

# GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO I-QUANTUM GROUP OF AFFINE TYPE D

QUANYONG CHEN AND ZHAOBING FAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the structures of Schur algebra and Lusztig algebra associated to partial flag varieties of affine type D. We show that there is a subalgebra of Lusztig algebra and the quantum groups arising from this subalgebras via stabilization procedures is a coideal subalgebra of quantum group of affine  $\mathfrak{sl}$  type. We construct monomial and canonical bases of the idempotent quantum algebra and establish the positivity properties of the canonical basis with respect to multiplication and the bilinear pairing.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Convolution algebra and Schur Duality	5
2.1. Lattice presentation of affine flag varieties	6
2.2. The double centralizer	9
2.3. Canonical bases of $\mathbf{S}_{n,d;A}^{\circ}$	10
2.4. Multiplication formulas of Chevalley generators	11
2.5. The leading term	15
3. The Lusztig algebra $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\circ}$ and its basis	20
3.1. The Lusztig algebra $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\circ}$	21
3.2. A raw comultiplication	24
3.3. Canonical basis of $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\circ}$	30
4. Corresponding Quantum symmetric pair	31
4.1. The algebras $\mathbf{U}_n^{\circ}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\circ}$	31
4.2. Bilinear form on $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\circ}$	34
4.3. The quantum symmetric pair	37

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1990, Beilinson, Lusztig and MacPherson [BLM90] provided a geometric realization of quantum Schur algebra  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\text{fin}}$  as convolution algebras on pairs of partial flags over a finite field. By using multiplication formulas in  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\text{fin}}$  with divided powers of Chevalley generators, they further realized the quantum group  $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  in the projective limit of the quantum Schur algebras (as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ ). More importantly, an idempotent version of quantum group  $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  is discovered inside the projective limit as well admitting a canonical basis. The role of the canonical basis for the idempotent quantum group  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  is similar to that of Kazhdan-Lusztig bases [KL79] for Iwahori-Hecke algebras. Subsequently, the Schur-Jimbo duality, as a bridge connecting the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type A and (idempotent) quantum group  $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ , is realized geometrically by considering the product variety of the complete flag variety and the  $n$ -step partial flag variety of type A in [GL92].

There have been some generalizations of the BLM-type construction using the  $n$ -step (partial) flag varieties of affine type A earlier on; see Ginzburg-Vasserot [GV93] and Lusztig [Lu99, Lu00]. Some further developments could be found in [GRV93, Mc12, P09, VV99]. Also, there is an affine version of Schur-Jimbo duality formed in [CP96]. The affine quantum Schur algebra  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}$  is by definition the convolution algebra of pairs of flags of affine type A. There is a natural homomorphism from the idempotent quantum affine  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  to the affine quantum Schur algebra

$$\dot{\mathbf{U}}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n,d},$$

which is no longer surjective. The image of this map is denoted by Lusztig subalgebra  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}$  and generated by the Chevalley generators.

An  $(\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n), \mathbf{H}_C)$ -duality was discovered algebraically and categorically in [BW13] as a crucial ingredient for a new approach to Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of classical types. Motivated by [BW13], Bao, Kujawa, Li and Wang [BKLW14, BLW14] provided a geometric construction of Schur-type algebras  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\text{fin}}$  in terms of  $n$ -step flag varieties of type  $B_d$  (or

$C_d$ ). The  $\iota$ -Schur duality has been realized in [BKLW14] by using mixed pairs of  $n$ -step flags and complete flags of type B or C. They further established multiplication formulas in the Schur algebras  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\iota, \text{fin}}$  with divided powers of Chevalley generators, which again enjoy some remarkable stabilization properties as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ . They showed the quantum algebra arising from the stabilization procedure is a coideal subalgebra  $\mathbf{U}_q^\iota(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  of  $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ . The pair  $(\mathbf{U}_q^\iota(\mathfrak{gl}_n), \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$  forms a quantum symmetric pair.

The theory of quantum symmetric pairs was systematically developed by Letzter [Le99, Le02] and Kolb [Ko14]. Let  $\iota$  be an algebra involution on the symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of the second kind [Ko14, §2], and  $\mathfrak{g}^\iota$  be the subalgebra of  $\iota$ -invariants in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  of finite type, the classification of  $\mathfrak{g}^\iota$  corresponds to the classification of real simple Lie algebras [OV90]. The quantum analogue  $\mathbf{U}_q^\iota(\mathfrak{g})$  of the enveloping algebra  $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\iota)$  is a coideal subalgebra of the quantized enveloping algebra  $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  in the sense that the comultiplication  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies

$$\Delta : \mathbf{U}_q^\iota(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{U}_q^\iota(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The algebra  $\mathbf{U}_q^\iota(\mathfrak{g})$  specializes to  $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\iota)$  at  $q = 1$  and the pair  $(\mathbf{U}_q^\iota(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$  is called a quantum symmetric pair.

The affine Schur algebra  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^c$  is by the definition the convolution algebra of pairs of flags of affine type C [FLLLW20]. It admits a canonical basis which enjoys a positivity with respect to multiplication. Denote a subalgebra  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^c$  of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^c$  generated by the Chevalley generators. They introduce a comultiplication homomorphism and transfer map on Schur algebras such that comultiplication homomorphism [FLLLW20, §5] and transfer map [FLLLW20, §6] make sense on the level of Schur algebras instead of Lusztig algebras. The algebra  $\mathbf{U}_n^c$  is by definition a suitable subalgebras of the projective limit of the projective system of Lusztig algebras and the comultiplication homomorphisms gives rise to show that  $\mathbf{U}_n^c$  is a coideal subalgebra of  $\mathbf{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ . The idempotent form of  $\mathbf{U}_n^c$ , denoted by  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^c$  can be formulated analogous to the idempotent quantum groups  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n$  in [BLM90, Lu93]. The canonical basis of  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^c$  be constructed and its positivity with respect to the multiplication and a bilinear pairing of geometric origin also be established in [FLLLW20].

Fan, Li [FL14] established a new duality between the Schur algebra  $\mathbf{S}^m$  and the Iwahori-Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}_D$  of type D attached to the special orthogonal group  $\mathrm{SO}_{\mathbb{F}}(2d)$  algebraically and geometrically. The algebra  $\mathbf{S}^m$  contains the subalgebra  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^m$  and two additional idempotents, where  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^m$  isomorphic to  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\mathrm{i,fin}}$  of type C. They provided a geometric construction of the Schur algebra  $\mathbf{S}^m$  in terms of  $n$ -step flag varieties of type D. The Schur duality has been realized by using mixed pairs of  $n$ -step flags and complete flags of type D. The quantum algebra  $\mathbf{U}^m$  and its idempotent form (canonical basis) are obtained by stabilization and completion process following [BLM90, BKLW14].

To this end, it is natural to ask what happens to the case of the affine type D. The purpose of this paper is to provide an answer to this question, as a sequel to [FL14, FLLLW20]. There is a lattice presentation of the complete and  $n$ -step flag variety of affine type D in [CFW24], on which the special orthogonal group  $\mathrm{SO}_F(V)$  (where  $F = k((\varepsilon)))$  acts on the complete flags and the  $n$ -step partial flag variety  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\circ}$ , which is formulated in this paper, for  $n$  even.

We parameterize the orbits for the product  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\circ} \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  under the diagonal action of the group  $\mathrm{SO}_F(V)$  by the set  $\Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  of signed matrices. Similarly, denote by  $\Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\mathrm{ap}}$  the subset of aperiodic signed matrices in  $\Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . The Schur algebra  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  is by the definition the convolution algebra of pairs of the flags in  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\circ}$ . We further establish the Schur duality between the (extended) Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\circ}$  and the Schur algebra  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  algebraically and geometrically. The algebra  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  admits a standard basis  $\{\{\mathbf{a}\} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}\}$  and a canonical basis  $\{\{\mathbf{a}\}_d \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}\}$ , which enjoys a positivity with respect to multiplication. We formulate a subalgebra  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  generated by the Chevalley generators. Our first main result is the following:

**Theorem A** (Theorem 3.15). *The algebra  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  admits a monomial basis  $\{\zeta_{\mathbf{a}} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\mathrm{ap}}\}$ . Similarly, it possesses a canonical basis  $\{\{\mathbf{a}\}_d \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\mathrm{ap}}\}$ , which is compatible with the corresponding bases in  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  under the inclusion  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\circ} \subset \mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\circ}$ .*

Generalizing the constructions in affine type A, C and finite type D [FL15, FLLLW20, Lu00], we introduce a subalgebra  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\circ}$  and the comultiplication-like homomorphisms  $\tilde{\Delta}^{\circ} = \tilde{\Delta}_{d',d''}^{\circ} : \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^{\circ} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^{\circ} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{n,d''}$  for any composition  $d = d' + d''$ . These further lead to the transfer maps  $\phi_{d,d-n}^{\circ} : \mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n,d-n}^{\circ}$ , which are shown to preserve the Chevalley

generators. The homomorphisms  $\phi_{d,d-n}^\circ$  make sense on the level of Schur algebras instead of Lusztig algebras.

The algebras  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$  and  $\mathbf{U}_n^\circ$  are by definition the suitable subalgebras of projective limit of the projective system  $\{(\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ, \phi_{d,d-n}^\circ)\}_{d \geq 1}$ , just as  $\mathbf{U}_n$  is a limit algebra for a similar affine type A projective system. We showed that the family of homomorphisms  $\{\tilde{\Delta}_{d',d''}^\circ\}$  gives rise to a homomorphism  $\tilde{\Delta}^\circ : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ \otimes \mathbf{U}_n$  and an injective homomorphism  $J_n : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_n$ , whose images on the Chevalley generators are explicitly given.

**Theorem B** (Proposition 4.14, Proposition 4.15). *There exists a decomposition of  $\mathbf{U}_n^\circ$  such that  $\mathbf{U}_n^\circ = \mathbf{J}_+ \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ \oplus \mathbf{J}_- \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$ , where  $\mathbf{J}_+, \mathbf{J}_-$  are two idempotents, and the algebra  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$  is a coideal subalgebra of  $\mathbf{U}_n$ . The pair  $(\mathbf{U}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ)$  forms a quantum symmetric pair of affine type in the sense of Lezter and Kolb [Ko14].*

We formulate idempotent forms of  $\mathbf{U}_n^\circ$ , denoted by  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$ , which is analogous to the idempotent quantum groups as formulated in [FL14, FLLLW20]. Following the approach of [Mc12] in the affine type A setting and [FL15] in the finite type D setting, we construct canonical basis for  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$  and establish its positivity with respect to the multiplication and a bilinear pairing of geometric origin.

**Theorem C** (Theorem 4.11, Theorem 4.13). *The algebra  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$  admits a canonical basis  $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_n^\circ$ . The structure constants of the canonical basis  $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_n^\circ$  lie in  $\mathbb{N}[v, v^{-1}]$  with respect to the multiplication and in  $v^{-1}\mathbb{N}[[v]]$  with respect to the bilinear pairing.*

**Acknowledgement.** Z. Fan was partially supported by the NSF of China grant 12271120, the NSF of Heilongjiang Province grant JQ2020A001, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the central universities.

## 2. CONVOLUTION ALGEBRA AND SCHUR DUALITY

In this section, we study the convolution algebra  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ$  of pairs of  $n$ -step flags of affine type D. Then we present multiplication formulas in  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ$  with (the divided powers of) Chevalley generators.

**2.1. Lattice presentation of affine flag varieties.** In this subsection, we recall some results about affine flag varieties of type D. We refer to [CFW24] and the references therein for more details.

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field of  $q$  elements with odd characteristic. Let  $F = \mathbb{F}((\varepsilon))$  be the field of formal Laurent series over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{F}[[\varepsilon]]$  the ring of formal power series. Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}$  the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}$  generated by  $\varepsilon$ . Throughout this paper, we shall fix a pair  $(d, r)$  of positive integers and

$$D = 2d, \quad n = 2r.$$

Let  $V = F^D$  be a vector space with a symmetric bilinear form  $Q : V \times V \rightarrow F$  whose associated matrix under the standard basis is

$$(2.1) \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ I_d & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote by  $O_F(V)$  and  $SO_F(V)$  the orthogonal group and the special orthogonal group with respect to  $Q$ , respectively.

A free  $\mathfrak{o}$ -submodule  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $V$  of rank  $D$  is called an  $\mathfrak{o}$ -lattice. We set

$$\mathcal{L}^\sharp = \{v \in V \mid Q(v, \mathcal{L}) \subset \mathfrak{o}\}, \quad \mathcal{L}^* = \{v \in V \mid Q(v, \mathcal{L}) \subset \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be two lattices such that  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L}$ . Denote by  $|\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{M}|$  the dimension of the  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{M}$ .

