

SSDRec: Self-Augmented Sequence Denoising for Sequential Recommendation

Chi Zhang¹, Qilong Han^{*1}, Rui Chen¹, Xiangyu Zhao^{*2}, Peng Tang³, Hongtao Song¹

¹College of Computer Science and Technology, Harbin Engineering University

²School of Data Science, City University of Hong Kong

³School of Cyber Science and Technology, Shandong University

{zhangchi20,hanqilong,ruichen,songhongtao}@hrbeu.edu.cn, xianzhao@cityu.edu.hk, tangpeng@sdu.edu.cn

Abstract—Traditional sequential recommendation methods assume that users’ sequence data is clean enough to learn accurate sequence representations to reflect user preferences. In practice, users’ sequences inevitably contain noise (e.g., accidental interactions), leading to incorrect reflections of user preferences. Consequently, some pioneer studies have explored modeling sequentiality and correlations in sequences to implicitly or explicitly reduce noise’s influence. However, relying on only available intra-sequence information (i.e., sequentiality and correlations in a sequence) is insufficient and may result in over-denoising and under-denoising problems (OUPs), especially for short sequences. To improve reliability, we propose to augment sequences by inserting items before denoising. However, due to the data sparsity issue and computational costs, it is challenging to select proper items from the entire item universe to insert into proper positions in a target sequence. Motivated by the above observation, we propose a novel framework—Self-augmented Sequence Denoising for sequential Recommendation (SSDRec) with a three-stage learning paradigm to solve the above challenges. In the first stage, we empower SSDRec by a global relation encoder to learn multi-faceted inter-sequence relations in a data-driven manner. These relations serve as prior knowledge to guide subsequent stages. In the second stage, we devise a self-augmentation module to augment sequences to alleviate OUPs. Finally, we employ a hierarchical denoising module in the third stage to reduce the risk of false augmentations and pinpoint all noise in raw sequences. Extensive experiments on five real-world datasets demonstrate the superiority of SSDRec over state-of-the-art denoising methods and its flexible applications to mainstream sequential recommendation models. The source code is available online at <https://github.com/zc-97/SSDRec>.

Index Terms—Sequential recommendation, sequence denoising, self-supervised learning

I. INTRODUCTION

Recommender systems have shown their power in boosting business revenue and improving user experience in many real-world applications, such as news services [1], social media [2], [3], and e-commerce platforms [4], [5]. Traditional collaborative filtering techniques [6]–[11] mainly rely on pairwise user-item interactions and ignore the natural temporal sequentiality in users’ interaction sequences. This limitation hinders their ability to comprehensively capture users’ time-evolving preferences in practical recommendation scenarios, which motivates the line of research on *sequential recommendation*.

Sequential recommendation aims to recommend the most likely next item to a target user based on her historical interaction sequence. Effectively representing a user’s interaction sequence to reflect her time-evolving preferences lies at the core of sequential recommendation. Therefore, recent sequential recommendation studies [12]–[18] leverage various deep models (e.g., recurrent neural networks [12], [13], convolutional neural networks [15], Transformer [14], [16], [17], and graph neural networks [18]) to capture the sequentiality in sequences, which is then used to learn sequence representations and make recommendations. However, in practice users’ interaction sequences inevitably contain *inherent noise* (e.g., accidental interactions [19]–[21]), which blurs users’ real preferences. Learning sequence representations from such noisy sequences can ultimately harm the performance of sequential recommenders, calling for the need of *sequence denoising*. However, despite its potential benefits, the lack of supervised labels to indicate noise makes the problem of sequence denoising technically challenging.

There have been some recent studies that explore various denoising methods to refine raw sequence data and improve data utility (e.g., explicitly remove noise [21]–[27] or implicitly attenuate the influence of noise in sequences [28]), thus enhancing the quality of learned representations. The key assumption of the existing methods is that a noiseless sequence typically exhibits *smooth sequentiality* (i.e., each item exhibits sequential relations with its context in a sequence) and *high correlation* (i.e., each item is relevant with the sequence’s next interaction in terms of item-wise similarity [27]), while noisy sequences do not. Therefore, it is possible to leverage the information learned from a single sequence to identify noise that disrupts its sequentiality and correlations. Despite their effectiveness of addressing the sequence denoising problem, it is crucial to recognize that the information available in a single sequence is limited, especially in short sequences in many applications. Since the observed interactions in a single sequence are usually limited and there is a lack of labels to indicate noise, the learned sequential information and correlations may be insufficient to accurately denoise and even disrupt advantageous information. Overlooking this limitation may result in unreliable denoising results, leading to *over-denoising* and *under-denoising* problems (OUPs), which can

*Corresponding authors




Fig. 1. The OUPs of different sequence denoising methods on ML-100K.

inevitably make sequential recommenders sub-optimal.

As illustrated in Figure 1, we randomly insert unobserved interactions as noise into raw short sequences (filtering out low-rating items with ratings less than 3) to verify the existence of OUPs. We consequently calculate how many inserted items will be kept and how many raw items will be dropped to represent the under-denising ratio and over-denising ratio, respectively. It can be observed that HSD [27] and STEAM [29] (two representative explicit denoising methods learning intra-sequence information) suffer from OUPs. Therefore, to alleviate OUPs, we design a self-augmentation method to enrich short sequences before denoising and reduce the risk of introducing false augmentations. However, since interactions in a single sequence are limited compared to the entire item universe, it is difficult to match and rank proper items without additional features to guide sequence augmentation. Moreover, the complexity of inserting items could significantly increase with the problem scale (i.e., sequence lengths), and it follows that it is impractical to insert items to each position in a sequence. Thus, achieving a reasonable trade-off between performance and efficiency renders two unique technical challenges: (1) *Which items to select?* (2) *Which positions in a target sequence to choose?*

Contributions. In view of the above challenges, we propose a novel framework—**Self-augmented Sequence Denoising** for sequential **Recommendation** (SSDRec) with a unique three-stage denoising paradigm. Specifically, in the first stage, we devise a global relation encoder to learn multi-faceted relations of users and items in a data-driven manner. As a result, the learned relations serve as inter-sequence prior knowledge to guide the following stages. In the second stage, we propose a self-augmentation module to select proper items and positions to generate augmented sequences. Technically, we design a position selector that detects sequentiality and similarity inconsistencies to select a position in the target sequence. After that, we put forward an item selector to match and rank items for insertion if the sequence is short. The self-augmentation module can better the subsequent denoising process and avoid introducing too much complexity compared to inserting as many items as possible. Finally, we adopt a hierarchical denoising module to identify all potential noise in

TABLE I
DESCRIPTIONS OF KEY NOTATIONS

Notations	Description
\mathcal{G}	The constructed multi-relation graph.
u, v	User and item.
d	Embeddings' dimension size.
S, s_t, n_i	The target sequence, the t -th item in S , and S 's length.
$e_u, e_v \in \mathbb{R}^d$	Embeddings of user u and item v .
$h_u^+, h_v^-, h_u^+, h_v^- \in \mathbb{R}^d$	Relation-aware representations of different relations.
$h_u, h_v \in \mathbb{R}^d$	Multi-relation representations of user u and item v .
$\hat{r}_{s_t} \in \{0, 1\}$	The hard-coding inconsistency score of s_t in S .
$h_t^L, h_t^R \in \mathbb{R}^d$	The inserted items.

the third stage. The hierarchical denoising module first refines the augmented sequence by filtering out false augmentations introduced in the second stage and then gradually pinpoints all potential noise in the target sequence, thus enhancing denoising reliability. We further provide a case study in Section IV-E to show how the SSDRec framework alleviates OUPs and affects recommendations.

Our main technical contributions are summarized as follows.

- To the best of our knowledge, this is the first paper that proposes to ameliorate sequence denoising from a new perspective of tackling OUPs by performing explicit sequence augmentation before denoising. We identify two critical technical challenges in augmentation, which have not been previously explored in the literature.
- We propose a novel sequence denoising framework SSDRec, consisting of three stages to alleviate OUPs and tackle augmentation challenges. We empower SSDRec without requiring any labels for noise or additional features, which satisfies the settings of most existing sequential recommendation methods. Thus, the proposed solution can seamlessly serve as a plug-in to reliably generate noiseless sub-sequences for most existing sequential recommenders.
- We perform extensive experiments on five real-world public recommendation datasets and demonstrate the superiority of the proposed SSDRec framework over state-of-the-art denoising methods and its flexible and effective applications to mainstream sequential recommendation models.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce key definitions in this work and summarize frequently used notations in Table I.

User-Item Interaction Data. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{|\mathcal{U}|}\}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{|\mathcal{V}|}\}$ be the set of users and items, respectively. We define the user-item interaction data as an interaction matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{U}| \times |\mathcal{V}|}$. Each element in \mathbf{A} indicates how many times user u has interacted with item v .

Raw Sequence Data. Following previous studies [12]–[18], we use $S^i = [s_1^i, \dots, s_t^i, \dots, s_{n_i}^i]$ and $s_{n_i+1}^i$ to denote user u_i 's historical temporal interaction sequence and her next interaction, respectively. $s_t^i \in \mathcal{V}$ is the t -th item interacted by user u_i , and n_i represents the sequence's length.

Sequential Recommendation. For each user u_i , sequential recommendation takes u_i 's raw sequence data S^i as input and




Fig. 2. The architecture of the proposed SSDRec framework. (a) The first stage of SSDRec takes the multi-relation graph \mathcal{G} as input and outputs the item representation sequence for subsequent denoising stages; (b) The second stage of SSDRec selects items and positions to augment sequences; (c) The third stage of SSDRec adopts a hierarchical denoising module to ensure augmentation reliability and generate noiseless sequences for making recommendations.

outputs the top- k items from \mathcal{V} that are most likely to be interacted at the next time step of S^i , i.e., $n_i + 1$.

