v_\chi | k$, has a solution.*

Proof. It is clear from the discussion above that if $y \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ is a solution to (6.1), then the equations (6.2) will be satisfied. Conversely, assume that there are $v_\ell \in \tilde{D}_\eta$ given such that they satisfy (6.2), that is,

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ \ell + \ell' \equiv k \pmod{p^{n_0}} \\ v_\chi | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0} - 1} v_\ell \cdot \pi \left(f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_\tau^{\ell/v_\chi} (x_{\ell'} a_{\ell'/v_\chi}) \delta_\tau^{k/v_\chi} (a_{p^{n_0} t/v_\chi})^{-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \right) \gamma_0^t = \delta_{0, k}.$$

Define y_ℓ via the Wedderburn isomorphism as follows:

$$M_{n_\eta}(\tilde{D}_\eta) \simeq \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)$$

$$v_\ell \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow y_\ell (a_{\ell/v_\chi})^{-1}$$

Since $\varepsilon(\eta) \in F[H]$, the element y_ℓ can be viewed as an element in $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]$. Let

$$y := \sum_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi | \ell}}^{p^{n_0} - 1} y_\ell \gamma^\ell \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}).$$

Then by applying π to the multiplication rule (5.17), we have $v_\ell = \pi \left(f_\eta^{(1)} y_\ell a_{\ell/v_\chi} f_\eta^{(1)} \right)$, and thus the equations (6.2) are satisfied, so $f_\eta^{(1)} y f_\eta^{(1)}$ is a left inverse. \square

Let $n := p^{n_0}/v_\chi$, and let M be the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) th entry is

$$d_{(i-1)v_\chi, (j-1)v_\chi} \gamma_0^{t((i-1)v_\chi, (j-1)v_\chi)} = \begin{cases} d_{(i-1)v_\chi, (j-1)v_\chi} \gamma_0 & \text{if } i < j, \\ d_{(i-1)v_\chi, (j-1)v_\chi} & \text{if } i \geq j. \end{cases}$$

The existence of a solution to the system of linear equations (6.2) is thus equivalent to the matrix M being nonsingular.

Given a pair (ℓ, k) in the summation in (6.2), the number ℓ' is uniquely determined. Moreover, the pairs (ℓ, k) and $(\ell + v_\chi, k + v_\chi)$ yield the same ℓ' , since $\ell + \ell' \equiv k \pmod{p^{n_0}}$. Therefore

$$(6.3) \quad d_{k, \ell} = \pi \left(f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_\tau^{\ell/v_\chi} (x_{\ell'} a_{\ell'/v_\chi}) \delta_\tau^{k/v_\chi} (a_{p^{n_0} t/v_\chi})^{-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \right),$$

$$(6.4) \quad d_{k+v_\chi, \ell+v_\chi} = \pi \left(f_\eta^{(1)} \delta_\tau^{(\ell+v_\chi)/v_\chi} (x_{\ell'} a_{\ell'/v_\chi}) \delta_\tau^{(k+v_\chi)/v_\chi} (a_{p^{n_0} t/v_\chi})^{-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \right).$$

Recall that δ_τ comes from the entry-wise τ -action via the Wedderburn isomorphism, and that π comes from the map Π via the Wedderburn isomorphism. Thus (6.3) and (6.4) allow us to deduce that

$$(6.5) \quad \tau(d_{k,\ell}) = d_{k+v_\chi, \ell+v_\chi},$$

where the indices are understood modulo p^{n_0} .

Let us write $m_i := d_{0,iv_\chi}$ for $0 \leq i < n$. The condition $f_\eta^{(1)} x f_\eta^{(1)} \neq 0$ implies that at least one of these m_i s is not zero. Indeed, at least one $f_\eta^{(1)} x_\ell f_\eta^{(1)}$ is nonzero, and this is left unaltered by the application of the automorphism δ_τ or multiplication by the unit $a_{p^{n_0}t/v_\chi}^{-1}$. Then using (6.5), we find that $M \in M_n(\tilde{D}_\eta)$ is of the following shape; recall that τ acts trivially on γ_0 :

$$(6.6) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} m_0 & m_1\gamma_0 & m_2\gamma_0 & \cdots & m_{n-2}\gamma_0 & m_{n-1}\gamma_0 \\ \tau(m_{n-1}) & \tau(m_0) & \tau(m_1)\gamma_0 & \ddots & \tau(m_{n-3})\gamma_0 & \tau(m_{n-2})\gamma_0 \\ \tau^2(m_{n-2}) & \tau^2(m_{n-1}) & \tau^2(m_0) & \ddots & \tau^2(m_{n-4})\gamma_0 & \tau^2(m_{n-3})\gamma_0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tau^{n-2}(m_2) & \tau^{n-2}(m_3) & \tau^{n-2}(m_4) & \cdots & \tau^{n-2}(m_0) & \tau^{n-2}(m_1)\gamma_0 \\ \tau^{n-1}(m_1) & \tau^{n-1}(m_2) & \tau^{n-1}(m_3) & \cdots & \tau^{n-1}(m_{n-1}) & \tau^{n-1}(m_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We conclude that Theorem 6.1 is the special case of the following assertion: let $M \in M_n(\tilde{D}_\eta)$ be as in (6.6), with at least one of m_0, \dots, m_{n-1} not zero; then M is nonsingular. In fact, since the a s are units and π from (5.13) is an isomorphism, any such matrix M arises from some x , and thus this assertion on the nonsingularity of M is equivalent to Theorem 6.1.

Let us write $m'_i := \tau^i(m_{n-i})$ where $0 \leq i < n$ and $n-i$ is understood modulo n (that is, to be 0 when $i=0$). Then the transpose of M is

$$(6.7) \quad M^\top = \begin{pmatrix} m'_0 & m'_1 & m'_2 & \cdots & m'_{n-2} & m'_{n-1} \\ \tau(m'_{n-1})\gamma_0 & \tau(m'_0) & \tau(m'_1) & \ddots & \tau(m'_{n-3}) & \tau(m'_{n-2}) \\ \tau^2(m'_{n-2})\gamma_0 & \tau^2(m'_{n-1})\gamma_0 & \tau^2(m'_0) & \ddots & \tau^2(m'_{n-4}) & \tau^2(m'_{n-3}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tau^{n-2}(m'_2)\gamma_0 & \tau^{n-2}(m'_3)\gamma_0 & \tau^{n-2}(m'_4)\gamma_0 & \cdots & \tau^{n-2}(m'_0) & \tau^{n-2}(m'_1) \\ \tau^{n-1}(m'_1)\gamma_0 & \tau^{n-1}(m'_2)\gamma_0 & \tau^{n-1}(m'_3)\gamma_0 & \cdots & \tau^{n-1}(m'_{n-1})\gamma_0 & \tau^{n-1}(m'_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Of course, M is nonsingular if and only if M^\top is, and the condition in the assertion above translates to at least one m'_i not being zero.

Consider the following algebra: let

$$A := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{D}_\eta (\gamma_0^{1/n})^i$$

as a left \tilde{D}_η -module. Make A an algebra by mandating the multiplication rule $\gamma_0^{1/n} d = \tau(d) \gamma_0^{1/n}$ for all $d \in \tilde{D}_\eta$. The algebra A has a basis over \tilde{D}_η given by powers of $\gamma_0^{1/n}$. In this basis, right multiplication by the nonzero element $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} m'_i (\gamma_0^{1/n})^i$ is given by the matrix M^\top in (6.7). It follows that the assertion on the nonsingularity of M is equivalent to A being a skew field.

Remark 6.3. In the definition of A , we consider $\gamma_0^{1/n}$ as a formal n th root of γ_0 . By fiat, this has the same conjugation action as γ^{v_χ} does on η . However, since $n = p^{n_0}/v_\chi$, the identity $\gamma_0^{1/n} = \gamma^{v_\chi}$ holds in Γ . Therefore it behooves us to identify $\gamma_0^{1/n}$ with γ^{v_χ} for the rest of this section, with the tacit understanding that this is purely formal, and that things do not take place in Γ or \mathcal{G} .

Notice that A looks like a cyclic algebra, except for the fact that this terminology is reserved for the case when \tilde{D}_η is a field. We will show that A is in fact a cyclic algebra over an appropriate field; this will allow us to utilise the well-developed theory of cyclic algebras in this case.

To this end, let

$$\widehat{D}_\eta := D_\eta \otimes_{F(\eta)} \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)} \left(\Gamma_0^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi^n}} \right) = D_\eta \otimes_{F(\eta)} \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)} (\Gamma^{w_\chi}).$$

Recall the cyclic algebra description of D_η :

$$D_\eta = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{s_\eta-1} F(\eta)(\omega) \pi_{D_\eta}^\ell$$

with multiplication rule $\pi_{D_\eta} \omega = \sigma(\omega) \pi_{D_\eta}$ where σ is some power of the Frobenius (it acts as $\sigma(\omega) = (\omega)^{q_\eta}$ where r_η/s_η is the Hasse invariant of D_η). This provides a cyclic algebra description for \widehat{D}_η :

$$\widehat{D}_\eta = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{s_\eta-1} \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)} (\Gamma^{w_\chi}) \pi_{D_\eta}^\ell.$$

Therefore we may write A as

$$(6.8) \quad A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{D}_\eta(\gamma_0^{1/n})^i = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}-1} \widehat{D}_\eta(\gamma_0^{1/n})^j = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}-1} \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{s_\eta-1} \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)} (\Gamma^{w_\chi}) \pi_{D_\eta}^\ell (\gamma^{v_\chi})^j.$$

Conjugation by π_{D_η} acts as σ whereas conjugation by γ^{v_χ} acts as τ .

Lemma 6.4. *Combining the two direct sums into one, we get the following cyclic algebra description:*

$$A = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi} s_\eta - 1} \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)} (\Gamma^{w_\chi}) (\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi})^k.$$

Conjugation by $\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi}$ acts as $\sigma\tau$, and

$$(\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi})^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi} s_\eta} = N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi}(\pi_\eta) \gamma^{w_\chi s_\eta}.$$

Remark 6.5. In the conjugation action, it matters not whether we write $\sigma\tau$ or $\tau\sigma$, as the two automorphisms commute. Indeed, both are defined by extending Galois automorphisms of the abelian extension $F(\eta)(\omega)/F_\chi$ to act trivially on γ^{w_χ} .

Proof. Consider the algebra

$$(6.9) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi} s_\eta - 1} \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)} (\Gamma^{w_\chi}) (\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi})^k.$$

It is clear that this is contained in the double sum in (6.8), since expanding $(\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi})^k$ gives an element of $\mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)} (\Gamma^{w_\chi})$ times $\pi_{D_\eta}^k \gamma^{v_\chi k}$. We will show that the converse containment also holds.

As seen in the proof of Lemma 2.4, τ acts on π_{D_η} as multiplication by a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}^\times$. Therefore if we expand $(\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi})^{s_\eta}$ by moving all γ^{v_χ} s to the right, we get

$$(\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi})^{s_\eta} = u \pi_{D_\eta}^{s_\eta} (\gamma^{v_\chi})^{s_\eta}$$

for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}^\times$. Then $u\pi_{D_\eta}^{s_\eta} \in F(\eta)^\times$, and so $(\gamma^{v_\chi})^{s_\eta}$ is contained in (6.9). Since w_χ is a p -power whereas s_η is coprime to p (Theorem 1.1), there exists an integer t_η such that $s_\eta t_\eta \equiv 1 \pmod{w_\chi/v_\chi}$. Hence

$$(\gamma^{v_\chi})^{s_\eta t_\eta} = \gamma^{v_\chi} \cdot (\gamma^{w_\chi})^e$$

for some $e \geq 0$. We conclude that γ^{v_χ} is contained in (6.9).

Similarly, there is a unit $u' \in \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}^\times$ such that

$$(\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi})^{w_\chi/v_\chi} = u' \pi_{D_\eta}^{w_\chi/v_\chi} \gamma^{w_\chi}.$$

Again using coprimality of s_η to p , an argument similar to the previous one shows that π_{D_η} is contained in (6.9).

Therefore the double direct sum in (6.8) is equal to (6.9). Both algebras have dimension $s_\eta w_\chi/v_\chi$ over $\mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)}(\Gamma^{w_\chi})$. Hence the sum in (6.9) is actually direct.