Fix a pair  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$  of lattices such that  $\varepsilon\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^* \subset \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^\sharp$ . We consider the sets  $\mathcal{Y}_d^\circ$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ$  of all collections of lattices in  $V$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ &= \{L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid L_i \subset L_{i+1}, L_i = \varepsilon L_{i+n}, L_i^* = L_{n-i}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\}; \\ \mathcal{Y}_d^\circ &= \{\Lambda = (\Lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \Lambda_i \subset \Lambda_{i+1}, \Lambda_i = \varepsilon \Lambda_{i+D}, \Lambda_i^* = \Lambda_{D-i}, |\Lambda_i/\Lambda_{i-1}| = 1, \\ &\quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, |\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M} \cap \Lambda_d| + |\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L} \cap \Lambda_D| = \text{even}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $SO_F(V)$  acts on  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_d^\circ$  by component-wise action. Denote by  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\circ,1}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\circ,2}$  the subsets of  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ$  as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\circ,1} &= \{L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ \mid |\mathcal{M}/L_r \cap \mathcal{M}| + |\mathcal{L}/L_n \cap \mathcal{L}| = \text{even}\}; \\ \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\circ,2} &= \{L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ \mid |\mathcal{M}/L_r \cap \mathcal{M}| + |\mathcal{L}/L_n \cap \mathcal{L}| = \text{odd}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial$  can be decomposed into  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial = \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,1} \sqcup \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,2}$ . We set

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda_{n,d}^\partial = \left\{ \lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid \lambda_i = \lambda_{1-i} = \lambda_{i+n}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}; \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i = D \right\}.$$

The set  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial$  admits the following decomposition.

$$\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{a}=(a_i) \in \Lambda_{n,d}^\partial} \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a}), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a}) = \{L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial \mid |L_i/L_{i-1}| = a_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let  $\text{SO}_F(V)$  act diagonally on  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial \times \mathcal{Y}_d^\partial$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_d^\partial \times \mathcal{Y}_d^\partial$ , respectively. Consider the following sets of matrices with entries being non-negative integer as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{n,d} &= \left\{ A \in \text{Mat}_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{N}) \mid a_{i,j} = a_{1-i,1-j} = a_{i+n,j+n}, \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, j} a_{ij} = D \right\}, \\ \Pi_{n,d} &= \left\{ B \in \text{Mat}_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{N}) \mid b_{i,j} = b_{1-i,1-j} = b_{i+n,j+D}, \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum_j b_{ij} = 1 \right\}, \\ \Sigma_d &= \left\{ \sigma \in \text{Mat}_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{N}) \mid \sigma_{i,j} = \sigma_{1-i,1-j} = \sigma_{i+D,j+D}, \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum_i a_{ij} = \sum_j a_{ij} = 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{i \leq 0 < j} a_{ij} + \sum_{i \leq d < j} a_{ij} = \text{even} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define a map  $\tilde{\Phi}$  from the set of  $\text{SO}_F(V)$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial$  to  $\Xi_{n,d}$  by sending the orbit  $\text{SO}_F(V) \cdot (L, L')$  to  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where

$$(2.3) \quad a_{ij} = \left| \frac{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_j}{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap L'_{j-1}} \right|.$$

For any  $g \in \text{O}_F(V) \setminus \text{SO}_F(V)$ , there exists a bijection between  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,1}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,2}$  defined by  $L \mapsto g \cdot L$ , which yields the following bijections.

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{SO}_F(V) \setminus \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,1} \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,2} &\rightarrow \text{SO}_F(V) \setminus \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,2} \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,1}, \\ \text{SO}_F(V) \setminus \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,1} \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,1} &\rightarrow \text{SO}_F(V) \setminus \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,2} \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\partial,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, corresponding pairs on both sides under the bijections in (2.4) get sent to the same matrix by  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . In corresponding to (2.4), we define a sign function

$$(2.5) \quad \text{sgn}(i, j) = \begin{cases} + & \text{if } (i, j) = (1, 1), (1, 2); \\ - & \text{if } (i, j) = (2, 1), (2, 2). \end{cases}$$

We set

$$\Xi_{n,d}^+ = \Xi_{n,d} \times \{+\}; \quad \Xi_{n,d}^- = \Xi_{n,d} \times \{-\}.$$

Moreover, we set that

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{d}} = \Xi_{n,d}^+ \sqcup \Xi_{n,d}^-.$$

Elements in  $\Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  will be called signed matrices. To each signed matrix  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , we define its row and column sum vectors  $\text{ro}(\mathbf{a}) = (\text{ro}(\mathbf{a})_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{a}) = (\text{co}(\mathbf{a})_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $\Lambda_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  by

$$\text{ro}(\mathbf{a})_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij}, \quad \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_j = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij}, \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Similarly, we define maps  $\Phi'$  and  $\Phi''$  from the sets of  $\text{SO}_F(V)$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}} \times \mathcal{Y}_d^{\mathfrak{d}}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_d^{\mathfrak{d}} \times \mathcal{Y}_d^{\mathfrak{d}}$  to  $\Pi_{n,d}$  and  $\Sigma_d$ , respectively, by sending the orbit  $\text{SO}_F(V) \cdot (L, \Lambda)$  to  $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\text{SO}_F(V) \cdot (\Lambda, \Lambda')$  to  $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where

$$b_{ij} = \left| \frac{L_i + L_i \cap \Lambda_j}{L_{i-1} + L_i \cap \Lambda_{j-1}} \right|, \quad \sigma_{ij} = \left| \frac{\Lambda_i + \Lambda_i \cap \Lambda'_j}{\Lambda_{i-1} + \Lambda_i \cap \Lambda'_{j-1}} \right|.$$

By a similar argument as for [FLLLW20, Proposition 3.2.2], we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The map  $\tilde{\Phi}$  induces a bijection*

$$\Phi : \text{SO}_F(V) \backslash \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}} \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}} \rightarrow \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}, \quad \text{SO}_F(V) \cdot (L, L') \mapsto (A, \alpha),$$

where  $A = \tilde{\Phi}(\text{SO}_F(V) \cdot (L, L'))$  and  $\alpha = \text{sgn}(i, j)$ , if  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d},i} \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d},j}$ .

For any  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{a}}$  the orbit corresponding to a signed matrix  $\mathbf{a}$ , and introduce the following notation.

$$(2.6) \quad \text{sup}(\mathbf{a}) = (i, j), \quad \text{if } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{a}} \subset \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d},i} \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d},j}.$$

The maps  $\Phi'$  and  $\Phi''$  are bijective from Proposition 2.1 and [CFW24, Proposition 4.2].



**2.2. The double centralizer.** Let  $v$  be an indeterminate and  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ . We define

$$\mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}_F(V)}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ)$$

to be the space of  $\mathrm{SO}_F(V)$ -invariant  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued (generic) functions on  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ$ . For  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_\circ$ , we denote by  $e_{\mathfrak{a}}$  the characteristic function of the corresponding orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$  is a free  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with basis  $\{e_{\mathfrak{a}} \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_\circ\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \in \Xi_\circ$ . We fix  $L, L' \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ$  such that  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}}$  and set

$$g_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c};q} = \#\{\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ \mid (L, \tilde{L}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}, (\tilde{L}, L') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{b}}\}.$$

It is well known that there exists a polynomial  $g_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$  such that  $g_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c};q} = g_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}}|_{v=\sqrt{q}}$ . We define a convolution product  $*$  as follows:

$$e_{\mathfrak{a}} * e_{\mathfrak{b}} = \sum_{\mathfrak{c}} g_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}} e_{\mathfrak{c}}.$$

Then we set

$$\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ = \mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ.$$

The algebras  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ$  are called the Schur algebras of affine type D.

Similarly, we define the free  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules

$$\mathbf{V}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}_F(V)}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ \times \mathcal{Y}_d^\circ) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}_{d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}_F(V)}(\mathcal{Y}_d^\circ \times \mathcal{Y}_d^\circ).$$

A similar convolution product gives an associative algebra structure on  $\mathbf{H}_{d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$ . According to [CFW24, Proposition 4.6], we have  $\mathbf{H}_{d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$  is isomorphic to the (extended) affine Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{D}}$ .

There is a left  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$ -action and a right  $\mathbf{H}_{d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$ -action on  $\mathbf{V}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$ . For any  $f \in \mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ, g \in \mathbf{V}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$  and  $h \in \mathbf{H}_{d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$ ,

$$f \cdot g(L, \Lambda) = \sum_{L' \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ} f(L, L')g(L', \Lambda), \quad g \cdot h(L, \Lambda) = \sum_{\Lambda' \in \mathcal{Y}_d^\circ} g(L, \Lambda')h(\Lambda', \Lambda).$$

Moreover, these two actions commute and hence we have the following  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra homomorphisms:

$$\mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{H}_{d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ}(\mathbf{V}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}_{d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ}(\mathbf{V}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ).$$

By [P09, Theorem 2.1], we have the following double centralizer property.

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{H}_{d;\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}}(\mathbf{V}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}) \simeq \mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  and  $\text{End}_{\mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}}(\mathbf{V}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{d;\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ , if  $n \leq D$ .

**2.3. Canonical bases of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ .** In this subsection, we assume that the ground field is an algebraic field is an algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  of  $\mathbb{F}$  when we talk about the dimension of a  $\text{SO}_F(V)$ -orbit or its stabilizer.

Fix  $L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ . For  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , we set

$$X_{\mathfrak{a}}^L = \{L' \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}} \mid (L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}}\}.$$

This is an orbit of the stabilizer subgroup  $\text{Stab}_{\text{SO}_F(V)}(L)$  of  $\text{SO}_F(V)$ , and one can associate to it a structure of quasi-projective algebraic variety. Now, we compute its dimension  $d(\mathfrak{a})$ .

We have the following analogue of [FLLLW20, lemma 4.1.1]

**Lemma 2.3.** Fix  $L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ . For  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , the dimension of  $X_{\mathfrak{a}}^L$  is given by

$$(2.7) \quad d(\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\substack{i \geq k, j < l \\ i \in [1, n]}} a_{ij} a_{kl} - \sum_{i \geq 1 > j} a_{ij} - \sum_{i \geq r+1 > j} a_{ij} \right).$$

For any  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , let

$$[\mathfrak{a}] = v^{-d(\mathfrak{a})} e_{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Denote by  $A^t$  the transposition matrix of  $A$ . For any  $\mathfrak{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , we define  $\mathfrak{a}^t = (A^t, \alpha')$ , where

$$\alpha' = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \text{sup}(\mathfrak{a}) = (1, 1), (2, 2); \\ -\alpha & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 2.4.** For any  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , we have

$$d(\mathfrak{a}) - d(\mathfrak{a}^t) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in [1, n]} a_{ij}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \in [1, n]} a_{ji}^2.$$

Hence the  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -linear involution  $\Psi : \mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  defined by  $[\mathfrak{a}] \mapsto [\mathfrak{a}^t]$  is an algebra anti-automorphism.

Define a partial order " $\leq$ " on  $\Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  by  $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{b}$  if  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{b}}}$ . For any  $\mathfrak{a} = (A, \alpha)$ ,  $\mathfrak{b} = (B, \alpha')$  in  $\Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , we say that  $\mathfrak{a} \preceq \mathfrak{b}$  if and only if  $\alpha = \alpha'$  and the following condition hold.

$$\sum_{k \geq i, l \leq j} a_{k,l} \leq \sum_{k \geq i, l \leq j} b_{k,l}, \quad \forall i > j.$$

Since the Bruhat order of affine type D is compatible with the Bruhat order of affine type A, we see that the partial order " $\leq$ " is compatible with (though possibly weaker than) the Bruhat order of affine type D.

Let  $IC_{\mathfrak{a}}$  be the intersection cohomology complex of the closure  $\overline{X_{\mathfrak{a}}^L}$  of  $X_{\mathfrak{a}}^L$ , taken in certain ambient algebraic variety over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ , such that the restriction of the stratum  $IC_{\mathfrak{a}}$  to  $X_{\mathfrak{a}}^L$  is the constant sheaf on  $X_{\mathfrak{a}}^L$ . We refer to [BBD82] for the precise definition of intersection complexes. The restriction of the  $i$ -th cohomology sheaf  $\mathcal{H}_{X_{\mathfrak{b}}^L}^i(IC_{\mathfrak{a}})$  of  $IC_{\mathfrak{a}}$  to  $X_{\mathfrak{b}}^L$  for  $\mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{a}$  is a trivial local system, whose rank is denoted by  $n_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a},i}$ . We set

$$\{\mathfrak{a}\}_d = \sum_{\mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{a}} P_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a}}[\mathfrak{b}], \quad \text{where } P_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} n_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a},i} v^{i-d_{\mathfrak{a}}+d_{\mathfrak{b}}}.$$

The polynomials  $P_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a}}$  satisfy

$$P_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a}} = 1, \quad \text{and } P_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{a}} \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}] \text{ for any } \mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{a}.$$

Recall that the set  $\{\{\mathfrak{a}\} \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}\}$  forms a  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -basis of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ . We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** *The set  $\{\{\mathfrak{a}\}_d \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}\}$  forms an  $\mathcal{A}$ -basis of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d,\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  and a  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -basis of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ , called the canonical basis. Moreover, the structure constants of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  with respect to the canonical basis are in  $\mathbb{N}[v, v^{-1}]$ .*

**2.4. Multiplication formulas of Chevalley generators.** Given  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $E^{ij}$  be the  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  matrix whose  $(k, l)$ -th entries are 1, for all  $(k, l) \equiv (i, j) \pmod{n}$ , and 0 otherwise. We set

$$E_{\theta}^{ij} = E^{ij} + E^{1-i, 1-j}.$$

We now give the multiplication formulas of Chevalley generators. The following lemma is a counterpart of [Lu99, Proposition 3.5].

