# Spherical codes with prescribed signed permutation automorphisms inside shells of low-dimensional integer lattices

Ganzhinov Mikhail, Ostergård Patric R. J. Department of Information and Communications Engineering Aalto University School of Electrical Engineering P.O. Box 15400, 00076 Aalto, Finland

#### Abstract

Let S(n, t, k) be the maximum size of a code containing only vectors of the kth shell of the integer lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that the inner product between distinct vectors does not exceed t. In this paper we compute lower bounds for S(n, t, k) for small values of n, t and k by carrying out computer searches for codes with prescribed automorphisms. We prescribe groups of signed permutation automorphisms acting transitively on the pairs of coordinates and coordinate values as well as other closely related groups of automorphisms. Several of the constructed codes lead to improved lower bounds for spherical codes.

#### 1 Introduction

A spherical code C is a finite set of points on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Such a code C has parameters (n, N, t) if there are N points in total and the inner product between vectors from the origin to any two distinct points is at most t. The angle set of the spherical code C is defined as  $\mathcal{A}_C = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in C, x \neq y\}$  while individual elements in  $\mathcal{A}_C$  are called angles. Two spherical codes are said to be equivalent if one can be obtained from the other through an isometry. An isometry (orthogonal transform) mapping a spherical code C is a group under composition, called the automorphism group of the code C and denoted by  $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ . A subgroup of the automorphism group is called a group of automorphisms.

The problem of minimizing t for given n and N is known as the Tammes problem [21] for the (n-1)-sphere. Spherical codes attaining the solution of the Tammes problem for some n and N are called *optimal*. Instead of minimizing t for given n and N one may be interested in maximizing N for given n and t. For most parameters exact solutions to the Tammes problem are not known and only bounds for t are available. The lower bounds for t are usually obtained by theoretical arguments [1, 17], while upper bounds often come from explicit constructions.

For small values of n and N various optimization algorithms have been used to obtain upper bounds for t [4, 6, 13, 22]. Upper bounds have also been obtained by specific constructions utilizing either algebraic or combinatorial arguments [8, 16]. In such cases vectors of the constructed spherical codes often have clear structure, for example, all the vectors can be found inside a shell of an (properly scaled) integer lattice. The most notable examples of this are the root system of an  $E_8$  lattice and the inner shell of the Leech lattice producing universally optimal spherical codes [5], which can be embedded into the 4:th and 24:th shells of the integer lattices  $\mathbb{Z}^8$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^{24}$ , respectively [7]. While these examples are exceptional objects, scaled shells of integer lattices can also generally contain good spherical codes. We denote by S(n, t, k) the maximum size of a code inside the kth shell of the integer lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that the inner product between distinct vectors is at most t.

In this work we carry out computer searches for codes containing only vectors of a single shell of an integer lattice in order to obtain lower bounds for S(n, t, k),  $6 \le n \le 14$ . A common technique [2, 18, 19] used to limit the search is to prescribe group of automorphisms of a code. We prescribe groups of automorphisms which are inherited from the parent lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , mainly the groups of signed permutation automorphisms acting transitively on the pairs of coordinates and coordinate values as well as other closely related groups. Several of found codes improve lower bounds for spherical codes.

We discuss our approach in more detail in Sections 2 and 3. In Section 4 we tabulate the computed lower bounds for S(n, k, t) and list parameters of best spherical codes obtained based on our computations.

#### 2 Integer lattices

A *lattice* is a discrete additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A lattice  $\Lambda$  generated by a set of linearly independent vectors  $B = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the set of all the integer linear combinations,

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i v_i : a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We say that  $\Lambda$  is a lattice of *dimension* n. The automorphism group of a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the subgroup of the orthogonal group SL(n) that preserves the lattice. A *shell*  $s_m$  of a lattice  $\Lambda$  is the set of lattice vectors of squared norm m, that is,  $s_m = \{v \in \Lambda : ||v||^2 = m\}$ .

