

A Logarithmic Mean Divisia Index Decomposition of CO₂ Emissions from Energy Use in Romania

Bălănică-Dragomir Mariana Carmelia ^{1*}, Murariu Gabriel ² and Georgescu Puiu Lucian ³

¹ Department of Applied Sciences, Cross–Border Faculty, “Dunărea de Jos” University of Galati, 111 Domnească Street, Galati–800201, Romania 1; cdragomir@ugal.ro*

² Department of Chemistry, Physics and the Environment, Faculty of Sciences and Environment, “Dunărea de Jos” University of Galati, 800008 Galati, Romania; Gabriel.Murariu@ugal.ro

³ Department of Chemistry, Physics and Environment, REXDAN Research Infrastructure, “Dunărea de Jos” University of Galati, 800008 Galati, Romania; lucian.georgescu@ugal.ro

Correspondence: cdragomir@ugal.ro;

Abstract

Carbon emissions have become a specific alarming indicators and intricate challenges that lead an extended argue about climate change. The growing trend in the utilization of fossil fuels for the economic progress and simultaneously reducing the carbon quantity has turn into a substantial and global challenge. The aim of this paper is to examine the driving factors of CO₂ emissions from energy sector in Romania during the period 2008-2022 emissions using the log mean Divisia index (LMDI) method and takes into account five items: CO₂ emissions, primary energy resources, energy consumption, gross domestic product and population, the driving forces of CO₂ emissions, based on which it was calculated the contribution of carbon intensity (ΔI), energy mixes (ΔM), generating efficiency (ΔL), economy (ΔB), and population (ΔP). The results indicate that ΔL generating efficiency effect -90968.57 is the largest inhibiting index while ΔB economic effect is the largest positive index 69084.04 having the role of increasing CO₂ emissions.

Keywords: CO₂ emissions, log mean Divisia index, energy consumption, energy resources

Introduction

Nowadays one of the crucial issues facing the planet is climate change. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions have the largest weight in the GHG (greenhouse gases) category being, at the same time, the most important factor responsible for all the repercussions caused by climate change. In the industrial field, the energy sector is the major producer of GHG emissions and especially of CO₂ emissions. Therefore, decision factors at a global level are concentrating the efforts to diminish CO₂ emissions both in production and in use of energy. The efficient use of renewable energy and the encouragement of the use of alternative sources and carbon-free renewable energies aiming at climate neutrality, are the next targets set at the global level, at the EU level and later at the national level. Sustainable development and economic progress, both in developed and emerging countries, should be based on two key fundamental elements: pollution reduction and energy security.

According to the European Environment Agency in 2021 greenhouse gas emissions generated by EU economic activities remained at 3.6 billion tonnes of CO₂ equivalent, being with 22 % less than in 2008. According to the same source in 2021 approximately 80% of the volume of all greenhouse gases emissions comes in from CO₂, in second place being methane with a percentage exceeding 12% EEA (2023).

By now climate change is impacting the whole planet, including Europe, producing different extreme phenomena with an increasingly frequency. As stated by the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change it must be imposed the limitation of the global warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius and at the same time it will be the priority target the carbon neutrality by mid-21st century IPCC (2023). These assumptions are based on the Paris agreement which has been signed in December 2015 by 195 countries, including EU states OJ (2016).

As stated in the European Green Deal in December 2019 EU countries must find the optimal methodologies to obtain the Europe climate neutral by 2050 EC (2021). This goal, climate neutrality, will be achieved only through a series of legislative measures imposed on all member states with strict and permanent monitoring.

To achieve this mitigation objective, economic actions including both an emission trading scheme and carbon tax should be integrated in besides numerous permanent measures like as reducing energy consumption, using alternative energy sources and carbon free technology. In order to apply these strategies, the improvements in CO₂ emissions from industries sectors should be considered by estimating the contributions from changes in several factors. Derived from this evaluation, Romania should remodel its economic framework to a low carbon economy. The assessment, analysis, and operative decreasing of energy intensity constitute an essential key of present-day energy policy. Even more than that energy is the fundamental resource for sustainable development in each state MEWF (2023).

