

ON A FAMILY OF RELATIONS OF ROOTED TREE MAPS

HIDEKI MURAHARA, TATSUSHI TANAKA, AND NORIKO WAKABAYASHI

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to proving an infinite sequence of relations for rooted tree maps. On the way, we also give a basis for the space of rooted tree maps.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [B, D, CK], a Hopf algebra of rooted trees \mathcal{H} is introduced, and in [T], it is shown that \mathcal{H} acts on the noncommutative polynomial ring $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{Q}\langle x, y \rangle$. This action gives rise to linear maps on \mathfrak{H} , which are called rooted tree maps (RTMs). It is shown in [T] that RTMs state a class of linear relations for multiple zeta values. Some other results on RTMs are found in [BT1, BT2, MT, MTW, TW].

This paper aims to discuss the structure of the space of RTMs $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. The main results are summarized in §3. We show in §4 that elements in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which come from rooted forests made only by applying the grafting operator B_+ or by multiplying the tree \bullet of degree one form a basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus we find the space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is much smaller and there are a lot of relations among RTMs. In §5, we give a proof of a family of relations for RTMs by interpreting it as an identity on \mathfrak{H} containing the product \diamond , an analogue of the usual harmonic product.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Hopf algebra of rooted trees : an overview. A rooted tree t is a connected, finite, oriented, and simple graph such that there is precisely one distinguished vertex, called the root of t , which has no incoming edges. We here consider only non-planar rooted trees, that is, branches of t are not ordered. The number of vertices of t is called its degree and denoted by $\deg(t)$. Rooted trees of degree ≤ 4 are visualized as follows.



The topmost vertex of each rooted tree stands for its root. Let \mathcal{T} be the set of (isomorphism class of) rooted trees and, for $n \geq 1$, put $\mathcal{T}(n) := \{t \in \mathcal{T} \mid \deg(t) = n\}$. It is known that the number of elements of $\mathcal{T}(n)$ is given as follows.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	...
$\#\mathcal{T}(n)$	1	1	2	4	9	20	48	115	286	719	...

A rooted forest is a product of rooted trees. The product is defined by disjoint union, which is commutative. The unit is denoted by \mathbb{I} and called the empty forest. Let \mathcal{H} be the free, associative, commutative, and unitary \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by \mathcal{T} . As a vector space, we find $\mathcal{H} = \sum \mathbb{Q} \cdot f$, where the sum runs over all rooted forests f . For example, we have $3 \cdot \text{rooted tree with 2 children} + 8 \cdot \text{rooted tree with 3 children} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Similar to the case of rooted trees, let \mathcal{F} be the set of (isomorphism class of) rooted forests. For $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the number of vertices of f is called its degree and denoted by $\deg(f)$. For $n \geq 0$, put $\mathcal{F}(n) := \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid \deg(f) = n\}$. Then we find $\#\mathcal{F}(n) = \#\mathcal{T}(n+1)$ for $n \geq 0$ since one obtains an element in $\mathcal{F}(n)$ by getting rid of the root of $t \in \mathcal{T}(n)$, and conversely one obtains an element in $\mathcal{T}(n+1)$ by grafting every root of connected components of $f \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ to a common new root.

Denote by $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the \mathbb{Q} -vector space generated by \mathcal{T} . The grafting operator is usually denoted by B_+ , which is the \mathbb{Q} -linear map from \mathcal{H} to $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defined by $B_+(\mathbb{I}) = \bullet$ and, for $f = t_1 \cdots t_n \in \mathcal{F}$ with

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C05, 05E16, 16T05.

Key words and phrases. Hopf algebra of rooted trees, rooted tree maps, words, harmonic products.

$t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{T}$, $B_+(f) = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ t_1 \quad \cdots \quad t_n \end{array}$, which represents a single rooted tree obtained by connecting each root of t_j 's to a new root by an edge. For example, we have $B_+(3 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} + 8 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}) = 3 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} + 8 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}$. Note again that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$ there is a unique $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $t = B_+(f)$.