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Assume that  $h \in [1, r-1]$ .*

(a) *Assume that  $\mathbf{b} = (B, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $B - E_{\theta}^{h, h+1}$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{b}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then*

$$(2.8) \quad e_{\mathbf{b}} * e_{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{h+1, p} \geq 1} v^{2 \sum_{j > p} a_{h, j}} \frac{v^{2(1+a_{h, p})} - 1}{v^2 - 1} e_{(A + E_{\theta}^{h, p} - E_{\theta}^{h+1, p}, \alpha)}.$$

(b) *Assume that  $\mathbf{c} = (C, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $C - E_{\theta}^{h+1, h}$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{c}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then*

$$(2.9) \quad e_{\mathbf{c}} * e_{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}, a_{h, p} \geq 1} v^{2 \sum_{j < p} a_{h+1, j}} \frac{v^{2(1+a_{h+1, p})} - 1}{v^2 - 1} e_{(A + E_{\theta}^{h+1, p} - E_{\theta}^{h, p}, \alpha)}.$$

(c) *Assume that  $\mathbf{n} = (D, -\alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $D - E_{\theta}^{0, 1}$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then*

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{\mathbf{n}} * e_{\mathbf{a}} &= \sum_{p \leq 0, a_{0, p} \geq 1} v^{2 \sum_{j < p} a_{1, j}} \frac{v^{2(1+a_{1, p})} - 1}{v^2 - 1} e_{(A + E_{\theta}^{1, p} - E_{\theta}^{0, p}, -\alpha)} \\ &+ \sum_{p > 0, a_{0, p} \geq 1} v^{2(\sum_{j < p} a_{1, j} - 1)} \frac{v^{2(1+a_{1, p})} - 1}{v^2 - 1} e_{(A + E_{\theta}^{1, p} - E_{\theta}^{0, p}, -\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

(c') *Assume that  $\mathbf{n}' = (D', -\alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $D - E_{\theta}^{r, r+1}$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}') = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then*

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{\mathbf{n}'} * e_{\mathbf{a}} &= \sum_{p \leq r, a_{r, p} \geq 1} v^{2 \sum_{j < p} a_{r+1, j}} \frac{v^{2(1+a_{r+1, p})} - 1}{v^2 - 1} e_{(A + E_{\theta}^{r+1, p} - E_{\theta}^{r, p}, -\alpha)} \\ &+ \sum_{p > r, a_{r, p} \geq 1} v^{2(\sum_{j < p} a_{r+1, j} - 1)} \frac{v^{2(1+a_{r+1, p})} - 1}{v^2 - 1} e_{(A + E_{\theta}^{r+1, p} - E_{\theta}^{r, p}, -\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof of cases (a) and (b) is essentially the same as the proof of [Lu99, Proposition 3.5]. We now prove the case (c) as follows. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\alpha = +$ . Let  $L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $L' = (L'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $L'' = (L''_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be filtrations of lattices in  $\mathcal{X}_{n, d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{n}}$  and  $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Then  $L_i = L'_i$  for  $i \in [1, r]$  and  $|L_0/L_0 \cap L'_0| = 1$ . Moreover, we have  $L \in \mathcal{X}_{n, d}^{\mathfrak{d}, 2}$ . Assume that

$$L_0 \cap L'_0 \cap L''_j = L'_0 \cap L''_j, \text{ for } j < p; \quad L_0 \cap L'_0 \cap L''_j \neq L'_0 \cap L''_j, \text{ for } j \geq p.$$

Then  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{O}_{(A+E_\theta^{1,p}+E_\theta^{0,p}, -)}$ , and we have the following:

$$e_n * e_a = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}, a_0, p \geq 1} G_{a, n; p} e_{(A+E_\theta^{1,p}-E_\theta^{0,p}, -)}.$$

Now, we calculate the  $G_{a, n; p}$ . Let  $L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, L' = (L'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{X}_{n, d}^\circ$  such that  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{O}_{(A+E_\theta^{1,p}+E_\theta^{0,p}, -)}$ . Consider the set  $Z_p$  of filtrations of lattices  $L'' = (L''_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{X}_{n, d}^\circ$  such that  $(L, L'') \in \mathcal{O}_n$  and  $(L'', L') \in \mathcal{O}_a$ . Then  $L_i = L''_i$  for  $i \in [1, r]$  and  $|L_0/L_0 \cap L''_0| = 1$ . Moreover, we have

$$L_0 \cap L''_0 \cap L'_j = L_0 \cap L'_j, \text{ for } j < 1-p; \quad L_0 \cap L''_0 \cap L'_j \neq L_0 \cap L'_j, \text{ for } j \geq 1-p.$$

If  $p \leq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sharp(Z_p) &= \sharp\{L''_0 \mid L_{-1} + L_0 \cap L'_{-p} \subset L''_0 \cap L_0\} - \sharp\{L''_0 \mid L_{-1} + L_0 \cap L'_{1-p} \subset L''_0 \cap L_0\} \\ &= (q-1)^{-1} (q^{|L_0/L_{-1}+L_0 \cap L'_{-p}|} - q^{|L_0/L_{-1}+L_0 \cap L'_{1-p}|}) \\ &= (q-1)^{-1} (q^{\sum_{j \geq 1-p} a_{0, j+1}} - q^{\sum_{j > 1-p} a_{0, j}}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $p > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sharp(Z_p) &= \sharp\{L''_0 \mid L_{-1} + L_0 \cap L'_{-p} \subset L''_0 \cap L_0\} - \sharp\{L''_0 \mid L_{-1} + L_0 \cap L'_{1-p} \subset L''_0 \cap L_0\} \\ &= (q-1)^{-1} (q^{|L_0/L_{-1}+L_0 \cap L'_{-p}|} - q^{|L_0/L_{-1}+L_0 \cap L'_{1-p}|}) \\ &= (q-1)^{-1} (q^{\sum_{j \geq 1-p} a_{0, j}} - q^{\sum_{j > 1-p} a_{0, j-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies case (c) is verified. The case (c') is the counterpart of the case (c), and hence we omit it.  $\square$

Given two integers  $a, b$  and  $b \geq 0$ . We set

$$[a] = \frac{v^{2a} - 1}{v^2 - 1}, \quad \text{and} \quad [a, b] = \prod_{i \in [1, b]} \frac{v^{2(a-i+1)} - 1}{v^{2i} - 1}.$$

We define a bar involution  $'-'$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$ .

By an induction process, we now generalize Lemma 2.6 to some multiplication formulas by ‘‘divided powers’’ of Chevalley generators. The proof involves lengthy mechanical computations and is hence skipped.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Assume that  $R \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h \in [1, r-1]$ .*

(a) *Assume that  $\mathbf{b} = (B, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $B - RE_{\theta}^{h,h+1}$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{b}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then*

$$(2.12) \quad e_{\mathbf{b}} * e_{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_t v^{2\sum_{j>u} a_{h,j}t_u} \prod_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} [a_{h,u} + t_u, t_u] e_{(A + \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} t_u (E_{\theta}^{h,u} - E_{\theta}^{h+1,u}), \alpha)},$$

where the sum runs over all sequences  $t = (t_u)_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $0 \leq t_u \leq a_{h+1,u}$  and  $\sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} t_u = R$ . Moreover,

$$[\mathbf{b}] * [\mathbf{a}] = \sum_t v^{\beta(t)} \prod_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{[a_{h,u} + t_u, t_u]} [(A + \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} (E_{\theta}^{h,u} - E_{\theta}^{h+1,u}), \alpha)],$$

where the sum is over  $t$  as in (2.12) and

$$\beta(t) = \sum_{j \geq u} a_{h,j}t_u - \sum_{j > u} a_{h+1,j}t_u + \sum_{u < u'} t_u t_{u'}.$$

(b) *Assume that  $\mathbf{c} = (C, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $C - RE_{\theta}^{h+1,h}$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{c}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then*

$$(2.13) \quad e_{\mathbf{c}} * e_{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_t v^{2\sum_{j < u} a_{h+1,j}t_u} \prod_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} [a_{h+1,u} + t_u, t_u] e_{(A + \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} t_u (E_{\theta}^{h+1,u} - E_{\theta}^{h,u}), \alpha)},$$

where the sum runs over all sequences  $t = (t_u)_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $0 \leq t_u \leq a_{h,u}$  and  $\sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} t_u = R$ . Moreover,

$$[\mathbf{c}] * [\mathbf{a}] = \sum_t v^{\beta'(t)} \prod_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{[a_{h+1,u} + t_u, t_u]} [(A - \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} t_u (E_{\theta}^{h,u} - E_{\theta}^{h+1,u}), \alpha)],$$

where the sum is over  $t$  as in (2.13) and

$$\beta'(t) = \sum_{j \leq u} a_{h+1,j}t_u - \sum_{j < u} a_{h,j}t_u + \sum_{u < u'} t_u t_{u'}.$$

(c) *Assume that  $\mathbf{n} = (D, -\alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  satisfies  $D - E_{\theta}^{0,1}$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then*

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} [\mathbf{n}] * [\mathbf{a}] &= \sum_{p \leq 0, a_{0,p} \geq 1} v^{\sum_{j \leq p} a_{1,j} - \sum_{j < p} a_{0,j}} \overline{[a_{1,p} + 1]} [(A - E_{\theta}^{0,p} + E_{\theta}^{1,p}, -\alpha)] \\ &+ \sum_{p > 1, a_{0,p} \geq 1} v^{\sum_{j \geq p} a_{0,j} - \sum_{j > p} a_{1,j} - 1} \overline{[a_{1,p} + 1]} [(A - E_{\theta}^{0,p} + E_{\theta}^{1,p}, -\alpha)]. \end{aligned}$$

(c') Assume that  $\mathbf{n}' = (D', -\alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  satisfies  $D' - E_{\theta}^{r,r+1}$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}') = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$ . Then

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} [\mathbf{n}'] * [\mathbf{a}] &= \sum_{p \leq r, a_{r,p} \geq 1} v^{\sum_{j \leq p} a_{r+1,j} - \sum_{j < p} a_{r,j}} \overline{[a_{r+1,p} + 1]} [(A - E_{\theta}^{r,p} + E_{\theta}^{r+1,p}, -\alpha)] \\ &+ \sum_{p > r, a_{r,p} \geq 1} v^{\sum_{j \geq p} a_{r,j} - \sum_{j > p} a_{r+1,j} - 1} \overline{[a_{r+1,p} + 1]} [(A - E_{\theta}^{r,p} + E_{\theta}^{r+1,p}, -\alpha)]. \end{aligned}$$

**2.5. The leading term.** In the expression “[ $\mathbf{a}$ ] + lower terms” below, the “lower terms” represents a linear combination of elements strictly less than  $\mathbf{a}$  with respect to the partial order “ $\preceq$ ”.

**Lemma 2.8.** Assume that  $h \in [1, r-1]$ , and  $R$  is a positive integer.

(a) Assume that  $\mathbf{b} = (B, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  and  $B - RE_{\theta}^{h,h+1}$  is diagonal. Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{b}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(2.16) \quad a_{h,j} = 0, \forall j \geq k; \quad a_{h+1,k} = R, \quad a_{h+1,j} = 0, \forall j > k, \text{ for } k \geq h+1.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{b}] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A + R(E_{\theta}^{h,k} - E_{\theta}^{h+1,k}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms}.$$

(b) Assume that  $\mathbf{c} = (C, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  and  $C - RE_{\theta}^{h+1,h}$  is diagonal. Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{c}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(2.17) \quad a_{h,j} = 0, \forall j < k; \quad a_{h,k} = R, \quad a_{h+1,j} = 0, \forall j \leq k, \text{ for } k \leq h.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{c}] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A - R(E_{\theta}^{h,k} - E_{\theta}^{h+1,k}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms}.$$

(c<sub>1</sub>) Assume that  $\mathbf{n} = (D, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , if  $R$  is even and  $D - RE_{\theta}^{0,1}$  is diagonal. Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(2.18) \quad a_{0,j} = 0, \forall j \geq k; \quad a_{1,k} = R, \quad a_{1,j} = 0, \forall j > k, \text{ for } k \geq 1.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{n}] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A + R(E_{\theta}^{0,k} - E_{\theta}^{1,k}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms}.$$

( $c'_1$ ) Assume that  $\mathbf{n} = (D, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , if  $R$  is odd and  $D - RE_{\theta}^{0,1}$  is diagonal. Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, -\alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(2.19) \quad a_{0,j} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq k; \quad a_{1,k} = R, \quad a_{1,j} = 0, \quad \forall j > k, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{n}] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A + R(E_{\theta}^{0,k} - E_{\theta}^{1,k}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms.}$$

( $c'_2$ ) Assume that  $\mathbf{n}' = (D', \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , if  $R$  is even and  $D - RE_{\theta}^{r,r+1}$  is diagonal. Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}') = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(2.20) \quad a_{r,j} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq k; \quad a_{r+1,k} = R, \quad a_{r+1,j} = 0, \quad \forall j > k, \quad \text{for } k \geq r + 1.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{n}'] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A + R(E_{\theta}^{r,k} - E_{\theta}^{r+1,k}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms.}$$

( $c'_3$ ) Assume that  $\mathbf{n}' = (D', \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , if  $R$  is odd and  $D - RE_{\theta}^{r,r+1}$  is diagonal. Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, -\alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}') = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(2.21) \quad a_{r,j} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq k; \quad a_{r+1,k} = R, \quad a_{r+1,j} = 0, \quad \forall j > k, \quad \text{for } k \geq r + 1.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{n}'] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A + R(E_{\theta}^{r,k} - E_{\theta}^{r+1,k}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms.}$$

*Proof.* The proof of cases (a) and (b) is the same as the one for [FLLLW20, Lemma 4.4.1].

For the case of ( $c_1$ ), we set that

$$M = A + R(E_{\theta}^{1,k} - E_{\theta}^{0,k}), \quad M' = A + \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} t_u (E_{\theta}^{1,u} - E_{\theta}^{0,u}),$$

with  $\sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} t_u = R'$  for some even integer  $R' \leq R$  and  $t_u = 0$  unless  $u \in (1 - k, k]$ . The  $(r, s)$ -th entry  $m_{rs}$  of  $M$  is

$$m_{rs} = a_{rs} + R \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{s,k+ln} (\delta_{r,ln} - \delta_{r,1+ln}) - R \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{s,1-k+ln} (\delta_{r,ln} - \delta_{r,1+ln}).$$

Note that

$$(2.22) \quad \sum_{r \leq i, s \geq j} R \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{s,k+ln} (\delta_{r,ln} - \delta_{r,1+ln})$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{cases} R, & \text{if } i = l_1 n, j \leq k + l_1 n, \text{ for some } l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
 (2.23) \quad &\sum_{r \leq i, s \geq j} -R \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{s, 1-k+ln} (\delta_{r, ln} - \delta_{r, 1+ln}) \\
 &= \begin{cases} -R, & \text{if } i = l_1 n, j \leq 1 - k + l_1 n, \text{ for some } l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $M'$ , the  $(r, s)$ -th entry  $m'_{rs}$  of  $M'$  is

$$m'_{rs} = a_{rs} + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} t_{s-ln} (\delta_{r, ln} - \delta_{r, 1+ln}) - \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} t_{1-s+ln} (\delta_{r, ln} - \delta_{r, 1+ln}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.24) \quad &\sum_{r \leq i, s \geq j} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} t_{s-ln} (\delta_{r, ln} - \delta_{r, 1+ln}) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \sum_{s \geq j-l_1 n} t_s, & \text{if } i = l_1 n, \text{ for some } l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.25) \quad &\sum_{r \leq i, s \geq j} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} -t_{1-s+ln} (\delta_{r, ln} - \delta_{r, 1+ln}) \\
 &= \begin{cases} -\sum_{s \leq 1+l_1 n-j} t_s, & \text{if } i = l_1 n, \text{ for some } l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that  $(M', \alpha) \preceq (M, \alpha)$  when  $\mathbf{a}$  is subject to the condition (2.18). It suffices to show (2.22)  $\geq$  (2.24) and (2.23)  $\geq$  (2.25) when  $i < j$ . Since (2.23) is always equal to 0 when  $i < j$ , we have (2.23)  $\geq$  (2.25). If  $i = l_1 n < j \leq k + l_1 n$ , then  $\sum_{s \geq j-l_1 n} t_s \leq R$ . On the other hand, if  $i = l_1 n$  and  $j > k + l_1 n$ , we see that  $\sum_{s \geq j-l_1 n} t_s \geq \sum_{s \geq k+1} t_s = 0$ . Thus, we have  $(M', \alpha) \preceq (M, \alpha)$ .