Finally, let us expand the product $(\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi})^{w_\chi/v_\chi}$ by gathering the γ^{v_χ} terms to the right.

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma^{v_\chi})^{w_\chi/v_\chi} &= \left(\pi_{D_\eta} \cdot \tau(\pi_{D_\eta}) \cdots \tau^{w_\chi/v_\chi - 1}(\pi_{D_\eta}) \right)^{s_\eta} \gamma^{w_\chi s_\eta} && \gamma^{v_\chi} \text{ acts via } \tau \\ &= \left(\pi_{D_\eta}^{s_\eta} \cdot \tau \left(\pi_{D_\eta}^{s_\eta} \right) \cdots \tau^{w_\chi/v_\chi - 1} \left(\pi_{D_\eta}^{s_\eta} \right) \right) \gamma^{w_\chi s_\eta} \\ &= \left(\pi_\eta \cdot \tau(\pi_\eta) \cdots \tau^{w_\chi/v_\chi - 1}(\pi_\eta) \right) \gamma^{w_\chi s_\eta} && \pi_{D_\eta}^{s_\eta} = \pi_\eta \\ &= N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi}(\pi_\eta) \gamma^{w_\chi s_\eta} && \langle \tau|_{F(\eta)} \rangle = \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_\chi) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is concluded. \square

The fixed field of the automorphism $\sigma\tau$ of $F(\eta)(\omega)$ is F_χ . Hence the centre of A is $\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma^{w_\chi})$. Therefore in the usual notation of cyclic algebras, Lemma 6.4 means that A is of the form

$$A = \left(\mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)}(\Gamma^{w_\chi}) / \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma^{w_\chi}), \sigma\tau, N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi}(\pi_\eta) \cdot \gamma^{w_\chi s_\eta} \right).$$

Fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma^{w_\chi}]] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ with γ^{w_χ} corresponding to $(1+T)$. Under this isomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)(\omega)}(\Gamma^{w_\chi}) &\simeq \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)(\omega)}[[T]]) =: \mathfrak{K}, \\ \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma^{w_\chi}) &\simeq \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{F_\chi}[[T]]) =: \mathfrak{F}. \end{aligned}$$

The element $N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi}(\pi_\eta) \cdot \gamma^{w_\chi s_\eta} \in \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma^{w_\chi})$ is then identified with

$$a := N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi}(\pi_\eta)(1+T)^{s_\eta} \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

Wedderburn's theorem (Theorem 1.2) provides a sufficient (but not necessary) condition as to whether a cyclic algebra is a skew field: in this case, it states that A is a skew field whenever a has order $\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi} s_\eta$ in the norm factor group $\mathfrak{F}^\times / N_{\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{K}^\times)$. Since s_η and w_χ/v_χ are coprime, in order to be able to apply Wedderburn's theorem, it suffices to show that the order of a is divisible by both of them.

Lemma 6.6. *The order of a in the norm factor group is divisible by s_η .*

Proof. Recall the augmentation exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow T\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)(\omega)}[[T]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)(\omega)}[[T]] \xrightarrow{\text{aug}} \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)(\omega)} \rightarrow 0 \\ T \longmapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

Localising at the kernel, which is a prime ideal, allows us to extend the augmentation map to

$$\text{aug} : \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)(\omega)}[[T]]_{(T)} \rightarrow F(\eta)(\omega).$$

As the Galois action is trivial on T , the augmentation map is compatible with the norm maps, that is, for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)(\omega)}[[T]]_{(T)}$,

$$\text{aug}(N_{\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F}}(x)) = N_{F(\eta)(\omega)/F_\chi}(\text{aug}(x)).$$

Suppose that there is an $\alpha \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $N_{\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha) = a^i$. Since $T \nmid a^i$, such an element α is in fact contained in $\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)(\omega)}[[T]]_{(T)}$. Thus the augmentation map is defined on α , and

$$N_{F(\eta)(\omega)/F_\chi}(\text{aug}(\alpha)) = \text{aug}(a^i).$$

Using the definition of a as well as transitivity of norms in a tower of extensions, we get

$$(6.10) \quad N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi}(N_{F(\eta)(\omega)/\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)}(\text{aug}(\alpha))) = N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi}(\pi_\eta^i).$$

In particular, the two sides of (6.10) have the same valuation in F_χ :

$$\text{ord}_{\pi_\eta} N_{F(\eta)(\omega)/\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)}(\text{aug}(\alpha)) = i.$$

The extension $F(\eta)(\omega)/F(\eta)$ is unramified of degree s_η , therefore $\text{ord}_{\pi_\eta} \circ N_{F(\eta)(\omega)/\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)}$ has image in $s_\eta \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $s_\eta \mid i$. \square

Therefore A is a skew field if (but not necessarily only if) w_χ/v_χ also divides the order of a . The extensions $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ and $F_\chi(\omega)/F_\chi$ have coprime degrees w_χ/v_χ resp. s_η , and their compositum is $F(\eta)(\omega)$. Write

$$\mathfrak{L} := \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}[[T]]) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L}' := \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{F_\chi(\omega)}[[T]]).$$

Then $\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{L}'/\mathfrak{F}$ also have the same coprime degrees, and their compositum is \mathfrak{K} . Therefore the norm factor group of $\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F}$ decomposes as follows, see [Mot15, Theorem 1(ii)]:

$$\mathfrak{F}^\times / N_{\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{K}^\times) \simeq \mathfrak{F}^\times / N_{\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{L}^\times) \times \mathfrak{F}^\times / N_{\mathfrak{L}'/\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{L}'^\times).$$

Therefore a has order divisible by w_χ/v_χ in $\mathfrak{F}^\times / N_{\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{K}^\times)$ if its image has order divisible by w_χ/v_χ in $\mathfrak{F}^\times / N_{\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{L}^\times)$.

Lemma 6.7. *Suppose that $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified. Then the order of a in the norm factor group is divisible by w_χ/v_χ .*

Proof. In the norm factor group $\mathfrak{F}^\times / N_{\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{L}^\times)$, the class of a agrees with that of $(1+T)^{s_\eta}$. So suppose that $(1+T)^{s_\eta i}$ is the norm of some $\alpha(T) \in \mathfrak{L}$.

We first show that without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha(T) \in \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}[[T]]$. Indeed, the Weierstraß preparation theorem allows us to write

$$\alpha(T) = \pi_\eta^\ell \cdot \frac{F(T)}{G(T)}$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $F(T) \in \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}[[T]]$ and

$$G(T) = \prod_{j=0}^k P_j(T) \in \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}[T]$$

is a product of distinguished irreducible polynomials $P_j(T)$. The norm of $\alpha(T)$ is the product of its Galois conjugates; it follows that $\ell = 0$. Moreover, since $(1+T)^{s_\eta i}$ has no denominator and since each $P_j(T)$ is irreducible, for each j there exists a Galois conjugate $\tilde{P}_j(T)$ of $P_j(T)$ such that $\tilde{P}_j(T) \mid F(T)$. Then

$$\alpha(T) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{P_j(T)}{\tilde{P}_j(T)} \in \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}[[T]]$$

has the same norm as $\alpha(T)$. From now on, we assume that $\alpha(T) \in \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}[[T]]$.

Since α has integral coefficients, it is convergent at every element x of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_χ of F_χ . Moreover, since the Galois action is trivial on T as well as on F_χ , for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}_\chi$ we have

$$(6.11) \quad N_{\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{F}}(\alpha(T))|_{T=x} = N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi}(\alpha(x)) = (1+x)^{s_\eta i}.$$

For totally ramified cyclic extensions of local fields, all Tate cohomology groups of the unit group are cyclic of order equal to the degree, see [EN18, Corollary 2.11]. In particular,

$$(6.12) \quad \hat{H}^0\left(\mathrm{Gal}(F(\eta)/F_\chi), \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}^\times\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}\mathbb{Z}.$$

The left hand side is the unit norm factor group $\mathcal{O}_{F_\chi}^\times / N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi} \mathcal{O}_{F(\eta)}^\times$. If $\#\overline{F_\chi}$ denotes the order of the residue field of F_χ , then there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{O}_{F_\chi}^\times \simeq \mu_{\#\overline{F_\chi}-1} \times U_{F_\chi}^1.$$

The group of roots of unity here has order coprime to p , while w_χ/v_χ is a p -power. Therefore the isomorphism (6.12) on the unit norm factor group descends to principal units:

$$U_{F_\chi}^1 / N_{F(\eta)/F_\chi} \left(U_{F(\eta)}^1 \right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $u \in U_{F_\chi}^1$ be a principal unit whose image in this factor group is a generator. Then u^{s_η} is also a generator, since s_η is coprime to p . Evaluating (6.11) at $T := u - 1$, we get that $u^{s_\eta i}$ is a norm. Therefore $w_\chi/v_\chi \mid i$, as was to be shown. \square

This finishes the proof of Theorem 6.1. \square

Remark 6.8. The argument in the proof of Lemma 6.7 does not generalise to not necessarily totally ramified extensions, because (6.12) may fail.

6.2. Proof of Theorem 4.13 in the totally ramified case. In the proof of [Nic14, Corollary 1.13], Nickel showed that

$$w_\chi n_\eta s_\eta = w_\chi \eta(1) = \chi(1) = n_\chi s_\chi \mid n_\chi s_\eta (\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta) : \mathbb{Q}_{p,\chi}) = n_\chi s_\eta \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi},$$

where the last equality is due to Proposition 4.5. Therefore $n_\eta v_\chi \mid n_\chi$; in particular, we have an inequality $v_\chi n_\eta \leq n_\chi$. We now show that this is sharp.

On the one hand, we may express the primitive central idempotent ε_χ of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ as

$$(6.13) \quad \varepsilon_\chi = \sum_{i=0}^{v_\chi-1} \varepsilon(\eta_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{v_\chi-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\eta_i}} f_{\eta_i}^{(j)},$$

where the η_i s are as in (1.3), and we used that $\nu_\chi^F = v_\chi$, see Proposition 4.5. The skew field D_{η_i} has centre $F(\eta_i) = F(\eta)$, which is the same for every i (see Section 1.2). The η_i s are all \mathcal{G} -conjugates of one another, see (1.3), so in particular, the dimensions $\eta_i(1)$ all agree. Moreover, it is clear from the character-based description of the Schur index in Section 1.1 that \mathcal{G} -conjugate characters have the same Schur index, so $s_{\eta_i} = s_\eta$. Therefore

$$\dim_{F(\eta)} M_{n_{\eta_i}}(D_{\eta_i}) = s_{\eta_i}^2 n_{\eta_i}^2 = \eta_i(1)^2 = \eta(1)^2 = s_\eta^2 n_\eta^2.$$

It follows that $n_{\eta_i} = n_\eta$ is the same for all i . So (6.13) is an expression of ε_χ as a sum of $v_\chi n_\eta$ idempotents. These are indecomposable by Theorem 6.1. Equivalently, all right ideals $f_\eta^{(j)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ are simple right modules by [CR81, (3.18.iii)]. This gives rise to a strictly descending chain of submodules of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi$ of length $v_\chi n_\eta$, with the factor modules being simple: in other words, this is a composition series for $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi$.

On the other hand,

$$\varepsilon_\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{n_\chi} f_\chi^{(i)}$$

is another decomposition of ε_χ . The idempotents $f_\chi^{(j)}$ are indecomposable in $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$, so there is a composition series of length n_χ . Since any two composition series have the same length by [CR81, (3.9)], we obtain

$$(6.14) \quad v_\chi n_\eta = n_\chi.$$

The assertion about the Schur indices follows readily:

$$\begin{aligned} s_\chi &= \frac{w_\chi s_\eta n_\eta}{n_\chi} && \text{shown in the proof of [Nic14, Corollary 1.13]} \\ &= \frac{w_\chi s_\eta}{v_\chi} && \text{by (6.14)} \\ &= (F(\eta) : F_\chi) s_\eta && \text{by (1.4)} \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.13 in the totally ramified case. \square

6.3. Proof of Theorem 4.14 in the totally ramified case.

Proposition 6.9. *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$ and let $\eta \mid \text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$ be an irreducible constituent. Suppose that $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified. Then for all $1 \leq j \leq n_\eta$, there is an isomorphism of rings*

$$D_\chi \simeq f_\eta^{(j)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(j)}.$$

In particular, the right hand side is independent of the choice of η and j .

