

Naoto Shiraishi

# Absence of local conserved quantity in the Heisenberg model with next-nearest-neighbor interaction

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** We rigorously prove that the Heisenberg chain with next-nearest-neighbor interaction, which is anticipated to be non-integrable, is indeed non-integrable in the sense that this system has no nontrivial local conserved quantity. Our result covers two important models, the Majumdar-Ghosh model and the Shastry-Sutherland model, as special cases. These models are shown to be non-integrable while have some solvable energy eigenstates.

**Keywords** Integrable systems · Heisenberg chain · Majumdar-Ghosh model · local integral of motion

## Contents

1	Introduction . . . . .	1
2	Problem and general strategy . . . . .	2
2.1	Claim . . . . .	2
2.2	Proof idea . . . . .	4
2.3	Symbols and terms (1) . . . . .	4
3	XYZ model with Z magnetic field: a review . . . . .	6
3.1	Commutators generating $k + 1$ -support operators . . . . .	6
3.2	Symbols and terms (2) . . . . .	9
3.3	Commutators generating $k$ -support operators . . . . .	10
4	Heisenberg model with next-nearest-neighbor interaction . . . . .	13
4.1	Commutators generating $k + 2$ -support operators . . . . .	13
4.2	Commutators generating $k + 1$ -support operators . . . . .	14
4.3	Commutators generating $k$ -support operators . . . . .	16
4.4	Extension to systems without translation invariance . . . . .	19
5	Discussion . . . . .	20
A	Translation invariance of local conserved quantity . . . . .	20

## 1 Introduction

Integrable systems, or exactly solvable systems, are special quantum many-body systems whose energy eigenstates can be computed exactly [1–4]. The structure behind solvability is the existence of (infinitely many) local conserved quantities, which decomposes the Hilbert space and helps to construct energy eigenstates [5–10]. An established method to obtain local conserved quantities in integrable systems is the quantum inverse scattering method [2], which algebraically reproduces the solutions obtained

by the Bethe ansatz. The quantum inverse scattering method has revealed the integrability of the Heisenberg model, the XXZ model, and various more complex systems [11–13].

In contrast to the aforementioned deep understanding of integrable systems, very few studies have tackled non-integrable systems. Here, we identify non-integrability to the absence of nontrivial local conserved quantity. Non-integrability is a necessary condition for application of the Kubo formula in the linear response theory [14–17] and for the existence of normal transport [18] and thermalization phenomena [19–22], which suggests the importance of clarifying non-integrability of quantum many-body systems. In spite of its importance and expected ubiquitousness of non-integrable systems, quantum non-integrability has not been studied from an analytical viewpoint for a long time (A notable exception is [9], while its attempt is heuristic and relies on some plausible assumptions).

Recently, the author invented a method to prove non-integrability of quantum many-body systems, with which the XYZ model with Z magnetic field is proven to be non-integrable [23]. By applying this method, the mixed-field Ising chain [24] and the PXP model [25] were also shown to be non-integrable. However, these three applications are presented as craftsmanship, and the potential power and the general structure of this method has not yet been clarified.

In this paper, we prove the non-integrability of the Heisenberg model and the XYZ model with next-nearest-neighbor interactions. We show that if all of the next-nearest-neighbor interactions (X, Y, and Z) are nonzero and one of the nearest-neighbor interactions with X, Y, and Z is nonzero, then this system has no nontrivial local conserved quantity. Our setup includes an important model, the Majumdar-Ghosh model [26], as its special case. The Majumdar-Ghosh model is a famous frustration-free system, whose ground state and several excited energy eigenstates can be solved exactly [27–29]. With combining our result and these established facts, the Majumdar-Ghosh model is rigorously proven to be an interesting system where several energy eigenstates are solvable but most of energy eigenstates are unsolvable, at least by the quantum inverse scattering method. With a slight extension, the Shastry-Sutherland model [30] is also shown to have no local conserved quantity.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2.1, we rigorously define the local conserved quantity and its absence and present our main theorems. We claim that the XYZ chain with Z magnetic field and the Heisenberg chain with next-nearest-neighbor interaction have no local conserved quantity. Although the non-integrability of the former has already been shown in [23], in this paper we provide a pedagogical explanation of this proof since this proof serves as a prototype of other applications. In Sec. 2.2, we explain the proof method for the absence of local conserved quantity: Expanding a candidate of a local conserved quantity by the basis with the Pauli matrices and the identity operator, we demonstrate that all of the coefficients of these operators are zero by using the fact that it commutes with the Hamiltonian. In Sec. 2.3, we introduce several useful symbols employed in our proofs.

In Sec. 3, we review the proof of the absence of local conserved quantity in the XYZ chain with Z magnetic field. Since some of its proof idea is directly extended to our main result in Sec. 4, we explain this proof in a pedagogical and systematic way. In Sec. 3.1, we restrict a possible form of nonzero coefficients. In Sec. 3.2 we introduce several symbols, and using them, in Sec. 3.3 we demonstrate that all the remaining coefficients have zero coefficient, which completes our proof.

Sec. 4 is our main part, where we prove the absence of local conserved quantity in the Heisenberg chain with next-nearest-neighbor interaction. In Sec. 4.1 and Sec. 4.2, we restrict a possible form of nonzero coefficients, along with a similar line to Sec. 3.1. In Sec. 4.3, with using symbols introduced in Sec. 3.2, we demonstrate that all the remaining coefficients have zero coefficients, which completes our proof. We slightly extend our result in Sec. 4.4, with which the Shastry-Sutherland model is covered.

## 2 Problem and general strategy

### 2.1 Claim

The aim of this paper is to prove the absence of local conserved quantity, which we employ as the definitions of the quantum non-integrability in this paper, in two spin models which are considered to be non-integrable. One is the standard  $S = 1/2$  XYZ spin chain on  $L$  sites with a magnetic field in  $z$ -direction with the periodic boundary condition. By denoting by  $X, Y, Z$  the Pauli matrices  $\sigma^x, \sigma^y,$

$\sigma^z$ , the Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^L [J^X X_i X_{i+1} + J^Y Y_i Y_{i+1} + J^Z Z_i Z_{i+1}] - \sum_{i=1}^L h Z_i \quad (1)$$

with setting all the coupling constants  $J^X$ ,  $J^Y$ ,  $J^Z$  nonzero. Here, we identify site  $L + 1$  to site 1, meaning the periodic boundary condition. We call this model as *XYZ+h model* in short. The non-integrability of XYZ+h model with  $J_X \neq J_Y$  and  $h \neq 0$  is shown in [23]. We review this result in Sec. 3.

The other model we treat is the  $S = 1/2$  XYZ model with next-nearest-neighbor interaction, which is the main subject of this paper. The Hamiltonian of this model is expanded as

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^L [J_1^X X_i X_{i+1} + J_1^Y Y_i Y_{i+1} + J_1^Z Z_i Z_{i+1}] + \sum_{i=1}^L [J_2^X X_i X_{i+2} + J_2^Y Y_i Y_{i+2} + J_2^Z Z_i Z_{i+2}], \quad (2)$$

where, we identify sites  $L + 1$  and  $L + 2$  to sites 1 and 2, implying the periodic boundary condition. We call this model as *NNN-XYZ model* in short. If  $J_1^a$  and  $J_2^a$  ( $a \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ ) do not depend on the direction  $a$ , this Hamiltonian is isotropic and reduces to the Heisenberg-type interaction. In particular, the Majumdar-Ghosh model [26] is included as a special case. We suppose that all of  $J_2^X, J_2^Y, J_2^Z$  are nonzero. We treat NNN-XYZ model in Sec. 4, where the proof technique presented in Sec. 3 is essential.

In order to state our claim in a rigorous manner, we first clarify the notion of the locality of operators.

**Definition 1** An operator  $C$  is a *k-support operator* if its contiguous support is among  $k$  sites.

Let us see several examples. With promising that the subscript of an operator represents the site it acts, an operator  $X_4 Y_5 Z_6$  is a 3-support operator and  $X_2 X_5$  is a 4-support operator, since the contiguous support of the latter is  $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . In case without confusion, we call the shift-sum of  $k$ -support operators also simply as a *k-support operator*.

We express a sequence of  $l$  operators with  $A \in \{X, Y, Z, I\}$  starting from site  $i$  to site  $i + l - 1$  by a shorthand symbol  $\mathbf{A}_i^l := A_i^1 A_{i+1}^2 \cdots A_{i+l-1}^l$ . We promise that the first and the last operators  $A^1$  and  $A^l$  take one of the Pauli operators ( $X, Y$ , or  $Z$ ), not an identity operator  $I$ , while other operators  $A^2, \dots, A^{l-1}$  are one of  $\{X, Y, Z, I\}$ . Using this symbol, a candidate of a shift-invariant local conserved quantity can be expressed as

$$Q = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{\mathbf{A}^l} \sum_{i=1}^L q_{\mathbf{A}^l} \mathbf{A}_i^l \quad (3)$$

with coefficients  $q_{\mathbf{A}^l} \in \mathbb{R}$ . The sum of  $\mathbf{A}^l$  runs over all possible  $9 \times 4^{l-2}$  sequences of operators from  $XX \cdots XX$  to  $ZI \cdots IZ$ . The Pauli matrices and the identity span the space of  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrices, which confirms that the above form covers all possible shift invariant quantities whose contiguous support of summand is less than or equal to  $k$ .

**Definition 2** An operator  $Q$  in the form of Eq. (3) is a *k-support conserved quantity* if (i)  $Q$  is conserved, (ii) one of  $q_{\mathbf{A}^k}$  is nonzero, and (iii) all  $q_{\mathbf{A}^l}$  with  $l \geq k + 1$  are zero.

