# GALILEAN SYMMETRY OF THE KDV HIERARCHY

JIANGHAO XU, DI YANG

ABSTRACT. By solving the infinitesimal Galilean symmetry for the KdV hierarchy, we obtain an explicit expression for the corresponding one-parameter Lie group, which we call the *Galilean symmetry* of the KdV hierarchy. As an application, we establish an explicit relationship between the *non-abelian Born–Infeld partition function* and the generalized Brézin–Gross–Witten partition function.

#### CONTENTS

2. The Galilean symmetry of the KdV hierarchy63. The NBI partition function114. Application145. Generalizations18References23	1.	Introduction and statements of the main results	1
3. The NBI partition function114. Application145. Generalizations18References23	2.	The Galilean symmetry of the KdV hierarchy	6
4. Application145. Generalizations18References23	3.	The NBI partition function	11
5. Generalizations18References23	4.	Application	14
References 23	5.	Generalizations	18
	References		23

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

The KdV (Korteweg–de Vries) equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = u \frac{\partial u}{\partial X} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{12} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial X^3} \tag{1}$$

is an evolutionary partial differential equation, discovered in the study of shallow water waves in the late of the 19th century. In this paper, like in [18] we are interested in solutions to the KdV equation in the ring  $V[[t, \epsilon]]$ , where V is a given ring of functions of X closed under  $\partial/\partial X =: \partial_X$ . For simplicity we take  $V = \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ . A solution  $u(X, t; \epsilon)$  in  $V[[t, \epsilon]]$  to the KdV equation is uniquely specified by its initial value

$$u(X,0;\epsilon) =: f(X;\epsilon).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The KdV equation has the well-known *Galilean symmetry*<sup>1</sup>. This means that, if  $u(X, t; \epsilon)$  is a solution to the KdV equation (1), then

$$\tilde{u}(X,t;\epsilon;q) := u(X+qt,t;\epsilon) + q \tag{3}$$

is also a solution to (1). We observe that, from the viewpoint of the initial value, the effect of the Galilean symmetry (3) is simply the following: it changes the initial value by a constant. Indeed, the initial value of  $\tilde{u}(X, t; \epsilon; q)$  defined by (3) is given by

$$\tilde{u}(X,0;\epsilon;q) = f(X;\epsilon) + q.$$
(4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Here we remind the reader that a symmetry of an equation maps a solution to another solution.

It is well known (see e.g. [11]) that the KdV equation admits the following infinite family of infinitesimal symmetries, which can be written as

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k} = \frac{1}{(2k+1)!!} \Big[ \left( L^{\frac{2k+1}{2}} \right)_+, L \Big], \quad k \ge 0,$$
(5)

where  $L := \epsilon^2 \partial_X^2 + 2u$  is the so-called Lax operator. Here,  $L^{\frac{2k+1}{2}}$  are pseudo-differential operators and  $(\cdot)_+$  means to take the nonnegative part (see e.g. [11] for details about pseudo-differential operators). Each of the infinitesimal symmetries (5) is an evolutionary equation. In particular, the k = 1 equation coincides with (1), and the k = 0equation reads  $\partial u / \partial t_0 = \partial u / \partial X$ . We identify  $t_0$  with X. Equations (5) are also infinitesimal symmetries to each other, and all together they form the KdV hierarchy, yielding solutions of the form  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ , where  $\mathbf{t} = (t_0, t_1, t_2, ...)$  is the infinite vector of times.

The infinitesimal version of the Galilean symmetry (3) reads

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial q} = t \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial X} + 1,\tag{6}$$

which is called the *infinitesimal Galilean symmetry* for the KdV equation (1). Sometimes an infinitesimal symmetry is called for short a symmetry. It is known that the KdV hierarchy (5) admits the infinitesimal Galilean symmetry [19] (see also [7, 24])

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial q} = \sum_{k \ge 0} t_{k+1} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t_k} + 1.$$
(7)

The following proposition gives explicitly the one-parameter Lie group for (7).

**Proposition 1.** For any given power series  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{t}, \epsilon]]$ , the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)}{\partial q} = \sum_{k\geq 0} t_{k+1} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)}{\partial t_k} + 1,$$
(8a)

$$\tilde{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q=0) = u(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) \tag{8b}$$

has a unique solution  $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{t},\epsilon,q]]$ , which has the explicit expression

$$\tilde{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q) = u\big(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon\big) + q, \quad t_{n}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q) := \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{q^{k}}{k!} t_{n+k} \, (n\geq 0). \tag{9}$$

We give a proof of this proposition in Section 2. Let us mention that an important feature of the linear map  $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{t};q)$  given in (9) is that it is invertible.

The following theorem says that (9) gives a symmetry of the KdV hierarchy.

**Theorem 1.** If  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  is a solution to the KdV hierarchy, then  $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$  defined by (9) satisfies the KdV hierarchy for arbitrary q.

The proof of Theorem 1 is in Section 2.

We call (9) the *Galilean symmetry of the KdV hierarchy*. Just like the observation made above for the KdV equation, the Galilean symmetry (9) changes the initial value for the KdV hierarchy by a constant.

**Remark 1.** It turns out that transformation similar to  $\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}$  appeared in the WK-BGW correspondence [40]. We will show in this paper that the WK-BGW correspondence of [40] can be geometrically interpreted by the Galilean symmetry.

A crucial object in the theory of integrable systems is the  $\tau$ -function [10, 11, 38] (cf. [3, 18, 19]). Recall that a function  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  is called a  $\tau$ -function for the KdV hierarchy, for short a KdV  $\tau$ -function, if it satisfies the following Hirota bilinear equations:

$$\mathop{\rm res}_{z=\infty} \tau(\mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}]; \epsilon) \tau(\mathbf{t}' + [z^{-1}]; \epsilon) e^{\sum_{j \ge 0} \frac{t_j - t'_j}{\epsilon(2j+1)!!} z^{2j+1}} z^{2p} dz = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}', \, p \ge 0.$$
(10)

Here  $[z^{-1}] := (\frac{\epsilon}{z}, \frac{\epsilon}{z^3}, \cdots, \frac{\epsilon(2k-1)!!}{z^{2k+1}}, \cdots)$ . Let  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  be a KdV  $\tau$ -function. Then, it is easy to verify that  $\gamma(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ , where  $\gamma(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon) := e^{c_{-1}(\epsilon) + \sum_{i \ge 0} c_i(\epsilon)t_i}$ , is also a KdV  $\tau$ -function for arbitrary  $c_{-1}(\epsilon), c_0(\epsilon), c_1(\epsilon), c_2(\epsilon), \ldots$  Put

$$u(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) := \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)}{\partial t_0^2}.$$
(11)

Then  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  satisfies the KdV hierarchy (5). Note that multiplying  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  by the factor  $\gamma(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  does not change  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ . Conversely, given a solution  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  to the KdV hierarchy (5), with the help of the so-called  $\tau$ -structure (cf. [3, 11, 18, 19]), one can uniquely determine up to multiplying by a factor like  $\gamma(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  a KdV  $\tau$ -function  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ . We call  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  the  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  [18, 19].

The infinitesimal Galilean symmetry (7) for the KdV hierarchy can be upgraded to the infinitesimal action [19, 26] on KdV  $\tau$ -functions as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)}{\partial q} = \sum_{k\geq 0} t_{k+1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)}{\partial t_k} + \frac{t_0^2}{2\epsilon^2} \tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q).$$
(12)

**Theorem 2.** If  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  is a KdV  $\tau$ -function, then  $\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$  defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q) := \tau(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon)e^{\frac{g(\mathbf{t};q)}{\epsilon^{2}}}$$
(13)

is a KdV  $\tau$ -function for arbitrary q, where  $\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q)$  is defined in (9), and

$$g(\mathbf{t};q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \ge 0} \frac{q^{i+j+1}}{i+j+1} \frac{t_i}{i!} \frac{t_j}{j!}.$$
(14)

The proof by a direct verification of the definition of a KdV  $\tau$ -function is in Section 2.

**Remark 2.** The infinitesimal action (12) corresponds to an element in  $gl(\infty)$  [10, 26, 38], and the corresponding group element sends a point S in the Sato Grassmannian satisfying  $z^2S \subset S$  to another point  $\tilde{S}$  (depending on the parameter q) satisfying  $z^2\tilde{S} \subset \tilde{S}$ . In viewpoint of this the claim that  $\tilde{\tau} := \exp(q(\sum_{k\geq 0} t_{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + \frac{t_0^2}{2\epsilon^2}))(\tau)$  is a KdV  $\tau$ -function is direct.

The main source for our study comes from the growing interest (see [42]) in two important partition functions: the generalized Brézin–Gross–Witten (BGW) partition function [1, 18, 33, 41] and the non-abelian Born–Infeld (NBI) partition function [2, 18]. Both of them were known to be particular  $\tau$ -functions for the KdV hierarchy, and some unified formulas for the two were obtained in [18] (actually, we will also give in Section 2 another proof of Theorem 2 based on the method in [3, 18]).

Recall that the *BGW partition function*  $Z^{\text{BGW}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  was introduced in [6, 23] (see also [1, 14, 22, 33]), and was shown [14, 22, 33] to be a particular  $\tau$ -function for the KdV hierarchy. It is often normalized so that  $Z^{\text{BGW}}(\mathbf{0}; \epsilon) \equiv 1$ . The logarithm of  $Z^{\text{BGW}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  has the form

$$\log Z^{\text{BGW}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) = \sum_{g,n \ge 1} \frac{\epsilon^{2g-2}}{n!} \sum_{\substack{k_1,\dots,k_n \ge 0\\|k|=g-1}} c_g(k_1,\dots,k_n) t_{k_1}\dots t_{k_n},$$
(15)

where  $|k| := k_1 + \cdots + k_n$ , and  $c_g(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$  are certain numbers called the *BGW* numbers. Topological recursion of Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin type for this model was given in [14], and explicit *n*-point functions were derived in [4, 18].