Proof. The χ -part of the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ is $\varepsilon_\chi \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \varepsilon_\chi \simeq M_{n_\chi}(D_\chi)$. As noted in (6.13), we have $f_\eta^{(j)} \mid \varepsilon_\chi$. Indecomposability of $f_\eta^{(j)}$ shows the claimed isomorphism. \square

Noting that $(\gamma_\eta'')^{p^{n_0}/v_\chi}$ is central by (5.11) and Lemma 5.7, we have the following description of D_χ .

Proposition 6.10. *Suppose that $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified. Then D_χ admits the following descriptions.*

(i) *There is an isomorphism of rings*

$$D_\chi \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{i=0 \\ v_\chi \mid i}}^{p^{n_0}-1} \tilde{D}_\eta \cdot (\gamma_\eta'')^{i/v_\chi} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\frac{p^{n_0}}{v_\chi}-1} \tilde{D}_\eta \cdot (\gamma_\eta'')^i$$

with conjugation by γ_η'' acting as τ on \tilde{D}_η .

(ii) *The skew field D_χ is isomorphic to the cyclic algebra*

$$D_\chi \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\frac{p^{n_0}}{v_\chi} s_\eta - 1} \mathcal{Q}^{\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)(\omega_\eta)}(\Gamma_0) \cdot (\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma_\eta'')^k$$

with conjugation by $\pi_{D_\eta} \gamma_\eta''$ acting as $\sigma\tau$ on \tilde{D}_η , where σ is the automorphism in the multiplication rule $\pi_{D_\eta} \omega = \sigma(\omega) \pi_{D_\eta}$.

Proof. The second sum is simply a rewriting of the first one. We now establish the first isomorphism. The proof uses the ring isomorphism $D_\chi \simeq f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(1)}$ from Proposition 6.9 as well as our discussion of the multiplication rule in Section 5.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\chi &\simeq f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{(1)} && \text{Proposition 6.9} \\
&\simeq f_\eta^{(1)} \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p^{n_0}-1} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\gamma^i \right) f_\eta^{(1)} && \text{by (1.2)} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{i=0 \\ v_\chi | i}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H]\gamma^i f_\eta^{(1)} && \text{Lemma 5.14} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{i=0 \\ v_\chi | i}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H] \cdot a_{i/v_\chi} f_\eta^{(1)} (a_{i/v_\chi})^{-1} \cdot \gamma^i && \text{by (5.7)} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{i=0 \\ v_\chi | i}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H] f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot (a_{i/v_\chi})^{-1} \gamma^i && a_{i/v_\chi} \text{ is a unit} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{i=0 \\ v_\chi | i}}^{p^{n_0}-1} f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H] f_\eta^{(1)} \cdot (\gamma''_\eta)^{i/v_\chi} && \text{Lemma 5.7} \\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{i=0 \\ v_\chi | i}}^{p^{n_0}-1} \tilde{D}_\eta \cdot (\gamma''_\eta)^{i/v_\chi} && \text{by (4.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

As we have seen in Lemma 5.15, conjugation by γ''_η acts as δ_τ on $f_\eta^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)[H] f_\eta^{(1)}$, which, by definition of δ_τ , becomes the action of τ on \tilde{D}_η . This proves 6.10.i.

The proof of 6.10.ii is identical to that of Lemma 6.4, with Γ^{w_χ} , γ^{v_χ} , and w_χ/v_χ replaced by Γ_0 , γ''_η , and p^{n_0}/v_χ , respectively. \square

We describe the centre in terms of γ''_η .

Proposition 6.11. *Suppose that $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified. Then the centre of D_χ is ring isomorphic to*

$$\mathfrak{z}(D_\chi) \simeq \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi} \left((\Gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi} \right).$$

Proof. Consider the algebra

$$A := \bigoplus_{\substack{i=0 \\ v_\chi | i}}^{p^{n_0}-1} \tilde{D}_\eta \cdot (\gamma''_\eta)^{i/v_\chi}$$

as in Proposition 6.10.i. The element $(\gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi}$ is central in A because τ has order w_χ/v_χ . The subfield F_χ is central because it is the fixed field of τ . It follows that $\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi} \left((\Gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi} \right)$ is a central subfield in A , that is,

$$(6.15) \quad \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi} \left((\Gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi} \right) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(A).$$

The dimension of A as a $\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi})$ -vector space is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi})}(A) &= \frac{p^{n_0}}{v_\chi} \cdot \dim_{\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi})}(\tilde{D}_\eta) && \text{definition of } A \\
&= \frac{p^{n_0}}{v_\chi} \cdot \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma_0)}(\tilde{D}_\eta)}{\dim_{\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma_0)}(\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi}))} \\
&= \frac{p^{n_0}}{v_\chi} \cdot \frac{\dim_{\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma_0)}(\tilde{D}_\eta)}{\frac{p^{n_0}}{v_\chi \cdot \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}} && (\dagger) \\
&= \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi} \cdot \dim_{F_\chi}(D_\eta) && \text{definition of } \tilde{D}_\eta \\
&= \left(\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi} s_\eta\right)^2 = (s_\chi)^2 && \text{Theorem 4.13.ii}
\end{aligned}$$

The step marked (\dagger) is due to the fact that $(\gamma''_\eta)^{p^{n_0}/v_\chi}$ differs from γ_0 by a central unit $a_{p^{n_0}/v_\chi}$, as can be seen from applying Lemma 5.7 with $j := p^{n_0}/v_\chi$ and (5.11).

Since $A \simeq D_\chi$ by Proposition 6.10.i, the Schur index of A is s_χ , meaning that $\dim_{\mathfrak{z}(A)}(A) = (s_\chi)^2$. This together with (6.15) shows that the containment (6.15) is in fact an equality. The proof finishes by applying the ring isomorphism of Proposition 6.10.i. \square

Our main result describing the skew field D_χ in the totally ramified case is the following:

Theorem 6.12. *Suppose that $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified. Then the algebra from Proposition 6.10.i is isomorphic (as a ring) to the total ring of quotients of a skew power series ring, and the centre is isomorphic to the field of fractions of a power series ring, as described by the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D_\chi & \simeq & \bigoplus_{\substack{i=0 \\ v_\chi | i}}^{p^{n_0}-1} \tilde{D}_\eta \cdot (\gamma''_\eta)^{i/v_\chi} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta}[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]]) = \mathfrak{D} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathfrak{z}(D_\chi) & \simeq & \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{F_\chi}[[(1+X)^{w_\chi/v_\chi} - 1]]) = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})
\end{array}$$

The top horizontal map is the identity on \mathcal{O}_{D_η} and sends $\gamma''_\eta \mapsto 1 + X$. The bottom horizontal map is the identity on \mathcal{O}_{F_χ} and sends $(\gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi} \mapsto (1 + X)^{w_\chi/v_\chi}$.

Proof. Since γ''_η is sent to $(1 + X)$, the multiplication rule $\gamma''_\eta d = \tau(d)\gamma''_\eta$ for $d \in D_\eta$ becomes $(1 + X)d = \tau(d)(1 + X)$, which is equivalent to $Xd = \tau(d)X + (\tau - \text{id})(d)$: this is indeed the multiplication rule in $\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta}[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]]$. As we have seen in Proposition 6.11, the centre is generated by $(\gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi}$; since γ_0 is central, the image of γ_0 is therefore determined by the image of γ''_η . Ergo the top horizontal map is well-defined and a ring homomorphism. The lower horizontal map is a well-defined isomorphism induced by the classical isomorphism between the Iwasawa algebra over \mathcal{O}_{F_χ} and the ring of formal power series over \mathcal{O}_{F_χ} . Commutativity of the diagram follows directly from the definition of the arrows within.

It remains to show that the top horizontal map is an isomorphism. It is clearly injective.


Due to Proposition 6.10.i, the crossed product algebra on the left is a left vector space over its centre, of dimension $(s_\chi)^2$. On the right hand side, the ring $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta}[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]])$ is a skew

field with centre $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{F_\chi}[[(1+X)^{w_\chi/v_\chi} - 1]])$ (Corollary 3.8). Since the dimensions agree, it follows that the top horizontal map must be an isomorphism. \square

6.4. Examples. The extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified, for instance, when \mathcal{G} is a pro- p -group and F is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of ramification degree prime to p . The cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^m})$ is totally ramified over \mathbb{Q}_p of degree $(p-1)p^{m-1}$. It follows that the base change $F(\zeta_{p^m})/F$ has inertia degree prime to p , and hence the subquotient p -extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified. In particular, the results above constitute a generalisation of those in [Lau12a, §2]. In fact, even more is true:

Lemma 6.13. *Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of ramification degree prime to p . Suppose H is such that $p \nmid q-1$ holds for every prime factor $q \mid \#H$. Then for every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$ and every irreducible constituent $\eta \mid \text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$, the extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified.*

Proof. Let us write $\#H = p^a \prod_{i=1}^k q_i^{a_i}$ where the q_i s are pairwise distinct prime numbers distinct from p . The extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(\prod_{i=1}^k \zeta_{q_i^{a_i}})/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified of degree dividing $\prod_{i=1}^k (q_i-1)q_i^{a_i-1}$, which is prime to p by assumption. On the other hand, the extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^a})/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is totally ramified of degree $(p-1)p^{a-1}$. These extensions are disjoint over \mathbb{Q}_p , and their compositum is the field $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{\#H})$. Consequently, the inertia group $I(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{\#H})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{\#H})/\mathbb{Q}_p$ maps onto that of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^a})/\mathbb{Q}_p$, and for degree reasons, must in fact have order $(p-1)p^{a-1}$.



The extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)/\mathbb{Q}_{p,\chi}$ in question has p -power degree and it is a subquotient of the cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{\#H})/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Therefore $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)/\mathbb{Q}_{p,\chi})$ is a homomorphic image of the p -Sylow subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{\#H})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Since this is contained in the inertia subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{\#H})/\mathbb{Q}_p$, we have that $\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)/\mathbb{Q}_{p,\chi}$ is totally ramified. The assertion follows by base change to F as above. \square

Finally, we note that Theorem 6.1 encompasses the direct product case:

Lemma 6.14. *If $\mathcal{G} \simeq H \times \Gamma$ is a direct product, then for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$ and all irreducible constituents $\eta \mid \text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$, the fields $F(\eta)$ and F_χ coincide. In particular, Theorem 6.1 holds.*

Proof. Irreducible characters of \mathcal{G} with open kernel are of the form $\chi = \eta \times \chi'$ where η resp. χ' are irreducible characters of H resp. Γ with open kernel: this is [Isa76, Theorem 4.21]. Therefore $\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi = \eta$, and $F(\eta) = F_\chi$ follows. In particular, the extension $F(\eta)/F_\chi$ is totally ramified, and thus Theorem 6.1 is applicable. \square

As a prelude to the general case, we also provide an unramified example.

Example 6.15. Let $p = 3$, $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $H \simeq C_7$ and $\mathcal{G} \simeq C_7 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$. The action of \mathbb{Z}_3 on C_7 is the action that factors through $\mathbb{Z}_3 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3/3\mathbb{Z}_3 \simeq C_3$ such that conjugation by the generator $(1+3\mathbb{Z}_3)$ acts as the 4th power map on C_7 . Consider a 3-dimensional irreducible character χ of \mathcal{G} factoring through $C_7 \rtimes C_3$; see [Gn, C7:C3] for the character table. There are two such characters, both of

them with image $\{3, \frac{1}{2}(-1 \pm \sqrt{-7})\}$ on H . Therefore $\mathbb{Q}_{3,\chi} = \mathbb{Q}_3(\sqrt{-7})$ is a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q}_3 .

