

\mathcal{L} -intersecting or Configuration Forbidden Families on Set Systems and Vector Spaces over Finite Fields

Jiuqiang Liu^{a,b,*}, Guihai Yu^{a,*}, Lihua Feng^c, and Yongtao Li^c

^a College of Big Data Statistics, Guizhou University of Finance and Economics
Guiyang, Guizhou, 550025, China

^b Department of Mathematics, Eastern Michigan University
Ypsilanti, MI 48197, USA

^c School of Mathematics and Statistics, HNP-LAMA, Central South University
Changsha, Hunan, 410083, China

E-mail: jiuqiang68@126.com, yuguihai@mail.gufe.edu.cn, fenglh@163.com

March 11, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we derive a tight upper bound for the size of an intersecting k -Sperner family of subspaces of the n -dimensional vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n over finite field \mathbb{F}_q which gives a q -analogue of the Erdős' k -Sperner Theorem, and we then establish a general relationship between upper bounds for the sizes of families of subsets of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with property P and upper bounds for the sizes of families of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n with property P , where P is either \mathcal{L} -intersecting or forbidding certain configuration. Applying this relationship, we derive generalizations of the well known results about the famous Erdős matching conjecture and Erdős-Chvátal simplex conjecture to linear lattices. As a consequence, we disprove a related conjecture on families of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n by Ihringer [Europ. J. Combin., 94 (2021), 103306].

Key words: Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, Erdős matching conjecture; Erdős-Chvátal simplex conjecture; hereditary property; \mathcal{L} -intersecting family; Sperner family

AMS Classifications: 05D05.

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, we denote $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of $[n]$ is called t -*intersecting* if $|E \cap F| \geq t$ for every pair of distinct subsets $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$, and it is called *intersecting* when $t = 1$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers with $0 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_s$. A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of $[n]$ is called \mathcal{L} -*intersecting* if $|E \cap F| \in \mathcal{L}$ for every pair of subsets $E,$

*The corresponding author

\mathcal{F} in \mathcal{F} . \mathcal{F} is *k-uniform* if it is a collection of k -subsets of $[n]$. Thus, a k -uniform intersecting family is \mathcal{L} -intersecting for $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$. A k -uniform t -intersecting family is a special \mathcal{L} -intersecting family with $\mathcal{L} = \{t, t+1, \dots, k-1\}$. A *Boolean lattice* \mathcal{B}_n is the set $2^{[n]}$ of all subsets of $[n]$ with the ordering being the containment relation and a *linear lattice* $\mathcal{L}_n(q)$ is the set of all subspaces of the n -dimensional vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q with the ordering being the relation of inclusion of subspaces.

In 1961, Erdős, Ko, and Rado [11] proved the following classical result.

Theorem 1.1 (Erdős, Ko, and Rado, [11]). *Let $n \geq 2k$, and let \mathcal{A} be a k -uniform intersecting family of subsets of $[n]$. Then $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$, with equality only when \mathcal{F} consists of all k -subsets containing a common element.*

Since then, many intersection theorems have appeared in the literature, see, for example, the next three well-known theorems.

Theorem 1.2 (Ray-Chaudhuri and Wilson, [28]). *Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers. If \mathcal{A} is a k -uniform \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of $[n]$, then $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{s}$.*

Theorem 1.3 (Frankl and Wilson, [14]). *Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers. If \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of $[n]$, then*

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n}{0}.$$

In terms of the parameters n and s , the inequality in Theorem 1.3 is best possible, as shown by the set of all subsets of $[n]$ with sizes at most s and taking $\mathcal{L} = \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$.

Theorem 1.4 (Alon, Babai, and Suzuki, [2]). *Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers and $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ be a set of integers satisfying $k_i > s - r$ for every i . Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ is a family of subsets of $[n]$ such that $|A_i| \in K$ for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $|A_i \cap A_j| \in \mathcal{L}$ for every pair $i \neq j$. Then*

$$m \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n}{s-r+1}.$$

Recall that a family of subsets of $[n]$ is called a *Sperner family* (or antichain) if there are no two different members of the family such that one of them contains the other, and a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of $[n]$ is *k-Sperner* if all chains in \mathcal{F} have length at most k . Define $\sum(n, k)$ to be the sum of the k largest binomial coefficients of order n , i.e., $\sum(n, k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor + i}$. Let $\sum^*(n, k)$ be the collection of families consisting of the corresponding full levels, i.e., if $n+k$ is odd, then $\sum^*(n, k)$ contains one family $\cup_{i=1}^k \binom{[n]}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor + i}$ (where $\binom{[n]}{k}$ is the set of all k -subsets of $[n]$); if $n+k$ is even, then $\sum^*(n, k)$ contains two families of the same size $\cup_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{[n]}{\frac{n-k}{2} + i}$ and $\cup_{i=1}^k \binom{[n]}{\frac{n-k}{2} + i}$. The following theorem by Erdős [9] generalizes the classical Sperner theorem.

Theorem 1.5 (Erdős, [9]). Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a k -Sperner family of subsets of $[n]$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \sum (n, k).$$

Moreover, if $|\mathcal{A}| = \sum (n, k)$, then $\mathcal{A} \in \Sigma^*(n, k)$.

Throughout this paper, we denote the Gaussian (or q -binomial) coefficient of order n by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \frac{q^{n-i} - 1}{q^{k-i} - 1}. \quad (1)$$

It is well known that the number of all k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n is equal to $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$.

Results on the sizes of families of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n analogous to those theorems on \mathcal{L} -intersecting families of subsets of $[n]$ have appeared in the literature. The next generalization of Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem (Theorem 1.1) is proved by Greene and Kleitman [16] and Hsieh [18].

Theorem 1.6 (Greene and Kleitman [16], Hsieh [18]). Let $n \geq 2k + 1$. Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n satisfying that $\dim(V_i \cap V_j) > 0$ for any distinct subspaces V_i and V_j in \mathcal{V} . Then

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and equality holds if and only if there exists a 1-dimensional subspace R of \mathbb{F}_q^n such that $R \subseteq V$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$.