Sequence Denoising. Given a sequence S^i of user u_i , explicit sequence denoising methods [23], [27] focus on attenuating the negative influence of noise (i.e., removing identified noise) in S_i , thus generating a noiseless sub-sequence $S^i_- = [s^i_{1-}, \dots, s^i_{t-}, \dots, s^i_{n_i-}]$, where $s^i_{t-} \in S^i$ and $n_i_- \leq n_i$.

Problem Statement. We formally define our task as follows: **Input:** A triplet set $\mathcal{S} = \{(u_i, S^i, s^i_{n_i+1}) | u_i \in \mathcal{U}\}$, which contains triplets consisting of user u_i , u_i 's raw interaction sequence S^i , and u_i 's next interaction $s^i_{n_i+1}$.

Output: The predictive function $\mathcal{F}(u_i, v_i | \mathcal{S}, \Theta)$, which estimates the likelihood of each user u_i adopting an item $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ as her next interaction, where Θ is the set of model parameters. The optimized parameters (i.e., Θ^*) is learned via an end-to-end learning process that generates noiseless sequences (i.e., S^i_-) and maximizes the likelihood of recommending item $s^i_{n_i+1}$ for each user u_i , denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}(u_i, s^i_{n_i+1} | \mathcal{S}, \Theta^*))$.

III. METHODOLOGY

As illustrated in Figure 2, SSDRec is powered by a three-stage learning paradigm. The first stage (Figure 2(a)) is equipped with a global relation encoder to capture the relations among users and items. The relations serve as prior knowledge to guide the following stages. We consequently propose a self-augmentation module in the second stage (Figure 2(b)) to select items and positions to augment sequences. Finally, we




Fig. 3. A toy example of the construction of a multi-relation graph.

adopt a hierarchical denoising module (Figure 2(c)) to avoid false augmentations and perform sequence denoising.

A. Multi-Relation Graph Construction

In this section, we construct a multi-relation graph \mathcal{G} in a data-driven manner, serving as prior knowledge to guide the following stages, e.g., select items and positions for sequence augmentation. As shown in Figure 3(a), the graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ comprises two types of nodes: users and items, and five types of relations: *similar* and *dissimilar* users, *interacted* user-item, and *transitional* and *incompatible* items. The node set

$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ contains all users and items. The edge set $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}_{vv}^+, \mathcal{E}_{vv}^-, \mathcal{E}_{uv}, \mathcal{E}_{uu}^+, \mathcal{E}_{uu}^-)$ represents different relations among users (u) and items (v). In particular, we construct user-item interactional relations (i.e., \mathcal{E}_{uv}) by user-item interaction data \mathbf{A} to connect user and item relations for better relation learning. There is an edge ε_{uv} between user u and item v iff $\mathbf{A}_{uv} \neq 0$. To indicate interactional strength, we formulate the weight of ε_{uv} as $w_{uv} = \mathbf{A}_{uv}$.

1) *Item-Relation Sub-Graphs*: Following previous studies [30], [31], we consider two types of relations: *transitional* and *incompatible* (i.e., $\mathcal{E}_{vv}^+, \mathcal{E}_{vv}^- \in \mathcal{G}$), to capture global positive and negative dependencies among items, respectively.

Transitional Relations. Transitional relations are unidirectional, which reflect the sequential co-occurrence of two items (e.g., a mobile phone and phone cases in e-commerce) in sequences, representing items' *positive* dependencies. As illustrated in Figure 3(b), there is an edge ε_{ij}^+ from items v_i to v_j iff $\text{Cnt}(v_i \rightarrow v_j) > 0$, where $\text{Cnt}(\cdot)$ is a counter. To indicate the transitional strength between two items, we calculate the weight w_{ij}^+ of ε_{ij}^+ by jointly considering the positions and co-occurrence times of the two items in each user u_i 's sequence S^i . Specifically, we use the formula $\sum_{u_i} \frac{n_i - \text{Dis}(v_i, v_j)}{n_i}$ to carry out over all users that interact with items v_i and v_j , where $\text{Dis}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a calculator recording the positional distance of two items in S^i , and n_i is the length of S^i .

Accordingly, we can control the inserting probability of two transitional items. Having the former in a specific position in a sequence will increase the probability of inserting the latter after the position while decreasing the probability of inserting the latter before the position into the sequence.

Incompatible Relations. In contrast with transitional relations, incompatible relations are undirected, indicating *negative* dependencies of two items (e.g., different generations of products that are not compatible with each other). Accordingly, we can reduce false augmentations for two incompatible items because having one of them in a sequence will lower the probability of inserting the other in the sequence. As shown in Figure 3(c), following the definition in MGIR [31], we construct incompatible relations between popular items (avoiding unreliable relations between long-tail items) by considering whether they ever co-exist in any sequences while having the same context, i.e., having transitional relations with at least one common item. Formally, an edge ε_{ij}^- exists between two popular items v_i and v_j iff the items have a nonempty common item set $\mathcal{V}_k \neq \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{V}_k = \{v_k | (w_{ik}^+ + w_{ki}^+) \cdot (w_{jk}^+ + w_{kj}^+) \neq 0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{V}$, and they have no transitional relations, i.e., $\varepsilon_{ij}^+ \cup \varepsilon_{ji}^+ = \emptyset$. To indicate the incompatible strength between the two items, we calculate the weight w_{ij}^- of ε_{ij}^- via $\sum_{v_k} (w_{ik}^+ + w_{ki}^+ + w_{jk}^+ + w_{kj}^+)$, where $v_k \in \mathcal{V}_k$.

2) *User-Relation Sub-Graphs*: In practice, most long-tail items have never co-occurred, and the definition of incompatible relations does not include these items. However, there is no doubt that users' preferences are diverse. Some users prefer to interact with niche items. Selecting items from the entire item universe (typically containing many long-tail items)

for the target sequence is difficult. To tackle this limitation, we propose constructing user relations to control item relation reliability under personalized implicit constraints. Specifically, we consider two types of user relations to construct user-relation sub-graphs: similar (ε_{uu}^+) and dissimilar (ε_{uu}^-).

Similar Relations. Functionally, similar relations are undirected, indicating that two users with similar interactive patterns prefer to interact with identical items. As illustrated in Figure 3(d), we use users' co-interactions to learn the similar relations. Mathematically, an edge ε_{ij}^+ exists between user $u_i, u_j \in \mathcal{U}$ iff the users have a nonempty common item set $\mathcal{V}_k = \{v_k | w_{ik} \cdot w_{jk} \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{V}$. We calculate the weight w_{ij}^+ of ε_{ij}^+ by Jaccard similarity as $\frac{\sum_{v_k} (w_{ik} + w_{jk})}{\sum (w_{i\cdot} + w_{j\cdot})}$.

Dissimilar Relations. The dissimilar relations between two users mean that the users' interactive patterns are individual, i.e., they never co-interact with the same items. Motivated by the definition of incompatible relations between items, as illustrated in Figure 3(d), we consider two users are dissimilar if they never co-interact with same items but have at least an identically similar user. Mathematically, there exists an edge ε_{ij}^- between two users $u_i, u_j \in \mathcal{U}$ iff they share a common similar user set $\mathcal{U}_k \neq \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{U}_k = \{u_k | w_{ik}^+ \cdot w_{kj}^+ \neq 0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$, and they are not similar users, i.e., $\varepsilon_{ij}^+ = \emptyset$. We calculate the weight w_{ij}^- of ε_{ij}^- via $\sum_{u_k} (w_{ik}^+ + w_{kj}^+)$, where $u_k \in \mathcal{U}_k$.

B. Embedding Layer

To train SSDRec, we first learn items' and users' embeddings by mapping their IDs to dense embedding vectors $e_v, e_u \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Consequently, we build two embedding look-up tables for embedding initialization as follows

$$e_v = \mathbf{x}_v \mathbf{W}_v; e_u = \mathbf{x}_u \mathbf{W}_u, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{W}_v \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{V}| \times d}$ and $\mathbf{W}_u \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{U}| \times d}$ are trainable parameter matrices. \mathbf{x}_v and \mathbf{x}_u are one-hot encoding vectors of the IDs of item v and user u , respectively.

C. Global Relation Encoder

As illustrated in Figure 2(a), we devise a global relation encoder to individually encode different relations in \mathcal{G} by different relation encoding layers.

1) *Item-Transitional Relation Encoding Layer*: Since the transitional relations of items are unidirectional, we have to learn different neighbors' (i.e., incoming and outgoing) sequential dependencies. Accordingly, we devise a message passing scheme [21], [31], [32] powered by the attention mechanism to distinguish transitional items' dependencies. Technically, for each item v in \mathcal{G} exhibiting transitional relations, we first assign a 2-dimensional attention vector $\alpha = [\alpha_i, \alpha_j]$ to weigh the contributions of v 's incoming (i.e., $\mathcal{V}_i^+ = \{v_i | \varepsilon_{v_i v}^+ \neq \emptyset, v_i \in \mathcal{V}\}$) and outgoing (i.e., $\mathcal{V}_j^+ = \{v_j | \varepsilon_{v v_j}^+ \neq \emptyset, v_j \in \mathcal{V}\}$) neighbors as follows:

$$\alpha = \rho(\sigma(e_v \mathbf{W}_{v_i v}^+ \sum_{v_i} w_{v_i v}^+ e_{v_i}^T) \| \sigma(e_v \mathbf{W}_{v v_j}^+ \sum_{v_j} w_{v v_j}^+ e_{v_j}^T)), \quad (2)$$

where ρ is a score function (e.g., the scaling and Softmax operators in Transformer [33]), $\sigma(\cdot)$ is an activation function

(e.g., the ReLU function), \parallel is the concatenation operation, and $\mathbf{W}_{v_i v}^+, \mathbf{W}_{v v_j}^+ \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ are trainable matrices denoted by $\Theta_{att} = \{\mathbf{W}_{v_i v}^+, \mathbf{W}_{v v_j}^+\}$. After that, we aggregate information of v and its neighbors to learn v 's transitional-aware representation \mathbf{h}_v^+ by a convolution operator, which can effectively and efficiently learn homogeneous relations. Specifically, we calculate \mathbf{h}_v^+ as follows

$$\mathbf{h}_v^+ = f_v^+(\alpha_i \sum_{v_i} w_{v_i v}^+ \mathbf{e}_{v_i}^\top + \alpha_j \sum_{v_j} w_{v v_j}^+ \mathbf{e}_{v_j}^\top) \parallel \mathbf{e}_v \parallel \Theta_v^+, \quad (3)$$

where f_v^+ is a convolution operator with stride 1 and filter size 2×1 , Θ_v^+ is the set of trainable weight parameters of the aggregation layer, i.e., convolutional filter.