Looking at the character table of the cyclic group H , it is easily verified that the restriction of each of these two χ s to H can be expressed as the sum of three nontrivial characters of C_7 . Indeed, if ζ_7 denotes a primitive 7th root of unity, then $\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^4 = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{-7})$ and $\zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^5 + \zeta_7^6 = \frac{1}{2}(-1 - \sqrt{-7})$. Each of these characters η have $\mathbb{Q}_3(\eta) = \mathbb{Q}_3(\zeta_7)$, the unique unramified sextic extension of \mathbb{Q}_3 . Therefore the extension $\mathbb{Q}_3(\eta)/\mathbb{Q}_{3,\chi}$ is unramified of degree 3: in particular, it is not totally ramified.

The commutator subgroup $\mathcal{G}' \simeq C_7$ has order coprime to p . A result of Johnston and Nickel [JN20, Theorem 11.1] then shows that all skew fields in the Wedderburn decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathbb{Q}_3}(\mathcal{G})$ are fields, that is, $s_\chi^{\mathbb{Q}_3} = 1$. From $3 = \chi(1) = n_\chi^{\mathbb{Q}_3} s_\chi^{\mathbb{Q}_3}$ we conclude that $n_\chi^{\mathbb{Q}_3} = 3$. Since $\eta(1) = 1$, we have $n_\eta^{\mathbb{Q}_3} = s_\eta^{\mathbb{Q}_3} = 1$. We have $w_\chi = \chi(1)/\eta(1) = 3$, and $v_\chi^{\mathbb{Q}_3} = w_\chi/(\mathbb{Q}_3(\eta) : \mathbb{Q}_{3,\chi}) = 1$.

7. THE GENERAL CASE

7.1. The centre of $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$. In [RW04, Proposition 5], Ritter–Weiss defined an element $\gamma_\chi = c\gamma^{w_\chi}$ which induces an equality $\mathcal{Q}^E(\Gamma_\chi) \simeq \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}^E(\mathcal{G})e_\chi)$ where E/F is large enough such that χ and all irreducible components of $\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$ have realisations over E , the group Γ_χ is the procyclic group generated by γ_χ , and $c \in (E[H]e_\chi)^\times$. Their work was later refined by Nickel [Nic14, Lemma 1.2], who introduced an element $\gamma'_\chi = x\gamma_\chi$ where $x \in U_E^1$ is a 1-unit of E , which led to a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}^{F^\times}(\Gamma'_\chi) \simeq \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi)$. Our goal in this section is to obtain similar results for γ''_η (or rather an appropriate power thereof). The definition of the element γ_χ involves the left action on the vector space affording χ , while γ''_η is defined in terms of the conjugation action on $\mathcal{Q}(\Gamma_0)[H]\varepsilon(\eta)$. This makes relating the elements γ_χ resp. γ'_χ and γ''_η directly to each other difficult. However, it can be shown that they share similar properties, which prove to be sufficient for some of Ritter–Weiss’s and Nickel’s proofs to be applicable in this case as well.

Recall from Definition 5.5 that

$$\gamma''_\eta = (a_{\eta,1})^{-1}\gamma^{v_\chi} = \delta_\tau (y_\eta^{-1})\gamma^{v_\chi}$$

where $y_\eta \in F[H]\varepsilon(\eta)^\times$. In this section, we will encounter different η s corresponding to a single χ , and we augment the notation $a_{\eta,1}$ to contain this datum. Let Γ''_η be the procyclic group generated by γ''_η .

Definition 7.1. Let $\gamma''_\chi := \sum_{\eta|\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi} (\gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi}$ where η runs through irreducible constituents of $\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$, and let Γ''_χ be the procyclic group generated by γ''_χ .

Due to the multiplication rule Lemma 5.7, we have

$$\gamma''_\chi = \sum_{\eta|\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi} a_{\eta,w_\chi/v_\chi}^{-1} \gamma^{w_\chi}.$$

The element c in Ritter–Weiss’s definition is the sum of elements $c(\eta)$. The group ring elements $a_{\eta,w_\chi/v_\chi}^{-1}$ thus play a rôle analogous to the elements $c(\eta)$, and this behooves us to write

$$c''_\chi := \sum_{\eta|\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi} a_{\eta,w_\chi/v_\chi}^{-1}.$$

We will now show that the element γ''_χ possesses properties similar to those enjoyed by the Ritter–Weiss element γ_χ .

Lemma 7.2. *Let $v_\chi \mid \ell$. Then $a_{\eta,w_\chi/v_\chi}^{-1} \gamma^\ell = \gamma^\ell a_{\eta,w_\chi/v_\chi}^{-1}$. In particular, $c''_\chi \gamma^{w_\chi} = \gamma^{w_\chi} c''_\chi$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows by a direct computation involving the conjugation rule (5.7), the a -multiplication rule (5.10), and the fact that δ_τ has order w_χ/v_χ :

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}^{-1} \cdot \gamma^\ell \cdot a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}} &= a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}^{-1} \cdot a_{\eta, \frac{\ell}{v_\chi}} \cdot \delta_\tau^{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi}} \left(a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}} \right) \cdot a_{\eta, \frac{\ell}{v_\chi}}^{-1} \cdot \gamma^\ell \\ &= a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}^{-1} \cdot a_{\eta, \frac{\ell+w_\chi}{v_\chi}} \cdot a_{\eta, \frac{\ell}{v_\chi}}^{-1} \cdot \gamma^\ell \\ &= \left(\delta_\tau^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}(y_\eta^{-1}) \cdots \delta_\tau(y_\eta^{-1}) \right) \cdot \left(\delta_\tau(y_\eta) \cdots \delta_\tau^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}(y_\eta) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \delta_\tau^{\frac{w_\chi+1}{v_\chi}}(y_\eta) \cdots \delta_\tau^{\frac{w_\chi+\ell}{v_\chi}}(y_\eta) \cdot \left(\delta_\tau^{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi}}(y_\eta^{-1}) \cdots \delta_\tau(y_\eta^{-1}) \right) \cdot \gamma^\ell = \gamma^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

The second assertion follows by setting $\ell := w_\chi$ and summing over all irreducible constituents η of $\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$. \square

Proposition 7.3. *We have $\gamma''_\chi \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi)^\times$.*

Proof. Since the idempotents $\varepsilon(\eta)$ are orthogonal, we may work componentwise. Let $x \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon(\eta)$, and write $x = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p^{n_0}-1} x_\ell \gamma^\ell \varepsilon(\eta)$ under the decomposition $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{p^{n_0}-1} \mathcal{Q}(\Gamma_0)[H]\gamma^\ell$. Then $x_\ell = 0$ unless $v_\chi \mid \ell$: indeed, the same proof as in Lemma 5.14 works here as well.

We compute the image of x under conjugation by $(\gamma''_\eta)^{w_\chi/v_\chi} \varepsilon(\eta)$. Since the decomposition of x only contains powers of γ which are multiples of v_χ , the conjugation rule (5.7) is applicable.

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma''_\eta)^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}} x (\gamma''_\eta)^{-\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}} \varepsilon(\eta) &= a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}^{-1} \gamma^{w_\chi} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi \mid \ell}}^{p^{n_0}-1} x_\ell \gamma^\ell \cdot \gamma^{-w_\chi} a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}} \varepsilon(\eta) \\ &= a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi \mid \ell}}^{p^{n_0}-1} a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}} \cdot \delta_\tau^{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}(x_\ell) \cdot a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}^{-1} \cdot \gamma^\ell \cdot a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}} \varepsilon(\eta) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi \mid \ell}}^{p^{n_0}-1} x_\ell \cdot a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}}^{-1} \cdot \gamma^\ell \cdot a_{\eta, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi}} \varepsilon(\eta) = \sum_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi \mid \ell}}^{p^{n_0}-1} x_\ell \gamma^\ell \varepsilon(\eta) = x \end{aligned}$$

The last step is Lemma 7.2. \square

Let E/F be large enough such that χ and one (and hence every) η has a realisation over E . The upcoming Lemma 7.4 resp. Lemma 7.5 are the adaptations of the first resp. second half of the proof of [RW04, Proposition 6(2)] to our setting.

Lemma 7.4. *We have the following decompositions:*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad \mathcal{Q}^E(\mathcal{G})e_\chi &= \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{w_\chi-1} \mathcal{Q}^E(\Gamma''_\chi)[H]e_\chi \gamma^\ell, \\ (ii) \quad \mathcal{Q}^E(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi &= \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{w_\chi-1} \mathcal{Q}^E(\Gamma''_\chi)[H]\varepsilon_\chi \gamma^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first prove (i). The first part of the proof of [RW04, Proposition 6(2)] carries over. Indeed, Lemma 7.2 shows that $\gamma^{w_\chi} = \gamma''_\chi (c''_\chi)^{-1} \in \mathcal{Q}^E(\Gamma''_\chi)[H]e_\chi$, and we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}^E(\mathcal{G})e_\chi = \sum_{\ell=0}^{w_\chi-1} \mathcal{Q}^E(\Gamma''_\chi)[H]e_\chi \gamma^\ell.$$

It remains to show that the sum is direct, and Ritter–Weiss’s proof works without further modification.

Assertion (ii) follows by summing (i) over all σ_χ for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)$. \square

Lemma 7.5. $\mathcal{Q}^E(\Gamma''_\chi)e_\chi = \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}^E(\mathcal{G})e_\chi)$.

Proof. On the one hand, γ''_χ is central due to Proposition 7.3. On the other hand, the end of Ritter–Weiss’s proof [RW04, Proposition 6(2)] carries through. Indeed, let $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}^E(\mathcal{G})e_\chi)$, and let us write $x = \sum_{\ell=0}^{w_\chi-1} x_\ell \gamma^\ell$ under the decomposition Lemma 7.4(i). Ritter–Weiss’s argument shows that $x = x_0$, which in turn yields $x_0 \in \mathcal{Q}^E(\Gamma''_\chi)e_\chi$. \square

Recall that the definition of γ''_χ involves the elements γ''_η , which in turn depend on the choices for y_η ; see the discussion preceding Definition 5.5.

Lemma 7.6. *The choices for the elements y_η can be made such that we have $\sigma(\gamma''_\chi) = \gamma''_{\sigma_\chi}$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)$, where by abuse of notation, σ also denotes a lift to $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)/F)$.*

Proof. Let η be fixed. Then consulting the definition of y_η , we see that we may choose $y_{\sigma_\eta} := \sigma(y_\eta)$. The assertion then follows from the definition of γ''_χ . \square

Proposition 7.7. *There is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma''_\chi) \simeq \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi)$.*

Proof. We mimic the proof of [Nic14, Proposition 1.5]. Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi)$, viewed as a $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant element in $\mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}^E(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi)$. As noted by Nickel, the action of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ on the set $\{e_{\sigma_\chi} : \sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)\}$ of idempotents factors through $\text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)$. Thus we may write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}^E(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)} \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}^E(\mathcal{G})e_{\sigma_\chi}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)} \mathcal{Q}^E(\Gamma''_{\sigma_\chi})e_{\sigma_\chi} \\ \beta &\longmapsto (\beta_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.6 shows that β is $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ -invariant iff β_1 is $\text{Gal}(E/F_\chi)$ -invariant and $\beta_\sigma = \sigma(\beta_1)$ holds for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)$. Since $\gamma''_\chi \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi$, the assignment $\beta \mapsto \beta_1$ provides an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi) \simeq \mathcal{Q}^E(\Gamma''_\chi)^{\text{Gal}(E/F_\chi)} = \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma''_\chi). \quad \square$$

7.2. Unramified base change. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$, and let $\eta \mid \text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$ be an irreducible constituent. As before, we write e resp. f for the ramification index resp. inertia degree of $F(\eta)/F_\chi$; note that these depend on χ , but this is not reflected in the notation to avoid clashing with our notation for idempotents. Let $W := F(\eta)^{\langle \tau^f \rangle}$ denote the maximal unramified extension of F_χ inside $F(\eta)$. Notice that $W(\eta) = F(\eta)$ and $W_\chi = W$; in particular, the extension $W(\eta)/W_\chi$ is totally ramified, and thus the results of Section 6 apply.

Lemma 7.8. *The invariants in the Wedderburn decompositions of $F[H]$ and $W[H]$ are related as follows:*

- (i) $D_\eta^F = D_\eta^W$, $s_\eta^F = s_\eta^W$, and $n_\eta^F = n_\eta^W$,
- (ii) $(\tau^F)^f = \tau^W$ and $v_\chi^F f = v_\chi^W$.