Conventionally, a *local* conserved quantity refers to as a  $k$ -support conserved quantity with  $k = O(1)$  with respect to the system size  $L$ . Our main results exclude a much larger class of conserved quantities, including some  $k = O(L)$  cases.

**Theorem 1** *The XYZ+h model with  $J^X \neq J^Y$  and  $h \neq 0$  has no k-support conserved quantity with  $3 \leq k \leq L/2$ .*

**Theorem 2** *The NNN-XYZ model with  $J_2^X, J_2^Y, J_2^Z \neq 0$  and  $J_1^Z \neq 0$  has no k-support conserved quantity with  $3 \leq k \leq L/2 - 1$ .*

These results demonstrate that all nontrivial conserved quantities in these models are highly non-local, implying that the quantum inverse scattering method never solve these models. We note that the upper bound of  $k$  is almost tight because the square of the Hamiltonian,  $H^2$ , is a  $L/2 + 2$ -support conserved quantity in the XYZ+h model, and is a  $L/2 + 3$ -support conserved quantity in the NNN-XYZ model.

We note that although we restrict possible conserved quantities in the shift-invariant form, this restriction does not decrease the generality of our result. We explain its intuitive reason below and rigorously justify it in Appendix. Suppose that  $Q$  is not shift invariant. Then, by defining  $T$  as the shift operator by one site,  $\sum_{j=1}^L T^j Q$  is also a conserved quantity and is now shift-invariant. Hence, it suffices to treat shift-invariant conserved quantities.

## 2.2 Proof idea

Let  $\mu$  be the size of the maximum contiguous support of  $H$ , which is two in the XYZ+h model and is three in the NNN-XYZ model. We first notice that the commutator of a  $k$ -support operator  $Q$  and  $H$  is an at most  $k + \mu - 1$ -support operator, which guarantees the expansion as

$$[Q, H] = \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} \sum_{\mathbf{B}^l} \sum_{i=1}^L r_{\mathbf{B}^l} \mathbf{B}_i^l. \quad (4)$$

The conservation of  $Q$  implies  $r_{\mathbf{B}^l} = 0$  for any  $\mathbf{B}^l$ , which leads to many constraints (linear relations) on  $q_{\mathbf{A}}$  in Eq. (3) by comparing both sides of Eq. (4). Our goal is to show that these linear relations do not have nontrivial solutions except for  $q_{\mathbf{A}^k} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{A}^k$ .

Our proof consists of two steps.

- a. We examine the condition  $r_{\mathbf{B}^{k+\mu-1}} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{B}^{k+n}$  from  $n = \mu - 1$  to  $n = 1$ , and show that the coefficients of  $\mathbf{A}^k$  except those in a specific form are zero. At the same time, we also compute explicit expressions of the remaining coefficients of  $\mathbf{A}^k$ . In particular, we show that if one of the remaining coefficient is zero, then all the remaining coefficients must be zero.
- b. We examine the conditions for  $r_{\mathbf{B}^k} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{B}^k$ , and show that one of the remaining coefficient of  $\mathbf{A}^k$  is zero.

The latter step is highly model-dependent, and we need elaborated constructions for the XYZ+h model and the NNN-XYZ model separately. In contrast, the former steps for these models are almost the same.

## 2.3 Symbols and terms (1)

We introduce some symbols and terms to describe commutators. When a commutation relation  $[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C}] = c\mathbf{D}$  holds with a number coefficient  $c$ , we say that the operator  $\mathbf{D}$  is *generated* by the commutator of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$ . In our proof, we clarify what commutators generate a given operator and derive a relation of coefficients of operators in these commutators.

Consider the XYZ+h model and a candidate of conserved quantity  $Q$  with  $k = 4$ . A 5-support operator  $X_i Y_{i+1} X_{i+2} Y_{i+3} X_{i+4}$  in  $[Q, H]$ , for example, is generated by the following two commutators:

$$-i[X_i Y_{i+1} X_{i+2} Z_{i+3}, X_{i+3} X_{i+4}] = 2X_i Y_{i+1} X_{i+2} Y_{i+3} X_{i+4}, \quad (5)$$

$$-i[Z_{i+1} X_{i+2} Y_{i+3} X_{i+4}, X_i X_{i+1}] = 2X_i Y_{i+1} X_{i+2} Y_{i+3} X_{i+4}, \quad (6)$$

where we dropped  $\sum_i$ , the summation over  $i$ , for visibility. In case without confusion, we also drop subscripts for brevity. We visualize these two commutation relations similarly to the column addition as follows:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{cccc} X & Y & X & Z \\ & & X & X \\ \hline 2 & X & Y & X & Y & X \end{array}}{\quad} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{cccc} Z & X & Y & X \\ & & X & X \\ \hline 2 & X & Y & X & Y & X \end{array}}{\quad}.$$

Here, two arguments of the commutator are written above the horizontal line, and the result of the commutator (including the imaginary number  $i$ ) is written below the horizontal line. The horizontal positions in this visualization represent the spatial positions of spin operators.

The operator  $XYXYX$  in  $[Q, H]$  is generated only by the above two commutators, from which we say that  $XYXZ$  and  $ZXZY$  form a *pair*. Then, the condition  $r_{XYXYX} = 0$  implies the following relation

$$q_{XYXZ} + q_{ZXYX} = 0. \quad (7)$$

We next introduce a useful symbol  $\overline{A}_i := A_i A_{i+1}$ , which we call as *doubling-product*. Promising that a neighboring doubling-product has its support with single-site shift, we can express some of operators as follows:

$$\overline{XYX}_i = c(X_i X_{i+1})(Y_{i+1} Y_{i+2})(X_{i+2} X_{i+3}) = X_i Z_{i+1} Z_{i+2} X_{i+3}, \quad (8)$$

where  $c$  takes one of  $\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$  to make its coefficient 1. We require that the same doubling-products cannot be neighboring (e.g.,  $\overline{XXZ}$  is not allowed). We call operators expressed in the above form as *doubling-product operators*. Since the coefficient  $c$  removes signs, we naturally introduce the *singless product* of Pauli operators defined as

$$XY = YX = Z, \quad (9)$$

$$YZ = ZY = X, \quad (10)$$

$$XZ = ZX = Y. \quad (11)$$

To highlight the power of the expression with doubling-products, we write a doubling-product operator  $\overline{ABC \cdots D}$  as

$$\begin{array}{c} A A \\ B B \\ C C \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\underline{D D}} \end{array}, \quad (12)$$

which we call a *column expression* of  $\overline{ABC \cdots D}$ . Here, the double horizontal line means multiplication of all the operators. Keep in mind not to confuse a single horizontal line, representing a commutation relation. Single and double horizontal lines are frequently used at the same time as

$$\begin{array}{c} A A \\ B B \\ C C \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\underline{D D}} \\ \underline{\underline{E E}} \end{array}, \quad (13)$$

which represents the commutator  $[\overline{ABC \cdots D}, \overline{E}]$ . The column expression can be easily extended to operators which are not doubling-product operators.

We finally introduce symbols specifying the *signs* of doubling-product operators. The sign of a doubling-product operator  $\sigma(\overline{XYX \cdots})$  is defined by the following rule: We assign

$$\overline{XY}, \overline{YZ}, \overline{ZX} \rightarrow 1, \quad (14)$$

$$\overline{YX}, \overline{ZY}, \overline{XZ} \rightarrow -1, \quad (15)$$

and multiply these values for all neighboring doubling-products. For example, we have  $\sigma(\overline{XYXZ}) = 1$ , which follows from  $\overline{XY} \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\overline{YX} \rightarrow -1$ ,  $\overline{XZ} \rightarrow -1$ , and  $1(-1)(-1) = 1$ . Using this sign, the coefficient  $c$  appearing in the computation of doubling-product operators (e.g., in Eq. (8)) consisting of  $M$  doubling-products is computed as

$$c = (i)^M \sigma(\overline{\mathbf{A}}). \quad (16)$$

Similarly, we denote the sign of a commutator  $[A, B]$  including  $-i$  by  $s([A, B])$ :

$$-i[A, B] = 2s([A, B]) \cdot (\text{signless product of } A \text{ and } B). \quad (17)$$

For example,  $s([\overline{Z}_i, \overline{Y}_{i+1}]) = -1$ , which follows from  $-i[\overline{Z}_i, \overline{Y}_{i+1}] = -2ZXY$ . We also express the argument of  $s$  in the form with the horizontal line as

$$s([XZY_i, ZZ_{i+2}]) = s\left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & Z & Y \\ & Z & Z \end{array}\right) = 1. \quad (18)$$

### 3 XYZ model with Z magnetic field: a review

#### 3.1 Commutators generating $k + 1$ -support operators

We shall show that the analysis on commutators generating  $k + 1$ -support operators leads to the following consequence:

**Lemma 1** *A  $k$ -support conserved quantity  $Q = \sum_{\mathbf{A}} \sum_i q_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}_i$  has coefficients of  $k$ -support operator  $\mathbf{A}$  as*

$$q_{\mathbf{A}} = c \cdot \sigma(\overline{\mathbf{B}}) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} J^{B_i} \quad (19)$$

for a doubling-product operator  $\mathbf{A} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \overline{B}_i$  with a constant  $c$ , and  $q_{\mathbf{A}} = 0$  otherwise.

We also express  $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} J^{B_i}$  by  $J^{\overline{\mathbf{B}}}$ .