2) *Item-Incompatible Relation Encoding Layer*: In contrast with item-transitional relations, the incompatible relations between two items are undirected. Therefore, we have no need to distinguish v 's neighbors, but straightly leverage a similar convolution used in Eq. (3) with different parameters (Θ_v^-) to learn v 's incompatible-aware representation \mathbf{h}_v^- via:

$$\mathbf{h}_v^- = f_v^-(\sum_{v_i} w_{v_i v}^- \mathbf{e}_{v_i} \parallel \mathbf{e}_v, \Theta_v^-). \quad (4)$$

3) *User-Item Interactional Relation Encoding Layer*: Due to the inherent heterogeneity of user-item relations (i.e., containing user and item nodes), we adopt a lightweight message passing function in DPT [21] based on LightGCN [10] to connect users and items. Formally, for each item v and user u , we learn their representations as

$$\mathbf{h}_v^{u \rightarrow v} = \sum_{u_i} (w_{u_i v} \mathbf{e}_{u_i}); \quad \mathbf{h}_u^{v \rightarrow u} = \sum_{v_j} (w_{v_j u} \mathbf{e}_{v_j}), \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{U}_i = \{u_i | \varepsilon_{u_i v} \neq \emptyset, u_i \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is the set of users that have interacted with item v , and $\mathcal{V}_j = \{v_j | \varepsilon_{v_j u} \neq \emptyset, v_j \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is the set of items that are interacted by user u .

4) *User-Similar Relation Encoding Layer*: To learn users' similar interaction patterns, for each user u , we learn u 's similar-aware representation \mathbf{h}_u^+ as follows

$$\mathbf{h}_u^+ = f_u^+(\sum_{u_i} w_{u_i u} \mathbf{e}_{u_i} \parallel \mathbf{e}_u, \Theta_u^{u+}), \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{U}_i^+ = \{u_i | \varepsilon_{u_i u}^+ \neq \emptyset\}$ is u 's neighbor containing her similar users in \mathcal{G} , and f_u^+ is a similar convolution function used in Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) with different parameters Θ_u^{u+} .

5) *User-Dissimilar Relation Encoding Layer*: For each user u , we learn u 's dissimilar-aware representations \mathbf{h}_u^- via

$$\mathbf{h}_u^- = f_u^-(\sum_{u_i} w_{u_i u}^- \mathbf{e}_{u_i} \parallel \mathbf{e}_u, \Theta_u^-), \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{U}_i^- = \{u_i | \varepsilon_{u_i u}^- \neq \emptyset\}$ is the set of u 's dissimilar users in \mathcal{G} , and Θ_u^- is the set of parameters of the convolution function used in Eq. (6) with different parameters. While this layer is similar to the item-incompatible relation encoder, i.e., Eq. (4), it can implicitly reduce some items' relevance from a user perspective to better global relation learning. For example, this is true for long-tail items, which have never co-existed in any sequences and do not exhibit incompatible relations.

With the learned representations, we merge relation-specific information to learn multi-relation representations as

$$\mathbf{h}_v = f_v(\mathbf{h}_v^+, \mathbf{h}_v^-, \mathbf{h}_v^{u \rightarrow v} | \Theta_v); \quad \mathbf{h}_u = f_u(\mathbf{h}_u^+, \mathbf{h}_u^-, \mathbf{h}_u^{v \rightarrow u} | \Theta_u). \quad (8)$$

For instance, we adopt two feedforward layers with different trainable parameters (i.e., Θ_v and Θ_u) to implement the fusion functions to generate multi-relation representations \mathbf{h}_v and \mathbf{h}_u .

D. Self-Augmentation Module

With the global relation encoder, we can inject sufficient inter-sequence information to select items and positions for sequence augmentation. To fully capture the complex relations among items and users, we first fuse users' and items' multi-relation representations to generate an informative item representation sequence \mathbf{H}_S of the target sequence S . Specifically, for each item (i.e., $s_t = v \in \mathcal{V}$) in \mathbf{H}_S interacted by user u , we generate its representation via $\mathbf{h}_t = \mathbf{h}_v + \mathbf{h}_u / n_i$ to weigh user-side relations' contributions according to sequence lengths. Consequently, as shown in Figure 2(b), we devise a position selector and an item selector to select most suitable positions and items to augment a sequence.

1) *Position Selector*: Since the insertion operation needs additional computational costs, inserting items to each position in the target sequence is impractical. To achieve a trade-off between effectiveness and efficiency, our key idea is to select the most suitable positions in the target sequence to insert items instead of randomly or fully selecting positions. From a global-local perspective, when integrating global information into the local sequence, some items may exhibit inconsistent semantics compared to its context in the sequence. The inconsistencies imply that the items at these positions are potential noise and that these positions need more information.

Specifically, we discriminate inconsistencies and select positions by considering two types of detectable signals, *sequentiality* and *similarities*, which individually or jointly reflect whether an item is noisy according to the definition of noisy items in previous denoising studies [23], [24], [27], [29]. An item with high sequentiality means that this item is aligned with its continuous sub-sequence. In contrast, an item with high similarities indicates that it is similar to most items in the target sequence. Therefore, we can detect inconsistencies in the target sequence to select the most possible inconsistent positions to perform sequence augmentation, thus enriching sequence information and alleviating OUPs. Next, we will detail the two discriminators used to detect inconsistencies.

Sequentiality Discriminator. To calculate inconsistency scores (in terms of sequentiality) $r'_S \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ of all items in the target sequence S of a length n_i , we input \mathbf{H}_S into a context-aware encoder as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_S^L, \mathbf{H}_S^R &= \text{Bi-LSTM}(\mathbf{H}_S | \Theta_L, \Theta_R), \\ r'_S &= \rho(\sum_d (\mathbf{H}_S^L \odot \mathbf{H}_S^R \odot \mathbf{H}_S)), \\ r'_{s_t} &= \frac{\exp(\sum_d (\mathbf{h}_{s_t}^L \odot \mathbf{h}_{s_t}^R \odot \mathbf{h}_{s_t}))}{\sum_{s_t} \exp(\sum_d (\mathbf{h}_{s_t}^L \odot \mathbf{h}_{s_t}^R \odot \mathbf{h}_{s_t}))}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where \mathbf{H}_S^L and \mathbf{H}_S^R are bi-directional hidden states of the context-aware encoder (Bi-LSTM), Θ_R and Θ_L are the encoder’s trainable parameters, d is the dimension, ρ is the Softmax function, and \odot is the element-wise product operator. Here, we utilize a Bi-LSTM as the encoder, as it allows us to learn the sequential dependencies of items in a bidirectional manner. This is crucial for better understanding whether global relations, such as the learned global item transitional relations from \mathcal{G} , are inconsistent with local dependencies. Moreover, we consider the strictest conditions (i.e., calculating $\mathbf{H}_S^L \odot \mathbf{H}_S^R$) to ensure the reliability of the inconsistency scores.

Similarity Discriminator. We calculate all items’ inconsistency scores $\mathbf{r}_S'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ in terms of similarity as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_S'' &= \rho\left(\sum_{n_i} (\mathbf{H}_S \mathbf{H}_S^T) / (n_i - 1)\right), \\ r_{s_t}'' &= \frac{\exp(\sum_{s_i} (\mathbf{h}_t \mathbf{h}_i^T) / (n_i - 1))}{\sum_{s_t} \exp(\sum_{s_i} (\mathbf{h}_i \mathbf{h}_t^T) / (n_i - 1))}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

With the learned sequentiality and similarity scores (i.e., r_{s_t}' and r_{s_t}'') of each item s_t , we can calculate the likelihood of identifying s_t as inconsistent. Specifically, we can generate a distribution $\mathbf{r}_S = [r_{s_1}' \cdot r_{s_1}'', \dots, r_{s_t}' \cdot r_{s_t}'', \dots, r_{s_{n_i}}' \cdot r_{s_{n_i}}'']$ representing the potential inconsistencies among items. We pinpoint the most likely inconsistency s_t in S as $s_t = \text{argmax}(\mathbf{r}_S)$. However, the argmax operation is non-differentiable. To identify s_t and facilitate gradient back-propagation, we employ a Gumbel-Softmax function [34]–[37] as suggested by previous studies [24], [27] to support model learning via

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{r}}_S &= \text{gumbel-softmax}(\mathbf{r}_S), \\ \hat{r}_{s_t} &= \frac{\exp(\log(r_{s_t}) + g_t) / \tau}{\sum_{s_i} \exp(\log(r_{s_i}) + g_i) / \tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\tau > 0$ is the temperature parameter and g_j is i.i.d. sampled from a Gumbel distribution. When $\tau \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_S$ approximates a one-hot vector (i.e., $\hat{r}_{s_t} = 1$ and $\hat{r}_{s_i} = 0, \forall s_t \neq s_i \in S$) serving as a hard selection to indicate the most possible inconsistency (i.e., s_t) at position t in S .