Proof. Since W is contained in the centre of D_η^F , tensoring D_η with W introduces copies for each automorphism in $\text{Gal}(W/F)$:

$$D_\eta \otimes_F W = (D_\eta \otimes_W W) \otimes_F W = D_\eta \otimes_W (W \otimes_F W) = D_\eta \otimes_W \bigoplus_{\text{Gal}(W/F)} W = \bigoplus_{\text{Gal}(W/F)} D_\eta \otimes_F W.$$

Therefore tensoring the Wedderburn decomposition of $F[H]$ with W yields that of $W[H]$:

$$W[H] = F[H] \otimes_F W \simeq \bigoplus_{\eta/\sim_F} M_{n_\eta^F} (D_\eta \otimes_F W) \simeq \bigoplus_{\eta/\sim_F} \bigoplus_{\text{Gal}(W/F)} M_{n_\eta^F} (D_\eta) \simeq \bigoplus_{\eta/\sim_W} M_{n_\eta^F} (D_\eta)$$

where η runs through the appropriate equivalence classes of $\text{Irr}(H)$. The first three assertions follow immediately, and the rest was proven in Lemma 4.9. \square

Recall that there are $v_\chi^F f$ irreducible characters $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{v_\chi^F f}$ of H such that

$$\text{res}_H^G \chi = \sum_{i=1}^{v_\chi^F f} \sum_{\varphi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta_i)/W)} \varphi \eta_i.$$

Recall the definition of the idempotents $f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}$ from Definition 4.2:

$$\begin{aligned} W[H] \varepsilon^W(\eta_i) &\simeq M_{n_{\eta_i}}(D_{\eta_i}) \\ f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} &\mapsto \text{diag}(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Note that since the Wedderburn isomorphism is given implicitly, we cannot directly relate $f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}$ to $f_{\eta_i}^{F,(j)}$. Since $F(\eta_i)/W$ is totally ramified, (6.13) gives us the following decomposition:

$$\varepsilon_\chi^W = \sum_{i=0}^{v_\chi^W - 1} \varepsilon^W(\eta_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{v_\chi^F f - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\eta_i}} f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}.$$

By definition, we have

$$\varepsilon_\chi^W = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(W_\chi/W)} \sigma(e_\chi) = e_\chi,$$

and therefore $f = (W : F_\chi)$ yields

$$(7.1) \quad \varepsilon_\chi^F = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)} \sigma(e_\chi) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)} \sigma(\varepsilon_\chi^W) = \frac{1}{f} \sum_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F)} \psi(\varepsilon_\chi^W).$$

The point behind the last equation is that we want to decompose even further into the idempotents $f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}$. However, while automorphisms in $\text{Gal}(F_\chi/F)$ act on ε_χ^W , they fail to do so on the idempotents $f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}$, which are elements of $W[H]$, which is why we lift to $\text{Gal}(W/F)$.

Lemma 7.9. *For a fixed i , the idempotents $\psi(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i))$ are orthogonal, that is, if $\psi, \psi' \in \text{Gal}(W/F)$, then*

$$\psi(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i)) \psi'(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i)) = \delta_{\psi, \psi'} \psi(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i)).$$

Proof. Let $\hat{\psi}$ resp. $\hat{\psi}'$ be lifts of ψ resp. ψ' under $\text{Gal}(F(\eta_i)/F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(W/F)$; if ψ and ψ' agree, then we choose the same lift. Then $\hat{\psi}(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i)) = \psi(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i))$, and in fact, we have

$$\psi(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i)) = \psi \left(\sum_{\varphi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta_i)/W)} e(\varphi \eta_i) \right) = \frac{\eta_i(1)}{\#H} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\varphi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta_i)/W)} \hat{\psi}^\varphi \eta_i(h^{-1}) h$$

$$= \frac{\eta_i(1)}{\#H} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\varphi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta_i)/W)} \varphi^{\hat{\psi}} \eta_i(h^{-1})h = \sum_{\varphi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta_i)/W)} e^{(\psi^{\hat{\psi}} \eta_i)} = \varepsilon^W(\hat{\psi} \eta_i).$$

Therefore the product in the assertion may be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i)) \psi'(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i)) &= \varepsilon^W(\hat{\psi} \eta_i) \varepsilon^W(\hat{\psi}' \eta_i) \\ &= \sum_{\varphi, \varphi' \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta_i)/W)} e^{(\varphi^{\hat{\psi}} \eta_i)} e^{(\varphi'^{\hat{\psi}'} \eta_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

The idempotents in the sum are orthogonal, thus their product is nonzero iff the respective characters agree, which in turn means $\varphi^{\hat{\psi}} = \varphi'^{\hat{\psi}'}$. Suppose we have a nonzero term. Then the restrictions to W must agree; since the automorphisms φ and φ' fix W , this means that $\psi = \psi'$, and thus $\hat{\psi} = \hat{\psi}'$. Note that the extension $F(\eta_i)/F$ is abelian, hence $\varphi^{\hat{\psi}} = \hat{\psi}\varphi$ and $\varphi'^{\hat{\psi}'} = \hat{\psi}'\varphi'$. It follows that $\varphi = \varphi'$, and the sum becomes

$$= \delta_{\psi, \psi'} \sum_{\varphi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta_i)/W)} e^{(\varphi^{\hat{\psi}} \eta_i)} = \delta_{\psi, \psi'} \psi(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i)). \quad \square$$

Lemma 7.10. *The idempotents $\psi(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)})$ are orthogonal for $1 \leq i \leq v_{\chi}^F f$, $1 \leq j \leq n_{\eta}$ and $\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F)$. More precisely:*

$$(7.2) \quad \psi(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}) \cdot \psi'(f_{\eta_{i'}}^{W,(j')}) = \delta_{\psi, \psi'} \delta_{i, i'} \delta_{j, j'} \psi(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}).$$

Proof. Since the idempotents $\psi(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)})$ are summands of the idempotents $\psi(\varepsilon^W(\eta_i))$, and the latter are orthogonal by Lemma 7.9, the left hand side is zero whenever $\psi \neq \psi'$.

Suppose that $\psi = \psi'$. From the decomposition (1.3) we have that $\varepsilon^W(\eta_i) \varepsilon^W(\eta_{i'}) = \delta_{i, i'} \varepsilon^W(\eta_i)$. Since $f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}$ is a summand of $\varepsilon^W(\eta_i)$, we get that the left hand side of (7.2) is zero whenever $i \neq i'$.

Finally, suppose that $\psi = \psi'$ and $i = i'$. The equality of both sides of (7.2) follows from the definition of $f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}$ by considering the corresponding matrices. \square

The following elements are thus natural candidates for the indecomposable idempotents cutting out D_{χ}^F :

Definition 7.11. For $1 \leq j \leq n_{\eta}$, define

$$\mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} := \sum_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F)} \psi(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}) \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_{\chi}^F.$$

As before, the base field F is suppressed from the notation. It is a direct consequence of Lemma 7.10 that these elements are idempotents in $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_{\chi}^F$. Note that in the totally ramified case of Section 6, we have $W = F_{\chi}$, and $\mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} = f_{\eta_i}^{(j)}$.

On the level of total rings of quotients of Iwasawa algebras, we obtain the following decomposition, using (7.1), the fact that $1/f \in W$, and Lemma 7.10:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_{\chi}^F &= (\mathcal{Q}^W(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_{\chi}^F)^{\text{Gal}(W/F)} \\ &= \left(\mathcal{Q}^W(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \frac{1}{f} \sum_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F)} \psi(\varepsilon_{\chi}^W) \right)^{\text{Gal}(W/F)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.3) \quad = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{v_\chi^F f} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_\eta} \mathcal{Q}^W(\mathcal{G}) \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} \right)^{\text{Gal}(W/F)}$$

Recall from (4.2) that $f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} W[H] f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} \simeq D_{\eta_i}$. For the idempotent $\mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)}$, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} W[H] \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} &= \bigoplus_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F)} \psi \left(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} \right) W[H] \psi \left(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F)} \psi \left(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} W[H] f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality, we used that $\psi \in \text{Aut}(W[H])$. Note that we have $f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} W[H] f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} \simeq D_{\eta_i}$.

Theorem 6.1 admits the following generalisation:

Proposition 7.12. *Let $1 \leq i \leq v_\chi^F f$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_\eta$ be fixed. Then the idempotent $\mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)}$ is indecomposable in $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$. Equivalently, $\mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)}$ is a skew field.*

Proof. The proof begins in the same way as in the totally ramified case: let $x \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ be such that $\mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} x \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} \neq 0$. We want to show that there exists $y \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} y \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)}$ is a left inverse of $\mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} x \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)}$. This means that we want

$$(7.4) \quad \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} y \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} x \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} = \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} \gamma^0 \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)}.$$

Let us expand the expression on the left hand side by using the definition of $\mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)}$, the orthogonality statement Lemma 7.10, and the results of Section 5.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} y \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} x \mathbf{f}_{\eta_i}^{(j)} &= \sum_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F)} \sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ v_\chi^F f | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0} - 1} \psi(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}) y_\ell \cdot \psi \left(a_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \right) \psi(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}) \psi \left(a_{\frac{\ell'}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot a_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \delta_{\tau^{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}} (x_{\ell'}) \left(a_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \right)^{-1} \cdot \psi \left(a_{\frac{\ell+\ell'}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \right) \psi(f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)}) \psi \left(a_{\frac{\ell+\ell'}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \right)^{-1} \cdot \gamma^{\ell+\ell'} \end{aligned}$$

The terms on the right hand side are in $\mathcal{Q}^W(\mathcal{G})$.

Consider the case $\psi = \text{id}$. Since $F(\eta)/W$ is totally ramified, the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell'=0 \\ v_\chi^F f | \ell, \ell'}}^{p^{n_0} - 1} f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} y_\ell \cdot a_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}^W f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} \left(a_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot a_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \delta_{\tau^{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}} (x_{\ell'}) \left(a_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \right)^{-1} \cdot a_{\frac{\ell+\ell'}{v_\chi^F f}}^W f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} \left(a_{\frac{\ell+\ell'}{v_\chi^F f}}^W \right)^{-1} \cdot \gamma^{\ell+\ell'} = f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} \gamma^0 f_{\eta_i}^{W,(j)} \end{aligned}$$

admits a solution $y^{\text{id}} = \sum_\ell y_\ell^{\text{id}} \in \mathcal{Q}^W(\mathcal{G})$ with $y_\ell^{\text{id}} \in \mathcal{Q}^W(\Gamma_0)[H]$ by Theorem 6.1. Set $y_\ell^\psi := \psi(y_\ell^{\text{id}}) \in \mathcal{Q}^W(\Gamma_0)[H]$ and $y^\psi := \sum_\ell y_\ell^\psi \in \mathcal{Q}^W(\mathcal{G})$. Then y^ψ is a solution for the ψ -part of (7.4). Let $y := \sum_\psi y^\psi \in \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$: then this is a solution for (7.4). \square

Corollary 7.13. *We have $n_\chi^F = n_\eta^F f v_\chi^F$ and $s_\chi^F = s_\eta e$, that is, Theorem 4.13 holds in general.*

Proof. The same argument as in Section 6.2 works here as well. \square

We readily obtain a description of the centre of D_χ^F :

Corollary 7.14. $\mathfrak{z}(D_\chi^F) \simeq \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi} \left((\Gamma_\eta^{F, \prime\prime})_{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi^F}} \right)$.