In [33] (cf. [1]), a one-parameter deformation  $Z^{\text{gBGW}}(N, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  of the BGW partition function was defined by means of a generalized Kontsevich matrix model. This partition function is often normalized so that  $Z^{\text{gBGW}}(N, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon) \equiv 1$ . We call  $Z^{\text{gBGW}}(N, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  the normalized generalized BGW partition function. Let  $x := N\epsilon\sqrt{-2}$  be the Alexandrov coupling constant. Following [41] (cf. [37, 42]), define

$$Z^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) := e^{B(x;\epsilon)} Z^{\text{gBGW}}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon\sqrt{-2}}, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon\right), \tag{16}$$

where

$$B(x,\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{1}{4} x^2 \log\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{3}{8} x^2 \right) + \frac{1}{12} \log\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) + \sum_{g \ge 2} \frac{\epsilon^{2g-2}}{x^{2g-2}} \frac{(-1)^g 2^{g-1} B_{2g}}{2g(2g-2)}$$
(17)

with  $B_j$  being the *j*th Bernoulli number. We call  $Z^{cBGW}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  the corrected generalized BGW partition function, for short the generalized BGW partition function. The logarithm of  $Z^{cBGW}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  has the form

$$\log Z^{\text{cBGW}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) = B(x,\epsilon) + \sum_{\substack{g,n\geq 1}} \frac{\epsilon^{2g-2}}{n!} \sum_{\substack{k_1,\dots,k_n\geq 0\\|k|\geq g-1}} x^{2|k|-2g+2} c_g(k_1,\dots,k_n) t_{k_1}\dots t_{k_n}.$$
 (18)

It was shown in [33] (cf. [1]) that  $Z^{cBGW}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  is a  $\tau$ -function for the KdV hierarchy for any x. In particular,

$$u^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) := \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log Z^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)}{\partial t_0^2}$$
(19)

is a solution to the KdV hierarchy, which has the initial value [1, 4, 18, 33, 41]:

$$u^{\text{cBGW}}(x, t_0 = X, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon) = \frac{\frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{8}}{(1 - X)^2}.$$
 (20)

Before proceeding to the NBI side, we recall some terminologies. For  $g, n \geq 0$ satisfying 2g - 2 + n > 0, denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  the moduli space of stable algebraic curves of genus g with n distinct marked points. Let  $f : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  be the forgetful map forgetting the last marked point. Denote by  $\mathcal{L}_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  the *i*th cotangent line bundle on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  and by  $\psi_i$  the first Chern class of  $\mathcal{L}_i$ , and denote  $\kappa_j := f_*(\psi_{n+1}^{j+1}), j \ge 0.$ 

In 1990, Witten [39] proposed a conjectural statement on the relationship between  $\psi$ -class intersection numbers and integrable hierarchy, which can be stated as follows. Witten's conjecture. The partition function  $Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  of  $\psi$ -class intersection numbers, defined by

$$Z^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) := \exp\left(\sum_{g,n\geq 0} \frac{\epsilon^{2g-2}}{n!} \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_n\geq 0} t_{k_1}\dots t_{k_n} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{k_1}\dots \psi_n^{k_n}\right), \qquad (21)$$

is a particular  $\tau$ -function for the KdV hierarchy, which satisfies the string equation

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} t_{k+1} \frac{\partial Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)}{\partial t_k} + \frac{t_0^2}{2\epsilon^2} = \frac{\partial Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)}{\partial t_1}.$$
(22)

In particular, the function  $u^{WK}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon) := \epsilon^2 \partial^2 \log Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon) / \partial t_0^2$  is a solution to the KdV hierarchy, which is characterized by the initial value [39]

$$u^{\rm WK}(t_0 = X, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon) \equiv X. \tag{23}$$

Kontsevich [30] first proved Witten's conjecture. Nowadays, Witten's conjecture is also known as the *Witten–Kontsevich (WK) theorem*. See [8, 28, 34, 36] for several other proofs of the WK theorem. We refer to  $u^{WK}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  as the *WK solution*.

Motivated by the work of Ambjørn–Chekhov [2] (cf. [42]) we make the following definition.

**Definition 1.** The NBI partition function 
$$Z^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon)$$
 is defined by  

$$Z^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon) := Z^{\text{WK}} (\mathbf{t}^{\text{WK-NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}); \epsilon), \qquad (24)$$

where

$$t_i^{\text{WK-NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}) := r_i + (2i-1)!! \left(-\frac{2}{x^2}\right)^i - \delta_{i,0} + \delta_{i,1}, \quad i \ge 0.$$
(25)

It is easy to check that  $Z^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon)$  is a well-defined power series of  $\mathbf{r}$ . The celebrated results in [27, 31, 32] (see also [20, 35]) lead to the following proposition.

Proposition 2. We have

$$Z^{\text{NBI}}(x,\mathbf{r};\epsilon) = \left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{24}} \exp\left(\sum_{g,n\geq 0} \frac{\epsilon^{2g-2}}{n!} \sum_{\substack{k_1,\dots,k_n\geq 0\\|k|\leq 3g-3+n}} \left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)^{|k|+1-g} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} K_{3g-3+n-|k|} \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} r_{k_i}\right).$$
(26)

Here,  $K_m \in H^{2m}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}; \mathbb{C}), m \geq 0$ , are polynomials of  $\kappa$ -classes defined via

$$\sum_{m \ge 0} K_m := e^{\sum_{j \ge 1} s_j^{\text{NBI}} \kappa_j} =: \mathbb{K},$$
(27)

where  $\mathbf{s}^{\text{NBI}} = (s_1^{\text{NBI}}, s_2^{\text{NBI}}, s_3^{\text{NBI}}, \dots)$  is a sequence of rational numbers defined by

$$e^{-\sum_{j\geq 1} s_j^{\text{NBI}} z^j} = \sum_{k\geq 0} (-1)^k (2k+1)!! z^k.$$
(28)

Details of the proof of this proposition are given in Section 3.

It turns out that the class  $\mathbb{K}$  defined above coincides with the one introduced by Kazarian–Norbury in [29]. We refer to  $\mathbb{K}$  or say  $K_m$  as the Kazarian–Norbury–NBI class. We observe that the first few renormalized numbers  $(-1)^j j s_j^{\text{NBI}}/3$  are

see e.g. the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences<sup>2</sup> (OEIS) No. A226270.

It follows from Definition 1 and the WK theorem that the NBI partition function  $Z^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon)$  is a particular  $\tau$ -function for the KdV hierarchy. So the function

$$u^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon) := \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log Z^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon)}{\partial r_0^2}$$
(29)

is a particular solution to the KdV hierarchy, which we refer to as the *NBI solution*. The following lemma characterizes the NBI solution, whose proof is in Section 3.

**Lemma 1.** The initial value for the NBI solution is given by

$$u^{\text{NBI}}(x, r_0 = X, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon) = \frac{\frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{8}}{(1 - X)^2} - \frac{x^2}{4}.$$
(30)

Observing that the initial values (30), (20) differ only by the constant  $x^2/4$ , we prove in Section 4 the following theorem, which we refer to as the *BGW–NBI correspondence*.

**Theorem 3.** The following two identities hold:

$$Z^{\mathrm{cBGW}}(x,\mathbf{t};\epsilon) = Z^{\mathrm{NBI}}(x,\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};\frac{x^{2}}{4});\epsilon)e^{-\frac{\log 2}{24}-\frac{3x^{2}}{8\epsilon^{2}}+\frac{x^{2}}{4\epsilon^{2}}\log(-\frac{x}{2})+\frac{C_{1}(x,\mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^{2}}+\frac{C_{2}(x,\mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^{2}}},$$
(31)

$$Z^{\text{NBI}}(x,\mathbf{r};\epsilon) = Z^{\text{cBGW}}(x,\mathbf{t}^{\text{G}}(\mathbf{r};-\frac{x^{2}}{4});\epsilon)e^{\frac{\log 2}{24}+\frac{3x^{2}}{8\epsilon^{2}}-\frac{x^{2}}{4\epsilon^{2}}\log(-\frac{x}{2})+\frac{M_{1}(x,\mathbf{r})}{\epsilon^{2}}+\frac{M_{2}(x,\mathbf{r})}{\epsilon^{2}}},\qquad(32)$$

where  $\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q)$  is defined in (9), and

$$C_1(x, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{i+1} t_i}{(i+1)!(2i+1)}, \quad C_2(x, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \ge 0} \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{i+j+1} t_i t_j}{i!j!(i+j+1)}, \tag{33}$$

$$M_1(x,\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \left(\frac{2^{i+1}(i+1)!}{(2i+1)!!} - 1\right) \frac{\left(-\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{i+1}r_i}{(i+1)!}, \quad M_2(x,\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j\geq 0} \frac{\left(-\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{i+j+1}r_ir_j}{i!j!(i+j+1)!}.$$
 (34)

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorems 1, 2. In Section 3, we study on the NBI partition function. In Section 4, we give applications of Theorem 2. Generalizations to Frobenius manifolds are given in Section 5.

## 2. The Galilean symmetry of the KdV hierarchy

In this section, we prove Proposition 1 and Theorem 2.

Before entering into the proofs, let us give two ways of setting up the rigorous aspects for  $\tau$ -functions:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://oeis.org

(i) We can understand that a KdV  $\tau$ -function  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  has the form

$$\tau(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) = \hat{\tau}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)e^{\epsilon^{-2}\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})},\tag{35}$$

where

$$\hat{\tau}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[\epsilon^2]][[\mathbf{t}]], \quad \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{t}]].$$
(36)

(ii) We can understand that a KdV  $\tau$ -function  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  has the form

$$\tau(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) = \tau_{\text{norm}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)e^{B(\epsilon)},\tag{37}$$

where

$$\tau_{\text{norm}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}((\epsilon^2))[[\mathbf{t}]], \quad \tau_{\text{norm}}(\mathbf{0};\epsilon) \equiv 1, \quad \epsilon^2 B(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[\epsilon^2]].$$
(38)

It can be checked all the partition functions that appear in this paper are well defined from both of the above ways, and we will not say more details about this.

Let us now prove Proposition 1.

*Proof of Proposition 1.* It is easy to verify that  $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)$  defined by (9) is an element of  $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{t},\epsilon,q]]$ . Let us show that  $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)$  satisfies (8). Indeed, noticing that

$$\frac{\partial t_i^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q)}{\partial q} = t_{i+1}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q), \quad i \ge 0,$$
(39)

we find

LHS of (8) = 
$$\sum_{i\geq 0} t_{i+1}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t_i} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon) + 1.$$
 (40)

We also have

RHS of (8) = 
$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{i\geq 0} t_{k+1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t_i} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon) \frac{\partial t_i^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q)}{\partial t_k} + 1$$
  
=  $\sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t_i} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon) \sum_{k\geq i} \frac{q^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} t_{k+1} + 1$   
=  $\sum_{i\geq 0} t_{i+1}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t_i} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon) + 1,$  (41)

which equals the left-hand side of (8). The uniqueness is guaranteed by a recursion of the coefficients of powers of q.

Similar to Proposition 1, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** For any given function  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ , the initial value problem of equation (12) with the initial data

$$\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q=0) = \tau(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)$$
(42)

has a unique power-series in q solution  $\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)$ , which has the explicit expression (13).