2) *Item Selector:* Although we can choose a suitable position in the target sequence to insert items, it is challenging to determine which and how many items to select from the entire item universe for insertions. To achieve a trade-off between effectiveness and efficiency, our key idea is to select the two most suitable items. These items should provide sufficient sequential information and can slightly perturb the original sequence. Consequently, we can insert the two items before and after the chosen position in the target sequence.

Specifically, we use the context-aware encoder to match suitable items from the entire item universe. Following the selection of positions, the encoder can effectively detect inconsistent insertions to avoid introducing additional noise. For the chosen position (e.g., s_t ’s position t in the target sequence), we encode its bi-directional contextual information using the context-aware encoder and rank the two most suitable items from the entire item universe, taking into account s_t ’s

sequential dependencies. Mathematically, we select two items (embeddings) $\mathbf{h}_t^L, \mathbf{h}_t^R \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}^L, \mathbf{q}^R &= \text{Bi-LSTM}(\mathbf{H}_S | \Theta_L, \Theta_R)_{s_t}, \\ \mathbf{k}^L &= \mathbf{q}^L \mathbf{H}_v; \mathbf{k}^R = \mathbf{q}^R \mathbf{H}_v, \\ \hat{\mathbf{k}}^L &= \text{gumbel-softmax}(\mathbf{k}^L); \hat{\mathbf{k}}^R = \text{gumbel-softmax}(\mathbf{k}^R), \\ \mathbf{h}_t^L &= \sum_{|\mathcal{V}|} (\hat{\mathbf{k}}^L \mathbf{H}_v); \mathbf{h}_t^R = \sum_{|\mathcal{V}|} (\hat{\mathbf{k}}^R \mathbf{H}_v), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where \mathbf{H}_v represents all items’ embeddings and $\hat{\mathbf{k}}^L, \hat{\mathbf{k}}^R \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{V}|}$ are hard-coding binary vectors. Only one element of $\hat{\mathbf{k}}^L$ ($\hat{\mathbf{k}}^R$) is 1 and the rest are 0. Accordingly, we can lengthen the sequence by inserting the two items into \mathbf{H}_S to generate augmented representation sequence as $\mathbf{H}'_S = [\mathbf{h}_{s_1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_t^L, \mathbf{h}_t, \mathbf{h}_t^R, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{s_{n_i}}]$ to alleviate OUPs.

E. Hierarchical Denoising Module

To further eliminate inappropriate augmentations that might introduce additionally noisy items in the second stage of SSDRec, we propose a hierarchical denoising module, as illustrated in Figure 2(c). This module can gradually refine the augmented sequence and remove all potentially noisy items. Formally, we can generate a final augmented item representation sequence \mathbf{H}''_S for any sequence denoising method as

$$\mathbf{H}''_S = f_{hdm}(\mathbf{H}_S, \mathbf{H}'_S, \Theta_{hdm}), \quad (13)$$

where f_{hdm} is the same position selector used in Eq. (9) and Eq. (10). Consequently, we can use any denoising model f_{den} (e.g., HSD [27]) to generate the noiseless sub-sequence:

$$\mathbf{H}^-_S = f_{den}(\mathbf{H}_S | \mathbf{H}''_S, \Theta_{den}), \quad (14)$$

where Θ_{den} is the set of parameters of f_{den} . We highlight that the proposed self-augmentation method can serve as a plug-in to enhance the reliability of any sequence denoising method (based on intra-sequence information) by alleviating OUPs.

F. Sequential Recommender

As illustrated in Figure 3(c), with the generated noiseless item embedding sequence \mathbf{H}^-_S , we can feed \mathbf{H}^-_S into various sequential recommendation models via

$$\mathbf{h}_{S^i} = f_{seq}(\mathbf{H}^-_S | \Theta_{seq}), \quad (15)$$

where f_{seq} is any existing sequential recommendation models (e.g., SASRec [16]), and Θ_{seq} is the set of parameters of f_{seq} . Consequently, we calculate the similarity score (i.e., dot-product operation) between the sequence representation \mathbf{h}_{S^i} and the entire item universe to make recommendations, i.e., recommending the top- k items. Note that we only adopt the second stage in the training process instead of validating or testing, i.e., $\mathbf{H}'_S = f_{den}(\mathbf{H}_S | \Theta_{den})$ in Eq. (14). This decision is made because the self-augmentation module is designed to lengthen short sequences in order to tackle OUPs. Thus, after training, the jointly learned denoising model is capable of generating reliable denoising results.

TABLE II
STATISTICS OF EXPERIMENTAL DATASETS.

Dataset	# Users	# Items	# Actions	# Avg. lens	# Sparsity
Beauty	22,364	12,102	198,502	8.9	99.93%
Sports	35,599	18,358	296,337	8.3	99.95%
Yelp	30,495	20,062	317,078	10.4	99.95%
ML-100K	944	1,350	99,287	105.3	92.21%
ML-1M	6,041	3,417	999,611	165.5	95.16%

G. Model Complexity Analysis

1) *Space Complexity Analysis*: We analyze the size of the trainable parameters in each stage of SSDRec.

In this first stage, the parameters of the embedding layer and the global relation encoder are denoted by Θ_1 . We have $|\Theta_1| = (|\mathcal{V}| + |\mathcal{U}|) \times d + |\Theta_g|$, where Θ_g means the set of parameters used in the global relation encoder. In the second stage, we denote the self-augmentation module’s parameters by Θ_2 . Its size $|\Theta_2| = |\Theta_L| = |\Theta_R|$. In the third stage, we generate a noiseless sequence and make recommendations by a hierarchical denoising module and a sequential recommender. We denote the parameters by Θ_3 , and we have $|\Theta_3| = |\Theta_{den}| + |\Theta_{seq}|$. In conclusion, since \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{U} are typically of a large size (i.e., $|\mathcal{V}| + |\mathcal{U}| \gg d$ and $|\mathcal{V}| \gg n$), the proposed SSDRec can achieve comparable space complexity with sequential recommendation models (e.g., SASRec [16]) and the state-of-the-art sequence denoising models (e.g., HSD [27]) as $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{V}| + |\mathcal{U}|)$.

2) *Time Complexity Analysis*: We analyze the time complexity of relation learning and sequence augmentation. Specifically, SSDRec takes $\mathcal{O}(\max(|\mathcal{U}|, |\mathcal{V}|)^2)$ time complexity for learning \mathcal{G} in the first stage, and spends $\mathcal{O}(B \times n)$, $\mathcal{O}(B \times |\mathcal{V}|)$, and $\mathcal{O}(B \times n)$ for selecting positions, items, and inserting items, respectively, where B is the batch size. In conclusion, since $|\mathcal{V}| \gg n$ for short sequences and the batch size is a constant, SSDRec takes $\mathcal{O}(\max(|\mathcal{U}|, |\mathcal{V}|)^2)$ additional time complexity, which is acceptable in practice, compared to the existing sequence denoising methods (e.g., HSD [27] and STEAM [29]) for fully sorting items to make recommendations, whose complexity is $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{U}| \times |\mathcal{V}|)$. Since the five types of relations in the global relation encoder can be processed independently, we can further speed up the training process by utilizing parallel computing techniques.

IV. EVALUATION

In this section, we evaluate our proposed SSDRec method and answer the following key research questions:

- **RQ1**: Does the proposed SSDRec framework demonstrate flexible applicability and effectiveness across various mainstream sequential recommendation methods?
- **RQ2**: Does the proposed SSDRec model outperform state-of-the-art denoising methods?
- **RQ3**: How do different stages of SSDRec contribute to the performance of sequential recommendation?
- **RQ4**: How efficient is SSDRec compared with baselines?
- **RQ5**: How is the interpretation ability of the three-stage sequence denoising for sequential recommendation?

- **RQ6**: How sensitive is SSDRec to hyperparameters?

A. Experimental Settings

1) *Datasets and Evaluation Metrics*: To evaluate the effectiveness of SSDRec under different sequence lengths, we conduct experiments on five public recommendation datasets: (1) **MovieLens**¹ that contains users’ reviews and ratings on movies. We use the 100K and 1M versions (ML-100K and ML-1M for short) in the experiments. (2) **Amazon-Beauty and Sports**² that is collected from the Amazon platform, recording users’ historical purchases over the abundant products. We conduct experiments on two representative subcategories: beauty and sports. (3) **Yelp**³ that is a large-scale and popular dataset for business recommendations. It contains user reviews of restaurants and bars. Due to its large size, we only use the transaction records after January 1st, 2019. Following the previous studies [17], [23], [27], [28], we filter out short sequences with less than 5 items and infrequent items whose frequency is less than 5. We set the maximum sequence length to 200 for ML-1M and 50 for other datasets. Moreover, we adopt the widely used *leave-one-out* strategy by leaving users’ last interacted items in the sequences as the training, valid, and test sets. We consider three evaluation metrics, Hit Ratio (HR@K), Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain (NDCG@K), and Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR@K), for performance evaluation. Specifically, we report results on HR@{5,10,20} (N@K for short), NDCG@{5,10,20} and MRR@20 (MRR for short) over the entire item universe (i.e., full ranking), instead of sampling, to avoid the bias introduced by the sampling process [38], [39]. The statistics of the five datasets are summarized in Table II.

2) *Baselines*: We compare SSDRec with various representative recommendation methods, including conventional sequential recommendation and sequence denoising models. The conventional sequential recommendation models include:

- **GRU4Rec** [12] uses gated recurrent units (GRUs) and incorporates a ranking-based loss to learn representations.
- **Caser** [15] utilizes two horizontal and vertical convolutions to learn sequence representations.
- **NARM** [14] leverages a hybrid encoder with an attention mechanism to model user sequential interaction patterns.
- **STAMP** [40] is empowered by a short-term attention/memory priority model for user intent learning.
- **SASRec** [16] is a pioneer in utilizing the multi-head attention mechanism to learn sequence representations.
- **BERT4Rec** [17] introduces a reconstruction task and leverages the deep bidirectional Transformer to learn informative sequential dependencies in the context of a sequence.