An integer lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a lattice generated by the vectors of the standard basis obtained from the vector  $v = (1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by permuting its coordinates. This lattice contains the vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with integer coordinates and is usually denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . The automorphism group of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , denoted by  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ , is generated by all permutations and sign changes of coordinates and is of order  $2^n n!$ . The group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  is sometimes called the signed permutation group and its elements signed permutations. This automorphism group acts transitively on the shells  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$  and  $s_3$ , but not on other shells. For example, orbit representatives of the shell  $s_9$  of the 10-dimensional integer lattice are

(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0), (2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), (2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) and (3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0).

One can notice that the last orbit of the shell  $s_9$  is a scaled copy of the shell  $s_1$  of the same lattice. This leads to following more general observation.

**Proposition 1.** Linear maps defined by integer matrices with orthogonal rows of squared norm  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  embed the shell  $s_m$  into the shell  $s_{km}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . This embedding preserves angles between vectors.

By slightly abusing terminology, we call such matrices integer orthogonal matrices.

The shell  $s_m$  of any integer lattice is at most 2m-angular, with inner products between distinct shell vectors belonging to the set  $\{m-1, m-2, \ldots, -m\}$ . Shells of integer lattices have the following well known property.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a spherical code with rational angle set spanning the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a shell  $s_m$  of an integer lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^q$ ,  $q \geq n$  such that C can be embedded into  $s_m$  via isometries and scalings.

*Proof.* First choose a basis inside the spherical code C and apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to it. Multiply every vector of the newly formed orthogonal basis by a natural number in such a way that

- 1. all (Euclidean) norms of basis vectors are square roots of natural numbers, and
- 2. all the inner products between the basis vectors and the vectors of the code  $\mathcal{C}$  are integers.

Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  be the vectors of this orthogonal basis. Each vector  $v_j$  of norm  $\sqrt{m_j}$  can be embedded into a space  $V_j \cong \mathbb{R}^{m_j}$  as an integral vector  $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ . Now, a scaled isomorphic copy of the code  $\mathcal{C}$  can be constructed in the space  $V = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le n} V_j$  in a natural way. The space V has the standard basis induced by standard bases of the spaces  $V_j$ . All vectors of the constructed copy have rational inner products with the standard basis vectors of V and thus become integral after proper scaling.

Often, the dimension of the space V in the proof can be lowered considerably and the described embedding is far from optimal from that point of view.

#### **3** Code construction

Codes embedded into a shell of an integer lattice with large angular separation between the codevectors can inherit some symmetries of the lattice. The search of such codes is straightforward as long as signed permutation automorphisms form a group of suitable size.

To study codes with prescribed signed permutation automorphisms in  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ , it is convenient first to map any vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  into  $W = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  by the following angle preserving linear map:

$$f: V \to W, f((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = (a_1, -a_1, \dots, a_n, -a_n)$$
 for all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The group of signed permutations acting on integer vectors of V can be studied via its isomorphic permutation representation on  $f(V) \subset W$  acting on f-images of integer vectors of V. The permutation representation in question permutes the standard basis vectors of W containing all permutations stabilizing the partition of coordinates

$$\{1,2\},\{3,4\},\ldots,\{2n-1,2n\}.$$

A *block system* for the action of a group G on a set S is a partition of S that is G-invariant. Permutation groups with block systems with blocks of size 2 can represent signed groups according to the presentation above.

The problem of finding the largest code for a given group of automorphisms  $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ , an integer lattice shell  $s_k$  and inner product at most t can be transformed into an instance of a weighted maximum clique problem. A weighted graph  $\Gamma$  is constructed where each G-orbit with the inner product between any two vectors is at most t is represented by a vertex and the weight of a vertex is the cardinality of the corresponding orbit. There is a edge between two vertices of the graph if the inner product between any two vectors of the orbits is at most t. Now, the largest codes with prescribed group of automorphism Gcorrespond to maximum weight cliques of  $\Gamma$ .