We now verify the coefficient of  $(M, \alpha)$  is 1. We set  $\mathbf{b}' = (B', \alpha)$  and  $\mathbf{b}'' = (B', -\alpha)$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{b}') = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and  $B' - E_\theta^{0,1}$  is diagonal, then

$$(e_{\mathbf{b}'} * e_{\mathbf{b}''})^{\frac{R}{2}} = [2] \cdots [R] e_n + \sum g_{\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{b}'', t} e_t,$$

and

$$(e_{\mathfrak{b}'} * e_{\mathfrak{b}''})^{\frac{R}{2}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = [2] \cdots [R] e_{(M, \alpha)} + \text{lower terms},$$

where  $\mathfrak{t} = (T, \alpha)$  and  $T - R'E_{\theta}^{0,1}$  is diagonal for some even integers  $R' < R$ . By induction, we have

$$e_{\mathfrak{t}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum G_{\mathfrak{a}', v} e_{\mathfrak{a}'},$$

where  $\mathfrak{a}'$  is the form of  $(M', \alpha)$  and  $(M', \alpha) \prec (M, \alpha)$ . Then we have

$$e_{\mathfrak{n}} * e_{\mathfrak{a}} = e_{(M, \alpha)} + \text{lower terms}.$$

According to Lemma 2.3, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathfrak{n}) &= R d_{0,0} + \frac{R^2 - R}{2}, \\ d((M, \alpha)) - d(\mathfrak{a}) &= R \sum_{1-k \leq j < k} a_{0,j} - \frac{R^2 + R}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_{0,0}$  is the  $(0,0)$ -th entry of the matrix  $D$ . Since  $R + d_{0,0} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{0,j}$  and  $a_{0,j} = 0$  unless  $1 - k \leq j < k$ , then

$$(2.26) \quad d((M, \alpha)) - d(\mathfrak{a}) = d(\mathfrak{n}),$$

which is as required. The cases  $(c_2), (c'_1)$  and  $(c'_2)$  are the counterparts with  $(c_1)$ , and hence we skip it.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Assume that  $h \in [1, r - 1]$ , and  $R$  is a positive integer.*

(a) *Assume that  $\mathfrak{b} = (B, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $B - RE_{\theta}^{h, h+1}$  is diagonal and  $R = R_0 + \cdots + R_l$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathfrak{b}) = \text{ro}(\mathfrak{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:*

$$a_{h,j} = 0, \forall j \geq k; \quad a_{h+1,k} \geq R_0, \quad a_{h+1,k+i} = R_i, \quad i \in [1, l], \quad a_{h+1,j} = 0, \quad \forall j > k + l.$$

*Then we have*

$$[\mathfrak{b}] * [\mathfrak{a}] = [(A + \sum_{i=0}^l R_i (E_{\theta}^{h, k+i} - E_{\theta}^{h+1, k+i}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms}.$$

(b) Assume that  $\mathbf{c} = (C, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $C - RE_{\theta}^{h+1, h}$  is diagonal and  $R = R_0 + \cdots + R_l$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{c}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$a_{h+1, j} = 0, \forall j \leq k + l; a_{h, k+i} = R_i, i \in [0, l-1], a_{h, k+l} \geq R_l, a_{h, j} = 0, \forall j < k.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{c}] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A - \sum_{i=0}^l R_i (E_{\theta}^{h, k+i} - E_{\theta}^{h+1, k+i}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms.}$$

(c<sub>1</sub>) Assume that  $\mathbf{n} = (D, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , if  $R$  is even and  $D - RE_{\theta}^{0,1}$  is diagonal, we assume that  $R = R_0 + \cdots + R_l$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$a_{0, j} = 0, \forall j \geq k \geq 1; a_{1, k} \geq R_0, a_{1, k+i} = R_i, i \in [1, l], a_{1, j} = 0, \forall j > k + l.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{n}] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A + \sum_{i=0}^l R_i (E_{\theta}^{0, k+i} - E_{\theta}^{1, k+i}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms.}$$

(c'<sub>1</sub>) Assume that  $\mathbf{n} = (D, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , if  $R$  is odd and  $D - RE_{\theta}^{0,1}$  is diagonal, we assume that  $R = R_0 + \cdots + R_l$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, -\alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$a_{0, j} = 0, \forall j \geq k \geq 1; a_{1, k} \geq R_0, a_{1, k+i} = R_i, i \in [1, l], a_{1, j} = 0, \forall j > k + l.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{n}] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A + \sum_{i=0}^l R_i (E_{\theta}^{0, k+i} - E_{\theta}^{1, k+i}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms.}$$

(c<sub>2</sub>) Assume that  $\mathbf{n}' = (D', \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , if  $R$  is even and  $D - RE_{\theta}^{r, r+1}$  is diagonal, we assume that  $R = R_0 + \cdots + R_l$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}') = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$a_{r, j} = 0, \forall j \geq k \geq r + 1; a_{r+1, k} \geq R_0, a_{r+1, k+i} = R_i, i \in [1, l], a_{r+1, j} = 0, \forall j > k + l.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{n}'] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A + \sum_{i=0}^l R_i(E_\theta^{r,k+i} - E_\theta^{r+1,k+i}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms.}$$

(c<sub>2</sub>') Assume that  $\mathbf{n}' = (D', \alpha) \in \Xi_\mathfrak{d}$ , if  $R$  is odd and  $D - RE_\theta^{r,r+1}$  is diagonal, we assume that  $R = R_0 + \cdots + R_l$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (A, -\alpha) \in \Xi_\mathfrak{d}$  such that  $\text{co}(\mathbf{n}') = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$a_{r,j} = 0, \forall j \geq k \geq r+1; a_{r+1,k} \geq R_0, a_{r+1,k+i} = R_i, i \in [1, l] a_{r+1,j} = 0, \forall j > k+l.$$

Then we have

$$[\mathbf{n}'] * [\mathbf{a}] = [(A + \sum_{i=0}^l R_i(E_\theta^{r,k+i} - E_\theta^{r+1,k+i}), \alpha)] + \text{lower terms.}$$

*Proof.* The proofs of cases (a) and (b) is clear by the results of Lemma 2.8 and Proposition 2.7. We now prove the case (c<sub>1</sub>'). Let  $\eta = (A + \sum_{i=0}^l R_i(E_\theta^{0,k+i} - E_\theta^{1,k+i}), \alpha)$ . By Lemma 2.8, we get that  $[\eta]$  is the leading term, we only to consider the coefficient of it. By induction, we have

$$e_{\mathbf{n}} * e_{\mathbf{a}} = e_\eta + \text{lower terms.}$$

So it is left to verify  $d(\eta) - d(\mathbf{a}) = d(\mathbf{n})$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\eta) - d(\mathbf{a}) &= \sum_{u \in [0, l]} t_u \left( \sum_{1-k \leq j < k} a_{0,j} + \sum_{u' < u} t_{u'} \right) - \sum_{u \in [0, l]} \frac{t_u^2 + t_u}{2}, \\ d(\mathbf{n}) &= Rd_{0,0} + \frac{R^2 - R}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have  $\sum_{1-k \leq j < k} a_{0,j} = d_{0,0} + t_0$ , which is as required.  $\square$

### 3. THE LUSZTIG ALGEBRA $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\mathfrak{d}$ AND ITS BASIS

In this section, we show that there is a subalgebra  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\mathfrak{d}$  of Schur algebra and formulate a coideal algebra type structure which involves  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\mathfrak{d}$  and Shcur algebra of affine type A, and its behavior on the chevalley generators. The canonical basis of the Lusztig algebra are shown to be compatible under the inclusion  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\mathfrak{d} \subset \mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\mathfrak{d}$ .

**3.1. The Lusztig algebra  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$ .** Let  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ$  generated by all elements  $[\mathfrak{b}]$  such that  $\mathfrak{b} = (B, \alpha)$  satisfies  $B$  or  $B - E_\theta^{h,h+1}$  is diagonal for various  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$  denote the  $\mathcal{A}$ -subalgebra of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d,\mathcal{A}}^\circ$  generated by all elements  $[\mathfrak{b}]$  such that  $\mathfrak{b} = (B, \alpha)$  satisfies  $B$  or  $B - RE_\theta^{h,h+1}$  is diagonal for various  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $R \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** The algebra  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$  is called the Lusztig algebra (of affine type D).

The definition of aperiodic signed matrix is same as the definition of type A in [Lu99].

**Definition 3.2.** A signed matrix  $\mathfrak{a} = (A, \alpha)$  is called if  $A$  is aperiodic.

Denote by  $\Xi_{n,d}^{ap}$  and  $\Xi_\circ^{ap}$  the sets of aperiodic matrices in  $\Xi_{n,d}$  and aperiodic signed matrices in  $\Xi_\circ$ , respectively. A product of standard basis elements  $[\mathfrak{b}_1] * \cdots * [\mathfrak{b}_m]$  is called an aperiodic monomial if for each  $i \in [1, m]$ , such that  $B_i - RE_\theta^{j,j+1}$  is diagonal for some  $R \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The following aperiodic monomial is an analogue of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}$  (Lusztig algebra of affine type A) in [Lu99].

**Lemma 3.3.** For any  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Xi_\circ^{ap}$ , there exists an aperiodic monomial  $\zeta_{\mathfrak{a}} = [\mathfrak{b}_1] * \cdots * [\mathfrak{b}_m]$  such that  $[\mathfrak{b}_1] * \cdots * [\mathfrak{b}_m] = [\mathfrak{a}] + \text{lower terms}$ .

*Proof.* Given  $\mathfrak{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \Xi_\circ$ , we set

$$f_{k;s,t}(\mathfrak{a}) = (A - \sum_{s \leq j \leq t} a_{k-1,j} (E_\theta^{k-1,j} - E_\theta^{k,j}), \alpha') \in \Xi_\circ,$$

where  $\alpha' = \alpha$  unless  $k \equiv 1 \pmod r$  and  $\sum_{s \leq j \leq t} a_{k-1,j}$  is even.

Let  $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{i \in [1,n], i < j} |j - i| a_{ij}$ . It is apparent that  $\Psi(f_{k;s,t}(\mathfrak{a})) \leq \Psi(\mathfrak{a})$  for all  $k, s$  and  $t$  with  $k \leq s \leq t$ , and the equality holds if and only if

$$a_{k-1,j} = 0, \quad \forall s \leq j \leq t.$$

We prove it by induction on  $\Psi(\mathfrak{a})$ . If  $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ , then  $A$  is diagonal.

Assume that  $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}) > 0$  and there exist aperiodic monomials for all  $\mathfrak{a}'$  such that  $\Psi(\mathfrak{a}') < \Psi(\mathfrak{a})$ . Set  $m = \min\{l \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } j - i > l\}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is aperiodic, there exists  $a_{k,k+m} = 0$  and  $a_{k-1,k+m-1} \neq 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $u = \max\{s \leq k + m - 1 \mid f_{k;s,k+m-1}(\mathbf{a})\}$ . It is clear that  $u \geq k$  and  $a_{k,l} = 0$  for all  $l > u$ . Otherwise, there exists  $j > u$  such that  $a_{kj} \neq 0$ . Then  $f_{k;j,k+m-1}(\mathbf{a})$  is aperiodic, it is absurd.

We set  $\mathbf{b} = (B, \alpha) \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that  $\text{ro}(f_{k;s,t}(\mathbf{a})) = \text{co}(\mathbf{b})$  and  $B - \sum_u^{k+m-1} a_{k-1,j} E_{\theta}^{k-1,k}$  is diagonal. By the results of Lemma 2.9, we have

$$[\mathbf{b}] * [f_{k;s,t}(\mathbf{a})] = [\mathbf{a}] + \text{lower terms.}$$

By induction on  $\Psi(\mathbf{a})$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

We shall use the notation  $\mathcal{L} \stackrel{1}{\subset} \mathcal{L}'$  to denote that  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}'$  and  $|\mathcal{L}'/\mathcal{L}| = 1$ . For  $1 \leq i < r$  and  $1 \leq a \leq r$ , we define the following functions, for any  $L = (L_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, L' = (L'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$

$$\mathbf{E}_i(L, L') = \begin{cases} v^{-|L'_{i+1}/L'_i|}, & \text{if } L_i \stackrel{1}{\subset} L'_i, L_j = L'_j, \forall j \in [0, r] \setminus \{i\}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_i(L, L') = \begin{cases} v^{-|L'_i/L_{i-1}|}, & \text{if } L'_i \stackrel{1}{\subset} L_i, V_j = V'_j, \forall j \in [1, r] \setminus \{i\}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_a^{\pm}(L, L') = \begin{cases} v^{\pm|L'_a/L_{a-1}|}, & \text{if } L = L'; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_0(L, L') = \begin{cases} v^{1-|L'_0/L'_{-1}|}, & \text{if } L_0 \cap L'_0 \stackrel{1}{\subset} L_0, L_j = L'_j, \forall j \in [1, r]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_r(L, L') = \begin{cases} v^{1-|L'_r/L'_{r-1}|}, & \text{if } L_r \cap L'_r \stackrel{1}{\subset} L_r, L_j = L'_j, \forall j \in [0, r-1]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_+(L, L') = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } L = L', \text{ and } L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d},1}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_-(L, L') = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } L = L', \text{ and } L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d},2}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{H}_{i+1} \mathbf{H}_i^{-1}.$$