*Proof.* It is easy to show that  $\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$  defined by (13) is indeed a power series of q. Let us verify that  $\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$  satisfies (42). On one hand,

LHS of (12) = 
$$\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} t_{i+1}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q) \frac{\partial \tau(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon)}{\partial t_{i}} + \frac{\tau(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon)}{2\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{i,j\geq 0} q^{i+j} \frac{t_{i}t_{j}}{i!j!}\right) e^{\frac{g(q,\mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^{2}}}.$$
 (43)

On the other hand,

RHS of (12)

$$= \left(\sum_{k\geq 0} t_{k+1} \sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t_i} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon) \frac{\partial t_i^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q)}{\partial t_k} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\sum_{i,j\geq 0} \frac{q^{i+j+1}}{i+j+1} \frac{t_i t_{j+1}}{i!j!} + \frac{t_0^2}{2} \right) \tau (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon) \right) e^{\frac{g(\mathbf{t};q)}{\epsilon^2}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t_i} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon) \sum_{k\geq i} t_{k+1} \frac{q^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} \left(\sum_{i\geq 0,j\geq 1} \frac{q^{i+j}}{i+j} \frac{t_i t_j}{i!(j-1)!} + \sum_{i\geq 1,j\geq 0} \frac{q^{i+j}}{i+j} \frac{t_i t_j}{(i-1)!j!} + t_0^2 \right) \tau (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon) e^{\frac{g(\mathbf{t};q)}{\epsilon^2}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{\partial \tau (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon)}{\partial t_i} t_{i+1}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q) + \frac{\tau (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon)}{2\epsilon^2} \sum_{i,j\geq 0} q^{i+j} \frac{t_i t_j}{i!j!} e^{\frac{g(\mathbf{t};q)}{\epsilon^2}}, \quad (44)$$

which finishes the verification. The uniqueness is guaranteed by a recursion of the coefficients of powers of q.

**Remark 3.** We note that Proposition 3 can also be easily obtained from the Givental quantization formula [21].

We are ready to prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* The goal is to show that for arbitrary  $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}'$  and for all  $p \ge 0$ ,

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} \tau \left( \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}]; q); \epsilon \right) \tau \left( \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{t}' + [z^{-1}]; q); \epsilon \right) e^{\frac{g(\mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}]; q) + g(\mathbf{t}' + [z^{-1}]; q)}{\epsilon^2}} e^{\sum_{j \ge 0} \frac{t_j - t'_j}{\epsilon} \frac{z^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!!}} z^{2p} dz = 0.$$
(45)

By a direct calculation, we have

$$t_n^{\rm G}(\mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}]; q) = t_n^{\rm G}(\mathbf{t}; q) - \epsilon \frac{(2n-1)!!}{w(z,q)^{2n+1}}, \quad n \ge 0,$$
(46)

where  $w(z,q) := \sqrt{z^2 - 2q}$ . Using the Newton–Leibniz formula, (14), and (9), we have

$$g(\mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}]; q) = \int_0^q \frac{\partial g(\mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}]; s)}{\partial s} ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^q \left( \sum_{i \ge 0} \frac{s^i}{i!} t_i - \epsilon \sum_{i \ge 0} \frac{s^i}{i!} \frac{(2i-1)!!}{z^{2i+1}} \right)^2 ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^q \left( t_0^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t}; s) - \frac{\epsilon}{w(z, s)} \right)^2 ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^q \left( t_0^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t}; s) \right)^2 ds - \epsilon \int_0^q \frac{t_0^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t}; s)}{w(z, s)} ds + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \int_0^q \frac{1}{w(z, s)^2} ds.$$
(47)

Observing that

$$\frac{\partial (w(z,q)^n)}{\partial q} = -nw(z,q)^{n-2}, \quad n \ge 1,$$
(48)

we arrive at

$$g(\mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}]; q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^q \left( t_0^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t}; q) \right)^2 ds - \epsilon \int_0^q \frac{t_0^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t}; s)}{w(z, s)} ds + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \log\left(\frac{z}{w(z, q)}\right).$$
(49)

Using (9), the Newton–Leibniz formula, and (39), we obtain

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{t_j}{\epsilon} \frac{z^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!!} = \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{t_j^{G}(\mathbf{t};q)}{\epsilon} \frac{w(z,q)^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!!} - \int_0^q \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{t_j^{G}(\mathbf{t};s)}{\epsilon} \frac{w(z,s)^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!!} \right) ds$$
$$= \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{t_j^{G}(\mathbf{t};q)}{\epsilon} \frac{w(z,q)^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!!} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^q \frac{t_0^{G}(\mathbf{t};s)}{w(z,s)} ds.$$
(50)

Also,

$$z^{2p}dz = \sum_{l=0}^{p} {p \choose l} (2q)^{p-l} \frac{w(z,q)^{2l+1}}{z} dw.$$
 (51)

Similarly, we have

$$t_n^{\rm G}(\mathbf{t}' + [z^{-1}]; q) = t_n^{\rm G}(\mathbf{t}'; q) + \epsilon \frac{(2n-1)!!}{w(z,q)^{2n+1}}, \quad n \ge 0,$$
(52)

$$g(\mathbf{t}' - [z^{-1}]; q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^q t_0^G(\mathbf{t}'; q)^2 ds + \epsilon \int_0^q \frac{t_0^G(\mathbf{t}'; s)}{w(z, s)} ds + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \log\left(\frac{z}{w(z, q)}\right).$$
(53)

Substituting (46), (49), (50), (51), (52) and (53) in (45), we find LHS of (45)

$$= e^{\frac{1}{2\epsilon^{2}}\int_{0}^{q}(t_{0}^{G}(\mathbf{t};s))^{2} + (t_{0}^{G}(\mathbf{t}';s))^{2}ds} \sum_{l=0}^{p} \binom{p}{l} (2q)^{p-l} \\ \times \underset{w=\infty}{\operatorname{res}} \tau \left( \mathbf{t}^{G}(\mathbf{t};q) - [w^{-1}];\epsilon \right) \tau \left( \mathbf{t}^{G}(\mathbf{t}';q) + [w^{-1}];\epsilon \right) e^{\sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{t_{j}^{G}(\mathbf{t};q) - t_{j}^{G}(\mathbf{t}';q)}{\epsilon} \frac{w^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!!}} w^{2l} dw,$$

which vanishes because  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  is a KdV  $\tau$ -function. The theorem is proved.

As a corollary of Theorem 2, let us prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Take  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  a specific  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  to the KdV hierarchy. According to Theorem 2, we know that  $\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$  defined by (13) is also a KdV  $\tau$ -function. Noticing that  $\partial t_k^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t}; q)/\partial t_0 = \delta_{k,0}, k \geq 0$ , we find

$$\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log(\tilde{\tau}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon, q))}{\partial t_0^2} = u(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t}; q); \epsilon) + q = \tilde{u}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q).$$
(54)

Thus  $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon, q)$  is a solution to the KdV hierarchy.

9

For an arbitrary solution  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  to the KdV hierarchy, let  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  be the  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ . Recall that the *n*th logarithmic derivatives

$$\frac{\partial^n \log \tau}{\partial t_{k_1} \dots \partial t_{k_n}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon), \quad k_1, \dots, k_n \ge 0,$$
(55)

are called *n*-point correlation functions associated with  $\tau$ . Their restrictions

$$\frac{\partial^n \log \tau}{\partial t_{k_1} \dots \partial t_{k_n}} (X, t_1 = 0, t_2 = 0, \dots; \epsilon), \quad k_1, \dots, k_n \ge 0,$$
(56)

are called *n*-point partial correlation functions associated with  $\tau$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$W_n(z_1, \dots, z_n; X; \epsilon) = \epsilon^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \ge 0} \frac{\partial^n \log \tau}{\partial t_{k_1} \dots \partial t_{k_n}} (X, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon) \frac{(2k_1 + 1)!! \dots (2k_n + 1)!!}{z_1^{2k_1 + 3} \dots z_n^{2k_n + 3}}, \quad (57)$$

$$C_n(x_1, \dots, x_n; X; \epsilon) = \epsilon^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \ge 0} \frac{\partial^n \log \tau}{\partial t_{k_1} \dots \partial t_{k_n}} (X, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon) x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n},$$
(58)

which are known as two different types of *n*-point functions [3] associated with  $\tau$ .

From the definition (13) of  $\tilde{\tau}$ , we know that for  $n \geq 1$  and  $k_1, \ldots, k_n \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^{n}\log\tilde{\tau}}{\partial t_{k_{1}}\dots\partial t_{k_{n}}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q) = \sum_{\substack{0\leq j_{1}\leq k_{1},\dots,0\leq j_{n}\leq k_{n}}} \frac{q^{|k|-|j|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(k_{i}-j_{i})!} \frac{\partial^{n}\log\tau}{\partial t_{j_{1}}\dots\partial t_{j_{n}}}(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon) 
+ \frac{\delta_{n,1}}{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{q^{k_{1}+j+1}t_{j}}{(k_{1}+j+1)k_{1}!j!} + \frac{\delta_{n,2}}{\epsilon^{2}} \frac{q^{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}}{(k_{1}+k_{2}+1)k_{1}!k_{2}!}.$$
(59)

Taking  $t_1 = t_2 = \cdots = 0$ , we find, for  $n \ge 1$  and  $k_1, \ldots, k_n \ge 0$ , that

$$\frac{\partial^{n}\log\tilde{\tau}}{\partial t_{k_{1}}\dots\partial t_{k_{n}}}(X,\mathbf{0};\epsilon;q) = \sum_{\substack{0\leq j_{1}\leq k_{1},\dots,0\leq j_{n}\leq k_{n}}} \frac{q^{|k|-|j|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(k_{i}-j_{i})!} \frac{\partial^{n}\log\tau}{\partial t_{j_{1}}\dots\partial t_{j_{n}}}(X,\mathbf{0};\epsilon) + \frac{\delta_{n,1}}{\epsilon^{2}} \frac{q^{k_{1}+1}X}{(k_{1}+1)!} + \frac{\delta_{n,2}}{\epsilon^{2}} \frac{q^{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}}{(k_{1}+k_{2}+1)k_{1}!k_{2}!}.$$
(60)

Denote by  $\widetilde{W}_n(z_1, \ldots, z_n; X; \epsilon; q)$ ,  $\widetilde{C}_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n; X; \epsilon; q)$  the two different types of *n*-point functions associated with  $\tilde{\tau}$ . Then we have for  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\widetilde{W}_{n}(z_{1},\ldots,z_{n};X;\epsilon;q) = W_{n}\Big(\sqrt{z_{1}^{2}-2q},\ldots,\sqrt{z_{n}^{2}-2q};X;\epsilon\Big) + \frac{\delta_{n,1}}{\epsilon}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{z_{1}^{2}-2q}} - \frac{1}{z_{1}}\Big)X + \frac{\delta_{n,2}}{(z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2})^{2}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{z_{1}^{2}-2q}}{\sqrt{z_{2}^{2}-2q}} + \frac{\sqrt{z_{2}^{2}-2q}}{\sqrt{z_{1}^{2}-2q}} - \frac{z_{1}}{z_{2}} - \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}\Big),$$
(61)

$$\widetilde{C}_{n}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{n};X;\epsilon;q) = e^{q\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}}C_{n}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{n};X;\epsilon) + \frac{\delta_{n,1}}{\epsilon}\frac{e^{qx_{1}-1}}{x_{1}}X + \delta_{n,2}\frac{e^{q(x_{1}+x_{2})}-1}{x_{1}+x_{2}}.$$
(62)

We observe that Theorem 2 is equivalent to the validity of the above identity (61) (or (62)) for  $n \ge 2$ . Based on this and on the matrix-resolvent method [3, 18], let us give an alternative proof of Theorem 2.