Frankl and Graham [13] derived the following theorem on \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Theorem 1.7 (Frankl and Graham [13]). Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers. Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n such that $\dim(V_i \cap V_j) \in \mathcal{L}$ for any distinct subspaces V_i and V_j in \mathcal{V} . Then

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}.$$

In 1990, Lefmann [23] proved the following \mathcal{L} -intersecting theorem for ranked finite lattices, and in 2001, Qian and Ray-Chaudhuri [27] extended to quasi-polynomial semi-lattices.

Theorem 1.8 (Lefmann [23], Qian and Ray-Chaudhuri [27]). Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers. Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n satisfying that $\dim(V_i \cap V_j) \in \mathcal{L}$ for any distinct subspaces V_i and V_j in \mathcal{V} . Then

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s-1 \end{bmatrix} + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Alon et al. [2] derived the next result in 1991.

Theorem 1.9 (Alon, Babai, and Suzuki [2]). Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers. Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n satisfying that $\dim(V_i \cap V_j) \in \mathcal{L}$ for any distinct subspaces V_i and V_j in \mathcal{V} and $\dim(V_i) \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ with $k_i > s - r$ for every i . Then

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n}{s-r+1}.$$

We say that a family \mathcal{V} of subspaces is *Sperner* (or *antichain*) if no subspace is contained in another subspace in \mathcal{V} , and \mathcal{V} is *k-Sperner* if all chains in \mathcal{V} have length at most k . The following well-known Sperner theorem for families of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n can be found in [8], which is a vector space analogue of the classical Sperner theorem.

Theorem 1.10 (*q-Analogue Sperner Theorem*). Assume that \mathcal{V} is a Sperner family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Then

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq \left\lfloor \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \right\rfloor.$$

We denote $\sum[n, k]$ to be the sum of the k largest q -binomial coefficients of order n , i.e., $\sum[n, k] = \sum_{i=1}^k \left\lfloor \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor + i} \right\rfloor$. Let $\sum^*[n, k]$ be the collection of families consisting of the corresponding full levels, i.e., if $n+k$ is odd, then $\sum^*[n, k]$ contains one family $\cup_{i=1}^k \left\lfloor \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor + i} \right\rfloor$ (where $\left\lfloor \binom{n}{k} \right\rfloor$ denotes the set of all k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n); if $n+k$ is even, then $\sum^*[n, k]$ contains two families of the same size $\cup_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\lfloor \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor + i} \right\rfloor$ and $\cup_{i=1}^k \left\lfloor \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor + i} \right\rfloor$.

The following q -analogue of Erdős' theorem (Theorem 1.5) is implied by Theorem 2 in [29], which generalizes Theorem 1.10 to k -Sperner families.

Theorem 1.11 (Samotij [29]). Assume that \mathcal{V} is a k -Sperner family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Then

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq \sum[n, k].$$

Moreover, if $|\mathcal{V}| = \sum[n, k]$, then $\mathcal{V} \in \sum^*[n, k]$.

We say that a family \mathcal{V} of subspaces is *intersecting* if $\dim(V_i \cap V_j) > 0$ for any pair of distinct $V_i, V_j \in \mathcal{V}$. Here, our first main result is the following theorem on an intersecting k -Sperner family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n which improves considerably the bound in Theorem 1.11, where $\mathcal{L}_{n,k}[R]$ denotes the set of all k -dimensional subspaces containing a given subspace R in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Theorem 1.12 Suppose that \mathcal{V} is an intersecting k -Sperner family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n such that $\dim(V) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - k + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1}{j-1}$$

and equality holds if and only if there exists a 1-dimensional subspace R of \mathbb{F}_q^n such that $\mathcal{V}_j = \{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid \dim(V) = j\} = \mathcal{L}_{n,j}[R]$ for each $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - k + 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Note that for $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ defined by (1), one has

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The bound in Theorem 1.12 is considerably smaller than that in Theorem 1.11.

We say that a property P of families is *hereditary* if for any family \mathcal{F} with property P , every subfamily of \mathcal{F} has property P . Clearly, \mathcal{L} -intersecting, Sperner, and k -Sperner are hereditary properties.

Our next main result establishes a general relationship between upper bounds for the sizes of \mathcal{L} -intersecting families of subsets of $[n]$ and upper bounds for the sizes of \mathcal{L} -intersecting families of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Denote

$$f(n, c_0, \dots, c_k) = c_k \binom{n}{k} + c_{k-1} \binom{n}{k-1} + \dots + c_0 \binom{n}{0},$$

$$f_q(n, c_0, \dots, c_k) = c_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} + c_{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + \dots + c_0 \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $0 \leq c_i \leq 1$ for each $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $0 < c_k \leq 1$.

Theorem 1.13 *Let P be a hereditary property which is \mathcal{L} -intersecting or T -configuration forbidden, where \mathcal{L} is a set of nonnegative integers and T is a given configuration. Assume that k is fixed and $n \geq 2k$. If any family in $2^{[n]}$ satisfying property P has at most $f(n, c_0, \dots, c_k)$ members, then any family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n with property P has at most $f_q(n, c_0, \dots, c_k)$ members for n sufficiently large.*

By Theorem 1.13, one sees easily that for n sufficiently large, Theorems 1.2–1.4 give rise to Theorems 1.7–1.9, respectively.

Let $0 < c \leq 1$ and

$$f^*(n, k, c) = c \binom{n}{k},$$

$$f_q^*(n, k, c) = c \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

For uniform families, we have the following similar result without requiring n to be sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.14 *Let P be a hereditary property which is \mathcal{L} -intersecting or T -configuration forbidden, where \mathcal{L} is a set of nonnegative integers and T is a given configuration. Assume that k is fixed and $n \geq 2k$. If any family in $\binom{[n]}{k}$ satisfying property P has at most $f^*(n, k, c)$ members, then any family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n with property P has at most $f_q^*(n, k, c)$ members.*

A collection M of pairwise disjoint sets is called a *matching*. The *matching number* $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ of a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ is the size of the largest matching that \mathcal{F} contains. Note that a family \mathcal{F} is intersecting if $\nu(\mathcal{F}) = 1$. Erdős [10] provided the following well-known conjecture about the maximum size of a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ with $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$.