**Proposition 3.4.** *The elements  $\mathbf{E}_i, \mathbf{F}_i, \mathbf{K}_i$  for  $i \in [1, r-1]$  and  $\mathbf{J}_\pm, \mathbf{T}_0, \mathbf{T}_r$  satisfy the following relations in  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$ .*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{J}_\pm \mathbf{J}_\pm &= \mathbf{J}_\pm, \quad \mathbf{J}_\pm \mathbf{J}_\mp = 0, \\
 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_r &= \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{T}_0, \quad \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{K}_j = \mathbf{K}_j \mathbf{K}_i, \\
 \mathbf{J}_\pm \mathbf{E}_i &= \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{J}_\pm, \quad \mathbf{J}_\pm \mathbf{F}_i = \mathbf{F}_i \mathbf{J}_\pm, \\
 \mathbf{J}_\pm \mathbf{T}_0 &= \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{J}_\mp, \quad \mathbf{J}_\pm \mathbf{T}_r = \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{J}_\mp, \\
 \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{E}_j &= v^{2\delta_{ij} - \delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i+1,j}} \mathbf{E}_j \mathbf{K}_i, \\
 \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{F}_j &= v^{-2\delta_{ij} + \delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i+1,j}} \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{K}_i, \\
 \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{T}_0 &= \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{K}_i, \quad \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{T}_r, \\
 \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{F}_j - \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{E}_i &= \delta_{ij} \frac{\mathbf{K}_i - \mathbf{K}_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}, \\
 \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{E}_j &= \mathbf{E}_j \mathbf{E}_i, \quad \mathbf{F}_i \mathbf{F}_j = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{F}_i, \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \\
 \mathbf{E}_i^2 \mathbf{E}_j + \mathbf{E}_j \mathbf{E}_i^2 &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{E}_j \mathbf{E}_i, \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \\
 \mathbf{F}_i^2 \mathbf{F}_j + \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{F}_i^2 &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{F}_i \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{F}_i, \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \\
 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{E}_i &= \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{T}_0, \quad \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{F}_i = \mathbf{F}_i \mathbf{T}_0, \quad \text{if } i \in [2, r-1] \\
 \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{E}_i &= \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{T}_r, \quad \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{F}_i = \mathbf{F}_i \mathbf{T}_r, \quad \text{if } i \in [1, r-2] \\
 \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{T}_0^2 + \mathbf{T}_0^2 \mathbf{E}_1 &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{T}_0 + \mathbf{E}_1, \\
 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{E}_1^2 + \mathbf{E}_1^2 \mathbf{T}_0 &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{E}_1, \\
 \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{T}_0^2 + \mathbf{T}_0^2 \mathbf{F}_1 &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{T}_0 + \mathbf{F}_1, \\
 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{F}_1^2 + \mathbf{F}_1^2 \mathbf{T}_0 &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{F}_1, \\
 \mathbf{E}_{r-1} \mathbf{T}_r^2 + \mathbf{T}_r^2 \mathbf{E}_{r-1} &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{E}_{r-1} \mathbf{T}_r + \mathbf{E}_{r-1}, \\
 \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{E}_{r-1}^2 + \mathbf{E}_{r-1}^2 \mathbf{T}_r &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_{r-1} \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{E}_{r-1}, \\
 \mathbf{F}_{r-1} \mathbf{T}_r^2 + \mathbf{T}_r^2 \mathbf{F}_{r-1} &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{F}_{r-1} \mathbf{T}_r + \mathbf{F}_{r-1}, \\
 \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{F}_{r-1}^2 + \mathbf{F}_{r-1}^2 \mathbf{T}_r &= (v + v^{-1}) \mathbf{F}_{r-1} \mathbf{T}_r \mathbf{F}_{r-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The verification of the relations is essentially reduced to the finite type computations, which is given in [FL14, Proposition 6.2.1], and hence we omit it.  $\square$

The following lemma is an analogue of [FL14, Corollary 4.6.6], which follows by a standard Vandermonde determinant type argument.

**Lemma 3.5.** *The algebra  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$  is generated by  $\mathbf{E}_i, \mathbf{F}_i, \mathbf{K}_i$  for  $1 \leq i < r$  and  $\mathbf{J}_\pm, \mathbf{T}_0, \mathbf{T}_r$ .*

**3.2. A raw comultiplication.** For any  $A \in \Xi_{n,d}$ , we set

$$(3.1) \quad [A^\pm] = [(A, +)] + [(A, -)] \in \mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ.$$

Denote by  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ$  the subalgebra of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ$  spanned by  $[A^\pm]$  for all  $A \in \Xi_{n,d}$ . Then  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ$  admits the following decomposition

$$\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ = \mathbf{J}_+ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ \oplus \mathbf{J}_- \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ.$$

For any  $f \in \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ$  and  $(L, L') \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ$ , we have  $f(L, L') = f(gL, gL'), \forall g \in \mathbf{O}_F(V)$ . Moreover, the set  $\{\{A^\pm\}_d \mid A \in \Xi_{n,d}\}$  forms a basis of  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ$  (called the canonical basis), where  $\{A^\pm\}_d = \{(A, +)\}_d + \{(A, -)\}_d$ .

Let  $V''$  be an isotropic  $F$ -subspace of dimension  $d''$ . Then  $V' = V''^\perp/V''$  is a vector space of dimension  $2d'$  with its symmetric form induced from  $V$  where  $d' = d - d''$ .

Recall  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}$  of affine type A in [FLLLW20, Lu99]. The Schur algebra  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}$  admits a standard basis  $\{[A]^\mathbf{a} \mid A \in \Theta_{n,d}\}$  and a canonical basis  $\{\{A\}_d^\mathbf{a} \mid A \in \Theta_{n,d}\}$ , where

$$(3.2) \quad \Theta_{n,d} = \left\{ A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \text{Mat}_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{N}) \mid a_{ij} = a_{i+n, j+n}, \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{ij} = d \right\}.$$

Moreover, the Lusztig subalgebra  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}$  of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}$  is generated by the Chevalley generators  $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i$  and  $\mathbf{h}_i$  such that  $\mathbf{e}_{i+n} = \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_{i+n} = \mathbf{f}_i$  and  $\mathbf{h}_{i+n} = \mathbf{h}_i$ .

Given a periodic chain  $L$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ$ , we can define a periodic chain  $L'' = \pi''(L) \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\circ$  (of affine type A) by setting  $L''_i = L_i \cap V''$ . We also define a periodic chain  $L' = \pi^\natural(L) \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\circ$  by setting  $L'_i = (L_i \cap V''^\perp + V'')/V''$  for all  $i$ . Given any pair  $(L', L'') \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\circ \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\circ$ , we set

$$\mathcal{Z}_{L', L''}^\circ = \{L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ \mid \pi^\natural(L) = L', \pi''(L) = L''\}.$$



The same argument as in [FLLLW20] shows that there is a well-defined map

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\Delta}^\circ : \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d'}^\circ \otimes \mathbf{S}_{n,d''}, \quad \forall d' + d'' = d,$$

given by

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{\Delta}^\circ(f)(L', \tilde{L}', L'', \tilde{L}'') = \sum_{\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{Z}_{L', \tilde{L}''}^\circ} f(L, \tilde{L}), \quad \forall L', \tilde{L}' \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\circ, L'', \tilde{L}'' \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d''},$$

where  $L$  is a fixed element in  $\mathcal{Z}_{L', \tilde{L}''}^\circ$ .

Following the argument of [Lu00, Proposition 1.5], which is formal and not reproduced here, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.** *The map  $\tilde{\Delta}^\circ$  is an algebra homomorphism.*

Now we determine how the map  $\tilde{\Delta}^\circ$  acts on the generators.

**Proposition 3.7.** *For any  $i \in [1, r-1]$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}^\circ(\mathbf{E}_i) &= \mathbf{E}'_i \otimes \mathbf{h}''_{i+1} \mathbf{h}''_{n-i}{}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}'_{i+1}{}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}''_i \mathbf{h}''_{n-i}{}^{-1} + \mathbf{H}'_{i+1} \otimes \mathbf{f}''_{n-i} \mathbf{h}''_{i+1}, \\ \tilde{\Delta}^\circ(\mathbf{F}_i) &= \mathbf{F}'_i \otimes \mathbf{h}''_{i-1}{}^{-1} \mathbf{h}''_{n+1-i} + \mathbf{H}'_i \otimes \mathbf{f}''_i \mathbf{h}''_{n+1-i} + \mathbf{H}'_i{}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}''_{n-i} \mathbf{h}''_{i-1}{}^{-1}, \\ \tilde{\Delta}^\circ(\mathbf{T}_r) &= \mathbf{T}'_r \otimes \mathbf{k}''_r + v \mathbf{H}'_{r+1}{}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}''_r \mathbf{h}''_r{}^{-1} + v^{-1} \mathbf{H}'_{r+1} \otimes \mathbf{f}''_r \mathbf{h}''_{r+1}, \\ \tilde{\Delta}^\circ(\mathbf{T}_0) &= \mathbf{T}'_0 \otimes \mathbf{k}''_0 + v \mathbf{H}'_1{}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}''_0 \mathbf{h}''_0{}^{-1} + v^{-1} \mathbf{H}'_1 \otimes \mathbf{f}''_0 \mathbf{h}''_1, \\ \tilde{\Delta}^\circ(\mathbf{K}_i) &= \mathbf{K}'_i \otimes \mathbf{k}''_i \mathbf{k}''_{n-i}{}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the subscripts  $'$  and  $''$  indicate that the underlying Chevalley generators lie in  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d'}^\circ$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d''}$ , respectively.

*Proof.* The cases for  $\mathbf{E}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_i$  and  $\mathbf{K}_i$  follow from a similar argument to [FL15, Lemma 3.2.1].

For any  $L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ$ , we have

$$|L_{i+1}/L_i| = |L'_{i+1}/L'_i| + |L''_{i+1}/L''_i| + |L''_{n-i}/L''_{n-1-i}|.$$

By definition, we have

$$\tilde{\Delta}^\circ(\mathbf{T}_0)(L', \tilde{L}', L'', \tilde{L}'') = v^{1-|\tilde{L}'_1/\tilde{L}'_0|} \# S,$$

where  $S = \{\check{L} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\check{L}', \check{L}''}^{\mathfrak{d}} \mid L_0 \cap \check{L}_0 \stackrel{1}{\subset} L_0, L_j = \check{L}_j, \forall 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ . The set  $S$  is nonempty only when the quadruple  $(L', \check{L}', L'', \check{L}'')$  is in one of the following three cases.

- (i)  $L'_0 \cap \check{L}'_0 \stackrel{1}{\subset} L'_0$ ,  $L'_j = \check{L}'_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , and  $L'' = \check{L}''$ ,
- (ii)  $L' = \check{L}'$ , and  $L''_0 \stackrel{1}{\subset} \check{L}''_0$ ,  $L''_j = \check{L}''_j$  for  $j \neq 0 \pmod n$ ,
- (iii)  $L' = \check{L}'$ , and  $L''_0 \stackrel{1}{\supset} \check{L}''_0$ ,  $L''_j = \check{L}''_j$  for  $j \neq 0 \pmod n$ .

We now compute the number  $\#S$  in case (i). This amounts to count all lines  $\langle u \rangle$ , spanned by the vector  $u$  such that  $L_0 + \langle u \rangle \subset L_1$  and  $\pi''(L_0 + \langle u \rangle) = L''_0, \pi^{\natural}(L_0 + \langle u \rangle) = L'_0 + \check{L}'_0$ . We need to find those  $u$  such that  $u + V'' = u'$ , where  $u'$  is a fixed element in  $V''^{\perp}/V''$  such that  $L'_0 + \langle u' \rangle = L'_0 + \check{L}'_0$ . Then  $u$  is of the form  $t + w$  where  $w \in L''_1$  and  $t$  is a fixed element in  $V''^{\perp}$  such that  $t + V'' = u'$ . Since adding  $w$  by any vector in  $L''_0$  does not change the resulting space  $L_0 + \langle u \rangle$ , we see that the freedom of choice for  $w$  is  $L''_1 \pmod L''_0$ , i.e.,  $L''_1/L''_0$ . So the value of  $\tilde{\Delta}^{\mathfrak{d}}(\mathbf{T}_0)(L', \check{L}', L'', \check{L}'')$  is equal to

$$v^{1-|\check{L}_1/\check{L}_0|} q^{|L''_1/L''_0|} = v^{1-|\check{L}'_1/\check{L}'_0|} v^{-|\check{L}''_0/\check{L}''_{-1}|+|\check{L}'_1/\check{L}'_0|} = (\mathbf{T}'_0 \otimes \mathbf{k}''_0)(L', \check{L}', L'', \check{L}'').$$

For case (ii),  $S$  consists of only one element. So we see that the value of  $\tilde{\Delta}^{\mathfrak{d}}(\mathbf{T}_0)(L', \check{L}', L'', \check{L}'')$  is equal to

$$v^{1-|\check{L}_1/\check{L}_0|} = v^{1-|\check{L}'_1/\check{L}'_0|} v^{-|\check{L}''_1/\check{L}''_0|} v^{-|\check{L}''_0/\check{L}''_{-1}|} = (v\mathbf{H}'_1{}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}''_0 \mathbf{h}''_0{}^{-1})(L', \check{L}', L'', \check{L}'').$$

For case (iii), we amount to count the lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $|L_0/\mathcal{L}| = 1$  and  $\mathcal{L} \cap V'' = \check{L}''_0$ . Also, we have that the number of  $\mathcal{L}$  is equal  $\#S$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \#S &= \#\{\mathcal{L} \mid \check{L}''_0 + L_{-1} \subset \mathcal{L} \stackrel{1}{\subset} L_0\} - \#\{\mathcal{L} \mid L''_0 + L_{-1} \subset \mathcal{L} \stackrel{1}{\subset} L_0\} \\ &= q^{|L''_0/L''_{-1}|+|L''_1/L''_0|} = q^{|\check{L}''_0/\check{L}''_{-1}|+|\check{L}'_1/\check{L}'_0|-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the value of  $\tilde{\Delta}^{\mathfrak{d}}(\mathbf{T}_0)(L', \check{L}', L'', \check{L}'')$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} v^{1-|\check{L}_1/\check{L}_0|} q^{|\check{L}''_0/\check{L}''_{-1}|+|\check{L}'_1/\check{L}'_0|-1} &= v^{|\check{L}'_1/\check{L}'_0|-1} v^{-|\check{L}''_0/\check{L}''_{-1}|+|\check{L}'_1/\check{L}'_0|} \\ &= (v^{-1}\mathbf{H}'_1 \otimes \mathbf{f}''_0 \mathbf{h}''_1)(L', \check{L}', L'', \check{L}''). \end{aligned}$$

