

On the zeros of polyanalytic polynomials

Olivier Sète* Jan Zur†

June 13, 2024

Abstract

We give sufficient conditions under which a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n has (i) at least one zero, and (ii) finitely many zeros. In the latter case, we prove that the number of zeros is bounded by n^2 . We then show that for all $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n^2, \infty\}$ there exists a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n with exactly k distinct zeros. Moreover, we generalize the Lagrange and Cauchy bounds from analytic to polyanalytic polynomials and obtain inclusion disks for the zeros. Finally, we construct a harmonic and thus polyanalytic polynomial of degree n with n nonzero coefficients and the maximum number of n^2 zeros.

Keywords: Polyanalytic polynomial; Zeros; Fundamental theorem of algebra; Inclusion region; Harmonic polynomial; Wilmschurst's problem.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 30C55; 31A05; 30C10; 30C15.

1 Introduction

A *polyanalytic function* is a complex-valued function of the form

$$F(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^m f_k(z) \bar{z}^k, \quad (1.1)$$

where f_0, \dots, f_m are analytic functions in an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Hence, F can be interpreted as a polynomial in \bar{z} with analytic functions as coefficients. The term polyanalytic is motivated by the property $\partial_{\bar{z}}^{m+1} F = 0$, where ∂_z and $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ are the Wirtinger derivatives. In the sequel, we denote analytic functions by lower case letters, and polyanalytic or harmonic functions

*TU Berlin, Institute of Mathematics, MA 3-3, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany, sete@math.tu-berlin.de, ORCID: 0000-0003-3107-3053

†TU Berlin, Institute of Mathematics, MA 3-3, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany, zur@math.tu-berlin.de, ORCID: 0000-0002-5611-8900

by capital letters. Moreover, we use a notation common in the literature and write $F(z, \bar{z})$ instead of $F(z)$ for polyanalytic and harmonic functions although z and \bar{z} are not independent variables of F .

The polyanalytic function theory was strongly influenced by Balk and his students. They developed analogues of several classical results for analytic functions, e.g., Liouville's theorem [3, Thm. 4.2], Picard's theorems [3, Sect. 5.2], Cauchy's integral formula and other integral representations [4, Thm. 1.4]. See [3, 4] for an overview on their results. Other researchers also contributed to the classical theory of polyanalytic functions, e.g., Bosch and Krajcikiewicz [18, 6]. Besides the function theoretic approach, polyanalytic functions have also been studied in a functional analytic context; see, e.g., the survey of Abreu and Feichtinger [1] and references therein.

If f_0, \dots, f_m in (1.1) are analytic *polynomials*, F is called a *polyanalytic polynomial*, which is a polynomial in z and \bar{z} . In the sequel, we will use its *bivariate form*

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_{j, k-j} z^j \bar{z}^{k-j}. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that two polyanalytic polynomials are equal if and only if they have the same coefficients $\alpha_{j, k-j} \in \mathbb{C}$ in (1.2). Unlike analytic polynomials, polyanalytic polynomials can have an empty, finite, or infinite set of zeros. For example, $P_1(z, \bar{z}) = z - \bar{z}$ vanishes on the real axis, i.e., P_1 has an unbounded set of nonisolated zeros, $P_2(z, \bar{z}) = z\bar{z} - 1$ vanishes on the unit circle, i.e., it has a bounded set of nonisolated zeros, $P_3(z, \bar{z}) = z\bar{z}$ has an isolated zero, while $P_4(z, \bar{z}) = z\bar{z} + 1$ has no zeros at all.

Obviously, any polyanalytic polynomial $P(z, \bar{z})$ (1.2) can be identified with a system of two real polynomials p and q in the real variables x and y ,

$$\begin{cases} p(x, y) = \operatorname{Re}(P(x + iy, x - iy)), \\ q(x, y) = \operatorname{Im}(P(x + iy, x - iy)), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and any system of two real polynomials p and q in the real variables x and y can be identified with the polyanalytic polynomial

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = p\left(\frac{z + \bar{z}}{2}, \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}\right) + iq\left(\frac{z + \bar{z}}{2}, \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}\right). \quad (1.4)$$

So what is the advantage of the polyanalytic notation? Although polyanalytic polynomials are not analytic, we can use numerous tools of complex analysis, e.g., the argument principle and the visualization of complex-valued functions, as it was done before in several numerical applications, where the polyanalytic perspective has turned out to be favorable. In particular, for determining exclusion and inclusion regions for the eigenvalues of a normal matrix [15], computing an orthonormal basis of generalized Krylov subspaces [14], and bivariate root-finding [29].

This paper is devoted to discuss the following questions concerning the zeros of polyanalytic polynomials in Sections 2–4:

- (i) When does a polyanalytic polynomial have at least one zero?
- (ii) When does a polyanalytic polynomial have finitely many zeros?
- (iii) What is the maximum number of zeros of a polyanalytic polynomial, provided that this number is finite?
- (iv) Which numbers of zeros can occur for a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n ?
- (v) Where are the zeros of a polyanalytic polynomial located?
- (vi) How can a polyanalytic polynomial with the maximum number of zeros be constructed?

Moreover, we consider *harmonic polynomials*

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = p(z) + \overline{q(z)}, \quad (1.5)$$

where p and q are analytic polynomials with $\deg(p) = n$ and $\deg(q) = m$. Every harmonic polynomial is also a polyanalytic polynomial. The absence of mixed terms $\alpha_{j,k-j} z^j \bar{z}^{k-j}$ simplifies the investigation. Wilmschurst [33] proved that P has at most n^2 zeros if $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} P(z, \bar{z}) = \infty$ holds. Independently, Peretz and Schmid [23] proved the same result using algebraic geometry. Moreover, Wilmschurst conjectured for $n > m$ that an upper bound on the number of zeros of P as in (1.5) is

$$N(P) \leq 3n - 2 + m(m - 1). \quad (1.6)$$

For $m = n - 1$ this gives n^2 . He also provided an example to show that this bound is sharp for $m = n - 1$. Khavinson and Świątek [17], and Geyer [12] settled the conjecture for $m = 1$, while Lee, Lerario, and Lundberg [19, 20] proved that the conjecture is not true in general. Determining the maximum number of zeros of harmonic polynomials depending on the degrees n and m is still an open problem; see [5, Sect. 2.2] and [20, Sect. 4]. We will discuss an extension from the harmonic to the polyanalytic case in Section 5.

2 Number of zeros

In this section, we answer questions (i)–(iv) from the introduction. First, we introduce the degrees of a polyanalytic polynomial.

Definition 2.1. For a polyanalytic polynomial $P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(z) \bar{z}^k$ with nonzero p_m , we define the *degree* $\deg(P) = \max_k (\deg(p_k) + k)$, the *analytic degree* $\deg_z(P) = \max_k \deg(p_k)$, and the *anti-analytic degree* $\deg_{\bar{z}}(P) = m$.

Example 2.2. The polyanalytic polynomial $P(z, \bar{z}) = z^3 + z^2 \bar{z}^2$ has the degrees $\deg(P) = 4$, $\deg_z(P) = 3$, and $\deg_{\bar{z}}(P) = 2$.