This paper aims to study the driving factors of CO₂ emissions: CO₂ emissions, primary energy resources, energy consumption, gross domestic product (GDP) and population, using a Log Mean Divisia Index (LMDI) decomposition method, for a period of 14 years. A time-series research includes annually decomposition using time-series data and its outcomes indicate the mode of the impacts of pre-established descriptive components have changed gradually.

Currently, several decomposition techniques have being applied to evaluate the impact of various factors on the variation of energy usage and CO₂ emissions, including the econometric regression, the structural decomposition analysis and the index decomposition analysis, etc. utilized in order to study the driving forces Wang et al. (2011). A review on the theoretical bases and significant features was presented by Rose and Casler in 1996 Rose et al. (1996). Multiple decomposition techniques are accessible to accomplish an index decomposition analysis Howarth et al. (1991), Scholl et al. (1996), Shrestha et al. (1996), Zhang et al. (2000), Ang et al. (2007). Numerous studies were carried out on geographical areas and others on fields of the economy and industrial sectors. Paul and Bhattacharya analyzed the CO₂ emissions from energy use in India Paul, et al. (2004) and Steenhof applied the decomposition method of electricity demand in China's industrial sector Steenhof et al. (2006), while Mai et. al examine the correlations between carbon dioxide emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in China Mai et al. (2020). Wachsmann check out the structural decomposition of energy use in Brazil Wachsmann et al. (2009) and Wood used structural decomposition analysis to study Australia's greenhouse gas emissions Wood et al. (2009). Kim applied the LMDI method for Korean Manufacturing Sector Kim (2017), De Oliveira-De Jesus examined the

evolution of carbon accumulation intensity in Latin America and the Caribbean De Oliveira-De Jesus (2019), while İpek Tunç presented the Turkish case (İpek Tunç, 2009). In Romania, the decomposition method was used to analyze the relationship among PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and N₂O and several skin and respiratory diseases, tumors and attempt to establish the impact of pollutants on population health Andrei et al. (2021), Nastase et al. (2018).

The present study is the first article that analyzes five driving factors: CO₂ emissions, primary energy resources, energy consumption, GDP and population from the period 2008-2022 using the log mean Divisia index in Romania. The general evaluation of recent studies and anterior analyses in the context of Romanian demonstrates that despite the fact that several authors tried to examine the factors impacting carbon emissions in the country, none of the study employed LMDI technique to decompose carbon emission factors. Consequently, to complete information gaps and to present correct and trustworthy evidence, it is essential to use LMDI decomposition procedure to decompose factors influencing CO₂ emissions in the circumstances of Romania.

2. Methodology and Data

Referring to decomposition analysis we use LMDI method developed by Ang (2005) and the Kaya identity equation Kaya (1989), to find out the leading factors that influence to the change in CO₂ emissions in the Romanian economy during 2008-2022. The research accomplished in this paper utilizes the yearly data series collected by the National Institute of Statistics of Romania (TEMPO Online database for Romania), for the five indicators NISR (2022) .

By the reason of the limitations of data, we consider the contribution of primary energy resources, energy consumption, GDP and population toward carbon emissions at the national level. In this paper, carbon emission factor decomposition model based on LMDI method has been applied.

The study used the next formula (1) in order to decompose carbon emissions in Romania:

$$C = \frac{C}{F} * \frac{F}{E} * \frac{E}{G} * \frac{G}{P} * P \quad (1)$$

Where:

C is used for carbon emissions,

C/F is CO₂ emission per unit of fossil energy. It measures the emission intensity of fossil energy.

F/E is the share of fossil energy in total energy. It measures the cleanliness of energy structure.