The algebra \mathcal{H} is not only an algebra but a Hopf algebra. One way to define the coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is given algebraically by using the grafting operator B_+ . The coproduct Δ is multiplicative and hence we only need to define for elements in \mathcal{T} . For $t = B_+(f) \in \mathcal{T}$, we define

$$\Delta(t) = t \otimes \mathbb{I} + ((\text{id} \otimes B_+) \circ \Delta)(f).$$

Then, for example, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathbb{I}) &= \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I}, \\ \Delta(\bullet) &= \bullet \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \bullet, \\ \Delta(\updownarrow) &= \updownarrow \otimes \mathbb{I} + \bullet \otimes \bullet + \mathbb{I} \otimes \updownarrow, \\ \Delta(\bullet \bullet) &= \bullet \bullet \otimes \mathbb{I} + 2 \bullet \otimes \bullet + \mathbb{I} \otimes \bullet \bullet, \\ \Delta(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}) &= \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \otimes \mathbb{I} + \bullet \bullet \otimes \bullet + 2 \bullet \otimes \updownarrow + \mathbb{I} \otimes \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. The last example shows that Δ is not cocommutative but it is known that Δ is coassociative, i.e., $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta$. We do not need the counit and the antipode in this paper and hence we omit to define them. (For details, see [CK], for example.)

2.2. Rooted tree maps. Following [T], we here define rooted tree maps. Let the identity map on \mathfrak{H} be assigned to the empty forest, i.e., $\tilde{\mathbb{I}} = \text{id}$. For any $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{\mathbb{I}\}$, we define the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $\tilde{f} : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ by the following conditions.

- (I) If $f = \bullet$, $\tilde{f}(x) = -\tilde{f}(y) = xy$,
- (II) $\widetilde{B_+(f)}(x) = -\widetilde{B_+(f)}(y) = R_y R_{x+2y} R_y^{-1} \tilde{f}(x)$,
- (III) If $f = gh$ ($g, h \in \mathcal{F}$), $\tilde{f}(v) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{h}(v))$ for $v \in \{x, y\}$,
- (IV) $\tilde{f}(wv) = M(\widetilde{\Delta(f)}(w \otimes v))$ for $w \in \mathfrak{H}$, $v \in \{x, y\}$,

where R_w denotes the right multiplication map by w , i.e. $R_w(v) = vw$ ($v, w \in \mathfrak{H}$), $M : \mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ denotes the concatenation product, and $\widetilde{\Delta(f)} = \sum_{(f)} \tilde{f}_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_2$ if $\Delta(f) = \sum_{(f)} f_1 \otimes f_2$, which is Sweedler's notation.

We find that $\tilde{f}(x)$ always ends with y and hence the condition (II) is well-defined. We also find that $\tilde{f}(v)$ in condition (III) does not depend on how to decompose f into g and h . We can also show that conditions (III) and (IV) hold for any $v \in \mathfrak{H}$. (See [T, Theorem 1.2].) We call \tilde{f} the RTM assigned to $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

Example 2.1. Since $\tilde{\bullet}(x) = -\tilde{\bullet}(y) = xy$ and $\Delta(\bullet) = \bullet \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \bullet$, we have

$$\widetilde{\bullet \bullet}(x) = \tilde{\bullet}(x)y + x\tilde{\bullet}(y) = xy^2 - x^2y.$$

Then we calculate

$$\widetilde{\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}}(x) = \widetilde{B_+(\bullet \bullet)}(x) = R_y R_{x+2y} R_y^{-1} \widetilde{\bullet \bullet}(x) = -x^3y - 2x^2y^2 + xyxy + 2xy^3.$$

2.3. The algebra \mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1 . Following [HMO], we define the product $\diamond : \mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ by \mathbb{Q} -bilinearity and

$$\begin{aligned} w \diamond 1 &= 1 \diamond w = w, \\ vx \diamond wx &= (v \diamond wx)x - (vy \diamond w)x, \\ vx \diamond wy &= (v \diamond wy)x + (vx \diamond w)y, \\ vy \diamond wx &= (v \diamond wx)y + (vy \diamond w)x, \\ vy \diamond wy &= (v \diamond wy)y - (vx \diamond w)y \end{aligned}$$