We first treat the case that  $\mathbf{A}$  is a doubling-product operator and confirm Eq. (19). We consider two commutators generating the same  $k + 1$ -support operator in the column expression as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & A & B & B \\ & B & B & C & C \\ & & C & C & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & D & D \\ & & & & & E & E \\ \hline & & & & & & E & E \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B & B & \\ & C & C \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & D & D \\ & & & & E & E \\ \hline & & & & & A & A \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (20)$$

with  $A, B, C, \dots, D, E \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ . Here we abbreviated the last row (the resulting operator of these commutators) for brevity. These two diagrams represent commutators  $[ABC \cdots D_i, \overline{E}_{i+k-1}]$  and  $[\overline{BC} \cdots D\overline{E}_{i+1}, \overline{A}_i]$ , respectively. The signs of these two commutators (including  $-i$ ) are computed as

$$s\left(\begin{array}{ccc} A & A & \\ & B & B \\ & & C & C \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & D & D \\ \hline & & & & & E & E \\ \hline \end{array}\right) = \frac{\sigma(\overline{ABC \cdots DE})}{\sigma(\overline{ABC \cdots D})}, \quad (21)$$

$$s\left(\begin{array}{ccc} B & B & \\ & C & C \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & D & D \\ & & & & E & E \\ \hline & & & & & A & A \\ \hline \end{array}\right) = -\frac{\sigma(\overline{ABC \cdots DE})}{\sigma(\overline{BC \cdots DE})}, \quad (22)$$

respectively. The latter has the minus sign because the order of  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{B}$  in multiplication (or commutation) is converted compared to the order of products in the definition of the column expression. In summary, two commutators in Eq. (20) imply a relation of coefficients:

$$\frac{\sigma(\overline{ABC \cdots DE})}{\sigma(\overline{ABC \cdots D})} J^E q_{\overline{ABC \cdots D}} - \frac{\sigma(\overline{ABC \cdots DE})}{\sigma(\overline{BC \cdots DE})} J^A q_{\overline{BC \cdots DE}} = 0 \quad (23)$$

for any sequence  $ABC \cdots DE$ . This relation leads to Eq. (19):

$$q_{\overline{ABC \cdots D}} = C \cdot \sigma(\overline{ABC \cdots D}) J^A J^B J^C \cdots J^D. \quad (24)$$

We next clarify what happens if an operator  $\mathbf{A}$  is not a doubling-product operator. In a non-doubling-product operator  $\mathbf{A}$ , at least one of the following three happens. Here, we adopt the signless product of Pauli matrices.

1. One of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  is an identity operator  $I$ .
2. There exists  $2 \leq n \leq k-1$  satisfying  $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i = A_n$ .
3.  $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i \neq A_k$  holds.

We shall explain why the coefficient becomes zero in these three cases.

In case 1, the column expression of an operator, e.g.,  $XYXZIZXY$ , is written as

$$XYXZIZXY = \begin{array}{cccc} X & X & & \\ & Z & Z & \\ & & Y & Y \\ & & & X & I & \\ & & & & & Z & Z \\ & & & & & & Y & Y \end{array}. \quad (25)$$

This operator forms a pair with  $YZYXZIZZ$ , which is seen in the generation of  $YZYXZIZXY$ :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X & X & & \\ & Z & Z & \\ & & Y & Y \\ & & & X & I & \\ & & & & & Z & Z \\ & & & & & & Y & Y \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{cccc} Y & Y & & \\ & X & X & \\ & & Z & Z \\ & & & Y & Y \\ & & & & X & I \\ & & & & & Z & Z \end{array}. \quad (26)$$

These two commutators suggest

$$J^Y q_{XYXZIZXY} - J^Z q_{YZYXZIZZ} = 0. \quad (27)$$

However,  $YZYXZIZZ$  cannot form a pair in the generation of  $XZZYXZIZZ$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} Y & Y & & \\ & X & X & \\ & & Z & Z \\ & & & Y & Y \\ & & & & X & I \\ & & & & & Z & Z \end{array} \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X & X \\ -2 & X & Z & Z & Y & X & Z & I & Z & Z \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} Z & Z \\ X & X \end{array}}$$

because the right end is  $ZZ$  and no commutator of 8-support operator and  $XX, YY, ZZ$  can result in this form of operators:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} ? & ? & ? & ? & ? & ? & ? & ? \\ & & & & & & Z & Z \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} X & Z & Z & Y & X & Z & I & Z & Z \end{array}} \quad (29)$$

Since the coefficient of  $XZZYXZIZZ$  in  $[Q, H]$  is zero, our observation directly means

$$q_{YZYXZIZZ} = 0, \quad (30)$$

which leads to

$$q_{XYXZIZXY} = 0. \quad (31)$$

Cases 2 and 3 can be treated in a similar manner to case 1. An example of case 2 in the column expression is

$$XYZZXZXXY = \begin{array}{c} X X \\ Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Y Y \end{array}, \quad (32)$$

which forms a pair with  $ZZXZXXXZ$  as

$$\begin{array}{c} X X \\ Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Y Y \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \end{array}, \quad (33)$$

$$\underline{\underline{\begin{array}{c} X X \\ Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Y Y \end{array}}} \quad \underline{\underline{\begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \end{array}}}$$

However,  $ZZXZXXXZ$  does not form a pair in the generation of  $ZZXZXXXXY$

$$\begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \end{array}, \quad (34)$$

$$\underline{\underline{\begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \end{array}}} \\ \underline{\underline{-2 Z Z X Z X X X X Y}}$$

because the left end is  $ZZ$ , and no commutator of 8-support operator and  $XX, YY, ZZ$  can result in this form of operators:

$$\begin{array}{c} ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? \\ Z Z \\ -2 Z Z X Z X X X X Y \end{array} \quad (35)$$

This directly implies

$$q_{ZZXZXXXZ} = 0, \quad (36)$$

which leads to

$$q_{XYZZXZXXY} = 0. \quad (37)$$

An example of case 3 in the column expression is

$$XYXZZXZ = \begin{array}{c} X X \\ Z Z \\ Y Y \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Y Z \end{array}, \quad (38)$$



where the last line is not  $YY$  but  $YZ$ . Here,  $XYXZZXZXZ$  forms a pair with  $ZXZZXXYX$  in the generation of  $XYXZZXXYX$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c}
 X X \\
 Z Z \\
 Y Y \\
 X X \\
 Y Y \\
 Z Z \\
 Y Z \\
 \hline
 X X
 \end{array} & , & \begin{array}{c}
 Z Z \\
 Y Y \\
 X X \\
 Y Y \\
 Z Z \\
 Y Z \\
 X X \\
 \hline
 X X
 \end{array} .
 \end{array} \quad (39)$$

As a consequence, the coefficient  $q_{XYXZZXZXZ}$  is connected to  $q_{ZXZZXXYX}$  with multiplying some constant.

Similarly to previous arguments, we can remove doubling-products from left and add doubling-products to right repeatedly, which result in the connection to

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c}
 X X \\
 Z Z \\
 Y Y \\
 X X \\
 Y Y \\
 Z Z \\
 Y Z \\
 \hline
 Y Z
 \end{array} & \leftrightarrow & \begin{array}{c}
 Z Z \\
 Y Y \\
 X X \\
 Y Y \\
 Z Z \\
 Y Z \\
 X X \\
 \hline
 X X
 \end{array} & \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow & \begin{array}{c}
 Y Z \\
 X X \\
 Y Y \\
 X X \\
 Y Y \\
 X X \\
 Y Y \\
 \hline
 Y Y
 \end{array} .
 \end{array} \quad (40)$$

However, the last operator  $YYZZZZZZY$  does not form a pair in the generation of  $YYZZZZZZZX$  because the left end is  $YY$ . Following a similar argument to previous ones, we conclude that the coefficient of the initial operator,  $q_{XYXZZXZXZ}$ , is zero.

In summary, we used the fact that (1) a connection of a pair with a commutator can be regarded as removing and adding a doubling-product at the left or right end, and (2) if two leftmost sites or two rightmost sites of a  $k$ -support operator are the same Pauli operator ( $XX$ ,  $YY$ , or  $ZZ$ ), then it cannot form a pair and thus has zero coefficient. This idea is quite general, and is also used in treating the NNN-XYZ model in Sec. 4.

### 3.2 Symbols and terms (2)

To treat the case that a  $k$ -support operator is generated by  $[Q, H]$ , we introduce further symbols. For example, in case of  $k = 5$ ,  $ZXZXZ$  is generated by the following four commutators:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} Z X Z Y Z \\ Z \end{array}}{2 Z X Z X Z}, \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} Z Y Z X Z \\ Z \end{array}}{2 Z X Z X Z}, \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} Z X Z Y \\ Z Z \end{array}}{2 Z X Z X Z}, \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} Y Z X Z \\ Z Z \end{array}}{2 Z X Z X Z}. \quad (41)$$

Using the column expression, these commutators read

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ Y Y \\ X X \\ Z Z \\ \hline Z \end{array}}{Z}, \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ \hline Z \end{array}}{Z}, \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ Y Y \\ X X \\ Z \\ \hline Z Z \end{array}}{Z Z}, \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Z \\ \hline Z Z \end{array}}{Z Z}. \quad (42)$$

A key fact behind these four commutators is the relation

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ Y Y \\ X X \\ Z Z \\ Z \end{array}}{Z} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Z \end{array}}{Z}, \quad (43)$$

with recalling the fact that a signless product (double line) and a commutation relation (single line) follow the same rule of products.