Conjecture 1.15 (Erdős Matching Conjecture [10]). Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ with $n \geq (s+1)k$ and $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \max \left\{ \binom{(s+1)k-1}{k}, \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-s}{k} \right\}.$$

In section 4, we provide applications of Theorems 1.13 and 1.14. In particular, we derive generalizations of the well known results about Erdős-Chvátal simplex conjecture and Erdős matching conjecture. As a consequence, we provide counterexamples to Conjecture 3 by Ihringer [20] on families of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

2 Proof of Theorem 1.12

First, we show that $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ satisfies the following symmetric and unimodal properties similar to those of the binomial coefficients.

Lemma 2.1 For $0 \leq k < l \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we have

$$(i) \quad \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ n-k \end{smallmatrix} \right],$$

$$(ii) \quad \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right] < \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ l \end{smallmatrix} \right].$$

Proof. For (i), it follows from the fact

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ n-k \end{smallmatrix} \right] &= \prod_{0 \leq i \leq n-k-1} \frac{q^{n-i} - 1}{q^{n-k-i} - 1} \\ &= \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-(n-k)+1} - 1)}{(q^{n-k} - 1)(q^{n-k-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-(n-k)+1} - 1)}{(q^{n-k} - 1)(q^{n-k-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)} \cdot \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-k+1} - 1)}{(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)} = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For (ii), it suffices to show that for $k+1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,

$$\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right] < \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k+1 \end{smallmatrix} \right].$$

Note that

$$\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k+1 \end{smallmatrix} \right] = \frac{q^{n-k} - 1}{q^{k+1} - 1} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right].$$

For $k+1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we have $n-k > k+1$. It follows that

$$\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right] < \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k+1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]. \quad \square$$

The following LYM type inequality for intersecting Sperner families of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n is given in [31]. Recall that $\mathcal{L}_{n,k}[R]$ denotes the set of all k -dimensional subspaces containing a given subspace R in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Theorem 2.2 (Wang, [31]). *Suppose that \mathcal{V} is an intersecting Sperner family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{|\mathcal{V}_k|}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \leq 1,$$

where $\mathcal{V}_k = \{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid \dim(V) = k\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{L}_{n,k}[R]$ for some $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and some 1-dimensional subspace R of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Lemma 2.3 *If a family \mathcal{V} of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n is intersecting k -Sperner, then \mathcal{V} is the union of k disjoint intersecting antichains.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\}$ be a family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Suppose that \mathcal{V} is intersecting k -Sperner. We now show that \mathcal{V} is the union of k intersecting antichains. Define the required k antichains recursively as follows: Let \mathcal{W}_1 denote the family of all minimal subspaces in \mathcal{V} , and if \mathcal{W}_j is defined for all $1 \leq j < i$, then denote \mathcal{W}_i to be the family of all minimal subspaces in $\mathcal{V} \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{W}_j)$. This process continues and stops at $i = h$. Then $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \dots, \mathcal{W}_h$ are disjoint intersecting antichains by definition. We claim that $h \leq k$. For every $V \in \mathcal{W}_i$, there exists a $V' \in \mathcal{W}_{i-1}$ such that $V' \subseteq V$. Hence, if there exists a subspace in \mathcal{W}_{k+1} , then we would have a $(k+1)$ -chain in \mathcal{V} , a contradiction. Thus, $h \leq k$ and \mathcal{V} is the union of k disjoint intersecting antichains. \square

As an easy consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, one has the next extension of Theorem 2.2 to intersecting k -Sperner families.

Theorem 2.4 *Suppose that \mathcal{V} is an intersecting k -Sperner family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . For $0 \leq j \leq n$, let $\mathcal{V}_j = \{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid \dim(V) = j\}$. If $\dim(V) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{|\mathcal{V}_j|}{\binom{n-1}{j-1}} \leq k.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\}$ is an intersecting k -Sperner family of \mathbb{F}_q^n . By Lemma 2.3, \mathcal{V} is the union of k intersecting antichains $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \dots, \mathcal{W}_k$. Applying Theorem 2.2 on each of these antichains \mathcal{W}_i and adding all the inequalities up, one obtains the desired result. \square

Lemma 2.5 *Suppose that for integers $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and nonnegative real numbers $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{|f_j|}{\binom{n-1}{j-1}} \leq k,$$

$$f_i \leq \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor.$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} f_j \leq \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - k + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Consider the vector $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})$ which maximizes $\sum f_i$. For $\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix} < \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}$, the inequalities $f_i < \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $f_j > 0$ would lead to a bigger $\sum f_i$ by replacing f_i and f_j by $f_i + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $f_j - \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, giving a contradiction. It follows that we have either $f_i = \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}$ or $f_i = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Suppose that \mathcal{V} is an intersecting k -Sperner family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n such that $\dim(V) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_j = \{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid \dim(V) = j\}$ and $f_j = |\mathcal{V}_j|$ for each $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Since \mathcal{V} is intersecting, \mathcal{V}_j is intersecting and j -uniform for each $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. It follows from Theorem 1.6 that $f_j = |\mathcal{V}_j| \leq \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{|\mathcal{V}_j|}{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}} \leq k. \quad (2)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$|\mathcal{V}| = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} f_j \leq \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - k + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose that the equality holds above. Then it follows from the proof of Lemma 2.5 that we must have $|\mathcal{V}_i| = f_i = \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}$ or $|\mathcal{V}_i| = f_i = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Thus, it follows from Theorem 1.6 that $\mathcal{V}_j = \mathcal{L}_{n,j}[R_j]$ with $\dim(R_j) = 1$ for each $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - k + 1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Moreover, since $n \geq 2k + 1$ and \mathcal{V} is intersecting, we must have $R_i = R_j = R$ for all $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - k + 1 \leq i, j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, where R is a fixed 1-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n . For otherwise, if $R_i \neq R_j$ for some $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - k + 1 \leq i, j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ with $i \neq j$, then there exist $W_i \in \mathcal{V}_i$ and $W_j \in \mathcal{V}_j$ such that $\dim(W_i \cap W_j) = 0$, contradicting the assumption that \mathcal{V} is intersecting. \square