The first question, “When does a polyanalytic polynomial have at least one zero?”, was answered by Balk in [2] and [3, Thm. 4.1] by his fundamental theorem of algebra for polyanalytic polynomials.

Theorem 2.3. *A polyanalytic polynomial P with $\deg(P) > 2 \deg_z(P)$ or $\deg(P) > 2 \deg_{\bar{z}}(P)$ has at least one zero.*

Theorem 2.3 is sharp in the following sense. There exists a polyanalytic polynomial P with $\deg(P) \leq 2 \deg_z(P)$ and $\deg(P) \leq 2 \deg_{\bar{z}}(P)$ that has no zeros, e.g., given $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq n \leq 2k$,

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = (z + \bar{z} + i)^{2k-n} (z\bar{z} + 1)^{n-k} \quad (2.1)$$

has no zeros but $\deg(P) = n$ and $\deg_z(P) = k = \deg_{\bar{z}}(P)$; see [3, Rem. 4.2].

We give another sufficient condition for the existence of at least one zero in Theorem 2.5, and hence an alternative fundamental theorem of algebra for polyanalytic polynomials. Recall that the winding of a continuous function f along a curve Γ on which f has no zeros is the change of the argument of f along Γ divided by 2π ,

$$\text{wind}(f; \Gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\Gamma} \arg(f(z)); \quad (2.2)$$

see, e.g., [3, Sect. 2.3], [28, Sect. 2.3], or [31, Sect. 3.4].

Theorem 2.4 ([3, Thm. 2.3], [28, Sect. 2.3.12]). *Let the function f be continuous in the closed domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ bounded by a closed curve Γ . If f has no zeros in D , then $\text{wind}(f; \Gamma) = 0$.*

The converse of Theorem 2.4 does not hold, since there exists functions with zeros but winding 0, e.g., $P(z, \bar{z}) = z\bar{z}$ on $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$.

Theorem 2.5. *A polyanalytic polynomial*

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{j, n-j} z^j \bar{z}^{n-j} + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (2.3)$$

with $n \geq 1$, $\deg(P_{n-1}) \leq n-1$, and such that there exists an $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $2\ell \neq n$, with

$$|\alpha_{\ell, n-\ell}| > \sum_{j=0, j \neq \ell}^n |\alpha_{j, n-j}|, \quad (2.4)$$

has at least one zero. In particular, a polyanalytic polynomial of the form

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = \alpha_{\ell, n-\ell} z^{\ell} \bar{z}^{n-\ell} + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z}) \quad (2.5)$$

with $n \geq 1$, $\alpha_{\ell, n-\ell} \neq 0$, $2\ell \neq n$, and $\deg(P_{n-1}) \leq n-1$ has at least one zero.

Proof. Let us write $P(z, \bar{z}) = z^\ell \bar{z}^{n-\ell} (\alpha_{\ell, n-\ell} + g(z))$ with

$$g(z) = \sum_{j=0, j \neq \ell}^n \alpha_{j, n-j} \frac{z^j \bar{z}^{n-j}}{z^\ell \bar{z}^{n-\ell}} + \frac{P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})}{z^\ell \bar{z}^{n-\ell}}.$$

Note that g is not polyanalytic in general. Since

$$|g(z)| \leq \sum_{j=0, j \neq \ell}^n |\alpha_{j, n-j}| + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}) < |\alpha_{\ell, n-\ell}|$$

holds for all z on a sufficiently large circle Γ about 0 (oriented in the positive sense), we have $\text{wind}(\alpha_{\ell, n-\ell} + g(z); \Gamma) = 0$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wind}(P; \Gamma) &= \text{wind}(z^\ell; \Gamma) + \text{wind}(\bar{z}^{n-\ell}; \Gamma) + \text{wind}(\alpha_{\ell, n-\ell} + g(z); \Gamma) \\ &= \ell - (n - \ell) = 2\ell - n \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.4, P has a zero in the interior of Γ . □

Remark 2.6. (i) Theorem 2.5 is sharp in the following sense. There exists a polyanalytic polynomial P which does not fulfill (2.4) and has no zeros, e.g., $P(z, \bar{z}) = z + \bar{z} + i$. If $2\ell = n$ in Theorem 2.5, then P does not necessarily have a zero, e.g., for $P(z, \bar{z}) = z^\ell \bar{z}^\ell + 1$.

(ii) Neither Theorem 2.3 nor Theorem 2.5 is covered by the other. While Theorem 2.3 does not apply to $P(z, \bar{z}) = z^n + z\bar{z}^{n-2} + 1$, $n \geq 4$, Theorem 2.5 shows that P has at least one zero. In contrast, for $P(z, \bar{z}) = z^n + z^\ell \bar{z}^{n-\ell} + 1$ with $n \geq 1$ and $n/2 < \ell \leq n$, Theorem 2.3 guarantees the existence of a zero, while Theorem 2.5 does not apply.

(iii) Both Theorems 2.3 and 2.5 imply the fundamental theorem of algebra for analytic polynomials.

Next, we consider the second question: ‘‘When does a polyanalytic polynomial have finitely many zeros?’’. We start with the following observation; see [3, Rem. 4.3] and [3, Sect. 2.2].

Theorem 2.7. *Let P be a polyanalytic polynomial.*

(i) *If the zeros of P are isolated, then their number is finite.*

(ii) *If P has nonisolated zeros, then P vanishes on an arc.*

A polyanalytic polynomial with infinitely many zeros vanishes on some arc in \mathbb{C} . If the polynomial is irreducible we have a necessary condition for this; see [9, p. 20]. Recall that a non-constant polyanalytic polynomial is *irreducible*, if it cannot be factored into the product of two non-constant polyanalytic polynomials, and *reducible* otherwise.

Theorem 2.8. *Let P be an irreducible polyanalytic polynomial. If P has infinitely many zeros, then*

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = \lambda \overline{P(z, \bar{z})} \quad (2.6)$$

holds for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$.

A polynomial P satisfying (2.6) is called *self-conjugate* [9, p. 21]. We obtain the following sufficient condition for P having finitely many zeros.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j+k \leq n} \alpha_{j,k} z^j \bar{z}^k$ be an irreducible polyanalytic polynomial with $|\alpha_{j,k}| \neq |\alpha_{k,j}|$ for some j, k . Then P has finitely many zeros.*

Next, we show that polyanalytic polynomials of the form $z^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})$ have a factorization into factors of the same form, and thus only finitely many zeros. Related results for bivariate polynomials $y^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k(x)y^k$ over an algebraically closed field are obtained in [22]. These polynomials are called *generalized difference polynomials*, while $p(x) - q(y)$ is called a *difference polynomial*. The latter is the bivariate pendant of a harmonic polynomial. The next result can be found in [28, Sect. 1.2.5]. For completeness, we give an alternative proof.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $P(z, \bar{z}) = z^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})$ be a polyanalytic polynomial with $\deg(P_{n-1}) \leq n - 1$. Then the following holds.*

- (i) *P has a factorization into irreducible polyanalytic polynomials of the form $z^k + P_{k-1}(z, \bar{z})$ with $\deg(P_{k-1}) \leq k - 1$.*
- (ii) *P has finitely many zeros.*