for $v, w \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then we find that \mathfrak{H} is an associative and commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra with respect to the product \diamond , and $\mathfrak{H}^1 := \mathbb{Q} + \mathfrak{H}y$ is its subalgebra which is denoted by \mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1 . The product \diamond is closely related to the usual harmonic product on \mathfrak{H} . Put $z := x + y$. A key property is

$$vz \diamond w = v \diamond wz = (v \diamond w)z \quad (1)$$

for $v, w \in \mathfrak{H}$, and thus we also find

$$(vz^{k-1}y \diamond wz^{l-1}y) = (v \diamond wz^{l-1}y)z^{k-1}y + (vz^{k-1}y \diamond w)z^{l-1}y - (v \diamond w)z^{k+l-1}y \quad (2)$$

for $k, l \geq 1$ and $v, w \in \mathfrak{H}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we set a polynomial $F_f \in \mathfrak{H}^1$ recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbb{I}} &= 1, \quad F_{\bullet} = y, \\ F_t &= R_y R_{x+2y} R_y^{-1}(F_f) \quad \text{if } t = B_+(f) \quad \text{with } f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{\mathbb{I}\}, \\ F_f &= F_g \diamond F_h \quad \text{if } f = gh. \end{aligned}$$

The subscript of F is extended linearly. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. ([MT, Theorem 1]) *For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $w \in \mathfrak{H}$, we have*

$$\tilde{f}(xw) = x(F_f \diamond w).$$

3. MAIN THEOREMS

Let $\rho : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{H})$ be $\rho(f) = \tilde{f}$. The map ρ is an algebra homomorphism and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} := \text{Im } \rho$ is the space of rooted tree maps. Let $\mathcal{U}_0 := \{\mathbb{I}\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_d := B_+(\mathcal{U}_{d-1}) \cup \bullet \mathcal{U}_{d-1}$ ($d \geq 1$), where we set

$$B_+(X) := \{B_+(f) \mid f \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad \bullet X := \{\bullet f \mid f \in X\}$$

for $X \subset \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 3.1. *The set $\rho\left(\bigsqcup_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{U}_d\right)$ forms a basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.*

For $m, n \geq 1$, let

$$f_{m,n} := m \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\} n \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\} - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq n-1}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\}^{m-i+n-j-2} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\}^j + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ (i,j) \neq (0,0)}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\}^{m-i+n-j-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\}^j \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2,2} &= \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} - 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array}, \\ f_{2,3} &= \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. *For any $m, n \geq 1$, we have $\rho(f_{m,n}) = 0$.*

Let $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1$ be $\sigma(f) = F_f$. The map σ is a surjective algebra homomorphism. Theorem 3.2 turns out to be equivalent to the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *For any $m, n \geq 1$, we have $\sigma(f_{m,n}) = 0$.*

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

Lemma 4.1. *For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\tilde{f} = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$ if $\tilde{f} = 0$. Suppose $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$. By definition, we see that $\tilde{f}(x+y) = 0$, and hence $\tilde{f}(y) = 0$. By [BT2], for any $w \in x\mathfrak{H}y$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\tilde{g}(x) = w$. Hence

$$\tilde{f}(w) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{g}(x)) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{f}(x)) = \tilde{g}(0) = 0.$$

Combining this with

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(yw) &= (x+y)\tilde{f}(w) - \tilde{f}(xw), \\ \tilde{f}(wx) &= \tilde{f}(w)(x+y) - \tilde{f}(wy)\end{aligned}$$

($w \in \mathfrak{H}$), which come from [T, Theorem 1.2], we conclude $\tilde{f}(w) = 0$ for all $w \in \mathfrak{H}$ inductively. It is easy to see that $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{0\}$ if $f \in \mathcal{H}$ has no terms of degree 0. \square

Lemma 4.2. $\text{Ker } \rho = \text{Ker } \sigma$.