In practice it is necessary to restrict the types of prescribed signed permutation groups. In this work we consider

- 1. signed permutation groups acting transitively on all the coordinate/sign pairs or leaving a few coordinates and their values unchanged,
- 2. subgroups of signed permutation groups acting transitively on all the coordinate/sign pairs stabilizing coordinates, blocks of coordinates or coordinate/sign pairs,
- 3. permutation groups acting transitively on all coordinates or leaving a few coordinates unchanged, and
- 4. some other small permutation and signed permutation groups.

Transitive permutation groups were classified [3, 14, 15] up to degree 48, meaning that all signed permutation groups acting transitively on coordinate/sign pairs are essentially known up to degree 24. We systematically consider signed permutation groups up to degree 14, acting transitively on all coordinate/sign pairs or fixing a coordinate and its sign. Other types of groups of automorphisms we prescribe less systematically. We use GAP [12] and its GRAPE package [20] in our calculations. Some groups are excluded from the search if the associated weighted graph  $\Gamma$  is too large or the problem too time consuming. Finally, in some cases we use  $Y_n$  constructions of [10] (see also [9]) and other methods if they give better results.

### 4 Results

In this section we report the computed lower bounds for S(n, t, k). For  $6 \le n \le 11$  and  $12 \le n \le 14$  we study shells  $s_k$  within the ranges  $4 \le k \le 15$  and  $4 \le k \le 26 - n$  respectively. For  $n \le 5$  it may be possible to determine in most cases the exact values of S(n, t, k) for  $4 \le k \le 15$ , hence corresponding bounds were not computed here.

The lower bounds are tabulated in Tables 2 to 10. A construction type of a code leading to a bound is given after the bound, many lower bounds can be obtained via multiple construction types; abbreviations for the types are listed in Table 1. Some additional lower bounds for parameters not included into previous tables are given in Table 11; these bounds were obtained through non-systematic search but the bounds themselves seem to be strong enough to be mentioned here. Explicit codes attaining the lower bounds are given in [11].

Abbreviation	Explanation
sq	The code can be constructed by prescribing signed permutation automorphisms acting
	transitively on $2(d-q)$ pairs of coordinates and signs. The remaining pairs of
	coordinates and signs remain unchanged. If $q = 0$ then $q$ omitted.
pq	The code can be constructed by prescribing automorphisms permuting transitively on
	(d-q) coordinates and fixing all the remaining coordinates. If $q = 0$ then q omitted.
n	The code can be constructed by prescribing automorphism groups permuting
	coordinates or pairs of coordinates and signs intransitively.
oq	The code can be constructed inside the shell $s_q$ which is embedded into the shell $s_k$
	by Proposition 1.
х	The code can be constructed by one of the $Y_n$ constructions of [10].
+	The code contains additional, explicitly added vectors.
-	The lower bound is not computed.

Table 1: Abbreviations for types of constructions used

$t \backslash k$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	18s2	18s1	12s	12s	12s	12s	12s	12s	12s	12s	12s	12s
2	60s	32s1	32s	18p	18s	18n	1805	13s2+	15n	12s	12s	12s
3		56n	36s	32n	26p1 +	24p	21n	19n	21n	16n	14n	14n
4			160o3	66n+	6004	48s	3205	32s2	32s	20n	20n	18n+
5				192s	100s2	70n	60s	40s1	32s	32n	28n+	32s
6					252s	116n	72n	58n +	46s	40n	36s	32s
7						196n	144s	96s	66n	50s1	48n	42p
8							504s	180s	164n	96s	6607	55p

Table 2: Lower bounds for S(6, t, k)

$t \backslash k$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	24n	24s1	16n	16s	14s	14s	14s	14s	14s	14s	14s	14s
2	126s	46s3	36n	22p2	28n	20s3	20n	18n	16n	15n	15s3 +	14s
3		124s1 +	82s3+	72s	36n	38n	30n	30n	23n	20n	18n	20n
4			232s1	96s3	84s	57s3	48n	34s3+	56s	30s3+	26n	24n
5				576s	156s3	112n	72s1	70n	56s	47s+	37s3	38s2
6					756s	250n+	152n	86s1 +	86n	60s1	56s3 +	48s3
7						630s	270n+	180n	106s1 +	84n	72s3	64n
8							828s +	354n	328n	168s1	130n+	80n