Now we introduce some symbols which helps to describe commutators as above. First, we introduce a symbol “ $\uparrow_Z$ ” representing a commutator with  $Z$  at which the left and right doubling-products have an overlap. For example,  $\overline{XY} \uparrow_Z \overline{Z}$  represents the commutator  $[X_i Z X Z, Z_{i+2}]$ , since  $\overline{XYZ} = c(X_i X_{i+1})(Y_{i+1} Y_{i+2})(Z_{i+2} Z_{i+3})$  and the overlap of  $\overline{Y}$  and  $\overline{Z}$  is at site  $i + 2$ . Using this symbol, the first two commutators in (41) (see also Eq. (42)) are expressed simply as

$$\overline{ZYX} \uparrow_Z \overline{Z}, \quad \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{XYZ}.$$

Next, we introduce a symbol “ $\overset{Z}{|}$ ”, which represents multiplication of  $Z$  at this position in the column expression. Here, we employ the signless product in the multiplication. For example,  $\overline{X} \overset{Z}{|} \overline{ZYX}$  means

$$\overline{X} \overset{Z}{|} \overline{ZYX} = \begin{array}{c} X \ X \\ Z \ Z \\ Y \ Y \\ X \ X \\ Z \\ \hline \hline \end{array} = X X X Z X. \quad (44)$$

We note that the expression with  $\overset{Z}{|}$  is not unique (e.g.,  $Z X Z Y = \overline{ZYX} \overset{Z}{|} = \overset{Z}{|} \overline{XY}$ ). When we consider the sign of the operator  $\sigma$ , we promise that a single  $Z$  in  $\overset{Z}{|}$  multiplies at last as above.

We further introduce two symbols “ $\overset{\leftarrow}{+}$ ” and “ $\overset{\rightarrow}{+}$ ”, which mean that commutators act at the rightmost and leftmost site, respectively. Examples are  $\overline{XY} \overset{\leftarrow}{+} \overline{X} = [\overline{XY}_i, \overline{X}_{i+2}]$  and  $\overline{Z} \overset{\rightarrow}{+} Y Y Y Z = [\overline{Z}_i, Y_{i+1} Y_{i+2} Y_{i+3} Z_{i+4}]$ . Then, the latter two commutators in (41) (see also Eq. (42)) are expressed as

$$\overline{ZYX} \overset{Z}{\overset{\leftarrow}{+}} \overline{Z}, \quad \overline{Z} \overset{Z}{\overset{\rightarrow}{+}} \overline{XYZ}.$$

We finally introduce symbols which represents alternating  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  defined as

$$L^{2n} := \underbrace{\overline{YX} \cdots \overline{YX}}_{n \text{ copies of } \overline{YX}}, \quad (45)$$

$$L^{2n+1} := \overline{X} \underbrace{\overline{YX} \cdots \overline{YX}}_{n \text{ copies of } \overline{YX}}, \quad (46)$$

$$R^{2n} := \underbrace{\overline{XY} \cdots \overline{XY}}_{n \text{ copies of } \overline{XY}}, \quad (47)$$

$$R^{2n+1} := \underbrace{\overline{XY} \cdots \overline{XY}}_{n \text{ copies of } \overline{XY}} \overline{X}. \quad (48)$$

### 3.3 Commutators generating $k$ -support operators

In general, as seen in the previous subsection, a single  $k$ -support operator in  $[Q, H]$  is generated by four commutators; two are of a  $k$ -support operator in  $Q$  and a magnetic field (1-support operator) in  $H$ , and the other two are of a  $k - 1$ -support operator in  $Q$  and the exchange interaction (2-support

operator) in  $H$ . Concretely, the following four commutators

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overline{AB} \cdots \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{XYXY} \cdots \overline{XYZ} \cdots \overline{CD}, \\
& \overline{AB} \cdots \overline{ZXYXY} \cdots \overline{XY} \uparrow_Z \overline{Z} \cdots \overline{CD}, \\
& \overline{AB} \cdots \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{XYXY} \cdots \overline{XYZ} \cdots \overline{C} \uparrow \overline{D}, \\
& \overline{A} \uparrow \overline{B} \cdots \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{XYXY} \cdots \overline{XYZ} \cdots \overline{CD}
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

generate the same operator. Note that  $\cdots \overline{XYZ} \cdots$  might be  $\cdots \overline{YXZ} \cdots$ , which depends on the parity of the length. We also note that the sequence  $\overline{Z} \cdots \overline{CD}$  in the right and the sequence  $\overline{AB} \cdots \overline{Z}$  in the left are sometimes absent.

However, in some cases, a  $k$ -support operator in  $[Q, H]$  is generated only by three commutators. This happens when the two leftmost or rightmost operators of the generated  $k$ -support operator are  $XX$ ,  $YY$ , or  $ZZ$ . An example is  $ZXZX$ , which is generated only by the following three commutators:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ X X \\ \hline Z \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ Y Y \\ \hline Z \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} Y Y \\ Z Z \\ X X \\ Z \\ \hline Z Z \end{array}.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

For brevity of explanation, below we shall treat only the case with even  $k$ . Extension to odd  $k$  is straightforward. To prove that one of the remaining coefficients is zero, we consider the following sequence of operators

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-2} & \overline{X} \uparrow_Z \overline{ZR}^{k-2} & \overline{Y} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-3} \uparrow \overline{Y} & \\
\overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-3} & \overline{ZX} \uparrow_Z \overline{ZR}^{k-3} & \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-4} \uparrow \overline{X} & \overline{Z} \uparrow \overline{YZR}^{k-3} \\
L^1 \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-4} & L^1 \overline{ZX} \uparrow_Z \overline{ZR}^{k-4} & L^1 \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-5} \uparrow \overline{Y} & \overline{X} \uparrow \overline{YZR}^{k-4} \\
L^2 \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-5} & L^2 \overline{ZX} \uparrow_Z \overline{ZR}^{k-5} & L^2 \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-6} \uparrow \overline{X} & \overline{Y} \uparrow L^1 \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-5} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
L^n \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-n-3} & L^n \overline{ZX} \uparrow_Z \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3} & L^n \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-n-4} \uparrow \overline{X} & \overline{Y} \uparrow L^{n-1} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-n-3} \\
L^{n+1} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-n-4} & L^{n+1} \overline{ZX} \uparrow_Z \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4} & L^{n+1} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-n-5} \uparrow \overline{Y} & \overline{X} \uparrow L^n \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^{k-n-4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
L^{k-5} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^2 & L^{k-5} \overline{ZX} \uparrow_Z \overline{ZR}^2 & L^{k-6} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^1 \uparrow \overline{Y} & \overline{X} \uparrow L^{k-6} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^2 \\
L^{k-4} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^1 & L^{k-4} \overline{ZX} \uparrow_Z \overline{ZR}^1 & L^{k-4} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZ} \uparrow \overline{X} & \overline{Y} \uparrow L^{k-5} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZR}^1 \\
L^{k-3} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZ} & L^{k-3} \overline{ZX} \uparrow_Z \overline{Z} & L^{k-3} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{Y} \uparrow \overline{Z} & \overline{X} \uparrow L^{k-4} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{YZ} \\
L^{k-2} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{Y} & L^{k-2} \overline{ZX} \uparrow_Z & & \overline{Y} \uparrow L^{k-3} \overline{Z} \uparrow_Z \overline{Y}
\end{array} \tag{51}$$

where operators in the same row generate the same  $k$ -support operator, and  $n$  in the middle of rows is even.

We shall write down obtained relations on coefficients from rows with  $n$  and  $n + 1$ , which induce the following relation:

$$h(-q_{L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}} + q_{L^n \overline{ZX} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}}) - J^X q_{L^n \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}} + J^Y q_{L^{n-1} \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}} = 0, \quad (52)$$

$$h(-q_{L^{n+1} \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}} + q_{L^{n+1} \overline{ZX} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}}) + J^Y q_{L^{n+1} \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-5}} - J^X q_{L^n \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}} = 0. \quad (53)$$

Our tentative goal is to erase all of coefficients of  $k - 1$ -support operator and derive a relation on  $c$ , by multiplying proper number and summing up the relations obtained by these rows. Using the relation

$$q_{L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}} = \frac{J^Y}{J^X} q_{L^n \overline{ZX} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}}, \quad (54)$$

which follows from Eq. (19), the resulting relation after the summation reads

$$h\left(\frac{J^X}{J^Y} - 1\right) kc\sigma(\overline{X} \overline{ZR}^{k-2}) J^{\overline{X} \overline{ZR}^{k-2}} = 0, \quad (55)$$

which implies the desired result.

Although the above proof can be confirmed by a direct computation, it is worth clarifying the structure to determine the signs of coefficients in the above relations, which guarantees that we finally get a nontrivial relation on  $c$ . In fact, an improper sequence induces a trivial relation  $0 = 0$ , and we can get no information on  $c$ . We examine the minus sign of  $q_{L^n \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}}$  and the plus sign of  $q_{L^{n-1} \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}}$  in Eq. (52) as examples. The former sign  $-1$  comes from that of the commutator

$s(L^n \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4} \overleftarrow{+} \overline{X}) = -1$ . An important fact is that the former sign is equal to

$$s(L^n \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4} \overleftarrow{+} \overline{X}) = s(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4} \overleftarrow{+} \overline{X}) = \frac{\sigma(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3})}{\sigma(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4})}, \quad (56)$$

where the argument of the numerator is the generated operator by this commutator  $L^n \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4} \overleftarrow{+} \overline{X}$ . The first equality states that the following two commutators have the same sign:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ Z \\ \hline \hline X X \end{array} & \text{and} & \begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ Y Y \\ Z Z \\ X X \\ Y Y \\ \hline \hline X X \end{array}, \end{array} \quad (57)$$

since the single  $Z$  in the first commutator does not affect the sign of the commutator. Similarly, the sign of commutator  $\overline{Y} \overrightarrow{+} L^{n-1} \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}$  is calculated as

$$s(\overline{Y} \overrightarrow{+} L^{n-1} \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}) = s(\overline{Y} \overrightarrow{+} L^{n-1} \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}) = -\frac{\sigma(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3})}{\sigma(L^{n-1} \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3})}, \quad (58)$$

where the minus sign in the right-hand side comes from the minus sign in Eq. (22).