Proof. (i) If P is reducible, then $P = P_\ell P_m$, where $\ell = \deg(P_\ell) \geq 1$ and $m = \deg(P_m) \geq 1$ with $\ell + m = n$. We write

$$P_\ell(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} a_j z^{\ell-j} \bar{z}^j + P_{\ell-1}(z, \bar{z}), \quad P_m(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^m b_k z^{m-k} \bar{z}^k + P_{m-1}(z, \bar{z}),$$

where $\deg(P_{\ell-1}) \leq \ell - 1$ and $\deg(P_{m-1}) \leq m - 1$. The terms of degree n of P can only be obtained by multiplication of terms of degree ℓ of P_ℓ and terms of degree m of P_m . Comparing the terms of degree n of P and $P_\ell P_m$ gives

$$z^n = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} a_j z^{\ell-j} \bar{z}^j \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^m b_k z^{m-k} \bar{z}^k \right) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^k a_j b_{k-j} \right) z^{n-k} \bar{z}^k.$$

Comparing coefficients yields

$$a_0 b_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^k a_j b_{k-j} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The auxiliary polynomials $a(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} a_j t^j$ and $b(t) = \sum_{k=0}^m b_k t^k$ satisfy $a(t)b(t) = 1$, hence a and b are constant nonzero polynomials, and

$$P_{\ell}(z, \bar{z}) = a_0 z^{\ell} + P_{\ell-1}(z, \bar{z}), \quad P_m(z, \bar{z}) = b_0 z^m + P_{m-1}(z, \bar{z}).$$

Using $a_0 b_0 = 1$, we obtain the factorization

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = (z^{\ell} + a_0^{-1} P_{\ell-1}(z, \bar{z}))(z^m + b_0^{-1} P_{m-1}(z, \bar{z})).$$

Inductively, P is a product of irreducible polyanalytic polynomials of the form $z^k + P_{k-1}(z, \bar{z})$ with $\deg(P_{k-1}) \leq k-1$.

(ii) By (i), P is the product of irreducible polynomials of the form $z^k + P_{k-1}(z, \bar{z})$ with $\deg(P_{k-1}) \leq k-1$, and by Theorem 2.9, each of these factor has only finitely many zeros, since $\alpha_{k,0} = 1 \neq 0 = \alpha_{0,k}$. \square

The above theorem is stated for $P(z, \bar{z}) = z^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})$. For $Q(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{z}^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})$ we get the same result by considering $\overline{Q}(z, \bar{z})$. The condition in Theorem 2.10 is sharp in the sense that we can not weaken the assumptions in terms of the monomials $z^k \bar{z}^{n-k}$ of degree n . Two monomials of degree n with nonzero coefficients allow infinitely many zeros, e.g., for $0 \leq k < \ell \leq n$, the polyanalytic polynomial

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = z^k \bar{z}^{n-k} + z^{\ell} \bar{z}^{n-\ell} = z^k (z^{\ell-k} + \bar{z}^{\ell-k}) \bar{z}^{n-\ell} \quad (2.7)$$

has infinitely many zeros. Moreover, a mixed monomial of degree n may also lead to infinitely many zeros, e.g., for $0 < k < n$, the polyanalytic polynomial

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = z^k \bar{z}^{n-k} - z^{k-1} \bar{z}^{n-k-1} = z^{k-1} \bar{z}^{n-k-1} (z \bar{z} - 1) \quad (2.8)$$

vanishes on the unit circle.

To answer the third question, we follow the lines of Wilmschurst and use a special version of Bézout's theorem; see, e.g., [33, Thm. 2], [23, p. 204], or [28, 1.2.2].

Theorem 2.11. *Let p and q be real polynomials in the real variables x and y with $\deg(p) = n$ and $\deg(q) = m$. Then p and q have either at most mn common zeros or have infinitely many common zeros.*

This gives immediately the maximum number of zeros for polyanalytic polynomials with finitely many zeros.

Theorem 2.12. *A polyanalytic polynomial P of degree n with finitely many zeros has at most n^2 zeros.*

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.11 to the equivalent system (1.3) gives the upper bound. \square

If a polyanalytic polynomial is reducible, we give a tighter bound for the number of zeros. This yields also a sufficient condition for irreducibility of polyanalytic polynomials.

Theorem 2.13. *Let P be a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n with finitely many zeros.*

- (i) *If P is reducible, i.e., $P = P_1 \cdot \dots \cdot P_k$ with $\deg(P_j) = n_j \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$, then P has at most $\sum_{j=1}^k n_j^2 < n^2$ zeros.*
- (ii) *Let $n \geq 2$. If P has at least $n^2 - 2n + 3$ zeros, then P is irreducible.*

Proof. (i) By Theorem 2.12, the factor P_j has at most n_j^2 zeros. Summing over all factors gives the assertion.

(ii) Assume that P is reducible, i.e., $P = P_1 P_2$ with $\deg(P_1) = k > 0$ and $\deg(P_2) = n - k > 0$. By (i), the number of zeros of P is bounded by $(n - k)^2 + k^2 < n^2 - 2n + 3$. \square

Corollary 2.14. (i) *Let $P(z, \bar{z}) = \alpha_{n,0} z^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})$ be a polyanalytic polynomial with $\alpha_{n,0} \neq 0$ and $\deg(P_{n-1}) \leq n - 1$. Then P has at most n^2 zeros.*

- (ii) *Let $P(z, \bar{z}) = p(z) + \overline{q(z)}$ be a harmonic polynomial with $\deg(p) = n > \deg(q)$. Then P has at most n^2 zeros.*

Moreover, in both (i) and (ii), if P has n^2 zeros, then P is irreducible.

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, P has finitely many zeros and, by Theorem 2.12, the number of zeros is at most n^2 . If P has n^2 zeros, then P is irreducible by Theorem 2.13. \square

Remark 2.15. (i) The bounds in Theorem 2.12 and Corollary 2.14 are sharp in the sense that for every n there exists a harmonic, and in particular polyanalytic, polynomial of degree n with n^2 zeros, e.g., the example of Wilmschurst

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = (z - 1)^n + z^n + \overline{i(z - 1)^n - iz^n}; \quad (2.9)$$

see [33, p. 2080]. Hence, mixed terms in z and \bar{z} are not necessary to obtain the maximum number of zeros. While a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n has $(n + 1)(n + 2)/2$ coefficients, only $2n$ coefficients in (2.9) are nonzero. In Section 4, we present another construction of a harmonic polynomial of degree n with n^2 zeros, which, moreover, has only n nonzero coefficients.

- (ii) Another special class of polyanalytic polynomials of interest are *logharmonic polynomials*

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = p(z)\overline{q(z)} - c, \quad (2.10)$$

where p and q are analytic polynomials and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Such a polynomial has at most $\deg(p)^2 + \deg(q)^2$ zeros provided that it is not self-conjugate, which was recently proven in [16, Thm. 4.1].

Finally, we answer question (iv) from Section 1 on the possible numbers of zeros of polyanalytic polynomials. The proof of the next result is based on previous work of the authors on harmonic functions [26].