E/G refers to consumption per GDP value, representing the level of generating efficiency

G/P is GDP per population (P). It is an effective tool to measure macroeconomic operation of a country.

The variation of CO₂ emissions is the outcome of the all five factors previous mentioned. Every factor has distinct effect on CO₂ emissions throughout separate intervals. The LMDI evaluates CO₂ emissions by multiple types of specific indexes in addition or multiplication decomposition. Based on formula (1), after logarithmic transformation, the cumulative function of the LMDI technique of CO₂ emissions is indicate as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta C &= C_t - C_0 = \frac{C_t - C_0}{\ln C_t - \ln C_0} * (\ln C_t - \ln C_0) \\
&= A * \left(\ln \left(\frac{C_t}{F_t} * \frac{C_0}{F_0} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{F_t}{E_t} * \frac{F_0}{E_0} \right) \ln \left(\frac{E_t}{G_t} * \frac{E_0}{G_0} \right) \ln \left(\frac{G_t}{P_t} * \frac{G_0}{P_0} \right) + \ln \frac{P_t}{P_0} \right) \\
&= \Delta I + \Delta M + \Delta L + \Delta B + \Delta P
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In formula (2): ΔC indicates the difference between CO₂ emission C_t in the year t and C_0 in the basis year 0; ΔI indicates the contribution of C/F in formula (1) to ΔC . And it is defined as carbon intensity effect; Consequently, ΔM represents the contribution of F/E and is defined as energy mixes effect; ΔL indicates the contribution of E/G and is defined as generating efficiency effect; ΔB indicates contribution of G/P and is defined as economic effect; ΔP indicates the contribution of P and is defined as population effect.

And to simplify the calculation, A is defined as follows:

$$A = \frac{C_t - C_0}{\ln C_t - \ln C_0} \tag{3}$$

To assess the contribution to the modification of CO₂ emissions, five indexes can be computed during every interval in this way:

$$\Delta I = A * \left(\ln \frac{C_t}{F_t} - \ln \frac{C_0}{F_0} \right) \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta M = A * \left(\ln \frac{F_t}{E_t} - \ln \frac{F_0}{E_0} \right) \tag{5}$$

$$\Delta L = A * \left(\ln \frac{E_t}{G_t} - \ln \frac{E_0}{G_0} \right) \tag{6}$$

$$\Delta B = A * \left(\ln \frac{G_t}{P_t} - \ln \frac{G_0}{P_0} \right) \tag{7}$$

$$\Delta P = A * (\ln P_t - \ln P_0) \tag{8}$$

The index increases the CO₂ emissions when the contribution is positive and oppositely, if the contribution rate is negative, it implies that the index diminishes CO₂ emissions.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. CO₂ emissions

The CO₂ emissions resulted from economic activities were calculated based on formula (1). Figure 1 indicates the trend for period 2008-2022. The CO₂ emissions from Romania decreased from 95224.62 Gg tons in 2008 to 58638.12 tons in 2022, the percentage of decrease in CO₂ emissions being 38.42% during the analyzed period. Considering the fact that Romania entered the European Union in 2007, a series of restrictions were imposed regarding the amount of CO₂ resulting from the main economic activities, especially those in the industrial sector.




Figure 1. Trend of the CO₂ emissions resulted from economic activities in Romania between 2008- 2022

Overall, the biggest decrease is between 2008 and 2009 with a difference of 19828 Gg tons, following during the period 2010-2012 a fairly significant increase of 7646 Gg tons and then until 2022 the trend was obviously decreasing. Starting with 2010 and until 2013, Romania passes through an economic crisis and investments in environmental protection were reduced, followed by a very important period with non-reimbursable European investments at the same time correlated with legislative impositions at the EU level.