In addition, we compare SSDRec with five state-of-the-art sequence denoising methods, including both implicit and explicit sequence denoising models:

- **FMLP-Rec** [28], which is an implicit sequence denoising model, utilizes a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and an

¹<https://movielens.org/>

²<http://jmcauley.ucsd.edu/data/amazon/>

³<https://www.yelp.com/dataset>

TABLE III

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF DIFFERENT SEQUENTIAL RECOMMENDERS, WITH (W) OR WITHOUT (W/O) INTEGRATING WITH SSDREC, ARE REPORTED. THE BEST RESULTS ARE MARKED AS BOLD. ALL IMPROVEMENTS ARE STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT (I.E., TWO-SIDED T-TESTS WITH $p < 0.05$)

DataSet	Metric	GRU4Rec		NARM		STAMP		Caser		SASRec		BERT4Rec	
		w/o	w	w/o	w	w/o	w	w/o	w	w/o	w	w/o	w
ML-100K	HR@5	0.0191	0.0276	0.0180	0.0212	0.0201	0.0201	0.0212	0.0286	0.0191	0.0456	0.0191	0.0329
	HR@10	0.0286	0.0520	0.0403	0.0422	0.0392	0.0467	0.0339	0.0530	0.0371	0.0795	0.0414	0.0700
	HR@20	0.0594	0.0721	0.0657	0.0827	0.0700	0.0817	0.0679	0.1145	0.0764	0.1336	0.0912	0.1230
	N@5	0.0104	0.0159	0.0132	0.0132	0.0115	0.0115	0.0113	0.0177	0.0114	0.0262	0.0117	0.0176
	N@10	0.0134	0.0237	0.0202	0.0207	0.0176	0.0199	0.0153	0.0256	0.0172	0.0379	0.0189	0.0297
	N@20	0.0212	0.0288	0.0267	0.0300	0.0253	0.0287	0.0238	0.0409	0.0270	0.0507	0.0315	0.0431
	MRR	0.0109	0.0167	0.0162	0.0162	0.0132	0.0145	0.0119	0.0214	0.0139	0.0290	0.0157	0.0213
	Improvement		52.36%		9.03%		10.31%		62.22%		110.64%		50.90%
ML-1M	HR@5	0.0207	0.0308	0.0151	0.0255	0.0104	0.0248	0.0232	0.0349	0.0397	0.0492	0.0224	0.0429
	HR@10	0.0359	0.0584	0.0349	0.0437	0.0215	0.0445	0.0440	0.0639	0.0666	0.0896	0.0495	0.0778
	HR@20	0.0613	0.0942	0.0591	0.0684	0.0589	0.0715	0.0677	0.1036	0.1007	0.1412	0.0980	0.1267
	N@5	0.0130	0.0188	0.0080	0.0156	0.0063	0.0145	0.0150	0.0219	0.0207	0.0292	0.0132	0.0249
	N@10	0.0178	0.0278	0.0144	0.0214	0.0099	0.0209	0.0218	0.0313	0.0294	0.0410	0.0218	0.0361
	N@20	0.0242	0.0367	0.0205	0.0276	0.0194	0.0277	0.0278	0.0412	0.0379	0.0544	0.0339	0.0484
	MRR	0.0142	0.0210	0.0100	0.0164	0.0091	0.0156	0.0168	0.0242	0.0203	0.0315	0.0169	0.0270
	Improvement		52.21%		50.30%		88.90%		47.22%		39.70%		62.11%
Beauty	HR@5	0.0077	0.0218	0.0120	0.0205	0.0080	0.0223	0.0072	0.0228	0.0232	0.0313	0.0060	0.0279
	HR@10	0.0135	0.0358	0.0208	0.0339	0.0135	0.0366	0.0130	0.0353	0.0386	0.0517	0.0127	0.0468
	HR@20	0.0256	0.0567	0.0366	0.0530	0.0231	0.0570	0.0237	0.0527	0.0583	0.0776	0.0204	0.0716
	N@5	0.0045	0.0136	0.0071	0.0130	0.0046	0.0141	0.0043	0.0146	0.0122	0.0180	0.0037	0.0157
	N@10	0.0064	0.0181	0.0099	0.0173	0.0064	0.0187	0.0062	0.0186	0.0172	0.0241	0.0059	0.0218
	N@20	0.0094	0.0233	0.0139	0.0221	0.0088	0.0238	0.0089	0.0229	0.0222	0.0306	0.0078	0.0281
	MRR	0.0051	0.0141	0.0077	0.0136	0.0049	0.0147	0.0049	0.0147	0.0121	0.0179	0.0044	0.0160
	Improvement		168.45%		67.44%		180.83%		186.77%		39.34%		286.03%
Sports	HR@5	0.0064	0.0113	0.0090	0.0101	0.0071	0.0128	0.0069	0.0106	0.0111	0.0165	0.0055	0.0153
	HR@10	0.0114	0.0184	0.0138	0.0166	0.0123	0.0194	0.0115	0.0175	0.0177	0.0259	0.0104	0.0261
	HR@20	0.0183	0.0295	0.0223	0.0274	0.0182	0.0293	0.0178	0.0274	0.0270	0.0391	0.0167	0.0404
	N@5	0.0035	0.0073	0.0058	0.0063	0.0046	0.0085	0.0046	0.0069	0.0058	0.0092	0.0036	0.0082
	N@10	0.0051	0.0095	0.0073	0.0084	0.0062	0.0106	0.0061	0.0091	0.0079	0.0122	0.0051	0.0116
	N@20	0.0068	0.0123	0.0094	0.0111	0.0077	0.0131	0.0077	0.0116	0.0103	0.0156	0.0067	0.0152
	MRR	0.0036	0.0076	0.0059	0.0066	0.0048	0.0086	0.0049	0.0072	0.0056	0.0089	0.0040	0.0082
	Improvement		83.72%		15.57%		72.01%		50.93%		51.89%		136.88%
Yelp	HR@5	0.0045	0.0163	0.0089	0.0155	0.0053	0.0153	0.0054	0.0137	0.0290	0.0358	0.0083	0.0258
	HR@10	0.0083	0.0218	0.0152	0.0225	0.0092	0.0235	0.0083	0.0226	0.0349	0.0479	0.0164	0.0353
	HR@20	0.0152	0.0310	0.0267	0.0344	0.0156	0.0376	0.0127	0.0378	0.0437	0.0656	0.0291	0.0518
	N@5	0.0028	0.0127	0.0056	0.0112	0.0037	0.0102	0.0037	0.0091	0.0249	0.0270	0.0050	0.0200
	N@10	0.0040	0.0145	0.0076	0.0135	0.0049	0.0128	0.0047	0.0120	0.0268	0.0309	0.0076	0.0230
	N@20	0.0057	0.0168	0.0105	0.0165	0.0065	0.0164	0.0057	0.0158	0.0291	0.0354	0.0108	0.0271
	MRR	0.0031	0.0129	0.0061	0.0115	0.0041	0.0106	0.0038	0.0098	0.0250	0.0269	0.0058	0.0204
	Improvement		236.54%		67.76%		161.84%		165.71%		23.40%		187.05%

inverse FFT procedure to reduce noise’s negative influence. We use the filter-enhanced MLP as FMLP-Rec’s base model to learn sequence representations.

- **DSAN** [23], which is an explicit sequence denoising model, explores a sparse Transformer function to model the correlations between each item and a virtual target item to explicitly identify noise. In the experiments, we take the dual attention network as DSAN’s base model.
- **HSD** [27], which is an explicit sequence denoising model, pinpoints noise by additionally considering to detect items that are inconsistent in terms of sequentiality and user interests. Following the original paper, we take BERT4Rec [17] as HSD’s backbone to conduct comparison experiments.
- **STEAM** [29], which is an explicit sequence denoising model, randomly inserts and deletes items in raw sequences to enforce the proposed corrector to reconstruct original se-

quences for denoising. To conduct comparison experiments, we take the bi-directional Transformer used in the original paper as STEAM’s base model.

- **DCRec** [41], which is a debiased model, uses a debiased contrastive learning method to capture item-transition patterns in sequences and dependencies between users to facilitate sequential pattern encoding. We consider the multi-channel conformity weighting network and Transformer layer in the experiments.

We do not compare SSDRec with a few recent denoising methods [21], [42], [43] because their settings are different from the setting of sequence denoising in sequential recommendation.

3) *Implement Details*: Identical to the settings of previous methods [14], [18], [23], [27], [31], [40], we set the default embedding size d to 100 and mini-batch size to 256 for all methods, and the embedding parameters are initial-

TABLE IV

THE EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISON BETWEEN SSDREC AND THE STATE-OF-THE-ART DENOISING METHODS. THE BEST RESULTS ARE BOLD, AND THE SECOND-BEST RESULTS ARE UNDERLINED. ALL IMPROVEMENTS ARE STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT (I.E., TWO-SIDED T-TEST WITH $p < 0.05$).