Another proof of Theorem 2. Let  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = \epsilon^2 \partial_X^2(\log \tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon))$ . Since  $\tau(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  is a KdV  $\tau$ function, we know that  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  is a solution to the KdV hierarchy which has the initial value  $u(X, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon) =: f(X; \epsilon)$ . Let  $R(\lambda, X; \epsilon)$  be the basic matrix resolvent of  $u(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ evaluated at  $t_1 = t_2 = \cdots = 0$  (for the precise definition for  $R(\lambda, X; \epsilon)$  see [18]), and let  $\widehat{R}(\lambda, X; \epsilon; q)$  be the basic matrix resolvent of  $\hat{u}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$  evaluated at  $t_1 = t_2 =$  $\cdots = 0$ , where  $\hat{u}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$  is the unique solution the KdV hierarchy with the initial value  $f(X; \epsilon) + q$ . Take  $\hat{\tau}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$  a  $\tau$ -function of  $\hat{u}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$  and let  $\widehat{W}_n(z_1, \ldots, z_n; X; \epsilon; q)$ be *n*-point functions associated with  $\hat{\tau}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon; q)$ . It is straightforward to verify that

with  $\widetilde{W}_n$  on the left-hand side being replaced by  $\widehat{W}_n$ . The theorem is proved.

 $\widehat{R}(z^2, X; \epsilon; q) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 - 2q}} R(z^2 - 2q, X; \epsilon)$ , from which we find that (61) holds for  $n \ge 2$ 

#### 3. The NBI partition function

In this section, we give a detailed study on the NBI partition function.

Recall that Witten's conjecture can be equivalently [12, 26] stated as follows:  $Z^{WK} = Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  satisfies the following infinite set of linear equations

$$L_k^{\rm WK}(Z^{\rm WK}) = 0, \quad k \ge -1, \tag{63}$$

where

$$L_{k}^{\text{WK}} := \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \sum_{i+j=k-1}^{k-1} \frac{(2i+1)!!(2j+1)!!}{2^{k+1}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t_{i} \partial t_{j}} + \sum_{i\geq 0}^{k-1} \frac{(2k+2i+1)!!}{2^{k+1}(2i-1)!!} (t_{i}-\delta_{i,1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i+k}} + \frac{\delta_{k,0}}{16} + \frac{t_{0}^{2}}{2\epsilon^{2}} \delta_{k,-1}.$$
(64)

These equations are called *Virasoro constraints* for  $Z^{WK}$ . Clearly, the k = -1 equation in (63) is nothing but the string equation (22). The Witten–Kontsevich partition function also satisfies the following *dilaton equation*:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} t_k \frac{\partial Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)}{\partial t_k} + \epsilon \frac{\partial Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} + \frac{1}{24} = \frac{\partial Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)}{\partial t_1}.$$
(65)

Denote by  $Z^{\kappa}$  the partition function of integrals of mixed  $\psi$ -,  $\kappa$ - classes on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}$ 

$$Z^{\kappa}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;\mathbf{s}) := \exp\left(\sum_{g,n\geq 0} \frac{\epsilon^{2g-2}}{n!} \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_n\geq 0} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} e^{\sum_{j\geq 1} \kappa_j s_j} \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} t_{k_i}\right),\tag{66}$$

where  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, s_3, \cdots)$ . We note that the integrals

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{k_1} \dots \psi_n^{k_n} \kappa_{j_1} \dots \kappa_{j_s}, \quad k_1, \dots, k_n \ge 0, \ j_1, \dots, j_s \ge 1,$$
(67)

vanish unless the following degree-dimension matching holds:

$$k_1 + \dots + k_n + j_1 + \dots + j_s = 3g - 3 + n.$$
 (68)

It was proved in [27, 31, 32] that

$$Z^{\kappa}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;\mathbf{s}) = Z^{WK}(t_0,t_1,t_2-p_1(\mathbf{s}),t_3-p_2(\mathbf{s}),\ldots;\epsilon)$$
(69)

where  $p_j(\mathbf{s}), j \ge 1$ , are polynomials of  $\mathbf{s}$  defined via

$$e^{-\sum_{i\geq 1} s_i z^i} = \sum_{j\geq 0} p_j(\mathbf{s}) z^j, \quad p_0(\mathbf{s}) := 1.$$
 (70)

We are ready to prove Proposition 2.

Proof of Proposition 2. Putting  $z = \frac{2}{x^2}w$  in (28), we get

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{i\geq 1} s_j^{\text{NBI}}\left(\frac{2}{x^2}\right)^j w^j\right) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \left(-\frac{2}{x^2}\right)^k (2k+1)!! w^k.$$
(71)

Then, by using (69), we find

$$Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)|_{t_{i}=b_{i}-(2i-1)!!(-\frac{2}{x^{2}})^{i-1}-\frac{x^{2}}{2}\delta_{i,0}+\delta_{i,1},i\geq 0}$$

$$=\exp\left(\sum_{g,n\geq 0}\frac{\epsilon^{2g-2}}{n!}\sum_{k_{1},\dots,k_{n}\geq 0}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\psi_{i}^{k_{i}}b_{k_{i}}\exp\left(-\sum_{j\geq 1}s_{j}^{\mathrm{NBI}}\left(\frac{2}{x^{2}}\right)^{j}\kappa_{j}\right)\right)$$

$$=\exp\left(\sum_{g,n\geq 0}\frac{\epsilon^{2g-2}}{n!}\sum_{k_{1},\dots,k_{n}\geq 0}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)^{|k|-n-3g+3}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\psi_{i}^{k_{i}}b_{k_{i}}\mathbb{K}\right),$$
(72)

where in the second equality we have used the degree-dimension matching (68). So by replacing  $b_i$  by  $\frac{x^2}{2}r_i$ ,  $i \ge 0$ , and  $\epsilon$  by  $\frac{x^2}{2}\epsilon$ , we obtain

$$Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t}; \frac{x^2}{2}\epsilon) \Big|_{t_i = \frac{x^2}{2} r_i - (2i-1)!! (-\frac{2}{x^2})^{i-1} - \frac{x^2}{2} \delta_{i,0} + \delta_{i,1}, i \ge 0}$$
  
=  $\exp\left(\sum_{g,n \ge 0} \frac{\epsilon^{2g-2}}{n!} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \ge 0} (\frac{x^2}{2})^{|k| - g + 1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} r_{k_i} \mathbb{K}\right).$  (73)

Now by using (65), we have

$$Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t}; \frac{x^2}{2}\epsilon) \Big|_{t_i = \frac{x^2}{2}r_i - (2i-1)!!(-\frac{2}{x^2})^{i-1} - \frac{x^2}{2}\delta_{i,0} + \delta_{i,1}, i \ge 0}$$
  
=  $e^{\frac{1}{24}\log\left(\frac{2}{x^2}\right)} Z^{WK}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon) \Big|_{t_i = r_i + (2i-1)!!(-\frac{2}{x^2})^i - \delta_{i,0} + \delta_{i,1}, i \ge 0}.$  (74)

The proposition is proved.

Let

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) := \log Z^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon), \tag{75}$$

be the WK free energy. By definition it has the genus expansion

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon) =: \sum_{g \ge 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t}), \tag{76}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{g}^{WK}(\mathbf{t})$  is called the *genus g part of the WK free energy* (for short the *genus g WK free energy*). Similarly, let

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon) := \log Z^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon), \quad \mathcal{F}^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) := \log Z^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$$
(77)

be the NBI, cBGW free energies, respectively. We have

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{NBI}}(x,\mathbf{r};\epsilon) =: \sum_{g\geq 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g^{\text{NBI}}(x,\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathcal{F}^{\text{cBGW}}(x,\mathbf{t};\epsilon) =: \sum_{g\geq 0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g^{\text{cBGW}}(x,\mathbf{t}), \quad (78)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{g}^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r})$  is called the *genus g NBI free energy* and  $\mathcal{F}_{g}^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{r})$  is called the *genus g generalized BGW free energy*.

Let

$$v^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t}) := \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_0^2}, \quad v^{\mathrm{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}) := \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathrm{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r})}{\partial r_0^2}, \quad v^{\mathrm{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}) := \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathrm{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t})}{\partial t_0^2}.$$
(79)

It is well known that  $v^{WK}(\mathbf{t})$  is a solution to the dispersionless KdV hierarchy:

$$\frac{\partial v(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_k} = \frac{v(\mathbf{t})^k}{k!} \frac{\partial v(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_0}, \quad k \ge 0.$$
(80)

From the definitions we know that

$$v^{\rm NBI}(x,\mathbf{r}) = v^{\rm WK} \big( \mathbf{t}^{\rm WK-NBI}(x,\mathbf{r}) \big).$$
(81)

So  $v^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r})$  is also a solution to the dispersionless KdV hierarchy (80). As we have mentioned in the Introduction, the power series  $u^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  defined in (19) is a solution to the KdV hierarchy, so  $v^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t})$  is again a solution to (80).

By (23) we know that  $v^{WK}(X, \mathbf{0}) = X$ . It yields that  $v^{WK}(\mathbf{t})$  is the unique power series solution to the following genus 0 Euler–Lagrange equation [13, 15]:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{t_k}{k!} \left( v^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t}) \right)^k = v^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t}).$$
(82)

It is also known that the genus 0 WK free energy has the expression [15, 19]:

$$\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,\ell \ge 0} (t_{k} - \delta_{k,1}) (t_{\ell} - \delta_{\ell,1}) \frac{v^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t})^{k+\ell+1}}{k!\ell!(k+\ell+1)}.$$
(83)

For genus bigger than or equal to 1, we know the following structure lemma.

**Lemma 2** ([12, 19, 25]). For  $g \ge 1$ , the genus g WK free energy has the expression:

$$\mathcal{F}_{g}^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t}) = F_{g}\left(\frac{\partial v^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_{0}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{3g-2}v^{\mathrm{WK}}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_{0}^{3g-2}}\right),\tag{84}$$

where  $F_g(z_1, \ldots, z_{3g-2})$ ,  $g \ge 1$ , are functions of (3g-2) variables with

$$F_1(z_1) = \frac{1}{24} \log z_1, \tag{85}$$

and for  $g \ge 2$ ,  $F_g(z_1, \ldots, z_{3g-2}) \in \mathbb{Q}[z_2, \ldots, z_{3g-2}][z_1, z_1^{-1}].$ 

The following lemma immediately follows from Lemma 2 and Definition 1. Lemma 3. For  $g \ge 1$ , the genus g NBI free energy has the expression:

$$\mathcal{F}_{g}^{\mathrm{NBI}}(x,\mathbf{r}) = F_{g}\left(\frac{\partial v^{\mathrm{NBI}}(x,\mathbf{r})}{\partial r_{0}},\dots,\frac{\partial^{3g-2}v^{\mathrm{NBI}}(x,\mathbf{r})}{\partial r_{0}^{3g-2}}\right),\tag{86}$$

where  $F_g(z_1, \ldots, z_{3g-2}), g \ge 1$ , are the same functions as those in Lemma 2.