We check the identity of  $\mathbf{T}_0$ , the case of  $\mathbf{T}_r$  is similar, and we omit it.  $\square$

Recall  $\Lambda_{n,d}$  in the affine type A from [FLLLW20]

$$\Lambda_{n,d} = \left\{ \lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid \lambda_i = \lambda_{i+n}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i = d \right\}.$$

Similarly, the set  $\mathcal{X}_{n,d}$  can be decomposed as follows:

$$\mathcal{X}_{n,d} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{a}=(a_i) \in \Lambda_{n,d}} \mathcal{X}_{n,d}(\mathbf{a}), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{X}_{n,d}(\mathbf{a}) = \{L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d} \mid |L_i/L_{i-1}| = a_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Given  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \Lambda_{n,d}^\circ$ , let  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$  be the subspace of  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ$  spanned by the elements  $[A^\pm]$  such that  $\text{ro}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b}$  and  $\text{co}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}$  for all  $A \in \Xi_{n,d}$ . Similarly, for  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \Lambda_{n,d}$ , we define the affine type A counterpart  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ . Let  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}''}^\circ$  be the component of  $\tilde{\Delta}^\circ$  from  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$  to  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d'}^\circ(\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}') \otimes \mathbf{S}_{n,d''}(\mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}'')$  such that  $b_i = b'_i + b''_i + b''_{1-i}$  and  $a_i = a'_i + a''_i + a''_{1-i}$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We set

$$s(\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}'') = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq n} b'_k b''_j - a'_k a''_j,$$

and

$$u(\mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}'') = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k, j \leq n \\ k+j \leq n+1}} b''_k b''_j - a''_k a''_j + \sum_{r < k \leq n} a''_k - b''_k \right),$$

for all  $\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}' \in \Lambda_{n,d'}^\circ$  and  $\mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}'' \in \Lambda_{n,d''}$ . We renormalize  $\tilde{\Delta}^\circ$  to be  $\Delta^{\circ\dagger}$  by letting

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}''}^{\circ\dagger} &= v^{s(\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}'') + u(\mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}'')} \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}''}^\circ \\ \Delta^{\circ\dagger} &= \bigoplus_{\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}''} \Delta_{\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}'', \mathbf{a}''}^{\circ\dagger} : \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d'}^\circ \otimes \mathbf{S}_{n,d}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us study the restriction of  $\Delta^{\circ\dagger}$  to  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $d = d' + d''$ . For all  $i \in [1, r-1]$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\circ\dagger}(\mathbf{E}_i) &= \mathbf{E}'_i \otimes \mathbf{k}''_i + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}''_i + \mathbf{K}'_i \otimes \mathbf{f}''_{n-i} \mathbf{k}''_i, \\ \Delta^{\circ\dagger}(\mathbf{F}_i) &= \mathbf{F}'_i \otimes \mathbf{k}''_{n-i} + \mathbf{K}'_i{}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{k}''_{n-i} \mathbf{f}''_i + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}''_{n-i}, \\ \Delta^{\circ\dagger}(\mathbf{T}_0) &= \mathbf{T}'_0 \otimes \mathbf{k}''_0 + v^{-2d' - d'' + 1} \otimes \mathbf{e}''_0 + v^{-2+2d' + d''} \otimes \mathbf{f}''_0 \mathbf{k}''_0, \\ \Delta^{\circ\dagger}(\mathbf{T}_r) &= \mathbf{T}'_r \otimes \mathbf{k}''_r + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}''_r + v^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}''_r \mathbf{k}''_r, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta^{\partial^\dagger}(\mathbf{K}_i) = \mathbf{K}'_i \otimes \mathbf{k}''_i \mathbf{k}''_{n-i}^{-1}.$$

Here the superscripts follows the same convention as in Proposition 3.7.

Recall  $\xi_{d,i,c} : \mathbf{S}_{n,d} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n,d}$  in affine type A from [FL15]. We define the algebra homomorphism

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta^\partial = (1 \otimes \xi_{d'',0,-(2d'+d''-1)}) \circ \Delta^{\partial^\dagger} : \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\partial \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d'}^\partial \otimes \mathbf{S}_{n,d''}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \Lambda_{n,d}^\partial$ . Fix  $L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{b})$  and let  $P_{\mathbf{b}} = \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{O}_F(V)}(L)$ . We have a natural embedding

$$\iota_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}} : \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{b}) \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a}), \quad L' \mapsto (L, L').$$

It is well known that  $\iota_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}}$  induces the following isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules:

$$\iota_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}}^* : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_F(V)}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{b}) \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a})) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a})).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{a}''}^\partial = \{L \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a}) \mid \pi^\natural(L) \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\partial(\mathbf{a}'), \pi''(L) \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\partial(\mathbf{a}'')\}.$$

Then we have the following diagram

$$\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a}) \xleftarrow{\iota} \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{a}''}^\partial \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\partial(\mathbf{a}') \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\partial(\mathbf{a}''),$$

where  $\iota$  is the imbedding and  $\pi(L) = (\pi^\natural(L), \pi''(L))$ . By identifying  $\mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}'}} \times_{P_{\mathbf{b}''}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a}') \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\partial(\mathbf{a}'')) = \mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}'}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\partial(\mathbf{a}')) \times \mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}''}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\partial(\mathbf{a}''))$ , we have the following map

$$\pi_! \iota^* : \mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}'}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\partial(\mathbf{a}')) \times \mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}''}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\partial(\mathbf{a}'')).$$

By a similar argument as for [FL15, Lemma 1.3.5], the following diagram commutes:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_F(V)}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{b}) \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a})) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}}^*} & \mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\partial(\mathbf{a})) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{b}'',\mathbf{a}''}^\partial & & \downarrow \pi_! \iota^* \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_F(2d')}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\partial(\mathbf{b}') \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\partial(\mathbf{a}')) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{a}'}^* \otimes \iota_{\mathbf{b}'',\mathbf{a}''}^*} & \mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}'}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d'}^\partial(\mathbf{a}')) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbf{b}''}}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\partial(\mathbf{a}'')) \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}_F(2d'')}(\mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\partial(\mathbf{b}'') \times \mathcal{X}_{n,d''}^\partial(\mathbf{a}'')) & & \end{array}$$

Recall that  $\Delta^\diamond : \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\diamond \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d'}^\diamond \otimes \mathbf{S}_{n,d''}$  from (3.5). By an argument similar to [FL15, Section 2.4] and (3.6), the positivity for  $\Delta^\diamond$  follows from [Br03, Theorem 8].

**Proposition 3.9.** *For  $A \in \Xi_{n,d}$ , write*

$$\Delta^\diamond(\{A^\pm\}_d) = \sum_{A' \in \Xi_{n,d'}, A'' \in \Theta_{n,d''}} h_A^{A', A''} \{A'^\pm\}_{d'}^\diamond \otimes \{A''\}_{d''}^{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Then  $h_A^{A', A''} \in \mathbb{N}[v, v^{-1}]$  for all  $A, A'$  and  $A''$ .

Let  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\diamond$  be the subalgebra of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}$  generated by all elements  $[B^\pm]$  such that  $B$  or  $B - E_\theta^{h, h+1}$  is diagonal for various  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is clear that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\diamond$  is generated by  $\mathbf{E}_i, \mathbf{F}_i, \mathbf{K}_i$  and  $\mathbf{T}_0, \mathbf{T}_r$  for  $i \in [1, r-1]$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $d = d' + d''$ . We have a homomorphism  $\Delta^\diamond : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\diamond \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d'}^\diamond \otimes \mathbf{U}_{n,d''}$ . More precisely, for all  $i \in [1, r-1]$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^\diamond(\mathbf{E}_i) &= \mathbf{E}'_i \otimes \mathbf{k}''_i + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}''_i + \mathbf{K}'_i \otimes \mathbf{f}''_{n-i} \mathbf{k}''_i, \\ \Delta^\diamond(\mathbf{F}_i) &= \mathbf{F}'_i \otimes \mathbf{k}''_{n-i} + \mathbf{K}'_i{}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{k}''_{n-i} \mathbf{f}''_i + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}''_{n-i}, \\ \Delta^\diamond(\mathbf{T}_0) &= \mathbf{T}'_0 \otimes \mathbf{k}''_0 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}''_0 + v^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}_0 \mathbf{k}_0, \\ \Delta^\diamond(\mathbf{T}_r) &= \mathbf{T}'_r \otimes \mathbf{k}''_r + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}''_r + v^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}_r \mathbf{k}_r, \\ \Delta^\diamond(\mathbf{K}_i) &= \mathbf{K}'_i \otimes \mathbf{k}''_i \mathbf{k}''_{n-i}{}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the comultiplication  $\Delta$  in the affine type A from [FL15]. This is an algebra homomorphism

$$\Delta : \mathbf{S}_{n,d} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n,d'} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{n,d''},$$

defined by

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathbf{e}_i) &= \mathbf{e}'_i \otimes \mathbf{k}''_i + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}''_i, \\ \Delta(\mathbf{f}_i) &= \mathbf{f}'_i \otimes 1 + \mathbf{k}''_i{}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}''_i, \\ \Delta(\mathbf{k}_i) &= \mathbf{k}'_i \otimes \mathbf{k}''_i, \quad \forall i \in [1, n]. \end{aligned}$$

Here the superscripts follows the same convention as in Proposition 3.7.

**Proposition 3.11.** *The following coassociativity holds on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\circ$ :*

$$(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta^\circ = (\Delta^\circ \otimes 1)\Delta^\circ.$$

*Proof.* we can compute directly on the generators. □

Now setting  $d' = 0$ , we have  $\mathbf{E}'_i = 0, \mathbf{F}'_i = 0, \mathbf{T}'_0 = 0, \mathbf{T}'_r = 0$  and  $\mathbf{K}'_i = 1$  in  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,0}^\circ$ , and  $\Delta^\circ$  becomes the following algebra homomorphism

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} j_{n,d} : \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ &\rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n,d} \\ j_{n,d}(\mathbf{E}_i) &= \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{f}_{n-i}, & j_{n,d}(\mathbf{F}_i) &= \mathbf{e}_{n-i} + \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{k}_{n-i}, \\ j_{n,d}(\mathbf{T}_0) &= \mathbf{e}_0 + v^{-1} \mathbf{f}_0 \mathbf{k}_0, & j_{n,d}(\mathbf{T}_r) &= \mathbf{e}_r + v^{-1} \mathbf{f}_r \mathbf{K}_r, \\ j_{n,d}(\mathbf{K}_i) &= \mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_{n-i}^{-1}, \quad \forall i \in [1, r-1]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows by restriction that we have also a homomorphism  $j_{n,d} : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n,d}$ . The same argument as in [FLLLW20, Proposition 5.3.6] gives us the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.12.** *The homomorphism  $j_{n,d} : \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n,d}$  (and  $j_{n,d} : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n,d}$ ) is injective.*

Proposition 3.9 in our setting of  $d' = 0$  gives us the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.13.** *The map  $j_{n,d}$  sends a canonical basis element in  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ$  to a sum of canonical basis elements of  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{N}[v, v^{-1}]$ .*

**3.3. Canonical basis of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$ .** Let  $\mathfrak{N} = [\mathbf{b}_1] * \cdots * [\mathbf{b}_m]$  be a non-zero aperiodic monomial in  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ$ , where  $\mathbf{b}_i = (B_i, \alpha_i)$ . Without loss of generality, we set that  $\alpha_1 = +$ , then  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathbf{J}_+[B_1^\pm] * \cdots * [B_m^\pm]$ .

By a similar argument as for [FLLLW20, Proposition 5.4.2], we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.14.** *Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be an aperiodic monomial in  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{N} = \sum c_{\mathbf{a}} \{\mathbf{a}\}_d$  where  $c_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ . If  $c_{\mathbf{a}} \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{a}$  must be aperiodic.*

From Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.14, we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.15.** *The set  $\{\{\mathbf{a}\}_d \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{ap}\}$  forms a basis of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ , called the canonical basis. Also, the set  $\{\zeta_{\mathbf{a}} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{ap}\}$  forms a basis (called a monomial basis) of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{ap}$ , we have  $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}} = [\mathbf{a}] + \text{lower terms}$  by Lemma 3.3. By Proposition 3.14, we can write  $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}} \in \{\mathbf{a}\}_d + \sum_{\mathbf{a}' < \mathbf{a}} \mathcal{A}\{\mathbf{a}'\}_d$ , where  $\mathbf{a}' \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{ap}$ . By an induction on  $\mathbf{a}$  by the partial ordering, we conclude that  $\{\mathbf{a}\}_d \in \mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Since the set  $\{\{\mathbf{a}\}_d \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{ap}\}$  is clearly linearly independent and it forms a spanning set of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  by Proposition 3.14, it is a basis of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ .

Since the transition matrix from  $\{\zeta_{\mathbf{a}} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{ap}\}$  to the canonical basis is uni-triangular,  $\{\zeta_{\mathbf{a}} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{ap}\}$  forms a basis as well.  $\square$

We have the following corollary of Proposition 3.13 and Theorem 3.15.

**Corollary 3.16.** *The set  $\{\{A\}_d^{\mathfrak{d}} \mid A \in \Xi_{n,d}^{ap}\}$  forms a basis (called a canonical basis) of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Moreover, the image of  $\mathcal{J}_{n,d}$  of a canonical basis element in  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  is a sum of canonical basis elements of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{N}[v, v^{-1}]$ .*

#### 4. CORRESPONDING QUANTUM SYMMETRIC PAIR

In this section, we introduce the transfer maps  $\phi_{d,d-n}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  on algebra  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ , and construct (idempotent) quantum algebras  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  and  $\mathbf{U}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  from the projective systems of algebras  $\{(\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}, \phi_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}})\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We show that  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  is a coideal subalgebra of  $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ , and  $(\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n), \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}})$  forms an affine quantum symmetric pair.