3.2. Contributing indexes

For the purpose of describing the driving forces of CO₂ emissions, this subsection analyzes the contribution of carbon intensity (ΔI), energy mixes (ΔM), generating efficiency (ΔL), economy (ΔB), and population (ΔP). Table 1 presents the results, calculated by the LMDI technique in formula (4)–(8). Between them, the positive values indicate the expansion effect on CO₂ emissions. On the contrary, the negative values indicate the restraining effect.

Table 1 The decomposition of CO₂ emission change (annual) from the energy use in Romania from 2008 to 2022

Year	ΔC	ΔI	ΔM	ΔL	ΔB	ΔP
2008-2009	-19828.01	84925.18	-9656.05	17098.44	-25852.18	-611.18
		(48.70%)	(-86.23%)	(130.38%)	(3.08%)	(4.07%)
2009-2010	-1879.45	74452.93	-1421.52	-4910.97	4825.25	160.06
		(75.64%)	(261.30%)	(-256.74%)	(-8.52%)	(28.32%)
2010-2011	7647.41	77277.81	7714.77	-883.27	-3590.73	4771.61
		(100.88%)	(-11.55%)	(-46.95%)	(62.40%)	(-4.77%)
2011-2012	-448.49	80940.12	903.80	2133.43	-8330.72	5259.05
		(-201.52%)	(-475.69%)	(1857.50%)	(-1172.61%)	(92.32%)
2012-2013	-13561.60	73727.52	-9051.58	979.24	-10495.49	5285.30
		(66.74%)	(-7.22%)	(77.39%)	(-38.97%)	(2.06%)
2013-2014	-788.98	66759.21	-2137.63	-210.17	-1834.09	3616.64
		(270.94%)	(26.64%)	(232.46%)	(-458.39%)	(28.36%)
2014-2015	-847.02	65941.08	-1996.01	1322.85	-4376.42	4459.33
		(235.65%)	(-156.18%)	(516.68%)	(-526.47%)	(30.32%)
2015-2016	-1250.21	64891.37	-1501.29	1662.09	-4912.49	3877.88
		(120.08%)	(-132.95%)	(392.93%)	(-310.18%)	(30.11%)
2016-2017	2323.48	65423.13	888.99	337.86	-7034.02	8517.95
		(38.26%)	(14.54%)	(-302.74%)	(366.60%)	(-16.67%)
2017-2018	1386.99	67282.86	1667.51	-2470.79	-5803.75	8373.45
		(120.23%)	(-178.14%)	(-418.44%)	(603.71%)	(-27.36%)
2018-2019	-3129.78	66401.56	-4789.35	1264.49	-6487.13	7249.03
		(153.03%)	(-40.40%)	(207.27%)	(-231.61%)	(11.72%)
2019-2020	-3088.85	63291.97	1021.52	-1841.15	-2446.62	410.90
		(-33.07%)	(59.61%)	(79.21%)	(-13.30%)	(7.56%)
2020-2021	-1528.79	60992.52	-4224.11	-1220.87	-2617.50	7016.74
		(276.30%)	(79.86%)	(171.21%)	(-458.97%)	(31.60%)
2021-2022	-1593.20	59431.16	-1219.73	1436.76	-12012.68	10697.27
		(76.56%)	(-90.18%)	(754.00%)	(-671.43%)	(31.06%)

Note: The contribution share of each index is shown in its below bracket.

In order to get an overview of the driving forces of CO₂ emissions, we have represented graphically in figure no. 2 the contribution of carbon intensity (ΔI), energy mixes (ΔM), generating efficiency (ΔL), economy (ΔB), and population (ΔP), for the entire analyzed period.




Figure 2. The decomposition of CO₂ emission change (cumulative) from 2008 to 2022 in Romania being represented as follows: carbon intensity (ΔI) in green color, energy mixes (ΔM) in orange color, generating efficiency (ΔL) in purple color, economy (ΔB) in pink color, population (ΔP) in blue color and ΔC in red color. The histogram indicates the contribution of every index. The line represents the CO₂ emission change of the energy use.