Theorem 2.16. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$. For each $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n^2, \infty\}$ there exists a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n with exactly k zeros.*

Proof. For $k = 0$, the polynomial $P(z, \bar{z}) = (z - \bar{z} - 1)^n = (2i \operatorname{Im}(z) - 1)^n$ has degree n and no zeros. For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the polynomial $P(z, \bar{z}) = (z^k - 1)(z - \bar{z} - 1)^{n-k}$ has degree n and exactly k zeros. Next, let $n \leq k \leq n^2$. By [26, Cor. 5.6], there exists a harmonic polynomial $P(z, \bar{z}) = p(z) + q(\bar{z})$ with $\deg(p) = n > \deg(q)$ and exactly k zeros. In particular, this is a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n . For $k = \infty$, the polynomial $P(z, \bar{z}) = z^n - \bar{z}^n$ vanishes on the real axis. \square

3 Location of zeros

In this section, we address the question where the zeros of a polyanalytic polynomial P are located. More precisely, we determine bounds for the maximum modulus of the zeros of P . If P has at least one zero, we denote

$$r_* = \sup\{|z| : z \in \mathbb{C}, P(z, \bar{z}) = 0\}, \quad (3.1)$$

i.e., all zeros of P lie in the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_*\}$ if $r_* < \infty$. In particular, if the set of zeros is unbounded, then $r_* = \infty$. If P has no zero, we set $r_* = 0$.

The next theorem gives three bounds on r_* depending on the coefficients of P , and thus yields inclusion disks for the zeros of P .

Theorem 3.1. *Let a polyanalytic polynomial*

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_{j, k-j} z^j \bar{z}^{k-j} \quad (3.2)$$

of degree n be given such that there exists $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with

$$\alpha_n = |\alpha_{\ell, n-\ell}| - \sum_{j=0, j \neq \ell}^n |\alpha_{j, n-j}| > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Define the auxiliary real polynomial

$$q(t) = t^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k t^k \quad \text{with} \quad c_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{|\alpha_{j, k-j}|}{\alpha_n}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (3.4)$$

If not all coefficients c_k are zero, then q has a unique positive zero $r_0 > 0$, otherwise let $r_0 = 0$. Then the following holds.

(i) All zeros of P are located in the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\}$, i.e., $r_* \leq r_0$.

(ii) The radius r_0 is bounded in terms of the coefficients of P by

$$r_0 \leq r_1 = \max \left\{ 1, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{|\alpha_{j,k-j}|}{\alpha_n} \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$r_0 < r_2 = 1 + \max \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{|\alpha_{j,k-j}|}{\alpha_n} : k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. (i) If $c_k = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, then P has the form $P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{j,n-j} z^j \bar{z}^{n-j}$. In that case, $|P(z, \bar{z})| \geq \alpha_n |z|^n$ shows that P has only the zero $z = 0$, hence $r_* = r_0 = 0$.

If not all c_k are zero, then there is exactly one sign change in the sequence of coefficients of q . Thus, q has exactly one positive zero r_0 by Descartes' rule of signs, see [13, Thm. 6.2d] or [28, p. 317], which is simple. In particular, $q(t) > 0$ for $t > r_0$ and $q(t) < 0$ for $0 < t < r_0$. Then, for $|z| > r_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |P(z, \bar{z})| &\geq |\alpha_{\ell, n-\ell}| |z|^n - \sum_{j=0, j \neq \ell}^n |\alpha_{j, n-j}| |z|^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k |\alpha_{j, k-j}| |z|^k \\ &= \alpha_n |z|^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k |\alpha_{j, k-j}| |z|^k = \alpha_n q(|z|) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that all zeros of P are located in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r_0\}$, i.e., $r_* \leq r_0$.

(ii) First, note that $r_1 \geq 1$ and $r_2 \geq 1$. Moreover, we have

$$q(r_1) = r_1^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k r_1^k \geq r_1^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k r_1^{n-1} \geq r_1^n - r_1 r_1^{n-1} = 0,$$

which implies $r_0 \leq r_1$. Similarly, with $c_k \leq r_2 - 1$ for all k , we have

$$q(r_2) = r_2^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k r_2^k \geq r_2^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (r_2 - 1) r_2^k = r_2^n - (r_2^n - 1) = 1 > 0,$$

which implies $r_0 < r_2$. □

Remark 3.2. (i) The bound r_0 on the maximum modulus r_* of the zeros of P generalizes a classical result of Cauchy for analytic polynomials; see [8, p. 122], [13, Thm. 6.4], or [21, Thm. 27.1].

- (ii) For an analytic polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$ of degree n , (3.5) becomes Lagrange's bound

$$r_1 = \max \left\{ 1, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{|a_k|}{|a_n|} \right\}, \quad (3.7)$$

and (3.6) becomes Cauchy's bound

$$r_2 = 1 + \max \left\{ \frac{|a_k|}{|a_n|} : k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}; \quad (3.8)$$

see [21, Thm. 27.2] or Cauchy's original work [8, p. 122]. Theorem 3.1 generalizes these bounds to polyanalytic polynomials, and therefore we call (3.5) the *Lagrange bound* and (3.6) the *Cauchy bound* on the zeros of a polyanalytic polynomial. Moreover, Theorem 3.1 generalizes a bound for harmonic polynomials by Sheil-Small [28, Sect. 2.6.10].

- (iii) For a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n that does not satisfy (3.3), the set of zeros may be unbounded. In this case, there is no finite bound on the modulus of the zeros, e.g., $P(z, \bar{z}) = z^n + \bar{z}^n$, $n \geq 1$, has an unbounded set of nonisolated zeros.

Theorem 3.1 does not require that the number of zeros of P is finite, e.g., $P(z, \bar{z}) = z\bar{z} - 1$ vanishes on the unit circle, satisfies $r_* = 1$, and the bounds are $r_0 = r_1 = 1$ and $r_2 = 2$.

The bound r_0 and Lagrange's bound r_1 are sharp in the sense that for all n there exists a polyanalytic polynomial P of degree n with $r_* = r_0 = r_1$; see Example 3.3. Cauchy's bound (3.6) is not sharp since $r_* \leq r_0 < r_2$, but asymptotically sharp in the sense that the relative error $(r_2 - r_*)/r_*$ can be arbitrarily small for any n ; see Example 3.4.

Example 3.3. We consider

$$P_1(z, \bar{z}) = az^n + b\bar{z} \quad (3.9)$$

with $n \geq 2$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. First, we determine the zeros of P_1 . Clearly, $z = 0$ is a zero. For the other zeros, write $z = |z|e^{i\varphi}$, $a = |a|e^{i\alpha}$, and $b = |b|e^{i\beta}$ with $\varphi, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $P_1(z, \bar{z}) = 0$ is equivalent to $|z|^{n-1}e^{i(n+1)\varphi} = \frac{|b|}{|a|}e^{i(\beta-\alpha+\pi)}$. Hence, the zeros of P_1 are

$$z_0 = 0, \quad z_j = \left(\frac{|b|}{|a|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} e^{i\varphi_j}, \quad \varphi_j = \frac{\beta - \alpha + (2j+1)\pi}{n+1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

Thus, the largest magnitude of a zero of P_1 is $r_* = (|b|/|a|)^{1/(n-1)}$. The Lagrange and Cauchy bounds are $r_1 = \max\{1, |b|/|a|\}$ and $r_2 = 1 + \frac{|b|}{|a|}$, respectively. The auxiliary polynomial $q(t) = t^n - \frac{|b|}{|a|}t$ has the positive zero $r_0 = (|b|/|a|)^{1/(n-1)} = r_*$. If $|a| = |b|$, then $r_* = r_0 = r_1 < r_2$, which shows that the bounds r_0 and r_1 are sharp.