Table 3: Lower bounds for S(7, t, k)

$t \backslash k$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	26n	28s1	17n+	18n	16s	16s	16s	16s	16s	16s	16s	16s
2	240s	72n	64s	32s	36n	24n	2805	22n	20n	18n	1807	16s
3		288s	112s	80n	52n	64s	37p1+	40n	28n	26s2	22n	2805
4			448s	196n	240s	80s	72s	48n	64s	40n	34n	2805
5				1024s	280n +	170s1	112s	88s1	64s	70s1	58n	64s
6					2160s	460n	28805	148s +	240s	84s1	84n	7205
7						1776s	504s	336n +	240s	146n+	112s	90n+
8							2400s	704s	512s	296n	212s +	144n

Table 4: Lower bounds for S(8, t, k)

$t \backslash k$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	36s	32s1	32s1	24n	21p1	20x	18s1 +	18s	18s	18p2	18s	18p2
2	306s	90n+	68n	36s	36n	32s1	30n	24n	24n	20n	21n	20n
3		612s	176n +	128s1	64n	80n	42n	52n+	39n	32s1	27p	26p3
4			1056s	352s1	272s1	108n	88s1	74s+	96s	48n	48n	36p2+
5				1664s1	464s1	482s1	160s1	112n	96s	80s1	74s+	64s1
6					4432s1	706s1 +	432s	240s1 +	244s1 +	108s	96s1	84s
7						5426s	1056s1 +	580s1 +	288s	304s1	148n+	152n

Table 5:	Lower	bounds	for	$\mathbf{S}(9,t,k)$
----------	-------	--------	-----	---------------------

$t \backslash k$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	44n	40n	40s	24s1 +	22n	22p1	21s1	20s	20s	20s	20s	20s
2	500s	108s1	104s2	64s2	48n	40s	40s	32s2	40s	34s2	2407	22s2+
3		1192x +	252s1	136n	100s	82n	50n+	51p3	41s1 +	36s2 +	35p3+	32s2
4			2240s	512n	50004	148n	122p	96s2	104x	80s	64s2	48s2
5				3584s +	738s1	546s2	270s	160s2	114s1 +	114s1	80n	104n
6					7220s	1176n +	1192s	324s2+	272s2	152n +	160s	104n
7						12412s +	1952n +	904s1 +	420s2+	372s2	204n+	160s2

Table 6: Lower bounds for $S(10, t, h)$	k)
-----------------------------------------	----

$t \backslash k$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	55p	42n+	40s1	26s2+	24s2+	24u	24p1	24s1	22s	22s	22s	22s
2	582x	176p1	116n	80s1	55n	50n+	42s1 +	38s2+	40s1	36s3+	29n+	22s
3		2156x +	352s	216n	144n	132s	83s3	72x	55p	48s1 +	37s3	40n
4			4664s	704n	540s1	217n+	144n	112s3	136x	88s	72n	50s3+
5				6736x +	1408n+	982s1	328s1 +	264n+	144s3	152n	132p	104s3 +
6					13572s1 +	2096s2+	1388s1 +	448n+	372s3+	220s	204n+	144s2
7						-	3850p+	2406n+	680n+	492n	304n+	284n+

Table 7: Lower bounds for  $\mathbf{S}(11,t,k)$ 

$t \backslash k$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	72x	48x	44s2 +	32n	27n	28n	26s1 +	24s	24s	24s	24s
2	840s	216s	160o3	84s2+	7204	55s4	48s	40s2	44s2 +	38s4+	3207
3		2656x +	704s	288n	154n +	160o3	92s1 +	80n	7204	55s2 +	42s2+
4			8928s	1104s	840s	408s	288s	144n	160o3	98n	96s
5				13504x +	2304s	1062s2	528s	276n +	192s	200n	156p1 +
6					26760s +	4688s	265605	768s	840s	320n+	28807
7						-	8658 p1 +	2782s2+	1032s	696n+	504s

Table 8: Lower bounds for S(12, t, k)