In [41, 42], the following structure lemma for higher genus generalized BGW free energies is proved.

**Lemma 4** ([37, 41, 42]). For  $g \ge 1$ , the genus g generalized BGW free energy has the expression:

$$\mathcal{F}_{g}^{cBGW}(x,\mathbf{t}) = F_{g}\left(\frac{\partial v^{cBGW}(x,\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_{0}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{3g-2}v^{cBGW}(x,\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_{0}^{3g-2}}\right),$$
(87)

where  $F_g(z_1, \ldots, z_{3g-2})$ ,  $g \ge 1$ , are the same functions as those in Lemma 2.

We are ready to prove Lemma 1.

Proof of Lemma 1. Using (81), (82), we find

$$v^{\text{NBI}}(x, r_0, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{\frac{x^2}{4}}{(1 - r_0)^2} - \frac{x^2}{4}.$$
 (88)

From equations (19), (20) we know that

$$v^{\text{cBGW}}(x, t_0, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{\frac{x^2}{4}}{(1 - t_0)^2}.$$
 (89)

Lemma 1 then follows from Lemmas 3, 4.

Before ending this section, we remark that the Virasoro constraints of  $Z^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon)$ are explicitly given by

$$L_k^{\text{NBI}} Z^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon) = 0, \quad k \ge -1$$
(90)

where

$$L_k^{\text{NBI}} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \sum_{i+j=k-1} \frac{(2i+1)!!(2j+1)!!}{2^{k+1}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_i \partial r_j} + \sum_{i\geq 0} \frac{(2k+2i+1)!!}{2^{k+1}(2i-1)!!} \left(r_i + (2i-1)!!\left(-\frac{2}{x^2}\right)^i - \delta_{i,0}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i+k}} + \delta_{k,0} \frac{1}{16} + \delta_{k,-1} \frac{r_0^2}{2\epsilon^2}.$$
 (91)

# 4. Application

In this section, as an application of Theorem 2 we give a proof of Theorem 3.

According to [1, 33] (cf. [41]), the generalized BGW partition function  $Z^{cBGW}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  satisfies the following Virasoro constraints:

$$L_m^{\text{cBGW}} Z^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = 0, \quad m \ge 0,$$
(92)

where

$$L_{m}^{\text{cBGW}} = \sum_{i \ge 0} \frac{(2i+2m+1)!!}{2^{m+1}(2i-1)!!} (t_{i} - \delta_{i,0}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i+m}} + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \ge 0\\i+j=m-1}} \frac{(2i+1)!!(2j+1)!!}{2^{m+1}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t_{i}\partial t_{j}} + \delta_{m,0} \Big(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{x^{2}}{8\epsilon^{2}}\Big).$$
(93)

Proof of Theorem 3. Denote

$$\widehat{Z}(x,\mathbf{t};\epsilon) = Z^{\text{NBI}}\left(x,\mathbf{t}^{\text{G}}\left(\mathbf{t};\frac{x^{2}}{4}\right);\epsilon\right)e^{-\frac{\log 2}{24}-\frac{3x^{2}}{8\epsilon^{2}}+\frac{x^{2}}{4\epsilon^{2}}\log\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)+\frac{C_{1}(x,\mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^{2}}+\frac{C_{2}(x,\mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^{2}}},\tag{94}$$

and  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x,\mathbf{t};\epsilon) := \log \widehat{Z}(x,\mathbf{t};\epsilon) =: \sum_{q>0} \epsilon^{2g-2} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g(x,\mathbf{t})$ . Noting that

$$M_1(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon) = -C_1\left(x, \mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}\left(\mathbf{r}, -\frac{x^2}{4}\right)\right), \quad M_2(x, \mathbf{r}; \epsilon) = -C_2\left(x, \mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}\left(\mathbf{r}, -\frac{x^2}{4}\right)\right), \tag{95}$$

we know that identity (31) and (32) are equivalent, so it only suffices to prove (31), i.e., to prove  $Z^{cBGW}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = \widehat{Z}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ .

From (20) and Lemma 1 we know that  $u^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon)$  and  $u^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon)$  differ by a constant  $x^2/4$ . It follows from Theorem 2 that  $\widehat{Z}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  is a KdV  $\tau$ -function of the generalized BGW solution  $u^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ . So  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) - \mathcal{F}^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  could only be an affine linear function of  $\mathbf{t}$ .

By a direct calculation with the help of the second equation of (9), we have

$$L_{0}^{cBGW} = \sum_{i \ge 0} \frac{2i+1}{2} (t_{i} - \delta_{i,0}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i}} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{x^{2}}{8\epsilon^{2}}$$
  
$$= \sum_{i \ge 0} \left( \frac{2i+1}{2} (r_{i} - \delta_{i,0}) + \frac{x^{2}}{4} r_{i+1} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i}} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{x^{2}}{8\epsilon^{2}}$$
  
$$= e^{-\frac{M_{1}(x,\mathbf{r})}{\epsilon^{2}} - \frac{M_{2}(x,\mathbf{r})}{\epsilon^{2}}} \circ \left( \frac{x^{2}}{4} L_{-1}^{\text{NBI}} + L_{0}^{\text{NBI}} \right) \circ e^{\frac{M_{1}(x,\mathbf{r})}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{M_{2}(x,\mathbf{r})}{\epsilon^{2}}}.$$
 (96)

Thus

$$\frac{x^2}{4}L_{-1}^{\text{NBI}} + L_0^{\text{NBI}} = e^{-\frac{C_1(x,\mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{C_2(x,\mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^2}} \circ L_0^{\text{cBGW}} \circ e^{\frac{C_1(x,\mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{C_2(x,\mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^2}}.$$
(97)

It follows that  $\widehat{Z}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  satisfies the m = 0 case of (92), so the affine linear function  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x,\mathbf{t};\epsilon) - \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{cBGW}}(x,\mathbf{t};\epsilon)$  could only be a constant that can depend on  $x,\epsilon$ .

It remains to show that  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(x, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon) = \mathcal{F}^{cBGW}(x, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon)$ , which is equivalent to  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g(x, \mathbf{0}) = \mathcal{F}^{cBGW}(x, \mathbf{0}; \epsilon)$  $\mathcal{F}_{g}^{\mathrm{cBGW}}(x,\mathbf{0})$  for all  $g \geq 0$ .

For g = 0, we know from (17), (18), (83) and (88) that  $\mathcal{F}_0^{cBGW}(x, 0)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_0(x, 0)$ are both equal to  $\frac{x^2}{4}\log(-\frac{x}{2}) - \frac{3x^2}{8}$ . For genus bigger than or equal to 1, Lemma 3, Lemma 4 and formulas (88), (89) we

find that  $\mathcal{F}_g^{cBGW}(x, \mathbf{0})$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_g(x, \mathbf{0})$  are equal. 

The theorem is proved.

It follows from Theorem 3 and (60) that for  $g \ge 0, n \ge 1, k_1, \ldots, k_n \ge 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^{n} \mathcal{F}_{g}^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t})}{\partial t_{k_{1}} \dots \partial t_{k_{n}}} \bigg|_{\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0}} = \sum_{0 \le j_{1} \le k_{1}, \dots, 0 \le j_{n} \le k_{n}} \frac{(\frac{x^{2}}{4})^{|k|-|j|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_{i} - j_{i})!} \frac{\partial^{n} \mathcal{F}_{g}^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r})}{\partial r_{j_{1}} \dots \partial r_{j_{n}}} \bigg|_{\mathbf{r}=0} + \left(\frac{x^{2}}{4}\right)^{|k|+1} \left(\frac{\delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,1}}{(k_{1}+1)!(2k_{1}+1)} + \frac{\delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,2}}{k_{1}!k_{2}!(k_{1}+k_{2}+1)}\right), \quad (98)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^{n} \mathcal{F}_{g}^{\text{NBI}}(x,\mathbf{r})}{\partial r_{k_{1}} \cdots \partial r_{k_{n}}} \bigg|_{\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{0}} = \sum_{0 \le j_{1} \le k_{1}, \dots, 0 \le j_{n} \le k_{n}} \frac{(-\frac{x^{2}}{4})^{|k|-|j|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_{i}-j_{i})!} \frac{\partial^{n} \mathcal{F}_{g}^{\text{cBGW}}(x,\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_{j_{1}} \cdots \partial t_{j_{n}}} \bigg|_{\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0}} + \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{4}\right)^{|k|+1} \left(\frac{\delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,1}}{(k_{1}+1)!} \left(\frac{2^{k_{1}+1} (k_{1}+1)!}{(2k_{1}+1)!!} - 1\right) + \frac{\delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,2}}{k_{1}! k_{2}! (k_{1}+k_{2}+1)}\right). \quad (99)$$

The following corollary gives a generalization of a result of Kazarian–Norbury [29].

**Corollary 1.** For  $n \ge 1$ ,  $g \ge 0$ , and  $k_1, \ldots, k_n \ge 0$  satisfying |k| < g - 1,

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} K_{3g-3+n-|k|} \psi_1^{k_1} \cdots \psi_n^{k_n} = 0.$$
 (100)

For  $n \ge 1$ ,  $g \ge 0$ , and  $k_1, \ldots, k_n \ge 0$  satisfying  $|k| \ge g - 1$ ,

$$c_{g}(k_{1},\ldots,k_{n}) = 2^{g-1-2|k|} \sum_{\substack{0 \le l_{1} \le k_{1},\ldots,0 \le l_{n} \le k_{n} \\ g-1 \le |l| \le 3g-3+n}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} K_{3g-3+n-|l|} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2^{l_{i}} \psi_{i}^{l_{i}}}{(k_{i}-l_{i})!} + \frac{\delta_{n,1}\delta_{g,0}}{2^{k_{1}+1}(k_{1}+1)!(2k_{1}+1)} + \frac{\delta_{n,2}\delta_{g,0}}{2^{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}k_{1}!k_{2}!(k_{1}+k_{2}+1)}.$$
 (101)

For n = 0 and  $g \ge 2$ ,

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}} K_{3g-3} = \frac{(-1)^g B_{2g}}{2g(2g-2)}.$$
(102)

We note that formula (100) and the |k| = g - 1 case of formula (101) were obtained by Kazarian and Norbury [29], and were re-proved by Chidambaram, Garcia-Failde and Giacchetto in [9]. In particular, the |k| = g - 1 case of (101) reads

$$c_g(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} K_{2g-2+n} \psi_1^{k_1} \cdots \psi_n^{k_n}, \quad g \ge 1, \, n \ge 1, \, |k| = g - 1.$$
(103)

We also note that formula (102) was conjectured in [29], and proved in [9, 41] (the proof of (102) in [9] uses topological recursion of Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin type, and our proof here will be similar to the one in [41] that uses the Hodge–BGW correspondence).