Keeping Eq. (54) in mind, the relation on coefficients induced by the row with  $n$  in Eq. (51) (which is equal to Eq. (52)) is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\frac{J^Y}{J^X} - 1\right) \sigma(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}) J^{L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}} \cdot c + J^X \frac{\sigma(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3})}{\sigma(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4})} q_{L^n \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}} \\ - J^Y \frac{\sigma(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3})}{\sigma(L^{n-1} \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3})} q_{L^{n-1} \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

or equivalently

$$h \left( \frac{J^Y}{J^X} - 1 \right) \cdot c + \frac{J^X}{J^{L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}}} \frac{q_{L^n \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}}}{\sigma(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4})} - \frac{J^Y}{J^{L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}}} \frac{q_{L^{n-1} \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}}}{\sigma(L^{n-1} \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3})} = 0. \quad (60)$$

Note that in the second and third terms, the arguments of  $\sigma$  in the denominators and the subscript of  $q$  in the numerators are the same with the exception of  $\overline{Z}$ . In a similar manner to above, the relation on coefficients induced by the row with  $n+1$  in Eq. (51) (which is equal to Eq. (53)) is calculated as

$$h \left( \frac{J^Y}{J^X} - 1 \right) \cdot c + \frac{J^Y}{J^{L^{n+1} \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}}} \frac{q_{L^{n+1} \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-5}}}{\sigma(L^{n+1} \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-5})} - \frac{J^X}{J^{L^{n+1} \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}}} \frac{q_{L^n \overline{Z} | \overline{Y} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}}}{\sigma(L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4})} = 0. \quad (61)$$

Since  $J^{L^n \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-3}} = J^{L^{n+1} \overline{ZY} \overline{ZR}^{k-n-4}}$ , by summing the above relations (without multiplying any number) all the coefficients of  $k-1$ -support operators cancel and the coefficient of  $c$  is kept finite, which leads to a relation in the form of  $c \times (\text{finite number}) = 0$ .

## 4 Heisenberg model with next-nearest-neighbor interaction

### 4.1 Commutators generating $k+2$ -support operators

Our proof idea is presented in Sec. 2.2. Suppose that a  $k$ -support operator  $Q$  is a conserved quantity. The conservation of  $Q$  implies that all  $r_{\mathbf{B}^l}$  in Eq. (4) is zero  $r_{\mathbf{B}^l} = 0$ . Using this fact, we derive many relations on the coefficients  $q_{\mathbf{A}}$  in Eq. (3) by comparing both sides of Eq. (4) and show that  $q_{\mathbf{A}^k} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{A}^k$ .

A commutator of a  $k$ -support operator and the Hamiltonian can generate at most  $k+2$ -support operators. We first consider the case that the commutator generates  $k+2$ -support operators.

A  $k+2$ -support operator in  $[Q, H]$  is generated only by a commutator such that the next-nearest-neighbor interaction term ( $XIX$ ,  $YIY$ , and  $ZIZ$ ) acts on the left end or right end of a  $k$ -support operator. The following two types of commutators serve as examples:

$$\frac{A B \cdots C}{X I X}, \quad \frac{E \cdots F G}{Y I H \cdots F G}. \quad (62)$$

To explain our result, we introduce several symbols in addition to Sec. 2.3. We first introduce *extended-doubling-products* by using a tilde symbol as  $\tilde{X} = X_i X_{i+2} = XIX$ ,  $\tilde{Y} = YIY$ , and  $\tilde{Z} = ZIZ$ . Similarly to the doubling-product operator, we introduce an *extended-doubling-product operator* which is an operator expressed as, e.g.,  $\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z}$  with the signless product. Here, we promise that a neighboring extended-doubling-product has its support with two-site shift. The aforementioned extended-doubling-product operator  $\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z}$ , for example, reads

$$\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z} = c(X_i X_{i+2})(Y_{i+2} Y_{i+4})(X_{i+4} X_{i+6})(Z_{i+6} Z_{i+8}) = \begin{array}{c} X I X \\ Y I Y \\ X I X \\ Z I Z \end{array} = \underline{\underline{XIZIZIYIZ}}. \quad (63)$$

Here, the double horizontal line represents the column expression introduced in Sec. 2.3, where we take products in the vertical direction under the rule of the signless products.

Now we employ a similar argument to Sec. 3.1, leading to a constraint similar to the doubling-product operator in the XYZ+h model, where the extended-doubling-product operator plays the role of doubling-product operator. Precisely, only  $k$ -support extended-doubling-product operators may have nonzero coefficient in  $Q$ , and other  $k$ -support operators have zero coefficient. We shall explain the latter

point briefly. Consider operator  $XIZIZZYIXIY$  in the case of  $k = 11$ . Then, this operator can be expressed as

$$XIZIZZYIXIY = \frac{\begin{array}{c} X I X \\ Y I Y \\ X Z X \\ Z I Z \\ Y I Y \end{array}}{\underline{\underline{\quad}}}, \quad (64)$$

which forms pairs as

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X I X \\ Y I Y \\ X Z X \\ Z I Z \\ Y I Y \end{array}}{\underline{\underline{\quad}}} \leftrightarrow \frac{\begin{array}{c} Y I Y \\ X Z X \\ Z I Z \\ Y I Y \\ Z I Z \end{array}}{\underline{\underline{\quad}}} \leftrightarrow \frac{\begin{array}{c} X Z X \\ Z I Z \\ Y I Y \\ Z I Z \\ Y I Y \end{array}}{\underline{\underline{\quad}}}. \quad (65)$$

However, the last operator  $XZYIXIXIXIY$  cannot form a pair because the two leftmost operators are  $XZ \dots$ , not in the form of  $XI \dots$ :

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X Z Y I X I X I X I Y \\ Z I Z \end{array}}{\underline{\underline{\quad}}} \frac{\begin{array}{c} ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? \\ X Z ? \end{array}}{\underline{\underline{\quad}}}. \quad (66)$$

This fact implies

$$q_{XZYIXIXIXIY} = 0, \quad (67)$$

and hence the initial operator  $XIZIZZYIXIY$  also has zero coefficient:

$$q_{XIZIZZYIXIY} = 0. \quad (68)$$

In general, if two leftmost operators are not one of  $XI \dots$ ,  $YI \dots$ , or  $ZI \dots$ , or two rightmost operators are not one of  $\dots IX$ ,  $\dots IY$ , or  $\dots IZ$ , then this operator cannot form a pair. Thus, arguments similar to Sec. 3.1 confirms that if a  $k$ -support operator is not an extended-doubling-product operator, then by removing extended-doubling-products from left and adding extended-doubling-products to right repeatedly, we arrive at an operator which cannot form a pair, resulting a zero coefficient.

**Lemma 2** *A  $k$ -support conserved quantity  $Q = \sum_{\mathbf{A}} \sum_i q_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}_i$  has coefficients of  $k$ -support operator  $\mathbf{A}$  as*

$$q_{\mathbf{A}} = c \cdot \sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} J_2^{B_i} \quad (69)$$

for an extended-doubling-product operator  $\mathbf{A} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \tilde{B}_i$  with a constant  $c$ , and zero otherwise.

Clearly, a  $k$ -support conserved quantity with even  $k$  vanishes.

#### 4.2 Commutators generating $k + 1$ -support operators

We next consider the case that a commutator generates  $k + 1$ -support operators.

We notice that only the following two commutators generate a  $k + 1$ -support operator  $XIZI \dots IYX$ :

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} X I X \\ Y I Y \\ \vdots \\ Z I Z \\ X X \end{array}}{\underline{\underline{\quad}}} \frac{\begin{array}{c} Y I Y \\ \vdots \\ Z I Z \\ X X \end{array}}{\underline{\underline{\quad}}}. \quad (70)$$

Using the tilde symbol  $\tilde{X} = X_i X_{i+2}$  and symbols introduced in Sec. 2.3 and Sec. 3.2, the above two commutators are expressed as

$$\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\cdots\tilde{Z}\overset{\leftarrow}{+}\bar{X}, \quad \tilde{X}\overset{\rightarrow}{+}\tilde{Y}\cdots\tilde{Z}\bar{X}, \quad (71)$$

which implies the following relation of coefficients:

$$J_1^X q_{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\cdots\tilde{Z}} - J_2^X q_{\tilde{Y}\cdots\tilde{Z}\bar{X}} = 0. \quad (72)$$

This relation connects a coefficient of a  $k$ -support operator and that of a  $k - 1$ -support operator.

We can connect two coefficients of  $k - 1$ -support operators, e.g.,  $q_{\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\cdots\tilde{Z}\bar{X}}$  and  $q_{\tilde{X}\cdots\tilde{Z}\bar{X}\tilde{Y}}$ , by considering the following two commutators:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} Y I Y \\ X I X \\ \vdots \\ Z I Z \\ X X \\ \hline Y I Y \end{array} & , & \begin{array}{c} X I X \\ \vdots \\ Z I Z \\ X X \\ Y I Y \\ \hline Y I Y \end{array} \end{array}, \quad (73)$$

which implies

$$J_2^Y q_{\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\cdots\tilde{Z}\bar{X}} + J_2^Y q_{\tilde{X}\cdots\tilde{Z}\bar{X}\tilde{Y}} = 0. \quad (74)$$

This observation suggests that the coefficient of a  $k - 1$ -support operator written as the product of  $(k - 3)/2$  extended-doubling-products and one doubling-product, e.g.,  $\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z}$ , is connected to the coefficients of  $k$ -support operators. For example,  $\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z}$  forms a pair as

$$\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z} \leftrightarrow \tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z}\tilde{X} \leftrightarrow \tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Z} \leftrightarrow \tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}\tilde{X}, \quad (75)$$

where the first three operators are  $k - 1$ -support and the last one is  $k$ -support.