$t \backslash k$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	104s	56n	48s1	48s1	30n	32n	27p1+	32n	28s +	32x
2	1066x	288s1	188n+	104s1 +	7204	60s5	56n	48s1	46s3 +	40s5 +
3		3988x +	832s	472n	184s1 +	170n	99s2 +	112n+	80s+	64x
4			11248x +	1904s1 +	998n	460s1 +	336s1	168s1	208s	136n
5				25392x +	3744s1	1705s1	632n	576s1	256s1	312s1
6					-	-	265805 +	1040s1 +	864s1	344n+

Table 9: Lower bounds for S(13, t, k)

$t \backslash k$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	112s	64x	64n	64s	32n	36n+	32n	32n	28s
2	1484s	448x	240s2	128s	112s	64n	64n	56n	6406
3		5464x +	1344s	600s2+	210s2+	220n	112s	124n	83n+
4			18464x +	2912s2 +	1932s	496s2 +	448s	224s	24006
5				47424x +	6736n +	2330s2	954n+	896s	320n
6					-	-	546405	1792s	1344s

Table 10: Lower bounds for S(14, t, k)

$S(5, 10, 8) \ge 180$	$S(12, 14, 8) \ge 1584$	$S(13, 13, 7) \ge 1318$	$S(15, 9, 5) \ge 4480$
$S(6, 12, 16) \ge 264$	$S(12, 15, 7) \ge 384$	$S(14, 13, 5) \ge 362$	$S(15, 55, 7) \ge 48$
$S(9, 17, 8) \ge 144$	$S(12, 17, 8) \ge 384$	$S(14, 21, 8) \ge 364$	$S(16, 9, 5) \ge 5762$
$S(10, 17, 8) \ge 180$	$S(12, 19, 8) \ge 264$	$S(15, 8, 4) \ge 2564$	

Table 11: Additional bounds

Most codes associated with the lower bounds for S(n, t, k) produce decent but not exceptionally strong spherical codes with some exceptions. By searching through Tables 2 to 10 we managed to find several spherical codes which seem to either outperform or fit nicely between the best known spherical codes with comparable parameters. The found examples are given in Table 12 while the explicit codes can be found in [11]. The new codes are compared to examples of the Appendix D of [10]. In the Table 12 by (n, k, t, N) in the column structure we denote a code containing N vectors of the kth shell of the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that the inner product between any two different vectors is at most t. Every new code is obtained by scaling a large (n, k, t, N)-code which in most cases is associated with a lower bound for S(n, t, k) and possibly augumenting it by (properly scaled) vectors of a different shell. We did not try to augument every code related to a lower bound S(n, t, k) with additional vectors of different shells, it is possible that additional good spherical codes could be constructed this way. It is also possible (but we did not perform these searches systematically) to include vertices associated with different shells of the integer lattice into a weighted graph G and perform maximum weight clique searches in order to find good spherical codes.