The CO₂ emission change in Romania was -36586.5 Gg tons from 2008 to 2022. This change may be decomposed into two positive factors (ΔB and ΔM) and three negative factors (ΔL , ΔP and ΔI), and among these the most important inhibiting effect is that of ΔL while the most important promoting effect is ΔB . The most significant variations in CO₂ emissions are observed in the period 2008-2009 with a value of -19828 Gg tons compared to the year 2021-2022 when the cumulative value was only -1593 Gg tons.

3.2.1. Economic effect

In Table 1, the largest positive index was ΔB , accounting for 34.94% of the overall CO₂ emission change of the energy use in Romania from 2008 to 2022. After the end of the communist period, along with the development of the reform the Romanian economy developed relatively constantly. The non-reimbursable European funds are a basic element of the development of the national economy, the purpose of these funds being the creation of more jobs, ensuring a solid European economy and a healthy environment. On the other hand, economic growth also has a flip side, the use of resources and environmental pollution.




Figure 3. the contribution of economy (ΔB) index based on Romania's annual GDP. The histogram indicates the contribution of economy (ΔB) index, in pink color. The red line represents the CO₂ emission change of the energy use.

As indicated in Figure 3 from 2008 to 2022, Romania's GDP had steadily increased, with a yearly average growth rate at 3.25%, with variations from -5% to 9.3% compared to the previous year. In the analyzed period there were three situations with a negative percentage compared to the previous year, namely 2009 with -5.5% compared to 2008 and -3.9% in 2010 compared to 2009, and the last situation being the one from 2020 with a percentage of -3.7% compared to 2019. In the first two situations we are talking about the economic crisis that our country went through in that period, and the third situation about the COVID pandemic that had a major economic impact worldwide. In total, Romania's GDP increased from 539,834 million lei in 2008 to 1,409,783 million lei in 2022, which represents an increase of almost three times the initial amount.

Generally speaking, the economic effect assessed the impact of economic development which is related by the immediate process of industrialization and increased consumption of energy. If we refer to the population, in the last three decades there has been an important phenomenon of agglomeration of citizens in areas with economic growth and the depopulation of some cities and villages where there were no longer profitable economic activities. Consequently, the responsibility of energy saving and emission decreasing in Romania is more demanding. At the national level is essential to stimulate the industrial renewal and upgrading to achieve the common development among economy, society and environment to reduce CO₂ emissions within the economic progress. The large industrial centers in the metallurgical, thermo-energetic or machine building sectors, resource and energy consuming industries have gradually ceased their activities, their place being taken over by a series of investments that must comply with the European impositions regarding the maximum allowed limits of pollutants.

3.2.2. Population effect

The smallest positive index was ΔP , accounting for 3.72% of the overall CO₂ emissions. Romania's population has gradually decreased from 20635460 inhabitants in 2008 to 19042455 inhabitants in 2022. As a percentage, the population decrease is only 7.71%, more precisely the difference is 1593005 inhabitants.




Figure 4. The contribution of population (ΔP) index based on the resident population. The histogram indicates the contribution of population (ΔP) index, in blue color. The red line represents the CO₂ emission change of the energy use.

Generally, CO₂ emissions were greatly correlated with the number of resident population, being visible in the quantity of the energy consumption both as well the aspect of residential request. In the last decade, the population's demand for electricity has become increasingly high due to the use of electronic and household appliances, lighting and heating installations for residential, industrial and administrative spaces. Although the number of resident population has decreased, energy consumption is constantly increasing, reason for which the contribution of citizens to CO₂ emissions might not reduce considerably in the coming years. For that reason, enhancing residents' perception of energy savings and ecological modernization may diminish CO₂ emissions.

3.2.3 Generating efficiency effect

The largest negative index was ΔL , accounting for -46.27% of the overall change from 2008 to 2022. In Figure no. 5, the energy consumption intensity of Romania overall indicated a descending trend, 18230 thousands of tons of oil equivalent this value reached 13289 thousands of tons of oil equivalent in 2022, representing a percentage of 27.46%, less energy consumed during the analyzed period. It considers the constant improvement of power conservation automation, principally subsequently 2007, the year Romania became a member of the EU.