Proof. By [MT], $\tilde{f}(x) = xF_f$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and hence $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$ if and only if $F_f = 0$. Therefore we have the assertion by Lemma 4.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \text{Im } \rho \simeq \mathcal{H} / \text{Ker } \rho = \mathcal{H} / \text{Ker } \sigma \simeq \text{Im } \sigma = \mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1.$$

Define the vector $f_d \in \mathcal{U}_d^{2^{d-1}}$ by $f_1 = (\bullet)$ and

$$f_d = \left(\begin{array}{c} \cdot \frac{B_+(f_{d-1})}{\bullet f_{d-1}} \cdot \\ \bullet f_{d-1} \end{array} \right),$$

and set

$$F_d := (F_{f_{d,j}})_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{d-1}} \in (\mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1)^{2^{d-1}} \text{ for } f_d = (f_{d,j})_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{d-1}} \in \mathcal{U}_d^{2^{d-1}}.$$

Then, by [BT2, Lemma 3.6] and Theorem 2.2, we have

$$F_d \equiv B_d w_d \pmod{2},$$

where we denote for $d \geq 1$ by $w_d \in (\mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1)^{2^{d-1}}$ the vector of all 2^{d-1} words in \mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1 of degree d , ordered in lexicographical order ($x < y$) from the top to the bottom, and by $B_d \in M_{2^{d-1}}(\mathbb{Z})$ same matrices defined in [BT2]. Since the matrices B_d modulo 2 are invertible because of [BT2, Lemma 3.5], we see that $\sigma\left(\bigsqcup_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{U}_d\right)$ forms a basis of \mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1 . Hence we find $\bigsqcup_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{U}_d$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{H} / \text{Ker } \rho = \mathcal{H} / \text{Ker } \sigma$, and the theorem. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3

Theorem 3.2 gives a family of relations for RTMs. Because of Lemma 4.2, it is sufficient to prove Theorem 3.3 instead of Theorem 3.2. To do this, we prove the following lemma and proposition. For $k \geq 1$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$ and formal parameters X and Y , put

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{k}) &= X^k + Y^k - \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} X^n Y^{k-n}, \\ z_k &= f(\mathbf{k}) z^{k-1} y, \\ z_{\mathbf{k}} &= z_{k_1, \dots, k_r} = z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r}, \quad z_\emptyset = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. *In $\mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1[[X, Y]]$, We have*

$$\frac{1}{1-RX}(1) \diamond \frac{1}{1-RY}(1) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} z_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where the sum runs over all indices with positive integer components and $R := R_y R_{z+y} R_y^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $w_k = z^{k-1}y$, $w_{\mathbf{k}} = w_{k_1, \dots, k_r} = w_{k_1} \cdots w_{k_r}$, and $w_{\emptyset} = 1$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{1-RX}(1) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} R^m(1)X^m = 1 + \frac{1}{1-(z+y)X}yX = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} w_{\mathbf{k}}X^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{k})} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k \right)^n.$$

Then, using (2), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= \frac{1}{1-RX}(1) \diamond \frac{1}{1-RY}(1) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k \right)^n \diamond \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j \right)^m \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k \right)^n + \sum_{m \geq 1} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j \right)^m \\ &\quad + \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k \right)^n \diamond \sum_{m \geq 1} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j \right)^m \right\} \sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k \\ &\quad + \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k \right)^n \diamond \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j \right)^m \right\} \sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j \\ &\quad - \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k \right)^n \diamond \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j \right)^m \right\} \sum_{k, j \geq 1} z^{k+j-1}yX^kY^j \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k \right)^n + \sum_{m \geq 1} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j \right)^m \\ &\quad + \left\{ L - \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k \right)^n \right\} \sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k + \left\{ L - \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j \right)^m \right\} \sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j \\ &\quad - L \sum_{N \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} z^{N-1}yX^kY^{N-k} \\ &= 1 + L \sum_{k \geq 1} z^{k-1}yX^k + L \sum_{j \geq 1} z^{j-1}yY^j - L \sum_{N \geq 1} z^{N-1}y \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} X^kY^{N-k} \\ &= 1 + L \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k)z^{k-1}y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude

$$L = \left(1 - \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k)z^{k-1}y \right)^{-1} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} f(k)z^{k-1}y \right)^j = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} z_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