An important fact is that a  $k - 1$ -support operator except for the above form has zero coefficient. We first demonstrate that a  $k + 1$ -support operator is generated by at most two commutators. At first glance, a  $k + 1$ -support operator can be generated by four commutators:

$$\tilde{B}\overset{\rightarrow}{+}(k - 1\text{-support operator}), \quad (76)$$

$$\bar{B}\overset{\rightarrow}{+}(k\text{-support operator}), \quad (77)$$

$$(k - 1\text{-support operator})\overset{\leftarrow}{+}\tilde{B}, \quad (78)$$

$$(k\text{-support operator})\overset{\leftarrow}{+}\bar{B}, \quad (79)$$

whose column expressions are

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \begin{array}{c} ? ? ? ? ? \\ B I B \end{array} & , & \begin{array}{c} ? ? ? ? ? \\ B B \end{array} & , & \begin{array}{c} ? ? ? ? ? \\ B I B \end{array} & , & \begin{array}{c} ? ? ? ? ? \\ B B \end{array} \end{array}. \quad (80)$$

Here, we excluded the possibility of  $\tilde{B}$  and  $k$ -support operator, where  $\tilde{B}$  nontrivially acts on the second left (or right) site of the  $k$ -support operator, since these column expressions are

$$\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} ? ? ? ? ? \\ B I B \end{array} & , & \begin{array}{c} ? ? ? ? ? \\ B I B \end{array} \end{array}, \quad (81)$$

but Lemma. 2 tells that all  $k$ -support operator with nonzero coefficient has an identity operator at the second left site and the second right site.

From Eq. (80), we see that only two of these four commutators generate a given  $k + 1$ -operator. To see this, we focus on the operators at the second left and second right sites. If the operator at the second left site is an identity operator  $I$ , the second commutator in Eq. (80) never generates this operator, and if the operator at the second left site is a Pauli operator  $X$ ,  $Y$  or  $Z$ , the first commutator in Eq. (80)

never generates this operator. A similar argument holds for the second right site. In summary, only two commutators in Eq. (80) generate a single  $k + 1$ -support operator.

We now demonstrate that how a  $k - 1$ -support operator which is not a product of many extended-doubling-products and single doubling-product is shown to have zero coefficient. Consider the case of  $k = 11$  and  $XIZIZYYIYZ$  as an example. The operator  $XIZIZYYIYZ$  can be expressed in the column expression as

$$XIZIZYYIYZ = \begin{array}{c} X I X \\ Y I Y \\ X X \\ Z Z \\ X I X \\ Z Z \end{array} . \quad (82)$$

This operator forms a pair as

$$\begin{array}{c} X I X \\ Y I Y \\ X X \\ Z Z \\ X I X \\ Z Z \end{array} \leftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} Y I Y \\ X X \\ Z Z \\ X I X \\ Z Z \\ X I X \end{array} \leftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} X X \\ Z Z \\ X I X \\ Z Z \\ X I X \\ Y I Y \end{array} \leftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} Z Z \\ X I X \\ Z Z \\ X I X \\ Y I Y \\ X I X \end{array} , \quad (83)$$

where the first three are  $k - 1$ -support operators while the last one is a  $k$ -support operator. The last operator,  $ZYIYYIZIZIX$ , is not an extended-doubling-product operator and thus has zero coefficient,  $qZYIYYIZIZIX$ , which implies that the first operator also has zero coefficient,  $qXIZIZYYIYZ = 0$ .

Following similar arguments to above, we find the following result:

**Lemma 3** *A  $k$ -support conserved quantity  $Q = \sum_{\mathbf{A}} \sum_i q_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}_i$  has the coefficient of a  $k - 1$ -support operator  $\mathbf{A}$  as*

$$q_{\mathbf{A}} = c \cdot \sigma(\tilde{B}_1 \tilde{B}_2 \cdots \tilde{B}_{m-1} \bar{B}_m \tilde{B}_{m+1} \cdots \tilde{B}_{(k-1)/2}) J_1^{B_m} \prod_{i=1, i \neq m}^{(k-1)/2} J_2^{B_i} \quad (84)$$

for an operator expressed as  $\mathbf{A} = \tilde{B}_1 \tilde{B}_2 \cdots \tilde{B}_{m-1} \bar{B}_m \tilde{B}_{m+1} \cdots \tilde{B}_{(k-1)/2}$  with a constant  $c$ , and  $q_{|bsA} = 0$  otherwise.

#### 4.3 Commutators generating $k$ -support operators

We finally consider the case that a commutator generates a  $k$ -support operator. Similarly to Sec. 3.3, we introduce symbols  $\overset{zz}{\uparrow}$  and  $\underset{zz}{\uparrow}$ , representing commutation relations with  $ZZ$  at this position. We express, for example, these commutators

$$\frac{\overset{zz}{Y I Y}}{\underset{zz}{Z I Z}}, \quad \frac{\overset{zz}{Y I Y}}{\underset{zz}{Z I Z}} \quad (85)$$

as

$$\tilde{Y} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z}, \quad \tilde{Y} \underset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z} \quad (86)$$

respectively. We also introduce  $\overset{zz}{|}$  and  $\underset{zz}{|}$ , representing multiplication of  $ZZ$  at this position. We express, for example, these commutators

$$\frac{\overset{zz}{Y I Y}}{\underset{zz}{Z Z}}, \quad \frac{\overset{zz}{Y I Y}}{\underset{zz}{Z I Z}} \quad (87)$$



as

$$\tilde{Y} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z}, \quad \tilde{Y} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z} \quad (88)$$

respectively. Remark that the relative position of the vertical bar or the vertical arrow and symbol  $ZZ$  represents which  $Z$  in the doubling-product  $ZZ$  acts nontrivially. The symbol  $\overset{zz}{\uparrow}$  (resp.  $\overset{zz}{|}$ ) represents that the left (resp. right)  $Z$  in  $ZZ$  acts. We also note that although both  $\tilde{Y} \overset{zz}{\uparrow}$  and  $\tilde{Y} \overset{zz}{|}$  represent the same operator  $YIXZ$  (i.e.,  $\tilde{Y} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} = \tilde{Y} \overset{zz}{|} = YIXZ$ ), we promise the following rule:  $\tilde{Y} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z}$  means that  $\tilde{Y}$  acts on the right end of  $\tilde{Z}$  and generates 6-local operator  $YIXXIY$ , while  $\tilde{Y} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z}$  means that  $\tilde{Z}$  acts on the right end of  $\tilde{Y}$  and generates 5-local operator  $YIZZY$ .

We further introduce symbols which represents alternating  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  defined as

$$\tilde{L}^{2n} := \underbrace{\tilde{Y} \tilde{X} \cdots \tilde{Y} \tilde{X}}_{n \text{ copies of } \tilde{Y} \tilde{X}}, \quad (89)$$

$$\tilde{L}^{2n+1} := \tilde{X} \underbrace{\tilde{Y} \tilde{X} \cdots \tilde{Y} \tilde{X}}_{n \text{ copies of } \tilde{Y} \tilde{X}}, \quad (90)$$

$$\tilde{R}^{2n} := \underbrace{\tilde{X} \tilde{Y} \cdots \tilde{X} \tilde{Y}}_{n \text{ copies of } \tilde{X} \tilde{Y}}, \quad (91)$$

$$\tilde{R}^{2n+1} := \underbrace{\tilde{X} \tilde{Y} \cdots \tilde{X} \tilde{Y}}_{n \text{ copies of } \tilde{X} \tilde{Y}} \tilde{X}. \quad (92)$$

Now we construct a sequence of commutators, demonstrating  $c = 0$ . For the brevity of explanation, we only treat the case of  $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . The extension to the case of  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is straightforward. We express  $k = 4r + 3$  and consider the following sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{L}^{2r} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z} & \tilde{L}^{2r} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} & \tilde{Y} \overset{\rightarrow}{\uparrow} \tilde{L}^{2r-1} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \\ \tilde{L}^{2r-1} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^1 & \tilde{L}^{2r-1} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{X} & \tilde{X} \overset{\rightarrow}{\uparrow} \tilde{L}^{2r-2} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^1 \\ \tilde{L}^{2r-2} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^2 & \tilde{L}^{2r-2} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^1 \tilde{Y} & \tilde{Y} \overset{\rightarrow}{\uparrow} \tilde{L}^{2r-3} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{L}^{2r-n} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n & \tilde{L}^{2r-n} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n-1} \tilde{Y} & \tilde{Y} \overset{\rightarrow}{\uparrow} \tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n \\ \tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n+1} & \tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n \tilde{X} & \tilde{X} \overset{\rightarrow}{\uparrow} \tilde{L}^{2r-n-2} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{L}^2 \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{2r-2} & \tilde{L}^2 \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{2r-3} \tilde{Y} & \tilde{Y} \overset{\rightarrow}{\uparrow} \tilde{L}^1 \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{2r-2} \\ \tilde{L}^1 \overset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{2r-1} & \tilde{L}^1 \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{2r-2} \tilde{X} & \end{array} \quad (93)$$

where  $n$  is even. The leftmost column has commutators between a  $k$ -body operator and 2-body operator  $ZZ$  (in the Hamiltonian), the second left column has a commutator (in the first row) between a  $k - 1$ -body operator and a 3-body operator (extended-doubling-product in the Hamiltonian), and the two right columns show commutators between a  $k - 2$ -body operator and a 3-body operator (extended-doubling-product in the Hamiltonian).