**4.1. The algebras  $\mathbf{U}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$ .** Recall [Lu00] there exists a homomorphism  $\chi_n : \mathbf{S}_{n,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(v)$  such that

$$\chi_n(\mathbf{e}_i) = \chi_n(\mathbf{f}_i) = 0, \quad \chi_n(\mathbf{h}_i) = v.$$

Following Lusztig [Lu00], we introduce the transfer map

$$\tilde{\phi}_{d,d-n}^{\mathfrak{d}} : \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d-n}^{\mathfrak{d}},$$

which is by definition the composition of the following homomorphisms (for  $d \geq n$ )

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{\phi}_{d,d-n}^{\mathfrak{d}} : \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Delta}^{\mathfrak{d}}} \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d-n}^{\mathfrak{d}} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{n,n} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \chi_n} \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d-n}^{\mathfrak{d}}.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *For  $i \in [1, r-1]$ , we have  $\tilde{\phi}_{d,d-n}^\circ(\mathbf{E}_i) = \mathbf{E}'_i$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_{d,d-n}^\circ(\mathbf{F}_i) = \mathbf{F}'_i$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_{d,d-n}^\circ(\mathbf{K}_i) = \mathbf{K}'_i$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_{d,d-n}^\circ(\mathbf{T}_0) = \mathbf{T}'_0$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_{d,d-n}^\circ(\mathbf{T}_r) = \mathbf{T}'_r$ .*

Recall that  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ = \mathbf{J}_+ \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ \oplus \mathbf{J}_- \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ$ , we define the algebra homomorphism

$$(4.2) \quad \phi_{n,d}^\circ : \mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{n,d-n}^\circ, \quad \phi_{n,d}^\circ(\mathbf{J}_\pm x) \mapsto \mathbf{J}'_\pm \tilde{\phi}_{n,d}^\circ(x), \quad \forall x \in \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n,d}^\circ.$$

Now we consider the projective system  $\{(\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ, \phi_{d+n,d}^\circ)\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$  and its projective limit:

$$\mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^\circ := \varprojlim_d \mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ = \left\{ x \equiv (x_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ \mid \phi_{d,d-n}^\circ(x_d) = x_{d-n}, \forall d \right\}.$$

Denote by  $\phi_d^\circ : \mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$  the natural projection. Since the bar involution commutes with the transfer map  $\phi_{d,d-n}^\circ$ , there exists a bar involution  $\bar{\cdot} : \mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^\circ$ . Also, we have an integral version:  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\infty;A}^\circ = \varprojlim_d \mathbf{U}_{n,d;A}^\circ$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_A \mathbf{U}_{n,d;A}^\circ = \mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$  for all  $d$ , we have  $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_A \mathbf{U}_{n,\infty;A}^\circ = \mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^\circ$ . Similarly, we get the projective limit  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,\infty}^\circ = \varprojlim_d \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\circ$  of the projective system  $\{(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\circ, \tilde{\phi}_{d+n,d}^\circ)\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$  and denote by  $\tilde{\phi}_d^\circ : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,\infty}^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\circ$  the natural projection.

We define elements  $\mathbf{E}_i, \mathbf{F}_i, \mathbf{K}_i^{\pm 1}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_0, \mathbf{T}_r, \mathbf{J}_\pm$  for  $i \in [1, r-1]$  in  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^\circ$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{E}_i)_d &= \mathbf{E}_{i,d}, \quad (\mathbf{F}_i)_d = \mathbf{F}_{i,d}, \quad (\mathbf{K}_i^{\pm 1})_d = \mathbf{K}_{i,d}^{\pm 1}, \\ (\mathbf{T}_0)_d &= \mathbf{T}_{0,d}, \quad (\mathbf{T}_r)_d = \mathbf{T}_{r,d}, \quad (\mathbf{J}_\pm)_d = \mathbf{J}_{\pm,d}, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  in the subscript of  $\mathbf{E}_{i,d}$  etc. indicates  $\mathbf{E}_{i,d}$  is a copy of the Chevalley generator  $\mathbf{E}_i$  in  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^\circ$  generated by (the Chevalley generators)  $\mathbf{E}_i, \mathbf{F}_i, \mathbf{K}_i^{\pm 1}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_0, \mathbf{T}_r$  for  $i \in [1, r-1]$ . Also, denote  $\mathbf{U}_n^\circ$  to be the subalgebra of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^\circ$  generated by  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$  and  $\mathbf{J}_\pm$ .

Let

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^\circ = \{\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i = \lambda_{i+n}, \lambda_i = \lambda_{1-i}\}.$$

Let  $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n$ . Define an equivalence relation  $\approx$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_n^\circ$  by letting  $\lambda \approx \mu$  if and only if  $\lambda - \mu = (\cdots, p, p, p, \cdots)$ , for some even integer  $p$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n^\circ / \approx$  be the set of equivalence classes with respect to the equivalence relation  $\approx$ ; and let  $\hat{\lambda}$  be the equivalence class of  $\lambda$ .



Fix  $\widehat{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathfrak{d}} / \approx$ , we define the element  $1_{\widehat{\lambda}} \in \mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  as follows.  $(1_{\widehat{\lambda}})_d = 0$  if  $d \not\equiv |\lambda| \pmod{2n}$ . If  $d = |\lambda| + pn$  for some even integer  $p$ , we have  $(1_{\widehat{\lambda}})_d = 1_{\lambda+pI}$ , where  $1_{\lambda} = [D_{\widehat{\lambda}}^{\pm}]$  and  $D_{\lambda}$  is diagonal matrix whose diagonal is  $\lambda$ . Here  $\lambda + pI$  is understood as  $\lambda + (\cdots, p, p, p, \cdots)$ , and  $1_{\lambda+pI} \in \mathbf{U}_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  is understood to be zero if there is a negative entry in  $\lambda + pI$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  be the  $\mathbf{U}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$ -bimodule in  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  generated by  $1_{\widehat{\lambda}}$  for all  $\widehat{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathfrak{d}} / \approx$ .

Similarly, we have  $\mathbb{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\mathbf{U}}_{n;\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}} = \dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$ , where  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{n;\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  is the  $\mathcal{A}$ -subalgebra of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}^{\mathfrak{d}}$  such that generated by  $\mathbf{E}_i^{(a)} 1_{\widehat{\lambda}}, \mathbf{F}_i^{(a)} 1_{\widehat{\lambda}}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_0^{(a)} 1_{\widehat{\lambda}}, \mathbf{T}_r^{(a)} 1_{\widehat{\lambda}}, \mathbf{J}_{\pm} 1_{\widehat{\lambda}}$ , for  $i \in [1, r-1]$  and  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Xi}_n &= \{A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a_{ij} \geq 0 \ (i \neq j), a_{ij} = a_{1-i, 1-j} = a_{i+n, j+n}, \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}} &= \widetilde{\Xi}_n \times \{+\} \sqcup \widetilde{\Xi}_n \times \{-\}, \\ \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}}^{ap} &= \{(A, \alpha) \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}} \mid (A, \alpha) \text{ is aperiodic}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}}$ , we shall denote by

$$|\mathbf{a}| = d,$$

if  $\sum_{i=i_0+1}^{i_0+n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij} = 2d$  for some (or each)  $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We set, for  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}}^d = \{\mathbf{a} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}} \mid |\mathbf{a}| = d\}, \quad \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}} = \sqcup_d \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}}^d.$$

Clearly, we have that  $\Xi_{\mathfrak{d}} \subset \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}}^d$ .

We define an equivalence relation  $\approx$  on  $\widetilde{\Xi}_{n,\mathfrak{d}}^{ap}$  by

$$\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha) \approx \mathbf{b} = (B, \alpha') \text{ iff } \alpha = \alpha' \text{ and } A - B = pI, \text{ for some even integer } p,$$

where  $I = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} E_{\theta}^{ii}$ , and let  $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}$  be the equivalence class of  $\mathbf{a}$ . We define  $\text{ro}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}) = \widehat{\text{ro}(\mathbf{a})}$  and  $\text{co}(\widehat{\mathbf{a}}) = \widehat{\text{co}(\mathbf{a})}$ , and they are elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathfrak{d}} / \approx$ . We can define the element  $\zeta_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}}$  in  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  by  $(\zeta_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}})_d = 0$  unless  $d = |\mathbf{a}| \pmod{2n}$ , and if  $|\mathbf{a}| = d + p/2n$  for some even integer  $p$ ,  $(\zeta_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}})_d = \zeta_{\mathbf{a}+pI}$ , where  $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}+pI}$  is the monomial basis attached to  $\mathbf{a} + pI$  in Theorem 3.15. Since  $\phi_{d,d-n}^{\mathfrak{d}}(\zeta_{\mathbf{a}+pI}) = \zeta_{\mathbf{a}+(p-2)I}$ , we see that  $\zeta_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}} \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$ .

The following linear independence is reduced to the counterpart at the Schur algebra level, by an argument similar to [LW15, Theorem 5.5].

**Proposition 4.3.** *The set  $\{\zeta_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}} \mid \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,0}^{ap}/\approx\}$  is linearly independent.*

Next, we show that  $\{\zeta_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}} \mid \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,0}^{ap}/\approx\}$  is indeed a basis for  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$ . For simplicity, we write  $\mathbf{F}_r$  (resp.  $\mathbf{F}_n$ ) for  $\mathbf{T}_r$  (resp.  $\mathbf{T}_0$ ) and  $\mathbf{F}_{n-i}$  for  $\mathbf{E}_i$  for  $i \in [1, r-1]$ . For  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{n,d}^\circ$  and a pair  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a})$ , where  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_s)$  and  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$  with  $1 \leq i_j \leq n$  and  $a_j \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $j$ , we denote

$${}_d\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a},\lambda} = \mathbf{F}_{i_1}^{(a_1)} \cdots \mathbf{F}_{i_s}^{(a_s)} 1_\lambda \in \mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ,$$

Then  $\mathbf{J}_{\pm d}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a},\lambda}$  exhaust all possible monomials in  $\mathbf{U}_{n,d}^\circ$ .

The same argument as for [FLLLW20, Proposition 6.2.3] gives us the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Fix a triple  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}, \lambda)$  with  $|\lambda| = d$ . There is a finite subset  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a},\lambda}$  of  $\{\mathbf{a} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,0}^{ap} \mid |\mathbf{a}| = d\}$  such that*

$${}_{d+pn}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a},\lambda+2p\mathbf{l}} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{a},\lambda}} c_{\mathbf{a}} \zeta_{2p\mathbf{a}}, \quad \forall p, \text{ where } c_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{A} \text{ is independent of } p.$$

Following the argument of [FLLLW20, Proposition 6.2.4], which is formal and not reproduced here, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.5.** *The set  $\{\zeta_{\widehat{\mathbf{a}}} \mid \widehat{\mathbf{a}} \in \widetilde{\Xi}_{n,0}^{ap}/\approx\}$  forms a basis for  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$  and an  $\mathcal{A}$ -basis for  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{n;\mathcal{A}}^\circ$ .*

**4.2. Bilinear form on  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$ .** Imitating MaGerty [Mc12] in affine type A, we define a bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_d$  on  $\mathbf{S}_{n,d}^\circ$  as follows

$$\langle [\mathbf{a}], [\mathbf{a}'] \rangle_d = \delta_{[\mathbf{a}], [\mathbf{a}']} v^{-2d(\mathbf{a}^t)} \sharp X_{\mathbf{a}^t}^{L'},$$

where  $L' \in \mathcal{X}_{n,d}^\circ(\text{ro}(\mathbf{a}^t))$ . With the help of the identity (2.7), the same argument as in [Mc12, Proposition 3.2] gives us the following.

**Proposition 4.6.** *We have  $\langle [\mathbf{a}] * [\mathbf{b}], [\mathbf{c}] \rangle_d = \langle [\mathbf{b}], v^{d(\mathbf{a})-d(\mathbf{a}^t)} [\mathbf{a}^t] * [\mathbf{c}] \rangle_d$ .*

**Corollary 4.7.** *For all  $i \in [1, r-1]$ , we have the following:*

$$\langle \mathbf{E}_i[\mathbf{a}_1], [\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d = \langle [\mathbf{a}_1], v\mathbf{K}_i\mathbf{F}_i[\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d, \quad \langle \mathbf{F}_i[\mathbf{a}_1], [\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d = \langle [\mathbf{a}_1], v^{-1}\mathbf{E}_i\mathbf{K}_i^{-1}[\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathbf{T}_0[\mathbf{a}_1], [\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d &= \langle [\mathbf{a}_1], \mathbf{T}_0[\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d, & \langle \mathbf{T}_r[\mathbf{a}_1], [\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d &= \langle [\mathbf{a}_1], \mathbf{T}_r[\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d, \\ \langle \mathbf{J}_\pm[\mathbf{a}_1], [\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d &= \langle [\mathbf{a}_1], \mathbf{J}_\pm[\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d, & \langle \mathbf{K}_i[\mathbf{a}_1], [\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d &= \langle [\mathbf{a}_1], \mathbf{K}_i[\mathbf{a}_2] \rangle_d,\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The cases for  $\mathbf{K}_i$  and  $\mathbf{J}_\pm$  is clear.

If  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha)$  and  $A - E_\theta^{i+1, i}$  is diagonal for some  $i \in [1, r-1]$ . Thus, we have

$$\mathbf{a}^t = (A^t, \alpha), \quad d(\mathbf{a}) = \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad d(\mathbf{a}^t) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})_i = \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_i - 1.$$

Hence,  $d(\mathbf{a}) - d(\mathbf{a}^t) = \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_{i+1} - \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_i + 1$ . Moreover, we have

$$v\mathbf{K}_i[\mathbf{a}^t] * [\mathbf{a}_2] = v^{1+\text{co}(\mathbf{a})_{i+1}-\text{co}(\mathbf{a})_i}[\mathbf{a}^t] * [\mathbf{a}_2],$$

which implies the case for  $\mathbf{E}_i$ .