Figure 1: Phase plots of P_1 (left) and P_2 (right) in Examples 3.3 and 3.4 with $a = 1$, $b = 2$, and $n = 5$.

Example 3.4. We consider

$$P_2(z, \bar{z}) = az^n + b\bar{z}^{n-1} \quad (3.10)$$

with $n \geq 2$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Write $a = |a|e^{i\alpha}$, $b = |b|e^{i\beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. The zeros of P_2 , computed as for P_1 , are

$$z_0 = 0, \quad z_j = \frac{|b|}{|a|} e^{i\varphi_j}, \quad \varphi_j = \frac{\beta - \alpha + (2j + 1)\pi}{2n - 1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n - 1.$$

Thus, the optimal radius is $r_* = |b|/|a|$. The auxiliary polynomial $q(t) = t^n - \frac{|b|}{|a|}t^{n-1}$ has the unique positive zero $r_0 = |b|/|a| = r_*$. Moreover, $r_1 = \max\{1, |b|/|a|\}$ and $r_2 = 1 + |b|/|a|$. The relative error $(r_2 - r_*)/r_* = |a|/|b|$ can be arbitrarily small.

We visualize the polyanalytic polynomials P_1 and P_2 with a *phase plot*, in which the domain of a complex function f is colored according to its *phase* $f(z)/|f(z)|$; see [31, 32]. Here, we use a phase plot with a custom shading indicating the orientation of f . Recall that f is sense-preserving (orientation-preserving) at z if $J_f(z) > 0$, and sense-reversing (orientation-reversing) at z if $J_f(z) < 0$, where $J_f = |\partial_z f|^2 - |\partial_{\bar{z}} f|^2$ is the Jacobian of f ; see, e.g., [3, p. 45] or [10, p. 5]. In the phase plots, f is sense-preserving in the brighter regions and sense-reversing in the darker regions. Moreover, black dots mark the zeros of the functions. Figure 1 displays phase plots of P_1 (left) and P_2 (right). The polyanalytic polynomial P_1 is sense-reversing at its zero $z = 0$ and sense-preserving at the other zeros. Note that the colors appear in the same order around all zeros at which P_1 is sense-preserving and that this order is reversed at the zero $z = 0$ where P_1 is sense-reversing.




Figure 2: Phase plots of P_3 (left) and P_4 (right) from Examples 3.5 and 3.6.

The phase of P_2 behaves similarly, except at the origin where P_2 is singular (i.e., $J_{P_2}(0) = 0$) if $n \geq 3$. We observe that all colors appear $n - 1 = 4$ times around $z = 0$, indicating that the index of P_2 at the origin is $-(n - 1)$; see [3] for more on the indices of continuous functions and [26, 30] for the indices of harmonic functions.

In the previous examples, r_0 is an optimal bound on the magnitude of the zeros, but this is not always the case.

Example 3.5. The polyanalytic polynomial

$$P_3(z, \bar{z}) = z^2 + z + \bar{z} + 1 \quad (3.11)$$

has the three zeros -1 , i , and $-i$ (with indices 0, 1, and 1, respectively), hence $r_* = 1$. Here, $r_1 = \max\{1, 3\} = 3$, $r_2 = 1 + \max\{1, 2\} = 3$. The auxiliary polynomial $q(t) = t^2 - 2t - 1$ has the positive zero $r_0 = 1 + \sqrt{2}$. Thus, we have $r_* < r_0 < r_1 = r_2$ in this example.

In all examples above, Lagrange's bound is smaller than Cauchy's bound, i.e., $r_1 \leq r_2$, which does not hold in general.

Example 3.6. The Lagrange and Cauchy bounds for the polyanalytic polynomial

$$P_4(z, \bar{z}) = z^2 + z + \bar{z} + 2 \quad (3.12)$$

are $r_1 = 4 > 3 = r_2$. The zeros of P_4 are $\pm\sqrt{2}i$ (each with index 1), hence the optimal radius is $r_* = \sqrt{2}$.

Figure 2 shows phase plots of P_3 and P_4 with their zeros. Table 1 compares the optimal radius r_* and the radii r_0, r_1, r_2 for P_1, \dots, P_4 .

Function	r_*	r_0	r_1	r_2
$P_1(z, \bar{z}) = az^n + b\bar{z}$	$\left(\frac{ b }{ a }\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$	$\left(\frac{ b }{ a }\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$	$\max\left\{1, \frac{ b }{ a }\right\}$	$1 + \frac{ b }{ a }$
$P_2(z, \bar{z}) = az^n + b\bar{z}^{n-1}$	$\frac{ b }{ a }$	$\frac{ b }{ a }$	$\max\left\{1, \frac{ b }{ a }\right\}$	$1 + \frac{ b }{ a }$
$P_3(z, \bar{z}) = z^2 + z + \bar{z} + 1$	1	$1 + \sqrt{2}$	3	3
$P_4(z, \bar{z}) = z^2 + z + \bar{z} + 2$	$\sqrt{2}$	$1 + \sqrt{3}$	4	3

Table 1: Maximum magnitude r_* of the zeros and its bounds r_0, r_1, r_2 for P_1, \dots, P_4 in Examples 3.3–3.6.

4 Constructing extremal polyanalytic polynomials

This section is devoted to construct an *extremal* polyanalytic polynomial of degree n , i.e., with the maximum number of n^2 zeros; see Corollary 2.14. Moreover, we approximately locate its zeros. Previously, Bshouty, Hengartner, and Suez [7] and Wilmshurst [33, p. 2080] gave examples of extremal harmonic and therefore polyanalytic polynomials. Our construction is similar to the one in [7], but significantly simplified.

We iteratively define the harmonic polynomials $P_1(z, \bar{z}) = a_1\bar{z}$ and

$$P_n(z, \bar{z}) = \begin{cases} a_n z^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z}), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ a_n \bar{z}^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z}), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad n \geq 2, \quad (4.1)$$

with appropriate coefficients a_1, \dots, a_n . Our proof that P_n has n^2 zeros relies on the argument principle for continuous functions, and on results about the indices of harmonic functions at their zeros. Given P_{n-1} with $(n-1)^2$ zeros, we show that P_n has one zero close to each of the zeros of P_{n-1} , and that P_n has $2n-1$ additional zeros in a certain annulus surrounding the zeros of P_{n-1} , provided that $|a_n|$ is sufficiently small. The construction is illustrated in Figure 3.