n	Code	Structure	[10]
1	(6, 568, 4/5)	$(6, 10, 8, 504) \cup (6, 6, 4, 64)$	(6, 558, 4/5)
2	(8, 1024, 5/7)	(8, 7, 5, 1024)	(8, 992, 5/7)
3	(9, 612, 3/5)	(9, 5, 3, 612)	(9, 610, 3/5)
4	(9, 1056, 2/3)	(9, 6, 4, 1056)	(9, 1008, 2/3)
5	(9, 146, 3/7)	$(9,7,3,128) \cup (9,1,0,18)$	(9, 130, 3/7)
6	(9, 4450, 3/4)	$(9, 8, 6, 4432) \cup (9, 1, 0, 18)$	(9, 3586, 3/4)
7	(9, 6404, 7/9)	$(9, 9, 7, 5336) \cup (9, 5, 3, 612) \cup (9, 3, 2, 456)$	(9, 5954, 7/9)
8	(10, 1192, 3/5)	(10, 5, 3, 1192)	(10, 1190, 3/5)
9	(10, 3584, 5/7)	(10, 7, 5, 3584)	(10, 3488, 5/7)
10	(10, 13564, 7/9)	$(10, 9, 7, 12412) \cup (10, 5, 3, 1152)$	(10, 13510, 7/9)
11	(11, 2156, 3/5)	(11, 5, 3, 2156)	(11, 2154, 3/5)
12	(11, 4664, 2/3)	(11, 6, 4, 4664)	(11, 4604, 2/3)
13	(11, 80, 2/7)	(11, 7, 2, 80)	$(11, 79, 1/\sqrt{12})$
14	(11, 224, 3/7)	$(11, 7, 3, 216) \cup (11, 1, 0, 8)$	(11, 210, 3/7)
15	(11, 6920, 5/7)	$(11, 7, 5, 6720) \cup (11, 3, 2, 200)$	(11, 6792, 5/7)
16	(11, 166, 3/8)	$(11, 8, 3, 144) \cup (11, 1, 0, 22)$	(11, 136, 1/3) and $(11, 210, 3/7)$
17	(11, 13714, 3/4)	$(11, 8, 6, 13212) \cup (11, 4, 3, 502)$	(11, 12994, 3/4)
18	(12, 2656, 3/5)	(12, 5, 3, 2656)	(12, 2605, 3/5)
19	(12, 13536, 5/7)	$(12, 7, 5, 13504) \cup (12, 3, 2, 32)$	(12, 13280, 5/7)
20	(12, 408, 4/9)	(12, 9, 4, 408)	(12, 396, 7/15)
21	(12, 96, 3/11)	$(12, 11, 3, 80) \cup (12, 5, 1, 16)$	$(12,95,(13-2\sqrt{2})/7)$
22	(12, 1608, 4/7)	$(12, 14, 8, 1584) \cup (12, 6, 3, 24)$	(12, 1144, 5/9) and $(12, 2605, 3/5)$
23	(13, 3988, 3/5)	(13, 5, 3, 3988)	(13, 3986, 3/5)
24	(13, 11248, 2/3)	(13, 6, 4, 11248)	(13, 11176, 2/3)
25	(13, 492, 3/7)	$(13,7,3,472) \cup (13,1,0,20)$	(13, 416, 3/7)
26	(13, 1978, 5/9)	$(13, 9, 5, 1658) \cup (13, 6, 3, 320)$	$(13, 1490, (1 + \sqrt{8})/7)$ and $(13, 3986, 3/5)$
27	(13, 112, 3/11)	$(13, 11, 3, 96) \cup (13, 5, 1, 16)$	(13, 104, 1/4) and $(13, 140, 19/61)$
28	(13, 578, 5/11)	$(13, 11, 5, 576) \cup (13, 1, 0, 2)$	(13, 416, 3/7) and $(13, 1154, 1/2)$
29	(13, 136, 4/13)	(13, 13, 4, 136)	(13, 104, 1/4) and $(13, 140, 19/61)$
30	(13, 313, 5/13)	$(13, 13, 5, 312) \cup (13, 1, 0, 1)$	(13, 308, 5/13)
31	(14, 6488, 3/5)	$(14, 5, 3, 5464) \cup (14, 14, 8, 1024)$	(14, 6460, 3/5)
32	(14, 240, 1/3)	(14, 6, 2, 240)	(14, 224, 1/3)
33	(14, 19488, 2/3)	$(14, 6, 4, 18464) \cup (14, 14, 8, 1024)$	(14, 19180, 2/3)
34	(14, 600, 3/7)	(14, 7, 3, 600)	(14, 466, 2/5) and $(14, 896, 5/11)$
35	(14, 55616, 5/7)	$(14, 7, 5, 47424) \cup (14, 14, 10, 8192)$	(14, 52732, 5/7)
36	(14, 136, 3/11)	$(14, 11, 3, 112) \cup (14, 5, 1, 24)$	(14, 112, 1/4) and $(14, 156, 2/7)$
37	(14, 364, 8/21)	(14, 21, 8, 364)	(14, 224, 1/3) and $(14, 466, 2/5)$
38	(15, 4800, 5/9)	$(15, 9, 5, 4480) \cup (15, 6, 3, 320)$	$(15, 2734, 2/\sqrt{15})$ and $(15, 9662, 3/5)$
39	(15, 256, 7/23)	$(15, 23, 7, 240) \cup (15, 15, -1, 16)$	(15, 240, 7/23)
40	(16, 5768, 5/9)	$(16, 9, 5, 5760) \cup (16, 7, 3, 8)$	(16, 5408, 5/9)