Proof. It follows from the decompositions (7.1) and (7.3), and from Proposition 7.12 that $D_\chi^F = \mathbf{f}_\eta^{(j)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \mathbf{f}_\eta^{(j)}$. On the other hand, from Proposition 7.7 we have $\mathfrak{z}(D_\chi^F) \simeq \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\varepsilon_\chi) \simeq \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\Gamma_\eta^{F, \prime\prime})$. The assertion then follows from the observation that

$$(7.5) \quad \mathbf{f}_\eta^{(j)} \gamma_\chi^{F, \prime\prime} \mathbf{f}_\eta^{(j)} = \mathbf{f}_\eta^{(j)} (\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime\prime})_{\frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi^F}} \mathbf{f}_\eta^{(j)}.$$

For this last equality, recall the definition of $\gamma_\chi^{F, \prime\prime}$ and the fact that the irreducible constituents of $\text{res}_H^{\mathcal{G}} \chi$ are of the form $\varphi \eta_i$ for some $\varphi \in \text{Gal}(F(\eta)/W)$. Since the coefficients $a_{\eta_i, \frac{w_\chi}{v_\chi^F}} \in W[H] \varepsilon^W(\eta_i)$ are contained in orthogonal components of $W[H]$, we obtain (7.5). \square

Corollary 7.15. *For every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$, there is a $\chi^* \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\chi^* \sim_F \chi$ and $D_\chi^F = D_{\chi^*}^{F, \chi^*}$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\mathcal{G})$ together with Proposition 7.12 induces an inclusion

$$D_\chi^F = \mathbf{f}_\eta^{F, (1)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}) \mathbf{f}_\eta^{F, (1)} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{f}_\eta^{F, (1)} \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\mathcal{G}) \mathbf{f}_\eta^{F, (1)} = \bigoplus_{\chi' \sim_F \chi} D_{\chi'}^{F, \chi'}$$

Here the sum is taken over F_χ -equivalence classes of irreducible characters which are F -equivalent to χ . Projecting to the components on the right, we have maps $D_\chi^F \rightarrow D_{\chi'}^{F, \chi'}$. A homomorphism of skew fields is either injective or zero, and since the displayed map above is injective, there is a character χ^* on the right hand side such that $D_\chi^F \hookrightarrow D_{\chi^*}^{F, \chi^*}$. Corollaries 7.13 and 7.14 show that these skew fields have the same Schur index and centre, which forces the injection to be an isomorphism. \square

Recall that $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ denotes the skew field fixed by τ^e inside D_η , and $\bar{\tau}$ denotes the image of τ under the natural map $\text{Gal}(F(\eta)(\omega)/F_\chi) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F(\eta)(\omega)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}/F_\chi)$ resp. its unique extension to $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ as an automorphism of order e . We also write $\tilde{D}_\eta = \mathcal{Q}^{F(\eta)}(\Gamma_0) \otimes_{F(\eta)} D_\eta$. The full skew field D_χ^F is described as follows.

Corollary 7.16. *We have*

$$D_\chi^F \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi^F f | \ell}}^{p^{n_0} - 1} \tilde{D}_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle} (\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime\prime})_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}$$

with conjugation by $\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime\prime}$ acting as $\bar{\tau}$ on $\tilde{D}_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$.

Note that the element $(\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime\prime})_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}}$ is in the centre of $\tilde{D}_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ by (5.11) and Lemma 5.7, so the right hand side is well defined. We need to make some preparations before coming to the proof.

Lemma 7.17. *There is a ring isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi^F f | \ell}}^{p^{n_0} - 1} \tilde{D}_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle} (\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime\prime})_{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Quot} \left(\mathcal{O}_{D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}}[[X, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau} - \text{id}]] \right)$$

$$\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime\prime} \mapsto 1 + X$$

where the morphism is the identity on $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$.

Proof. The map is well defined and a ring homomorphism by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 6.12, where we also explained how the image of γ_0 is determined. Let A denote the algebra in the domain of this homomorphism.

We first observe that there is a containment of fields

$$\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma_\eta^{F, \prime})^e) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(A).$$

Indeed, the automorphism $\bar{\tau}$ has order $e = w_\chi/(v_\chi f)$, hence conjugation by this power of $\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime}$ acts trivially, and thus $(\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime})^e \in \mathfrak{z}(A)$. Furthermore, $F_\chi \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(A)$ because $\bar{\tau}$ acts trivially on F_χ .

By the same computation as in the proof of Proposition 6.11, the dimension is

$$\dim_{\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma_\eta^{F, \prime})^e)} A = (es_\eta)^2 = (s_\chi^F)^2,$$

where we used the fact from Lemma 4.12 that $D_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$ has Schur index s_η , and the last step is the now proven Theorem 4.13.

The homomorphism is clearly injective. The codomain is a skew field of Schur index s_χ^F by Corollary 3.8, and its centre is $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{F_\chi}[[1+X]^e])$. The domain is an algebra over $\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma_\eta^{F, \prime})^e)$ of dimension $(s_\chi^F)^2$, and the homomorphism maps $\mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma_\eta^{F, \prime})^e)$ onto $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{F_\chi}[[1+X]^e])$. Therefore the homomorphism is also surjective, and the claim follows. \square

Proof of Corollary 7.16. According to Corollary 7.15, the skew field D_χ^F is cut out by the idempotent $\mathbf{f}_\eta^{F_\chi, (1)}$.

$$D_\chi^F = \mathbf{f}_\eta^{F_\chi, (1)} \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}(\mathcal{G}) \mathbf{f}_\eta^{F_\chi, (1)} = \left(\mathbf{f}_\eta^{F_\chi, (1)} \mathcal{Q}^W(\mathcal{G}) \mathbf{f}_\eta^{F_\chi, (1)} \right)^{\text{Gal}(W/F_\chi)}$$

Substituting Definition 7.11 and applying the orthogonality relations of Lemma 7.10 yields:

$$D_\chi^F = \left(\bigoplus_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F_\chi)} \psi \left(f_\eta^{W, (1)} \mathcal{Q}^W(\mathcal{G}) f_\eta^{W, (1)} \right) \right)^{\text{Gal}(W/F_\chi)}$$

Noting that $\psi(f_\eta^{W, (1)}) | \psi(\varepsilon_\chi^W) = e_{\psi_\chi}$, we have the following:

$$(7.6) \quad D_\chi^F \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F_\chi)} D_{\psi_\chi}^W \right)^{\text{Gal}(W/F_\chi)}$$

We now construct an injective homomorphism into this algebra.

$$A = \bigoplus_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi^F f | \ell}}^{p^{n_0}-1} \tilde{D}_\eta^{\langle \tau^e \rangle} (\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime})^{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}} = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{\ell=0 \\ v_\chi^F f | \ell}}^{p^{n_0}-1} \tilde{D}_\eta (\gamma_\eta^{F, \prime})^{\frac{\ell}{v_\chi^F f}} \right)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle} = (D_\chi^W)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle} \hookrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F_\chi)} D_{\psi_\chi}^W \right)^{\langle \tau^e \rangle}$$

As $D_{\psi_\chi}^W = D_\chi^W$ for all $\psi \in \text{Gal}(W/F_\chi)$, and $\langle \tau^e \rangle \simeq \text{Gal}(W/F_\chi)$, the latter algebra can be identified with the one in (7.6). So we have an injective homomorphism $A \hookrightarrow D_\chi^F$. We have seen in the proof of Lemma 7.17 that $\mathfrak{z}(A) = \mathcal{Q}^{F_\chi}((\Gamma_\eta^{F, \prime})^e)$ and that A has Schur index s_χ^F . It follows from Corollary 7.14 that D_χ^F has the same centre, and it has the same Schur index by definition. Hence the homomorphism is an isomorphism. \square

Putting Corollary 7.16 and Lemma 7.17 together completes the proof of Theorem 4.14. \square

APPENDIX A. HIGHER LOCAL FIELDS

We study the skew field \mathfrak{D} using the theory of higher local fields, using results from Section 3. This was carried out by Lau in [Lau12a, §3] and [Lau12b] for \mathcal{G} pro- p , and indeed our results are mostly generalisations of her results.

We use the setup and notation of Section 3. In this section, overline always means reduction, e.g. taking residue (skew) fields.

A.1. Preliminaries. We recall basics of the theory of higher local fields. A survey of this can be found in [Mor12]; for a more comprehensive overview, we refer to [FK00], where further references to the original articles are provided. Many results in [Ser80] are formulated not just for usual local fields but for any (complete) discretely valued field, and hence are valid for higher local fields as well.

The notion of a higher dimensional local field is defined recursively. A 0-dimensional local field is a finite field. For $n \geq 1$, an n -dimensional local field is a complete discretely valued field whose residue field is an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional local field. In particular, 1-dimensional local fields are precisely the usual local fields. The highest dimension we shall encounter in this work is 2.

As explained in [Mor12, §6], higher local fields can be constructed by successive localisation and completion. In the 2-dimensional regular case, this goes as follows. Let A be a 2-dimensional regular local commutative ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and assume that it is essentially of finite type over \mathbb{Z} , that is, A is the localisation of a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ be a prime ideal of height 1 such that A/\mathfrak{p} is regular. First complete A in the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology, then localise at the prime ideal $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}$ obtained from \mathfrak{p} , and finally complete with respect to $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}$. The field of fractions of the ring $\widehat{A}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}$ so obtained is a 2-dimensional local field.

Besides the construction of Laurent series one encounters in the 1-dimensional case, the higher dimensional theory also involves fields of doubly infinite convergent power series, defined as follows. Let F be a complete discretely valued field with valuation v_F . Then define

$$F\{\{T\}\} := \left\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i T^i : a_i \in F, \inf\{v_F(a_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}\} > -\infty, \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} a_i = 0 \right\}.$$

The ring structure is defined in the obvious way, and there is a valuation $v_{F\{\{T\}\}}$ on $F\{\{T\}\}$ given by $v_{F\{\{T\}\}}(\sum a_i T^i) := \inf\{v_F(a_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The ring $F\{\{T\}\}$ turns out to be a complete discretely valued field with respect to this valuation.

Higher local fields possess a classification theorem. For 2-dimensional local fields, this takes the following form (the higher dimensional statement is completely analogous and shall not be needed).

Theorem A.1. *Let F be a 2-dimensional local field with residue field \overline{F} , and let \mathbb{F} denote the finite field that is the residue field of the 1-dimensional local field \overline{F} . Then one of the following is true.*

- *If F is of (equal) positive characteristic (and hence so are all successive residue fields), then $F \simeq \mathbb{F}((T_1))((T_2))$.*
- *If F is of equal characteristic zero (that is, $\text{char } F = \text{char } \overline{F} = 0$), then $F \simeq \overline{F}((T))$.*
- *If F is of mixed characteristic (that is, $\text{char } F = 0$ and $\text{char } \overline{F} > 0$), then F is isomorphic to a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathbb{F}}\{\{T\}\}$ where $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathbb{F}}$ is the unique unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with residue field \mathbb{F} .*

Fields of the form $\mathbb{F}((T_1))((T_2))$, $\overline{F}((T))$, and $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathbb{F}}\{\{T\}\}$ (but not their finite extensions) are referred to as standard 2-dimensional local fields.

A.2. Centre and maximal subfield. Let us recall the notation introduced in Section 3: K/k is a Galois p -extension of (1-dimensional) local fields, $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$ a Galois automorphism with fixed field k , D a skew field with centre K and Schur index $s \mid \#k - 1$, and

$$\mathfrak{D} = \text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_D[[X; \tau, \tau - \text{id}]]) .$$

As in the proof of Corollary 3.8, we write $T := (1 + X)^{(K:k)} - 1$, so that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}) = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_k[[T]])$. We will often write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} = \mathcal{O}_k[[T]]$. This is not a higher local field, but as we will now show, it becomes one after localising and then completing at any height 1 prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathcal{O}_k[[T]]$, which is the standard method of constructing higher local fields, as explained above.

To treat the question in slightly larger generality, let κ be a (1-dimensional) local field, and consider the power series ring $\kappa[[T]]$. Height 1 primes in $\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]$ are described by Weierstraß theory: they are principal ideals, generated either by a distinguished irreducible polynomial $P(T) \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa[T]$ or by a uniformiser π_κ of \mathcal{O}_κ .