We put two remarks: First, each operator corresponding to each row is generated only by a single commutator between a  $k$ -body operator and 2-body operator  $\overset{zz}{\uparrow}$ , because to generate the operators by  $\overset{zz}{\uparrow}$ , the corresponding  $k$ -support operator is not an extended-doubling-product operator. Second, since the operator generated in the last row is  $YIYZY \cdots$ , we cannot obtain this operator by a commutator

in the form of  $\tilde{Y} \overset{\rightarrow}{+} (k-2\text{-support operator})$ , and hence only a single commutator between a  $k-2$ -body operator and a 3-body operator generates this operator.

Now we examine their signs. First, all the commutators in the leftmost column has the plus sign, which follows from

$$s(\tilde{L}^m \underset{zz}{\uparrow} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{m'}) = s([Y, Z]) = +1. \quad (94)$$

Next, to compute the signs of commutators in the second right column we notice

$$s(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n-1} \overset{\leftarrow}{+} \tilde{Y}) = s(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n-1} \overset{\leftarrow}{+} \tilde{Y}), \quad (95)$$

which holds for the same reason as the first equality of Eq. (56). An example of this fact can be expressed by using the column expression as

$$s \left( \begin{array}{cccc} Y & I & Y & \\ & X & I & X \\ & & Z & I & Z \\ & & & X & I & X \\ \hline & & Z & Z & & \\ \hline & & & & Y & I & Y \end{array} \right) = s \left( \begin{array}{cccc} Y & I & Y & \\ & X & I & X \\ & & Z & I & Z \\ & & & X & I & X \\ \hline & & & & Y & I & Y \end{array} \right) \quad (96)$$

Using the same technique as Eq. (21), the right-hand side of Eq. (95) is computed as

$$s(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n-1} \overset{\leftarrow}{+} \tilde{Y}) = \frac{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n)}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n-1})}. \quad (97)$$

Similarly, we compute the signs of commutators in the rightmost column as

$$s(\tilde{Y} \overset{\rightarrow}{+} \tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n) = s(\tilde{Y} \overset{\rightarrow}{+} \tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n) = -\frac{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n)}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n)}, \quad (98)$$

where the minus sign comes from the same reason as the minus sign in Eq. (22):

$$s \left( \begin{array}{cccc} & X & I & X \\ & & Z & I & Z \\ & & & X & I & X \\ \hline & & & & Y & I & Y \\ \hline & & & & Y & I & Y \end{array} \right) = -\frac{\sigma(\tilde{Y} \tilde{X} \tilde{Z} \tilde{X} \tilde{Y})}{\sigma(\tilde{X} \tilde{Z} \tilde{X} \tilde{Y})}. \quad (99)$$

Hence, the relation obtained from the row with  $n$  reads

$$J_1^Z \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n) J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n} \cdot c + J_2^Y \frac{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n)}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n-1})} q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n-1}} - J_2^Y \frac{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n)}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n)} q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n} = 0, \quad (100)$$

which is equivalent to

$$J_1^Z \cdot c + \frac{J_2^Y}{J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n}} \frac{q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n-1}}}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n-1})} - \frac{J_2^Y}{J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n}} \frac{q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n}}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n)} = 0. \quad (101)$$

In a similar manner to above, the relation on coefficients obtained from the row with  $n+1$  reads

$$J_1^Z \cdot c + \frac{J_2^X}{J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n+1}}} \frac{q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n}}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^n)} - \frac{J_2^X}{J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n+1}}} \frac{q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-2} \overset{zz}{|} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n+1}}}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n-2} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n+1})} = 0. \quad (102)$$

Noticing that for even  $n'$  and odd  $n''$

$$\frac{J_2^X}{J_2^Y} J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n'} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n'}} = J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n''} \tilde{Z}\tilde{R}^{n''}} \quad (103)$$

is satisfied, we find that by summing these relations (Eqs. (101) and (102)) from  $n = 0$  to  $n = 2r - 1$  all of coefficients of  $k - 2$ -support operators cancel and only the coefficients on  $k$ -support and  $k - 1$ -support operators remain.

We shall finally derive an explicit relation on  $c$ . To this end, we clarify the relation on the  $k - 1$ -support operator (i.e., the row with  $n = 0$ ), which reads

$$J_1^Z \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \tilde{Z}) J^{\tilde{L}^{2r} \tilde{Z}} \cdot c + J_2^Z s(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) c \cdot \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) J^{\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}} - J_2^Y \frac{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \tilde{Z})}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-1} \tilde{Z})} q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-1} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}} = 0. \quad (104)$$

The quantity  $s(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array})$  in the second term is computed as

$$s(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) = \frac{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array})}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array})} \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) = \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) = \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \tilde{Z}) s(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) = \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \tilde{Z}). \quad (105)$$

Inserting this, the sum of the relations (Eqs. (101) and (102)) from  $n = 0$  to  $n = 2r - 1$  leads to

$$2r J_1^Z c = 0, \quad (106)$$

which implies that all the coefficients of  $k$ -support operator in  $Q$  is zero.

#### 4.4 Extension to systems without translation invariance

By a careful examination of the above derivation, we find that all the  $ZZ$  term, both commutators ( $\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}$  and  $\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}$ ) and multiplications ( $\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}$  and  $\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}$ ), in the sequence (93) acts on the same two sites. This means that even if the nearest-neighbor interaction terms are position dependent, i.e., the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^L [J_{1,i}^X X_i X_{i+1} + J_{1,i}^Y Y_i Y_{i+1} + J_{1,i}^Z Z_i Z_{i+1}] + \sum_{i=1}^L [J_2^X X_i X_{i+1} + J_2^Y Y_i Y_{i+1} + J_2^Z Z_i Z_{i+1}] \quad (107)$$

with position-dependent coefficients  $J_{1,i}^X$ ,  $J_{1,i}^Y$ , and  $J_{1,i}^Z$ , our proof still works, and the absence of local conserved quantity can be shown. In this case, the key relation (100) is replaced by

$$J_{1,i^*}^Z \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n) J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n} \cdot c + J_2^Y \frac{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n)}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n-1})} q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n-1}, i^* \end{array}} - J_2^Y \frac{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n)}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n)} q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n, i^* \end{array}} = 0, \quad (108)$$

where  $i^*$  is a fixed site independent of  $n$ . The coefficients  $q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n-1}, i^* \end{array}}$  depends on the position, which is determined by the second subscript  $i^*$  referring the position of  $ZZ$ . Following the same argument, we obtain counterpart relations to Eqs. (101) and (102) as

$$J_{1,i^*}^Z \cdot c + \frac{J_2^Y}{J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n}} \frac{q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n-1}, i^* \end{array}}}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n-1})} - \frac{J_2^Y}{J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n}} \frac{q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n, i^* \end{array}}}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n)} = 0, \quad (109)$$

$$J_{1,i^*}^Z \cdot c + \frac{J_2^X}{J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n+1}}} \frac{q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n, i^* \end{array}}}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^n)} - \frac{J_2^X}{J^{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-1} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n+1}}} \frac{q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-n-2} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n+1}, i^* \end{array}}}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-n-2} \tilde{Z} \tilde{R}^{n+1})} = 0, \quad (110)$$

where the coefficient of the first term  $J_1^Z$  is replaced by  $J_{1,i^*}^Z$ , and others are the same. Moreover, the counterpart of Eq. (104) reads

$$J_{1,i^*}^Z \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \tilde{Z}) J^{\tilde{L}^{2r} \tilde{Z}} \cdot c + J_2^Z s(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) c \cdot \sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}) J^{\tilde{L}^{2r} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z} \end{array}, i^*} - J_2^Y \frac{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r} \tilde{Z})}{\sigma(\tilde{L}^{2r-1} \tilde{Z})} q_{\tilde{L}^{2r-1} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \tilde{Z}, i^* \end{array}} = 0. \quad (111)$$

Combining them and following the same argument as above, we obtain

$$2rJ_{1,i^*}^Z c = 0. \quad (112)$$

Owing to this extension, an important model, the Shastry-Sutherland model [30], is covered. The Shastry-Sutherland model is the XYZ chain with next-nearest-neighbor interaction, whose next-nearest-neighbor interaction is shift-invariant while its nearest-neighbor interaction is invariant by two-site shift. Our argument demonstrate that the Shastry-Sutherland model has no nontrivial local conserved quantity.

## 5 Discussion

We have rigorously shown that the Heisenberg chain and the XYZ chain with next-nearest-neighbor interaction have no local conserved quantity. The Hamiltonian we treat includes important models as a special case, the Majumdar-Ghosh model and the Shastry-Sutherland model, which are prominent examples of frustration-free systems. Our computation on the signs of commutators and coefficients is systematic, and the reason why the obtained sequences, Eqs. (51) and (93), work becomes clear.

These key sequences of commutators follow the structure that additional terms (Z magnetic field in the XYZ+h-model and ZZ interaction term in the NNN-XYZ model) settle at the right end at first, and they move to the left end at last. As far as we seek, this structure is necessary to obtain a nontrivial relation for  $c$ . Otherwise, a sequence has the additional term at the right end at both first and last of the sequence, where the coefficient of  $c$  vanishes and we obtain a trivial relation  $0 = 0$ . We expect that the distinction of integrability and non-integrability reduces to whether a *good* sequence exists or not, which will shed new light on the integrability.