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.13 and 1.14

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $k \geq 1$ be fixed and $n \geq 2k + 2$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$, we have*

$$(i) \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} < \varepsilon \binom{n}{k+1},$$

$$(ii) \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} < \varepsilon \binom{n}{k+1}.$$

Proof. We first prove (i). Since $\binom{n}{k+1} = \frac{n-k}{k+1} \binom{n}{k}$, we have

$$\varepsilon \binom{n}{k+1} - (k+1) \binom{n}{k} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon(n-k)}{k+1} - (k+1) \right) \binom{n}{k}.$$

For $n > \frac{(k+1)^2}{\varepsilon} + k$, we have $\frac{\varepsilon(n-k)}{k+1} - (k+1) > 0$. Note that $\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} \leq (k+1) \binom{n}{k}$. It follows that

$$\varepsilon \binom{n}{k+1} > (k+1) \binom{n}{k} \geq \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i}.$$

For (ii), note that

$$\binom{n}{k+1} = \frac{q^{n-k} - 1}{q^{k+1} - 1} \binom{n}{k}.$$

Similar to (i), by applying Lemma 2.1, one has the desired inequality in (ii). \square

As a consequence of Lemma 3.1, one can derive the next fact easily. Recall that

$$f_q(n, c_0, \dots, c_k) = c_k \binom{n}{k} + c_{k-1} \binom{n}{k-1} + \dots + c_0 \binom{n}{0},$$

where $0 \leq c_i \leq 1$ for each $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $k \geq 1$ be fixed and $n \geq 2k$. For n sufficiently large, if $f_q(n, b_0, \dots, b_k) > f_q(n, c_0, \dots, c_k)$, then we have $b_k > c_k$ or there exists $j_0 < k$ such that $b_j = c_j$ for all $j_0 + 1 \leq j \leq k$ and $b_{j_0} > c_{j_0}$.*

Proof. Suppose that j_0 is the largest integer between 0 and k such that $b_{j_0} \neq c_{j_0}$. Then we have either $b_k \neq c_k$ or $j_0 < k$ and $b_j = c_j$ for all $j_0 + 1 \leq j \leq k$. By Lemma 3.1 (ii), we must have either $b_k > c_k$ or $b_j = c_j$ for all $j_0 + 1 \leq j \leq k$ and $b_{j_0} > c_{j_0}$ for n sufficiently large. \square

Next, we introduce some notions and the following covering lemma by Gerbner [15]. Let S be a set and $S = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n$ be a partition (In the discussions here, S is either the set $2^{[n]}$ of all subsets of $[n]$ or the set of all subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n , and S_i will be level i , i.e., $S_i = \binom{[n]}{i}$ or the set of all i -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n , respectively). Given a vector $t = (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n)$, we say a family Γ of subsets of S is a t -covering family of S if for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, each member in S_i is contained in exactly t_i sets in the family Γ .

Given a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq S$, let $f_i = |\mathcal{F} \cap S_i|$ and (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) is called the *profile vector* of \mathcal{F} . For a weight $\bar{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ and a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq S$, let $\bar{w}(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i |\mathcal{F} \cap S_i|$. Denote $\bar{w}/t = (w_0/t_0, w_1/t_1, \dots, w_n/t_n)$. The following lemma is Lemma 2.1 in [15].

Lemma 3.3 *Let P be a hereditary property of subsets (families) of S and Γ be a t -covering family of S . Assume that there exists a real number x such that for every $G \in \Gamma$, every subset G' of G with property P has $\bar{w}/t(G') \leq x$. Then $\bar{w}(F) \leq |\Gamma|x$ for every $F \subseteq S$ with property P .*

Let $S = \mathcal{L}_n(q)$ be the set of all subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Denote $S = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n$ for a partition of S such that S_i is the set of all i -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . We construct a t -covering family Γ of S such that every $\mathcal{G} \in \Gamma$ is a subfamily in $\mathcal{L}_n(q)$ isomorphic to Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}_n (the set $2^{[n]}$ of all subsets of $[n]$ with the ordering being the containment relation) as follows: Choose an arbitrary basis $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of \mathbb{F}_q^n and let $\mathcal{G}_B = \{\text{span}(U) \mid U \subseteq B\}$, i.e., the family of all subspaces that are generated by subsets of the vectors in B . Obviously the function that maps $H \subseteq [n]$ to the subspace $\text{span}\{v_x \mid x \in H\}$ keeps inclusion and intersection properties. Let Γ be the collection of the families \mathcal{G}_B over all bases B , i.e., $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{G}_B \mid B \text{ is a basis of } \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$. Denote

$$\alpha(q, n) = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^n - q)(q^n - q^2) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})}{n!}. \quad (3)$$

Let $t = (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be such that for $0 \leq i \leq n$,

$$t_i = \frac{(q^i - 1)(q^i - q) \cdots (q^i - q^{i-1})(q^n - q^i) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})}{i!(n-i)!}. \quad (4)$$

The next lemma follows from an easy counting.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $S = \mathcal{L}_n(q)$ be the set of all subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n and $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{G}_B \mid B \text{ is a basis of } \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$. Then $|\Gamma| = \alpha(q, n)$ and Γ is a t -covering of S with $t = (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ given in (4).*

Proof. To show $|\Gamma| = \alpha(q, n)$, it suffices to show that \mathbb{F}_q^n has $\alpha(q, n)$ different bases. To obtain a basis $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ for \mathbb{F}_q^n , one can choose n nonzero linearly independent vectors in the order v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n so that $v_j \notin \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{j-1}\}$ as follows: There are $q^n - 1$ ways to choose v_1 , and then there are $q^n - q$ ways to choose v_2 , $q^n - q^2$ ways to choose v_3 , \dots , $q^n - q^{n-1}$ ways to choose v_n . Thus, there are $(q^n - 1)(q^n - q)(q^n - q^2) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})$ combined ways to form $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ (in order). Clearly, there are $n!$ ways (permutations on B) to form the same B (without order). It follows that there are

$$\alpha(q, n) = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^n - q)(q^n - q^2) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})}{n!}$$

different bases in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

For any i -dimensional subspace V in \mathbb{F}_q^n , similar to the argument above, there are

$$\frac{(q^i - 1)(q^i - q) \cdots (q^i - q^{i-1})}{i!}$$

different bases in V . For each basis D in V , one can extend D to a basis of \mathbb{F}_q^n in

$$\frac{(q^n - q^i)(q^n - q^{i+1}) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})}{(n-i)!}$$

different ways. Therefore, V is contained in

$$t_i = \frac{(q^i - 1)(q^i - q) \cdots (q^i - q^{i-1})(q^n - q^i) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})}{i!(n-i)!}$$

different $\mathcal{G}_B \in \Gamma$. It follows that Γ is a t -covering of $S = \mathcal{L}_n(q)$. \square

The following proof for Theorem 1.13 is motivated by the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [15].