Figure 5. The contribution of energy consumption (ΔL) index based on final energy consumption in industry. The histogram indicates the contribution of final energy consumption (ΔL) index, in purple color. The red line represents the CO₂ emission change of the energy use.

The energy intensity heightened considerably in 2012-2013 and 2021-2022, which could be as a result of the expansion of renewable energy. Energy stocks could overrun absorptive capacity of the production. The strong positively correlation between the energy intensity and CO₂ emission it is evident. Immediately upon the producing efficiency enhanced in Figure no. 5, its impact on in CO₂ emission changed negative in Table 1, and oppositely. 2009-2010 is the only period when a positive coefficient was recorded, the explanation being that both the production and consumption of electricity increased compared to previous years, according to the official reports of the National Energy Regulatory Authority. Romania inherited from the period of the centralized economy a highly intensive structure of the economy and implicitly a very high intensity of primary energy. Advances in technology had a positive effect on the CO₂ emissions. Beside the constant development in technics and efficiency, energy utilization reduced, thus decreasing CO₂ emissions.

3.2.4. Energy mixes effect

The second largest positive index was ΔM , accounting for 7.44% of the total emission change. It was negative correlated with CO₂ emission, opposite with ΔL . Taking into account a specific quantity of energy consumption, the preponderant the weight of fossil-based energy was, the fewer renewable energy was utilized, and the larger CO₂ emission was recorded. On the other hand, increasing the proportion of renewable energy might decrease CO₂ emissions.




Figure 6. The contribution of energy consumption (ΔM) index based on primary energy resources. The histogram indicates the contribution of final energy consumption (ΔM) index, in orange color. The red line represents the CO₂ emission change of the energy use.

In figure 6 can be see an alternation of positive and negative values of the contribution of energy consumption (ΔM) index based on final energy consumption in industry. If in 2008 the final energy consumption in the industry varies from 48166 thousands of tons of oil equivalent in 2008 to 41562 thousands of tons of oil equivalent in 2022. A significant difference is that between 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 of approximately 30%, thus observing that the contribution of energy consumption (ΔM) index was 17098.44 in the first year studied and in the second the ΔM index was -4910.97. In the period 2008-2022, the main types of primary energy resources used by different sectors of the economy were: crude oil 22%, natural gas 22%, coal 12%, hydroelectric, nuclear and imported electricity 10% and firewood 10%.

3.2.5. Carbon intensity effect

ΔI was the second largest negative index, accounting for -12.15% of the total emission change. This index the carbon intensity is related to the complete types of energy sources, both fossil energy and renewable energy, and more than that, the quantities of energy imported during this period were also included in the calculations. According to the literature the carbon intensity differs from various mixes of fossil fuels, for example, diesel and gasoline are more carbon intensive than raw coal, that are furthermore carbon intensive comparing with natural gas. Conversely, using renewable energy such as solar, wind and hydro energy might not release CO₂, and in this period the percentage of renewable energy produced and imported is only 11%.




Figure 7. The contribution of energy consumption (ΔI) index based on CO_2 emissions. The histogram indicates the contribution of CO_2 emissions (ΔI) index, in green color. The red line represents the CO_2 emission change of the energy use.

A strong negative correlated with CO_2 emission may be observed (figure no 7), the index varying from -9656.05 in 2008 to -1219.73 in 2022, registering in 2010 a positive peak of 7714.77, comparable to -9051.58 in 2012. The energy production in Romania was still strongly related to coal and anthracite, lignite and brown coal, natural gas and oil.