Proof of Corollary 1. It follows from Proposition 2 and (18) that for  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial^n \mathcal{F}_g^{\text{NBI}}(x, \mathbf{r})}{\partial r_{k_1} \dots \partial r_{k_n}} \bigg|_{\mathbf{r}=0} = \delta_{|k| \le 3g-3+n} \left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)^{|k|+1-g} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} K_{3g-3+n-|k|} \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i}, \quad (104)$$

$$\frac{\partial^n \mathcal{F}_g^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t})}{\partial t_{j_1} \dots \partial t_{j_n}} \bigg|_{\mathbf{t}=0} = \delta_{|j| \ge g-1} x^{2|j|-2g+2} c_g(j_1, \dots, j_n).$$
(105)

When |k| < g-1, substituting (104), (105) in (99), we obtain (100). When  $|k| \ge g-1$ , substituting (104), (105) in (98), we get (101). For n = 0, by taking  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$  on both sides of (32) we obtain (102).

**Corollary 2.** For  $g \ge 0$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and  $k_1, \ldots, k_n \ge 0$  satisfying  $g - 1 \le |k| \le 3g - 3 + n$ ,

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} K_{3g-3+n-|k|} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \psi_i^{k_i} = 2^{|k|+1-g} \sum_{\substack{0 \le j_1 \le k_1, \cdots, 0 \le j_n \le k_n \\ |j| \le g-1}} \frac{(-\frac{1}{4})^{|j|-|k|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - j_i)!} c_g(j_1, \dots, j_n).$$
(106)

For  $g \ge 0$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and  $k_1, ..., k_n \ge 0$  satisfying |k| > 3g - 3 + n,

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le j_1 \le k_1, \dots, 0 \le j_n \le k_n \\ |j| \le g - 1}} \frac{(-\frac{1}{4})^{|k| - |j|}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (k_i - j_i)!} c_g(j_1, \dots, j_n)$$
  
=  $-\delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,1} \left( \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})^{k_1 + 1}}{(2k_1 + 1)!!} - \frac{(-\frac{1}{4})^{k_1 + 1}}{(k_1 + 1)!} \right) - \frac{\delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,2}(-\frac{1}{4})^{k_1 + k_2 + 1}}{k_1! k_2! (k_1 + k_2 + 1)}.$  (107)

*Proof.* We obtain (106) and (107) by substituting (104), (105) in (99).

Let us now use the BGW-NBI correspondence to give a new proof of the following corollary.

Corollary 3 (WK-BGW correspondence [40]). We have the identity:

$$Z^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = Z^{\text{WK}}(\mathbf{t}^{\text{WK-cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}); \sqrt{-4\epsilon}) e^{\frac{A(x, \mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^2}},$$
(108)

where

$$t_n^{\text{WK-cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}) := -2\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{k!} t_{n+k} + x \frac{(2n-1)!!}{2^n} + 2\delta_{n,0} + \delta_{n,1}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (109)$$

and

$$A(x, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \ge 0} \frac{(t_i - \delta_{i,0})(t_j - \delta_{j,0})}{i!j!(i+j+1)} - x \sum_{i \ge 0} \frac{t_i - \delta_{i,0}}{i!(2i+1)}.$$
 (110)

*Proof.* Using the m = 0 equation in the Virasoro constraints (92), we obtain

$$Z^{cBGW}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = \left(-\frac{2}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{x^2}{4\epsilon^2}} Z^{cBGW}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon)\Big|_{t_k \mapsto (-\frac{2}{x})^{2k+1}(t_k - \delta_{k,0}) + \delta_{k,0}, k \ge 0}.$$
 (111)

Using Theorem 3 and Definition 1, we find

$$Z^{\text{cBGW}}(x, \mathbf{t}; \epsilon) = \left(-\frac{4}{x^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{24}} e^{\frac{A(x, \mathbf{t})}{\epsilon^2}} Z^{\text{WK}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon) \Big|_{t_n \mapsto (-\frac{2}{x})^{2n+1} \sum_{i \ge 0} \frac{1}{i!} (t_{n+i} - \delta_{n+i,0}) + (2i-1)!! (-\frac{2}{x^2})^n + \delta_{n,1}}.$$
 (112)

The theorem is then proved with the help of the k = 0 case of (63) and (65).

From the above proof we see that, essentially speaking, the WK-BGW correspondence and the BGW-NBI correspondence are equivalent. In [40], it was used the Hodge-BGW correspondence [41] and the Hodge-WK correspondence [40] to prove the WK-BGW correspondence; our proof here, which is based on the BGW-NBI correspondence, is a different one.

Let  $\mathbb{E}_{g,n}$  be the Hodge bundle on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ , and  $\Lambda(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{g} \lambda_j z^j$  the Chern polynomial of  $\mathbb{E}_{g,n}$ . It directly follows from Corollary 1 and the Hodge-BGW correspondence [42] the following corollary.

Corollary 4. For  $g \ge 0$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and  $k_1, \ldots, k_n \ge 0$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le l_1 \le k_1, \dots, 0 \le l_n \le k_n \\ g-1 \le |l| \le 3g-3+n}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} K_{3g-3+n-|l|} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{2^{l_i} \psi_i^{l_i}}{(k_i - l_i)!} + \frac{2^{k_1} \delta_{n,1} \delta_{g,0}}{(k_1 + 1)! (2k_1 + 1)} + \frac{2^{k_1 + k_2} \delta_{n,2} \delta_{g,0}}{k_1! k_2! (k_1 + k_2 + 1)}$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^{g-1+n} 2^{2|k|+g-1+n}}{(2|k|-2g+2)! \prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+2|k|-2g+2}} \Lambda(-1)^2 \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) e^{\sum_{d \ge 1} \frac{(-1)^{d-1} \kappa_d}{2^{d_d}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2k_i + 1}{2} \psi_i}.$$
(113)

Similar to the BGW-NBI correspondence, we hope that the Galilean symmetry considered in this paper (Theorems 1, 2) can be useful in the study of the phase transition in two-dimensional topological gravity (cf. [43]).

### 5. Generalizations

In this section, we generalize Theorems 1, 2 to Frobenius manifolds.

Recall that a Frobenius structure of charge D [15] on a complex manifold M is a family of Frobenius algebras  $(T_pM, e_p, \langle, \rangle)_{p \in M}$ , depending holomorphically on p and satisfying the following three axioms:

A1 The metric  $\langle , \rangle$  is flat; moreover, denote by  $\nabla$  the Levi–Civita connection of  $\langle , \rangle$ , then it is required that

$$\nabla e = 0. \tag{114}$$

- A2 Define a 3-tensor field c by  $c(X, Y, Z) := \langle X \cdot Y, Z \rangle$ , for X, Y, Z being holomorphic vector fields on M. Then the 4-tensor field  $\nabla c$  is required to be symmetric.
- A3 There exists a holomorphic vector field E on M satisfying

$$\nabla \nabla E = 0, \tag{115}$$

$$[E, X \cdot Y] - [E, X] \cdot Y - X \cdot [E, Y] = X \cdot Y, \tag{116}$$

$$E\langle X, Y \rangle - \langle [E, X], Y \rangle - \langle X, [E, Y] \rangle = (2 - D)\langle X, Y \rangle.$$
(117)

Here, by a Frobenius algebra  $(A, e, \langle , \rangle)$  we mean a commutative and associative algebra A over  $\mathbb{C}$  with unity e and with a symmetric, non-degenerate and invariant bilinear product  $\langle , \rangle$ . A complex manifold endowed with a Frobenius structure of charge D is called a *Frobenius manifold of charge* D, with E being called the *Euler vector field*.

Let M be a Frobenius manifold. Recall that the deformed flat connection [15] on the Frobenius manifold M, denoted by  $\widetilde{\nabla}(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$ , is a one-parameter family of flat affine connections on the tangent bundle TM, defined by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}(z)_X Y := \nabla_X Y + z X \cdot Y, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$
(118)

One can extend [15]  $\nabla(z)$  to a flat connection  $\nabla$  on  $M \times \mathbb{C}^*$  as follows:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} X := \frac{\partial X}{\partial z} + E \cdot X - \frac{1}{z} \mathcal{V} X, \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} := 0, \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X \frac{\partial}{\partial z} := 0$$
(119)

for X being any holomorphic vector field on  $M \times \mathbb{C}^*$  with zero component along  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ . Here,

$$\mathcal{V} := \frac{2-d}{2} \mathrm{id} - \nabla E. \tag{120}$$

The connection  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  is called the *extended deformed flat connection*.

Take  $\mathbf{v} = (v^1, \ldots, v^n)$  a flat coordinate system satisfying  $e = \partial_{\iota}$ . Here and below,  $\partial_{\alpha} := \partial/\partial v^{\alpha}$ . We assume that the flat coordinates can be chosen so that the Euler vector field E has the form

$$E = \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \left( \left( 1 - \frac{D}{2} - \mu_{\beta} \right) v^{\beta} + r^{\beta} \right) \partial_{\beta}, \qquad (121)$$

where  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n, r^1, \ldots, r^n$  are constants. Denote  $\mu = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ . Note that the differential system for the flat section of  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  has a Fuchsian singular point at z = 0. The monodromy data at z = 0 is given by  $(\mu, R)$ , where R is a constant matrix (cf. [15, 16, 19]).

The flatness of  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  ensures the existence of functions  $\theta_{\alpha,m}(\mathbf{v}), m \geq 0$ , satisfying

$$\theta_{\alpha,0}(\mathbf{v}) = v_{\alpha}, \qquad \alpha = 1, \dots, n,$$
(122)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta_{\gamma,m+1}(\mathbf{v})}{\partial v^{\alpha} \partial v^{\beta}} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^n c^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{v}) \frac{\partial \theta_{\gamma,m}(\mathbf{v})}{\partial v^{\sigma}}, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n, \ m \ge 0,$$
(123)

$$\left\langle \nabla \theta_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v}; z), \nabla \theta_{\beta}(\mathbf{v}; -z) \right\rangle = \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n,$$
(124)

$$\frac{\partial \theta_{\alpha,m+1}(\mathbf{v})}{\partial v^{\iota}} = \theta_{\alpha,m}(\mathbf{v}), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n, \ m \ge 0,$$
(125)

$$E(\partial_{\beta}(\theta_{\alpha,m}(\mathbf{v}))) = (m + \mu_{\alpha} + \mu_{\beta}) \partial_{\beta}(\theta_{\alpha,m}(\mathbf{v})) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (R_{k})_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \partial_{\beta}(\theta_{\gamma,m-k}(\mathbf{v})), \quad m \ge 0.$$
(126)

For a given  $(\mu, R)$ , the functions  $\{\theta_{\alpha,m}(\mathbf{v})\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,n,m\geq 0}$  may not be unique. A choice of  $\{\theta_{\alpha,m}(\mathbf{v})\}_{\alpha=1,\dots,n,m\geq 0}$  satisfying (122)–(126) is called a *calibration* [17, 19]. A Frobenius manifold with a fixed calibration is called *calibrated*. Below we fix a calibration.