Proof of Theorem 1.13. Assume that \mathcal{V} is a family in $\mathcal{L}_n(q)$ satisfying property P which is either \mathcal{L} -intersecting or T -configuration forbidden. Let $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{G}_B \mid B \text{ is a basis of } \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$. By Lemma 3.4, Γ is a t -covering of $S = \mathcal{L}_n(q)$. Let $w_i = t_i$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\overline{w/t} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Since every $\mathcal{G} \in \Gamma$ is isomorphic to Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}_n , by the assumption, the largest weight $\overline{w/t}(\mathcal{G}')$ among all subfamilies $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ satisfying P , i.e., the largest cardinality of such $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is $f(n, c_0, \dots, c_k)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\overline{w}(\mathcal{V}) \leq |\Gamma| f(n, c_0, \dots, c_k). \quad (5)$$

To the contrary, suppose that $|\mathcal{V}| > f_q(n, c_0, \dots, c_k)$. Then there exists $b = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ with $0 \leq b_j \leq 1$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ such that

$$|\mathcal{V}| = g_q(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = b_n \binom{n}{n} + b_{n-1} \binom{n}{n-1} + \dots + b_0 \binom{n}{0}$$

with

$$\left| \mathcal{V} \cap \binom{[n]}{j} \right| = b_j \binom{n}{j} \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n,$$

where $\binom{[n]}{j}$ denotes the set of all j -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w}(\mathcal{V}) &= \sum_{i=0}^n b_i w_i \binom{n}{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \frac{(q^i - 1)(q^i - q) \dots (q^i - q^{i-1})(q^n - q^i) \dots (q^n - q^{n-1})}{i!(n-i)!} \binom{n}{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \frac{(q^i - 1) \dots (q^i - q^{i-1})(q^n - q^i) \dots (q^n - q^{n-1})}{i!(n-i)!} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \dots (q^n - q^{n-1})}{(q^i - 1) \dots (q^i - q^{i-1})(q^n - q^i) \dots (q^n - q^{n-1})} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \frac{\alpha(n, q) n!}{i!(n-i)!} = \sum_{i=0}^h b_i |\Gamma| \binom{n}{i} \\ &= g(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) |\Gamma|, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \binom{n}{i}$. Combining with (5), we obtain

$$g(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) \leq f(n, c_0, \dots, c_k). \quad (6)$$

Since $\binom{n}{j} = \binom{n}{n-j}$ and $\binom{[n]}{j} = \binom{[n]}{n-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ by Lemma 2.1, we may combine symmetric j -th and $(n-j)$ -th terms in $g_q(n, b_0, \dots, b_n)$ and $g(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \binom{n}{i}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and obtain

$$|\mathcal{V}| = g_q(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = g_q(n, b'_0, \dots, b'_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}) = b'_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + b'_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} + \dots + b'_0 \binom{n}{0},$$

$$g(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = g(n, b'_0, \dots, b'_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}) = b'_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + b'_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} + \dots + b'_0 \binom{n}{0},$$

where $0 \leq b_j \leq b'_j = b_j + b_{n-j} \leq 2$ for each $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ with an exception that $b'_{\frac{n}{2}} = b_{\frac{n}{2}}$ when n is even. Assume that h is the largest integer such that $h \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $b'_h > 0$. Then we have

$$|\mathcal{V}| = g_q(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = g_q(n, b'_0, \dots, b'_h) > f_q(n, c_0, \dots, c_k).$$

By Lemma 3.1 (ii), we must have either $h > k$ or $h = k$ and

$$|\mathcal{V}| = g_q(n, b'_0, \dots, b'_k) > f_q(n, c_0, \dots, c_k).$$

We consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $h = k$. We have $g_q(n, b'_0, \dots, b'_k) = f_q(n, b'_0, \dots, b'_k) > f_q(n, c_0, \dots, c_k)$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that either $b'_k > c_k$ or there exists $j_0 < k$ such that $b'_j = c_j$ for all $j_0 + 1 \leq j \leq k$ and $b'_{j_0} > c_{j_0}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1 (i), we have that for n sufficiently large,

$$g(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = g(n, b'_0, \dots, b'_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k b'_i \binom{n}{i} = f(n, b'_0, \dots, b'_k) > f(n, c_0, \dots, c_k),$$

contradicting (6).

Case 2. $h > k$. Since $k + 1 \leq h \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we have $\binom{n}{h} \geq \binom{n}{k+1}$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 (i) that

$$g(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = g(n, b'_0, \dots, b'_h) \geq b'_h \binom{n}{k+1} > f(n, c_0, \dots, c_k)$$

for n sufficiently large, contradicting (6) again.