Table 12: Spherical codes

## References

- [1] BACHOC, C.; VALLENTIN, F.: Semidefinite programming bounds for spherical codes, 2007 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, 1801-1805.
- [2] BRAUN, M.; ETZION T.; ÖSTERGÅRD, P. R. J.; VARDY A.; WASSERMANN A.: Existence of q-analogs of Steiner systems, Forum of Mathematics Pi (2016), vol. 4, e7.
- [3] CANNON, J. J.; HOLT, D. F.: The transitive permutation groups of degree 32. Exp. Math. 17 (2008), 307–314.
- [4] COHN, H.: Small spherical and projective codes. https://cohn.mit.edu/spherical-codes.

- [5] COHN, H.; KUMAR, A.: Universally optimal distribution of points on spheres. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (2007), 99–148.
- [6] CONWAY, J. H.; HARDIN, R. H.; SLOANE N. J. A.: Packing lines, planes, etc.: packings in Grassmannian spaces, Experiment. Math. 5 (1996), 139–159.
- [7] CONWAY, J. H.; SLOANE, N. J. A.: Sphere packings, lattices and groups, third edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [8] DING, C.; FENG, T.: A generic construction of complex codebooks meeting the Welch bound, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 53 (2007), 4245–4250.
- [9] EDEL, Y.; RAINS, E. M.; SLOANE N. J. A.: On kissing numbers in dimensions 32 to 128, Electron. J. Combin. 5 (1998), Research Paper 22, 5 pp.
- [10] ERICSSON, T.; ZINOVIEV, V.: Codes on Euclidean Spheres. Elsevier Science (2001).
- [11] GANZHINOV M.: Codes inside shells of low-dimensional integer lattices. [Data set]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10784487(Mar 7, 2024)
- [12] GAP GROUPS, ALGORITHMS, PROGRAMMING -A SYSTEM FOR COMPUTATIONAL DISCRETE ALGE-BRA: http://www.gap-system.org.
- [13] HARDIN, R. H.; SLOANE, J. A.; SMITH, W. D.; AND OTHERS: Tables of spherical codes. 1994 http://neilsloane.com/packings.
- [14] HOLT, D.; ROYLE, G.; TRACEY, G.: The transitive groups of degree 48 and some applications. J. Algebra 607 A (2022), 372–386.
- [15] HULPKE, A.: Constructing transitive permutation groups. J. Symb. Comput. 39 (2005), 1–30.
- [16] IVERSON, J. J.; JASPER, J.; MIXON, D. G.: Optimal line packings from finite group actions. Forum Math. Sigma 8 (2020), e6, 40 pp.
- [17] KABATYANSKII, G. A.; LEVENSHTEIN, V. I.: Bounds for packings on a sphere and in space. Problemy Peredachi Informatsii 14.1 (1978), 3–25.
- [18] LAAKSONEN, A.; OSTERGÅRD, P. R. J.: Constructing error-correcting binary codes using transitive permutation groups. Discret. Appl. Math. 233 (2017), 65–70.
- [19] LAAKSONEN, A.; ÖSTERGÅRD, P. R. J.: Lower Bounds on Error-Correcting Ternary, Quaternary and Quinary Codes. ICMCTA 2017, 228–237.
- [20] SOICHER, L. H.: The GRAPE package for GAP, Version 4.9.0, (2022).
- [21] TAMMES, P. M. L.: On the number and arrangements of the places of exit on the surface of pollengrains. University of Groningen.
- [22] WANG, J.: Finding and investigating exact spherical codes, Experiment. Math. 18 (2009), 249–256.