The *principal hierarchy* of a Frobenius manifold M is the following hierarchy of pairwise commuting evolutionary systems of PDEs of hydrodynamic-type [15]:

$$\frac{\partial v^{\alpha}}{\partial t^{\beta,m}} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \eta^{\alpha\gamma} \partial_X \left( \frac{\partial \theta_{\beta,m+1}}{\partial v^{\gamma}} \right), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n, \ m \ge 0.$$
(127)

Since the  $\partial_{t^{\iota,0}}$ -flow of the principal hierarchy (127) is just  $\partial v^{\alpha}/\partial t^{\iota,0} = \partial_X(v^{\alpha})$ , we identify X with  $t^{\iota,0}$ . The flows in (127) pairwise commute [15], so we can solve them together, yielding solutions of the form  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$ , where  $\mathbf{t} = (t^{\beta,m})_{\beta=1,\dots,n,m\geq 0}$ .

Following Dubrovin [15] (cf. [19]), define  $\Omega_{\alpha,m_1;\beta,m_2}^{[0]}(\mathbf{v}), \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, n, m_1, m_2 \ge 0$ , for M by means of generating series as follows:

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2 \ge 0} \Omega_{\alpha,m_1;\beta,m_2}^{[0]}(\mathbf{v}) z^{m_1} w^{m_2} = \frac{\langle \nabla \theta_\alpha(\mathbf{v};z), \nabla \theta_\beta(\mathbf{v};w) \rangle - \eta_{\alpha\beta}}{z+w}, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n.$$
(128)

We know from [15, 19] that for any solution  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t}) = (v^1(\mathbf{t}), \ldots, v^n(\mathbf{t}))$  to the principal hierarchy, there exists a function  $\tau_{\text{PH}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ , such that

$$\epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_{\text{PH}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)}{\partial t^{\alpha,m_1} \partial t^{\beta,m_2}} = \Omega^{[0]}_{\alpha,m_1;\beta,m_2}(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})), \quad \alpha,\beta = 1,\dots,n, \, m_1, m_2 \ge 0.$$
(129)

We call  $\tau_{\text{PH}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  the  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$  to the principal hierarchy (127). The logarithm  $\epsilon^2 \log \tau_{\text{PH}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon) =: \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})$  is called the genus 0 free energy of the solution  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t})$ .

It was shown in [19] that the principal hierarchy (127) admits the infinitesimal Galilean symmetry:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{v}^{\alpha}}{\partial q} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\iota} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \sum_{k \ge 0} t^{\beta,k+1} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}^{\alpha}}{\partial t^{\beta}_{k}}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n,$$
(130)

which can also be upgraded to the infinitesimal action [19] on  $\tau$ -functions as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}_{\rm PH}}{\partial q} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta} t^{\alpha,0} t^{\beta,0}}{2\epsilon^2} \tilde{\tau}_{\rm PH} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \sum_{k\geq 0} t^{\beta,k+1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}_{\rm PH}}{\partial t_k^{\beta}}.$$
(131)

**Theorem 4.** Let M be a calibrated Frobenius manifold, and  $v(\mathbf{t})$  an arbitrary solution to the principal hierarchy of M. Define  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t};q) = (\tilde{v}^1(\mathbf{t};q), \ldots, \tilde{v}^n(\mathbf{t};q))$  by

$$\tilde{v}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{t};q) = v^{\alpha} \big( \mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q) \big) + q \delta_{\iota}^{\alpha}, \qquad (132)$$

where

$$(t^{\mathrm{G}})^{\alpha,n}(\mathbf{t};q) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{q^k}{k!} t^{\alpha,n+k}.$$
(133)

Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t};q)$  is a solution to the principal hierarchy (127) for any q. Moreover, let  $\tau_{\text{PH}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)$  be a  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $v(\mathbf{t})$ . Then  $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{PH}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)$  defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\rm PH}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q) = \tau_{\rm PH}\left(\mathbf{t}^{\rm G}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon\right) e^{\frac{g(\mathbf{t};q)}{\epsilon^2}}$$
(134)

with

$$g(\mathbf{t};q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{n} \sum_{i,j\geq 0} \frac{q^{i+j+1}}{i+j+1} \frac{t^{\alpha,i}}{i!} \frac{t^{\beta,j}}{j!} \eta_{\alpha\beta}$$
(135)

is a  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $\tilde{v}(\mathbf{t};q)$ .

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Proposition 1 one can prove by a straightforward verification that  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t};q)$  defined by (132) satisfies (130). Since equation (130) gives an infinitesimal symmetry of the principal hierarchy (127), the statement that  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t};q)$  is a solution to the principal hierarchy follows. Using (134), (129) and (133), we have

$$\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \log \tilde{\tau}_{\mathrm{PH}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)}{\partial t^{\alpha,k_{1}} \partial t^{\beta,k_{2}}} = \sum_{0 \le m_{1} \le k_{1}, 0 \le m_{2} \le k_{2}} \frac{q^{k_{1}+k_{2}-m_{1}-m_{2}}}{(k_{1}-m_{1})!(k_{2}-m_{2})!} \Omega^{[0]}_{\alpha,m_{1};\beta,m_{2}} \left(\mathbf{v}\left(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q)\right)\right) + \frac{q^{k_{1}+k_{2}+1}}{k_{1}!k_{2}!(k_{1}+k_{2}+1)} \eta_{\alpha\beta}.$$
(136)

Then, using (128), we obtain that

$$\epsilon^{2} \sum_{k_{1},k_{2}\geq0} \frac{\partial^{2}\log\tilde{\tau}_{\mathrm{PH}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)}{\partial t^{\alpha,k_{1}}\partial t^{\beta,k_{2}}} z^{k_{1}} w^{k_{2}}$$

$$= e^{qz+qw} \frac{\langle \nabla\theta_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q));z), \nabla\theta_{\beta}(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q));w)\rangle - \eta_{\alpha\beta}}{z+w} + \frac{(e^{qz+qw}-1)\eta_{\alpha\beta}}{z+w}$$

$$= \frac{\langle \nabla\theta_{\alpha}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t};q);z), \nabla\theta_{\beta}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t};q);w)\rangle - \eta_{\alpha\beta}}{z+w}.$$
(137)

The theorem is proved.

Actually, the principal hierarchy admits an infinite family of Virasoro symmetries with the above Galilean symmetry being one of them. However, as it was observed by Dubrovin and Zhang [19], differently from the Galilean symmetry, the actions of most of other Virasoro symmetries on tau-functions for the principal hierarchy are not linear. Nevertheless, for the case when the Frobenius manifold is *semisimple*, Dubrovin and Zhang [19] introduced the notion of linearization of Virasoro symmetries and constructed higher genus free energies  $F_g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{3g-2}), g \geq 1$ , as solutions to the *loop equation* [19]. A particular perturbation of the principal hierarchy, called the *integrable hierarchy of topological type*, is then constructed as the substitution of the quasi-trivial transformation

$$v^{\alpha} \mapsto u^{\alpha} = v^{\alpha} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial^2 (F_g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{3g-2})), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n,$$
(138)

into the principal hierarchy. Here,  $\partial = \partial_X = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \sum_{k\geq 0} v_{k+1}^{\gamma} \partial/\partial v_k^{\gamma}$ . This particular perturbation, now often called the *Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy of M*, has the form [19]

$$\frac{\partial u^{\alpha}}{\partial t^{\beta,m}} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} P^{\alpha\gamma} \left( \frac{\delta H_{\beta,m}}{\delta u^{\gamma}(X)} \right), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n, \ m \ge 0,$$
(139)

where P is a Hamiltonian operator (which allows a power-series dependence in  $\epsilon$ ),  $\delta/\delta u^{\gamma}(X)$  denote the variational derivatives [19],  $H_{\beta,m}$  are Hamiltonians, and  $X = t^{\iota,0}$ .

Denote  $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, \ldots, u^n)$  and define  $\Omega_{\alpha, m_1;\beta, m_2}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots; \epsilon)$  as the substitutions of the inverse of the quasi-trivial map (138) in

$$\Omega^{[0]}_{\alpha,m_1;\beta,m_2}(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{g\geq 1} \epsilon^{2g} \partial^2 (F_g(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_{3g-2})).$$

Here,  $\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, n, m_1, m_2 \geq 0$ . Polynomiality of coefficients in  $P^{\alpha\gamma}$ , in the densities of  $H_{\beta,m}$ , and in  $\Omega_{\alpha,m_1;\beta,m_2}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}_1,\ldots;\epsilon)$  was proved in [5]. For any arbitrary solution  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)$  to the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy (139), there exists [5, 19] a function  $\tau_{\mathrm{DZ}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)$  such that

$$\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \log \tau_{\mathrm{DZ}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)}{\partial t^{\alpha,m_{1}} \partial t^{\beta,m_{2}}} = \Omega_{\alpha,m_{1};\beta,m_{2}} \left( \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon), \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)}{\partial X}, \dots;\epsilon \right).$$
(140)

The function  $\tau_{DZ}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  is called the  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$ .

By definition the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy (139) admits the following infinitesimal Galilean symmetry [19]:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}^{\alpha}}{\partial q} = \delta_{\iota}^{\alpha} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \sum_{k \ge 0} t^{\beta,k+1} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^{\alpha}}{\partial t_{k}^{\beta}}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n.$$
(141)

Let  $\tau_{\text{DZ}}(\mathbf{t}; \epsilon)$  be any  $\tau$ -function for the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy of M. Then, according to the linearization of Virasoro symmetries, the infinitesimal Galilean symmetry (141) is upgraded as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}_{\mathrm{DZ}}}{\partial q} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta} t^{\alpha,0} t^{\beta,0}}{2\epsilon^2} \tilde{\tau}_{\mathrm{DZ}} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \sum_{k\geq 0} t^{\beta,k+1} \frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}_{\mathrm{DZ}}}{\partial t^{\beta,k}}.$$
(142)

We have the following theorem generalizing Theorems 1, 2.