Therefore, we have

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq f_q(n, c_0, \dots, c_k).$$

□

We remark that the inequality (6) in the proof of Theorem 1.13 above can be obtained without using Lemma 3.3 as follows: By Lemma 3.4, $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{G}_B \mid B \text{ is a basis of } \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$ is a t -covering of $S = \mathcal{L}_n(q)$, i.e., every i -dimensional subspace in $S_i = \binom{[n]}{i}$ is contained in t_i members in Γ . Denote $\mathcal{V}_j = \mathcal{V} \cap \binom{[n]}{j}$ for each $0 \leq j \leq n$. Let

$$|\mathcal{V}| = g_q(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = b_n \binom{n}{n} + b_{n-1} \binom{n}{n-1} + \dots + b_0 \binom{n}{0}$$

with

$$|\mathcal{V}_j| = \left| \mathcal{V} \cap \binom{[n]}{j} \right| = b_j \binom{n}{j} \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n,$$

where $0 \leq b_j \leq 1$ for every $0 \leq j \leq n$. Since \mathcal{G}_B is isomorphic to Boolean lattice \mathcal{B}_n for each basis B of \mathbb{F}_q^n , we have

$$\sum_{\mathcal{G}_B \in \Gamma} |\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{G}_B| \leq |\Gamma| \cdot f(n, c_0, \dots, c_k).$$

On the other hand, since every i -dimensional subspace in S_i is contained in t_i members in Γ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathcal{G}_B \in \Gamma} |\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{G}_B| &= \sum_{\mathcal{G}_B \in \Gamma} \sum_{i=0}^n |\mathcal{V}_i \cap \mathcal{G}_B| = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{\mathcal{G}_B \in \Gamma} |\mathcal{V}_i \cap \mathcal{G}_B| \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n |\mathcal{V}_i| \cdot t_i = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \binom{n}{i} \cdot t_i = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i |\Gamma| \binom{n}{i}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the following double counting

$$\binom{n}{i} \cdot t_i = \left| \{(V, \mathcal{G}_B) \mid \dim(V) = i, \mathcal{G}_B \in \Gamma \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{G}_B\} \right| = |\Gamma| \binom{n}{i}.$$

Combining the expressions above, we have

$$g(n, b_0, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \binom{n}{i} \leq f(n, c_0, \dots, c_k).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.14. Assume that \mathcal{V} is a family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n satisfying property P . Let

$$|\mathcal{V}| = g_q(n, k, a) = a \binom{n}{k} \text{ with } 0 < a \leq 1.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.13 above, we have

$$g(n, k, a) = a \binom{n}{k} \leq f^*(n, k, c) = c \binom{n}{k}. \quad (7)$$

To the contrary, suppose that

$$|\mathcal{V}| = g_q(n, k, a) = a \binom{n}{k} > f_q^*(n, k, c) = c \binom{n}{k}.$$

Then we have $a > c$ which implies

$$g(n, k, a) = a \binom{n}{k} > f^*(n, k, c) = c \binom{n}{k},$$

contradicting (7). Therefore, the theorem follows. \square

4 Applications

Clearly, by applying Theorem 1.13, one concludes that, for n sufficiently large, Theorems 1.7–1.9 follow from Theorems 1.2–1.4, respectively.

Next, we provide some applications of Theorem 1.14.

Note that

$$\binom{n-1}{k-1} = \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k}, \quad (8)$$

$$\binom{n-1}{k-1} = \frac{q^k - 1}{q^n - 1} \binom{n}{k}. \quad (9)$$

4.1 Erdős-Chvátal Simplex Conjecture

A d -simplex is defined to be a collection A_1, \dots, A_{d+1} of subsets of size k of $[n]$ such that the intersection of all of them is empty, but the intersection of any d of them is non-empty. A d -cluster is a collection A_1, \dots, A_{d+1} of subsets of size k of $[n]$ such that $\cap_{i=1}^{d+1} A_i = \emptyset$ and $|\cup_{i=1}^{d+1} A_i| \leq 2k$. If $\{A_1, \dots, A_{d+1}\}$ is both a d -simplex and a d -cluster, we say that it is a d -simplex-cluster.

In 1971, Erdős conjectured that a family \mathcal{F} of k -subsets of $[n]$ with no 2-simplex (also known as a triangle) satisfies

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

In 1974, Chvátal [5] generalized Erdős' conjecture as follows.

Conjecture 4.1 (Erdős-Chvátal Simplex Conjecture [5]). *Suppose $k \geq d+1 \geq 3$, $n \geq \frac{k(d+1)}{d}$, and \mathcal{F} is a family of k -subsets of $[n]$ with no d -simplex. Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-1}{k},$$

with equality only if \mathcal{F} is a star.

In 2005, Mubayi and Verstraëte [26] proved that the Erdős-Chvátal Simplex Conjecture is true for $d = 2$, and in 2021, Currier [6] proved that the conjecture holds when $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2k - d + 2$ as shown below.

Theorem 4.2 (Mubayi and Verstraëte [26], Currier [6]). *Suppose $k \geq d+1 \geq 3$, $n \geq 2k - d + 2$, and \mathcal{F} is a family of k -subsets of $[n]$ with no d -simplex-cluster. Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-1}{k},$$

with equality if \mathcal{F} is a star.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{d+1}\}$ be a family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . We say that \mathcal{A} is a d -simplex if $\dim(\cap_{i=1}^{d+1} A_i) = 0$ but $\dim(\cap_{j=1}^d A_{i_j}) > 0$ for any d distinct members $A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_d} \in \mathcal{A}$. A family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{d+1}\}$ of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n is a d -cluster if $\dim(\cap_{i=1}^{d+1} A_i) = 0$ and $\dim(\text{span}(\cup_{i=1}^{d+1} A_i)) \leq 2k$. A family $\{A_1, \dots, A_{d+1}\}$ is a d -simplex-cluster if it is both a d -simplex and a d -cluster.

Recall that

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} + q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following asymptotic q -analogue of Theorem 4.2 follows easily from Theorems 1.14 and 4.2.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $k \geq d+1 \geq 3$. Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n with no d -simplex-cluster. Then for n sufficiently large,*

$$|\mathcal{V}| < q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. First, note that forbidding d -simplex-cluster is a hereditary property. Using the identity (8) and applying Theorems 1.14 and 4.2 with

$$f^*(n, k, \frac{k}{n}) = \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1},$$

we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq f_q^*(n, k, \frac{k}{n}) = \frac{k}{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{n} \frac{q^n - 1}{(q^k - 1)q^{n-k}} = 0$, it follows from (9) and (10) that for n sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{V}| &\leq \frac{k}{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{q^n - 1}{q^k - 1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{k}{n} \frac{q^n - 1}{(q^k - 1)q^{n-k}} q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} < q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recently, Liu [24] proved the next result which confirms a conjecture by Mubayi and Verstraëte [26].