Dataset	Model	HR@5	HR@10	HR@20	N@5	N@10	N@20	MRR
ML-100K	DSAN	0.0201	0.0435	0.0700	0.0115	0.0188	0.0254	0.0133
	FMLP-Rec	0.0170	0.0477	0.0764	0.0117	0.0216	0.0288	0.0160
	HSD	0.0370	0.0583	0.1018	<u>0.0225</u>	0.0292	0.0401	0.0234
	DCRec	0.0244	0.0424	0.0806	0.0156	0.0214	0.0308	0.0175
	STEAM	<u>0.0371</u>	<u>0.0647</u>	<u>0.1220</u>	0.0220	<u>0.0309</u>	<u>0.0452</u>	<u>0.0245</u>
	SSDRec	0.0456	0.0795	0.1336	0.0262	0.0379	0.0507	0.0290
	Improvement	22.91%	22.87%	9.51%	16.44%	22.65%	12.17%	18.37%
ML-1M	DSAN	0.0098	0.0336	0.0651	0.0048	0.0122	0.0200	0.0081
	FMLP-Rec	0.0210	0.0449	0.0707	0.0120	0.0199	0.0263	0.0142
	HSD	0.0440	0.0823	0.1326	0.0251	<u>0.0373</u>	<u>0.0515</u>	<u>0.0278</u>
	DCRec	0.0440	<u>0.0753</u>	0.1111	<u>0.0251</u>	<u>0.0351</u>	0.0442	0.0254
	STEAM	0.0127	0.0270	0.0548	0.0060	0.0105	0.0171	0.0073
	SSDRec	0.0492	0.0896	0.1412	0.0292	0.0410	0.0544	0.0315
	Improvement	11.82%	8.87%	6.49%	16.33%	9.92%	5.63%	13.31%
Beauty	DSAN	0.0092	0.0152	0.0264	0.0058	0.0077	0.0105	0.0062
	FMLP-Rec	0.0095	0.0166	0.0284	0.0056	0.0078	0.0107	0.0060
	HSD	0.0247	0.0435	0.0674	0.0137	0.0197	0.0260	0.0142
	DCRec	<u>0.0312</u>	<u>0.0499</u>	<u>0.0714</u>	<u>0.0177</u>	<u>0.0234</u>	<u>0.0288</u>	<u>0.0167</u>
	STEAM	0.0141	0.0295	0.0521	0.0074	0.0124	0.0180	0.0088
	SSDRec	0.0313	0.0517	0.0776	0.0180	0.0241	0.0306	0.0179
	Improvement	0.32%	3.61%	8.68%	1.69%	2.99%	6.25%	7.19%
Sports	DSAN	0.0061	0.0105	0.0215	0.0042	0.0056	0.0084	0.0049
	FMLP-Rec	0.0068	0.0117	0.0180	0.0044	0.0059	0.0075	0.0046
	HSD	0.0131	0.0215	0.0337	0.0071	0.0100	0.0132	0.0071
	DCRec	0.0160	<u>0.0255</u>	<u>0.0377</u>	<u>0.0090</u>	<u>0.0121</u>	<u>0.0146</u>	<u>0.0084</u>
	STEAM	0.0063	0.0133	0.0251	0.0031	0.0053	0.0083	0.0038
	SSDRec	0.0165	0.0259	0.0391	0.0092	0.0122	0.0156	0.0089
	Improvement	3.13%	1.57%	3.71%	2.22%	0.83%	6.85%	5.95%
Yelp	DSAN	0.0269	0.0369	0.0541	0.0211	0.0242	0.0285	0.0216
	FMLP-Rec	0.0203	0.0294	0.0436	0.0142	0.0171	0.0207	0.0144
	HSD	0.0296	0.0388	0.0557	0.0231	0.0260	0.0303	0.0233
	DCRec	<u>0.0316</u>	<u>0.0433</u>	<u>0.0611</u>	<u>0.0242</u>	<u>0.0280</u>	<u>0.0324</u>	<u>0.0246</u>
	STEAM	0.0255	0.0389	0.0590	0.0168	0.0211	0.0262	0.0171
	SSDRec	0.0358	0.0479	0.0656	0.0270	0.0309	0.0354	0.0269
	Improvement	13.29%	10.62%	7.36%	11.57%	10.36%	9.26%	9.35%

ized with Xavier [44]. We use the Adam optimizer [45] with a default learning rate of 0.001, and adopt the early-stopping training strategy if the HR@20 performance on the validation set decreases for 10 continuous epochs. The L_2 regularization coefficient is searched in $\{0, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}\}$. In particular, we set the ratio of few-shot users and items as 0.9 and 0.8, respectively. The ratio is determined by the 20/80 principle [46] as suggested in the previous study [31] to avoid unreliable relation constructions. Following previous studies [24], [27], [47], we search the initial temperature parameter τ in $\{10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1, 10, 10^2, 10^3\}$ and anneal it after every 40 batches. The hyper-parameters of all competing models either follow the suggestions from the original papers or are carefully tuned, and the best performances are reported. We implement SSDRec in PyTorch 1.7.1, Python 3.8.3, and RecBole v1.0.1 [48] on a workstation with an Intel Xeon Platinum 2.40GHz CPU, a NVIDIA Quadro RTX 8000 GPU,

and 754GB RAM. The source code is available online at <https://github.com/zc-97/SSDRec>.

B. Performance Comparison (RQ1 and RQ2)

We present the main experimental results in Table III and Table IV. All improvements are significant by performing a two-sided t -test with $p < 0.05$ over the strongest baselines. We can draw a few key observations as follows:

- When integrated into different sequential recommendation methods, the proposed SSDRec framework can consistently achieve the best performance on all datasets as shown by the average improvements of all metrics. Its average relative improvements over SASRec are 110.64%, 39.70%, 39.34%, 51.89%, and 23.40% on ML-100K, ML-1M, Beauty, Sports, and Yelp, respectively. After applying SSDRec for sequence denoising, the performance of mainstream sequential recommenders is significantly improved. These results generally

demonstrate the flexible applications and effectiveness of our solution in boosting the performance of various main-stream sequential recommendation methods.

- Compared with applying SSDRec to RNN-based and CNN-based sequential recommenders, applying SSDRec to Transformer-based sequential models can achieve even larger performance boost. Transformer-based methods (i.e., dot-product attention mechanism) have to learn an attention matrix based on all items in a sequence. Although the attention mechanism can assign lower attention scores to noisy items, the raw sequence’s noise still inevitably affects the learned attention scores. Through the proposed SSDRec model, these methods can eliminate noise before calculating attention scores and thus assign higher weights to noiseless items, leading to better representations.
- Compared with the state-of-the-art denoising methods and debiased method, SSDRec consistently yields the best performance on all datasets. For example, its relative improvements over the strongest baselines are 22.91%, 22.87%, 9.51% in terms of HR@K, 16.44%, 22.65%, 12.17% in terms of NDCG@K, and 18.37% in terms of MRR on ML-100K. Such results demonstrate the superiority of SSDRec.
- Compared with FMLP-Rec, an implicit denoising method, explicit denoising methods (e.g., DSAN) can achieve better performance in most cases, which aligns with our motivation that explicitly pinpointing noise and learning representations based on noiseless sub-sequences can boost sequential recommenders’ performance.
- Compared with DCRec, a debiased sequential recommendation method, most sequence denoising methods cannot achieve better performance. The reason is that previous sequence denoising methods suffer from OUPs, which inevitably leads to sub-optimal representation learning.
- Among the denoising methods and the debiased method, our proposed SSDRec framework consistently achieves the best performance. We attribute such improvements to performing explicit sequence augmentation before sequence denoising to alleviate OUPs, which can reliably identify noise in sequences and generate better sequence representations.

In conclusion, the experimental results on five datasets demonstrate the flexible applications and superiority of the proposed SSDRec model over various sequential recommendation models and state-of-the-art denoising methods.

C. Ablation Study (RQ3)

To verify the contribution of each stage of SSDRec, we conduct an ablation study with various variants over the ML-100k dataset, including (1) *w/o SSDRec-1* using only the second and third stages, (2) *w/o SSDRec-2* (integrating HSD [27] with SSDRec-1) using only the first and third stages, and (3) *w/o SSDRec-3* using only the first and second stages.

Table V shows the performances of different variants in terms of HR@k, NDCG@K, and MRR@K. We can draw a few interesting observations as follows:

TABLE V
IMPACT OF DIFFERENT STAGES OF SSDREC ON ML-100K DATASET.

Metrics	HR@10	HR@20	N@10	N@20	MRR@10	MRR@20
w/o SSDRec-1	0.0332	0.0707	0.0160	0.0255	0.0113	0.0141
w/o SSDRec-2	0.0599	0.1178	0.0319	0.0427	0.0211	0.0241
w/o SSDRec-3	0.0423	0.0885	0.0197	0.0294	0.0136	0.0162
HSD	0.0583	0.1018	0.0225	0.0401	0.0202	0.0234
SSDRec	0.0795	0.1336	0.0379	0.0507	0.0255	0.0290

TABLE VI
MODEL EFFICIENCY STUDY IN TERMS OF PER-EPOCH TRAINING AND INFERENCE TIME (SECONDS) ON ML-100K, ML-1M, BEAUTY, SPORTS, AND YELP DATASETS.

Mode	Dataset	HSD	STEAM	DCRec	SSDRec
Training	ML-100K	4.10	0.29	1.93	5.98
	ML-1M	64.61	52.66	3.96	119.82
	Beauty	67.19	47.42	8.77	126.62
	Sports	148.36	113.70	13.75	245.08
	Yelp	99.88	107.28	20.35	199.90
Inference	ML-100K	3.02	0.02	3.83	0.88
	ML-1M	7.74	96.90	0.41	10.34
	Beauty	6.81	10.99	0.43	8.43
	Sports	8.95	18.91	0.82	14.02
	Yelp	9.90	19.93	0.86	13.34

- It can be observed that each stage positively contributes to model performance. With the three-stage learning paradigm, SSDRec can consistently outperform the other variants.
- The first stage of SSDRec is the most crucial component. Excluding the first stage (i.e., encoding global relations) results in a significant performance drop. Without the learned global relations, we have no other prior knowledge (e.g., supervisory signals to reveal noise or additional features) to correctly guide subsequent item/position selections.
- Dropping the second stage of SSDRec harms model performance. Compared with HSD [27], SSDRec-2 can improve HSD’s performance in all cases, demonstrating that learning global information can better sequence denoising performance. However, compared with SSDRec-2, the proposed SSDRec framework can significantly improve the performance. We attribute such improvements to the proposed self-augmentation module, which alleviates OUPs.
- Excluding the third stage of SSDRec could also harm the final performance. It confirms that SSDRec can improve sequence denoising by removing false augmentations.