□

Proposition 5.2. *In $\mathfrak{H}_\diamond^1[[X, Y]]$, the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - RX)(1 - RY) \left(\frac{1}{1 - RX}(1) \diamond \frac{1}{1 - RY}(1) \right) \\ &= 1 + XY \left(y \diamond R \left(\frac{1}{1 - RX}(1) \diamond \frac{1}{1 - RY}(1) \right) - R \left(y \diamond \frac{1}{1 - RX}(1) \diamond \frac{1}{1 - RY}(1) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Proof. If $\mathbf{k} = \emptyset$,

$$(y \diamond R(z_{\mathbf{k}}) - R(y \diamond z_{\mathbf{k}})) XY - (1 - RX)(1 - RY)(z_{\mathbf{k}}) = -3zyXY - 1 + f(1)y. \quad (3)$$

Now suppose $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \neq \emptyset$. Since

$$y \diamond wy = wy^2 - wzy + (y \diamond w)y, \quad (4)$$

we have

$$y \diamond R(z_{\mathbf{k}}) = f(k_r) \left(z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} (z + y)y^2 - z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} (z + y)zy + \left(y \diamond z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} (z + y) \right) y \right)$$

and

$$R(y \diamond z_{\mathbf{k}}) = f(k_r) \left(z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} y(z + y)y - z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r} (z + y)y + (y \diamond z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}}) z^{k_r-1} (z + y)y \right).$$

Because of (1) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & y \diamond z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} (z + y) - (y \diamond z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}}) z^{k_r-1} (z + y) \\ &= y \diamond z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} y - (y \diamond z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1}) y \\ &= z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} y^2 - z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} zy, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we have

$$y \diamond R(z_{\mathbf{k}}) - R(y \diamond z_{\mathbf{k}}) = f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} ((z + y)y - 2yz)y.$$

Taking

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - RX)(1 - RY)(z_{\mathbf{k}}) &= (1 - RX)(1 - RY) \left(z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} f(k_r) z^{k_r-1} y \right) \\ &= f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} (1 - f(1)(z + y) + (z + y)^2 XY) y \end{aligned}$$

into account, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (y \diamond R(z_{\mathbf{k}}) - R(y \diamond z_{\mathbf{k}})) XY - (1 - RX)(1 - RY)(z_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ &= f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} \{-1 + f(1)(z + y) - (z + 3y)zXY\} y. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By the way, according to Lemma 5.1, the identity we should show is

$$1 + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \{(y \diamond R(z_{\mathbf{k}}) - R(y \diamond z_{\mathbf{k}})) XY - (1 - RX)(1 - RY)(z_{\mathbf{k}})\} \quad (6)$$

is zero. By (3) and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) &= -3zyXY + f(1)y + \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} \{-1 + f(1)(z+y) - (z+3y)zXY\} y \\
&= -3zyXY - \sum_{k \geq 2} f(k) z^{k-1} y + \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k) z^{k-1} \{f(1)(z+y) - (z+3y)zXY\} y \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} \{-1 + f(1)(z+y) - (z+3y)zXY\} y \\
&= -3zyXY - \sum_{k \geq 2} f(k) z^{k-1} y + \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k) \{z^k(X+Y) - z^{k+1}XY\} y \\
&\quad + \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k) z^{k-1} \{y(X+Y) - 3yzXY\} y \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} \{-1 + f(1)(z+y) - (z+3y)zXY\} y \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k) z^{k-1} \{y(X+Y) - 3yzXY\} y \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} \{-1 + f(1)(z+y) - (z+3y)zXY\} y. \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&-3zyXY - \sum_{k \geq 2} f(k) z^{k-1} y + \sum_{k \geq 1} f(k) \{z^k(X+Y) - z^{k+1}XY\} y \\
&= -3zyXY - \sum_{k \geq 1} \{f(k+1)z^k y - f(k)z^k(X+Y)y\} - \sum_{k \geq 2} f(k-1)z^k XY y \\
&= \{-3XY - f(2) + (X+Y)^2\} zy - \sum_{k \geq 2} \{f(k+1) - f(k)(X+Y) + f(k-1)XY\} z^k y \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is due to