Theorem 4.4 (Liu [24]). *Let $d \geq k \geq 4$ and n be sufficiently large. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a family of k -subsets of $[n]$ with no non-trivial intersecting subfamily of size $d+1$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-1}{k},$$

with equality if \mathcal{F} is a star.

Since forbidden a non-trivial intersecting subfamily of size $d+1$ is a hereditary property, the following q -analogue of Theorem 4.4 follows from Theorems 1.14 and 4.4 and (8) and (9).

Theorem 4.5 *Let $d \geq k \geq 4$ and n be sufficiently large. Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n with no non-trivial intersecting subfamily of size $d+1$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

4.2 Erdős Matching Conjecture

Recall that the *matching number* $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ of a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ is the size of the largest matching that \mathcal{F} contains and recall Erdős Matching Conjecture (or EMC for short) from Conjecture 1.15. Clearly, the case $s = 1$ of the EMC is the classical Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. Bollobás, Daykin and Erdős [3] established the EMC for $n \geq 2k^3s$; Huang, Loh and Sudakov [19] proved the EMC for $n \geq 3k^2s$; and Frankl [12] showed that the EMC holds for $n \geq (2s+1)k - s$.

Theorem 4.6 (Bollobás, Daykin and Erdős [3], Frankl [12], Huang, Loh and Sudakov [19]). *Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ with $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$ and $n \geq (2s+1)k - s$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-s}{k}.$$

We say that two subspaces are disjoint if their intersection is the trivial subspace (i.e., 0-dimensional subspace). A collection of pairwise disjoint subspaces is called a *matching*. Similar to the matching number $\nu(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$, one can define the matching number $\nu_q(\mathcal{V})$ for a family \mathcal{V} of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n to be the size of the largest matching that \mathcal{V} contains.

Observe that a family \mathcal{F} has matching number $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$ is a hereditary property. By applying Theorem 1.14, we obtain the next asymptotic q -analogue of Theorem 4.6. Note that for $n \geq 2k + s$ and $s \geq 1$, one has

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-s \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.7 *Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n with $\nu_q(\mathcal{V}) \leq s$ and $q \geq 2$. Then for n sufficiently large,*

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. For $n \geq (2k+1)s$, by the identity (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-s}{k} \\ &= \left(\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-1}{k} \right) + \left(\binom{n-1}{k} - \binom{n-2}{k} \right) + \cdots + \left(\binom{n-s+1}{k} - \binom{n-s}{k} \right) \\ &= \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-2}{k-1} + \cdots + \binom{n-s}{k-1} \leq s \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \frac{sk}{n} \binom{n}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since a family \mathcal{F} has matching number $\nu(\mathcal{F}) \leq s$ is a hereditary property, by applying Theorems 1.14 and 4.6 with

$$f^*(n, k, \frac{sk}{n}) = \frac{sk}{n} \binom{n}{k},$$

we obtain

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq f_q^*(n, k, \frac{sk}{n}) = \frac{sk}{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sk}{n} \frac{q^n - 1}{(q^k - 1)q^{n-k}} = 0$, it follows from (9) and (11) that for n sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{V}| &\leq \frac{sk}{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{sk}{n} \cdot \frac{q^n - 1}{q^k - 1} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{sk}{n} \frac{q^n - 1}{(q^k - 1)q^{n-k}} q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} < q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recently, Ihringer [20] provided the following conjecture about the largest s -EM-family of k -spaces.

Conjecture 4.8 *For $n \geq 2k$, if Y is a largest s -EM-family of k -spaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n , then Y is the union of s intersecting families or its complement.*

Ihringer [20] proved that this conjecture holds if $16s \leq \min\{q^{\frac{n-k-l+2}{3}}, q^{\frac{n-k-r}{3}}, q^{\frac{n}{2}-k+1}\}$. Note that Conjecture 4.8 implies that $|Y| = s \binom{n-1}{k-1}$. However, since $\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-1}{k} = q^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1} < s \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ when $q^{n-k} < s$, Theorem 4.7 shows that Conjecture 4.8 is not true when $s > q^{n-k}$.

The next conjecture given by Aharoni and Howard [1] and Huang, Loh and Sudakov [19], independently, extends the Erdős Matching Conjecture to a rainbow (multipartite) setting.

Conjecture 4.9 (*Rainbow Erdős Matching Conjecture [1] and [19]*). *Let $n \geq (s+1)k$ and let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{s+1} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$. If there are no pairwise disjoint F_1, \dots, F_{s+1} , where $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s+1$, then*

$$\min_{i \in [s+1]} |\mathcal{F}_i| \leq \max \left\{ \binom{(s+1)k-1}{k}, \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-s}{k} \right\}.$$

We call this conjecture the REMC for short. Huang, Loh and Sudakov [19] proved that the REMC is true for $n \geq 3k^2s$, and recently, Lu, Wang and Yu [25] verified the REMC for $n > 2sk$ and s sufficiently large, and Keller and Lifshitz [22] proved the REMC for $n > Ck$, where C depends only on s .

Theorem 4.10 (*Huang, Loh and Sudakov [19], Keller and Lifshitz [22], and Lu, Wang and Yu [25]*). *Assume that $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{s+1} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$. If there are no pairwise disjoint F_1, \dots, F_{s+1} , where $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s+1$ and $n > Ck$ with C depending on s , then*

$$\min_{i \in [s+1]} |\mathcal{F}_i| \leq \max \left\{ \binom{(s+1)k-1}{k}, \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-s}{k} \right\}.$$

Note that forbidding rainbow matching of size $s+1$ is a hereditary property. By applying Theorems 1.14 and 4.10 and using the identities (8) and (9), we obtain the next asymptotic q -analogue of Theorem 4.10 similar to the proof of Theorem 4.7.