As presented, our proof method is valid for systems not only with nearest-neighbor interaction but also with next-nearest-neighbor interaction, which suggests that our method applies to systems with longer interactions. In particular, if the longest interaction is the Heisenberg type, then following a similar argument to Sec. 3.1 and Sec. 4.1, a possible form of  $k$ -support operators with nonzero coefficient is limited to extended-doubling-product-type operators by replacing  $\tilde{X} = XIX$ ,  $\tilde{Y} = YIY$ , and  $\tilde{Z} = ZIZ$  by  $XII \cdots IX$ ,  $YII \cdots IY$ , and  $ZII \cdots IZ$ , respectively. Although further analyses depend on the model in consideration, we strongly expect that many complex models can be proven to be indeed non-integrable by this method.

*Acknowledgement*— The author thanks Atsuo Kuniba, Yuuya Chiba, Mizuki Yamaguchi, and HaRu K Park for fruitful discussions. The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists Grant Number JP19K14615.

## Appendix

### A Translation invariance of local conserved quantity

In the main part, we restrict a possible form of a local conserved quantity to the shift-invariant form (3). In this Appendix, we show that this does not lose the generality, i.e., all possible local conserved quantities are shift invariant. The approach shown in this Appendix is inspired by [24] and is simpler and more transparent than that presented in [23].

The most general form of  $k$ -support local conserved quantity is expressed as

$$Q = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{\mathbf{A}^l} \sum_{i=1}^L q_{\mathbf{A}^l, i} \mathbf{A}_i^l \quad (113)$$

where the coefficient  $q_{\mathbf{A}^l, i}$  is position dependent. We suppose that  $k$  is the minimum number for a nontrivial  $k$ -support local conserved quantity. Our goal is to confirm  $q_{\mathbf{A}^l, i} = q_{\mathbf{A}^l, i+1}$  for all  $\mathbf{A}^l$  and all  $i$ .

This result is in fact readily shown in Sec. 3.1 by examining the argument carefully. We demonstrate it by taking a 4-support conserved quantity  $Q$  and  $\mathbf{A}^l = \overline{XYZ}$  as an example. As shown in Sec. 3.1,  $\overline{XYZ}$  and

$\overline{YZX}$  form a pair. Recalling the structure written in the column expression (20), we find that two coefficients  $q_{\overline{XYZ},i}$  and  $q_{\overline{YZX},i+1}$  form a pair. In this manner, we find pairs

$$q_{\overline{XYZ},i} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{YZX},i+1} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{ZXY},i+2} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{XYX},i+3} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{YXY},i+4}. \quad (114)$$

On the other hand,  $q_{\overline{YXY},i+4}$  also forms pairs as

$$q_{\overline{YXY},i+4} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{YZX},i+3} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{YZY},i+2} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{XYZ},i+1}. \quad (115)$$

It is easy to confirm that the sign and additional coefficients  $J^A$  of  $q_{\overline{XYZ},i}$  and  $q_{\overline{XYZ},i+1}$  are the same, which implies the desired result

$$q_{\overline{XYZ},i} = q_{\overline{XYZ},i+1}. \quad (116)$$

In case of the NNN-XYZ model, the above argument shows that a  $k$ -support operator  $\mathbf{A}$  on site  $i$  and site  $i+2$  have the same coefficient. To show that those on site  $i$  and site  $i+1$  are the same, we need to employ both cases where  $k+2$ -operators and  $k+1$ -operators are generated. Let us consider  $q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i}$  as an example. The above argument shows that  $q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i} = q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i+2m}$  and  $q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i+1} = q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i+2m+1}$  for any integer  $m$ . By considering the case generating  $k+1$ -operators, we find a sequence of pairs

$$q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{Y\tilde{Z}\tilde{X}},i+2} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{Z\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}},i+4} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i+6} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{Y\tilde{Z}\tilde{Y}},i+7}. \quad (117)$$

In addition, we find a pair

$$q_{\overline{Y\tilde{Z}\tilde{Y}},i+7} \leftrightarrow q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i+5}, \quad (118)$$

which implies the desired result

$$q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i} = q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i+5} = q_{\overline{X\tilde{Y}\tilde{Z}},i+1}. \quad (119)$$

We finally exclude the possibility that a  $k$ -support conserved quantity  $Q$  has shift-invariant  $k$ -support operators but non-shift-invariant  $m$ -support operators with  $m < k$ . This case is excluded by considering  $Q' = Q - TQ$  with one-site shift operator  $T$ . By construction,  $Q'$  is conserved, and since  $Q$  has shift-invariant  $k$ -support operators,  $Q'$  is a less-than- $k$ -support conserved quantity. This contradicts that  $k$  is the minimum number for nontrivial  $k$ -support local conserved quantity. We note that owing to the construction, the sum of the coefficients of an  $m$ -support operator in  $Q'$  over all sites is zero, which exclude the possibility that  $Q'$  is a trivial local conserved quantity, the Hamiltonian  $H$  and the  $Z$  magnetic field.

## References

1. M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, *Algebraic Analysis of Solvable Lattice Models*. Amer Mathematical Society (1995).
2. L.D. Faddeev, *How Algebraic Bethe Ansatz works for integrable model*. arXiv:hep-th/9605187 (1996).
3. R. J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*. Dover (2008).
4. M. Takahashi, *Thermodynamics of One-Dimensional Solvable Models*. Cambridge University Press (2005).
5. L. Dolan and M. Grady, *Conserved charges from self-duality*. Phys. Rev. D **25**, 1587 (1982).
6. B. Fuchssteiner and U. Falck, *Computer Algorithms for the detection of completely integrable Quantum Spin Chains*. (in D. Levi and P. Winternitz ed. *Symmetries and Nonlinear Phenomena*. World Scientific (1988)).
7. M. P. Grabowski and P. Mathieu, *Quantum integrals of motion for the Heisenberg spin chain*. Mod. Phys. Lett. A **09**, 2197 (1994).
8. M. P. Grabowski and P. Mathieu, *Structure of the Conservation Laws in Quantum Integrable Spin Chains with Short Range Interactions*. Ann. Phys. **243**, 299 (1995).
9. M. P. Grabowski and P. Mathieu, *Integrability test for spin chains*. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **28** 4777 (1995).
10. V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, and A. G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*. Cambridge University Press (1997).
11. G. E. Andrews, R. J. Baxter, and P. J. Forrester, *Eight-vertex SOS model and generalized Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities*. J. Stat. Phys. **35**, 193 (1984).
12. Y. Akutsu, A. Kuniba, M. Wadati, *Exactly Solvable IRF Models. III. A New Hierarchy of Solvable Models*. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **55**, 1880 (1986).
13. H. K. Owusu and E. A. Yuzbashyan, *Classification of parameter-dependent quantum integrable models, their parameterization, exact solution and other properties*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**, 395302 (2011).
14. R. Kubo, *Statistical-Mechanical Theory of Irreversible Processes. I. General Theory and Simple Applications to Magnetic and Conduction Problems*. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **12**, 570 (1957).
15. A. Shimizu and K. Fujikura, *Quantum violation of fluctuation-dissipation theorem*. J. Stat. Mech. 024004 (2017).
16. A. Shimizu and H. Kato, *Nonequilibrium Mesoscopic Conductors Driven by Reservoirs*. in *Low-Dimensional Systems* (ed. T. Brandes, Lecture Notes in Physics, 54, Springer), 3 (2000).
17. M. Suzuki, *Ergodicity, constants of motion, and bounds for susceptibilities*. Physica **51**, 277 (1971).
18. X. Zotos, F. Naef, and P. Prelovsek, *Transport and conservation laws*. Phys. Rev. B **55**, 11029 (1997).
19. M. A. Cazalilla, *Effect of Suddenly Turning on Interactions in the Luttinger Model*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 156403 (2006).

- 
20. M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, V. Yurovsky, and M. Olshanii, *Relaxation in a Completely Integrable Many-Body Quantum System: An Ab Initio Study of the Dynamics of the Highly Excited States of 1D Lattice Hard-Core Bosons*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 050405 (2007).
  21. T. Langen, S. Erne, R. Geiger, B. Rauer, T. Schweigler, M. Kuhnert, W. Rohringer, I. E. Mazets, T. Gasenzer, and J. Schmiedmayer, *Experimental observation of a generalized Gibbs ensemble*. Science **348**, 207 (2015).
  22. F. Essler and M. Fagotti, *Quench dynamics and relaxation in isolated integrable quantum spin chains*. J. Stat. Mech. 064002 (2016).
  23. N. Shiraishi, *Proof of the absence of local conserved quantities in the XYZ chain with a magnetic field*, Europhys. Lett. **128** 17002 (2019).
  24. Y. Chiba, *Proof of absence of local conserved quantities in the mixed-field Ising chain*, Phys. Rev. B **109**, 035123 (2024).
  25. H.-K. Park and S. Lee. *Proof of the nonintegrability of PXP model and general spin-1/2 systems*, arXiv:2403.02335.
  26. C. K. Majumdar and D. K. Ghosh, *On Next-nearest-neighbor Interaction in Linear Chain. I*. J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1388 (1969).
  27. W. Caspers and W.M agnus, *Some exact excited states in a linear antiferromagnetic spin system*, Phys. Lett. A **88**, 103 (1982).
  28. W. J. Caspers, K. M. Emmett, and W. Magnus, *The Majumdar-Ghosh chain. Twofold ground state and elementary excitations*. J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **17**, 2687 (1984).
  29. S. Moudgalya, S. Rachel, B. A. Bernevig, and N. Regnault, *Exact Excited States of Non-Integrable Models*. Phys. Rev. B **98**, 235155 (2018).
  30. B. S. Shastry and B. Sutherland, *Excitation Spectrum of a Dimerized Next-Neighbor Antiferromagnetic Chain*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 964 (1981).