4. Conclusion and Policy Implications

In this paper, the influencing factors of CO_2 emissions from the energy use in Romania were calculated by LMDI method of decomposition. The analysis also considers the impact of different energy sources used: crude oil, natural gas, coal, hydroelectric, nuclear and imported electricity and firewood. The five components of the change in CO_2 emissions analyzed in this study were carbon intensity (ΔI), energy mixes (ΔM), generating efficiency (ΔL), economy (ΔB) and population (ΔP). Among them two positive factors (ΔB and ΔM) have the expanding effect on CO_2 emissions while the three negative factors (ΔL , ΔP and ΔI) having the inhibiting effect. From 2008–2022, economic progress was the major factor impacting the increase of total CO_2 emissions from the energy utilization.

On the contrary, enhancing energy efficiency was the most important factor to prevent emissions. Improving energy system and decreasing carbon intensity furthermore had impeding consequences on emissions. Our paper shows that, the principal component that influence the changes in CO₂ emissions in Romania is the economic activity and by contrast the generating efficiency effect is the largest negative index.

Predominantly it can be observed that emissions are cyclical, CO₂ emissions rise at the time that the economy increase and reduce when the economic activity constrict, the most obvious index being ΔI carbon intensity effect.

Nevertheless, we also notice an essential modification in the structure of the economy during 2008–2022 interval, like for example the economic crisis that our country went through between 2008-2010 and the effects of the COVID 19 pandemic are evident in our analyses. All the five indexes studied showed variations in the transition from 2008 to the other years, probably due to the changes in the structure of large sectors of the Romanian economy under the impact of EU accession, as well as the gaps between the sectors of the economy in terms of labor productivity.

The main conclusions outlined from the present study may be summarized as follows:

- (1) GDP increased three times in the period 2008-2022 from 539835 million lei to 1409784 million lei and ΔB Economic effect varies from -611.18 to 10697.27
- (2) The resident population in Romania decreased from 20635460 inhabitants to 19042455 inhabitants also the index ΔP Population effect decrease from -807.04 to -494.82
- (3) Primary energy resources vary from 48166 thousands of tons of oil equivalent to 41562 thousands of tons of oil equivalent while ΔM Energy mixes effect ranges within the following limits 17098.44 1436.76
- (4) Energy consumption fluctuate from 18230 thousands of tons of oil equivalent to 13289 thousands of tons of oil equivalent and the index ΔL Generating efficiency effect range from -25852.18 to -12012.68
- (5) ΔI Carbon intensity effect index decreased from -9656.05 to -1219.73

Although, due to the difficulties in data acquisition and analysis, this article has some limitations, it is nevertheless a first analysis of the situation in Romania using the LDMI method for the period 2008-2022. A number of presumption made in this study may insignificantly bias the results, but future studies must focus on a more comprehensive analysis of all environmental and economic benefits. This data may have realistic value for encouraging economic progress with low carbon emissions, especially in the context of climate neutrality that is imposed in the period 2030-2050 having a proper balance between environmental protection, social, and economic targets. The significance of our study is its feasible application to assist government and local authorities to develop best decision policies for improved air quality control in Romania.

References

- Andrei, J. V., Avram, S., Băncescu, I., Gâf Deac, I. I. G., and Gheorghe, C., 2021, Evolution of Romania's economic structure and environment degradation - an assessment through LMDI decomposition approach. *Rmhp* Vol. 14, 3505–3521. <https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S299617>
- Ang, B.W., 2005, The LMDI approach to decomposition analysis: a practical guide. *Energy Policy* 33, 867–871.
- Ang, B.W., Liu, N., 2007, Handling zero values in the logarithmic mean Divisia index decomposition approach. *Energy Policy*;35:238e46.