**Theorem 5.** Let M be a semisimple calibrated Frobenius manifold, and let  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)$ be an arbitrary solution to the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy of M. Define  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q) = (\tilde{u}^1(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q),\ldots,\tilde{u}^n(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q))$  by

$$\tilde{u}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q) = u^{\alpha} \big( \mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon \big) + q \delta^{\alpha}_{\iota}, \quad \alpha = 1,\dots,n,$$
(143)

where  $\mathbf{t}^{G}(\mathbf{t};q)$  is defined in (133). Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)$  is a solution to the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy of M for any q. Moreover, let  $\tau_{DZ}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)$  be a  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon)$ . Then  $\tilde{\tau}_{DZ}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)$  defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\mathrm{DZ}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q) = \tau_{\mathrm{DZ}}\big(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\epsilon\big)e^{\frac{g(\mathbf{t};q)}{\epsilon^{2}}},\tag{144}$$

is a  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)$ . Here  $g(\mathbf{t};q)$  is the quadratic function defined in (135).

Proof. Again, from a straightforward verification we know that  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t};q)$  defined by (143) satisfies (141). Since the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy (139) admits the infinitesimal Galilean symmetry (141), we know that  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t};\epsilon;q)$ , for any q, is a solution to the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy (139). Using (144), (132), Theorem 4, the definition of  $\Omega_{\alpha,m_1;\beta,m_2}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}_1,\ldots;\epsilon)$  and the well-known fact that  $F_g, g \geq 1$ , do not explicitly contain  $v^t$  we find the validity of the second statement.

When M is the one-dimensional Frobenius manifold with the potential  $F = v^3/6$ , according to the Witten–Kontsevich theorem the Dubrovin–Zhang hierarchy of M coincides with the KdV hierarchy. Noticing that the definition of  $\tau$ -functions for the KdV hierarchy using the bilinear equations and the one using (140) are equivalent [3], we know that the above Theorem 5 becomes Theorems 1, 2. Applications of Theorem 5 will be considered elsewhere.

In a similar way, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.** Let M be a semisimple calibrated Frobenius manifold, and let  $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t}; \boldsymbol{\sigma}; \epsilon)$  be an arbitrary solution to the Hodge hierarchy [17] associated with M, where  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_3, \sigma_5, \ldots)$  are Hodge parameters [17]. Define

$$\tilde{w}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{t};\boldsymbol{\sigma};\epsilon;q) = w^{\alpha}(\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{t};q);\boldsymbol{\sigma};\epsilon) + q\delta^{\alpha}_{\iota}, \quad \alpha = 1,\dots,n,$$
(145)

where  $\mathbf{t}^{G}(\mathbf{t};q)$  is defined in (133). Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{t};\boldsymbol{\sigma};\epsilon;q)$  is a solution to the Hodge hierarchy of M for any q. Moreover, let  $\tau_{H}(\mathbf{t};\boldsymbol{\sigma};\epsilon)$  be a  $\tau$ -function [17] of the solution  $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t};\boldsymbol{\sigma};\epsilon)$ . Then  $\tilde{\tau}_{H}(\mathbf{t};\boldsymbol{\sigma};\epsilon;q)$  defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\rm H}(\mathbf{t};\boldsymbol{\sigma};\epsilon;q) = \tau_{\rm H} \big( \mathbf{t}^{\rm G}(\mathbf{t};q);\boldsymbol{\sigma};\epsilon \big) e^{q \frac{\sigma_1}{24} + \frac{g(\mathbf{t};q)}{\epsilon^2}},\tag{146}$$

is a  $\tau$ -function of the solution  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{t}; \boldsymbol{\sigma}; \epsilon; q)$ . Here  $g(\mathbf{t}; q)$  is the quadratic function defined in (135).

Acknowledgements. The work is partially supported by NSFC No. 12371254 and CAS No. YSBR-032.

#### References

- Alexandrov, A.: Cut-and-join description of generalized Brezin-Gross-Witten model. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 22 (2018), 1347–1399.
- [2] Ambjørn, J., Chekhov, L.: The NBI matrix model of IIB superstrings. J. High Energy Phys., 12 (1998), 7–13.
- [3] Bertola, M., Dubrovin, B., Yang, D.: Correlation functions of the KdV hierarchy and applications to intersection numbers over M<sub>g,n</sub>. Phys. D, **327** (2016), 30–57.
- [4] Bertola, M., Ruzza, G.: Brezin-Gross-Witten tau function and isomonodromic deformations. Commun. Number Theory Phys., 13 (2019), 827–883.
- [5] Buryak, A., Posthuma, H., Shadrin, S.: A polynomial bracket for the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchies. J. Differ. Geom., 92 (2012), 153–185.
- Brézin, E., Gross, D. J.: The external field problem in the large N limit of QCD. Phys. Lett. B, 97 (1980), 120–124.
- [7] Chen, H. H., Lee, Y. C., Lin, J.-E.: On a new hierarchy of symmetries for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. Phys. D, 9 (1983), 439–445.
- [8] Chen, L., Li, Y., Liu, K.: Localization, Hurwitz Numbers and the Witten Conjecture. Asian J. Math., 12 (2008), 511–518.
- [9] Chidambaram, N. K., Garcia-Failde, E., Giacchetto, A.: Relations on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  and the negative *r*-spin Witten conjecture. arXiv:2205.15621.
- [10] Date, E. B., Jimbo M., Kashiwara, M., Miwa, T.: Transformation groups for soliton equations. Euclidean Lie algebras and reduction of the KP hierarchy. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 18 (1982), 1077–1110.
- [11] Dickey, L. A.: Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics 26, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, second edition, 2003.
- [12] Dijkgraaf, R., Verlinde, E., Verlinde, H.: Loop equations and Virasoro constraints in nonperturbative two-dimensional quantum gravity. Nucl. Phys., B 348 (1991), 435–456.
- [13] Dijkgraaf, R., Witten, E.: Mean field theory, topological field theory, and multi-matrix models. Nucl. Phys. B, 342 (1990), 486–522.
- [14] Do, N., Norbury, P.: Topological recursion on the Bessel curve. Commun. Number Theory Phys., 12 (2018), 53–73.
- [15] Dubrovin, B.: Geometry of 2D topological field theories. In Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993), M. Francaviglia, S. Greco (Ed.), Springer, pp. 120–348, 1996.
- [16] Dubrovin, B.: Painlevé transcendents in two-dimensional topological field theory. In *The Painlevé Property, One Century Later*, R. Conte (Ed.), Springer, pp. 287–412, 1999.
- [17] Dubrovin, B., Liu, S.-Q., Yang, D., Zhang, Y.: Hodge integrals and tau-symmetric integrable hierarchies of Hamiltonian evolutionary PDEs. Adv. Math., 293 (2016), 382–435.
- [18] Dubrovin, B., Yang, D., Zagier, D.: On tau-functions for the KdV hierarchy. Selecta Math. (N.S.), 27 (2021), Paper No. 12, 47 pp.

- [19] Dubrovin, B., Zhang, Y.: Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants. arXiv:math/0108160.
- [20] Faber, C.: A conjectural description of the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves. Aspects Math., E33, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1999, 109-129.
- [21] Givental, A. B.: Gromov-Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians. Mosc. Math. J., 1 (2001), 551–568.
- [22] Gross, D. J., Newman, M. J.: Unitary and Hermitian matrices in an external field (II). The Kontsevich model and continuum Virasoro constraints. Nucl. Phys. B, 380 (1992), 168–180.
- [23] Gross, D. J., Witten, E.: Possible third-order phase transition in the large-N lattice gauge theory. Phys. Rev. D, 21 (1980), 446–453.
- [24] Ibragimov, N. K., Shabat, A. B.: The Kortweg-de Vries equation from the point of view of transformation group. Sov. Phys. Dokl., 24 (1979), 15–17.
- [25] Itzykson, C., Zuber, J.-B.: Combinatorics of the modular group. II. The Kontsevich integrals. Internat. J. Modern Phys. A, 7 (1992), 5661–5705.
- [26] Kac, V., Schwarz, A.: Geometric interpretation of the partition function of 2D gravity. Phys. Lett. B, 257 (1991), 329–334.
- [27] Kaufmann, R., Manin, Yu. I., Zagier, D.: Higher Weil-Petersson volumes of moduli spaces of stable n-pointed curves. Comm. Math. Phys., 181 (1996), 763–787.
- [28] Kazarian, M., Lando, S.: An algebro-geometric proof of Witten's conjecture. J. Am. Math. Soc., 20 (2007), 1079–1089.
- [29] Kazarian, M., Norbury, P.: Polynomial relations among kappa classes on the moduli space of curves. Int. Math. Res. Not., 2024 (2024), 1825–1867.
- [30] Kontsevich, M.: Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function. Comm. Math. Phys., 147 (1992), 1–23.
- [31] Liu, K., Xu, H.: Recursion formulae of higher Weil-Petersson volumes. Int. Math. Res. Not., 2009 (2009), 835–859.
- [32] Manin, Yu. I., Zograf, P.: Invertible cohomological field theories and Weil-Petersson volumes. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 50 (2000), 519–535.
- [33] Mironov, A., Morozov, A., Semenoff, G. W.: Unitary matrix integrals in the framework of the generalized Kontsevich model. Internat. J. Modern Phys. A, 11 (1996), 5031–5080.
- [34] Mirzakhani, M.: Weil–Petersson volumes and intersection theory on the moduli space of curves. J. Am. Math. Soc., 20 (2007), 1–23.
- [35] Mulase, M., Safnuk, B.: Mirzakhani's recursion relations, Virasoro constraints and the KdV hierarchy. Indian J. Math., 50 (2008), 189–218.
- [36] Okounkov, A., Pandharipande, R.: Gromov–Witten theory, Hurwitz numbers, and matrix models. Proc. Symposia Pure Math., 80, Part 1 (2009), 325–414.
- [37] Okuyama, K., Sakai, K.: JT gravity, KdV equations and macroscopic loop operators. J. High Energy Phys., 1 (2020), 1–45.
- [38] Sato, M.: Soliton equation as dynamical systems on a infinite dimensional Grassmann manifolds. RIMS Kokyuroku (Kyoto University), 432 (1981), 30–46.
- [39] Witten, E.: Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space. In Surveys in differential geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1990), Lehigh University, pp. 243–310, 1991.
- [40] Yang, D., Zagier, D.: Mapping partition functions. arXiv:2308.03568.
- [41] Yang, D., Zhang, Q.: On the Hodge-BGW correspondence. arXiv:2112.12736.
- [42] Yang, D., Zhang, Q.: On a new proof of the Okuyama-Sakai conjecture. Rev. Math. Phys., 35 (2023), Paper No. 2350025, 14 pp.
- [43] Zhou, J.: On phase transition of two-dimensional topological gravity. arXiv:2401.02085.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, P.R. China

xjh\_020403@mail.ustc.edu.cn, diyang@ustc.edu.cn