In conclusion, the above experimental results well justify our design choices. We observe similar trends on other datasets, which are omitted due to the space limit.

D. Model Efficiency Study (RQ4)

We investigate the efficiency of our SSDRec framework as compared to the state-of-the-art denoising and debiased methods. We study the efficiency of all competing methods by evaluating their running time (seconds) of each training epoch and inference epoch on ML-100K, ML-1M, Beauty, Sports, and Yelp datasets. Table VI presents the evaluation results.




Fig. 4. A case study to show how the three-stage learning paradigm of SSDRec affects next-item recommendation on ML-100K.

- As can be seen, SSDRec’s computational costs are comparable to the explicit sequence denoising methods (e.g., HSD [27] and STEAM [29]), which aligns with our theoretical time complexity analysis.
- Recall that we implement SSDRec by employing HSD in the third stage to generate denoised sequences. Thus SSDRec’s computational cost is higher than that of HSD. However, the extra computational cost of SSDRec is acceptable. It is worth noting that the extra cost does not impact online inference. This is because the designed self-augmentation module aims to enrich raw sequences. After training, the downstream denoising method can learn sufficient information. Therefore, we do not need to insert items during online inference.
- Moreover, due to the possibility of encoding different relations in the global relation encoder independently, advanced parallel computing techniques can be employed to accelerate model training in practical recommendation scenarios.

E. Case Studies of SSDRec’s Explainability (RQ5)

We conduct a case study to illustrate how the three-stage learning paradigm of SSDRec can affect noise identifications and sequential recommendation. In Figure 4, we show a user whose ID is 164 and whose next-item ID is 207. The user has interacted with 42 items on the ML-100k dataset. For clarity and simplicity, we detail his recent six interactions, i.e., items {381, 326, 185, 552, 5, 625}.

- Learning sequence representations based on the raw sequence data yields the lowest score (i.e., -0.96) of recommending item 207 to the user. In contrast, after the second stage of SSDRec, we select two items (i.e., items with IDs 433 and 251 denoted by blue circles) as the inserted items to perform sequence augmentation for the subsequent denoising process, yielding a similar score (i.e., $-0.95 \approx -0.96$) of recommending item 207, which aligns with our purpose that the proposed self-augmentation module can help better reveal noise without significantly changing the user preference embedded in the raw sequence.
- After that, we identify three items denoted by red circles as noise and explicitly remove them from the raw sequence,

thus generating the highest score (i.e., 0.89) of recommending item 207 to the user. Moreover, compared with the denoised sequence of HSD [27] (i.e., identifying item 185 and item 552 as noise denoted by circles with the ‘#’ symbol and yielding the score $-0.96 < 0.56 < 0.89$ of recommending the item with ID 207), SSDRec additionally removes two items with IDs 5 and 625 (two comedies irrelevant to item 207) and keeps item 552 (a thriller relevant to item 207). In particular, for item 5 and item 625, HSD cannot identify them as noisy items. In contrast, through the devised self-augmentation model in the second stage of the proposed SSDRec framework, our solution can explicitly pinpoint the noisy items and avoid under-denoising results. Moreover, SSDRec can additionally keep some items (e.g., item 552) to prevent sequence denoising from the over-denoising problem, achieving better performance than HSD.

In conclusion, this case study reinforces our motivation that sequence denoising can help to learn better sequence representations. However, the limited information available in a single sequence could lead to OUPs, which harms recommendation performance. Moreover, after denoising, the interaction ratios we drop on ML-100K, ML-1M, Beauty, Sports, and Yelp are 24.22%, 25.10%, 26.28%, 22.96%, and 39.41%, respectively, which shows SSDRec’s capability of eliminating noise.

F. Hyperparameter Investigation (RQ6)

Finally, to investigate the effect of different parameter settings, we perform experiments to evaluate the performance of our proposed SSDRec framework with different configurations of the important hyperparameter (i.e., τ , used in Section III-D). When varying τ , we keep other parameters with default values. The evaluation results in terms of HR@20, N@20, and MRR are presented in Figure 5. The x-axis represents different τ values. We summarize the results with the following observation: while the best τ values vary from dataset to dataset, the best τ values in small datasets (e.g., ML-100K) are typically lower than the values in large datasets (e.g., ML-1M and Yelp). Adopting a low τ value for initialization may exaggerate the denoising results in the early stage of the training process, resulting in worse performance.

V. RELATED WORK

Most sequential recommendation models [12]–[18], [31], [40], [49], [50] assume that users’ interaction sequences are clean, which often does not hold in practice. When dealing with noisy sequences, their representation learning capabilities may be largely impacted. As a result, sequence denoising methods [23], [25]–[29] have gained increasing attention in recent years. These methods mainly focus on attenuating the negative influence of noise from different perspectives.

A key challenge of sequence denoising is the absence of supervised labels indicating noisy items. For this reason, existing sequence denoising approaches, typically operating under the self-supervised learning paradigm, normally assume that most interactions are noiseless and rely on detectable signals to identify and remove noise from raw sequences.




Fig. 5. Hyperparameter study for SSDRec in terms of HR@20, N@20, and MRR on ML-100K, ML-1M, Beauty, Sports, and Yelp datasets.

We categorize the existing approaches into two major lines: implicit denoising (e.g., keeping noisy items but assigning them lower weights [28]) and explicit denoising methods (e.g., completely removing noise [31]). The first line implicitly mitigates noise’s influence by maintaining noisy items in the sequence but assigning them lower importance in order to improve sequence representation learning. Despite its effectiveness, this approach may only partially mitigate the negative impact of noise and can still lead to inaccurate learning of user preferences, as evidenced by FMLP-Rec [28], which conducts denoising at the representation level rather than the item level.

The second line seeks to learn detectable signals (e.g., smooth sequentiality and correlations) in a sequence to identify noise. Unlike the first line, explicit denoising methods typically perform better since they remove most noise from sequences and provide noiseless sub-sequences for high-quality representation learning. The general intuition is that most items in a sequence exhibit these signals and, therefore, can be considered noiseless, while noisy items do not. However, designing and detecting these signals in sequences pose a critical challenge. While these two types of denoising methods outperform traditional sequential recommendation approaches due to their denoising considerations, the inherent limitations of available information in a single sequence, especially in short sequences in many applications, may still result in unreliable noise identification. The learned sequential information may be insufficient to accurately denoise. Overlooking this limitation may result in *over-denoising* and *under-denoising* problems (OUPs), which deserves an in-depth exploration.

Motivated by the observation, SSDRec improves sequence denoising for sequential recommendation by executing explicit sequence augmentation prior to the denoising process, thereby alleviating OUPs. It employs a three-stage learning paradigm that does not need additional features (such as extra user/item features beyond IDs or knowledge graphs) or noise’s labels for sequential recommendation. This flexibility allows SSDRec to be seamlessly integrated into existing denoising models and/or sequential models to enhance their learning capabilities.

Finally, it is worth noting that there are some recent denoising methods for other recommendation tasks, e.g., collaborative filtering [22], [42], multi-behavior recommendation [21], next-basket recommendation [24], and CTR prediction [43]. These methods, unfortunately, cannot be directly applied to the

sequence denoising problem for sequential recommendation.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we studied the problem of sequence denoising for sequential recommendation from a new perspective – how to solve the common over-denoising and under-denoising problems due to limited available information in a single sequence. We proposed to tackle OUPs by performing sequence augmentation by incorporating global information before denoising. To effectively overcome the challenges of sequence augmentation, we consequently devised a novel SSDRec framework with a unique three-stage learning paradigm. This framework incorporates a global relation encoder in its first stage, harnessing multi-faceted inter-sequence relations to establish prior knowledge. Consequently, we propose a self-augmentation module and a hierarchical denoising module in the second stage and third stage, respectively. Extensive experimental results showed that the SSDRec framework can consistently outperform state-of-the-art methods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Heilongjiang Key R&D Program of China under Grant No. GA23A915 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 62072136. Xiangyu Zhao was supported by APRC - CityU New Research Initiatives (No. 9610565, Start-up Grant for New Faculty of City University of Hong Kong), CityU - HKIDS Early Career Research Grant (No. 9360163), Hong Kong ITC Innovation and Technology Fund Mid-stream Research Programme for Universities Project (No. ITS/034/22MS), Hong Kong Environmental and Conservation Fund (No. 88/2022), and SIRG - CityU Strategic Interdisciplinary Research Grant (No. 7020046, No. 7020074), Huawei (Huawei Innovation Research Program), Ant Group (CCF-Ant Research Fund, Ant Group Research Fund), Tencent (CCF-Tencent Open Fund, Tencent Rhino-Bird Focused Research Program), CCF-BaiChuan-Ebtech Foundation Model Fund, and Kuaishou. Peng Tang was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 62002203, Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation No. ZR2020QF045, and Young Scholars Program of Shandong University.