Lemma A.2. *Completions of localisations of $\kappa[[T]]$ at height 1 primes admit the following description as 2-dimensional higher local fields, distinguishing between equal and mixed characteristic.*

- **Equal characteristic case.** Let $\mathfrak{p} = (P(T))$ for a distinguished irreducible polynomial $P(T) \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa[T]$. Then the completed localisation at \mathfrak{p} of $\kappa[[T]]$ resp. its residue field is the following 2- resp. 1-dimensional local field:

$$\widehat{\kappa[[T]]}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Frac}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right), \quad \overline{\widehat{\kappa[[T]]}_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \kappa[T]/(P(T)).$$

If ξ is a root of $P(T)$ in some extension of κ , then these can be identified with the standard fields

$$\widehat{\kappa[[T]]}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \kappa(\xi)((y)), \quad \overline{\widehat{\kappa[[T]]}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \simeq \kappa(\xi).$$

- **Mixed characteristic case.** If $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi_\kappa)$ then

$$\widehat{\kappa[[T]]}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Frac}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \simeq \kappa\{\{T\}\}, \quad \overline{\widehat{\kappa[[T]]}_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \bar{\kappa}((T))$$

where $\bar{\kappa}$ denotes the residue field of κ .

Lemma A.2 generalises Lemma 2 and Corollary 2 of [Lau12a].

Proof. We begin with the equal characteristic case. The first equation is by definition. We now justify the second one. A generic element of the localisation $\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is of the form

$$\frac{G_1(T)}{G_2(T)} \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where $G_1(T), G_2(T) \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]$ and $P(T) \nmid G_2(T)$. Since Weierstraß preparation works in $\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]$ and we are in the equal characteristic case, we may assume that $G_2(T) \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa[T]$ and $\pi_\kappa \nmid G_2(T)$ by moving powers of the uniformiser as well as the unit part into the numerator and thus relaxing the condition on G_1 to $G_1(T) \in \kappa \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\kappa} \mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]$. This allows us to apply Euclidean division to $G_2(T)$ in the polynomial ring $\kappa[T]$: we find a polynomial $H(T) \in \kappa[T]$ such that

$$G_2(T)H(T) \equiv 1 \pmod{P(T)}.$$

Then the following congruence holds in $\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]_{\mathfrak{p}}$:

$$\frac{G_1(T)}{G_2(T)} = \frac{G_1(T)H(T)}{G_2(T)H(T)} \equiv G_1(T)H(T) \pmod{P(T)}.$$

Let $e \geq 0$ be the least non-negative integer such that $G_1(T)H(T)\pi_\kappa^e \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]$, so that the Weierstraß division theorem is applicable to $G_1(T)H(T)\pi_\kappa^e$:

$$G_1(T)H(T)\pi_\kappa^e = Q(T)P(T) + R(T)$$

where $Q(T) \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]$, and $R(T) \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa[T]$ with degree $\deg R < \deg P$. The uniformiser π_κ becomes a unit in the localisation $\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and we conclude every element of $\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is congruent modulo $P(T)$ to one of the form $R(T)$, up to powers of π_κ . The polynomials $R(T)$ run through the elements of $\kappa[T]$ of degree lower than $\deg P$ as $G_1(T)/G_2(T)$ runs through $\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Quotienting out by $\mathfrak{p} = P(T)$, we find that the residue field is as claimed.

If ξ is as in the statement, then the ring $\kappa[T]/(P(T))$ is isomorphic to $\kappa(\xi)$, justifying the fourth equation. The third equation then comes from the classification theorem of 2-dimensional higher local fields stated in Theorem A.1. This isomorphism is the identity on κ , and sends the uniformiser $P(T)$ to the uniformiser y . The element ξ is sent to an element $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_\kappa[[T]]}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $P(x) = 0$: this is shown in [Ser80, II§4, p. 34, Proposition 7], where x is constructed using Hensel's lemma. (Note: x is not unique, but it is uniquely determined by its image in the residue field.)

In the mixed characteristic case, the first equality is by definition. Taking the quotient modulo π_κ , we obtain the second equality. The isomorphism comes from the classification theorem. \square

Applying Lemma A.2 with $\kappa := k$ provides a description of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})$ as a 2-dimensional higher local field. Let $E \subseteq D$ be a maximal subfield with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_E . Then the field

$$\mathfrak{E}(E) := \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_E[[T]])$$

is a maximal subfield of \mathfrak{D} by Lemma 3.13. We will write

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}(E)} := \mathcal{O}_E[[T]]$$

for its ring of integers. If $E = K(\omega)$, then we have $\mathfrak{E}(K(\omega)) = \mathfrak{E}$ in the notation of Section 3. The field $\mathfrak{E}(E)$ is a 2-dimensional local field, as seen by applying Lemma A.2 with $\kappa := E$.

From now on, we adopt the convention that \mathfrak{p} resp. \mathfrak{P} always stand for height 1 prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_k[[T]]$ resp. $\mathcal{O}_E[[T]]$. A connexion between the descriptions of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})$ and $\mathfrak{E}(E)$ by Lemma A.2 is established by the following isomorphism, see [Neu99, Proposition II.8.3]:

$$(A.1) \quad \mathfrak{E}(E) \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}} \widehat{\mathfrak{E}(E)}_{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

The statement and the proof in the reference are given without the hats, but also work for completions. The condition that $\mathfrak{E}(E)/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})$ be separable is satisfied as these are fields of characteristic zero.

We may also consider a special case of this. Let \mathfrak{p} be a height 1 prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$, and assume that \mathfrak{p} is inert in $\mathfrak{E}(E)/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})$. That is, there is a unique prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}(E)}$ above \mathfrak{p} , and \mathfrak{P} is unramified above \mathfrak{p} . More explicitly, this means that either one of the following holds.

- In equal characteristic: $\mathfrak{p} = (P(T))$ as above, such that $P(T)$ remains irreducible in $\mathcal{O}_E[T]$, so $\mathfrak{P} = (P(T))$ is a height 1 prime.
- In mixed characteristic: $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi_k)$, and the extension E/k is unramified, so π_k is a uniformiser in E .

Remark A.3. If $E = K(\omega)$, then E/K is unramified, and thus the condition in mixed characteristic is equivalent to K/k being unramified. Note that this is orthogonal to Theorem 6.1.

If \mathfrak{p} is inert in $\mathfrak{E}(E)/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})$, then $\mathfrak{E}(E) \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \widehat{\mathfrak{E}(E)}_{\mathfrak{P}}$: indeed, there is only one \mathfrak{P} above \mathfrak{p} by assumption, and the claim follows from (A.1).

A.3. Cohomological dimension. We have the following generalisation of Corollary 3 of [Lau12a].

Lemma A.4. *Let \mathfrak{p} resp. \mathfrak{P} be height 1 prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{E}(E)}$. Then the 2-dimensional higher local fields $\widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{E}(E)}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ have cohomological dimension 3.*

Proof. Lau's proof carries over without modification.

Indeed, let us first consider the equal characteristic case. Let ℓ be a rational prime. Then the residue field is a 1-dimensional local field, and as such has ℓ -cohomological dimension 2, see [NSW20, Theorem 7.1.8(i)]. Then since the 2-dimensional higher local fields $\widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{E}(E)}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ have characteristic $0 \neq \ell$, [NSW20, Theorem 6.5.15] shows that they both have ℓ -cohomological dimension $2 + 1 = 3$. Since this is true for all rational primes ℓ , the assertion follows.

In the mixed characteristic case, the proof is due to Morita in [Mor08, §3], see the assertion after the statement of Theorem 3.1. There it is shown that if \mathcal{K} is a complete discretely valued field of characteristic 0 with residue field k of characteristic p , and $(k : k^p) = p^n < \infty$, then $\text{cd } \mathcal{K} = n + 2$. Let K be either of the fields in the statement: then the residue field k is the field $\mathbb{F}((T))$ of Laurent series over some finite field \mathbb{F} of p -power order. We have $\mathbb{F}((T))^p = \mathbb{F}((T^p))$, and thus $n = 1$, hence Morita's result is applicable, and the cohomological dimension is $1 + 2 = 3$. \square

This leads to the following generalisation of [Lau12a, Theorem 2].

Proposition A.5. $\text{cd } (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})) = 3$.

Proof. First we prove the inequality

$$(A.2) \quad \text{cd } (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})) \geq 3.$$

Recall from [NSW20, (3.3.5)] that if \mathcal{G} is a profinite group and \mathcal{H} a closed subgroup, then for every rational prime ℓ , there is an inequality $\text{cd}_{\ell} \mathcal{H} \leq \text{cd}_{\ell} \mathcal{G}$, and consequently $\text{cd } \mathcal{H} \leq \text{cd } \mathcal{G}$. Set \mathcal{G} to be the absolute Galois group of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})$, let \mathfrak{p} be a height 1 prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$, and let \mathcal{H} be the absolute Galois group of $\widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then \mathcal{H} is indeed a closed subgroup of \mathcal{G} : this can be seen by viewing \mathcal{H} as the decomposition group of some prime above \mathfrak{p} . Then (A.2) follows from Lemma A.4.

In light of (A.2), it remains to show that $\text{cd } (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})) \leq 3$. Using a result of Saito from [Sai86, Theorem 5.1], Lau proved

$$\text{cd } \mathcal{Q}^k(\Gamma^{w_x}) \leq 3$$

as part of the proof of [Lau12a, Theorem 2]. Fixing an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_k}(\Gamma^{w_x}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_k[[T]] = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \\ \gamma^{w_x} &\rightarrow 1 + T \end{aligned}$$

yields an isomorphism of the respective fields of fractions:

$$\mathcal{Q}^k(\Gamma^{w_x}) \simeq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}).$$

Therefore $\text{cd } \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}) \leq 3$, and the result follows. \square

Proposition A.5 therefore places us in the setup of Suslin's conjecture on the vanishing of SK_1 mentioned in Remark 1.3.

A.4. Completions. The completed localisations of the centre of \mathfrak{D} considered above give rise to completions of \mathfrak{D} under the respective \mathfrak{p} -adic valuations:

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \mathfrak{D}.$$

We have already encountered this algebra in Lemma 3.11 and Proposition 3.12. It is a central simple algebra over its centre

$$\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \mathfrak{D}) = \widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}) = \widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Moreover, since for any field extension \mathcal{L}/K and a finite dimensional K -vector space V , we have $\dim_{\mathcal{L}}(V \otimes_K \mathcal{L}) = \dim_K V$, the dimension of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over its centre is

$$\dim_{\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}})} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \mathfrak{D} = ((K : k))^2 s^2.$$

Recall the following elementary property of Brauer groups.

Lemma A.6. *Let L/F and E/F be field extensions. Then the tensor product $L \otimes_F E$ is isomorphic to a product of fields $\prod_{i \in I} E_i$. If A is a central simple F -algebra split by L , that is, if $[A] \in \text{Br}(L/F)$, then for all indices $i \in I$, the E -algebra $A \otimes_F E$ is split by E_i , that is, $[A \otimes_F E] \in \text{Br}(E_i/F)$.*

Proof. Indeed, if $A \otimes_F L \simeq M_n(L)$, then

$$(A \otimes_F E) \otimes_E E_i \simeq A \otimes_F E_i \simeq A \otimes_F L \otimes_L E_i \simeq M_n(L) \otimes_L E_i \simeq M_n(E_i). \quad \square$$

Applying Lemma A.6 to (A.1), we find that for each $\mathfrak{P} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ as above, $\widehat{\mathfrak{E}(E)}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ splits $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This is a field extension of $\widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the following degree:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\widehat{\mathfrak{E}(E)}_{\mathfrak{P}} : \widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}} \right) &= \begin{cases} (E(\Xi)(y) : k(\xi)(y)) & \text{in equal characteristic} \\ (E\{\{T\}\} : k\{\{T\}\}) & \text{in mixed characteristic} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (E : k) \frac{\deg P_1(T)}{\deg P(T)} & \text{in equal characteristic} \\ (E : k) & \text{in mixed characteristic} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the first step is due to Lemma A.2. Here $\mathfrak{p} = (P(T))$, and $P_1(T)$ is an irreducible factor of it over E such that $\mathfrak{P} = (P_1(T))$, and ξ resp. Ξ are roots of $P(T)$ resp. $P_1(T)$.

The embedding $\mathfrak{E}(E) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ induces an embedding $\widehat{\mathfrak{E}(E)}_{\mathfrak{P}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This is because $\widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a flat $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})$ -module, which is due to the facts that localisation and completion are flat, see [Sta23, Lemmata 00HT(1) & 06LE].