- De Oliveira-De Jesus, P. M., 2019, Effect of generation capacity factors on carbon emission intensity of electricity of Latin America & the Caribbean, a temporal IDA-LMDI analysis. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 101, 516–526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.11.030>
- EEA, Annual European Union greenhouse gas inventory 1990-2021 and inventory report 2023, <https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/annual-european-union-greenhouse-gas-2> , 2023
- European Commission, Directorate-General for Research and Innovation, (2021). European Green Deal : research & innovation call, Publications Office of the European Union. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/33415>
- Howarth, R.B., Schipper, L., Duerr, P.A., Strom, S., 1991, Manufacturing energy use in eight OECD countries. *Energy Economics*, 13, 135-142.
- İpek Tunç, G., Serap Türot-Aşık, Elif Akbostancı, 2009, A decomposition analysis of CO2 emissions from energy use: Turkish case, *Energy Policy*, Volume 37, Issue 11, Pages 4689-4699, ISSN 0301-4215, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.06.019>
- IPCC, 2023: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, H. Lee and J. Romero (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, pp. 35-115, doi: 10.59327/IPCC/AR6-9789291691647
- Kaya, Y., 1989, Impact of carbon dioxide emission on gnp growth: Interpretation of proposed scenarios. Paris: IPCC Energy and Industry Subgroup.
- Kim, S., 2017, LMDI Decomposition Analysis of Energy Consumption in the Korean Manufacturing Sector. *Sustainability* , 9, 202. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9020202>
- Mai, L., Ran, Q.Y., Wu, H.T., 2020, A LMDI decomposition analysis of carbon dioxide emissions from the electric power sector in Northwest China. *Nat. Resour. Model.* 33, e12284 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nrm.12284>. Paris Agreement (OJ L 282 19.10.2016, p. 4, CELEX: [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:22016A1019\(01\)](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:22016A1019(01)))
- Ministry of Environment, Waters and Forests, Long term strategy of Romania, 2023 <https://www.mmediu.ro/app/webroot/uploads/files/LTS%20-%20Versiunea%201.0%20-%20Eng%20-%2005.05.2023.pdf>
- National Institute of Statistics from Romania, 2022 <http://statistici.INSSE.ro:8077/tempo-online/#/pages/tables/insse-table>
- Năstase, G., Șerban, A., Năstase, A.F., Dragomir, G., Brezeanu, A.I., 2018, Air quality, primary air pollutants and ambient concentrations inventory for Romania. *Atmos Environ.*;184:292–303. doi:10.1016/j. atmosenv.2018.04.03
- Paul, S., Bhattacharya, R.N., 2004, CO2 emissions from energy use in India: A decomposition analysis. *Energy Policy*, 32, 585-593.
- Rose, A., Casler, S., 1996, Input-output structural decomposition analysis: a critical appraisal. *Economic Systems Research*; 8:33-62.
- Scholl, M.A., Ingebritsen, S.E., Janik, C.J., Kauahikaua, J.P., 1996, Use of precipitation and ground water isotopes to interpret regional hydrology on a tropical volcanic Island: Kilauea Volcano area, Hawaii. *Water Resources Research*, 32(12), 3525-3537.
- Shrestha, R.M., Timilsina, G.R., 1996, Factors affecting CO2 intensity of power sector in Asia: A division decomposition analysis. *Energy Economics*, 18, 283-293.
- Steenhof, P.A., 2006, Decomposition of electricity demand in China's industrial sector. *Energy Economics*, 28, 370-384.

- Wachsmann, U., Wood, R., Lenzen, M., Schaeffer, R., 2009, Structural decomposition of energy use in Brazil from 1970 to 1996. *Applied Energy*; 86:578e87.
- Wang, W.W., Zhang, M., Zhou, M., 2011, Using LMDI method to analyze transport sector CO2 emissions in China, *Energy*, Volume 36, Issue 10, , Pages 5909-5915, ISSN 0360-5442, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.08.031>.
- Wood, R., 2009, Structural decomposition analysis of Australia's greenhouse gas emissions. *Energy Policy*; 37:4943-8.
- Zhang, Z., 2000, Decoupling China's carbon emissions increase from economic growth: An economic analysis and policy implications. *World Development*, 28, 739-752.