REFERENCES

- [1] Q. Zhu, X. Zhou, Z. Song, J. Tan, and L. Guo, "Dan: Deep attention neural network for news recommendation," in *AAAI*, 2019, pp. 5973–5980.
- [2] Z. Ren, S. Liang, P. Li, S. Wang, and M. de Rijke, "Social collaborative viewpoint regression with explainable recommendations," in *WSDM*, 2017, pp. 485–494.
- [3] X. Zhou, D. Qin, X. Lu, L. Chen, and Y. Zhang, "Online social media recommendation over streams," in *ICDE*, 2019, pp. 938–949.
- [4] G. Zhou, X. Zhu, C. Song, Y. Fan, H. Zhu, X. Ma, Y. Yan, J. Jin, H. Li, and K. Gai, "Deep interest network for click-through rate prediction," in *KDD*, 2018, pp. 1059–1068.
- [5] Z. Li, X. Shen, Y. Jiao, X. Pan, P. Zou, X. Meng, C. Yao, and J. Bu, "Hierarchical bipartite graph neural networks: Towards large-scale e-commerce applications," in *ICDE*, 2020, pp. 1677–1688.
- [6] S. Rendle, C. Freudenthaler, Z. Gantner, and S. Lars, "Bpr: Bayesian personalized ranking from implicit feedback," *UAI*, pp. 452–461, 2009.
- [7] W.-S. Hwang, J. Parc, S.-W. Kim, J. Lee, and D. Lee, "'told you i didn't like it': Exploiting uninteresting items for effective collaborative filtering," in *ICDE*, 2016, pp. 349–360.
- [8] R. Ying, R. He, K. Chen, P. Eksombatchai, W. L. Hamilton, and J. Leskovec, "Graph convolutional neural networks for web-scale recommender systems," in *KDD*, 2018, pp. 974–983.
- [9] X. Wang, X. He, M. Wang, F. Feng, and T.-S. Chua, "Neural graph collaborative filtering," in *SIGIR*, 2019, pp. 165–174.
- [10] X. He, K. Deng, X. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, and M. Wang, "Lightgcn: Simplifying and powering graph convolution network for recommendation," in *SIGIR*, 2020, pp. 639–648.
- [11] K. Mao, J. Zhu, X. Xiao, B. Lu, Z. Wang, and X. He, "Ultragcn: Ultra simplification of graph convolutional networks for recommendation," in *CIKM*, 2021, pp. 1253–1262.
- [12] B. Hidasi, A. Karatzoglou, L. Baltrunas, and D. Tikk, "Session-based recommendations with recurrent neural networks," 2016.
- [13] T. Donkers, B. Loepp, and J. Ziegler, "Sequential user-based recurrent neural network recommendations," in *RecSys*, 2017, pp. 152–160.
- [14] J. Li, P. Ren, Z. Chen, Z. Ren, T. Lian, and J. Ma, "Neural attentive session-based recommendation," in *CIKM*, 2017, pp. 1419–1428.
- [15] J. Tang and K. Wang, "Personalized top-n sequential recommendation via convolutional sequence embedding," in *WSDM*, 2018, pp. 565–573.
- [16] W.-C. Kang and J. McAuley, "Self-attentive sequential recommendation," in *ICDM*, 2018, pp. 197–206.
- [17] F. Sun, J. Liu, J. Wu, C. Pei, X. Lin, W. Ou, and P. Jiang, "Bert4rec: Sequential recommendation with bidirectional encoder representations from transformer," in *CIKM*, 2019, pp. 1441–1450.
- [18] S. Wu, Y. Tang, Y. Zhu, L. Wang, X. Xie, and T. Tan, "Session-based recommendation with graph neural networks," in *AAAI*, 2019, pp. 346–353.
- [19] G. Tolomei, M. Lalmas, A. Farahat, and A. Haines, "You must have clicked on this ad by mistake! data-driven identification of accidental clicks on mobile ads with applications to advertiser cost discounting and click-through rate prediction," *IJDSA*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 53–66, 2019.
- [20] C. Wu, F. Wu, T. Qi, Q. Liu, X. Tian, J. Li, W. He, Y. Huang, and X. Xie, "Feedrec: News feed recommendation with various user feedbacks," in *WWW*, 2022, pp. 2088–2097.
- [21] C. Zhang, R. Chen, X. Zhao, Q. Han, and L. Li, "Denoising and prompting for multi-behavior recommendation," in *WWW*, 2023, pp. 1355–1363.
- [22] W. Wang, F. Feng, X. He, L. Nie, and T.-S. Chua, "Denoising implicit feedback for recommendation," in *WSDM*, 2021, pp. 373–381.
- [23] J. Yuan, Z. Song, M. Sun, X. Wang, and W. X. Zhao, "Dual sparse attention network for session-based recommendation," in *AAAI*, 2021, pp. 4635–4643.
- [24] Y. Qin, P. Wang, and C. Li, "The world is binary: Contrastive learning for denoising next basket recommendation," in *SIGIR*, 2021, pp. 859–868.
- [25] X. Tong, P. Wang, C. Li, L. Xia, and S. Niu, "Pattern-enhanced contrastive policy learning network for sequential recommendation," in *IJCAI*, 2021, pp. 1593–1599.
- [26] Y. Sun, B. Wang, Z. Sun, and X. Yang, "Does every data instance matter? enhancing sequential recommendation by eliminating unreliable data," in *IJCAI*, 2021, pp. 1579–1585.
- [27] C. Zhang, Y. Du, X. Zhao, Q. Han, R. Chen, and L. Li, "Hierarchical item inconsistency signal learning for sequence denoising in sequential recommendation," in *CIKM*, 2022, pp. 2508–2518.
- [28] K. Zhou, H. Yu, W. X. Zhao, and J.-R. Wen, "Filter-enhanced mlp is all you need for sequential recommendation," in *WWW*, 2022, pp. 2388–2399.
- [29] Y. Lin, C. Wang, Z. Chen, Z. Ren, X. Xin, Q. Yan, M. de Rijke, X. Cheng, and P. Ren, "A self-correcting sequential recommender," in *WWW*, 2023, pp. 1283–1293.
- [30] C. Wang, M. Zhang, W. Ma, Y. Liu, and S. Ma, "Make it a chorus: Knowledge-and time-aware item modeling for sequential recommendation," in *SIGIR*, 2020, pp. 109–118.
- [31] Q. Han, C. Zhang, R. Chen, R. Lai, H. Song, and L. Li, "Multi-faceted global item relation learning for session-based recommendation," in *SIGIR*, 2022, pp. 1705–1715.
- [32] P. Velickovic, G. Cucurull, A. Casanova, A. Romero, P. Liò, and Y. Bengio, "Graph attention networks," in *ICLR*, 2018.
- [33] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, L. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 30, 2017.
- [34] Q. Wang, H. Yin, H. Wang, Q. V. H. Nguyen, Z. Huang, and L. Cui, "Enhancing collaborative filtering with generative augmentation," in *KDD*, 2019, pp. 548–556.
- [35] S. Wu, Y. Li, D. Zhang, Y. Zhou, and Z. Wu, "Topicka: Generating commonsense knowledge-aware dialogue responses towards the recommended topic fact," in *IJCAI*, 2021, pp. 3766–3772.
- [36] J. Yu, M. Gao, H. Yin, J. Li, C. Gao, and Q. Wang, "Generating reliable friends via adversarial training to improve social recommendation," in *ICDM*, 2019, pp. 768–777.
- [37] X. Zhao, H. Liu, H. Liu, J. Tang, W. Guo, J. Shi, S. Wang, H. Gao, and B. Long, "Autodim: Field-aware embedding dimension searchin recommender systems," in *WWW*, 2021, pp. 3015–3022.
- [38] W. Krichene and S. Rendle, "On sampled metrics for item recommendation," in *KDD*, 2020, pp. 1748–1757.
- [39] R. Cai, J. Wu, A. San, C. Wang, and H. Wang, "Category-aware collaborative sequential recommendation," in *SIGIR*, 2021, pp. 388–397.
- [40] Q. Liu, Y. Zeng, R. Mokhosi, and H. Zhang, "Stamp: Short-term attention/memory priority model for session-based recommendation," in *KDD*, 2018, pp. 1831–1839.
- [41] Y. Yang, C. Huang, L. Xia, C. Huang, D. Luo, and K. Lin, "Debiased contrastive learning for sequential recommendation," in *WWW*, 2023, pp. 1063–1073.
- [42] Y. Gao, Y. Du, Y. Hu, L. Chen, X. Zhu, Z. Fang, and B. Zheng, "Self-guided learning to denoise for robust recommendation," in *SIGIR*, 2022, pp. 1412–1422.
- [43] W. Lin, X. Zhao, Y. Wang, Y. Zhu, and W. Wang, "Autodenoise: Automatic data instance denoising for recommendations," in *WWW*, 2023, pp. 1003–1011.
- [44] X. Glorot and Y. Bengio, "Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks," in *AISTATS*, 2010, pp. 249–256.
- [45] D. P. Kingma and J. Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization," 2015.
- [46] Y. Sun, F. Yuan, M. Yang, G. Wei, Z. Zhao, and D. Liu, "A generic network compression framework for sequential recommender systems," in *SIGIR*, 2020, pp. 1299–1308.
- [47] E. Jang, S. Gu, and B. Poole, "Categorical reparameterization with gumbel-softmax," 2017.
- [48] W. X. Zhao, S. Mu, Y. Hou, Z. Lin, Y. Chen, X. Pan, K. Li, Y. Lu, H. Wang, C. Tian *et al.*, "Recbole: Towards a unified, comprehensive and efficient framework for recommendation algorithms," in *CIKM*, 2021, pp. 4653–4664.
- [49] Y. Dang, E. Yang, G. Guo, L. Jiang, X. Wang, X. Xu, Q. Sun, and H. Liu, "Uniform sequence better: Time interval aware data augmentation for sequential recommendation," in *AAAI*, vol. 37, no. 4, 2023, pp. 4225–4232.
- [50] X. Li, A. Sun, M. Zhao, J. Yu, K. Zhu, D. Jin, M. Yu, and R. Yu, "Multi-intention oriented contrastive learning for sequential recommendation," in *WSDM*, 2023, pp. 411–419.