Since $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a central simple $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ -algebra, there is an isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq M_n(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ})$$

where $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ is a skew field with centre $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and Schur index dividing $(K : k)s$. See also [CR81, Theorem 26.24] and [Rei03, Theorem 18.7]. In equal characteristic, the capacity n and the local Schur index may depend on the splitting behaviour of the distinguished irreducible polynomial $P(T)$ generating \mathfrak{p} .

Lemma A.7. *In mixed characteristic, the capacity is $n = 1$, and the local Schur index is $(K : k)s$.*

Proof. We have $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi_k)$. The ring \mathfrak{D} is local with maximal ideal (X, π_D) , see [Ven03, Proposition 2.11]. Therefore the localisation $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has maximal ideal $\pi_D \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The extension $K(\pi_D)/k$ has ramification degree es where e is the ramification degree of K/k . Hence π_D^{es} and π_k differ by a unit, so $\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\text{rad } \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}})^{es}$.

The quotient $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \text{rad } \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_D[[X, \tau, \delta]]_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_D$ is a skew field, and its dimension over $\mathcal{O}_k[[1 + X]^{(K:k)} - 1]]_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p}$ is $fs(K : k)$. Indeed, the factor fs comes from the roots of unity of order prime to p , and the term $(K : k)$ is due to the powers of X .

Then as in [CR81, Theorem 26.24(ii)], the local Schur index is

$$\sqrt{es \cdot fs(K : k)} = \sqrt{(K : k)^2 s^2} = (K : k)s.$$

The capacity times the local Schur index divides the Schur index of \mathfrak{D} , which forces $n = 1$. \square

The valuation of $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ admits a unique extension w to $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ by [Rei03, Theorem 12.10]. The valuation ring $\{x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} : w(x) \geq 0\}$ has a unique maximal two-sided ideal $\{x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} : w(x) > 0\}$. The quotient ring is a skew field, which we will call the residue skew field of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ and denote by $\overline{\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}}$.

We have the following generalisation of [Lau12a, Proposition 2].

Lemma A.8. *In the equal characteristic case,*

$$SK_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1.$$

Proof. Due to Morita equivalence, the K_1 -groups of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq M_n(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ})$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ are equal, wherefore the same holds for their SK_1 -groups: in particular, it suffices to prove $SK_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}) = 1$. We follow the strategy of Lau's proof. Consider the field extension

$$\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}) / \overline{\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ})}.$$

The base field here has characteristic zero: indeed, $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}) = \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \overline{\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}})}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is, by Lemma A.2, a 2-dimensional higher local field with characteristic zero residue field. In particular, the field extension is separable.

Recall the following result of Draxl from [Dra77, Korollar 7]. Let k be a complete discretely valued field with residue field \bar{k} , and let D be a skew field which is finite dimensional over $\mathfrak{z}(D) = k$. Let \overline{D} denote the residue skew field of D . Suppose that the field extension $\mathfrak{z}(\overline{D})/\bar{k}$ is separable and that \bar{k} is 'reasonable' in the sense of [Dra77]. Then Draxl showed that $SK_1(D) = 1$. This result is applicable in our case, with $k := \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ})$ and $D := \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$. Indeed, separability follows from the characteristic being 0, and as we have seen above, the residue field $\bar{k} = \overline{\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ})}$ is a 1-dimensional local field, which are always reasonable by [Dra77, (13)]. \square

Corollary 4 of [Lau12a] generalises as follows. Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , and let $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)$ be a height 1 prime ideal not lying above (p) . Let $\widehat{\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ denote the completed localisation of $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)$ at \mathfrak{p}_0 . We define the localised completion of $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G})$ resp. $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} &:= \text{Frac}\left(\widehat{\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}\right), \\ \widehat{\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G})}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} &:= \widehat{\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)} \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G}), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} &:= \text{Quot}\left(\widehat{\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G})}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}\right) = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary A.9. *Let $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\Gamma_0)$ be a height 1 prime ideal such that \mathfrak{p}_0 does not lie above (p) . Then*

$$SK_1(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}) = 1.$$

Proof. The reduced norm map is defined Wedderburn componentwise, so it is sufficient to show vanishing of SK_1 for each of the Wedderburn components of $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$. The Wedderburn decomposition is as follows:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)} \mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\simeq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)} \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})/\sim_F} M_{n_\chi}(D_\chi) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{G})/\sim_F} M_{n_\chi} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)} D_\chi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Morita equivalence, it is therefore sufficient to show vanishing of SK_1 for each

$$(A.3) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)} D_\chi$$

where χ runs through the set of irreducible characters of \mathcal{G} with open kernel.

Theorem 4.14 identifies D_χ with the \mathfrak{D} studied above. Since $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)$ is central in $\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})$, its image in \mathfrak{D} is also central. We identify $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}^F}(\Gamma_0)$ and $\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)$ with their respective images in \mathfrak{D} . The ring $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}^F}(\Gamma_0)$ is normal, and the ring extension $\Lambda^{\mathcal{O}^F}(\Gamma_0) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ is integral, hence the going down theorem applies, see [Sta23, Proposition 00H8]. Consequently, every prime ideal \mathfrak{P}_0 of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}$ above \mathfrak{p}_0 is of height 1. We apply [Neu99, Proposition II.8.3] once again:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}) \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{P}_0 | \mathfrak{p}_0} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}$$

Returning to (A.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)} D_\chi &\simeq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)} \mathfrak{D} \\ &\simeq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)}_{\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}^F(\Gamma_0)} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \mathfrak{D} \\ &\simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{P}_0 | \mathfrak{p}_0} \widehat{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})}_{\mathfrak{P}_0} \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D})} \mathfrak{D} \\ &\simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{P}_0 | \mathfrak{p}_0} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}$ have trivial SK_1 -group by Lemma A.8, and the assertion follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [CR81] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner. *Methods of Representation Theory*. Vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, 1981.
- [CR87] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner. *Methods of Representation Theory*. Vol. 2. John Wiley & Sons, 1987.
- [Dra77] Peter Draxl. “ SK_1 von Algebren über vollständig diskret bewerteten Körpern und Galoiskohomologie abelscher Körpererweiterungen.” In: 1977.293–294 (1977), pp. 116–142. DOI: doi:10.1515/crll.1977.293–294.116.
- [EN18] Nils Ellerbrock and Andreas Nickel. “On formal groups and Tate cohomology in local fields”. In: *Acta Arith.* 182.3 (2018), pp. 285–299. DOI: 10.4064/aa170509–5–12. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa170509–5–12>.
- [FK00] Ivan Fesenko and Masato Kurihara. *Invitation to higher local fields*. Geometry and topology monographs. Warwick: Geometry & Topology Publications, Dec. 10, 2000. 304 pp. URL: <https://msp.org/gtm/2000/03/gtm-2000-03p.pdf>.
- [For23] Bence Forrás. “An equivariant p -adic Artin conjecture”. PhD thesis. 2023. DOI: 10.17185/dupublico/79204.
- [Gn] Tim Dokchitser et al. *GroupNames.org Database*. 2023. URL: <https://people.maths.bris.ac.uk/~matyd/GroupNames>.

- [Has31] Helmut Hasse. “Über \wp -adische Schiefkörper und ihre Bedeutung für die Arithmetik hyperkomplexer Zahlssysteme”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 104.1 (1931), pp. 495–534.
- [Isa76] I. Martin Isaacs. *Character Theory of Finite Groups*. London: Academic Press, 1976.
- [JN19] Henri Johnston and Andreas Nickel. “On the non-abelian Brumer–Stark conjecture and the equivariant Iwasawa main conjecture”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 292 (2019), pp. 1233–1267. DOI: 10.1007/s00209-018-2152-8.
- [JN20] Henri Johnston and Andreas Nickel. *An unconditional proof of the abelian equivariant Iwasawa main conjecture and applications*. 2020. arXiv: 2010.03186 [math.NT].
- [Knu97] Donald E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 1 (3rd Ed.): Fundamental Algorithms*. Addison Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., 1997.
- [Lam01] Tsit Yuen Lam. *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2001.
- [Lau12a] Irene Lau. “On the Iwasawa algebra for pro- ℓ Galois groups”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift volume 272 (3-4 2012)*, pp. 1219–1241. DOI: 10.1007/s00209-012-0984-1.
- [Lau12b] Irene Lau. “When do reduced Whitehead groups of Iwasawa algebras vanish? A reduction step”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 216.5 (2012), pp. 1184–1195. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2011.10.019>.
- [Mer94] Alexander S. Merkurjev. “Algebraic K -Theory and Galois Cohomology”. In: *First European Congress of Mathematics, Paris, July 6-10, 1992, Invited Lectures*. Ed. by Anthony Joseph et al. Vol. 2. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag, 1994, pp. 243–255.
- [Mor08] Kazuma Morita. “Galois cohomology of a p -adic field via (φ, Γ) -modules in the imperfect residue field case”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Sciences-the University of Tokyo* 15 (2008), pp. 219–241. URL: <https://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/journal/pdf/jms150202.pdf>.
- [Mor12] Matthew Morrow. *An introduction to higher dimensional local fields and adèles*. 2012. arXiv: 1204.0586 [math.NT].
- [Mot15] Mehran Motiee. “Computation of the norm factor group over Henselian fields and tame Brauer group of generalized local fields”. In: *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications* 14 (Sept. 2015). DOI: 10.1142/S0219498815501340.
- [Neu99] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.
- [Nic14] Andreas Nickel. “A conductor formula for completed group algebras”. In: *Documenta Mathematica* 19 (2014), pp. 601–627. URL: <https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/documenta/vol-19/19.html>.
- [NP19] Alexandra Nichifor and Bharathwaj Palvannan. “On free resolutions of Iwasawa modules”. In: *Documenta Mathematica* 24 (2019), pp. 609–662. DOI: 10.25537/dm.2019v24.609-662.
- [NSW20] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg. *Cohomology of Number Fields*. 2.3 electronic edition. Available at <https://www.mathi.uni-heidelberg.de/~schmidt/NSW2e/>. Berlin: Springer, 2020.
- [Rei03] Irving Reiner. *Maximal Orders*. London Mathematical Society Monographs 28. Oxford: Clarendon Press, Jan. 16, 2003. 410 pp.
- [RW04] Jürgen Ritter and Alfred Weiss. “Toward equivariant Iwasawa theory, II”. In: *Indagationes Mathematicae* 15 (4 2004), pp. 549–572. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019357704800181>.

- [RW05] Jürgen Ritter and Alfred Weiss. “Toward equivariant Iwasawa theory, IV”. In: *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 7.3 (2005), pp. 155–171. DOI: 10.4310/hha.2005.v7.n3.a8.
- [Sai86] Shuji Saito. “Arithmetic on two dimensional local rings.” In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 85 (1986), pp. 379–414. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/143374>.
- [Ser80] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local Fields*. 1st ed. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 67. New York: Springer, 1980.
- [Sta23] The Stacks project authors. *The Stacks project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2023.
- [Sus06] Andrei Suslin. “ SK_1 of Division Algebras and Galois Cohomology Revisited”. In: *Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society Volume XII*. Ed. by N. N. Uraltseva. Vol. 219. American Mathematical Society Translations. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 2006, pp. 125–147.
- [SV06] Peter Schneider and Otmar Venjakob. “On the codimension of modules over skew power series rings with applications to Iwasawa algebras”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 204.2 (2006), pp. 349–367. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2005.05.007>.
- [Ven03] Otmar Venjakob. “A noncommutative Weierstrass preparation theorem and applications to Iwasawa theory”. In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* (559 Jan. 2003), pp. 153–191. DOI: 10.1515/crll.2003.047.
- [Wit52] Ernst Witt. “Die algebraische Struktur des Gruppenringes einer endlichen Gruppe über einem Zahlkörper.” In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 190 (1952), pp. 231–245. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/150225>.
- [Yam74] Toshihiko Yamada. *The Schur Subgroup of the Brauer Group*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1974.

UNIVERSITÄT DER BUNDESWEHR, INF 1 INSTITUT FÜR THEORETISCHE INFORMATIK, MATHEMATIK UND OPERATIONS RESEARCH, WERNER-HEISENBERG-WEG 39, 85579 NEUBIBERG, GERMANY
 Email address: ben.forras@unibw.de
 URL: <https://bforras.eu>