Theorem 4.1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, such that the harmonic polynomial*

$$P_n(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} a_{2k} z^{2k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} a_{2k-1} \bar{z}^{2k-1} \quad (4.2)$$

has exactly n^2 distinct zeros.

Proof. The harmonic polynomial P_n in (4.2) has at most n^2 zeros by Corollary 2.14. We show inductively that P_n has n^2 zeros z_1, \dots, z_{n^2} and that the index of P_n at each zero is either 1 or -1 , i.e., $\text{ind}(P_n; z_j) = \pm 1$.

For $n = 1$ and any $a_1 \neq 0$, the harmonic polynomial $P_1(z, \bar{z}) = a_1 \bar{z}$ has the unique zero $z_1 = 0$. The Jacobian of P_1 is $J_{P_1}(z) = -a_1^2 < 0$, hence P_1 is sense-reversing at z_1 and $\text{ind}(P_1; z_1) = -1$; see [26, Prop. 2.7], [11, p. 413], or [30, p. 66].

For $n = 2$ and any $a_1, a_2 \neq 0$, the polynomial $P_2(z, \bar{z}) = a_2 z^2 + a_1 \bar{z}$ has the four zeros $z_1 = 0$ and $z_j = \frac{|a_1|}{|a_2|} e^{i\varphi_j}$ with $\varphi_j = (\arg(a_1/a_2) + (2j+1)\pi)/3$, $j = 2, 3, 4$; see Example 3.4. The Jacobian of P_2 is $J_{P_2}(z) = |2a_2 z|^2 - |a_1|^2$, hence $J_{P_2}(z_1) < 0$ and $J_{P_2}(z_j) > 0$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$. Therefore, $\text{ind}(P_2; z_1) = -1$ and $\text{ind}(P_2; z_j) = +1$ for $j = 2, 3, 4$.

For the induction step, assume for $n-1 \geq 2$ that P_{n-1} has $(n-1)^2$ zeros $z_1, \dots, z_{(n-1)^2}$ with $\text{ind}(P_{n-1}; z_j) = \pm 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, (n-1)^2$. If n is even, then P_{n-1} and P_n have the form $P_{n-1}(z) = a_{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} + P_{n-2}(z)$ and

$$P_n(z, \bar{z}) = a_n z^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z}).$$

Since $\partial_z P_n(z, \bar{z}) = n a_n z^{n-1} + \partial_z P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})$ depends on a_n while $\partial_{\bar{z}} P_n$ does not, it is possible to choose a_n such that $\partial_z P_n$ and $\partial_{\bar{z}} P_n$ have no common zero. Then the index of P_n at a zero z satisfies $\text{ind}(P_n; z) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$; see [28, p. 67].

Next, we show that P_n has n^2 zeros and that the index of P_n at the zeros is ± 1 for sufficiently small $|a_n| \neq 0$ in two steps: (i) P_n has a zero close to each of the $(n-1)^2$ zeros of P_{n-1} , and (ii) P_n has $2n-1$ additional zeros. In the following, let $D_\delta(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z-a| < \delta\}$ denote the open disk with radius $\delta > 0$ and center $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

(i) Using Rouché's theorem (see [3, p. 37] or [24, Thm. 2.3]), we prove that $P_n(z, \bar{z}) = a_n z^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})$ has a zero close to each zero of P_{n-1} for sufficiently small $|a_n| \neq 0$. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $D_\delta(z_j) \cap D_\delta(z_k) = \emptyset$ for $j, k = 1, \dots, (n-1)^2$, $j \neq k$. Note that $0 \notin \partial D_\delta(z_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, (n-1)^2$, since 0 is one of the zeros of P_{n-1} . Define

$$m = \min_{j=1, \dots, (n-1)^2} \min_{z \in \partial D_\delta(z_j)} \frac{|P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})|}{|z^n|} > 0.$$

For $0 < |a_n| < m$ and for each $j = 1, \dots, (n-1)^2$, we have

$$|P_n(z, \bar{z}) - P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})| = |a_n z^n| < |P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})| \quad \text{for } z \in \partial D_\delta(z_j).$$

Hence, P_n and P_{n-1} have the same winding on $\partial D_\delta(z_j)$ by Rouché's theorem. By the argument principle for harmonic functions (see, e.g., [26, Thm. 2.5]),

$$\text{wind}(P_{n-1}; \partial D_\delta(z_j)) = \text{ind}(P_{n-1}; z_j) = \pm 1.$$

Next, we use the argument principle for P_n . Since $|\text{ind}(P_n; z)| \leq 1$ holds at a zero of P_n , there exists at least one zero of P_n with index $\text{ind}(P_{n-1}; z_j) = \pm 1$ in each disk $D_\delta(z_j)$.

(ii) We show that P_n has $2n - 1$ additional zeros. Let $r_{n-1} > 0$ such that all zeros of P_{n-1} are in the interior of the circle $C_{n-1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r_{n-1}\}$. Then the winding of P_{n-1} along C_{n-1} , oriented in the positive sense, is $\text{wind}(P_{n-1}; C_{n-1}) = -(n - 1)$. For $0 < |a_n| < r_{n-1}^{-n} \min_{z \in C_{n-1}} |P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})|$, we have

$$|P_n(z, \bar{z}) - P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})| = |a_n z^n| < |P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})| \quad \text{for } z \in C_{n-1}.$$

Hence, by Rouché's theorem,

$$\text{wind}(P_n; C_{n-1}) = \text{wind}(P_{n-1}; C_{n-1}) = -(n - 1).$$

Let $r_n > r_{n-1}$ such that the zeros of P_n satisfy $|z| < r_n$ and let $C_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r_n\}$. Then $\text{wind}(P_n; C_n) = n$ and the winding of P_n along the boundary of the annulus $A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_{n-1} < |z| < r_n\}$ is

$$\text{wind}(P_n; \partial A) = n - (-(n - 1)) = 2n - 1,$$

where ∂A is oriented such that A lies to the left. By the argument principle, and since $|\text{ind}(P_n; z)| \leq 1$ at zeros of P_n , there are at least $2n - 1$ zeros of P_n in A with $\text{ind}(P_n; z) = +1$.

Combining (i) and (ii), P_n has at least $(n - 1)^2 + 2n - 1 = n^2$ zeros and, together with Corollary 2.14, P_n has exactly n^2 zeros. More precisely, P_n has exactly one zero in each disk $D_\delta(z_j)$ (each with index $\text{ind}(P_{n-1}; z_j) = \pm 1$), and exactly $2n - 1$ zeros in the annulus A (each with index $+1$).