We now prove the case  $\mathbf{F}_i$ . If  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha)$  and  $A - E_\theta^{i, i+1}$  is diagonal for some  $i \in [1, r-1]$ . Thus, we have

$$\mathbf{a}^t = (A^t, \alpha), \quad d(\mathbf{a}) = \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_i \quad \text{and} \quad d(\mathbf{a}^t) = \text{ro}(\mathbf{a})_{i+1} = \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_{i+1} - 1.$$

So  $d(\mathbf{a}) - d(\mathbf{a}^t) = \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_i - \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_{i+1} - 1$ . We further have

$$v\mathbf{K}_i^{-1}[\mathbf{a}^t] * [\mathbf{a}_2] = v^{1+\text{co}(\mathbf{a})_i-\text{co}(\mathbf{a}_{i+1})}[\mathbf{a}^t] * [\mathbf{a}_2].$$

Then this case follows since  $\mathbf{E}_i\mathbf{K}_i = v^2\mathbf{K}_i\mathbf{E}_i$ .

As for the case  $\mathbf{T}_0$ , If  $\mathbf{a} = (A, \alpha)$  and  $A - E_\theta^{0,1}$  is diagonal. Thus we have

$$\mathbf{a}^t = (A, \alpha'), \quad \alpha' \neq \alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad d(\mathbf{a}) = d(\mathbf{a}^t) = \text{co}(\mathbf{a})_1 - 1.$$

This implies the case of  $\mathbf{T}_0$ . The proof of case  $\mathbf{T}_r$  is similar, and we omit it.  $\square$

The same argument as in [Mc12] shows that there is a well-defined bilinear  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$  given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{d=1}^n \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_{d+pr}, y_{d+pn} \rangle_{d+pn}, \quad \forall x = (x_d), y = (y_d) \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ.$$

**Remark 4.8.** The same adjointness property as in Proposition 4.6 holds for the bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$ .

Following the argument of [LW15, Proposition 5.2] and [Mc12], which is formal and not reproduced here, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.9.** *For any  $A \in \Xi_{\mathfrak{d}}^{ap}$ , we have*

$$\phi_{d+pn, d+(p-1)n}^{\mathfrak{d}}(\{2p\mathbf{a}\}_{d+pn}) = \{2p-2\mathbf{a}\}_{d+(p-1)n}, \quad \forall p \gg 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\{2p\mathbf{a}\}_{d+pn} = \zeta_{2p\mathbf{a}} + \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \tilde{\Xi}_{n, \mathfrak{d}}^{ap}; \mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a}} c_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, p} \zeta_{2p\mathbf{b}}$$

with  $c_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, p} \in \mathcal{A}$  independent of  $p$  for  $p \gg 0$ .

**Definition 4.10.** For any  $\hat{\mathbf{a}} \in \Xi_{n, \mathfrak{d}}^{ap} / \approx$ , an element  $b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  is defined as follows:  $(b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}})_d = 0$  if  $d \neq |\mathbf{a}| \bmod 2n$ . If  $|\mathbf{a}| = d + sn$  for some integer  $s$ , we set

$$(b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}})_{d+sn+pn} = \{2p\mathbf{a}\}_{d+sn+pn}, \quad \forall p \geq p_0, \text{ for some fixed } p_0,$$

and for general  $p < p_0$ , we set  $(b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}})_{d+sn+pn} = \phi_{d+sn+p_0n, d+sn+pn}(\{2p_0\mathbf{a}\}_{d+sn+p_0n})$ .

The fact that  $b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}$  as defined above lies in  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  follows from Proposition 4.9. Moreover,  $\zeta_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} = b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} + \text{lower terms}$ . The next theorem follows from that  $\{\zeta_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \mid \hat{\mathbf{a}} \in \tilde{\Xi}_{n, \mathfrak{d}}^{ap} / \approx\}$  forms a basis for  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$ .

**Theorem 4.11.** *The set  $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}} := \{b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \mid \hat{\mathbf{a}} \in \tilde{\Xi}_{n, \mathfrak{d}}^{ap} / \approx\}$  forms a basis for  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$ .*

The basis  $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  is called the canonical basis of  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$ .

**Proposition 4.12.** *The signed canonical basis  $\{\pm b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \mid \hat{\mathbf{a}} \in \tilde{\Xi}_{n, \mathfrak{d}}^{ap} / \approx\}$  is characterized by the bar-invariance, integrality (i.e.,  $b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}} \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}_{n, \mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ ), and almost orthonormality (i.e.,  $\langle b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}}, b_{\hat{\mathbf{a}'}} \rangle = \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{a}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}'}} \bmod v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[v^{-1}]]$ ).*

The canonical basis of  $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  enjoy several remarkable positivity properties as follows. The proof use the same argument as in [LW15, FL14, FL15].

**Theorem 4.13.** *The structure constants of the canonical basis  $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_n^{\mathfrak{d}}$  lie in  $\mathbb{N}[v, v^{-1}]$  with respect to the multiplication, and in  $v^{-1}\mathbb{N}[[v^{-1}]]$  with respect to the bilinear pairing.*

**4.3. The quantum symmetric pair.** Recall from Proposition 3.12, there is an injective algebra homomorphism  $J_{n,d} : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n,d}$ , and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d}^\circ & \xrightarrow{J_{n,d}} & \mathbf{U}_{n,d} \\ \tilde{\phi}_{d,d-n}^\circ \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{d,d-n} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d-n}^\circ & \xrightarrow{J_{n,d-n}} & \mathbf{U}_{n,d-n} \end{array}$$

That is,  $\phi_{d,d-n} \circ J_{n,d} = J_{n,d-n} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{d,d-n}^\circ$ . Thus by the universality of  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}$  (the projective limit of Lusztig algebras of type A), we have a unique algebra homomorphism

$$J_n : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,\infty}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n,\infty},$$

such that  $\tilde{\phi}_d^\circ \circ J_n = J_{n,d} \circ \tilde{\phi}_d^\circ$ .

Since  $J_{n,d}$  is injective for all  $d$ , so is  $J_n : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,\infty}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}$ . It follows by (3.8) that the image of  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ$  under  $J_n$  lies in  $\mathbf{U}_n$ , where  $\mathbf{U}_n$  denote the subalgebra generated by Chevalley generators  $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i$  and  $\mathbf{k}_i$  in the projective limit  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}$ . Summarizing, we have obtained the following.

**Proposition 4.14.** *There is a unique algebra imbedding  $J_n : \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\circ \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_n$  such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} J_n(\mathbf{E}_i) &= \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{f}_{n-i}, & J_n(\mathbf{F}_i) &= \mathbf{e}_{n-i} + \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{k}_{n-i}, \\ J_n(\mathbf{T}_0) &= \mathbf{e}_0 + v^{-1} \mathbf{f}_0 \mathbf{k}_0, & J_n(\mathbf{T}_r) &= \mathbf{e}_r + v^{-1} \mathbf{f}_r \mathbf{k}_r, \\ J_n(\mathbf{K}_i) &= \mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_{n-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall  $\Delta^\circ$  from (3.5), we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d'+d''}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Delta^\circ} & \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d'}^\circ \otimes \mathbf{U}_{n,d''} \\ \tilde{\phi}_{d'+d'',d'+d''-(a+b)n}^\circ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_{d',d'-an}^\circ \otimes \phi_{d'',d''-bn} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d'+d''-(a+b)n}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Delta^\circ} & \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,d'-an}^\circ \otimes \mathbf{U}_{n,d''-bn} \end{array}$$

for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . By universality, these  $\Delta^\partial$  (for  $d', d'', n$ ) induce an algebra homomorphism

$$\Delta^\partial : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,\infty}^\partial \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{n,\infty}^\partial \otimes \mathbf{U}_{n,\infty}.$$

Moreover, the image of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\partial$  under  $\Delta^\partial$  is contained in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\partial \otimes \mathbf{U}_n$  by Proposition 3.10. To sum up, we have the following.

**Proposition 4.15.** *There is a unique algebra homomorphism  $\Delta^\partial : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\partial \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\partial \otimes \mathbf{U}_n$  such that, for all  $i \in [1, r-1]$ ,*

$$\Delta^\partial(\mathbf{E}_i) = \mathbf{E}_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_i + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{K}_i \otimes \mathbf{f}_{n-i} \mathbf{k}_i.$$

$$\Delta^\partial(\mathbf{F}_i) = \mathbf{F}_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_{n-i} + \mathbf{K}_i^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{k}_{n-i} \mathbf{f}_i + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_{n-i}.$$

$$\Delta^\partial(\mathbf{T}_0) = \mathbf{T}_0 \otimes \mathbf{k}_0 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_0 + v^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}_0 \mathbf{k}_0.$$

$$\Delta^\partial(\mathbf{T}_r) = \mathbf{T}_r \otimes \mathbf{k}_r + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_r + v^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}_r \mathbf{k}_0.$$

$$\Delta^\partial(\mathbf{K}_i) = \mathbf{K}_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_{n-i}^{-1}.$$

This algebra homomorphism is coassociative by Proposition 3.11 in the sense that

$$(4.4) \quad (1 \otimes \Delta) \Delta^\partial = (\Delta^\partial \otimes 1) \Delta^\partial.$$

As a degenerate case for (4.4)

$$\Delta \circ J_n = (J_n \otimes 1) \circ \Delta^\partial.$$

The algebra  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\partial$  is a coideal subalgebra of  $\mathbf{U}_n$ , and the pair  $(\mathbf{U}_n, \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^\partial)$  forms an affine quantum symmetric pair in the sense of Kolb-Letzter [Kol14]. The relevant involution is illustrated as follows:




FIGURE 1. Dynkin diagram of type  $A_{2r-1}^{(1)}$  with involution of type.

## REFERENCES

- [BBD82] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque 100 (1982), 5-171.
- [BKLW14] H. Bao, J. Kujawa, Y. Li, and W. Wang, *Geometric Schur duality of classical type*, Transform. Groups 23 (2014), no. 2, 1-61.
- [BLM90] A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. McPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of  $GL_n$* , Duke Math. J. 61 (1990), no. 2, 655-677.
- [BLW14] H. Bao, Y. Li, and W. Wang, *A geometric setting for the coideal algebra  $\dot{U}^s$  and compatibility of canonical bases*, Appendix to [BKLW14], 15pp. Transform. Groups (to appear).
- [Br03] T. Braden, *Hyperbolic localization of intersection cohomology*, Transform. Groups 8 (2003), no.3, 209-216.
- [BW13] H. Bao and W. Wang, *A new approach to Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of type B via quantum symmetric pairs*, Astérisque (2018), no.402, vii+134pp.
- [CFW24] Q. Chen, Z. Fan and Q. Wang, *Affine flag varieties of type D*, submitted.
- [CP96] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 174 (1996), no. 2, 295-326.
- [FL14] Z. Fan and Y. Li, *Geometric Schur duality of classical type, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B 2 (2015), 51-92.
- [FL15] Z. Fan and Y. Li, *Positivity of canonical basis under comultiplication*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2021), no. 9, 6871-6931.
- [FLLLW20] Z. Fan, C. Lai, Y. Li, L. Luo and W. Wang, *Affine flag varieties and quantum symmetric pairs*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 265 (2020), no. 1285, v+123pp.
- [GL92] I. Grojnowski and G. Lusztig, *On bases of irreducible representations of quantum  $GL_n$* , in Kazhdan-Lusztig theory and related topics (Chicago, IL, 1989), Contemp. Math. 139 Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1992, 167-174.
- [GRV93] V. Ginzburg, N. Reshetikhin and E. Vasserot, *Quantum groups and flag varieties*, Mathematical aspects of conformal and topological field theories and quantum groups (South Hadley, MA, 1992), Contemp. Math. 175 Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1994, 101-130.
- [GV93] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type  $A_n$* , Internat. Math. Res. Notices 3 (1993), 67-85.
- [H99] R. Howe, *Affine-like Hecke algebras and  $p$ -adic representation theory. in Iwahori-Hecke algebras and their representation theory* (Martina, Franca, 1999), Lecture Notes in Math. 1804 Springer, Berlin, 2002, 27-69.
- [KL79] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. 53 (1979), no. 2, 165-184.
- [Ko14] S. Kolb, *Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs*, Adv. Math. 267 (2014), 395-469.

- [Le99] G. Letzter, *Symmetric pairs for quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Algebra 220 (1999), no. 2, 729-767.
- [Le02] G. Letzter, *Coideal subalgebras and quantum symmetric pairs*, New directions in Hopf algebras (Cambridge), MSRI publications, 43 Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002, 117-166.
- [Lu83] G. Lusztig, *Some examples of square integrable representations of semisimple  $p$ -adic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (1983), no. 2, 623-653.
- [Lu93] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Reprint of the 1993 Edition, Birkhäuser Boston, 2010.
- [Lu99] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$* , Asian J. Math. 3 (1999), no. 1, 147-177.
- [Lu00] G. Lusztig, *Transfer maps for quantum affine  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$* , in Representations and quantizations (Shanghai, 1998), China High. Educ. Press, Beijing 2000, 341-356.
- [LW15] Y. Li and W. Wang, *Positivity vs negativity of canonical basis*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.) 13 (2018), no.2, 143–198.
- [Mc12] K. McGerty, *On the geometric realization of the inner product and canonical basis for quantum affine  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$* , Alg. and Number Theory 6 (2012), no. 6, 1097–1131.
- [OV90] A. Onishchik and E. Vinberg, *Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Translated from the Russian by D.A. Leites. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990
- [P09] G. Pouchin, *A geometric Schur-Weyl duality for quotients of affine Hecke algebras*, J. Algebra 321 (2009), no. 1, 230-247.
- [Sa99] D. Sage, *The geometry of fixed point varieties of affine flag manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (1999), no. 5, 2087-2119.
- [VV99] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. J. 100 (1999), no. 2, 267-297.

HARBIN ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY, HARBIN, CHINA

*Email address:* chenquanyong@hrbeu.edu.cn

HARBIN ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY, HARBIN, CHINA

*Email address:* fanzaobing@hrbeu.edu.cn