$$f(2) - (X+Y)^2 + 3XY = 0 \tag{8}$$

and

$$f(k+1) - f(k)(X+Y) + f(k-1)XY = 0 \tag{9}$$

for $k \geq 2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) &= - \sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} y \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} \{y(X+Y) - 3yzXY\} y \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} \{z(X+Y) - z^2XY\} y \\
&= \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} f(k_r) z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} z^{k_r-1} \{y(X+Y) - 3yzXY\} y \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1} \geq 1} z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} \left\{ - \sum_{k_r \geq 0} f(k_r+1)z^{k_r} + \sum_{k_r \geq 1} f(k_r)z^{k_r}(X+Y) - \sum_{k_r \geq 2} f(k_r-1)z^{k_r}XY \right\} y. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (9),

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) &= \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} z_{k_1, \dots, k_r} \{(X + Y) - 3zXY\} y \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1} \geq 1} z_{k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}} \{-f(1) - f(2)z + f(1)z(X + Y)\} y \\
&= \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1} z_{k_1, \dots, k_r} z \{-3XY - f(2) + (X + Y)^2\} y \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is due to (8). Hence we obtain the identity. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Compare the coefficients of $X^m Y^n$ ($m, n \geq 1$) of the identity obtained by applying $\frac{1}{(1-RX)(1-RY)}$ to both sides of the identity stated in Proposition 5.2, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{m-1}(y) \diamond R^{n-1}(y) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ 0 \leq j \leq n-1}} R^{m-i+n-j-2} (y \diamond R(R^{i-1}(y) \diamond R^{j-1}(y))) - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ 0 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ (i,j) \neq (0,0)}} R^{m-i+n-j-1} (y \diamond R^{i-1}(y) \diamond R^{j-1}(y)).
\end{aligned}$$

This equation is nothing but the formula $\sigma(f_{m,n}) = 0$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP22K13897 and JP23K03059.

REFERENCES

- [BT1] H. Bachmann and T. Tanaka, *Rooted tree maps and the derivation relation for multiple zeta values*, International Journal of Number Theory **14** (2018), 2657–2662.
- [BT2] H. Bachmann and T. Tanaka, *Rooted tree maps and Kawashima relations for multiple zeta values*, Kyushu Journal of Mathematics **74** (2020), 169–176.
- [B] J. C. Butcher, *An algebraic theory of integration methods*, Mathematics of Computation **26** (1972), 79–106.
- [CK] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, *Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **199** (1998), 203–242.
- [D] A. Dür, *Möbius Functions, Incidence Algebras and Power Series Representations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1202, Springer (1986).
- [HMO] M. Hirose, H. Murahara, and T. Onozuka, *\mathbb{Q} -linear relations of specific families of multiple zeta values and the linear part of Kawashima's relation*, manuscripta mathematica **164** (2021), 455–465.
- [MT] H. Murahara and T. Tanaka, *Algebraic aspects of rooted tree maps*, The Ramanujan Journal **60** (2023), 123–139.
- [MTW] H. Murahara, T. Tanaka, and N. Wakabayashi, *Rooted tree maps for multiple L -values from a perspective of harmonic algebras*, to appear in Algebra & Number Theory.
- [T] T. Tanaka, *Rooted tree maps*, Communications in Number Theory and Physics **13** (2019), 647–666.
- [TW] T. Tanaka and N. Wakabayashi, *Rooted tree maps for multiple L -values*, Journal of Number Theory **240** (2022), 471–489.

(Hideki Murahara) THE UNIVERSITY OF KITAKYUSHU,
4-2-1 KITAGATA, KOKURAMINAMI-KU, KITAKYUSHU, FUKUOKA, 802-8577, JAPAN
Email address: hmurahara@mathformula.page

(Tatsushi Tanaka) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO SANGYO UNIVERSITY,
MOTOYAMA, KAMIGAMO, KITA-KU, KYOTO-CITY 603-8555, JAPAN
Email address: t.tanaka@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp

(Noriko Wakabayashi) CENTER OF PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCES,
OSAKA ELECTRO-COMMUNICATION UNIVERSITY
18-8, HATSUTYOU, NEYAGAWA-CITY, OSAKA 572-8530, JAPAN
Email address: wakabayashi@osakac.ac.jp