Finally, if n is odd, then $\overline{P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})} = a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + P_{n-2}(z, \bar{z})$ and the above reasoning applies to $\overline{P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})}$, i.e., there exists $a_n \neq 0$ such that $a_n z^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})$ has n^2 zeros. Therefore, $P_n(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{a}_n \bar{z}^n + P_{n-1}(z, \bar{z})$ has n^2 zeros. Note that, due to taking the complex conjugate, the indices of P_n at its zeros in A are -1 instead of $+1$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.2. Truncating the lower order terms of P_k yields the polynomial

$$Q_k(z, \bar{z}) = a_k z^k + a_{k-1} \bar{z}^{k-1}, \quad k = 2, \dots, n, \quad (4.3)$$

which has the zeros $\zeta_{1,1} = 0$ and

$$\zeta_{k,j} = \frac{|a_{k-1}|}{|a_k|} \exp\left(i \frac{\arg(a_{k-1}/a_k) + (2j + 1)\pi}{2k - 1}\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2k - 1; \quad (4.4)$$

see Example 3.4. These are located on the circle $|z| = |a_{k-1}|/|a_k|$ with equispaced angles. If $|a_1|, |a_2|, \dots, |a_n|$ are sufficiently small, the zeros of P_n are approximately located at the points $\zeta_{k,j}$. Moreover, using the Newton-Kantorovich theorem, one can show that the Newton iteration with initial point $\zeta_{k,j}$ converges to a zero of P_n , and every zero of P_n is obtained in this way. We omit the details and refer to [25, Sect. 4.1].




Figure 3: Phase plots of $P_n \circ \phi$ for $n = 2, \dots, 10$ in Example 4.3.

Example 4.3. We consider P_n in (4.2), $n = 2, \dots, 10$, with

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 1, & a_2 &= 1, & a_3 &= 10^{-1}, & a_4 &= 10^{-3}, & a_5 &= 10^{-6}, \\ a_6 &= 10^{-10}, & a_7 &= 10^{-16}, & a_8 &= 10^{-24}, & a_9 &= 10^{-34}, & a_{10} &= 10^{-47}, \end{aligned}$$

and visualize them with phase plots; see Section 3 for details. Since the coefficients a_k decrease exponentially, the radii $|a_{k-1}|/|a_k|$ in (4.4) become very large. To nevertheless visualize all zeros and critical curves of P_n in the same plot, we display the phase of $P_n \circ \phi$ with the sense-preserving homeomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\phi(z) = z \exp(|z|^2)$, instead of P_n , contracting the complex plane. Figure 3 shows phase plots of $P_n \circ \phi$ for $n = 2, \dots, 10$, each with n^2 zeros, and illustrates the iterative process described in the proof of Theorem 4.1; see also Figure 4 for $n = 10$. The approximate circles with the zeros of P_n and the critical curves, as well as the distorted annuli on which P_n has the same orientation, are apparent in all plots. For the




Figure 4: Phase plot of $P_{10} \circ \phi$ in Example 4.3.

numerical computation of the zeros, we used our MATLAB-implementation of the (harmonic) Newton method [25] with initial points $\zeta_{k,j}$ in (4.4). The computation yields approximations $z_{k,j}$ of the 100 zeros of P_{10} with a very small maximum relative residual

$$\max_{k=1,\dots,10} \max_{j=1,\dots,2k-1} \left| \frac{P_{10}(z_{k,j}, \bar{z}_{k,j})}{a_k z_{k,j}^k} \right| = 1.4151 \cdot 10^{-15},$$

which is close to machine precision.

Remark 4.4. It would be interesting to study the minimum number of nonzero coefficients $\alpha_{j,k-j}$ that are needed, such that the polyanalytic polynomial (1.2) has the maximum number of zeros. In general, a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n has $(n+1)(n+2)/2$ coefficients. While the extremal polynomial of Wilmshurst [33, p. 2080] has $2n$ nonzero coefficients, and the

one of Bshouty, Hengartner, and Suez [7] has $n + 1$ nonzero coefficients, our construction in (4.2) requires n nonzero coefficients, and leads to a more sparse polynomial. We conjecture that n is the minimum number of nonzero coefficients such that a polyanalytic polynomial of degree n can have the maximum number of n^2 zeros.

5 Wilmschurst's problem

By the fundamental theorem of algebra, every analytic polynomial of degree $n \geq 0$ has exactly n zeros counted with multiplicities. The search for a similar result on the number of zeros of harmonic polynomials $p(z) + \overline{q(z)}$, which takes the individual degrees of p and q into account, was initiated by Sheil-Small [27, p. 19] in 1992; see also [28, p. 50 ff.].

Since then, it has become a highly active research topic over the past decades. Among the most important results are the publications [12, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 26, 33]. In this section, we discuss a possible extension to polyanalytic polynomials.

For $n > m \geq 1$, denote

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,m} = \{p(z) + \overline{q(z)} : \deg(p) = n, \deg(q) = m\}. \quad (5.1)$$

By Corollary 2.14, the number of zeros of $P \in \mathcal{H}_{n,m}$, denoted by $N(P)$, satisfies $N(P) \leq n^2$. Hence, the *maximal valence* of harmonic polynomials,

$$V(\mathcal{H}_{n,m}) = \max_{P \in \mathcal{H}_{n,m}} N(P) \leq n^2, \quad (5.2)$$

is finite. As mentioned in the introduction, Wilmschurst's conjecture (1.6) is in general not true. We therefore refer to bounding $V(\mathcal{H}_{n,m})$ in terms of n and m as *Wilmschurst's problem*. Note that Lee, Lerario, and Lundberg, who disproved (1.6), conjectured instead

$$V(\mathcal{H}_{n,m}) \leq n + 2m(n - 1), \quad (5.3)$$

which is larger than n^2 for $m > \frac{n}{2}$, and hence, even if true, not optimal; see [19, Conj. 1.4] and also [20].

Next, we consider for $n > m \geq 1$ the set of polyanalytic polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,m} = \{p(z) + Q(z, \bar{z}) : \deg(p) = n, \deg(Q) = m\}. \quad (5.4)$$

In contrast to $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}$, nonzero mixed terms $\alpha_{j,k-j} z^j \bar{z}^{k-j}$ with $k \leq m$ in (1.2) are allowed. Any $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ has at most n^2 zeros by Corollary 2.14, i.e., also the maximal valence of $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$,

$$V(\mathcal{P}_{n,m}) = \max_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,m}} N(P) \leq n^2, \quad (5.5)$$

is finite. Moreover, since $\mathcal{H}_{n,m} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n,m}$, we have

$$V(\mathcal{H}_{n,m}) \leq V(\mathcal{P}_{n,m}), \quad n > m \geq 1. \quad (5.6)$$

For which n and m does equality in (5.6) hold? The next theorem states that we have $V(\mathcal{H}_{n,m}) = V(\mathcal{P}_{n,m})$ for $m = 1$ and $m = n - 1$, which are the only cases where $V(\mathcal{H}_{n,m})$ is known.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then the following holds:*

- (i) $V(\mathcal{H}_{n,1}) = V(\mathcal{P}_{n,1}) = 3n - 2$,
- (ii) $V(\mathcal{H}_{n,n-1}) = V(\mathcal{P}_{n,n-1}) = n^2$.

Proof. (i) Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,1}$, i.e., $P(z, \bar{z}) = p(z) + \alpha_{1,0}z + \alpha_{0,1}\bar{z} + \alpha_{0,0}$. If $\alpha_{0,1} = 0$, then P is an analytic polynomial, and hence has at most n distinct zeros. If $\alpha_{0,1} \neq 0$, then consider $Q(z, \bar{z}) = \alpha_{0,1}^{-1}P(z, \bar{z}) = \tilde{p}(z) + \bar{z}$. The number of zeros of Q , and therefore also of P , is at most $3n - 2$ by [17] and this bound is attained for some harmonic polynomial [12], which shows (i).