Theorem 4.11 *Assume that $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots, \mathcal{V}_{s+1}$ are families of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . If there are no pairwise disjoint V_1, \dots, V_{s+1} , where $V_i \in \mathcal{V}_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s+1$, then*

$$\min_{i \in [s+1]} |\mathcal{V}_i| \leq \binom{[n]}{[k]} - \binom{[n-1]}{[k]}$$

for n sufficiently large.

5 Concluding Remarks

In section 2, we derived an upper bound for an intersecting k -Sperner family of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n which gives a q -analogue of Erdős' k -Sperner Theorem. In section 3, we provided a general connection between upper bounds for the sizes of \mathcal{L} -intersecting or T -configuration forbidden families of subsets of $[n]$ and upper bounds for the sizes of \mathcal{L} -intersecting or T -configuration forbidden families of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . As applications of Theorems 1.13 and 1.14, we provided some results on families of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n which follow asymptotically from the corresponding

theorems on families of subsets of $[n]$, including generalizations of existing results about the well-known Erdős matching conjecture and Erdős-Chvátal simplex conjecture.

We end this section with the following open problems which are q -analogues of Erdős-Chvátal Simplex Conjecture and Erdős Matching Conjecture.

Conjecture 5.1 (*q -Analogue Erdős-Chvátal Simplex Conjecture*). *Let $k \geq d + 1 \geq 3$ and $n \geq \frac{k(d+1)}{d}$. Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n with no d -simplex. Then*

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq q^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conjecture 5.2 (*q -Analogue Erdős Matching Conjecture*). *Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a family of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n with $n \geq (s+1)k$ and $\nu_q(\mathcal{V}) \leq s$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq \min \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}, s \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Theorems 4.3 and 4.7 show that the conjectures above are true when n is sufficiently large.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to this work.

Acknowledgement

The research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (71973103, 11861019, 12271527, 12071484). Guizhou Talent Development Project in Science and Technology (KY[2018]046), Natural Science Foundation of Guizhou ([2019]1047, [2020]1Z001, [2021]5609).

References

- [1] R. Aharoni and D.M. Howard, A rainbow r -partite version of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 26 (2017), 321-337.
- [2] N. Alon, L. Babai, and H. Suzuki, Multilinear Polynomials and Frankl-Ray-Chaudhuri-Wilson Type Intersection Theorems, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 58 (1991), 165-180.
- [3] B. Bollobás, D.E. Daykin and P. Erdős, Sets of independent edges of a hypergraph, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.*, 27 (1976), 25-32.
- [4] B. Bollobás and P. Duchet, Helly families of maximal size, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 26 (1979), 197-200.

- [5] V. Chvátal, An extremal set-intersection theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 9 (1974/1975), 355-359.
- [6] G. Carrier, New Results on Simplex-Clusters in Set Systems, *Combinatorica*, 41 (2021), 495-506.
- [7] M. Deza and P. Frankl, Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem – 22 years later, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Math.*, 4 (1983), 419-431.
- [8] K. Engel, Sperner Theory, Volume 65 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997
- [9] P. Erdős, On a lemma of Littlewood and Offord, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 52 (1945), 898-902.
- [10] P. Erdős, A problem on independent r -tuples, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.*, 8 (1965), 83-95.
- [11] P. Erdős, C. Ko, and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Q. J. Math. Oxford (2)*, 12 (1961), 313-320.
- [12] P. Frankl, Improved bounds for Erdős matching conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 120 (2013), 1068-1072.
- [13] P. Frankl and R. Graham, Intersection theorems for vector spaces, *Europ. J. Combin.*, 6 (1985), 183-187.
- [14] P. Frankl and R. M. Wilson, Intersection theorems with geometric consequences, *Combinatorica*, 1 (1981), 357-368.
- [15] D. Gerbner, The covering lemma and q -analogues of extremal set theory problems, *Ars Mathematica Contemporanea*, 2023, doi.org/10.26493/1855-3974.2677.b7f.
- [16] C. Greene and D. J. Kleitman, Proof techniques in the theory of finite sets, *MAA Studies in Math.*, 17 (1978), 12-79.
- [17] V. Grolmusz and B. Sudakov, On k -Wise Set-intersections and k -Wise Hamming-Distances, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 99 (2002), 180-190.
- [18] W. N. Hsieh, Intersection theorems for systems of finite vector spaces, *Discrete Math.*, 12 (1975), 1-16.
- [19] H. Huang, P. S. Loh and B. Sudakov, The Size of a Hypergraph and its Matching Number, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 21 (2012), 442-450.
- [20] F. Ihringer, Remarks on the Erdős Matching Conjecture for vector spaces, *Europ. J. Combin.*, 94 (2021), 103306.

- [21] P. Keevash and B. Sudakov, Set Systems with Restricted Cross-Intersection and the Minimum Rank of Inclusion Matrices, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 18 (2005), 713-727.
- [22] N. Keller and N. Lifshitz, The junta method for hypergraphs and the Erdős-Chvátal simplex conjecture, *Advances in Mathematics*, 392 (2021), 107991.
- [23] H. Lefmann, On families in finite lattices, *Europ. J. Combin.*, 11 (1990), 165-179.
- [24] X. Liu, Hypergraphs without non-trivial intersecting subgraphs, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 31 (2022), 1076-1091.
- [25] H. Lu, Y. Wang, and X. Yu, A better bound on the size of rainbow matchings, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 195 (2023), 105700.
- [26] D. Mubayi and J. Verstraëte, Proof of a conjecture of Erdős on triangles in set-systems, *Combinatorica*, 25 (2005), 599-614.
- [27] J. Qian and D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri, Extremal case of Frankl-Ray-Chaudhuri-Wilson inequality, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 95 (2001), 293-306.
- [28] D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri and R. M. Wilson, On t -designs, *Osaka J. Math.*, 12 (1975), 737-744.
- [29] W. Samotij, Subsets of Posets Minimising the Number of Chains, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 371 (2019), 7259-7274.
- [30] H. S. Snevily, A Generalization of the Ray-Chaudhuri-Wilson Theorem, *J. Combin. Des.*, 3 (1995), 349-352.
- [31] J. Wang, Intersecting antichains and shadows in linear lattices, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 118 (2011) 2092-2101.