(ii) By (5.5) and (5.6), we have $V(\mathcal{H}_{n,n-1}) \leq V(\mathcal{P}_{n,n-1}) \leq n^2$. Moreover, there exists a $P \in \mathcal{H}_{n,n-1}$ with n^2 zeros, e.g., the polynomial in Theorem 4.1 or Wilmschurst's polynomial [33, p. 2080]. This establishes (ii). \square

We can represent harmonic and polyanalytic polynomials as trigonometric polynomials of order n by writing $z = re^{i\varphi}$ with $r \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$. A harmonic polynomial then has the form

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = p(z) + \overline{q(z)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{-k} \bar{z}^k = \sum_{k=-n}^n \beta_k r^{|k|} e^{ik\varphi}, \quad (5.7)$$

see also [28, Sect. 2.6.9], while a polyanalytic polynomial has the form

$$P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_{j,k-j} z^j \bar{z}^{k-j} = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_{j,k-j} r^k e^{i(2j-k)\varphi}. \quad (5.8)$$

For a harmonic polynomial the coefficient of $e^{ik\varphi}$ is $\beta_k r^{|k|}$, while for the polyanalytic polynomial the coefficient of $e^{ik\varphi}$ is a polynomial p_k in r of degree at most $|k|$. Note that p_k is even if k is even and odd if k is odd. Despite this difference, the authors would not be surprised if $V(\mathcal{H}_{n,m}) = V(\mathcal{P}_{n,m})$ holds for all $n > m \geq 1$, i.e., that the absence of mixed terms $\alpha_{j,k-j} z^j \bar{z}^{k-j}$ does not decrease the maximal valence. Proving an upper bound on the maximum number of zeros of harmonic polynomials, and thus further advancing the work of Sheil-Small and others, may not rely exclusively on harmonicity instead of polyanalyticity.

Conflict of interest. The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

- [1] L. D. ABREU AND H. G. FEICHTINGER, *Function spaces of polyanalytic functions*, in Harmonic and complex analysis and its applications, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 1–38.
- [2] M. B. BALK, *The fundamental theorem of algebra for polyanalytic polynomials (in Russian)*, Litovsk. Mat. Sb., 8 (1968), pp. 401–404.
- [3] M. B. BALK, *Polyanalytic Functions*, vol. 63 of Mathematical Research, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [4] M. B. BALK, *Polyanalytic functions and their generalizations*, in Complex analysis, I, vol. 85 of Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 195–253.
- [5] C. BÉNÉTEAU AND N. HUDSON, *A survey on the maximal number of solutions of equations related to gravitational lensing*, in Complex analysis and dynamical systems, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 23–38.
- [6] W. BOSCH AND P. KRAJKIEWICZ, *The big Picard theorem for polyanalytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 26 (1970), pp. 145–150.
- [7] D. BSHOUTY, W. HENGARTNER, AND T. SUEZ, *The exact bound on the number of zeros of harmonic polynomials*, J. Anal. Math., 67 (1995), pp. 207–218.
- [8] A. L. CAUCHY, *Exercices de Mathématiques, in Œuvres complètes, Série 2, Vol. 9*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1829.
- [9] P. J. DAVIS, *The Schwarz function and its applications*, The Mathematical Association of America, Buffalo, NY, 1974.
- [10] P. DUREN, *Harmonic mappings in the plane*, vol. 156 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [11] P. DUREN, W. HENGARTNER, AND R. S. LAUGESEN, *The argument principle for harmonic functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 103 (1996), pp. 411–415.
- [12] L. GEYER, *Sharp bounds for the valence of certain harmonic polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136 (2008), pp. 549–555.
- [13] P. HENRICI, *Applied and computational complex analysis*, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1974.
- [14] M. HUHTANEN, *Orthogonal polyanalytic polynomials and normal matrices*, Math. Comp., 72 (2003), pp. 355–373.
- [15] M. HUHTANEN AND R. M. LARSEN, *Exclusion and inclusion regions for the eigenvalues of a normal matrix*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 23 (2002), pp. 1070–1091.
- [16] D. KHAVINSON, E. LUNDBERG, AND S. PERRY, *On the valence of logharmonic polynomials*, in Recent Progress in Function Theory and Operator Theory, vol. 799 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2024, pp. 23–40.

- [17] D. KHAVINSON AND G. ŚWIĄTEK, *On the number of zeros of certain harmonic polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 131 (2003), pp. 409–414.
- [18] P. KRAJKIEWICZ AND W. BOSCH, *Polyanalytic functions with equal modulus*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 23 (1969), pp. 127–132.
- [19] S.-Y. LEE, A. LERARIO, AND E. LUNDBERG, *Remarks on Wilmshurst’s theorem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 64 (2015), pp. 1153–1167.
- [20] E. LUNDBERG, *The valence of harmonic polynomials viewed through the probabilistic lens*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 151 (2023), pp. 2963–2973.
- [21] M. MARDEN, *Geometry of polynomials*, vol. No. 3 of Mathematical Surveys, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second ed., 1966.
- [22] L. PANAITOPOL AND D. ŞTEFĂNESCU, *On the generalized difference polynomials*, Pacific J. Math., 143 (1990), pp. 341–348.
- [23] R. PERETZ AND J. SCHMID, *On the zero sets of certain complex polynomials*, in Proceedings of the Ashkelon Workshop on Complex Function Theory (1996), vol. 11 of Israel Math. Conf. Proc., Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1997, pp. 203–208.
- [24] O. SÈTE, R. LUCE, AND J. LIESEN, *Perturbing rational harmonic functions by poles*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 15 (2015), pp. 9–35.
- [25] O. SÈTE AND J. ZUR, *A Newton method for harmonic mappings in the plane*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 40 (2020), pp. 2777–2801.
- [26] O. SÈTE AND J. ZUR, *Number and location of pre-images under harmonic mappings in the plane*, Ann. Fenn. Math., 46 (2021), pp. 225–247.
- [27] T. SHEIL-SMALL, *Tagungsbericht*, in Funktionentheorie, Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, 1992, pp. 18–19.
- [28] T. SHEIL-SMALL, *Complex Polynomials*, vol. 75 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [29] L. SORBER, M. VAN BAREL, AND L. DE LATHAUWER, *Numerical solution of bivariate and polyanalytic polynomial systems*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 52 (2014), pp. 1551–1572.
- [30] T. J. SUFFRIDGE AND J. W. THOMPSON, *Local behavior of harmonic mappings*, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 41 (2000), pp. 63–80.
- [31] E. WEGERT, *Visual complex functions. An introduction with phase portraits.*, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012.
- [32] E. WEGERT AND G. SEMMLER, *Phase plots of complex functions: a journey in illustration*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 58 (2011), pp. 768–780.
- [33] A. S. WILMSHURST, *The valence of harmonic polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 126 (1998), pp. 2077–2081.